

Formalisation of Ground Resolution and CDCL in Isabelle/HOL

Mathias Fleury and Jasmin Blanchette

March 23, 2016

Contents

1	Partial Clausal Logic	3
1.1	Marked Literals	3
1.1.1	Definition	3
1.1.2	Entailment	4
1.1.3	Defined and undefined literals	6
1.2	Backtracking	7
1.3	Decomposition with respect to the marked literals	8
1.4	Negation of Clauses	11
1.5	Other	13
2	NOT's CDCL	14
2.1	Auxiliary Lemmas and Measure	14
2.2	Initial definitions	16
2.2.1	The state	16
2.2.2	Definition of the operation	18
2.3	DPLL with backjumping	19
2.3.1	Definition	20
2.3.2	Basic properties	20
2.3.3	Termination	21
2.3.4	Normal Forms	23
2.4	CDCL	26
2.4.1	Learn and Forget	26
2.4.2	Definition of CDCL	27
2.5	CDCL with invariant	28
2.6	Termination	31
2.6.1	Restricting learn and forget	31
2.7	CDCL with restarts	36
2.7.1	Definition	36
2.7.2	Increasing restarts	36
2.8	Merging backjump and learning	40
2.8.1	Instantiations	45
3	DPLL as an instance of NOT	51
3.1	DPLL with simple backtrack	51
3.2	Adding restarts	54

4	DPLL	54
4.1	Rules	54
4.2	Invariants	54
4.3	Termination	57
4.4	Final States	58
4.5	Link with NOT's DPLL	58
4.5.1	Level of literals and clauses	59
4.5.2	Properties about the levels	62
5	Weidenbach's CDCL	63
5.1	The State	63
5.2	Special Instantiation: using Triples as State	69
5.3	CDCL Rules	69
5.4	Invariants	74
5.4.1	Properties of the trail	74
5.4.2	Better-Suited Induction Principle	76
5.4.3	Compatibility with $op \sim$	79
5.4.4	Conservation of some Properties	80
5.4.5	Learned Clause	81
5.4.6	No alien atom in the state	81
5.4.7	No duplicates all around	82
5.4.8	Conflicts and co	83
5.4.9	Putting all the invariants together	85
5.4.10	No tautology is learned	86
5.5	CDCL Strong Completeness	87
5.6	Higher level strategy	88
5.6.1	Definition	88
5.6.2	Invariants	89
5.6.3	Literal of highest level in conflicting clauses	92
5.6.4	Literal of highest level in marked literals	93
5.6.5	Strong completeness	95
5.6.6	No conflict with only variables of level less than backtrack level	96
5.6.7	Final States are Conclusive	99
5.7	Termination	101
5.8	No Relearning of a clause	102
5.9	Decrease of a measure	105
6	Simple Implementation of the DPLL and CDCL	107
6.1	Common Rules	107
6.1.1	Propagation	107
6.1.2	Unit propagation for all clauses	108
6.1.3	Decide	108
6.2	Simple Implementation of DPLL	109
6.2.1	Combining the propagate and decide: a DPLL step	109
6.2.2	Adding invariants	110
6.2.3	Code export	112
6.3	CDCL Implementation	115
6.3.1	Definition of the rules	115
6.3.2	The Transitions	116

6.3.3	Code generation	121
7	Link between Weidenbach's and NOT's CDCL	127
7.1	Inclusion of the states	127
7.2	Additional Lemmas between NOT and W states	130
7.3	More lemmas conflict-propagate and backjumping	130
7.3.1	Termination	130
7.3.2	More backjumping	131
7.4	CDCL FW	133
7.5	FW with strategy	135
7.5.1	The intermediate step	135
7.6	Adding Restarts	142
8	Incremental SAT solving	146
9	2-Watched-Literal	151
9.1	Datastructure and Access Functions	151
9.2	Invariants	152
9.3	Abstract 2-WL	154
9.4	Instanciation of the previous locale	156
9.5	Interpretation for $cdcl_W.cdcl_W$	160
9.5.1	Direct Interpretation	160
9.5.2	Opaque Type with Invariant	160
10	Implementation for 2 Watched-Literals	166

theory *Partial-Annotated-Clausal-Logic*

imports *Partial-Clausal-Logic*

begin

1 Partial Clausal Logic

We here define marked literals (that will be used in both DPLL and CDCL) and the entailment corresponding to it.

1.1 Marked Literals

1.1.1 Definition

datatype (*'v*, *'lvl*, *'mark*) *ann-literal* =
is-marked: *Marked* (*lit-of*: *'v literal*) (*level-of*: *'lvl*) |
is-proped: *Propagated* (*lit-of*: *'v literal*) (*mark-of*: *'mark*)

lemma *ann-literal-list-induct*[*case-names nil marked proped*]:

assumes $P \ []$ **and**

$\bigwedge L \ l \ xs. P \ xs \implies P \ (\text{Marked } L \ l \ \# \ xs)$ **and**

$\bigwedge L \ m \ xs. P \ xs \implies P \ (\text{Propagated } L \ m \ \# \ xs)$

shows $P \ xs$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *is-marked-ex-Marked*:

is-marked $L \implies \exists K \text{ lvl. } L = \text{Marked } K \text{ lvl}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

type-synonym $('v, 'l, 'm) \text{ ann-literals} = ('v, 'l, 'm) \text{ ann-literal list}$

definition *lits-of* $:: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ ann-literal list} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ literal set}$ **where**
lits-of $Ls = \text{lit-of } ' (\text{set } Ls)$

lemma *lits-of-empty* $[simp]$:
lits-of $\square = \{ \}$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lits-of-cons* $[simp]$:
lits-of $(L \# Ls) = \text{insert } (\text{lit-of } L) (\text{lits-of } Ls)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lits-of-append* $[simp]$:
lits-of $(l @ l') = \text{lits-of } l \cup \text{lits-of } l'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *finite-lits-of-def* $[simp]$: *finite* $(\text{lits-of } L)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lits-of-rev* $[simp]$: *lits-of* $(\text{rev } M) = \text{lits-of } M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *set-map-lit-of-lits-of* $[simp]$:
 $\text{set } (\text{map lit-of } T) = \text{lits-of } T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

abbreviation *unmark* **where**
unmark $M \equiv (\lambda a. \{ \# \text{lit-of } a \# \}) ' \text{set } M$

lemma *atms-of-ms-lambda-lit-of-is-atm-of-lit-of* $[simp]$:
atms-of-ms $(\text{unmark } M') = \text{atm-of } ' \text{lits-of } M'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lits-of-empty-is-empty* $[iff]$:
lits-of $M = \{ \} \longleftrightarrow M = \square$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

1.1.2 Entailment

definition *true-annot* $:: ('a, 'l, 'm) \text{ ann-literals} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ clause} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (**infix** \models_a 49) **where**
 $I \models_a C \longleftrightarrow (\text{lits-of } I) \models C$

definition *true-annots* $:: ('a, 'l, 'm) \text{ ann-literals} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ clauses} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (**infix** \models_{as} 49) **where**
 $I \models_{as} CC \longleftrightarrow (\forall C \in CC. I \models_a C)$

lemma *true-annot-empty-model* $[simp]$:
 $\neg \square \models_a \psi$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *true-annot-empty* $[simp]$:
 $\neg I \models_a \{ \# \}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *empty-true-annots-def*[*iff*]:
 $\square \models_{as} \psi \longleftrightarrow \psi = \{\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *true-annots-empty*[*simp*]:
 $I \models_{as} \{\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *true-annots-single-true-annot*[*iff*]:
 $I \models_{as} \{C\} \longleftrightarrow I \models_a C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *true-annot-insert-l*[*simp*]:
 $M \models_a A \implies L \# M \models_a A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *true-annots-insert-l* [*simp*]:
 $M \models_{as} A \implies L \# M \models_{as} A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *true-annots-union*[*iff*]:
 $M \models_{as} A \cup B \longleftrightarrow (M \models_{as} A \wedge M \models_{as} B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *true-annots-insert*[*iff*]:
 $M \models_{as} \text{insert } a \ A \longleftrightarrow (M \models_a a \wedge M \models_{as} A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Link between \models_{as} and \models_s :

lemma *true-annots-true-cls*:
 $I \models_{as} CC \longleftrightarrow (\text{lits-of } I) \models_s CC$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *in-lit-of-true-annot*:
 $a \in \text{lits-of } M \longleftrightarrow M \models_a \{\#a\# \}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *true-annot-lit-of-notin-skip*:
 $L \# M \models_a A \implies \text{lit-of } L \notin \# A \implies M \models_a A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *true-clss-singleton-lit-of-implies-incl*:
 $I \models_s \text{unmark } MLs \implies \text{lits-of } MLs \subseteq I$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *true-annot-true-clss-cls*:
 $MLs \models_a \psi \implies \text{set } (\text{map } (\lambda a. \{\# \text{lit-of } a \# \}) \ MLs) \models_p \psi$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *true-annots-true-clss-cls*:
 $MLs \models_{as} \psi \implies \text{set } (\text{map } (\lambda a. \{\# \text{lit-of } a \# \}) \ MLs) \models_{ps} \psi$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *true-annots-marked-true-cls*[*iff*]:

$map (\lambda M. \text{Marked } M \ a) \ M \models_{as} N \longleftrightarrow set \ M \models_s N$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *true-annot-singleton[iff]*: $M \models_a \{\#L\# \} \longleftrightarrow L \in \text{ lits-of } M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *true-annots-true-clss-clss*:
 $A \models_{as} \Psi \implies \text{unmark } A \models_{ps} \Psi$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *true-annot-commute*:
 $M \ @ \ M' \models_a D \longleftrightarrow M' \ @ \ M \models_a D$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *true-annots-commute*:
 $M \ @ \ M' \models_{as} D \longleftrightarrow M' \ @ \ M \models_{as} D$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *true-annot-mono[dest]*:
 $set \ I \subseteq set \ I' \implies I \models_a N \implies I' \models_a N$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *true-annots-mono*:
 $set \ I \subseteq set \ I' \implies I \models_{as} N \implies I' \models_{as} N$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

1.1.3 Defined and undefined literals

definition *defined-lit* :: $('a, 'l, 'm) \text{ ann-literal list} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ literal} \Rightarrow bool$
where
 $\text{defined-lit } I \ L \longleftrightarrow (\exists l. \text{Marked } L \ l \in set \ I) \vee (\exists P. \text{Propagated } L \ P \in set \ I)$
 $\vee (\exists l. \text{Marked } (-L) \ l \in set \ I) \vee (\exists P. \text{Propagated } (-L) \ P \in set \ I)$

abbreviation *undefined-lit* :: $('a, 'l, 'm) \text{ ann-literal list} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ literal} \Rightarrow bool$
where $\text{undefined-lit } I \ L \equiv \neg \text{defined-lit } I \ L$

lemma *defined-lit-rev[simp]*:
 $\text{defined-lit } (\text{rev } M) \ L \longleftrightarrow \text{defined-lit } M \ L$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *atm-imp-marked-or-proped*:
assumes $x \in set \ I$
shows
 $(\exists l. \text{Marked } (- \text{ lit-of } x) \ l \in set \ I)$
 $\vee (\exists l. \text{Marked } (\text{ lit-of } x) \ l \in set \ I)$
 $\vee (\exists l. \text{Propagated } (- \text{ lit-of } x) \ l \in set \ I)$
 $\vee (\exists l. \text{Propagated } (\text{ lit-of } x) \ l \in set \ I)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *literal-is-lit-of-marked*:
assumes $L = \text{ lit-of } x$
shows $(\exists l. x = \text{Marked } L \ l) \vee (\exists l'. x = \text{Propagated } L \ l')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *true-annot-iff-marked-or-true-lit*:
 $\text{defined-lit } I \ L \longleftrightarrow ((\text{ lits-of } I) \models_l L \vee (\text{ lits-of } I) \models_l -L)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *consistent-interp* (*lits-of* I) $\implies I \models_{as} N \implies \text{satisfiable } N$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *defined-lit-map*:
 $\text{defined-lit } Ls \ L \longleftrightarrow \text{atm-of } L \in (\lambda l. \text{atm-of } (\text{lit-of } l)) \text{ ' set } Ls$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *defined-lit-uminus*[*iff*]:
 $\text{defined-lit } I \ (-L) \longleftrightarrow \text{defined-lit } I \ L$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Marked-Propagated-in-iff-in-lits-of*:
 $\text{defined-lit } I \ L \longleftrightarrow (L \in \text{lits-of } I \vee -L \in \text{lits-of } I)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *consistent-add-undefined-lit-consistent*[*simp*]:
assumes
 consistent-interp (*lits-of* Ls) **and**
 undefined-lit $Ls \ L$
shows *consistent-interp* (*insert* L (*lits-of* Ls))
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *decided-empty*[*simp*]:
 $\neg \text{defined-lit } [] \ L$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

1.2 Backtracking

fun *backtrack-split* :: ('v, 'l, 'm) *ann-literals*
 $\Rightarrow ('v, 'l, 'm) \text{ ann-literals} \times ('v, 'l, 'm) \text{ ann-literals}$ **where**
 backtrack-split $[] = ([], [])$ |
 backtrack-split (*Propagated* $L \ P \ \# \ mlits$) = *apfst* ((*op* $\#$) (*Propagated* $L \ P$)) (*backtrack-split* $mlits$) |
 backtrack-split (*Marked* $L \ l \ \# \ mlits$) = ($[], \text{Marked } L \ l \ \# \ mlits$)

lemma *backtrack-split-fst-not-marked*: $a \in \text{set } (\text{fst } (\text{backtrack-split } l)) \implies \neg \text{is-marked } a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *backtrack-split-snd-hd-marked*:
 $\text{snd } (\text{backtrack-split } l) \neq [] \implies \text{is-marked } (\text{hd } (\text{snd } (\text{backtrack-split } l)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *backtrack-split-list-eq*[*simp*]:
 $\text{fst } (\text{backtrack-split } l) @ (\text{snd } (\text{backtrack-split } l)) = l$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *backtrack-snd-empty-not-marked*:
 $\text{backtrack-split } M = (M'', []) \implies \forall l \in \text{set } M. \neg \text{is-marked } l$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *backtrack-split-some-is-marked-then-snd-has-hd*:
 $\exists l \in \text{set } M. \text{is-marked } l \implies \exists M' \ L' \ M''. \text{backtrack-split } M = (M'', L' \ \# \ M')$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Another characterisation of the result of *backtrack-split*. This view allows some simpler proofs,

since *takeWhile* and *dropWhile* are highly automated:

lemma *backtrack-split-takeWhile-dropWhile*:

backtrack-split $M = (\text{takeWhile } (\text{Not } o \text{ is-marked}) \ M, \text{dropWhile } (\text{Not } o \text{ is-marked}) \ M)$
 ⟨proof⟩

1.3 Decomposition with respect to the marked literals

The pattern *get-all-marked-decomposition* $\square = [(\square, \square)]$ is necessary otherwise, we can call the *hd* function in the other pattern.

fun *get-all-marked-decomposition* :: ('a, 'l, 'm) ann-literals
 ⇒ (('a, 'l, 'm) ann-literals × ('a, 'l, 'm) ann-literals) list **where**
get-all-marked-decomposition (Marked $L \ l \ \# \ Ls$) =
 (Marked $L \ l \ \# \ Ls, \square$) # *get-all-marked-decomposition* $Ls \mid$
get-all-marked-decomposition (Propagated $L \ P \ \# \ Ls$) =
 (apsnd ((op #) (Propagated $L \ P$)) (hd (get-all-marked-decomposition Ls)))
 # tl (get-all-marked-decomposition Ls) |
get-all-marked-decomposition $\square = [(\square, \square)]$

value *get-all-marked-decomposition* [Propagated $A5 \ B5$, Marked $C4 \ D4$, Propagated $A3 \ B3$,
 Propagated $A2 \ B2$, Marked $C1 \ D1$, Propagated $A0 \ B0$]

lemma *get-all-marked-decomposition-never-empty*[iff]:

get-all-marked-decomposition $M = \square \longleftrightarrow \text{False}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *get-all-marked-decomposition-never-empty-sym*[iff]:

$\square = \text{get-all-marked-decomposition } M \longleftrightarrow \text{False}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *get-all-marked-decomposition-decomp*:

hd (get-all-marked-decomposition S) = (a, c) $\implies S = c \ @ \ a$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *get-all-marked-decomposition-backtrack-split*:

backtrack-split $S = (M, M') \longleftrightarrow \text{hd } (\text{get-all-marked-decomposition } S) = (M', M)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *get-all-marked-decomposition-nil-backtrack-split-snd-nil*:

get-all-marked-decomposition $S = [(\square, A)] \implies \text{snd } (\text{backtrack-split } S) = \square$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *get-all-marked-decomposition-length-1-fst-empty-or-length-1*:

assumes *get-all-marked-decomposition* $M = (a, b) \ \# \ \square$
shows $a = \square \vee (\text{length } a = 1 \wedge \text{is-marked } (\text{hd } a) \wedge \text{hd } a \in \text{set } M)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *get-all-marked-decomposition-fst-empty-or-hd-in-M*:

assumes *get-all-marked-decomposition* $M = (a, b) \ \# \ l$
shows $a = \square \vee (\text{is-marked } (\text{hd } a) \wedge \text{hd } a \in \text{set } M)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *get-all-marked-decomposition-snd-not-marked*:

assumes $(a, b) \in \text{set } (\text{get-all-marked-decomposition } M)$

and $L \in \text{set } b$
shows $\neg \text{is-marked } L$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tl-get-all-marked-decomposition-skip-some*:
assumes $x \in \text{set } (\text{tl } (\text{get-all-marked-decomposition } M1))$
shows $x \in \text{set } (\text{tl } (\text{get-all-marked-decomposition } (M0 @ M1)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *hd-get-all-marked-decomposition-skip-some*:
assumes $(x, y) = \text{hd } (\text{get-all-marked-decomposition } M1)$
shows $(x, y) \in \text{set } (\text{get-all-marked-decomposition } (M0 @ \text{Marked } K \ i \ \# \ M1))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *get-all-marked-decomposition-snd-union*:
 $\text{set } M = \bigcup (\text{set 'snd ' set } (\text{get-all-marked-decomposition } M)) \cup \{L \mid L. \text{is-marked } L \wedge L \in \text{set } M\}$
(is $?M \ M = ?U \ M \cup ?Ls \ M)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *in-get-all-marked-decomposition-in-get-all-marked-decomposition-prepend*:
 $(a, b) \in \text{set } (\text{get-all-marked-decomposition } M') \implies$
 $\exists b'. (a, b' @ b) \in \text{set } (\text{get-all-marked-decomposition } (M @ M'))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *get-all-marked-decomposition-remove-unmarked-length*:
assumes $\forall l \in \text{set } M'. \neg \text{is-marked } l$
shows $\text{length } (\text{get-all-marked-decomposition } (M' @ M''))$
 $= \text{length } (\text{get-all-marked-decomposition } M'')$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *get-all-marked-decomposition-not-is-marked-length*:
assumes $\forall l \in \text{set } M'. \neg \text{is-marked } l$
shows $1 + \text{length } (\text{get-all-marked-decomposition } (\text{Propagated } (-L) \ P \ \# \ M))$
 $= \text{length } (\text{get-all-marked-decomposition } (M' @ \text{Marked } L \ l \ \# \ M))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *get-all-marked-decomposition-last-choice*:
assumes $\text{tl } (\text{get-all-marked-decomposition } (M' @ \text{Marked } L \ l \ \# \ M)) \neq []$
and $\forall l \in \text{set } M'. \neg \text{is-marked } l$
and $\text{hd } (\text{tl } (\text{get-all-marked-decomposition } (M' @ \text{Marked } L \ l \ \# \ M))) = (M0', M0)$
shows $\text{hd } (\text{get-all-marked-decomposition } (\text{Propagated } (-L) \ P \ \# \ M)) = (M0', \text{Propagated } (-L) \ P \ \# \ M0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *get-all-marked-decomposition-except-last-choice-equal*:
assumes $\forall l \in \text{set } M'. \neg \text{is-marked } l$
shows $\text{tl } (\text{get-all-marked-decomposition } (\text{Propagated } (-L) \ P \ \# \ M))$
 $= \text{tl } (\text{tl } (\text{get-all-marked-decomposition } (M' @ \text{Marked } L \ l \ \# \ M)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *get-all-marked-decomposition-hd-hd*:
assumes $\text{get-all-marked-decomposition } Ls = (M, C) \ \# \ (M0, M0') \ \# \ l$
shows $\text{tl } M = M0' @ M0 \wedge \text{is-marked } (\text{hd } M)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *get-all-marked-decomposition-exists-prepend*[*dest*]:
assumes $(a, b) \in \text{set } (\text{get-all-marked-decomposition } M)$
shows $\exists c. M = c @ b @ a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *get-all-marked-decomposition-incl*:
assumes $(a, b) \in \text{set } (\text{get-all-marked-decomposition } M)$
shows $\text{set } b \subseteq \text{set } M$ **and** $\text{set } a \subseteq \text{set } M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *get-all-marked-decomposition-exists-prepend'*:
assumes $(a, b) \in \text{set } (\text{get-all-marked-decomposition } M)$
obtains c **where** $M = c @ b @ a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *union-in-get-all-marked-decomposition-is-subset*:
assumes $(a, b) \in \text{set } (\text{get-all-marked-decomposition } M)$
shows $\text{set } a \cup \text{set } b \subseteq \text{set } M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *all-decomposition-implies* :: '*a literal multiset set*
 $\Rightarrow ((\text{'a}, \text{'l}, \text{'m}) \text{ ann-literal list} \times (\text{'a}, \text{'l}, \text{'m}) \text{ ann-literal list}) \text{ list} \Rightarrow \text{bool})$ **where**
all-decomposition-implies $N \ S$
 $\longleftrightarrow (\forall (Ls, \text{seen}) \in \text{set } S. \text{unmark } Ls \cup N \models_{ps} \text{unmark } \text{seen})$

lemma *all-decomposition-implies-empty*[*iff*]:
all-decomposition-implies $N \ []$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *all-decomposition-implies-single*[*iff*]:
all-decomposition-implies $N \ [(Ls, \text{seen})]$
 $\longleftrightarrow \text{unmark } Ls \cup N \models_{ps} \text{unmark } \text{seen}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *all-decomposition-implies-append*[*iff*]:
all-decomposition-implies $N \ (S @ S')$
 $\longleftrightarrow (\text{all-decomposition-implies } N \ S \wedge \text{all-decomposition-implies } N \ S')$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *all-decomposition-implies-cons-pair*[*iff*]:
all-decomposition-implies $N \ ((Ls, \text{seen}) \# S')$
 $\longleftrightarrow (\text{all-decomposition-implies } N \ [(Ls, \text{seen})] \wedge \text{all-decomposition-implies } N \ S')$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *all-decomposition-implies-cons-single*[*iff*]:
all-decomposition-implies $N \ (l \# S') \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\text{unmark } (\text{fst } l) \cup N \models_{ps} \text{unmark } (\text{snd } l) \wedge$
 $\text{all-decomposition-implies } N \ S')$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *all-decomposition-implies-trail-is-implied*:
assumes *all-decomposition-implies* $N \ (\text{get-all-marked-decomposition } M)$
shows $N \cup \{\{\# \text{lit-of } L \# \} \mid L. \text{is-marked } L \wedge L \in \text{set } M\}$
 $\models_{ps} (\lambda a. \{\# \text{lit-of } a \# \}) \text{ ' } \bigcup (\text{set ' snd ' set } (\text{get-all-marked-decomposition } M))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *all-decomposition-implies-propagated-lits-are-implied*:
assumes *all-decomposition-implies* N (*get-all-marked-decomposition* M)
shows $N \cup \{\{\#lit\text{-of } L\# \mid L. \text{ is-marked } L \wedge L \in \text{set } M\}\} \models_{ps} \text{unmark } M$
 (**is** $?I \models_{ps} ?A$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *all-decomposition-implies-insert-single*:
all-decomposition-implies $N \ M \implies \text{all-decomposition-implies } (\text{insert } C \ N) \ M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

