# **Masking Personally Identifiable Information (PII) – Report**

#### 1. Introduction

The objective of this task is to develop a pipeline for detecting and masking Personally Identifiable Information (PII), specifically names and email addresses. We compare two approaches: a fine-tuned Transformer model (BERT) and a Large Language Model (LLM) using Gemini (gemini-1.5-pro), evaluating their efficiency and effectiveness.

### 2. Data Preparation

- **Dataset Analysis:** The WikiNeural dataset lacked email addresses, so synthetic emails were generated.
- Synthetic Email Data Generation:
  - Realistic emails (names extracted from given sequences) were created using patterns like firstname.lastname(numbers)@domain, with domains including "gmail.com", "yahoo.com", "outlook.com", and "fastnu.edu.pk".
  - Append generated email to sequences (at the end of sentence), tokens (break the email into words), ner\_tags(map respective B-EMAIL, I-EMAL) features
  - Ensured label consistency for effective model training
- Independent Test Set:
  - An external test dataset (one with synthetic email called synthetic\_test\_data and one without synthetic emails) was used to evaluate model performance.

## 3. Model Training & Fine-Tuning

Selected Model: Bert model

BERT was chosen over RoBERTa and DeBERTa due to:

- **Efficiency**: Requires fewer resources while maintaining high accuracy.
- Dataset Compatibility: Well-suited for the WikiNeural dataset, which focuses on named entities.
- **Proven Performance**: Strong benchmarks in NER tasks with extensive research and support
- Fine-Tuning Steps:
  - O Tokenized the dataset using BertTokenizer.
  - O Applied Named Entity Recognition (NER) labeling for names and emails.
  - O Trained the model on tokenized text for accurate redaction.
  - The BERT model was fine-tuned using the following hyperparameters: a learning rate of 2e-5, batch size of 16, and 3 epochs.

#### 4. Models Evaluation

● The model was evaluated on an independent test set that was not used during training. This ensured an unbiased assessment of the model's performance in real-world scenarios.

### **Masking Personally Identifiable Information (PII)**

Metric	Transformer Model	LLM
Accuracy 0.9	99	0.25
Precision 0.9	96	0.83
Recall 0.9	97	0.13
F1-score 0.9	96	0.21
FPR 0.0	04	0.14
FNR 0.0	03137	0.87

### 5. Zero-Shot PII Masking Using LLM

### Prompting & ParsingStrategy:

 Structured prompts were crafted for PII redaction. The LLM's response was split into words, and the count of redacted words was matched to the count of ner\_tags (excluding "O").

### Challenges Observed:

- Over-redaction of non-PII elements.
- O Missed detections, especially for uncommon names.
- O Evaluate the LLM response is quite challenging and made me to think over multiple strategies along with their impact over the evaluation metrics
- O Difficulty in evaluating LLM responses over entire dataset due to API rate limits, limiting testing to a small dataset subset.

### Comparison with Fine-Tuned Model:

- <u>Fine-Tuned Model</u>: Demonstrated high accuracy and consistency in detecting and masking PII, making it suitable for scenarios where precision is critical. However, it occasionally flagged non-PII words as PII and redacted single PII words multiple times.
- <u>LLM Approach:</u> Showed flexibility and generalization capabilities but suffered from over-redaction and missed detections, particularly for uncommon names and complex sentences.

## 6. Error Analysis & Improvement Suggestions

#### Common Errors:

- **Fine-Tuned Model:** Occasionally flagged non-PII words as PII and redacted single PII word multiple times
- **LLM Approach:** Over-redacted text, sometimes missing actual PII

#### Potential Enhancements:

○ **Fine-Tuned Model:** Increase dataset diversity (e.g., more email formats, complex sentences) and use LLM to place synthetic emails within sentences.

## **Masking Personally Identifiable Information (PII)**

- **Redaction:** Combine rule-based redaction, post-processing, and confidence thresholds for consistent and accurate masking.
- O **Hybrid Approach:** Combine fine-tuned NER with LLM filtering for improved accuracy.
- **Security Considerations & Risk Mitigation:** 
  - <u>Confidence Thresholds:</u> Only redacted PII above a set confidence level to reduce false positives.
  - Post-Processing Rules: Ensured no partial emails or names remained unmasked.
  - <u>Hybrid Approach:</u> Combined BERT with rule-based filtering for better precision.
  - <u>Handling Missed PII:</u> Reviewed and used missed cases for retraining to improve detection.