Coursework 2 – Foundations of Natural Language Processing

Deadline: 4pm, Monday 28th March 2022

1 Introduction

This coursework consists of three parts in which you demonstrate your understanding of fundamental concepts and methods of Natural Language Processing. The coursework contains open questions and programming tasks with Python using NLTK. This coursework is worth 12.5% of your final mark for the course. It is marked out of 100.

The files with the template code you need are on the LEARN page for the assignment (click on "Assessment" on the LHS menu, then "Coursework 2 - Hidden Markov Models"). You will download a file called assignment2.tar.gz which can be unpacked using the following command to a shell prompt:

tar -xf assignment2.tar.gz

This will create a directory assignment2 which contains additional Python modules used in this coursework, together with a file named template.py, which you must use as a starting point when attempting the questions for this assignment.

There is an interim checker that runs some automatic tests that you can use to get partial feedback on your solution: https://homepages.inf.ed.ac.uk/cgi/ht/fnlp/interim2_22.py

Submission

Before submitting your assignment:

- Ensure that your code works on DICE. Your modified template.py should fully execute using python3 with or without the answer flag.
- Test your code thoroughly. If your code crashes when run, you may be awarded a mark of 0 and you'll get no feedback other than "the code did not run".
- Ensure that you include comments in your code where appropriate. This makes it easier for the markers to understand what you have done and makes it more likely that partial marks can be awarded.
- Any character limits to open questions will be strictly enforced. Answers will be passed through
 an automatic filter that only keeps the first N characters, where N is the character limit given
 in a question.

When you are ready to submit, rename your modified template.py with your matriculation number: e.g., s1234567.py.

Submit this file via LEARN by uploading it using the interface on the LEARN website for this piece of coursework. If you have trouble please refer to this blogpost: https://blogs.ed.ac.uk/ilts/2019/09/27/assignment-hand-ins-for-learn-guidance-for-students/

You can submit more than once up until the submission deadline. All submissions are time stamped automatically. Identically named files will overwrite earlier submitted versions, so we will mark the latest submission that comes in before the deadline.

If you submit anything before the deadline, you may not resubmit afterward. (This policy allows us to begin marking submissions immediately after the deadline, without having to worry that some may need to be re-marked).

If you do not submit anything before the deadline, you may submit exactly once after the deadline, and a late penalty will be applied to this submission, unless you have received an approved extension. Please be aware that late submissions may receive lower priority for marking, and marks may not be returned within the same time frame as for on-time submissions.

For information about late penalties and extension requests, see the School web page: http://web.inf.ed.ac.uk/infweb/student-services/ito/admin/coursework-projects/late-coursework-extension-requests. Do not email any course staff directly about extension requests; you must follow the instructions on the web page.

Good Scholarly Practice: Please remember the School's requirements regarding all assessed work for credit. Details about this can be found at: http://web.inf.ed.ac.uk/infweb/admin/policies/academic-misconduct

Furthermore, you are required to take reasonable measures to protect your assessed work from unauthorised access. For example, if you put any such work on a public repository (e.g., GitHub), then you must set access permissions appropriately (for this coursework, that means only you should be able to access it).

Section A: Training a Hidden Markov Model (14 Marks)

In this part of the assignment you have to train a Hidden Markov Model (HMM) for part-of-speech (POS) tagging. Look at the solutions from Lab 3, Exercise 3 and Exercise 4 as a reminder for what you have to compute.

You will need to create and train two models—an Emission Model and a Transition Model as described in lectures.

Use labelled sentences from the 'news' part of the Brown corpus. These are annotated with parts of speech, which you will convert into the Universal POS tagset (NLTK uses the smaller version of this set defined by Petrov et al.¹). Having a smaller number of labels (states) will make Viterbi decoding faster.

We will use the last 500 sentences from the corpus as the test set and the rest for training. This split corresponds roughly to a 90/10% division.

Question 1 (7 Marks)

Estimate the **Emission model**: Fill in the emission model method of the HMM class. Use a Conditional ProbDist with a Lidstone ProbDist estimator.