1.4 Negation of Clauses

definition $CNot :: 'v \text{ clause} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clauses}$ **where**
 $CNot \ \psi = \{ \{ \# - L \# \} \mid L. \ L \in \# \ \psi \}$

lemma *in-CNot-uminus[iff]*:
shows $\{\#L\# \} \in CNot \ \psi \longleftrightarrow -L \in \# \ \psi$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *CNot-singleton[simp]*: $CNot \ \{\#L\# \} = \{\{\# - L \# \}\}$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *CNot-empty[simp]*: $CNot \ \{\# \} = \{\}$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *CNot-plus[simp]*: $CNot \ (A + B) = CNot \ A \cup CNot \ B$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *CNot-eq-empty[iff]*:
 $CNot \ D = \{\} \longleftrightarrow D = \{\#\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *in-CNot-implies-uminus*:
assumes $L \in \# \ D$
and $M \models_{as} CNot \ D$
shows $M \models_a \{\# - L \# \}$ **and** $-L \in \text{lits-of } M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *CNot-remdups-mset[simp]*:
 $CNot \ (\text{remdups-mset } A) = CNot \ A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Ball-CNot-Ball-mset[simp]* :
 $(\forall x \in CNot \ D. \ P \ x) \longleftrightarrow (\forall L \in \# \ D. \ P \ \{\# - L \# \})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *consistent-CNot-not*:
assumes *consistent-interp* I
shows $I \models_s CNot \ \varphi \implies \neg I \models \varphi$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *total-not-true-cls-true-clss-CNot*:
assumes *total-over-m* $I \ \{\varphi\}$ **and** $\neg I \models \varphi$
shows $I \models_s CNot \ \varphi$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *total-not-CNot*:
assumes *total-over-m* $I \ \{\varphi\}$ **and** $\neg I \models_s CNot \ \varphi$
shows $I \models \varphi$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *atms-of-ms-CNot-atms-of[simp]*:
 $atms-of-ms (CNot C) = atms-of C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *true-clss-clss-contradiction-true-clss-clss-false*:
 $C \in D \implies D \models_{ps} CNot C \implies D \models_p \{\#\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *true-annots-CNot-all-atms-defined*:
assumes $M \models_{as} CNot T$ **and** $a1: L \in \# T$
shows $atm-of L \in atm-of \text{' lits-of } M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *true-clss-clss-false-left-right*:
assumes $\{\{\#L\#\}\} \cup B \models_p \{\#\}$
shows $B \models_{ps} CNot \{\#L\#\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *true-annots-true-clss-def-iff-negation-in-model*:
 $M \models_{as} CNot C \longleftrightarrow (\forall L \in \# C. -L \in lits-of M)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *consistent-CNot-not-tautology*:
 $consistent_interp M \implies M \models_s CNot D \implies \neg tautology D$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *atms-of-ms-CNot-atms-of-ms*: $atms-of-ms (CNot CC) = atms-of-ms \{CC\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *total-over-m-CNot-toal-over-m[simp]*:
 $total-over-m I (CNot C) = total-over-set I (atms-of C)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *uminus-lit-swap*: $-(a::\text{'a literal}) = i \longleftrightarrow a = -i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *true-clss-clss-plus-CNot*:
assumes $CC-L: A \models_p CC + \{\#L\#\}$
and $CNot-CC: A \models_{ps} CNot CC$
shows $A \models_p \{\#L\#\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *true-annots-CNot-lit-of-notin-skip*:
assumes $LM: L \# M \models_{as} CNot A$ **and** $LA: lit-of L \notin \# A \text{ } -lit-of L \notin \# A$
shows $M \models_{as} CNot A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *true-clss-clss-union-false-true-clss-clss-cnot*:
 $A \cup \{B\} \models_{ps} \{\{\#\}\} \longleftrightarrow A \models_{ps} CNot B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *true-annot-remove-hd-if-notin-vars*:
assumes $a \# M \models_a D$
and $atm-of (lit-of a) \notin atms-of D$

shows $M' \models_a D$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *true-annot-remove-if-notin-vars*:
assumes $M @ M' \models_a D$
and $\forall x \in \text{atms-of } D. x \notin \text{atm-of ' lits-of } M$
shows $M' \models_a D$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *true-annots-remove-if-notin-vars*:
assumes $M @ M' \models_{as} D$
and $\forall x \in \text{atms-of-ms } D. x \notin \text{atm-of ' lits-of } M$
shows $M' \models_{as} D$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *all-variables-defined-not-imply-cnot*:
assumes $\forall s \in \text{atms-of-ms } \{B\}. s \in \text{atm-of ' lits-of } A$
and $\neg A \models_a B$
shows $A \models_{as} \text{CNot } B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *CNot-union-mset[simp]*:
 $\text{CNot } (A \# \cup B) = \text{CNot } A \cup \text{CNot } B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

1.5 Other

abbreviation $\text{no-dup } L \equiv \text{distinct } (\text{map } (\lambda l. \text{atm-of } (\text{lit-of } l)) L)$

lemma *no-dup-rev[simp]*:
 $\text{no-dup } (\text{rev } M) \longleftrightarrow \text{no-dup } M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *no-dup-length-eq-card-atm-of-lits-of*:
assumes $\text{no-dup } M$
shows $\text{length } M = \text{card } (\text{atm-of ' lits-of } M)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *distinctconsistent-interp*:
 $\text{no-dup } M \implies \text{consistent-interp } (\text{lits-of } M)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *distinct-get-all-marked-decomposition-no-dup*:
assumes $(a, b) \in \text{set } (\text{get-all-marked-decomposition } M)$
and $\text{no-dup } M$
shows $\text{no-dup } (a @ b)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *true-annots-lit-of-notin-skip*:
assumes $L \# M \models_{as} \text{CNot } A$
and $\neg \text{lit-of } L \notin \# A$
and $\text{no-dup } (L \# M)$
shows $M \models_{as} \text{CNot } A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

type-synonym $\text{'v clauses} = \text{'v clause multiset}$

abbreviation *true-annots-mset* (**infix** \models_{asm} 50) **where**
 $I \models_{asm} C \equiv I \models_{as} (set-mset\ C)$

abbreviation *true-clss-clss-m:: 'a clauses \Rightarrow 'a clauses \Rightarrow bool* (**infix** \models_{psm} 50) **where**
 $I \models_{psm} C \equiv set-mset\ I \models_{ps} (set-mset\ C)$

Analog of $\llbracket ?N \models_{ps} ?B; ?A \subseteq ?B \rrbracket \Longrightarrow ?N \models_{ps} ?A$

lemma *true-clss-clssm-subsetE*: $N \models_{psm} B \Longrightarrow A \subseteq_{\#} B \Longrightarrow N \models_{psm} A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation *true-clss-clss-m:: 'a clauses \Rightarrow 'a clause \Rightarrow bool* (**infix** \models_{pm} 50) **where**
 $I \models_{pm} C \equiv set-mset\ I \models_p C$

abbreviation *distinct-mset-mset :: 'a multiset multiset \Rightarrow bool* **where**
 $distinct-mset-mset\ \Sigma \equiv distinct-mset-set\ (set-mset\ \Sigma)$

abbreviation *all-decomposition-implies-m* **where**
 $all-decomposition-implies-m\ A\ B \equiv all-decomposition-implies\ (set-mset\ A)\ B$

abbreviation *atms-of-msu* **where**
 $atms-of-msu\ U \equiv atms-of-ms\ (set-mset\ U)$

abbreviation *true-clss-m:: 'a interp \Rightarrow 'a clauses \Rightarrow bool* (**infix** \models_{sm} 50) **where**
 $I \models_{sm} C \equiv I \models_s set-mset\ C$

abbreviation *true-clss-ext-m* (**infix** \models_{sextm} 49) **where**
 $I \models_{sextm} C \equiv I \models_{sext} set-mset\ C$

end

theory *CDCL-NOT*

imports *Partial-Annotated-Clausal-Logic List-More Wellfounded-More Partial-Clausal-Logic*
begin

2 NOT's CDCL

declare *set-mset-minus-replicate-mset*[*simp*]

2.1 Auxiliary Lemmas and Measure

lemma *no-dup-cannot-not-lit-and-uminus*:
 $no-dup\ M \Longrightarrow -\ lit-of\ xa = lit-of\ x \Longrightarrow x \in set\ M \Longrightarrow xa \notin set\ M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *true-clss-single-iff-incl*:
 $I \models_s single\ 'B \longleftrightarrow B \subseteq I$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *atms-of-ms-single-atm-of*[*simp*]:
 $atms-of-ms\ \{\{\#lit-of\ L\# \mid L.\ P\ L\} = atm-of\ ' \{\ lit-of\ L \mid L.\ P\ L\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *atms-of-uminus-lit-atm-of-lit-of*:
 $atms-of\ \{\#- lit-of\ x.\ x \in \# A\# \} = atm-of\ ' (lit-of\ ' (set-mset\ A))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *atms-of-ms-single-image-atm-of-lit-of*:

$atms-of-ms ((\lambda x. \{\#lit-of\ x\# \}) \text{ ' } A) = atm-of \text{ ' } (lit-of \text{ ' } A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

This measure can also be seen as the increasing lexicographic order: it is an order on bounded sequences, when each element is bounded. The proof involves a measure like the one defined here (the same?).

definition $\mu_C :: nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat\ list \Rightarrow nat$ **where**
 $\mu_C\ s\ b\ M \equiv (\sum i=0..<length\ M. M!i * b^{\wedge}(s+i-length\ M))$

lemma $\mu_C-nil[simp]$:

$\mu_C\ s\ b\ [] = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\mu_C-single[simp]$:

$\mu_C\ s\ b\ [L] = L * b^{\wedge}(s - Suc\ 0)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $set-sum-atLeastLessThan-add$:

$(\sum i=k..<k+(b::nat). f\ i) = (\sum i=0..<b. f\ (k+i))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $set-sum-atLeastLessThan-Suc$:

$(\sum i=1..<Suc\ j. f\ i) = (\sum i=0..<j. f\ (Suc\ i))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma μ_C-cons :

$\mu_C\ s\ b\ (L \# M) = L * b^{\wedge}(s - 1 - length\ M) + \mu_C\ s\ b\ M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\mu_C-append$:

assumes $s \geq length\ (M @ M')$

shows $\mu_C\ s\ b\ (M @ M') = \mu_C\ (s - length\ M')\ b\ M + \mu_C\ s\ b\ M'$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\mu_C-cons-non-empty-inf$:

assumes $M-ge-1: \forall i \in set\ M. i \geq 1$ **and** $M: M \neq []$

shows $\mu_C\ s\ b\ M \geq b^{\wedge}(s - length\ M)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

Duplicate of " /src/HOL/ex/NatSum.thy" (but generalized to $(0::'a) \leq k$)

lemma $sum-of-powers: 0 \leq k \implies (k - 1) * (\sum i=0..<n. k^{\wedge}i) = k^{\wedge}n - (1::nat)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

In the degenerated cases, we only have the large inequality holds. In the other cases, the following strict inequality holds:

lemma $\mu_C-bounded-non-degenerated$:

fixes $b :: nat$

assumes

$b > 0$ **and**

$M \neq []$ **and**

$M-le: \forall i < length\ M. M!i < b$ **and**

$s \geq length\ M$

shows $\mu_C\ s\ b\ M < b^{\wedge}s$

$\langle proof \rangle$

In the degenerate case $b = (0::'a)$, the list M is empty (since the list cannot contain any element).

lemma μ_C -bounded:
fixes $b :: \text{nat}$
assumes
 $M\text{-le}: \forall i < \text{length } M. M!i < b$ **and**
 $s \geq \text{length } M$
 $b > 0$
shows $\mu_C \ s \ b \ M < b \wedge s$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

When $b = 0$, we cannot show that the measure is empty, since $0^0 = 1$.

lemma μ_C -base-0:
assumes $\text{length } M \leq s$
shows $\mu_C \ s \ 0 \ M \leq M!0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.2 Initial definitions

2.2.1 The state

We define here an abstraction over operation on the state we are manipulating.

locale $\text{dpll-state} =$
fixes
 $\text{trail} :: 'st \Rightarrow ('v, \text{unit}, \text{unit}) \text{ ann-literals}$ **and**
 $\text{clauses} :: 'st \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clauses}$ **and**
 $\text{prepend-trail} :: ('v, \text{unit}, \text{unit}) \text{ ann-literal} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st$ **and**
 $\text{tl-trail} :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st$ **and**
 $\text{add-cl}_{\text{NOT}} :: 'v \text{ clause} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st$ **and**
 $\text{remove-cl}_{\text{NOT}} :: 'v \text{ clause} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st$
assumes
 $\text{trail-prepend-trail}[\text{simp}]$:
 $\bigwedge st \ L. \text{undefined-lit } (\text{trail } st) \ (\text{lit-of } L) \Longrightarrow \text{trail } (\text{prepend-trail } L \ st) = L \# \text{trail } st$
and
 $\text{tl-trail}[\text{simp}]$: $\text{trail } (\text{tl-trail } S) = \text{tl } (\text{trail } S)$ **and**
 $\text{trail-add-cl}_{\text{NOT}}[\text{simp}]$: $\bigwedge st \ C. \text{no-dup } (\text{trail } st) \Longrightarrow \text{trail } (\text{add-cl}_{\text{NOT}} \ C \ st) = \text{trail } st$ **and**
 $\text{trail-remove-cl}_{\text{NOT}}[\text{simp}]$: $\bigwedge st \ C. \text{trail } (\text{remove-cl}_{\text{NOT}} \ C \ st) = \text{trail } st$ **and**

 $\text{clauses-prepend-trail}[\text{simp}]$:
 $\bigwedge st \ L. \text{undefined-lit } (\text{trail } st) \ (\text{lit-of } L) \Longrightarrow \text{clauses } (\text{prepend-trail } L \ st) = \text{clauses } st$
and
 $\text{clauses-tl-trail}[\text{simp}]$: $\bigwedge st. \text{clauses } (\text{tl-trail } st) = \text{clauses } st$ **and**
 $\text{clauses-add-cl}_{\text{NOT}}[\text{simp}]$:
 $\bigwedge st \ C. \text{no-dup } (\text{trail } st) \Longrightarrow \text{clauses } (\text{add-cl}_{\text{NOT}} \ C \ st) = \{\#C\# \} + \text{clauses } st$ **and**
 $\text{clauses-remove-cl}_{\text{NOT}}[\text{simp}]$: $\bigwedge st \ C. \text{clauses } (\text{remove-cl}_{\text{NOT}} \ C \ st) = \text{remove-mset } C \ (\text{clauses } st)$
begin

function $\text{reduce-trail-to}_{\text{NOT}} :: 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st$ **where**
 $\text{reduce-trail-to}_{\text{NOT}} \ F \ S =$
 $(\text{if } \text{length } (\text{trail } S) = \text{length } F \vee \text{trail } S = [] \text{ then } S \text{ else } \text{reduce-trail-to}_{\text{NOT}} \ F \ (\text{tl-trail } S))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$
termination $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$
declare $\text{reduce-trail-to}_{\text{NOT}}.\text{sims}[\text{simp del}]$

lemma

shows

reduce-trail-to_{NOT}-nil[simp]: $\text{trail } S = [] \implies \text{reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} F S = S$ **and**
reduce-trail-to_{NOT}-eq-length[simp]: $\text{length } (\text{trail } S) = \text{length } F \implies \text{reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} F S = S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *reduce-trail-to_{NOT}-length-ne[simp]*:

$\text{length } (\text{trail } S) \neq \text{length } F \implies \text{trail } S \neq [] \implies$
 $\text{reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} F S = \text{reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} F (\text{tl-trail } S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *trail-reduce-trail-to_{NOT}-length-le*:

assumes $\text{length } F > \text{length } (\text{trail } S)$
shows $\text{trail } (\text{reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} F S) = []$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *trail-reduce-trail-to_{NOT}-nil[simp]*:

$\text{trail } (\text{reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} [] S) = []$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *clauses-reduce-trail-to_{NOT}-nil*:

$\text{clauses } (\text{reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} [] S) = \text{clauses } S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *trail-reduce-trail-to_{NOT}-drop*:

$\text{trail } (\text{reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} F S) =$
 $(\text{if } \text{length } (\text{trail } S) \geq \text{length } F$
 $\text{then } \text{drop } (\text{length } (\text{trail } S) - \text{length } F) (\text{trail } S)$
 $\text{else } [])$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *reduce-trail-to_{NOT}-skip-beginning*:

assumes $\text{trail } S = F' @ F$
shows $\text{trail } (\text{reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} F S) = F$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *reduce-trail-to_{NOT}-clauses[simp]*:

$\text{clauses } (\text{reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} F S) = \text{clauses } S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

abbreviation *trail-weight where*

$\text{trail-weight } S \equiv \text{map } ((\lambda l. 1 + \text{length } l) \circ \text{snd}) (\text{get-all-marked-decomposition } (\text{trail } S))$

definition *state-eq_{NOT}* :: $'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (**infix** ~ 50) **where**

$S \sim T \iff \text{trail } S = \text{trail } T \wedge \text{clauses } S = \text{clauses } T$

lemma *state-eq_{NOT}-ref[simp]*:

$S \sim S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *state-eq_{NOT}-sym*:

$S \sim T \iff T \sim S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *state-eq_{NOT}-trans*:

$S \sim T \implies T \sim U \implies S \sim U$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma

shows

$\text{state-eq}_{NOT}\text{-trail}: S \sim T \implies \text{trail } S = \text{trail } T$ **and**
 $\text{state-eq}_{NOT}\text{-clauses}: S \sim T \implies \text{clauses } S = \text{clauses } T$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas $\text{state-simp}_{NOT}[\text{simp}] = \text{state-eq}_{NOT}\text{-trail } \text{state-eq}_{NOT}\text{-clauses}$

lemma $\text{trail-eq-reduce-trail-to}_{NOT}\text{-eq}:$

$\text{trail } S = \text{trail } T \implies \text{trail } (\text{reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} F S) = \text{trail } (\text{reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} F T)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{reduce-trail-to}_{NOT}\text{-state-eq}_{NOT}\text{-compatible}:$

assumes $ST: S \sim T$

shows $\text{reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} F S \sim \text{reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} F T$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{trail-reduce-trail-to}_{NOT}\text{-add-cl}_{NOT}[\text{simp}]:$

$\text{no-dup } (\text{trail } S) \implies$

$\text{trail } (\text{reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} F (\text{add-cl}_{NOT} C S)) = \text{trail } (\text{reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} F S)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{reduce-trail-to}_{NOT}\text{-trail-tl-trail-decomp}[\text{simp}]:$

$\text{trail } S = F' @ \text{Marked } K () \# F \implies$

$\text{trail } (\text{reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} F (\text{tl-trail } S)) = F$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

2.2.2 Definition of the operation

locale $\text{propagate-ops} =$

$\text{dpll-state trail clauses prepend-trail tl-trail add-cl}_{NOT} \text{ remove-cl}_{NOT}$ **for**

$\text{trail} :: 'st \Rightarrow ('v, \text{unit}, \text{unit}) \text{ ann-literals}$ **and**

$\text{clauses} :: 'st \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clauses}$ **and**

$\text{prepend-trail} :: ('v, \text{unit}, \text{unit}) \text{ ann-literal} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st$ **and**

$\text{tl-trail} :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st$ **and**

$\text{add-cl}_{NOT} \text{ remove-cl}_{NOT} :: 'v \text{ clause} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st$ **and**

$\text{propagate-cond} :: ('v, \text{unit}, \text{unit}) \text{ ann-literal} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

begin

inductive $\text{propagate}_{NOT} :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

$\text{propagate}_{NOT}[\text{intro}]: C + \{\#L\# \} \in \# \text{ clauses } S \implies \text{trail } S \models_{as} C \text{Not } C$

$\implies \text{undefined-lit } (\text{trail } S) L$

$\implies \text{propagate-cond } (\text{Propagated } L ()) S$

$\implies T \sim \text{prepend-trail } (\text{Propagated } L ()) S$

$\implies \text{propagate}_{NOT} S T$

inductive-cases $\text{propagate}_{NOT}E[\text{elim}]: \text{propagate}_{NOT} S T$

end

locale $\text{decide-ops} =$

$\text{dpll-state trail clauses prepend-trail tl-trail add-cl}_{NOT} \text{ remove-cl}_{NOT}$ **for**

