

Lemma 1. Functional Delta Method (univariate). Suppose \mathcal{P}_n is the empirical distribution of a random sample X_1, \dots, X_n from a distribution P , and ϕ is a function that maps the distribution of interest into some space. Define the Gateaus derivative

$$\phi'_P(\delta_x - P) = \frac{d}{dt} \big|_{t=0} \phi((1-t)P + t\delta_x) = \text{IF}_{\phi, P}(x),$$

which is also the Influence Function, and $\gamma^2 = \int \text{IF}_{\phi, P}(x)^2 dP$. If integration and differentiation can be exchanged, then

$$\int \phi'_P(\delta_x - P) dP = 0.$$

Further, if $\sqrt{n}\mathbf{R}_n \xrightarrow{P} 0$, where

$$\mathbf{R}_n = \phi(\mathcal{P}_n) - \phi(P) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_i \phi'_P(\delta_{x_i} - P),$$

then from the Central Limit Theory that

$$\sqrt{n}(\phi(\mathcal{P}_n) - \phi(P)) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \gamma^2).$$

Lemma 2. Functional Delta Method (multivariate). Suppose \mathcal{P}_n is the empirical distribution of a random sample X_1, \dots, X_n from a distribution P , and $\boldsymbol{\phi} : \mathcal{R}^d \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^k$. Define the Gateaus derivative

$$\boldsymbol{\phi}'_P(\delta_x - P) = \frac{d}{dt} \big|_{t=0} \boldsymbol{\phi}((1-t)P + t\delta_x) = \text{IF}_{\boldsymbol{\phi}, P}(x),$$

which is also the Influence Function, and $[\mathbf{V}_0]_{i,j} = \int \langle [\text{IF}_{\boldsymbol{\phi}, P}(x)]_i, [\text{IF}_{\boldsymbol{\phi}, P}(x)]_j \rangle dP$. If integration and differentiation can be exchanged, then

$$\int \boldsymbol{\phi}'_P(\delta_x - P) dP = 0.$$

Further, if $\sqrt{n}\mathbf{R}_n \xrightarrow{P} 0$, where

$$\mathbf{R}_n = \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathcal{P}_n) - \boldsymbol{\phi}(P) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_i \boldsymbol{\phi}'_P(\delta_{x_i} - P),$$

then from the Central Limit Theory that

$$\sqrt{n}(\boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathcal{P}_n) - \boldsymbol{\phi}(P)) \xrightarrow{d} \text{MVN}(0, \mathbf{V}_0).$$

Theorem 1. Asymptotic Distribution of Variable Importance (univariate). Suppose $y_i = f_0(x_i) + \epsilon_i$, $\epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Denote $D_p : f \rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial x_p} f$ the differentiation operator, $H_p = D_p^\top D_p$ the inner product of D_p , define

$$\psi_p(f) = \|D_p(f)\|_n^2 = \frac{1}{n} \langle D_p f, D_p f \rangle = \frac{1}{n} f^\top H_p f. \quad (1)$$

If the following conditions are satisfied:

- i) $\text{rank}(H_p) = o_p(\sqrt{n})$;
- ii) $\lambda_{\max}(H_p) = O_p(1)$;
- iii) \hat{f}_n is an unbiased estimator of f_0 .

Then

$$\sqrt{n}(\psi_p(\hat{f}_n) - \psi_p(f_0)) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 4\sigma^2 \|H_p f_0\|_n^2).$$

Proof. From the definition in (1), we have

$$\psi'_p(f) = \frac{\partial}{\partial f} \psi_p(f) = \frac{2}{n} H_p f.$$

Define a mean function $m : F \rightarrow E(F)$, where F is the distribution. Then in our case, $f_0 = E(F) = m(F)$. According to **Lemma 1**, we have

$$\psi_p(f_0) = \psi_p(E(F)) = \psi_p(m(F)) = \phi(F),$$

i.e., $\phi(\cdot) = \psi_p(m(\cdot))$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi'_F(\delta_y - F) &= \psi'_p(m(\delta_y - F)) \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \psi_p(m((1-t)F + t\delta_y)) \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \psi_p((1-t)f_0 + ty) \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \frac{1}{n} [(1-t)f_0 + ty]^\top H_p [(1-t)f_0 + ty] \\ &= \frac{2}{n} (y - f_0)^\top H_p f_0 \\ &= IF_{\phi, F}(y). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^2 &= \int IF_{\phi, F}(y)^2 dF \\ &= 4 \int \frac{1}{n} \cdot f_0^\top H_p (y - f_0) (y - f_0)^\top H_p f_0 \cdot \frac{1}{n} dF \\ &= 4\sigma^2 \|H_p f_0\|_n^2. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have

