

Wilderness Exploration and Pathway Formation

Konrad Aust
Dept of Computer Science
University of Calgary
ktaust@ucalgary.ca

Mark Barley
Dept of Computer Science
University of Calgary
mpbarley@ucalgary.ca

Abstract—In this project, we will explore the emergent behaviors of destructive agents moving through randomly generated wilderness terrain. Specifically, we will investigate how pathway networks develop in the landscape, and the extent to which a network of paths eases the difficulties of traversing terrain.

I. INTRODUCTION

Wilderness terrain is rarely uniformly wild. Most often, it is found carved up with many pre-formed paths and animal trails. How did these paths form? Clearly, they were not engineered, but were the product of some sort of emergence. In this project, we attempt to explore this process by simulating the movement of entities across procedurally generated terrain.

Our simulation models a section of wilderness terrain, through which many different agents move. These agents can represent hikers, all-terrain vehicles, animals, or anything else. Each of these agents has nothing more than a general direction they are traveling, and knowledge of their immediate surroundings. Their goal is to reach their destination through the easiest path they can find. Agents do not have any organization amongst themselves, nor do they communicate directly with one another. Ultimately, the purpose is to see if coherent and complex networks of pathways can emerge from the actions of agents that are not cooperating with one another.

Another topic of investigation is how easy traversing the terrain becomes as the path network reaches maturity. A wild wilderness should presumably be a difficult area to move through. Just how much of an effect does an established network of paths have on minimizing this difficulty?

Also, just what is the effect on the terrain itself when these agents come tearing through, trampling everything in their path? Is the destruction they cause enough to destroy ecosystems, or can a wild wilderness be made traversable without compromising its various ecological balances?

We have created a complex system crafted out of relatively simple rules, so there is a lot of potential for completely unforeseen properties to emerge. We hope to find unexpected behaviors in the simulation. As with many experiments, the secondary goal of this simulation is to be happily surprised by it.

II. SIMULATION OVERVIEW

The simulation was written in NetLogo 5.1. It consists of two major parts: the terrain, and the agents. The terrain is a procedurally generated, grid-based map, and agents are mobile objects that traverse this map.

A. Terrain Generation

Terrain is generated through a mix of Perlin noise [2] and Voronoi tessellation [1]. The Voronoi tessellation divides the map into a number of regions, each corresponding to a different biome. This biome dictates the difficulty of moving through the tile, as well as the resistance of the tile to being trampled. The perlin noise governs the initial integrity of the tile, which determines how intact the terrain is, and thus how difficult it is to traverse. For example, a snow tile with high integrity can be thought of as deep snow, which is very difficult to navigate, but rapidly becomes very easy to navigate as agents pass through it.




Fig. 1. Map Generation Layers. Voronoi Tessellation (top left) and Perlin Noise (top right) are combined to create our final terrain patch. (Bottom)

Each type of biome has a difficulty multiplier, and the actual difficulty of a tile is given by

$$\text{difficulty} = \text{integrity} * \text{diff_mult}$$

Each type of biome also has a deterioration value. When an agent steps on the patch, its integrity is set according to:

$$\text{integrity} = \text{old_integrity} * \text{det_value}$$

A darker colored patch in the simulation has a greater integrity value than a lighter colored patch, so when a path is formed, generally it shows up as a line of white patches surrounded by regular terrain.

See table 1 for an overview of the different terrain types we used in our simulation.

Type	Color	Description
Forest	Green	Normal movement. Normal Durability
Rock	Brown	Easy to move through. Very durable.
Snow	Gray	Difficult to move through. Not durable.
Underbrush	Blue	Easy to move through. Not durable.
Jungle	Purple	Difficult to move through. Durable.
Swamp	Pink	Difficult to move through. Moderately durable.

TABLE I
BIOME TYPES

B. Agent Behavior

Agents are spawned in at fixed intervals. They appear on one edge of the simulation, and are given a random destination point on another edge of it. They attempt to traverse the terrain to get to their destination, at which point they leave the simulation, and their total weighted distance traveled is plotted.

Agents use A* pathfinding with a limited sight radius to decide which path to take through the terrain. Agents can only determine the difficulty of terrain tiles that are within their sight radius, and assume that all tiles beyond their sight radius have equal difficulty. Each tick, an agent takes a step along its pre-computed path, and at fixed intervals, an agent will re-compute its path.

As was mentioned above, as agents move across the terrain, they trample that terrain, reducing its integrity and thus its difficulty to pass through for future agents. The destruction of terrain creates paths that other agents are more likely to follow, not because of any inter-agent communication, but because the paths are now easier to traverse. We can analyze the structure of these paths, along with the weighted distance that each agent travels as the path network is developed.

C. Extension: Terrain Regrowth

As an extension to the simulation, we implemented a system where, rather than being trampled permanently, terrain can slowly regenerate itself when left alone. Terrain regrows at a user specified rate. This rate scales with the missing integrity of the terrain tile, so regrowth is faster at low integrity, and slower at high integrity. Also, terrain will not regrow for a certain number of ticks after it has been trampled.

We believed that over the long term, this may create a more accurate representation of how paths generate in the wilderness. If agents cease to use a certain path, it can be reclaimed by nature, and so the network of paths can not only grow, it can also shrink.

III. RESULTS

Results goes here.

IV. DISCUSSION

Discuss stuff here.

V. CONCLUSION

The conclusion goes here.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank Hugo Elias, for creating a friendly and easy to use guide to the implementation of Perlin Noise [3], Meghendra Singh, for implementing A* pathfinding in netlogo [4], and Uri Wilensky for creating Netlogo, and writing an excellent implementation of Voronoi Tessellation for it [5] [6].

REFERENCES

- [1] Weisstein, Eric W. "Voronoi Diagram." From MathWorld—A Wolfram Web Resource. <http://mathworld.wolfram.com/VoronoiDiagram.html>
- [2] Perlin noise. (2016, March 22). In Wikipedia, The Free Encyclopedia. Retrieved April 28, 2016, from https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Perlin_noise
- [3] Hugo Elias, "Perlin Noise." (1998, September 14). Retrieved April 28, 2016, from <http://freespace.virgin.net/hugo.elias/updates.htm>
- [4] Meghendra Singh (2014). Astdemo1. Retrieved April 27, 2016, from <http://ccl.northwestern.edu/netlogo/models/community/Astdemo1>.
- [5] Wilensky, U. (2006). NetLogo Voronoi model. <http://ccl.northwestern.edu/netlogo/models/Voronoi>. Center for Connected Learning and Computer-Based Modeling, Northwestern University, Evanston, IL.
- [6] Wilensky, U. (1999). NetLogo. <http://ccl.northwestern.edu/netlogo/>. Center for Connected Learning and Computer-Based Modeling, Northwestern University, Evanston, IL.