$\text{trail} :: 'st \Rightarrow ('v, \text{unit}, \text{unit}) \text{ ann-literals}$ **and**

```

  clauses :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  'v clauses and
  prepend-trail :: ('v, unit, unit) ann-literal  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st and
  tl-trail :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st and
  add-clNOT remove-clNOT:: 'v clause  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st

begin
inductive decideNOT :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  decideNOT[intro]: undefined-lit (trail S) L  $\Rightarrow$  atm-of L  $\in$  atms-of-msu (clauses S)
     $\Rightarrow$  T  $\sim$  prepend-trail (Marked L ()) S
     $\Rightarrow$  decideNOT S T

inductive-cases decideNOTE[elim]: decideNOT S S'
end

locale backjumping-ops =
  dpll-state trail clauses prepend-trail tl-trail add-clNOT remove-clNOT
for
  trail :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  ('v, unit, unit) ann-literals and
  clauses :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  'v clauses and
  prepend-trail :: ('v, unit, unit) ann-literal  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st and
  tl-trail :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st and
  add-clNOT remove-clNOT:: 'v clause  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st +
fixes
  backjump-conds :: 'v clause  $\Rightarrow$  'v clause  $\Rightarrow$  'v literal  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  bool
begin
inductive backjump where
  trail S = F' @ Marked K ()# F
     $\Rightarrow$  T  $\sim$  prepend-trail (Propagated L ()) (reduce-trail-toNOT F S)
     $\Rightarrow$  C  $\in$ # clauses S
     $\Rightarrow$  trail S  $\models_{as}$  CNot C
     $\Rightarrow$  undefined-lit F L
     $\Rightarrow$  atm-of L  $\in$  atms-of-msu (clauses S)  $\cup$  atm-of ' (lits-of (trail S))
     $\Rightarrow$  clauses S  $\models_{pm}$  C' + {#L#}
     $\Rightarrow$  F  $\models_{as}$  CNot C'
     $\Rightarrow$  backjump-conds C C' L S T
     $\Rightarrow$  backjump S T
inductive-cases backjumpE: backjump S T
end

```

2.3 DPLL with backjumping

```

locale dpll-with-backjumping-ops =
  dpll-state trail clauses prepend-trail tl-trail add-clNOT remove-clNOT +
  propagate-ops trail clauses prepend-trail tl-trail add-clNOT remove-clNOT propagate-conds +
  decide-ops trail clauses prepend-trail tl-trail add-clNOT remove-clNOT +
  backjumping-ops trail clauses prepend-trail tl-trail add-clNOT remove-clNOT backjump-conds
for
  trail :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  ('v, unit, unit) ann-literals and
  clauses :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  'v clauses and
  prepend-trail :: ('v, unit, unit) ann-literal  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st and
  tl-trail :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st and
  add-clNOT remove-clNOT:: 'v clause  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st and
  propagate-conds :: ('v, unit, unit) ann-literal  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  bool and
  inv :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  bool and
  backjump-conds :: 'v clause  $\Rightarrow$  'v clause  $\Rightarrow$  'v literal  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  bool +
assumes
  bj-can-jump:

```

$\bigwedge S C F' K F L.$
 $inv S \implies$
 $no\text{-}dup (trail S) \implies$
 $trail S = F' @ Marked K () \# F \implies$
 $C \in \# clauses S \implies$
 $trail S \models_{as} CNot C \implies$
 $undefined\text{-}lit F L \implies$
 $atm\text{-}of L \in atms\text{-}of\text{-}msu (clauses S) \cup atm\text{-}of ' (lits\text{-}of (F' @ Marked K () \# F)) \implies$
 $clauses S \models_{pm} C' + \{\#L\# \} \implies$
 $F \models_{as} CNot C' \implies$
 $\neg no\text{-}step backjump S$

begin

We cannot add a like condition $atms\text{-}of C' \subseteq atms\text{-}of\text{-}ms N$ because to ensure that we can backjump even if the last decision variable has disappeared.

The part of the condition $atm\text{-}of L \in atm\text{-}of ' lits\text{-}of (F' @ Marked K () \# F)$ is important, otherwise you are not sure that you can backtrack.

2.3.1 Definition

We define $dp\text{ll}$ with backjumping:

inductive $dp\text{ll}\text{-}bj :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool$ **for** $S :: 'st$ **where**

$bj\text{-}decide_{NOT} : decide_{NOT} S S' \implies dp\text{ll}\text{-}bj S S' \mid$

$bj\text{-}propagate_{NOT} : propagate_{NOT} S S' \implies dp\text{ll}\text{-}bj S S' \mid$

$bj\text{-}backjump : backjump S S' \implies dp\text{ll}\text{-}bj S S'$

lemmas $dp\text{ll}\text{-}bj\text{-}induct = dp\text{ll}\text{-}bj.induct[split\text{-}format(complete)]$

thm $dp\text{ll}\text{-}bj\text{-}induct[OF dp\text{ll}\text{-}with\text{-}backjumping\text{-}ops\text{-}axioms]$

lemma $dp\text{ll}\text{-}bj\text{-}all\text{-}induct[consumes 2, case\text{-}names decide_{NOT} propagate_{NOT} backjump]:$

fixes $S T :: 'st$

assumes

$dp\text{ll}\text{-}bj S T$ **and**

$inv S$

$\bigwedge L T. undefined\text{-}lit (trail S) L \implies atm\text{-}of L \in atms\text{-}of\text{-}msu (clauses S)$

$\implies T \sim prepend\text{-}trail (Marked L ()) S$

$\implies P S T$ **and**

$\bigwedge C L T. C + \{\#L\#\} \in \# clauses S \implies trail S \models_{as} CNot C \implies undefined\text{-}lit (trail S) L$

$\implies T \sim prepend\text{-}trail (Propagated L ()) S$

$\implies P S T$ **and**

$\bigwedge C F' K F L C' T. C \in \# clauses S \implies F' @ Marked K () \# F \models_{as} CNot C$

$\implies trail S = F' @ Marked K () \# F$

$\implies undefined\text{-}lit F L$

$\implies atm\text{-}of L \in atms\text{-}of\text{-}msu (clauses S) \cup atm\text{-}of ' (lits\text{-}of (F' @ Marked K () \# F))$

$\implies clauses S \models_{pm} C' + \{\#L\#\}$

$\implies F \models_{as} CNot C'$

$\implies T \sim prepend\text{-}trail (Propagated L ()) (reduce\text{-}trail\text{-}to_{NOT} F S)$

$\implies P S T$

shows $P S T$

$\langle proof \rangle$

2.3.2 Basic properties

First, some better suited induction principle **lemma** $dp\text{ll}\text{-}bj\text{-}clauses:$

assumes $dp\text{ll}\text{-}bj S T$ **and** $inv S$

shows $clauses\ S = clauses\ T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

No duplicates in the trail lemma *dpll-bj-no-dup*:

assumes *dpll-bj* $S\ T$ **and** *inv* S
and *no-dup* (*trail* S)
shows *no-dup* (*trail* T)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Valuations lemma *dpll-bj-sat-iff*:

assumes *dpll-bj* $S\ T$ **and** *inv* S
shows $I \models_{sm} clauses\ S \longleftrightarrow I \models_{sm} clauses\ T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Clauses lemma *dpll-bj-atms-of-ms-clauses-inv*:

assumes
dpll-bj $S\ T$ **and**
inv S
shows *atms-of-msu* (*clauses* S) = *atms-of-msu* (*clauses* T)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dpll-bj-atms-in-trail*:

assumes
dpll-bj $S\ T$ **and**
inv S **and**
atm-of ' (*lits-of* (*trail* S)) \subseteq *atms-of-msu* (*clauses* S)
shows *atm-of* ' (*lits-of* (*trail* T)) \subseteq *atms-of-msu* (*clauses* S)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dpll-bj-atms-in-trail-in-set*:

assumes *dpll-bj* $S\ T$ **and**
inv S **and**
atms-of-msu (*clauses* S) $\subseteq A$ **and**
atm-of ' (*lits-of* (*trail* S)) $\subseteq A$
shows *atm-of* ' (*lits-of* (*trail* T)) $\subseteq A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dpll-bj-all-decomposition-implies-inv*:

assumes
dpll-bj $S\ T$ **and**
inv: *inv* S **and**
decomp: *all-decomposition-implies-m* (*clauses* S) (*get-all-marked-decomposition* (*trail* S))
shows *all-decomposition-implies-m* (*clauses* T) (*get-all-marked-decomposition* (*trail* T))
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.3.3 Termination

Using a proper measure lemma *length-get-all-marked-decomposition-append-Marked*:

$length\ (get-all-marked-decomposition\ (F' @ Marked\ K\ () \# F)) =$
 $length\ (get-all-marked-decomposition\ F')$
 $+ length\ (get-all-marked-decomposition\ (Marked\ K\ () \# F))$
 $- 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *take-length-get-all-marked-decomposition-marked-sandwich*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{take } (\text{length } (\text{get-all-marked-decomposition } F)) \\ & \quad (\text{map } (f \circ \text{snd}) (\text{rev } (\text{get-all-marked-decomposition } (F' @ \text{Marked } K () \# F)))) \\ & = \\ & \quad \text{map } (f \circ \text{snd}) (\text{rev } (\text{get-all-marked-decomposition } F)) \end{aligned}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *length-get-all-marked-decomposition-length*:
 $\text{length } (\text{get-all-marked-decomposition } M) \leq 1 + \text{length } M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *length-in-get-all-marked-decomposition-bounded*:
assumes $i : i \in \text{set } (\text{trail-weight } S)$
shows $i \leq \text{Suc } (\text{length } (\text{trail } S))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Well-foundedness The bounds are the following:

- $1 + \text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A)$: $\text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A)$ is an upper bound on the length of the list. As *get-all-marked-decomposition* appends an possibly empty couple at the end, adding one is needed.
- $2 + \text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A)$: $\text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A)$ is an upper bound on the number of elements, where adding one is necessary for the same reason as for the bound on the list, and one is needed to have a strict bound.

abbreviation *unassigned-lit* :: $'b \text{ literal multiset set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ **where**
 $\text{unassigned-lit } N \ M \equiv \text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } N) - \text{length } M$

lemma *dpll-bj-trail-mes-increasing-prop*:
fixes $M :: ('v, \text{unit}, \text{unit}) \text{ ann-literals}$ **and** $N :: 'v \text{ clauses}$
assumes
 $\text{dpll-bj } S \ T$ **and**
 $\text{inv } S$ **and**
 $NA : \text{atms-of-msu } (\text{clauses } S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A$ **and**
 $MA : \text{atm-of } ' \text{ lits-of } (\text{trail } S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A$ **and**
 $n\text{-d} : \text{no-dup } (\text{trail } S)$ **and**
 $\text{finite} : \text{finite } A$
shows $\mu_C (1 + \text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A)) (2 + \text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A)) (\text{trail-weight } T)$
 $> \mu_C (1 + \text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A)) (2 + \text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A)) (\text{trail-weight } S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *dpll-bj-trail-mes-decreasing-prop*:
assumes $\text{dpll} : \text{dpll-bj } S \ T$ **and** $\text{inv} : \text{inv } S$ **and**
 $N\text{-}A : \text{atms-of-msu } (\text{clauses } S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A$ **and**
 $M\text{-}A : \text{atm-of } ' \text{ lits-of } (\text{trail } S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A$ **and**
 $nd : \text{no-dup } (\text{trail } S)$ **and**
 $fin\text{-}A : \text{finite } A$
shows $(2 + \text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A)) \wedge (1 + \text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A))$
 $- \mu_C (1 + \text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A)) (2 + \text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A)) (\text{trail-weight } T)$
 $< (2 + \text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A)) \wedge (1 + \text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A))$
 $- \mu_C (1 + \text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A)) (2 + \text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A)) (\text{trail-weight } S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *wf-dpll-bj*:

```

assumes fin: finite A
shows wf  $\{(T, S). \text{dpll-bj } S \ T$ 
   $\wedge \text{atms-of-msu } (\text{clauses } S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A \wedge \text{atm-of ' lits-of } (\text{trail } S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A$ 
   $\wedge \text{no-dup } (\text{trail } S) \wedge \text{inv } S\}$ 
  (is wf ?A)
<proof>

```

2.3.4 Normal Forms

We prove that given a normal form of DPLL, with some invariants, the either N is satisfiable and the built valuation M is a model; or N is unsatisfiable.

Idea of the proof: We have to prove that *satisfiable* N , $\neg M \models_{as} N$ and there is no remaining step is incompatible.

1. The *decide* rules tells us that every variable in N has a value.
2. $\neg M \models_{as} N$ tells us that there is conflict.
3. There is at least one decision in the trail (otherwise, M is a model of N).
4. Now if we build the clause with all the decision literals of the trail, we can apply the *backjump* rule.

The assumption are saying that we have a finite upper bound A for the literals, that we cannot do any step *no-step dpll-bj* S

theorem *dpll-backjump-final-state*:

```

fixes A :: 'v literal multiset set and S T :: 'st
assumes
  atms-of-msu (clauses S)  $\subseteq$  atms-of-ms A and
  atm-of ' lits-of (trail S)  $\subseteq$  atms-of-ms A and
  no-dup (trail S) and
  finite A and
  inv: inv S and
  n-s: no-step dpll-bj S and
  decomp: all-decomposition-implies-m (clauses S) (get-all-marked-decomposition (trail S))
shows unsatisfiable (set-mset (clauses S))
   $\vee$  (trail S  $\models_{asm}$  clauses S  $\wedge$  satisfiable (set-mset (clauses S)))
<proof>

```

end

locale *dpll-with-backjumping* =

```

dpll-with-backjumping-ops trail clauses prepend-trail tl-trail add-clsNOT remove-clNOT
propagate-conds inv backjump-conds

```

for

```

trail :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  ('v, unit, unit) ann-literals and
clauses :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  'v clauses and
prepend-trail :: ('v, unit, unit) ann-literal  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st and tl-trail :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st and
add-clNOT remove-clNOT :: 'v clause  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st and
propagate-conds :: ('v, unit, unit) ann-literal  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  bool and
inv :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  bool and
backjump-conds :: 'v clause  $\Rightarrow$  'v clause  $\Rightarrow$  'v literal  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  bool

```

+

```

assumes dpll-bj-inv:  $\bigwedge S \ T. \text{dpll-bj } S \ T \Longrightarrow \text{inv } S \Longrightarrow \text{inv } T$ 

```

begin

lemma *rtranclp-dpll-bj-inv:*

assumes $dpll-bj^{**} S T$ **and** $inv S$
shows $inv T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtranclp-dpll-bj-no-dup:*

assumes $dpll-bj^{**} S T$ **and** $inv S$
and $no-dup (trail S)$
shows $no-dup (trail T)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtranclp-dpll-bj-atms-of-ms-clauses-inv:*

assumes
 $dpll-bj^{**} S T$ **and** $inv S$
shows $atms-of-msu (clauses S) = atms-of-msu (clauses T)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtranclp-dpll-bj-atms-in-trail:*

assumes
 $dpll-bj^{**} S T$ **and**
 $inv S$ **and**
 $atm-of ' (lits-of (trail S)) \subseteq atms-of-msu (clauses S)$
shows $atm-of ' (lits-of (trail T)) \subseteq atms-of-msu (clauses T)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtranclp-dpll-bj-sat-iff:*

assumes $dpll-bj^{**} S T$ **and** $inv S$
shows $I \models_{sm} clauses S \longleftrightarrow I \models_{sm} clauses T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtranclp-dpll-bj-atms-in-trail-in-set:*

assumes
 $dpll-bj^{**} S T$ **and**
 $inv S$
 $atms-of-msu (clauses S) \subseteq A$ **and**
 $atm-of ' (lits-of (trail S)) \subseteq A$
shows $atm-of ' (lits-of (trail T)) \subseteq A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtranclp-dpll-bj-all-decomposition-implies-inv:*

assumes
 $dpll-bj^{**} S T$ **and**
 $inv S$
 $all-decomposition-implies-m (clauses S) (get-all-marked-decomposition (trail S))$
shows $all-decomposition-implies-m (clauses T) (get-all-marked-decomposition (trail T))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtranclp-dpll-bj-inv-incl-dpll-bj-inv-trancl:*

$\{(T, S). dpll-bj^{++} S T$
 $\wedge atms-of-msu (clauses S) \subseteq atms-of-ms A \wedge atm-of ' lits-of (trail S) \subseteq atms-of-ms A$
 $\wedge no-dup (trail S) \wedge inv S\}$
 $\subseteq \{(T, S). dpll-bj S T \wedge atms-of-msu (clauses S) \subseteq atms-of-ms A$
 $\wedge atm-of ' lits-of (trail S) \subseteq atms-of-ms A \wedge no-dup (trail S) \wedge inv S\}^+$

(is ?A \subseteq ?B⁺)
 <proof>

lemma wf-tranclp-dpll-bj:

assumes fin: finite A

shows wf {(T, S). dpll-bj⁺⁺ S T

\wedge atms-of-msu (clauses S) \subseteq atms-of-ms A \wedge atm-of ' lits-of (trail S) \subseteq atms-of-ms A
 \wedge no-dup (trail S) \wedge inv S}

<proof>

lemma dpll-bj-sat-ext-iff:

dpll-bj S T \implies inv S \implies I \models sextm clauses S \longleftrightarrow I \models sextm clauses T

<proof>

lemma rtranclp-dpll-bj-sat-ext-iff:

dpll-bj^{**} S T \implies inv S \implies I \models sextm clauses S \longleftrightarrow I \models sextm clauses T

<proof>

theorem full-dpll-backjump-final-state:

fixes A :: 'v literal multiset set **and** S T :: 'st

assumes

full: full dpll-bj S T **and**

atms-S: atms-of-msu (clauses S) \subseteq atms-of-ms A **and**

atms-trail: atm-of ' lits-of (trail S) \subseteq atms-of-ms A **and**

n-d: no-dup (trail S) **and**

finite A **and**

inv: inv S **and**

decomp: all-decomposition-implies-m (clauses S) (get-all-marked-decomposition (trail S))

shows unsatisfiable (set-mset (clauses S))

\vee (trail T \models asm clauses S \wedge satisfiable (set-mset (clauses S)))

<proof>

corollary full-dpll-backjump-final-state-from-init-state:

fixes A :: 'v literal multiset set **and** S T :: 'st

assumes

full: full dpll-bj S T **and**

trail S = [] **and**

clauses S = N **and**

inv S

shows unsatisfiable (set-mset N) \vee (trail T \models asm N \wedge satisfiable (set-mset N))

<proof>

lemma tranclp-dpll-bj-trail-mes-decreasing-prop:

assumes dpll: dpll-bj⁺⁺ S T **and** inv: inv S **and**

N-A: atms-of-msu (clauses S) \subseteq atms-of-ms A **and**

M-A: atm-of ' lits-of (trail S) \subseteq atms-of-ms A **and**

n-d: no-dup (trail S) **and**

fin-A: finite A

shows (2+card (atms-of-ms A)) \wedge (1+card (atms-of-ms A))

$- \mu_C$ (1+card (atms-of-ms A)) (2+card (atms-of-ms A)) (trail-weight T)

$<$ (2+card (atms-of-ms A)) \wedge (1+card (atms-of-ms A))

$- \mu_C$ (1+card (atms-of-ms A)) (2+card (atms-of-ms A)) (trail-weight S)

<proof>

end

2.4 CDCL

2.4.1 Learn and Forget

```

locale learn-ops =
  dpll-state trail clauses prepend-trail tl-trail add-clsNOT remove-clsNOT
for
  trail :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  ('v, unit, unit) ann-literals and
  clauses :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  'v clauses and
  prepend-trail :: ('v, unit, unit) ann-literal  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st and tl-trail :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st and
  add-clsNOT remove-clsNOT :: 'v clause  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st +
fixes
  learn-cond :: 'v clause  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  bool

begin
inductive learn :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  clauses S  $\models_{pm}$  C  $\implies$  atms-of C  $\subseteq$  atms-of-msu (clauses S)  $\cup$  atm-of ' (lits-of (trail S))
   $\implies$  learn-cond C S
   $\implies$  T  $\sim$  add-clsNOT C S
   $\implies$  learn S T
inductive-cases learnNOTE: learn S T

lemma learn- $\mu_C$ -stable:
  assumes learn S T and no-dup (trail S)
  shows  $\mu_C$  A B (trail-weight S) =  $\mu_C$  A B (trail-weight T)
  <proof>
end

locale forget-ops =
  dpll-state trail clauses prepend-trail tl-trail add-clsNOT remove-clsNOT
for
  trail :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  ('v, unit, unit) ann-literals and
  clauses :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  'v clauses and
  prepend-trail :: ('v, unit, unit) ann-literal  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st and tl-trail :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st and
  add-clsNOT remove-clsNOT :: 'v clause  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st +
fixes
  forget-cond :: 'v clause  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  bool

begin
inductive forgetNOT :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  forgetNOT:clauses S - replicate-mset (count (clauses S) C) C  $\models_{pm}$  C
   $\implies$  forget-cond C S
   $\implies$  C  $\in \#$  clauses S
   $\implies$  T  $\sim$  remove-clsNOT C S
   $\implies$  forgetNOT S T
inductive-cases forgetNOTE: forgetNOT S T

lemma forget- $\mu_C$ -stable:
  assumes forgetNOT S T
  shows  $\mu_C$  A B (trail-weight S) =  $\mu_C$  A B (trail-weight T)
  <proof>
end

locale learn-and-forgetNOT =
  learn-ops trail clauses prepend-trail tl-trail add-clsNOT remove-clsNOT learn-cond +
  forget-ops trail clauses prepend-trail tl-trail add-clsNOT remove-clsNOT forget-cond
for

```

```

trail :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  ('v, unit, unit) ann-literals and
clauses :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  'v clauses and
prepend-trail :: ('v, unit, unit) ann-literal  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st and
tl-trail :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st and
add-clNOT remove-clNOT :: 'v clause  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st and
learn-cond forget-cond :: 'v clause  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  bool
begin
inductive learn-and-forgetNOT :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
lf-learn: learn S T  $\Rightarrow$  learn-and-forgetNOT S T |
lf-forget: forgetNOT S T  $\Rightarrow$  learn-and-forgetNOT S T
end

```

2.4.2 Definition of CDCL

```

locale conflict-driven-clause-learning-ops =
  dpll-with-backjumping-ops trail clauses prepend-trail tl-trail add-clNOT remove-clNOT
  propagate-conds inv backjump-conds +
  learn-and-forgetNOT trail clauses prepend-trail tl-trail add-clNOT remove-clNOT learn-cond
  forget-cond
for
  trail :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  ('v, unit, unit) ann-literals and
  clauses :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  'v clauses and
  prepend-trail :: ('v, unit, unit) ann-literal  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st and
  tl-trail :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st and
  add-clNOT remove-clNOT :: 'v clause  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st and
  propagate-conds :: ('v, unit, unit) ann-literal  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  bool and
  inv :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  bool and
  backjump-conds :: 'v clause  $\Rightarrow$  'v clause  $\Rightarrow$  'v literal  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  bool and
  learn-cond forget-cond :: 'v clause  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  bool
begin