Review the help text for the ConditionalProbDist class. Note that the probdist_factory argument to its __init__ method can be a function that takes a frequency distribution and returns a smoothed probability distribution based on it (i.e. an estimator).

Review the help text for the LidstoneProbDist class and look particularly at the arguments to the __init__ method. You should implement a function to pass to ConditionalProbDist which creates and returns a LidstoneProbDist based on the input frequency distribution, using +0.001

https://github.com/slavpetrov/universal-pos-tags

for smoothing and adding an extra bin (which will be used for all "unknown" tokens, i.e. tokens not seen in the training set).

Convert all the observations (words) to lowercase.

Store the result in the variable self.emission_PD. Save the states (POS tags) that were seen in training in the variable self.states. Both these variables will be used by the Viterbi algorithm in Section B.

Define an access function elprob for the emission model by filling in the function template provided.

Question 2 (7 Marks)

Estimate the **Transition model**. Fill in the **transition_model** method of the HMM class. Use a ConditionalProbDist with a LidstoneProbDist estimator using the same approach as in Question 1 but without the extra 'bin' (because we assume all POS tags are seen in training).

When using the training data for this step, add a start token (<s>,<s>) and an end token (</s>,</s>) to each sentence, so that the resulting matrix has useful transition probabilities for transitions from <s> to the real POS tags and from the real POS tags to </s>. For example, for this sentence from the training data:

```
 \begin{split} & [\text{(`Ask', `VERB')}, \text{ (`jail', `NOUN')}, \text{ (`deputies', `NOUN')}] \\ & \text{you would use this as part of creating the transition model:} \\ & [(<s>,<s>),(`Ask', `VERB'), (`jail', `NOUN'), (`deputies', `NOUN'), (</s>,</s>)] \end{split}
```

Store the model in the variable self.transition_PD. This variable will be used by the Viterbi algorithm in Section B.

Define an access function tlprob for the transition model by filling in the function template provided.

Section B: Implementing The Viterbi Algorithm (60 Marks)

In this part of the assignment you have to implement the Viterbi algorithm. The pseudo-code of the algorithm can be found in the Jurafsky & Martin 3rd edition book in Appendix A² Figure A.9 in section A.4: use it as a guide for your implementation.

However you will need to add to J&M's algorithm code to make use of the transition probabilities to </s> which are also now in the a matrix.

In the pseudo-code the *b* probabilities correspond to the **emission model** implemented in part A, question 1 and the *a* probabilities correspond to the **transition model** implemented in part A, question 2. You should use **costs** (negative log probabilities). Therefore instead of multiplication of probabilities (as in the pseudo-code) you will do addition of costs, and instead of max and argmax you will use min and argmin.

Question 3 (20 Marks)

Implement the initialization step of the algorithm by filling in the initialise method. The argument observation is the first word of the sentence to be tagged (o_1 in the pseudo-code). Describe the data structures with comments. (5 Marks)

Note that per the instructions for Question 2 above, you will *not* need a separate π tabulation for the initialisation step, because we've included start state probabilities in the a matrix as transitions from $\langle s \rangle$ and you can use that.

The algorithm uses two data structures that have to be initialized for each sentence that is being tagged: the viterbi data structure (10 Marks) and the backpointer data structure (5 Marks). Use costs when initializing the viterbi data structure.

Fill in the access functions get_viterbi_value and get_backpointer_value so that your Viterbi implementation can be queried without the caller needing to know how you implemented your data structures.

Question 4a (30 Marks)

Implement the **recursion step** (20 Marks) in the tag method. Reconstruct the tag sequence corresponding to the best path using the backpointer structure (5 Marks).

The argument observations is a list of words representing the sentence to be tagged. Remember to use **costs**. The nested loops of the recursion step have been provided in the template. Fill in the code inside the loops for the recursion step. Describe your implementation with comments.

Note that as J&M don't include end-of-sentence handling, you will need separate code for the **termination step** after the loops (5 Marks), but as for the beginning-of-sentence case, you have the numbers you need in the transition model.