$$\int \phi'_F(\delta_y - F) dF = \frac{2}{n} \int (y - f_0)^\top H_p f_0 dF = 0,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{n}R_n &= \sqrt{n}[\phi(\mathcal{F}_n) - \phi(F) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_i \phi'_F(\delta_{y_i} - F)] \\
&= \sqrt{n}[\psi_p(\hat{f}_n) - \psi_p(f_0) - \frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{2}{n} \sum_i (y_i - f_{0,i})^\top [H_p f_0]_i] \\
&= \sqrt{n}[\frac{1}{n} \cdot (\hat{f}_n^\top H_p \hat{f}_n - f_0^\top H_p f_0) - \frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{2}{n} (y - f_0)^\top H_p f_0] \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}[\hat{f}_n^\top H_p \hat{f}_n - \hat{f}_n^\top H_p f_0 + \hat{f}_n^\top H_p f_0 - f_0^\top H_p f_0 - \frac{2}{n} (y - f_0)^\top H_p f_0] \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}[(\hat{f}_n - f_0)^\top H_p (\hat{f}_n + f_0) - \frac{2}{n} (y - f_0)^\top H_p f_0] \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}[(\hat{f}_n - f_0)^\top H_p (\hat{f}_n - f_0) + 2(\hat{f}_n - f_0)^\top H_p f_0 - \frac{2}{n} (y - f_0)^\top H_p f_0] \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}[\hat{e}_n^\top H_p \hat{e}_n + 2\hat{e}_n^\top H_p f_0 - \frac{2}{n} (y - f_0)^\top H_p f_0] \tag{2} \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}o_p(\sqrt{n}) \tag{3} \\
&= o_p(1) \xrightarrow{P} 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{e}_n = \hat{f}_n - f_0$. We can prove the result from (2) to (3) as following: Denote $k = \text{rank}(H_p)$, then the eigendecomposition of H_p is $H_p = U_p \Lambda U_p^\top$, with $U_p = [\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_k]$ a $n \times k$ orthogonal matrix and Λ a $k \times k$ diagonal matrix with elements $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^k$ being the eigenvalues of H_p , then define

$$\mathbf{v} = U_p^\top \hat{e}_n = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_1^\top \hat{e}_n \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{u}_k^\top \hat{e}_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{e}_n^\top H_p \hat{e}_n &= \mathbf{v}^\top \Lambda \mathbf{v} = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i v_i^2 \\
&\leq \lambda_{\max}(H_p) \sum_{i=1}^k v_i^2 \\
&= \lambda_{\max}(H_p) \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbf{u}_i^\top \hat{\Sigma}_n \mathbf{u}_i \\
&\leq \lambda_{\max}(H_p) \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_{\max}(\hat{\Sigma}_n) \\
&= k \cdot \lambda_{\max}(H_p) \cdot \lambda_{\max}(\hat{\Sigma}_n) \\
&= o_p(\sqrt{n}) \cdot O_p(1) \cdot O_p(1) \\
&= o_p(\sqrt{n}),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{e}_n \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \hat{\Sigma}_n)$, $\lambda_{\max}(H_p)$ is the largest eigenvalue of H_p and $\lambda_{\max}(\hat{\Sigma}_n)$ the largest eigenvalue of $\hat{\Sigma}_n$.

On the other hand, $2\hat{\epsilon}_n^\top H_p f_0 = o_p(\sqrt{n})$ because \hat{f}_n is a consistent estimator of f_0 . Moreover, since $y_i - f_{0,i} = O_p(1)$, we know $\frac{2}{n}(y - f_0)^\top H_p f_0 = o_p(\sqrt{n})$. So,

$$\hat{\epsilon}_n^\top H_p \hat{\epsilon}_n + 2\hat{\epsilon}_n^\top H_p f_0 - \frac{2}{n}(y - f_0)^\top H_p f_0 = o_p(\sqrt{n}) \quad (4)$$

Therefore, by **Lemma 1**, we have

$$\sqrt{n}(\psi_p(\hat{f}_n) - \psi_p(f_0)) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 4\sigma^2 \|H_p f_0\|_n^2).$$

□

Theorem 2. Asymptotic Distribution of Variable Importance (multivariate). Suppose $y_i = f_0(x_i) + \epsilon_i$, $\epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$, $x_i \in \mathcal{R}^P$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Denote $\psi = [\psi_1, \dots, \psi_P]$ for ψ_p as defined in (1). If the following conditions are satisfied:

- i) $\text{rank}(H_p) = o_p(\sqrt{n})$, $p = 1, \dots, P$;
- ii) $\lambda_{\max}(H_p) = O_p(1)$, $p = 1, \dots, P$;
- iii) \hat{f}_n is an unbiased estimator of f_0 .