```

```

inductive cdclNOT :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  bool for S :: 'st where
c-dpll-bj: dpll-bj S S'  $\Rightarrow$  cdclNOT S S' |
c-learn: learn S S'  $\Rightarrow$  cdclNOT S S' |
c-forgetNOT: forgetNOT S S'  $\Rightarrow$  cdclNOT S S'

```

lemma cdcl_{NOT}-all-induct[consumes 1, case-names dpll-bj learn forget_{NOT}]:

```

fixes S T :: 'st
assumes cdclNOT S T and
  dpll:  $\bigwedge T. \text{dpll-bj } S \ T \Rightarrow P \ S \ T$  and
  learning:
     $\bigwedge C \ T. \text{clauses } S \models_{pm} C \Rightarrow$ 
     $\text{atms-of } C \subseteq \text{atms-of-msu } (\text{clauses } S) \cup \text{atm-of } ' (\text{lits-of } (\text{trail } S)) \Rightarrow$ 
     $T \sim \text{add-cl}_{NOT} \ C \ S \Rightarrow$ 
     $P \ S \ T$  and
  forgetting:  $\bigwedge C \ T. \text{clauses } S - \text{replicate-mset } (\text{count } (\text{clauses } S) \ C) \ C \models_{pm} C \Rightarrow$ 
     $C \in \# \text{clauses } S \Rightarrow$ 
     $T \sim \text{remove-cl}_{NOT} \ C \ S \Rightarrow$ 
     $P \ S \ T$ 
shows P S T
<proof>

```

lemma cdcl_{NOT}-no-dup:

```

assumes
  cdclNOT S T and

```

inv S and
no-dup (trail S)
shows *no-dup (trail T)*
 <proof>

Consistency of the trail lemma *cdcl_{NOT}-consistent:*

assumes
cdcl_{NOT} S T and
inv S and
no-dup (trail S)
shows *consistent-interp (lits-of (trail T))*
 <proof>

The subtle problem here is that tautologies can be removed, meaning that some variable can disappear of the problem. It is also possible that some variable of the trail are not in the clauses anymore.

lemma *cdcl_{NOT}-atms-of-ms-clauses-decreasing:*

assumes *cdcl_{NOT} S T and inv S and no-dup (trail S)*
shows *atms-of-msu (clauses T) \subseteq atms-of-msu (clauses S) \cup atm-of ' (lits-of (trail S))*
 <proof>

lemma *cdcl_{NOT}-atms-in-trail:*

assumes *cdcl_{NOT} S T and inv S and no-dup (trail S)*
and *atm-of ' (lits-of (trail S)) \subseteq atms-of-msu (clauses S)*
shows *atm-of ' (lits-of (trail T)) \subseteq atms-of-msu (clauses S)*
 <proof>

lemma *cdcl_{NOT}-atms-in-trail-in-set:*

assumes
cdcl_{NOT} S T and inv S and no-dup (trail S) and
atms-of-msu (clauses S) \subseteq A and
atm-of ' (lits-of (trail S)) \subseteq A
shows *atm-of ' (lits-of (trail T)) \subseteq A*
 <proof>

lemma *cdcl_{NOT}-all-decomposition-implies:*

assumes *cdcl_{NOT} S T and inv S and n-d[simp]: no-dup (trail S) and*
all-decomposition-implies-m (clauses S) (get-all-marked-decomposition (trail S))
shows
all-decomposition-implies-m (clauses T) (get-all-marked-decomposition (trail T))
 <proof>

Extension of models lemma *cdcl_{NOT}-bj-sat-ext-iff:*

assumes *cdcl_{NOT} S T and inv S and n-d: no-dup (trail S)*
shows *$I \models_{\text{sextm}} \text{clauses } S \iff I \models_{\text{sextm}} \text{clauses } T$*
 <proof>

end — end of *conflict-driven-clause-learning-ops*

2.5 CDCL with invariant

locale *conflict-driven-clause-learning =*

conflict-driven-clause-learning-ops +
assumes *cdcl_{NOT}-inv: $\bigwedge S T. \text{cdcl}_{\text{NOT}} S T \implies \text{inv } S \implies \text{inv } T$*
begin

sublocale *dpll-with-backjumping*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_{NOT}-inv*:

$\text{cdcl}_{\text{NOT}}^{**} S T \implies \text{inv } S \implies \text{inv } T$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_{NOT}-no-dup*:

assumes $\text{cdcl}_{\text{NOT}}^{**} S T$ **and** $\text{inv } S$

and $\text{no-dup } (\text{trail } S)$

shows $\text{no-dup } (\text{trail } T)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_{NOT}-trail-clauses-bound*:

assumes

cdcl : $\text{cdcl}_{\text{NOT}}^{**} S T$ **and**

inv : $\text{inv } S$ **and**

$n\text{-d}$: $\text{no-dup } (\text{trail } S)$ **and**

$\text{atms-clauses-}S$: $\text{atms-of-msu } (\text{clauses } S) \subseteq A$ **and**

$\text{atms-trail-}S$: $\text{atm-of } '(\text{lits-of } (\text{trail } S)) \subseteq A$

shows $\text{atm-of } '(\text{lits-of } (\text{trail } T)) \subseteq A \wedge \text{atms-of-msu } (\text{clauses } T) \subseteq A$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_{NOT}-all-decomposition-implies*:

assumes $\text{cdcl}_{\text{NOT}}^{**} S T$ **and** $\text{inv } S$ **and** $\text{no-dup } (\text{trail } S)$ **and**

$\text{all-decomposition-implies-}m$ $(\text{clauses } S)$ $(\text{get-all-marked-decomposition } (\text{trail } S))$

shows

$\text{all-decomposition-implies-}m$ $(\text{clauses } T)$ $(\text{get-all-marked-decomposition } (\text{trail } T))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_{NOT}-bj-sat-ext-iff*:

assumes $\text{cdcl}_{\text{NOT}}^{**} S T$ **and** $\text{inv } S$ **and** $\text{no-dup } (\text{trail } S)$

shows $I \models_{\text{sextm}} \text{clauses } S \longleftrightarrow I \models_{\text{sextm}} \text{clauses } T$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *cdcl_{NOT}-NOT-all-inv* **where**

$\text{cdcl}_{\text{NOT}}\text{-NOT-all-inv } A S \longleftrightarrow (\text{finite } A \wedge \text{inv } S \wedge \text{atms-of-msu } (\text{clauses } S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A$
 $\wedge \text{atm-of } ' \text{lits-of } (\text{trail } S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A \wedge \text{no-dup } (\text{trail } S))$

lemma *cdcl_{NOT}-NOT-all-inv*:

assumes $\text{cdcl}_{\text{NOT}}^{**} S T$ **and** $\text{cdcl}_{\text{NOT}}\text{-NOT-all-inv } A S$

shows $\text{cdcl}_{\text{NOT}}\text{-NOT-all-inv } A T$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

abbreviation *learn-or-forget* **where**

$\text{learn-or-forget } S T \equiv (\lambda S T. \text{learn } S T \vee \text{forget}_{\text{NOT}} S T) S T$

lemma *rtrancpl-learn-or-forget-cdcl_{NOT}*:

$\text{learn-or-forget}^{**} S T \implies \text{cdcl}_{\text{NOT}}^{**} S T$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *learn-or-forget-dpll- μ_C* :

assumes

$l\text{-f}$: $\text{learn-or-forget}^{**} S T$ **and**

dpll: *dpll-bj* T U **and**
inv: $cdcl_{NOT-NOT-all-inv} A S$
shows $(2 + card (atms-of-ms A)) \wedge (1 + card (atms-of-ms A))$
 $- \mu_C (1 + card (atms-of-ms A)) (2 + card (atms-of-ms A)) (trail-weight U)$
 $< (2 + card (atms-of-ms A)) \wedge (1 + card (atms-of-ms A))$
 $- \mu_C (1 + card (atms-of-ms A)) (2 + card (atms-of-ms A)) (trail-weight S)$
(is $? \mu U < ? \mu S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *infinite-cdcl_{NOT}-exists-learn-and-forget-infinite-chain*:

assumes
 $\bigwedge i. cdcl_{NOT} (f i) (f (Suc i))$ **and**
inv: $cdcl_{NOT-NOT-all-inv} A (f 0)$
shows $\exists j. \forall i \geq j. learn-or-forget (f i) (f (Suc i))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wf-cdcl_{NOT}-no-learn-and-forget-infinite-chain*:

assumes
no-infinite-learn: $\bigwedge f j. \neg (\forall i \geq j. learn-or-forget (f i) (f (Suc i)))$
shows $wf \{(T, S). cdcl_{NOT} S T \wedge cdcl_{NOT-NOT-all-inv} A S\}$ **(is** $wf \{(T, S). cdcl_{NOT} S T$
 $\wedge ?inv S\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *inv-and-tranclp-cdcl_{NOT}-tranclp-cdcl_{NOT}-and-inv*:

$cdcl_{NOT}^{++} S T \wedge cdcl_{NOT-NOT-all-inv} A S \longleftrightarrow (\lambda S T. cdcl_{NOT} S T \wedge cdcl_{NOT-NOT-all-inv} A S)^{++} S T$
(is $?A \wedge ?I \longleftrightarrow ?B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wf-tranclp-cdcl_{NOT}-no-learn-and-forget-infinite-chain*:

assumes
no-infinite-learn: $\bigwedge f j. \neg (\forall i \geq j. learn-or-forget (f i) (f (Suc i)))$
shows $wf \{(T, S). cdcl_{NOT}^{++} S T \wedge cdcl_{NOT-NOT-all-inv} A S\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_{NOT}-final-state*:

assumes
n-s: *no-step* $cdcl_{NOT} S$ **and**
inv: $cdcl_{NOT-NOT-all-inv} A S$ **and**
decomp: *all-decomposition-implies-m* (*clauses* S) (*get-all-marked-decomposition* (*trail* S))
shows *unsatisfiable* (*set-mset* (*clauses* S))
 $\vee (trail S \models_{asm} clauses S \wedge satisfiable (set-mset (clauses S)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *full-cdcl_{NOT}-final-state*:

assumes
full: *full* $cdcl_{NOT} S T$ **and**
inv: $cdcl_{NOT-NOT-all-inv} A S$ **and**
n-d: *no-dup* (*trail* S) **and**
decomp: *all-decomposition-implies-m* (*clauses* S) (*get-all-marked-decomposition* (*trail* S))
shows *unsatisfiable* (*set-mset* (*clauses* T))
 $\vee (trail T \models_{asm} clauses T \wedge satisfiable (set-mset (clauses T)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end — end of *conflict-driven-clause-learning*

2.6 Termination

2.6.1 Restricting learn and forget

locale *conflict-driven-clause-learning-learning-before-backjump-only-distinct-learnt* =
conflict-driven-clause-learning trail clauses prepend-trail tl-trail add-cl_{NOT} remove-cl_{NOT}
propagate-conds inv backjump-conds
 $\lambda C S. \text{distinct-mset } C \wedge \neg \text{tautology } C \wedge \text{learn-restrictions } C S \wedge$
 $(\exists F K d F' C' L. \text{trail } S = F' @ \text{Marked } K () \# F \wedge C = C' + \{\#L\# \} \wedge F \models_{as} C \text{Not } C'$
 $\wedge C' + \{\#L\# \} \notin \# \text{ clauses } S)$
 $\lambda C S. \neg(\exists F' F K d L. \text{trail } S = F' @ \text{Marked } K () \# F \wedge F \models_{as} C \text{Not } (C - \{\#L\# \}))$
 $\wedge \text{forget-restrictions } C S$
for
trail :: 'st \Rightarrow ('v, unit, unit) ann-literals **and**
clauses :: 'st \Rightarrow 'v clauses **and**
prepend-trail :: ('v, unit, unit) ann-literal \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**
tl-trail :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**
add-cl_{NOT} remove-cl_{NOT} :: 'v clause \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**
propagate-conds :: ('v, unit, unit) ann-literal \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool **and**
inv :: 'st \Rightarrow bool **and**
backjump-conds :: 'v clause \Rightarrow 'v clause \Rightarrow 'v literal \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool **and**
learn-restrictions forget-restrictions :: 'v clause \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool

begin

lemma *cdcl_{NOT}-learn-all-induct*[consumes 1, case-names *dpll-bj learn forget_{NOT}*]:

fixes *S T* :: 'st

assumes *cdcl_{NOT} S T* **and**

dpll: $\bigwedge T. \text{dpll-bj } S T \Longrightarrow P S T$ **and**

learning:

$\bigwedge C F K F' C' L T. \text{clauses } S \models_{pm} C$
 $\Longrightarrow \text{atms-of } C \subseteq \text{atms-of-msu } (\text{clauses } S) \cup \text{atm-of ' (lits-of (trail } S))$
 $\Longrightarrow \text{distinct-mset } C \Longrightarrow \neg \text{tautology } C \Longrightarrow \text{learn-restrictions } C S$
 $\Longrightarrow \text{trail } S = F' @ \text{Marked } K () \# F \Longrightarrow C = C' + \{\#L\# \} \Longrightarrow F \models_{as} C \text{Not } C'$
 $\Longrightarrow C' + \{\#L\# \} \notin \# \text{ clauses } S \Longrightarrow T \sim \text{add-cl}_{NOT} C S$
 $\Longrightarrow P S T$ **and**

forgetting: $\bigwedge C T. \text{clauses } S - \text{replicate-mset } (\text{count } (\text{clauses } S) C) C \models_{pm} C$

$\Longrightarrow C \in \# \text{ clauses } S$

$\Longrightarrow \neg(\exists F' F K L. \text{trail } S = F' @ \text{Marked } K () \# F \wedge F \models_{as} C \text{Not } (C - \{\#L\# \}))$

$\Longrightarrow T \sim \text{remove-cl}_{NOT} C S$

$\Longrightarrow \text{forget-restrictions } C S \Longrightarrow P S T$

shows *P S T*

<proof>

lemma *rtranchp-cdcl_{NOT}-inv*:

*cdcl_{NOT}** S T* $\Longrightarrow \text{inv } S \Longrightarrow \text{inv } T$

<proof>

lemma *learn-always-simple-clauses*:

assumes

learn: *learn S T* **and**

n-d: *no-dup (trail S)*

shows *set-mset (clauses T - clauses S)*

$\subseteq \text{simple-clss } (\text{atms-of-msu } (\text{clauses } S) \cup \text{atm-of ' lits-of (trail } S))$

<proof>

definition *conflicting-bj-clss S* \equiv

$$\{C + \{\#L\#\} \mid C \text{ L. } C + \{\#L\#\} \in \# \text{ clauses } S \wedge \text{distinct-mset } (C + \{\#L\#\}) \wedge \neg \text{tautology } (C + \{\#L\#\}) \\ \wedge (\exists F' K F. \text{trail } S = F' @ \text{Marked } K () \# F \wedge F \models_{\text{as}} C \text{Not } C)\}$$

lemma *conflicting-bj-clss-remove-cl_{NOT}[simp]:*
conflicting-bj-clss (remove-cl_{NOT} C S) = conflicting-bj-clss S - {C}
<proof>

lemma *conflicting-bj-clss-add-cl_{NOT}-state-eq:*
 $T \sim \text{add-cl}_{\text{NOT}} C' S \implies \text{no-dup } (\text{trail } S) \implies \text{conflicting-bj-clss } T$
 $= \text{conflicting-bj-clss } S$
 $\cup (\text{if } \exists C \text{ L. } C' = C + \{\#L\#\} \wedge \text{distinct-mset } (C + \{\#L\#\}) \wedge \neg \text{tautology } (C + \{\#L\#\})$
 $\wedge (\exists F' K d F. \text{trail } S = F' @ \text{Marked } K () \# F \wedge F \models_{\text{as}} C \text{Not } C)$
 $\text{then } \{C'\} \text{ else } \{\})$
<proof>

lemma *conflicting-bj-clss-add-cl_{NOT}:*
 $\text{no-dup } (\text{trail } S) \implies$
 $\text{conflicting-bj-clss } (\text{add-cl}_{\text{NOT}} C' S)$
 $= \text{conflicting-bj-clss } S$
 $\cup (\text{if } \exists C \text{ L. } C' = C + \{\#L\#\} \wedge \text{distinct-mset } (C + \{\#L\#\}) \wedge \neg \text{tautology } (C + \{\#L\#\})$
 $\wedge (\exists F' K d F. \text{trail } S = F' @ \text{Marked } K () \# F \wedge F \models_{\text{as}} C \text{Not } C)$
 $\text{then } \{C'\} \text{ else } \{\})$
<proof>

lemma *conflicting-bj-clss-incl-clauses:*
 $\text{conflicting-bj-clss } S \subseteq \text{set-mset } (\text{clauses } S)$
<proof>

lemma *finite-conflicting-bj-clss[simp]:*
 $\text{finite } (\text{conflicting-bj-clss } S)$
<proof>

lemma *learn-conflicting-increasing:*
 $\text{no-dup } (\text{trail } S) \implies \text{learn } S T \implies \text{conflicting-bj-clss } S \subseteq \text{conflicting-bj-clss } T$
<proof>

abbreviation *conflicting-bj-clss-yet b S* \equiv
 $\mathcal{S} \hat{\wedge} b - \text{card } (\text{conflicting-bj-clss } S)$

abbreviation $\mu_L :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow \text{nat} \times \text{nat}$ **where**
 $\mu_L b S \equiv (\text{conflicting-bj-clss-yet } b S, \text{card } (\text{set-mset } (\text{clauses } S)))$

lemma *do-not-forget-before-backtrack-rule-clause-learned-clause-untouched:*
assumes $\text{forget}_{\text{NOT}} S T$
shows $\text{conflicting-bj-clss } S = \text{conflicting-bj-clss } T$
<proof>

lemma *forget- μ_L -decrease:*
assumes $\text{forget}_{\text{NOT}}: \text{forget}_{\text{NOT}} S T$
shows $(\mu_L b T, \mu_L b S) \in \text{less-than } <*\text{lex}* > \text{less-than}$
<proof>

lemma *set-condition-or-split:*
 $\{a. (a = b \vee Q a) \wedge S a\} = (\text{if } S b \text{ then } \{b\} \text{ else } \{\}) \cup \{a. Q a \wedge S a\}$
<proof>

lemma *set-insert-neq*:

$A \neq \text{insert } a \ A \longleftrightarrow a \notin A$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *learn- μ_L -decrease*:

assumes *learnST*: *learn* $S \ T$ **and** *n-d*: *no-dup* (*trail* S) **and**

A : *atms-of-msu* (*clauses* S) \cup *atm-of* ' *lits-of* (*trail* S) $\subseteq A$ **and**

fin-A: *finite* A

shows $(\mu_L (\text{card } A) \ T, \mu_L (\text{card } A) \ S) \in \text{less-than } <*\text{lex}*> \text{less-than}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

We have to assume the following:

- *inv* S : the invariant holds in the initial state.
- A is a (finite *finite* A) superset of the literals in the trail *atm-of* ' *lits-of* (*trail* S) \subseteq *atms-of-ms* A and in the clauses *atms-of-msu* (*clauses* S) \subseteq *atms-of-ms* A . This can be the set of all the literals in the starting set of clauses.
- *no-dup* (*trail* S): no duplicate in the trail. This is invariant along the path.

definition μ_{CDCL} **where**

$\mu_{CDCL} \ A \ T \equiv ((2 + \text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A)) \wedge (1 + \text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A)))$
 $\quad - \mu_C (1 + \text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A)) (2 + \text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A)) (\text{trail-weight } T),$
 $\quad \text{conflicting-bj-clss-yet } (\text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A)) \ T, \text{card } (\text{set-mset } (\text{clauses } T)))$

lemma *cdcl_{NOT}-decreasing-measure*:

assumes

cdcl_{NOT} $S \ T$ **and**

inv: *inv* S **and**

atm-clss: *atms-of-msu* (*clauses* S) \subseteq *atms-of-ms* A **and**

atm-lits: *atm-of* ' *lits-of* (*trail* S) \subseteq *atms-of-ms* A **and**

n-d: *no-dup* (*trail* S) **and**

fin-A: *finite* A

shows $(\mu_{CDCL} \ A \ T, \mu_{CDCL} \ A \ S)$

$\in \text{less-than } <*\text{lex}*> (\text{less-than } <*\text{lex}*> \text{less-than})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *wf-cdcl_{NOT}-restricted-learning*:

assumes *finite* A

shows *wf* $\{(T, S)\}$.