Finally, fill in tag_sentence, which takes a sentence as input, initialises the HMM, runs the Viterbi algorithm and returns the tags. Don't forget to lower case the tokens and don't clear the memory of the viterbi and backpointer data structures because the automarking procedure will call get_viterbi_value and get_backpointer_value.

Question 4b (5 Marks)

Modify compute_acc so it prints the first 10 tagged test sentences which did not match up with their 'correct' versions (taken from the tagged test data as supplied). Inspect these. Why do you think these have been tagged differently by the model? Pick one sentence and give a short answer discussing this. Be sure to consider the possibility that in some cases it's the corpus tag which is 'incorrect'.

Write down your answer in the answer_question4b function, returning the sentence as tagged by your model, the official 'correct' version and your commentary.

²http://web.stanford.edu/~jurafsky/slp3/A.pdf

Copy-paste the "correctly" tagged sentence and the output of your model them from your output into answer_question4b.

There is a 280 character limit on the answer to this question.

Question 5a (5 Marks)

Sometimes we don't have a lot of labeled training data but we do have access to unlabeled data. In such a scenario, we can use semi-supervised methods. Here we simulate such a scenario with only 20 sentences labeled with POS tags and the remaining sentences from the training set as unlabeled data. You are going to apply the "hard EM" algorithm (see Lecture 8). In contrast to the real EM algorithm you will not use soft counts but hard counts; this makes it much easier to implement. Use the following pseudocode as guidance to implement hard_em:

Require: Labeled data L, unlabeled data U, number of iterations k.

```
1: procedure Semi-supervised Hard EM(L, U, k)

2: T_0 \leftarrow train HMM on labeled data L

3: for i \in \langle 0, \dots, k-1 \rangle do

4: Let P_{i+1} be U labelled according to T_i

5: T_{i+1} \leftarrow HMM trained on L and P_{i+1}
```

Section C: Short answer questions (26 Marks)

Question 5b (6 Marks)

Below is a sentence from the corpus with the gold POS tags it was annotated with, the most likely tags according to the model which was trained on the 20 sentences (T_0) and the most likely tags according to a the model trained with hard EM for 3 iterations (T_k) :

```
Sentence
            In
                                         delighted
                   fact
                           he
                                 seemed
                                                                 rid
                                                                       of
                                                                             them
Gold POS
           ADP
                  NOUN
                         PRON
                                                  PRT
                                 VERB
                                          VERB
                                                        VERB
                                                                ADJ
                                                                      ADP
                                                                            PRON
T_0
          PRON
                  VERB
                         NUM
                                  ADP
                                          ADJ
                                                  PRT
                                                        VERB
                                                               NUM
                                                                      ADP
                                                                            PRON
T_k
          PRON
                  VERB
                         PRON
                                 VERB
                                           ADJ
                                                  PRT
                                                        VERB
                                                               NUM
                                                                            NOUN
                                                                      ADP
```

- 1. T_0 erroneously tagged "he" as "NUM" and T_k correctly identifies it as "PRON". Speculate why additional unlabeled data might have helped in that case. Refer to the training data (inspect the 20 sentences!).
- 2. Where does T_k mislabel a word but T_0 is correct? Why do you think did hard EM hurt in that case?

Write down your answer in the answer_question5b function. There is a 500 character limit for this question.

Question 6 (10 Marks)

Suppose you have a hand-crafted probabilistic context-free grammar and lexicon that has 100% coverage on constructions but less than 100% lexical coverage. How could you use a pre-trained POS tagger to ensure that the grammar produces a parse for any well-formed sentence, even when the grammar doesn't recognise the words within that sentence?

Will your approach always do as well or better than the original parser on its own? Why or why not?

Write down your answer in the answer_question6 function. There is a 500 character limit on the answer to this question.

Question 7 (10 Marks)

Why else, besides the speedup already mentioned above, do you think we converted the original Brown Corpus tagset to the Universal tagset? What do you predict would happen if we hadn't done that? Why?

Write down your answer in the $answer_question7$ function. There is a 500 character limit on the answer to this question.