Risk Assessment and Management Plan (RMP)

The purpose of a risk assessment and risk management plan is to preemptively identify, analyze, and address the various potential risks inherent in our project. These risks may manifest in different types, such as technical or management risks. They also exhibit variations in risk scores, determined by comparing the impact and probability of each risk (refer to Figure 1). The Risk Management Plan (RMP) holds significant importance in any software project as it empowers teams to foresee challenges and explore potential solutions to issues that could arise, ultimately impacting the project's success.

Risks were identified during brainstorming meetings among as many teammates as possible. This was to get as many raw ideas as possible and to identify all possible risks with less chance of any slipping through the cracks, or personal bias limiting the scope of our assessment. Once we had all this raw data, a dedicated team of 3 students met to go through the risks and elaborate on them, as well as assign them impact and probability ratings from low to high. This led to the creation of the tables below.

When looking at Impact and Probability, we use these estimates to assess risks:

Impact	1-3 days	3-7 days	7+ days
Probability	0–5%	5–15%	15+%

These are rough estimates of course, but they help us categorize our risks and organize discussions regarding where to place each risk in the table below. Without these concrete numbers, two people might end up with too much variance in their assessments of a risk even if in reality, they were thinking of the same risk categories.

Impact	Low	Medium	High
Probability			
	1.4	1.9	1.3 1.5 1.13
Low	1.6	1.12	1.15 1.16 1.19
	1.8	1.14 1.17	
		1.7	1.11
Medium		1.10	1.18
		1.1	
High		1.2	

Figure [1]: Risk management chart

Risk ID	Risk Type and Description	Risk Score	Resolved in Sprint	Strategy and Effectiveness
US-1.1	 Technical Management External Budget Schedule Etc. 	LowMediumHigh		MitigateAcceptAvoidTransfer
T.1.1	Technical Integration challenges between app and website: The website front-end may not be completely responsive and the components developed in the website may not translate well in the app	High probability Medium impact	Sprint 2	Consequence is a reduced user experience for mobile Avoid through constant cross-platform and different screen size testing and using react/react native, a framework that facilitates code reuse
T.1.2	Technical Unfamiliarity with the tech stack for front-end and back-end integration: The teams unfamiliarity with the tech stack used can lead to integration difficulties	High probability Medium Impact	Sprint 2	Consequence is a higher proportion of bugs and issues in the finished product, as well as a slower development time Mitigation through training sessions for team members on the elements that are lesser known technologies, utilize team members strength and previous experiences, frequent meetings so there is coherence between front and back team, so collaboration is seamless.
T.1.3	Technical Inconsistent data handling for different user types: There are many different types of users with different requirements and	High impact Low probability	Sprint 2	Consequence is data errors and thus bugs Avoidance through making sure that the data handling protocols are clear and data validation checks are made

	views of the platform, this could result in			through the development process
	inconsistencies and potential data errors			
T.1.4	Technical Dependence on external APIs:	Low probability Low impact	Sprint 2	Consequence is security risks and dependency on API changes
	Dependencies on external APIs for certain features may introduce risks, including changes in API specifications or unexpected downtime.			Accept, we have no control on external software and have no contact with API providers
T.1.5	Technical Insufficient documentation for the system architecture can lead to data redundancy, lack of understanding and inconsistent updates when implementing the database	High impact Low probability	Sprint 1, Sprint 2	Consequence is lower overall code quality and poor understanding by new programmers on the project Avoid by working on the domain model early on and ensuring collaboration between the development team and the team members working on the system architecture
T.1.6	Management Disorganized teams leading to double work. Multiple teams/members may work on the same features or systems and overwrite each other's contributions. As well as waste time in the process.	Low probability Low impact	Sprint 1, Sprint 2	Consequence is time lost and possible conflict to decide which version is "better" Mitigate by having team leaders communicating frequently, a Github repository so all members are aware of current work, and task management software such as Trello to organize tasks.
T.1.7	Management Not meeting deadlines or meeting internal goals. Hard deadlines may be missed, disappointing the client and disrupting the overall development timeline	Medium probability Medium impact	Sprint 2	Consequence is disappointing the client, as well as breaching contractual obligations. Mitigate by creating internal "soft" deadlines to be respected by the team, as well as encouraging communication and cooperation.