$(\text{atms-of-msu } (\text{clauses } S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A \wedge \text{atm-of ' lits-of } (\text{trail } S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A$

$\wedge \text{no-dup } (\text{trail } S)$

$\wedge \text{inv } S)$

$\wedge \text{cdcl}_{NOT} \ S \ T \}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition $\mu_C' :: 'v \text{ literal multiset set} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ **where**

$\mu_C' \ A \ T \equiv \mu_C (1 + \text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A)) (2 + \text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A)) (\text{trail-weight } T)$

definition $\mu_{CDCL}' :: 'v \text{ literal multiset set} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ **where**

$\mu_{CDCL}' \ A \ T \equiv$

$((2 + \text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A)) \wedge (1 + \text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A)) - \mu_C' \ A \ T) * (1 + 3^{\text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A)}) * 2$

$+ \text{conflicting-bj-clss-yet } (\text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A)) \ T * 2$

+ card (set-mset (clauses T))

lemma *cdcl_{NOT}-decreasing-measure'*:

assumes

cdcl_{NOT} S T **and**

inv: inv S **and**

atms-clss: atms-of-msu (clauses S) ⊆ atms-of-ms A **and**

atms-trail: atm-of '(lits-of (trail S)) ⊆ atms-of-ms A **and**

n-d: no-dup (trail S) **and**

fin-A: finite A

shows $\mu_{CDCL}' A T < \mu_{CDCL}' A S$

<proof>

lemma *cdcl_{NOT}-clauses-bound*:

assumes

cdcl_{NOT} S T **and**

inv S **and**

atms-of-msu (clauses S) ⊆ A **and**

atm-of '(lits-of (trail S)) ⊆ A **and**

n-d: no-dup (trail S) **and**

fin-A[simp]: finite A

shows *set-mset (clauses T) ⊆ set-mset (clauses S) ∪ simple-clss A*

<proof>

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_{NOT}-clauses-bound*:

assumes

*cdcl_{NOT}** S T* **and**

inv S **and**

atms-of-msu (clauses S) ⊆ A **and**

atm-of '(lits-of (trail S)) ⊆ A **and**

n-d: no-dup (trail S) **and**

finite: finite A

shows *set-mset (clauses T) ⊆ set-mset (clauses S) ∪ simple-clss A*

<proof>

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_{NOT}-card-clauses-bound*:

assumes

*cdcl_{NOT}** S T* **and**

inv S **and**

atms-of-msu (clauses S) ⊆ A **and**

atm-of '(lits-of (trail S)) ⊆ A **and**

n-d: no-dup (trail S) **and**

finite: finite A

shows $\text{card (set-mset (clauses T))} \leq \text{card (set-mset (clauses S))} + 3 \wedge (\text{card } A)$

<proof>

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_{NOT}-card-clauses-bound'*:

assumes

*cdcl_{NOT}** S T* **and**

inv S **and**

atms-of-msu (clauses S) ⊆ A **and**

atm-of '(lits-of (trail S)) ⊆ A **and**

n-d: no-dup (trail S) **and**

finite: finite A

shows $\text{card } \{C \mid C. C \in \# \text{ clauses } T \wedge (\text{tautology } C \vee \neg \text{distinct-mset } C)\}$
 $\leq \text{card } \{C \mid C. C \in \# \text{ clauses } S \wedge (\text{tautology } C \vee \neg \text{distinct-mset } C)\} + 3 \wedge (\text{card } A)$
(is $\text{card } ?T \leq \text{card } ?S + -)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{rtrancplp-cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-card-simple-clauses-bound}$:

assumes
 $\text{cdcl}_{NOT}^{**} S T$ **and**
 $\text{inv } S$ **and**
 $\text{atms-of-msu } (\text{clauses } S) \subseteq A$ **and**
 $\text{atm-of } '(\text{lits-of } (\text{trail } S)) \subseteq A$ **and**
 $n\text{-d: no-dup } (\text{trail } S)$ **and**
 $\text{finite: finite } A$
shows $\text{card } (\text{set-mset } (\text{clauses } T))$
 $\leq \text{card } \{C. C \in \# \text{ clauses } S \wedge (\text{tautology } C \vee \neg \text{distinct-mset } C)\} + 3 \wedge (\text{card } A)$
(is $\text{card } ?T \leq \text{card } ?S + -)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition $\mu_{CDCL}'\text{-bound} :: 'v \text{ literal multiset set} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ **where**

$\mu_{CDCL}'\text{-bound } A S =$
 $((2 + \text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A)) \wedge (1 + \text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A))) * (1 + 3 \wedge \text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A)) * 2$
 $+ 2 * 3 \wedge (\text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A))$
 $+ \text{card } \{C. C \in \# \text{ clauses } S \wedge (\text{tautology } C \vee \neg \text{distinct-mset } C)\} + 3 \wedge (\text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A))$

lemma $\mu_{CDCL}'\text{-bound-reduce-trail-to}_{NOT}[\text{simp}]$:

$\mu_{CDCL}'\text{-bound } A (\text{reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} M S) = \mu_{CDCL}'\text{-bound } A S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{rtrancplp-cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-}\mu_{CDCL}'\text{-bound-reduce-trail-to}_{NOT}$:

assumes
 $\text{cdcl}_{NOT}^{**} S T$ **and**
 $\text{inv } S$ **and**
 $\text{atms-of-msu } (\text{clauses } S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A$ **and**
 $\text{atm-of } '(\text{lits-of } (\text{trail } S)) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A$ **and**
 $n\text{-d: no-dup } (\text{trail } S)$ **and**
 $\text{finite: finite } (\text{atms-of-ms } A)$ **and**
 $U: U \sim \text{reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} M T$
shows $\mu_{CDCL}' A U \leq \mu_{CDCL}'\text{-bound } A S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{rtrancplp-cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-}\mu_{CDCL}'\text{-bound}$:

assumes
 $\text{cdcl}_{NOT}^{**} S T$ **and**
 $\text{inv } S$ **and**
 $\text{atms-of-msu } (\text{clauses } S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A$ **and**
 $\text{atm-of } '(\text{lits-of } (\text{trail } S)) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A$ **and**
 $n\text{-d: no-dup } (\text{trail } S)$ **and**
 $\text{finite: finite } (\text{atms-of-ms } A)$
shows $\mu_{CDCL}' A T \leq \mu_{CDCL}'\text{-bound } A S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{rtrancplp-}\mu_{CDCL}'\text{-bound-decreasing}$:

assumes
 $\text{cdcl}_{NOT}^{**} S T$ **and**
 $\text{inv } S$ **and**

$atms\text{-}of\text{-}msu\ (clauses\ S) \subseteq atms\text{-}of\text{-}ms\ A$ **and**
 $atm\text{-}of\ (lits\text{-}of\ (trail\ S)) \subseteq atms\text{-}of\text{-}ms\ A$ **and**
 $n\text{-}d\text{-}no\text{-}dup\ (trail\ S)$ **and**
 $finite[simp]: finite\ (atms\text{-}of\text{-}ms\ A)$
shows $\mu_{CDCL}'\text{-}bound\ A\ T \leq \mu_{CDCL}'\text{-}bound\ A\ S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end — end of *conflict-driven-clause-learning-learning-before-backjump-only-distinct-learnt*

2.7 CDCL with restarts

2.7.1 Definition

locale *restart-ops* =
fixes
 $cdcl_{NOT} :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool$ **and**
 $restart :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool$
begin
inductive $cdcl_{NOT}\text{-}raw\text{-}restart :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $cdcl_{NOT}\ S\ T \Longrightarrow cdcl_{NOT}\text{-}raw\text{-}restart\ S\ T \mid$
 $restart\ S\ T \Longrightarrow cdcl_{NOT}\text{-}raw\text{-}restart\ S\ T$

end

locale *conflict-driven-clause-learning-with-restarts* =
 $conflict\text{-}driven\text{-}clause\text{-}learning\ trail\ clauses\ prepend\text{-}trail\ tl\text{-}trail\ add\text{-}cls_{NOT}\ remove\text{-}cls_{NOT}$
 $propagate\text{-}conds\ inv\ backjump\text{-}conds\ learn\text{-}cond\ forget\text{-}cond$
for
 $trail :: 'st \Rightarrow ('v, unit, unit)\ ann\text{-}literals$ **and**
 $clauses :: 'st \Rightarrow 'v\ clauses$ **and**
 $prepend\text{-}trail :: ('v, unit, unit)\ ann\text{-}literal \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st$ **and**
 $tl\text{-}trail :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st$ **and**
 $add\text{-}cls_{NOT}\ remove\text{-}cls_{NOT} :: 'v\ clause \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st$ **and**
 $propagate\text{-}conds :: ('v, unit, unit)\ ann\text{-}literal \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool$ **and**
 $inv :: 'st \Rightarrow bool$ **and**
 $backjump\text{-}conds :: 'v\ clause \Rightarrow 'v\ clause \Rightarrow 'v\ literal \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool$ **and**
 $learn\text{-}cond\ forget\text{-}cond :: 'v\ clause \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool$
begin

lemma $cdcl_{NOT}\text{-}iff\text{-}cdcl_{NOT}\text{-}raw\text{-}restart\text{-}no\text{-}restarts$:
 $cdcl_{NOT}\ S\ T \longleftrightarrow restart\text{-}ops.cdcl_{NOT}\text{-}raw\text{-}restart\ cdcl_{NOT}\ (\lambda\text{-} .\ False)\ S\ T$
(is $?C\ S\ T \longleftrightarrow ?R\ S\ T$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cdcl_{NOT}\text{-}cdcl_{NOT}\text{-}raw\text{-}restart$:
 $cdcl_{NOT}\ S\ T \Longrightarrow restart\text{-}ops.cdcl_{NOT}\text{-}raw\text{-}restart\ cdcl_{NOT}\ restart\ S\ T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$
end

2.7.2 Increasing restarts

To add restarts we need some assumptions on the predicate (called $cdcl_{NOT}$ here):

- a function f that is strictly monotonic. The first step is actually only used as a restart to clean the state (e.g. to ensure that the trail is empty). Then we assume that $(1::'a) \leq f$

n for $(1::'a) \leq n$: it means that between two consecutive restarts, at least one step will be done. This is necessary to avoid sequence. like: full – restart – full – ...

- a measure μ : it should decrease under the assumptions *bound-inv*, whenever a *cdcl_{NOT}* or a *restart* is done. A parameter is given to μ : for conflict- driven clause learning, it is an upper-bound of the clauses. We are assuming that such a bound can be found after a restart whenever the invariant holds.
- we also assume that the measure decrease after any *cdcl_{NOT}* step.
- an invariant on the states *cdcl_{NOT}-inv* that also holds after restarts.
- it is *not required* that the measure decrease with respect to restarts, but the measure has to be bound by some function μ -bound taking the same parameter as μ and the initial state of the considered *cdcl_{NOT}* chain.

```

locale cdclNOT-increasing-restarts-ops =
  restart-ops cdclNOT restart for
    restart :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  bool and
    cdclNOT :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  bool +
fixes
  f :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat and
  bound-inv :: 'bound  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  bool and
   $\mu$  :: 'bound  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  nat and
  cdclNOT-inv :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  bool and
   $\mu$ -bound :: 'bound  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  nat
assumes
  f: unbounded f and
  f-ge-1:  $\bigwedge n. n \geq 1 \implies f\ n \neq 0$  and
  bound-inv:  $\bigwedge A\ S\ T. \text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-inv}\ S \implies \text{bound-inv}\ A\ S \implies \text{cdcl}_{NOT}\ S\ T \implies \text{bound-inv}\ A\ T$  and
  cdclNOT-measure:  $\bigwedge A\ S\ T. \text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-inv}\ S \implies \text{bound-inv}\ A\ S \implies \text{cdcl}_{NOT}\ S\ T \implies \mu\ A\ T < \mu$ 
A S and
  measure-bound2:  $\bigwedge A\ T\ U. \text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-inv}\ T \implies \text{bound-inv}\ A\ T \implies \text{cdcl}_{NOT}^{**}\ T\ U$ 
     $\implies \mu\ A\ U \leq \mu\text{-bound}\ A\ T$  and
  measure-bound4:  $\bigwedge A\ T\ U. \text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-inv}\ T \implies \text{bound-inv}\ A\ T \implies \text{cdcl}_{NOT}^{**}\ T\ U$ 
     $\implies \mu\text{-bound}\ A\ U \leq \mu\text{-bound}\ A\ T$  and
  cdclNOT-restart-inv:  $\bigwedge A\ U\ V. \text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-inv}\ U \implies \text{restart}\ U\ V \implies \text{bound-inv}\ A\ U \implies \text{bound-inv}$ 
A V
and
  exists-bound:  $\bigwedge R\ S. \text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-inv}\ R \implies \text{restart}\ R\ S \implies \exists A. \text{bound-inv}\ A\ S$  and
  cdclNOT-inv:  $\bigwedge S\ T. \text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-inv}\ S \implies \text{cdcl}_{NOT}\ S\ T \implies \text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-inv}\ T$  and
  cdclNOT-inv-restart:  $\bigwedge S\ T. \text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-inv}\ S \implies \text{restart}\ S\ T \implies \text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-inv}\ T$ 
begin

lemma cdclNOT-cdclNOT-inv:
assumes
  (cdclNOT  $\rightsquigarrow^n$ ) S T and
  cdclNOT-inv S
shows cdclNOT-inv T
<proof>

lemma cdclNOT-bound-inv:
assumes
  (cdclNOT  $\rightsquigarrow^n$ ) S T and
  cdclNOT-inv S

```

bound-inv A S
shows *bound-inv A T*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_{NOT}-cdcl_{NOT}-inv*:

assumes
*cdcl_{NOT}** S T* **and**
cdcl_{NOT}-inv S
shows *cdcl_{NOT}-inv T*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_{NOT}-bound-inv*:

assumes
*cdcl_{NOT}** S T* **and**
bound-inv A S **and**
cdcl_{NOT}-inv S
shows *bound-inv A T*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_{NOT}-comp-n-le*:

assumes
(cdcl_{NOT} \sim (Suc n)) S T **and**
bound-inv A S
cdcl_{NOT}-inv S
shows $\mu A T < \mu A S - n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *wf-cdcl_{NOT}*:

wf $\{(T, S). \text{cdcl}_{NOT} S T \wedge \text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-inv } S \wedge \text{bound-inv } A S\}$ (**is** *wf ?A*)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_{NOT}-measure*:

assumes
*cdcl_{NOT}** S T* **and**
bound-inv A S **and**
cdcl_{NOT}-inv S
shows $\mu A T \leq \mu A S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_{NOT}-comp-bounded*:

assumes
bound-inv A S **and** *cdcl_{NOT}-inv S* **and** $m \geq 1 + \mu A S$
shows $\neg(\text{cdcl}_{NOT} \sim m) S T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

- $f n < m$ ensures that at least one step has been done.

inductive *cdcl_{NOT}-restart* **where**

restart-step: $(\text{cdcl}_{NOT} \sim m) S T \implies m \geq f n \implies \text{restart } T U$
 $\implies \text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-restart } (S, n) (U, \text{Suc } n) \mid$

restart-full: $\text{full1 } \text{cdcl}_{NOT} S T \implies \text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-restart } (S, n) (T, \text{Suc } n)$

lemmas *cdcl_{NOT}-with-restart-induct* = *cdcl_{NOT}-restart.induct*[*split-format*(*complete*),
OF cdcl_{NOT}-increasing-restarts-ops-axioms]

lemma *cdcl_{NOT}-restart-cdcl_{NOT}-raw-restart:*

*cdcl_{NOT}-restart S T \implies cdcl_{NOT}-raw-restart** (fst S) (fst T)*
 <proof>

lemma *cdcl_{NOT}-with-restart-bound-inv:*

assumes
cdcl_{NOT}-restart S T and
bound-inv A (fst S) and
cdcl_{NOT}-inv (fst S)
shows *bound-inv A (fst T)*
 <proof>

lemma *cdcl_{NOT}-with-restart-cdcl_{NOT}-inv:*

assumes
cdcl_{NOT}-restart S T and
cdcl_{NOT}-inv (fst S)
shows *cdcl_{NOT}-inv (fst T)*
 <proof>

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_{NOT}-with-restart-cdcl_{NOT}-inv:*

assumes
*cdcl_{NOT}-restart** S T and*
cdcl_{NOT}-inv (fst S)
shows *cdcl_{NOT}-inv (fst T)*
 <proof>

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_{NOT}-with-restart-bound-inv:*

assumes
*cdcl_{NOT}-restart** S T and*
cdcl_{NOT}-inv (fst S) and
bound-inv A (fst S)
shows *bound-inv A (fst T)*
 <proof>

lemma *cdcl_{NOT}-with-restart-increasing-number:*

cdcl_{NOT}-restart S T \implies snd T = 1 + snd S
 <proof>
end

locale *cdcl_{NOT}-increasing-restarts =*

cdcl_{NOT}-increasing-restarts-ops restart cdcl_{NOT} f bound-inv μ cdcl_{NOT}-inv μ -bound
for
trail :: 'st \Rightarrow ('v, unit, unit) ann-literals and
clauses :: 'st \Rightarrow 'v clauses and
prepend-trail :: ('v, unit, unit) ann-literal \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st and
tl-trail :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st and
add-cls_{NOT} remove-cls_{NOT}:: 'v clause \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st and
f :: nat \Rightarrow nat and
restart :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool and
bound-inv :: 'bound \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool and
 μ :: 'bound \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow nat and
cdcl_{NOT} :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool and
cdcl_{NOT}-inv :: 'st \Rightarrow bool and
 μ -bound :: 'bound \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow nat +
assumes

measure-bound: $\bigwedge A \ T \ V \ n. \text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-inv } T \implies \text{bound-inv } A \ T$
 $\implies \text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-restart } (T, n) \ (V, \text{Suc } n) \implies \mu \ A \ V \leq \mu\text{-bound } A \ T$ **and**
cdcl_{NOT}-raw-restart-μ-bound:
 $\text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-restart } (T, a) \ (V, b) \implies \text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-inv } T \implies \text{bound-inv } A \ T$
 $\implies \mu\text{-bound } A \ V \leq \mu\text{-bound } A \ T$

begin

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_{NOT}-raw-restart-μ-bound*:

$\text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-restart}^{**} (T, a) \ (V, b) \implies \text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-inv } T \implies \text{bound-inv } A \ T$
 $\implies \mu\text{-bound } A \ V \leq \mu\text{-bound } A \ T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_{NOT}-raw-restart-measure-bound*:

$\text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-restart } (T, a) \ (V, b) \implies \text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-inv } T \implies \text{bound-inv } A \ T$
 $\implies \mu \ A \ V \leq \mu\text{-bound } A \ T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_{NOT}-raw-restart-measure-bound*:

$\text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-restart}^{**} (T, a) \ (V, b) \implies \text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-inv } T \implies \text{bound-inv } A \ T$
 $\implies \mu \ A \ V \leq \mu\text{-bound } A \ T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *wf-cdcl_{NOT}-restart*:

$\text{wf } \{(T, S). \text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-restart } S \ T \wedge \text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-inv } (fst \ S)\}$ (**is** $\text{wf } ?A$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_{NOT}-restart-steps-bigger-than-bound*:

assumes
 $\text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-restart } S \ T$ **and**
 $\text{bound-inv } A \ (fst \ S)$ **and**
 $\text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-inv } (fst \ S)$ **and**
 $f \ (snd \ S) > \mu\text{-bound } A \ (fst \ S)$
shows $\text{full1 } \text{cdcl}_{NOT} \ (fst \ S) \ (fst \ T)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_{NOT}-with-inv-inv-rtrancpl-cdcl_{NOT}*:

assumes
 $\text{inv}: \text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-inv } S$ **and**
 $\text{binv}: \text{bound-inv } A \ S$
shows $(\lambda S \ T. \text{cdcl}_{NOT} \ S \ T \wedge \text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-inv } S \wedge \text{bound-inv } A \ S)^{**} \ S \ T \longleftrightarrow \text{cdcl}_{NOT}^{**} \ S \ T$
(is $?A^{**} \ S \ T \longleftrightarrow ?B^{**} \ S \ T$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *no-step-cdcl_{NOT}-restart-no-step-cdcl_{NOT}*:

assumes
 $n\text{-s}: \text{no-step } \text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-restart } S$ **and**
 $\text{inv}: \text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-inv } (fst \ S)$ **and**
 $\text{binv}: \text{bound-inv } A \ (fst \ S)$
shows $\text{no-step } \text{cdcl}_{NOT} \ (fst \ S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

2.8 Merging backjump and learning

locale *cdcl_{NOT}-merge-bj-learn-ops* =


```

dpll-state trail clauses prepend-trail tl-trail add-clNOT remove-clNOT +
decide-ops trail clauses prepend-trail tl-trail add-clNOT remove-clNOT +
forget-ops trail clauses prepend-trail tl-trail add-clNOT remove-clNOT forget-cond +
propagate-ops trail clauses prepend-trail tl-trail add-clNOT remove-clNOT propagate-conds
for
  trail :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  ('v, unit, unit) ann-literals and
  clauses :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  'v clauses and
  prepend-trail :: ('v, unit, unit) ann-literal  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st and
  tl-trail :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st and
  add-clNOT remove-clNOT:: 'v clause  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st and
  propagate-conds :: ('v, unit, unit) ann-literal  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  bool and
  forget-cond :: 'v clause  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  bool +
fixes backjump-l-cond :: 'v clause  $\Rightarrow$  'v clause  $\Rightarrow$  'v literal  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  bool
begin
inductive backjump-l where
backjump-l: trail S = F' @ Marked K () # F
 $\Rightarrow$  no-dup (trail S)
 $\Rightarrow$  T  $\sim$  prepend-trail (Propagated L ()) (reduce-trail-toNOT F (add-clNOT (C' + {#L#}) S))
 $\Rightarrow$  C  $\in$  # clauses S
 $\Rightarrow$  trail S  $\models_{as}$  CNot C
 $\Rightarrow$  undefined-lit F L
 $\Rightarrow$  atm-of L  $\in$  atms-of-msu (clauses S)  $\cup$  atm-of ' (lits-of (trail S))
 $\Rightarrow$  clauses S  $\models_{pm}$  C' + {#L#}
 $\Rightarrow$  F  $\models_{as}$  CNot C'
 $\Rightarrow$  backjump-l-cond C C' L T
 $\Rightarrow$  backjump-l S T
inductive-cases backjump-lE: backjump-l S T

inductive cdclNOT-merged-bj-learn :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  bool for S :: 'st where
cdclNOT-merged-bj-learn-decideNOT: decideNOT S S'  $\Rightarrow$  cdclNOT-merged-bj-learn S S' |
cdclNOT-merged-bj-learn-propagateNOT: propagateNOT S S'  $\Rightarrow$  cdclNOT-merged-bj-learn S S' |
cdclNOT-merged-bj-learn-backjump-l: backjump-l S S'  $\Rightarrow$  cdclNOT-merged-bj-learn S S' |
cdclNOT-merged-bj-learn-forgetNOT: forgetNOT S S'  $\Rightarrow$  cdclNOT-merged-bj-learn S S'

lemma cdclNOT-merged-bj-learn-no-dup-inv:
  cdclNOT-merged-bj-learn S T  $\Rightarrow$  no-dup (trail S)  $\Rightarrow$  no-dup (trail T)
  <proof>
end

locale cdclNOT-merge-bj-learn-proxy =
  cdclNOT-merge-bj-learn-ops trail clauses prepend-trail tl-trail add-clNOT remove-clNOT
  propagate-conds forget-conds  $\lambda C C' L' S$ . backjump-l-cond C C' L' S
   $\wedge$  distinct-mset (C' + {#L'#})  $\wedge$   $\neg$ tautology (C' + {#L'#})
for
  trail :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  ('v, unit, unit) ann-literals and
  clauses :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  'v clauses and
  prepend-trail :: ('v, unit, unit) ann-literal  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st and
  tl-trail :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st and
  add-clNOT remove-clNOT:: 'v clause  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st and
  propagate-conds :: ('v, unit, unit) ann-literal  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  bool and
  forget-conds :: 'v clause  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  bool and
  backjump-l-cond :: 'v clause  $\Rightarrow$  'v clause  $\Rightarrow$  'v literal  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  bool +
fixes
  inv :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  bool
assumes