Then $\psi(\hat{f}_n)$ asymptotically converges toward a multivariate normal distribution surrounding $\psi(f_0)$, i.e.,

$$\sqrt{n}(\psi(\hat{f}_n) - \psi(f_0)) \xrightarrow{d} \text{MVN}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{V}_0),$$

where \mathbf{V}_0 is a $P \times P$ matrix such that $[\mathbf{V}_0]_{p1,p2} = 4\sigma^2 \langle H_{p1} f_0, H_{p2} f_0 \rangle_n$.

Proof. Define a mean function $m : F \rightarrow E(F)$, where F is the distribution. Then in our case, $f_0 = E(F) = m(F)$. According to **Lemma 2**, we have

$$[\psi(f_0)]_p = \psi_p(E(F)) = \psi_p(m(F)) = [\Phi(F)]_p,$$

i.e., $\Phi(\cdot) = \psi(m(\cdot))$ and $[\Phi(\cdot)]_p = \psi_p(m(\cdot))$, where $\Phi : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^P$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} [\Phi'_F(\delta_y - F)]_p &= \psi'_p(m(\delta_y - F)) \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \psi_p(m((1-t)F + t\delta_y)) \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \psi_p((1-t)f_0 + ty) \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \frac{1}{n} [(1-t)f_0 + ty]^\top H_p [(1-t)f_0 + ty] \\ &= \frac{2}{n} (y - f_0)^\top H_p f_0 \\ &= [IF_{\Phi, F}(y)]_p. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{V}_0]_{p1,p2} &= \int \langle [IF_{\Phi, F}(y)]_{p1}, [IF_{\Phi, F}(y)]_{p2} \rangle dF \\ &= 4 \int \frac{1}{n} \cdot f_0^\top H_{p1} (y - f_0) (y - f_0)^\top H_{p2} f_0 \cdot \frac{1}{n} dF \\ &= 4\sigma^2 \langle H_{p1} f_0, H_{p2} f_0 \rangle_n. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have

$$\left[\int \boldsymbol{\Phi}'_F(\delta_y - F) dF \right]_p = \frac{2}{n} \int (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{f}_0)^\top \mathbf{H}_p \mathbf{f}_0 dF = 0,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [\sqrt{n}\mathbf{R}_n]_p &= \sqrt{n}[[\boldsymbol{\Phi}(\mathcal{F}_n)]_p - [\boldsymbol{\Phi}(F)]_p - \frac{1}{n} \sum_i [\boldsymbol{\Phi}'_F(\delta_{y_i} - F)]_p] \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} [\hat{\mathbf{f}}_n^\top \mathbf{H}_p \hat{\mathbf{f}}_n - \mathbf{f}_0^\top \mathbf{H}_p \mathbf{f}_0 - \frac{2}{n} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{f}_0)^\top \mathbf{H}_p \mathbf{f}_0] \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} [(\hat{\mathbf{f}}_n - \mathbf{f}_0)^\top \mathbf{H}_p (\hat{\mathbf{f}}_n - \mathbf{f}_0) + 2(\hat{\mathbf{f}}_n - \mathbf{f}_0)^\top \mathbf{H}_p \mathbf{f}_0 - \frac{2}{n} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{f}_0)^\top \mathbf{H}_p \mathbf{f}_0] \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} [\hat{\mathbf{e}}_n^\top \mathbf{H}_p \hat{\mathbf{e}}_n + 2\hat{\mathbf{e}}_n^\top \mathbf{H}_p \mathbf{f}_0 - \frac{2}{n} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{f}_0)^\top \mathbf{H}_p \mathbf{f}_0] \tag{5} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} o_p(\sqrt{n}) \tag{6} \\ &= o_p(1) \xrightarrow{P} 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_n = \hat{\mathbf{f}}_n - \mathbf{f}_0$ and the reason from (5) to (6) is because of (4). Therefore, by **Lemma 2**, we have

$$\sqrt{n}(\boldsymbol{\psi}(\hat{\mathbf{f}}_n) - \boldsymbol{\psi}(\mathbf{f}_0)) \xrightarrow{d} \text{MVN}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{V}_0),$$

where \mathbf{V}_0 is a $P \times P$ matrix such that $[\mathbf{V}_0]_{p1,p2} = 4\sigma^2 \langle \mathbf{H}_{p1} \mathbf{f}_0, \mathbf{H}_{p2} \mathbf{f}_0 \rangle_n$. □