T.1.8	Budget Limited budget for tooling	Low probability	TBA	Consequence is having limited options for hosting
	can present challenges since this is for a course.	Low impact		and/or tools
				Mitigate by using free trials and free versions of tools.
				Some companies offer free
T.1.9	Managamant	I ozy machability	Sprint 2	premium versions for students
1.1.9	Management Unavailability of team	Low probability	Sprint 2	Consequence is slowing development due to
	members due to	Medium impact		dependency on certain
	unforeseen circumstances	Wediam impact		members
	can impact project			members
	progress			Transfer
	r			Cross-training team members
				to be able to perform a variety
				of tasks and outsourcing tasks
				when necessary
T.1.10	Technical	Medium	Sprint 2	Consequence is bugs and
	Insufficient user testing	Probability		usability issues are discovered
	may lead to undetected			by users, impacting their
	bugs or usability issues	Medium		experience negatively
		Impact		
				Accept
				It is an impractical goal to
				want to ensure with certainty that there are no bugs in the
				system. Our time and
				resources being limited, we
				will not be able to cover all
				bases. We still need to ensure
				an adequate amount of testing.
				We aim for 80% coverage.
T.1.11	External	Medium	Sprint 2	Consequence is data breaches
	Hacking into the mobile	Probability		and abuse by a third party
	or web application,			
	allowing for abuse of the	High Impact		Mitigate by employing
	registration, financial, or			responsible coding practices
	reservation systems.			and keep security in mind
T 1 10	Calcadada	T	Comit to	when designing the system.
T.1.12	Schedule Toom may food	Low	Sprint 2	Consequence is a lack of
	Team may face	Probability		team cohesion and confusion.
	challenges in conducting regular scrum meetings	Medium Impact		Mitigation by holding virtual
	regular scrum meetings	Medium impact		meetings, utilizing when to
				meet, making meeting minutes
				meet, maxing meeting innutes

				so team members can stay updated and establishing clear meeting times to maximize attendance.
T.1.13	Technical Neglecting core features or requirements.	Low Probability	Sprint 1	Consequence is not meeting client/contractual expectations
	or requirements.	High Impact		Avoid by generating extensive user stories and thorough dissection of client needs.
T.1.14	Management Resource Availability: Potential shortfall in tools.	Low Probability Medium Impact	Sprint 2	Consequence is starvation for important tasks
	1 otentiai shortran in toois.	Wedium impact		Mitigation Resource planning, crosstraining and contingency reserves.
T.1.15	Management Lack of stakeholder engagement in the project.	Low probability High Impact	Sprint 2	Consequence is a disconnect between stakeholder wants and developer assumptions
				Mitigation Regular update meetings, stakeholder management strategies.
T.1.16	Technical Non-adherence to UI/UX standards: Failure to meet user interface design	Low probability High impact	Sprint 2	Consequence is deprecating the user experience Mitigation
	norms.			Following UI/UX best practices, user testing.
T.1.17	Technical/Management Misaligned code management practices: Inconsistent use of version control and	Low probability Medium Impact	Sprint 2	Consequence is lower overall code quality and poor understanding by new programmers on the project
	coding workflows.			Mitigation Mandatory code review policies.
T.1.18	Technical Requirements and user stories backlog mismanagement:	Medium probability High impact	Sprint 2	Consequence is possibility of missing requirements, as well as a bad priority system of requirements
	Ineffective tracking and prioritization of project tasks.	-0 1 -		Mitigation

				Use backlog grooming sessions to keep the backlog updated and prioritized
T.1.19	Technical	Low probability	Sprint 2	Consequence is a worsened
	Design pattern misuse:			user experience
	Incorrect applications of	High Impact		
	the design patterns.			Mitigation
				Conduct design review
				sessions.

Table [2]: List of identified risks