```

bj-merge-can-jump:
 $\bigwedge S \ C \ F' \ K \ F \ L.$
 $inv \ S$
 $\implies trail \ S = F' @ \text{Marked } K \ () \ \# \ F$
 $\implies C \in \# \ clauses \ S$
 $\implies trail \ S \models_{as} CNot \ C$
 $\implies undefined-lit \ F \ L$
 $\implies atm-of \ L \in \text{atms-of-msu} (clauses \ S) \cup atm-of \ ' (lits-of \ (F' @ \text{Marked } K \ () \ \# \ F))$
 $\implies clauses \ S \models_{pm} C' + \{\#L\# \}$
 $\implies F \models_{as} CNot \ C'$
 $\implies \neg no-step \ backjump-l \ S \ \mathbf{and}$
 $cdcl\text{-merged-inv}: \bigwedge S \ T. \ cdcl_{NOT}\text{-merged-bj-learn} \ S \ T \implies inv \ S \implies inv \ T$
begin
abbreviation *backjump-conds* **where**
 $backjump-conds \equiv \lambda-. \ C \ L \ -. \ distinct-mset \ (C + \{\#L\# \}) \wedge \neg tautology \ (C + \{\#L\# \})$
sublocale *dpll-with-backjumping-ops* *trail clauses prepend-trail tl-trail add-cl_{NOT} remove-cl_{NOT}*
propagate-conds inv backjump-conds
<proof>
end

locale *cdcl_{NOT}-merge-bj-learn-proxy2* =
 $cdcl_{NOT}\text{-merge-bj-learn-proxy} \ trail \ clauses \ prepend-trail \ tl-trail \ add-cl_{NOT} \ remove-cl_{NOT}$
 $propagate-conds \ forget-conds \ backjump-l-cond \ inv$
for
 $trail :: 'st \Rightarrow ('v, unit, unit) \text{ ann-literals } \mathbf{and}$
 $clauses :: 'st \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clauses } \mathbf{and}$
 $prepend-trail :: ('v, unit, unit) \text{ ann-literal } \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st \ \mathbf{and}$
 $tl-trail :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \ \mathbf{and}$
 $add-cl_{NOT} \ remove-cl_{NOT} :: 'v \text{ clause } \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st \ \mathbf{and}$
 $propagate-conds :: ('v, unit, unit) \text{ ann-literal } \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool \ \mathbf{and}$
 $inv :: 'st \Rightarrow bool \ \mathbf{and}$
 $forget-conds :: 'v \text{ clause } \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool \ \mathbf{and}$
 $backjump-l-cond :: 'v \text{ clause } \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clause } \Rightarrow 'v \text{ literal } \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool$
begin

sublocale *conflict-driven-clause-learning-ops* *trail clauses prepend-trail tl-trail add-cl_{NOT}*
remove-cl_{NOT} propagate-conds inv backjump-conds $\lambda C \ -. \ distinct-mset \ C \wedge \neg tautology \ C$
forget-conds
<proof>
end

locale *cdcl_{NOT}-merge-bj-learn* =
 $cdcl_{NOT}\text{-merge-bj-learn-proxy2} \ trail \ clauses \ prepend-trail \ tl-trail \ add-cl_{NOT} \ remove-cl_{NOT}$
 $propagate-conds \ inv \ forget-conds \ backjump-l-cond$
for
 $trail :: 'st \Rightarrow ('v, unit, unit) \text{ ann-literals } \mathbf{and}$
 $clauses :: 'st \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clauses } \mathbf{and}$
 $prepend-trail :: ('v, unit, unit) \text{ ann-literal } \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st \ \mathbf{and}$
 $tl-trail :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \ \mathbf{and}$
 $add-cl_{NOT} \ remove-cl_{NOT} :: 'v \text{ clause } \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st \ \mathbf{and}$
 $propagate-conds :: ('v, unit, unit) \text{ ann-literal } \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool \ \mathbf{and}$
 $inv :: 'st \Rightarrow bool \ \mathbf{and}$
 $forget-conds :: 'v \text{ clause } \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool \ \mathbf{and}$

$backjump-l-cond :: 'v\ clause \Rightarrow 'v\ clause \Rightarrow 'v\ literal \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool +$
assumes
 $dpll-bj-inv: \bigwedge S\ T. \ dpll-bj\ S\ T \Longrightarrow inv\ S \Longrightarrow inv\ T$ **and**
 $learn-inv: \bigwedge S\ T. \ learn\ S\ T \Longrightarrow inv\ S \Longrightarrow inv\ T$
begin

interpretation $cdcl_{NOT}$:
conflict-driven-clause-learning trail clauses prepend-trail tl-trail add-cl_{NOT} remove-cl_{NOT}
propagate-conds inv backjump-conds $\lambda C\ -. \ distinct-mset\ C \wedge \neg tautology\ C$ forget-conds
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $backjump-l-learn-backjump$:
assumes $bt: backjump-l\ S\ T$ **and** $inv: inv\ S$ **and** $n-d: no-dup\ (trail\ S)$
shows $\exists C'\ L. \ learn\ S\ (add-cl_{NOT}\ (C' + \{\#L\# \})\ S)$
 $\wedge backjump\ (add-cl_{NOT}\ (C' + \{\#L\# \})\ S)\ T$
 $\wedge atms-of\ (C' + \{\#L\# \}) \subseteq atms-of-msu\ (clauses\ S) \cup atm-of\ ' (lits-of\ (trail\ S))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cdcl_{NOT}-merged-bj-learn-is-tranclp-cdcl_{NOT}$:
 $cdcl_{NOT}-merged-bj-learn\ S\ T \Longrightarrow inv\ S \Longrightarrow no-dup\ (trail\ S) \Longrightarrow cdcl_{NOT}^{++}\ S\ T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rtranclp-cdcl_{NOT}-merged-bj-learn-is-rtranclp-cdcl_{NOT}-and-inv$:
 $cdcl_{NOT}-merged-bj-learn^{**}\ S\ T \Longrightarrow inv\ S \Longrightarrow no-dup\ (trail\ S) \Longrightarrow cdcl_{NOT}^{**}\ S\ T \wedge inv\ T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rtranclp-cdcl_{NOT}-merged-bj-learn-is-rtranclp-cdcl_{NOT}$:
 $cdcl_{NOT}-merged-bj-learn^{**}\ S\ T \Longrightarrow inv\ S \Longrightarrow no-dup\ (trail\ S) \Longrightarrow cdcl_{NOT}^{**}\ S\ T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rtranclp-cdcl_{NOT}-merged-bj-learn-inv$:
 $cdcl_{NOT}-merged-bj-learn^{**}\ S\ T \Longrightarrow inv\ S \Longrightarrow no-dup\ (trail\ S) \Longrightarrow inv\ T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $\mu_C' :: 'v\ literal\ multiset\ set \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow nat$ **where**
 $\mu_C' A\ T \equiv \mu_C\ (1 + card\ (atms-of-ms\ A))\ (2 + card\ (atms-of-ms\ A))\ (trail-weight\ T)$

definition $\mu_{CDCL}'-merged :: 'v\ literal\ multiset\ set \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow nat$ **where**
 $\mu_{CDCL}'-merged\ A\ T \equiv$
 $((2 + card\ (atms-of-ms\ A)) \wedge (1 + card\ (atms-of-ms\ A)) - \mu_C' A\ T) * 2 + card\ (set-mset\ (clauses\ T))$

lemma $cdcl_{NOT}-decreasing-measure'$:
assumes
 $cdcl_{NOT}-merged-bj-learn\ S\ T$ **and**
 $inv: inv\ S$ **and**
 $atm-clss: atms-of-msu\ (clauses\ S) \subseteq atms-of-ms\ A$ **and**
 $atm-trail: atm-of\ ' lits-of\ (trail\ S) \subseteq atms-of-ms\ A$ **and**
 $n-d: no-dup\ (trail\ S)$ **and**
 $fin-A: finite\ A$
shows $\mu_{CDCL}'-merged\ A\ T < \mu_{CDCL}'-merged\ A\ S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $wf-cdcl_{NOT}-merged-bj-learn$:
assumes
 $fin-A: finite\ A$

shows $wf \{(T, S)\}.$

$(inv\ S \wedge atms-of-msu\ (clauses\ S) \subseteq atms-of-ms\ A \wedge atm-of\ 'lits-of\ (trail\ S) \subseteq atms-of-ms\ A$
 $\wedge no-dup\ (trail\ S))$
 $\wedge cdcl_{NOT}\text{-merged-bj-learn}\ S\ T\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $trancpl\text{-}cdcl_{NOT}\text{-}cdcl_{NOT}\text{-}trancpl:$

assumes

$cdcl_{NOT}\text{-merged-bj-learn}^{++}\ S\ T$ **and**
 $inv: inv\ S$ **and**
 $atm-clss: atms-of-msu\ (clauses\ S) \subseteq atms-of-ms\ A$ **and**
 $atm-trail: atm-of\ 'lits-of\ (trail\ S) \subseteq atms-of-ms\ A$ **and**
 $n-d: no-dup\ (trail\ S)$ **and**
 $fin-A[simp]: finite\ A$

shows $(T, S) \in \{(T, S)\}.$

$(inv\ S \wedge atms-of-msu\ (clauses\ S) \subseteq atms-of-ms\ A \wedge atm-of\ 'lits-of\ (trail\ S) \subseteq atms-of-ms\ A$
 $\wedge no-dup\ (trail\ S))$
 $\wedge cdcl_{NOT}\text{-merged-bj-learn}\ S\ T\}^+ \text{ (is - } \in ?P^+)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $wf\text{-}trancpl\text{-}cdcl_{NOT}\text{-merged-bj-learn}:$

assumes $finite\ A$

shows $wf \{(T, S)\}.$

$(inv\ S \wedge atms-of-msu\ (clauses\ S) \subseteq atms-of-ms\ A \wedge atm-of\ 'lits-of\ (trail\ S) \subseteq atms-of-ms\ A$
 $\wedge no-dup\ (trail\ S))$
 $\wedge cdcl_{NOT}\text{-merged-bj-learn}^{++}\ S\ T\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $backjump\text{-no-step-backjump-l}:$

$backjump\ S\ T \implies inv\ S \implies \neg no\text{-step}\ backjump\text{-l}\ S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cdcl_{NOT}\text{-merged-bj-learn-final-state}:$

fixes $A :: 'v\ literal\ multiset\ set$ **and** $S\ T :: 'st$

assumes

$n\text{-s}: no\text{-step}\ cdcl_{NOT}\text{-merged-bj-learn}\ S$ **and**
 $atms\text{-}S: atms-of-msu\ (clauses\ S) \subseteq atms-of-ms\ A$ **and**
 $atms\text{-}trail: atm-of\ 'lits-of\ (trail\ S) \subseteq atms-of-ms\ A$ **and**
 $n\text{-d}: no-dup\ (trail\ S)$ **and**
 $finite\ A$ **and**
 $inv: inv\ S$ **and**
 $decomp: all\text{-decomposition-implies-}m\ (clauses\ S)\ (get\text{-all-marked-decomposition}\ (trail\ S))$

shows $unsatisfiable\ (set\text{-mset}\ (clauses\ S))$

$\vee (trail\ S \models_{asm}\ clauses\ S \wedge satisfiable\ (set\text{-mset}\ (clauses\ S)))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $full\text{-}cdcl_{NOT}\text{-merged-bj-learn-final-state}:$

fixes $A :: 'v\ literal\ multiset\ set$ **and** $S\ T :: 'st$

assumes

$full: full\ cdcl_{NOT}\text{-merged-bj-learn}\ S\ T$ **and**
 $atms\text{-}S: atms-of-msu\ (clauses\ S) \subseteq atms-of-ms\ A$ **and**
 $atms\text{-}trail: atm-of\ 'lits-of\ (trail\ S) \subseteq atms-of-ms\ A$ **and**
 $n\text{-d}: no-dup\ (trail\ S)$ **and**
 $finite\ A$ **and**
 $inv: inv\ S$ **and**

decomp: all-decomposition-implies-m (clauses S) (get-all-marked-decomposition (trail S))
shows unsatisfiable (set-mset (clauses T))
 \vee (trail $T \models_{asm}$ clauses $T \wedge$ satisfiable (set-mset (clauses T)))
 <proof>
 end

2.8.1 Instantiations

locale *cdcl_{NOT}-with-backtrack-and-restarts* =
conflict-driven-clause-learning-learning-before-backjump-only-distinct-learnt trail clauses
 prepend-trail tl-trail add-cl_{NOT} remove-cl_{NOT} propagate-conds inv backjump-conds
 learn-restrictions forget-restrictions
for
 trail :: 'st \Rightarrow ('v, unit, unit) ann-literals **and**
 clauses :: 'st \Rightarrow 'v clauses **and**
 prepend-trail :: ('v, unit, unit) ann-literal \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**
 tl-trail :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**
 add-cl_{NOT} remove-cl_{NOT}:: 'v clause \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**
 propagate-conds :: ('v, unit, unit) ann-literal \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool **and**
 inv :: 'st \Rightarrow bool **and**
 backjump-conds :: 'v clause \Rightarrow 'v clause \Rightarrow 'v literal \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool **and**
 learn-restrictions forget-restrictions :: 'v clause \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool
 +
fixes f :: nat \Rightarrow nat
assumes
 unbounded: unbounded f **and** f-ge-1: $\bigwedge n. n \geq 1 \Rightarrow f\ n \geq 1$ **and**
 inv-restart: $\bigwedge S\ T. inv\ S \Rightarrow T \sim \text{reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} (\llbracket :: 'a\ list \rrbracket S \Rightarrow inv\ T$
begin

lemma bound-inv-inv:

assumes
 inv S **and**
 n-d: no-dup (trail S) **and**
 atms-clss- S - A : atms-of-msu (clauses S) \subseteq atms-of-ms A **and**
 atms-trail- S - A : atm-of ' lits-of (trail S) \subseteq atms-of-ms A **and**
 finite A **and**
 cdcl_{NOT}: cdcl_{NOT} $S\ T$
shows
 atms-of-msu (clauses T) \subseteq atms-of-ms A **and**
 atm-of ' lits-of (trail T) \subseteq atms-of-ms A **and**
 finite A
 <proof>

sublocale *cdcl_{NOT}-increasing-restarts-ops* $\lambda S\ T. T \sim \text{reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} (\llbracket :: 'a\ list \rrbracket S\ \text{cdcl}_{NOT}\ f$
 $\lambda A\ S. \text{atms-of-msu (clauses } S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A \wedge \text{atm-of ' lits-of (trail } S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A \wedge$
 finite A
 $\mu_{CDCL}'\ \lambda S. inv\ S \wedge \text{no-dup (trail } S)$
 $\mu_{CDCL}'\text{-bound}$
 <proof>

abbreviation cdcl_{NOT}-l **where**

cdcl_{NOT}-l \equiv
 conflict-driven-clause-learning-ops.cdcl_{NOT} trail clauses prepend-trail tl-trail add-cl_{NOT}
 remove-cl_{NOT} propagate-conds ($\lambda - - S\ T. \text{backjump } S\ T$)
 ($\lambda C\ S. \text{distinct-mset } C \wedge \neg \text{tautology } C \wedge \text{learn-restrictions } C\ S$

$\wedge (\exists F K F' C' L. \text{trail } S = F' @ \text{Marked } K () \# F \wedge C = C' + \{\#L\}$
 $\wedge F \models_{as} CNot C' \wedge C' + \{\#L\} \notin \# \text{ clauses } S))$
 $(\lambda C S. \neg (\exists F' F K L. \text{trail } S = F' @ \text{Marked } K () \# F \wedge F \models_{as} CNot (C - \{\#L\})))$
 $\wedge \text{forget-restrictions } C S)$

lemma *cdcl_{NOT}-with-restart- μ_{CDCL}' -le- μ_{CDCL}' -bound:*

assumes

cdcl_{NOT}: *cdcl_{NOT}-restart* (*T*, *a*) (*V*, *b*) **and**

cdcl_{NOT}-inv:

inv *T*

no-dup (*trail* *T*) **and**

bound-inv:

atms-of-msu (*clauses* *T*) \subseteq *atms-of-ms* *A*

atm-of ' *lits-of* (*trail* *T*) \subseteq *atms-of-ms* *A*

finite *A*

shows $\mu_{CDCL}' A V \leq \mu_{CDCL}'\text{-bound } A T$

<proof>

lemma *cdcl_{NOT}-with-restart- μ_{CDCL}' -bound-le- μ_{CDCL}' -bound:*

assumes

cdcl_{NOT}: *cdcl_{NOT}-restart* (*T*, *a*) (*V*, *b*) **and**

cdcl_{NOT}-inv:

inv *T*

no-dup (*trail* *T*) **and**

bound-inv:

atms-of-msu (*clauses* *T*) \subseteq *atms-of-ms* *A*

atm-of ' *lits-of* (*trail* *T*) \subseteq *atms-of-ms* *A*

finite *A*

shows $\mu_{CDCL}'\text{-bound } A V \leq \mu_{CDCL}'\text{-bound } A T$

<proof>

sublocale *cdcl_{NOT}-increasing-restarts* - - - - *f*

$\lambda S T. T \sim \text{reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} ([::'a \text{ list}) S$

$\lambda A S. \text{atms-of-msu} (\text{clauses } S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A$

$\wedge \text{atm-of ' lits-of } (\text{trail } S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A \wedge \text{finite } A$

$\mu_{CDCL}' \text{ cdcl}_{NOT}$

$\lambda S. \text{inv } S \wedge \text{no-dup } (\text{trail } S)$

$\mu_{CDCL}'\text{-bound}$

<proof>

lemma *cdcl_{NOT}-restart-all-decomposition-implies:*

assumes *cdcl_{NOT}-restart* *S T* **and**

inv (*fst* *S*) **and**

no-dup (*trail* (*fst* *S*))

all-decomposition-implies-m (*clauses* (*fst* *S*)) (*get-all-marked-decomposition* (*trail* (*fst* *S*)))

shows

all-decomposition-implies-m (*clauses* (*fst* *T*)) (*get-all-marked-decomposition* (*trail* (*fst* *T*)))

<proof>

lemma *rtrancp-cdcl_{NOT}-restart-all-decomposition-implies:*

assumes *cdcl_{NOT}-restart*** *S T* **and**

inv: *inv* (*fst* *S*) **and**

n-d: *no-dup* (*trail* (*fst* *S*)) **and**

decomp:

all-decomposition-implies-m (*clauses* (*fst* *S*)) (*get-all-marked-decomposition* (*trail* (*fst* *S*)))

shows

all-decomposition-implies-m (*clauses* (*fst T*)) (*get-all-marked-decomposition* (*trail* (*fst T*)))
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *cdcl_{NOT}-restart-sat-ext-iff*:

assumes

st: *cdcl_{NOT}-restart S T* **and**
n-d: *no-dup* (*trail* (*fst S*)) **and**
inv: *inv* (*fst S*)

shows $I \models_{\text{sextm}} \text{clauses } (fst\ S) \longleftrightarrow I \models_{\text{sextm}} \text{clauses}(fst\ T)$

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_{NOT}-restart-sat-ext-iff*:

assumes

st: *cdcl_{NOT}-restart** S T* **and**
n-d: *no-dup* (*trail* (*fst S*)) **and**
inv: *inv* (*fst S*)

shows $I \models_{\text{sextm}} \text{clauses } (fst\ S) \longleftrightarrow I \models_{\text{sextm}} \text{clauses}(fst\ T)$

⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *full-cdcl_{NOT}-restart-backjump-final-state*:

fixes *A* :: '*v* literal multiset set **and** *S T* :: '*st*

assumes

full: *full cdcl_{NOT}-restart (S, n) (T, m)* **and**
atms-S: *atms-of-msu* (*clauses S*) \subseteq *atms-of-ms A* **and**
atms-trail: *atm-of* '*lits-of* (*trail S*) \subseteq *atms-of-ms A* **and**
n-d: *no-dup* (*trail S*) **and**
fin-A[simp]: *finite A* **and**
inv: *inv S* **and**
decomp: *all-decomposition-implies-m* (*clauses S*) (*get-all-marked-decomposition* (*trail S*))

shows *unsatisfiable* (*set-mset* (*clauses S*))

\vee (*lits-of* (*trail T*) \models_{sextm} *clauses S* \wedge *satisfiable* (*set-mset* (*clauses S*)))

⟨*proof*⟩

end — end of *cdcl_{NOT}-with-backtrack-and-restarts* locale

locale *most-general-cdcl_{NOT}* =

dpll-state trail clauses prepend-trail tl-trail add-cl_{NOT} remove-cl_{NOT} +
propagate-ops trail clauses prepend-trail tl-trail add-cl_{NOT} remove-cl_{NOT} propagate-conds +
backjumping-ops trail clauses prepend-trail tl-trail add-cl_{NOT} remove-cl_{NOT} λ- - - -. True

for

trail :: '*st* \Rightarrow ('*v*, *unit*, *unit*) *ann-literals* **and**
clauses :: '*st* \Rightarrow '*v* *clauses* **and**
prepend-trail :: ('*v*, *unit*, *unit*) *ann-literal* \Rightarrow '*st* \Rightarrow '*st* **and**
tl-trail :: '*st* \Rightarrow '*st* **and**
add-cl_{NOT} remove-cl_{NOT}:: '*v* *clause* \Rightarrow '*st* \Rightarrow '*st* **and**
propagate-conds :: ('*v*, *unit*, *unit*) *ann-literal* \Rightarrow '*st* \Rightarrow *bool* **and**
inv :: '*st* \Rightarrow *bool*

begin

lemma *backjump-bj-can-jump*:

assumes

tr-S: *trail S* = *F' @ Marked K () # F* **and**
C: *C* \in *# clauses S* **and**
tr-S-C: *trail S* \models_{as} *CNot C* **and**
undef: *undefined-lit F L* **and**
atm-L: *atm-of L* \in *atms-of-msu* (*clauses S*) \cup *atm-of* '*lits-of* (*F' @ Marked K () # F*) **and**

$cls\text{-}S\text{-}C'$: clauses $S \models_{pm} C' + \{\#L\#\}$ and
 $F\text{-}C'$: $F \models_{as} CNot\ C'$
shows $\neg no\text{-}step\ backjump\ S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

sublocale $dpll\text{-}with\text{-}backjumping\text{-}ops$ - - - - - $inv\ \lambda\text{-}$ - - - -. $True$
 $\langle proof \rangle$
end

The restart does only reset the trail, contrary to Weidenbach's version. But there is a forget rule.

locale $cdcl_{NOT}\text{-}merge\text{-}bj\text{-}learn\text{-}with\text{-}backtrack\text{-}restarts =$
 $cdcl_{NOT}\text{-}merge\text{-}bj\text{-}learn\ trail\ clauses\ prepend\text{-}trail\ tl\text{-}trail\ add\text{-}cls_{NOT}\ remove\text{-}cls_{NOT}$
 $propagate\text{-}conds\ inv\ forget\text{-}conds$
 $\lambda C\ C'\ L'\ S.\ distinct\text{-}mset\ (C' + \{\#L'\#\}) \wedge backjump\text{-}l\text{-}cond\ C\ C'\ L'\ S$
for
 $trail :: 'st \Rightarrow ('v, unit, unit)\ ann\text{-}literals$ **and**
 $clauses :: 'st \Rightarrow 'v\ clauses$ **and**
 $prepend\text{-}trail :: ('v, unit, unit)\ ann\text{-}literal \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st$ **and**
 $tl\text{-}trail :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st$ **and**
 $add\text{-}cls_{NOT}\ remove\text{-}cls_{NOT} :: 'v\ clause \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st$ **and**
 $propagate\text{-}conds :: ('v, unit, unit)\ ann\text{-}literal \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool$ **and**
 $inv :: 'st \Rightarrow bool$ **and**
 $forget\text{-}conds :: 'v\ clause \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool$ **and**
 $backjump\text{-}l\text{-}cond :: 'v\ clause \Rightarrow 'v\ clause \Rightarrow 'v\ literal \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool$
 $+$
fixes $f :: nat \Rightarrow nat$
assumes
 $unbounded: unbounded\ f$ **and** $f\text{-}ge\text{-}1: \bigwedge n. n \geq 1 \implies f\ n \geq 1$ **and**
 $inv\text{-}restart: \bigwedge S\ T. inv\ S \implies T \sim reduce\text{-}trail\text{-}to_{NOT} \ \square\ S \implies inv\ T$
begin

interpretation $cdcl_{NOT}$:
 $conflict\text{-}driven\text{-}clause\text{-}learning\text{-}ops\ trail\ clauses\ prepend\text{-}trail\ tl\text{-}trail\ add\text{-}cls_{NOT}\ remove\text{-}cls_{NOT}$
 $propagate\text{-}conds\ inv\ backjump\text{-}conds\ (\lambda C\ -. \ distinct\text{-}mset\ C \wedge \neg\ tautology\ C)\ forget\text{-}conds$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

interpretation $cdcl_{NOT}$:
 $conflict\text{-}driven\text{-}clause\text{-}learning\ trail\ clauses\ prepend\text{-}trail\ tl\text{-}trail\ add\text{-}cls_{NOT}\ remove\text{-}cls_{NOT}$
 $propagate\text{-}conds\ inv\ backjump\text{-}conds\ (\lambda C\ -. \ distinct\text{-}mset\ C \wedge \neg\ tautology\ C)\ forget\text{-}conds$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $not\text{-}simplified\text{-}cls\ A = \{\#C \in \# A. \ tautology\ C \vee \neg\ distinct\text{-}mset\ C\#\}$

lemma $simple\text{-}clss\text{-}or\text{-}not\text{-}simplified\text{-}cls$:
assumes $atms\text{-}of\text{-}msu\ (clauses\ S) \subseteq atms\text{-}of\text{-}ms\ A$ **and**
 $x \in \# clauses\ S$ **and** $finite\ A$
shows $x \in simple\text{-}clss\ (atms\text{-}of\text{-}ms\ A) \vee x \in \# not\text{-}simplified\text{-}cls\ (clauses\ S)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cdcl_{NOT}\text{-}merged\text{-}bj\text{-}learn\text{-}clauses\text{-}bound$:
assumes
 $cdcl_{NOT}\text{-}merged\text{-}bj\text{-}learn\ S\ T$ **and**

inv: *inv S* **and**
atms-clss: *atms-of-msu (clauses S) ⊆ atms-of-ms A* **and**
atms-trail: *atm-of ‘(lits-of (trail S)) ⊆ atms-of-ms A* **and**
n-d: *no-dup (trail S)* **and**
fin-A[simp]: *finite A*
shows *set-mset (clauses T) ⊆ set-mset (not-simplified-cls (clauses S))*
 \cup *simple-clss (atms-of-ms A)*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *cdcl_{NOT}-merged-bj-learn-not-simplified-decreasing*:
assumes *cdcl_{NOT}-merged-bj-learn S T*
shows *(not-simplified-cls (clauses T)) ⊆# (not-simplified-cls (clauses S))*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_{NOT}-merged-bj-learn-not-simplified-decreasing*:
assumes *cdcl_{NOT}-merged-bj-learn** S T*
shows *(not-simplified-cls (clauses T)) ⊆# (not-simplified-cls (clauses S))*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_{NOT}-merged-bj-learn-clauses-bound*:
assumes
*cdcl_{NOT}-merged-bj-learn** S T* **and**
inv S **and**
atms-of-msu (clauses S) ⊆ atms-of-ms A **and**
atm-of ‘(lits-of (trail S)) ⊆ atms-of-ms A **and**
n-d: *no-dup (trail S)* **and**
finite[simp]: *finite A*
shows *set-mset (clauses T) ⊆ set-mset (not-simplified-cls (clauses S))*
 \cup *simple-clss (atms-of-ms A)*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

abbreviation μ_{CDCL}' -*bound* **where**
 μ_{CDCL}' -*bound A T* == $((2 + \text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A)) \wedge (1 + \text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A))) * 2$
 $+ \text{card } (\text{set-mset } (\text{not-simplified-cls}(\text{clauses } T)))$
 $+ 3 \wedge \text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A)$

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_{NOT}-merged-bj-learn-clauses-bound-card*:
assumes
*cdcl_{NOT}-merged-bj-learn** S T* **and**
inv S **and**
atms-of-msu (clauses S) ⊆ atms-of-ms A **and**
atm-of ‘(lits-of (trail S)) ⊆ atms-of-ms A **and**
n-d: *no-dup (trail S)* **and**
finite: *finite A*
shows μ_{CDCL}' -*merged A T* $\leq \mu_{CDCL}'$ -*bound A S*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

sublocale *cdcl_{NOT}-increasing-restarts-ops* $\lambda S T. T \sim \text{reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} ([::'a \text{ list}]) S$
cdcl_{NOT}-merged-bj-learn f
 $\lambda A S. \text{atms-of-msu } (\text{clauses } S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A$
 $\wedge \text{atm-of ‘lits-of } (\text{trail } S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A \wedge \text{finite } A$
 μ_{CDCL}' -*merged*
 $\lambda S. \text{inv } S \wedge \text{no-dup } (\text{trail } S)$
 μ_{CDCL}' -*bound*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *cdcl_{NOT}-restart- μ_{CDCL}' -merged-le- μ_{CDCL}' -bound:*

assumes

cdcl_{NOT}-restart T V
inv (*fst* T) **and**
no-dup (*trail* (*fst* T)) **and**
atms-of-msu (*clauses* (*fst* T)) \subseteq *atms-of-ms* A **and**
atm-of ' *lits-of* (*trail* (*fst* T)) \subseteq *atms-of-ms* A **and**
finite A

shows $\mu_{CDCL}'\text{-merged } A \text{ (fst } V) \leq \mu_{CDCL}'\text{-bound } A \text{ (fst } T)$

<proof>

lemma *cdcl_{NOT}-restart- μ_{CDCL}' -bound-le- μ_{CDCL}' -bound:*

assumes

cdcl_{NOT}-restart T V **and**
no-dup (*trail* (*fst* T)) **and**
inv (*fst* T) **and**
fin: *finite* A

shows $\mu_{CDCL}'\text{-bound } A \text{ (fst } V) \leq \mu_{CDCL}'\text{-bound } A \text{ (fst } T)$

<proof>

sublocale *cdcl_{NOT}-increasing-restarts* - - - - $f \lambda S T. T \sim \text{reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} ([::'a \text{ list}) S$

$\lambda A S. \text{atms-of-msu } (\text{clauses } S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A$
 $\wedge \text{atm-of ' lits-of } (\text{trail } S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A \wedge \text{finite } A$
 $\mu_{CDCL}'\text{-merged } \text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-merged-bj-learn}$
 $\lambda S. \text{inv } S \wedge \text{no-dup } (\text{trail } S)$
 $\lambda A T. ((2 + \text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A)) \wedge (1 + \text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A))) * 2$
 $+ \text{card } (\text{set-mset } (\text{not-simplified-cl}(\text{clauses } T)))$
 $+ 3 \wedge \text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A)$
<proof>

lemma *cdcl_{NOT}-restart-eq-sat-iff:*

assumes

cdcl_{NOT}-restart S T **and**
no-dup (*trail* (*fst* S))
inv (*fst* S)

shows $I \models_{\text{sextm}} \text{clauses } (\text{fst } S) \longleftrightarrow I \models_{\text{sextm}} \text{clauses } (\text{fst } T)$

<proof>

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_{NOT}-restart-eq-sat-iff:*

assumes

*cdcl_{NOT}-restart*** S T **and**
inv: *inv* (*fst* S) **and** *n-d*: *no-dup*(*trail* (*fst* S))

shows $I \models_{\text{sextm}} \text{clauses } (\text{fst } S) \longleftrightarrow I \models_{\text{sextm}} \text{clauses } (\text{fst } T)$

<proof>

lemma *cdcl_{NOT}-restart-all-decomposition-implies-m:*

assumes

cdcl_{NOT}-restart S T **and**
inv: *inv* (*fst* S) **and** *n-d*: *no-dup*(*trail* (*fst* S)) **and**
all-decomposition-implies-m (*clauses* (*fst* S))
(*get-all-marked-decomposition* (*trail* (*fst* S)))

shows *all-decomposition-implies-m* (*clauses* (*fst* T))

(*get-all-marked-decomposition* (*trail* (*fst* T)))

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancp-cdcl_{NOT}-restart-all-decomposition-implies-m*:

assumes

*cdcl_{NOT}-restart*** *S T* **and**

inv: *inv* (*fst S*) **and** *n-d*: *no-dup*(*trail* (*fst S*)) **and**

decomp: *all-decomposition-implies-m* (*clauses* (*fst S*))

(*get-all-marked-decomposition* (*trail* (*fst S*)))

shows *all-decomposition-implies-m* (*clauses* (*fst T*))

(*get-all-marked-decomposition* (*trail* (*fst T*)))

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *full-cdcl_{NOT}-restart-normal-form*:

assumes

full: *full cdcl_{NOT}-restart* *S T* **and**

inv: *inv* (*fst S*) **and** *n-d*: *no-dup*(*trail* (*fst S*)) **and**

decomp: *all-decomposition-implies-m* (*clauses* (*fst S*))

(*get-all-marked-decomposition* (*trail* (*fst S*))) **and**

atms-cls: *atms-of-msu* (*clauses* (*fst S*)) \subseteq *atms-of-ms* *A* **and**

atms-trail: *atm-of* ' *lits-of* (*trail* (*fst S*)) \subseteq *atms-of-ms* *A* **and**

fin: *finite* *A*

shows *unsatisfiable* (*set-mset* (*clauses* (*fst S*)))

\vee *lits-of* (*trail* (*fst T*)) \models_{sextm} *clauses* (*fst S*) \wedge *satisfiable* (*set-mset* (*clauses* (*fst S*)))

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *full-cdcl_{NOT}-restart-normal-form-init-state*:

assumes

init-state: *trail S* = [] *clauses S* = *N* **and**

full: *full cdcl_{NOT}-restart* (*S*, 0) *T* **and**

inv: *inv S*

shows *unsatisfiable* (*set-mset N*)

\vee *lits-of* (*trail* (*fst T*)) \models_{sextm} *N* \wedge *satisfiable* (*set-mset N*)

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

end

theory *DPLL-NOT*

imports *CDCL-NOT*

begin

3 DPLL as an instance of NOT

3.1 DPLL with simple backtrack

locale *dpll-with-backtrack*

begin

inductive *backtrack* :: ('*v*, *unit*, *unit*) *ann-literal list* \times '*v* *clauses*

\Rightarrow ('*v*, *unit*, *unit*) *ann-literal list* \times '*v* *clauses* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**

backtrack-split (*fst S*) = (*M'*, *L* # *M*) \Longrightarrow *is-marked* *L* \Longrightarrow *D* $\in \#$ *snd S*

\Longrightarrow *fst S* \models_{as} *CNot D* \Longrightarrow *backtrack S* (*Propagated* ($-$ (*lit-of* *L*)) () # *M*, *snd S*)

inductive-cases *backtrackE*[*elim*]: *backtrack* (*M*, *N*) (*M'*, *N'*)

lemma *backtrack-is-backjump*:

fixes *M M'* :: ('*v*, *unit*, *unit*) *ann-literal list*

assumes

backtrack: *backtrack* (*M*, *N*) (*M'*, *N'*) **and**

no-dup: (*no-dup* \circ *fst*) (*M*, *N*) **and**

decomp: *all-decomposition-implies-m* *N* (*get-all-marked-decomposition* *M*)

shows

$\exists C F' K F L l C'.$

$M = F' @ \text{Marked } K () \# F \wedge$

$M' = \text{Propagated } L l \# F \wedge N = N' \wedge C \in \# N \wedge F' @ \text{Marked } K d \# F \models_{as} CNot C \wedge$

$\text{undefined-lit } F L \wedge \text{atm-of } L \in \text{atms-of-msu } N \cup \text{atm-of ' lits-of } (F' @ \text{Marked } K d \# F) \wedge$

$N \models_{pm} C' + \{\#L\# \} \wedge F \models_{as} CNot C'$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *backtrack-is-backjump'*:

fixes *M M' :: ('v, unit, unit) ann-literal list*

assumes

backtrack: *backtrack* *S T* **and**

no-dup: (*no-dup* \circ *fst*) *S* **and**

decomp: *all-decomposition-implies-m* (*snd S*) (*get-all-marked-decomposition* (*fst S*))

shows

$\exists C F' K F L l C'.$

$\text{fst } S = F' @ \text{Marked } K () \# F \wedge$

$T = (\text{Propagated } L l \# F, \text{snd } S) \wedge C \in \# \text{snd } S \wedge \text{fst } S \models_{as} CNot C$

$\wedge \text{undefined-lit } F L \wedge \text{atm-of } L \in \text{atms-of-msu } (\text{snd } S) \cup \text{atm-of ' lits-of } (\text{fst } S) \wedge$

$\text{snd } S \models_{pm} C' + \{\#L\# \} \wedge F \models_{as} CNot C'$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

sublocale *dpll-state fst snd* $\lambda L (M, N). (L \# M, N) \lambda (M, N). (tl M, N)$

$\lambda C (M, N). (M, \{\#C\# \} + N) \lambda C (M, N). (M, \text{remove-mset } C N)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

sublocale *backjumping-ops fst snd* $\lambda L (M, N). (L \# M, N) \lambda (M, N). (tl M, N)$

$\lambda C (M, N). (M, \{\#C\# \} + N) \lambda C (M, N). (M, \text{remove-mset } C N) \lambda - - S T. \text{backtrack } S T$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *backtrack-is-backjump''*:

fixes *M M' :: ('v, unit, unit) ann-literal list*

assumes

backtrack: *backtrack* *S T* **and**

no-dup: (*no-dup* \circ *fst*) *S* **and**

decomp: *all-decomposition-implies-m* (*snd S*) (*get-all-marked-decomposition* (*fst S*))

shows *backjump* *S T*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *can-do-bt-step*:

assumes

M: $\text{fst } S = F' @ \text{Marked } K d \# F$ **and**

$C \in \# \text{snd } S$ **and**

C: $\text{fst } S \models_{as} CNot C$

shows $\neg \text{no-step backtrack } S$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

sublocale *dpll-with-backtrack* $\subseteq \text{dpll-with-backjumping-ops fst snd } \lambda L (M, N). (L \# M, N)$

$\lambda (M, N). (tl M, N) \lambda C (M, N). (M, \{\#C\# \} + N) \lambda C (M, N). (M, \text{remove-mset } C N) \lambda - -. \text{True}$

$\lambda(M, N). \text{no-dup } M \wedge \text{all-decomposition-implies-m } N \text{ (get-all-marked-decomposition } M)$
 $\lambda- - S \ T. \text{backtrack } S \ T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

sublocale $\text{dpll-with-backtrack} \subseteq \text{dpll-with-backjumping fst snd } \lambda L \ (M, N). (L \# M, N)$
 $\lambda(M, N). (tl \ M, N) \ \lambda C \ (M, N). (M, \{\#C\# \} + N) \ \lambda C \ (M, N). (M, \text{remove-mset } C \ N) \ \lambda- -. \text{True}$
 $\lambda(M, N). \text{no-dup } M \wedge \text{all-decomposition-implies-m } N \text{ (get-all-marked-decomposition } M)$
 $\lambda- - S \ T. \text{backtrack } S \ T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

sublocale $\text{dpll-with-backtrack} \subseteq \text{conflict-driven-clause-learning-ops}$
 $\text{fst snd } \lambda L \ (M, N). (L \# M, N)$
 $\lambda(M, N). (tl \ M, N) \ \lambda C \ (M, N). (M, \{\#C\# \} + N) \ \lambda C \ (M, N). (M, \text{remove-mset } C \ N) \ \lambda- -. \text{True}$
 $\lambda(M, N). \text{no-dup } M \wedge \text{all-decomposition-implies-m } N \text{ (get-all-marked-decomposition } M)$
 $\lambda- - S \ T. \text{backtrack } S \ T \ \lambda- -. \text{False } \lambda- -. \text{False}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

sublocale $\text{dpll-with-backtrack} \subseteq \text{conflict-driven-clause-learning}$
 $\text{fst snd } \lambda L \ (M, N). (L \# M, N)$
 $\lambda(M, N). (tl \ M, N) \ \lambda C \ (M, N). (M, \{\#C\# \} + N) \ \lambda C \ (M, N). (M, \text{remove-mset } C \ N) \ \lambda- -. \text{True}$
 $\lambda(M, N). \text{no-dup } M \wedge \text{all-decomposition-implies-m } N \text{ (get-all-marked-decomposition } M)$
 $\lambda- - S \ T. \text{backtrack } S \ T \ \lambda- -. \text{False } \lambda- -. \text{False}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

context $\text{dpll-with-backtrack}$

begin

lemma $\text{wf-tranclp-dpll-initail-state:}$

assumes $\text{fin: finite } A$

shows $\text{wf } \{((M'::('v, \text{unit}, \text{unit}) \text{ann-literals}, N'::'v \text{ clauses}), ([], N)) | M' \ N' \ N. \\ \text{dpll-bj}^{++} ([], N) (M', N') \wedge \text{atms-of-msu } N \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary $\text{full-dpll-final-state-conclusive:}$

fixes $M \ M' :: ('v, \text{unit}, \text{unit}) \text{ann-literal list}$

assumes

$\text{full: full dpll-bj } ([], N) (M', N')$

shows $\text{unsatisfiable } (\text{set-mset } N) \vee (M' \models_{\text{asm}} N \wedge \text{satisfiable } (\text{set-mset } N))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary $\text{full-dpll-normal-form-from-init-state:}$

fixes $M \ M' :: ('v, \text{unit}, \text{unit}) \text{ann-literal list}$

assumes

$\text{full: full dpll-bj } ([], N) (M', N')$

shows $M' \models_{\text{asm}} N \longleftrightarrow \text{satisfiable } (\text{set-mset } N)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cdcl}_{\text{NOT}}\text{-is-dpll:}$

$\text{cdcl}_{\text{NOT}} \ S \ T \longleftrightarrow \text{dpll-bj } S \ T$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Another proof of termination:

lemma $\text{wf } \{(T, S). \text{dpll-bj } S \ T \wedge \text{cdcl}_{\text{NOT}}\text{-NOT-all-inv } A \ S\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

3.2 Adding restarts

```

locale dpll-withbacktrack-and-restarts =
  dpll-with-backtrack +
  fixes f :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat
  assumes unbounded: unbounded f and f-ge-1:  $\bigwedge n. n \geq 1 \implies f\ n \geq 1$ 
begin
  sublocale cdclNOT-increasing-restarts fst snd  $\lambda L\ (M, N). (L \# M, N) \lambda(M, N). (tl\ M, N)$ 
     $\lambda C\ (M, N). (M, \{\#C\# \} + N) \lambda C\ (M, N). (M, remove-mset\ C\ N) f \lambda(-, N) S. S = ([, N)$ 
     $\lambda A\ (M, N). atms-of-msu\ N \subseteq atms-of-ms\ A \wedge atm-of\ ' lits-of\ M \subseteq atms-of-ms\ A \wedge finite\ A$ 
     $\wedge all-decomposition-implies-m\ N\ (get-all-marked-decomposition\ M)$ 
     $\lambda A\ T. (2+card\ (atms-of-ms\ A)) \wedge (1+card\ (atms-of-ms\ A))$ 
     $- \mu_C\ (1+card\ (atms-of-ms\ A))\ (2+card\ (atms-of-ms\ A))\ (trail-weight\ T)\ dpll-bj$ 
     $\lambda(M, N). no-dup\ M \wedge all-decomposition-implies-m\ N\ (get-all-marked-decomposition\ M)$ 
     $\lambda A\ -. (2+card\ (atms-of-ms\ A)) \wedge (1+card\ (atms-of-ms\ A))$ 
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 
end

```

```

end
theory DPLL-W
imports Main Partial-Clausal-Logic Partial-Annotated-Clausal-Logic List-More Wellfounded-More
  DPLL-NOT
begin

```

4 DPLL

4.1 Rules

```

type-synonym 'a dpllW-ann-literal = ('a, unit, unit) ann-literal
type-synonym 'a dpllW-ann-literals = ('a, unit, unit) ann-literals
type-synonym 'v dpllW-state = 'v dpllW-ann-literals  $\times$  'v clauses

```

```

abbreviation trail :: 'v dpllW-state  $\Rightarrow$  'v dpllW-ann-literals where
  trail  $\equiv$  fst
abbreviation clauses :: 'v dpllW-state  $\Rightarrow$  'v clauses where
  clauses  $\equiv$  snd

```

The definition of DPLL is given in figure 2.13 page 70 of CW.

```

inductive dpllW :: 'v dpllW-state  $\Rightarrow$  'v dpllW-state  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  propagate:  $C + \{\#L\# \} \in \# clauses\ S \implies trail\ S \models_{as} CNot\ C \implies undefined-lit\ (trail\ S)\ L$ 
     $\implies dpll_W\ S\ (Propagated\ L\ () \# trail\ S, clauses\ S) \mid$ 
  decided:  $undefined-lit\ (trail\ S)\ L \implies atm-of\ L \in atms-of-msu\ (clauses\ S)$ 
     $\implies dpll_W\ S\ (Marked\ L\ () \# trail\ S, clauses\ S) \mid$ 
  backtrack:  $backtrack-split\ (trail\ S) = (M', L \# M) \implies is-marked\ L \implies D \in \# clauses\ S$ 
     $\implies trail\ S \models_{as} CNot\ D \implies dpll_W\ S\ (Propagated\ (-\ (lit-of\ L))\ () \# M, clauses\ S)$ 

```

4.2 Invariants

```

lemma dpllW-distinct-inv:
  assumes dpllW S S'
  and no-dup (trail S)
  shows no-dup (trail S')
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma dpllW-consistent-interp-inv:
  assumes dpllW S S'

```

and *consistent-interp* (*lits-of* (*trail S*))
and *no-dup* (*trail S*)
shows *consistent-interp* (*lits-of* (*trail S'*))
 <proof>

lemma *dpll_W-vars-in-snd-inv*:

assumes *dpll_W* *S S'*
and *atm-of* ' (*lits-of* (*trail S*)) \subseteq *atms-of-msu* (*clauses S*)
shows *atm-of* ' (*lits-of* (*trail S'*)) \subseteq *atms-of-msu* (*clauses S'*)
 <proof>

lemma *atms-of-ms-lit-of-atms-of*: *atms-of-ms* (($\lambda a. \{\#lit\text{-of } a\# \}$) ' *c*) = *atm-of* ' *lit-of* ' *c*
 <proof>

Lemma theorem 2.8.2 page 71 of CW

lemma *dpll_W-propagate-is-conclusion*:

assumes *dpll_W* *S S'*
and *all-decomposition-implies-m* (*clauses S*) (*get-all-marked-decomposition* (*trail S*))
and *atm-of* ' *lits-of* (*trail S*) \subseteq *atms-of-msu* (*clauses S*)
shows *all-decomposition-implies-m* (*clauses S'*) (*get-all-marked-decomposition* (*trail S'*))
 <proof>

Lemma theorem 2.8.3 page 72 of CW

theorem *dpll_W-propagate-is-conclusion-of-decided*:

assumes *dpll_W* *S S'*
and *all-decomposition-implies-m* (*clauses S*) (*get-all-marked-decomposition* (*trail S*))
and *atm-of* ' *lits-of* (*trail S*) \subseteq *atms-of-msu* (*clauses S*)
shows *set-mset* (*clauses S'*) $\cup \{\{\#lit\text{-of } L\# \} \mid L. is\text{-marked } L \wedge L \in set\ (trail\ S')\}$
 $\models_{ps} (\lambda a. \{\#lit\text{-of } a\# \}) ' \bigcup (set\ ' snd\ ' set\ (get\text{-all-marked-decomposition}\ (trail\ S')))$
 <proof>

Lemma theorem 2.8.4 page 72 of CW

lemma *only-propagated-vars-unsat*:

assumes *marked*: $\forall x \in set\ M. \neg is\text{-marked } x$
and *DN*: $D \in N$ **and** $D: M \models_{as} CNot\ D$
and *inv*: *all-decomposition-implies* *N* (*get-all-marked-decomposition* *M*)
and *atm-incl*: *atm-of* ' *lits-of* *M* \subseteq *atms-of-ms* *N*
shows *unsatisfiable N*
 <proof>

lemma *dpll_W-same-clauses*:

assumes *dpll_W* *S S'*
shows *clauses S* = *clauses S'*
 <proof>

lemma *rtrancpl-dpll_W-inv*:

assumes *rtrancpl* *dpll_W* *S S'*
and *inv*: *all-decomposition-implies-m* (*clauses S*) (*get-all-marked-decomposition* (*trail S*))
and *atm-incl*: *atm-of* ' *lits-of* (*trail S*) \subseteq *atms-of-msu* (*clauses S*)
and *consistent-interp* (*lits-of* (*trail S*))
and *no-dup* (*trail S*)
shows *all-decomposition-implies-m* (*clauses S'*) (*get-all-marked-decomposition* (*trail S'*))
and *atm-of* ' *lits-of* (*trail S'*) \subseteq *atms-of-msu* (*clauses S'*)
and *clauses S* = *clauses S'*
and *consistent-interp* (*lits-of* (*trail S'*))

and *no-dup* (*trail S'*)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

definition *dpll_W-all-inv S* \equiv
 (*all-decomposition-implies-m* (*clauses S*) (*get-all-marked-decomposition* (*trail S*))
 \wedge *atm-of* ' *lits-of* (*trail S*) \subseteq *atms-of-msu* (*clauses S*)
 \wedge *consistent-interp* (*lits-of* (*trail S*))
 \wedge *no-dup* (*trail S*))

lemma *dpll_W-all-inv-dest[dest]*:
assumes *dpll_W-all-inv S*
shows *all-decomposition-implies-m* (*clauses S*) (*get-all-marked-decomposition* (*trail S*))
and *atm-of* ' *lits-of* (*trail S*) \subseteq *atms-of-msu* (*clauses S*)
and *consistent-interp* (*lits-of* (*trail S*)) \wedge *no-dup* (*trail S*)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *rtrancpl-dpll_W-all-inv*:
assumes *rtrancpl dpll_W S S'*
and *dpll_W-all-inv S*
shows *dpll_W-all-inv S'*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *dpll_W-all-inv*:
assumes *dpll_W S S'*
and *dpll_W-all-inv S*
shows *dpll_W-all-inv S'*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *rtrancpl-dpll_W-inv-starting-from-0*:
assumes *rtrancpl dpll_W S S'*
and *inv: trail S = []*
shows *dpll_W-all-inv S'*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *dpll_W-can-do-step*:
assumes *consistent-interp* (*set M*)
and *distinct M*
and *atm-of* ' (*set M*) \subseteq *atms-of-msu N*
shows *rtrancpl dpll_W ([], N)* (*map* ($\lambda M. \text{Marked } M$ ()) *M*, *N*)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

definition *conclusive-dpll_W-state (S:: 'v dpll_W-state)* \longleftrightarrow
 (*trail S* \models_{asm} *clauses S* \vee ($\forall L \in \text{set } (\text{trail } S). \neg \text{is-marked } L$)
 \wedge ($\exists C \in \# \text{ clauses } S. \text{trail } S \models_{as} C \text{Not } C$))

lemma *dpll_W-strong-completeness*:
assumes *set M* $\models_{sm} N$
and *consistent-interp* (*set M*)
and *distinct M*
and *atm-of* ' (*set M*) \subseteq *atms-of-msu N*
shows *dpll_W** ([], N)* (*map* ($\lambda M. \text{Marked } M$ ()) *M*, *N*)
and *conclusive-dpll_W-state* (*map* ($\lambda M. \text{Marked } M$ ()) *M*, *N*)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *dp_{ll}_W-sound*:

assumes

rtranc_{lp} *dp_{ll}_W* ($\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket$, *N*) (*M*, *N*) **and**

$\forall S. \neg \text{dp}_{llW} (M, N) S$

shows $M \models_{asm} N \longleftrightarrow \text{satisfiable } (\text{set-mset } N) \text{ (is } ?A \longleftrightarrow ?B)$

<proof>

4.3 Termination

definition *dp_{ll}_W-mes* *M n* =

map ($\lambda l. \text{if is-marked } l \text{ then } 2 \text{ else } (1::nat)$) (*rev M*) @ *replicate* (*n* - *length M*) 3

lemma *length-dp_{ll}_W-mes*:

assumes *length M* $\leq n$

shows *length* (*dp_{ll}_W-mes M n*) = *n*

<proof>

lemma *distinctcard-atm-of-lit-of-eq-length*:

assumes *no-dup S*

shows *card* (*atm-of* ' *lits-of S*) = *length S*

<proof>

lemma *dp_{ll}_W-card-decrease*:

assumes *dp_{ll}*: *dp_{ll}_W S S'* **and** *length* (*trail S'*) \leq *card vars*

and *length* (*trail S*) \leq *card vars*

shows (*dp_{ll}_W-mes* (*trail S'*) (*card vars*), *dp_{ll}_W-mes* (*trail S*) (*card vars*))

$\in \text{lexn } \{(a, b). a < b\} \text{ (card vars)}$

<proof>

Proposition theorem 2.8.7 page 73 of CW

lemma *dp_{ll}_W-card-decrease'*:

assumes *dp_{ll}*: *dp_{ll}_W S S'*

and *atm-incl*: *atm-of* ' *lits-of* (*trail S*) \subseteq *atms-of-msu* (*clauses S*)

and *no-dup*: *no-dup* (*trail S*)

shows (*dp_{ll}_W-mes* (*trail S'*) (*card* (*atms-of-msu* (*clauses S'*))),

dp_{ll}_W-mes (*trail S*) (*card* (*atms-of-msu* (*clauses S*)))) $\in \text{lex } \{(a, b). a < b\}$

<proof>

lemma *wf-lexn*: *wf* ($\text{lexn } \{(a, b). (a::nat) < b\} \text{ (card (atms-of-msu (clauses S)))}$)

<proof>

lemma *dp_{ll}_W-wf*:

wf $\{(S', S). \text{dp}_{llW}\text{-all-inv } S \wedge \text{dp}_{llW} S S'\}$

<proof>

lemma *dp_{ll}_W-tranc_{lp}-star-commute*:

$\{(S', S). \text{dp}_{llW}\text{-all-inv } S \wedge \text{dp}_{llW} S S'\}^+ = \{(S', S). \text{dp}_{llW}\text{-all-inv } S \wedge \text{tranc}_{lp} \text{dp}_{llW} S S'\}$

(is $?A = ?B$)

<proof>

lemma *dp_{ll}_W-wf-tranc_{lp}*: *wf* $\{(S', S). \text{dp}_{llW}\text{-all-inv } S \wedge \text{dp}_{llW}^{++} S S'\}$

<proof>

lemma *dp_{ll}_W-wf-plus*:

shows $wf \{(S', ([], N)) \mid S'. \text{dpll}_W^{++} ([], N) S'\} \text{ (is } wf ?P)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

4.4 Final States

lemma *dpll_W-no-more-step-is-a-conclusive-state*:

assumes $\forall S'. \neg \text{dpll}_W S S'$
shows *conclusive-dpll_W-state* S
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dpll_W-conclusive-state-correct*:

assumes $\text{dpll}_W^{**} ([], N) (M, N)$ **and** *conclusive-dpll_W-state* (M, N)
shows $M \models_{asm} N \longleftrightarrow \text{satisfiable (set-mset } N)$ **(is** $?A \longleftrightarrow ?B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

4.5 Link with NOT's DPLL

interpretation *dpll_{W-NOT}*: *dpll-with-backtrack* $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *state-eq_{NOT}-iff-eq[iff, simp]*: $\text{dpll}_{W-NOT}.state\text{-eq}_{NOT} S T \longleftrightarrow S = T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

declare $\text{dpll}_{W-NOT}.state\text{-simp}_{NOT}[\text{simp del}]$

lemma *dpll_W-dpll_W-bj*:

assumes *inv*: *dpll_W-all-inv* S **and** *dpll*: $\text{dpll}_W S T$
shows $\text{dpll}_{W-NOT}.dpll\text{-bj} S T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dpll_W-bj-dpll*:

assumes *inv*: *dpll_W-all-inv* S **and** *dpll*: $\text{dpll}_{W-NOT}.dpll\text{-bj} S T$
shows $\text{dpll}_W S T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtrancp-dpll_W-rtrancp-dpll_{W-NOT}*:

assumes $\text{dpll}_W^{**} S T$ **and** *dpll_W-all-inv* S
shows $\text{dpll}_{W-NOT}.dpll\text{-bj}^{**} S T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtrancp-dpll-rtrancp-dpll_W*:

assumes $\text{dpll}_{W-NOT}.dpll\text{-bj}^{**} S T$ **and** *dpll_W-all-inv* S
shows $\text{dpll}_W^{**} S T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dpll-conclusive-state-correctness*:

assumes $\text{dpll}_{W-NOT}.dpll\text{-bj}^{**} ([], N) (M, N)$ **and** *conclusive-dpll_W-state* (M, N)
shows $M \models_{asm} N \longleftrightarrow \text{satisfiable (set-mset } N)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

theory *CDCL-W-Level*

imports *Partial-Annotated-Clausal-Logic*

begin

4.5.1 Level of literals and clauses

Getting the level of a variable, implies that the list has to be reversed. Here is the funtion after reversing.

```
fun get-rev-level :: ('v, nat, 'a) ann-literals  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'v literal  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
  get-rev-level [] - = 0 |
  get-rev-level (Marked l level # Ls) n L =
    (if atm-of l = atm-of L then level else get-rev-level Ls level L) |
  get-rev-level (Propagated l - # Ls) n L =
    (if atm-of l = atm-of L then n else get-rev-level Ls n L)
```

abbreviation get-level $M L \equiv$ get-rev-level (rev M) 0 L

lemma get-rev-level-uminus[simp]: get-rev-level $M n(-L) =$ get-rev-level $M n L$
 <proof>

lemma atm-of-notin-get-rev-level-eq-0[simp]:
 assumes atm-of $L \notin$ atm-of ' lits-of M
 shows get-rev-level $M n L = 0$
 <proof>

lemma get-rev-level-ge-0-atm-of-in:
 assumes get-rev-level $M n L > n$
 shows atm-of $L \in$ atm-of ' lits-of M
 <proof>

In get-rev-level (resp. get-level), the beginning (resp. the end) can be skipped if the literal is not in the beginning (resp. the end).

lemma get-rev-level-skip[simp]:
 assumes atm-of $L \notin$ atm-of ' lits-of M
 shows get-rev-level ($M @$ Marked $K i \# M'$) $n L =$ get-rev-level (Marked $K i \# M'$) $i L$
 <proof>

lemma get-rev-level-notin-end[simp]:
 assumes atm-of $L \notin$ atm-of ' lits-of M'
 shows get-rev-level ($M @ M'$) $n L =$ get-rev-level $M n L$
 <proof>

If the literal is at the beginning, then the end can be skipped

lemma get-rev-level-skip-end[simp]:
 assumes atm-of $L \in$ atm-of ' lits-of M
 shows get-rev-level ($M @ M'$) $n L =$ get-rev-level $M n L$
 <proof>

lemma get-level-skip-beginning:
 assumes atm-of $L' \neq$ atm-of (lit-of K)
 shows get-level ($K \# M$) $L' =$ get-level $M L'$
 <proof>

lemma get-level-skip-beginning-not-marked-rev:
 assumes atm-of $L \notin$ atm-of ' lit-of ' (set S)
 and $\forall s \in \text{set } S. \neg \text{is-marked } s$
 shows get-level ($M @ \text{rev } S$) $L =$ get-level $M L$
 <proof>

lemma *get-level-skip-beginning-not-marked*[simp]:
assumes *atm-of* $L \notin \text{atm-of } \text{'lit-of '}(set\ S)$
and $\forall s \in set\ S. \neg is\ marked\ s$
shows *get-level* ($M @ S$) $L = \text{get-level } M\ L$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *get-rev-level-skip-beginning-not-marked*[simp]:
assumes *atm-of* $L \notin \text{atm-of } \text{'lit-of '}(set\ S)$
and $\forall s \in set\ S. \neg is\ marked\ s$
shows *get-rev-level* ($rev\ S @ rev\ M$) $0\ L = \text{get-level } M\ L$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *get-level-skip-in-all-not-marked*:
fixes $M :: ('a, nat, 'b)\ \text{ann-literal list}$ **and** $L :: 'a\ \text{literal}$
assumes $\forall m \in set\ M. \neg is\ marked\ m$
and *atm-of* $L \in \text{atm-of } \text{'lit-of '}(set\ M)$
shows *get-rev-level* $M\ n\ L = n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *get-level-skip-all-not-marked*[simp]:
fixes M
defines $M' \equiv rev\ M$
assumes $\forall m \in set\ M. \neg is\ marked\ m$
shows *get-level* $M\ L = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation $MMax\ M \equiv Max\ (set\ mset\ M)$

the $\{\#0::'a\#\}$ is there to ensures that the set is not empty.

definition *get-maximum-level* $:: ('a, nat, 'b)\ \text{ann-literal list} \Rightarrow 'a\ \text{literal multiset} \Rightarrow nat$
where
get-maximum-level $M\ D = MMax\ (\{\#0\#\} + \text{image-mset } (\text{get-level } M)\ D)$

lemma *get-maximum-level-ge-get-level*:
 $L \in \# D \implies \text{get-maximum-level } M\ D \geq \text{get-level } M\ L$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *get-maximum-level-empty*[simp]:
 $\text{get-maximum-level } M\ \{\#\} = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *get-maximum-level-exists-lit-of-max-level*:
 $D \neq \{\#\} \implies \exists L \in \# D. \text{get-level } M\ L = \text{get-maximum-level } M\ D$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *get-maximum-level-empty-list*[simp]:
 $\text{get-maximum-level } []\ D = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *get-maximum-level-single*[simp]:
 $\text{get-maximum-level } M\ \{\#L\#\} = \text{get-level } M\ L$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *get-maximum-level-plus*:

get-maximum-level $M (D + D') = \max (\text{get-maximum-level } M D) (\text{get-maximum-level } M D')$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *get-maximum-level-exists-lit*:

assumes $n: n > 0$
and $\text{max}: \text{get-maximum-level } M D = n$
shows $\exists L \in \#D. \text{get-level } M L = n$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *get-maximum-level-skip-first[simp]*:

assumes $\text{atm-of } L \notin \text{atms-of } D$
shows $\text{get-maximum-level } (\text{Propagated } L C \# M) D = \text{get-maximum-level } M D$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *get-maximum-level-skip-beginning*:

assumes $DH: \text{atms-of } D \subseteq \text{atm-of 'lits-of } H$
shows $\text{get-maximum-level } (c @ \text{Marked } Kh i \# H) D = \text{get-maximum-level } H D$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *get-maximum-level-D-single-propagated*:

$\text{get-maximum-level } [\text{Propagated } x21 x22] D = 0$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *get-maximum-level-skip-notin*:

assumes $D: \forall L \in \#D. \text{atm-of } L \in \text{atm-of 'lits-of } M$
shows $\text{get-maximum-level } M D = \text{get-maximum-level } (\text{Propagated } x21 x22 \# M) D$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *get-maximum-level-skip-un-marked-not-present*:

assumes $\forall L \in \#D. \text{atm-of } L \in \text{atm-of ' lits-of } aa$ **and**
 $\forall m \in \text{set } M. \neg \text{is-marked } m$
shows $\text{get-maximum-level } aa D = \text{get-maximum-level } (M @ aa) D$

⟨proof⟩

fun *get-maximum-possible-level*:: ('b, nat, 'c) ann-literal list \Rightarrow nat **where**

$\text{get-maximum-possible-level } [] = 0$ |

$\text{get-maximum-possible-level } (\text{Marked } K i \# l) = \max i (\text{get-maximum-possible-level } l)$ |

$\text{get-maximum-possible-level } (\text{Propagated } - \# l) = \text{get-maximum-possible-level } l$

lemma *get-maximum-possible-level-append[simp]*:

$\text{get-maximum-possible-level } (M @ M')$
 $= \max (\text{get-maximum-possible-level } M) (\text{get-maximum-possible-level } M')$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *get-maximum-possible-level-rev[simp]*:

$\text{get-maximum-possible-level } (\text{rev } M) = \text{get-maximum-possible-level } M$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *get-maximum-possible-level-ge-get-rev-level*:

$\max (\text{get-maximum-possible-level } M) i \geq \text{get-rev-level } M i L$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *get-maximum-possible-level-ge-get-level[simp]*:

$\text{get-maximum-possible-level } M \geq \text{get-level } M L$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *get-maximum-possible-level-ge-get-maximum-level[simp]:*
get-maximum-possible-level M ≥ get-maximum-level M D
 ⟨proof⟩

fun *get-all-mark-of-propagated where*
get-all-mark-of-propagated [] = [] |
get-all-mark-of-propagated (Marked - - # L) = get-all-mark-of-propagated L |
get-all-mark-of-propagated (Propagated - mark # L) = mark # get-all-mark-of-propagated L

lemma *get-all-mark-of-propagated-append[simp]:*
get-all-mark-of-propagated (A @ B) = get-all-mark-of-propagated A @ get-all-mark-of-propagated B
 ⟨proof⟩

4.5.2 Properties about the levels

fun *get-all-levels-of-marked :: ('b, 'a, 'c) ann-literal list ⇒ 'a list where*
get-all-levels-of-marked [] = [] |
get-all-levels-of-marked (Marked l level # Ls) = level # get-all-levels-of-marked Ls |
get-all-levels-of-marked (Propagated - - # Ls) = get-all-levels-of-marked Ls

lemma *get-all-levels-of-marked-nil-iff-not-is-marked:*
get-all-levels-of-marked xs = [] ⟷ (∀ x ∈ set xs. ¬is-marked x)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *get-all-levels-of-marked-cons:*
get-all-levels-of-marked (a # b) =
(if is-marked a then [level-of a] else []) @ get-all-levels-of-marked b
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *get-all-levels-of-marked-append[simp]:*
get-all-levels-of-marked (a @ b) = get-all-levels-of-marked a @ get-all-levels-of-marked b
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *in-get-all-levels-of-marked-iff-decomp:*
i ∈ set (get-all-levels-of-marked M) ⟷ (∃ c K c'. M = c @ Marked K i # c') (is ?A ⟷ ?B)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *get-rev-level-less-max-get-all-levels-of-marked:*
get-rev-level M n L ≤ Max (set (n # get-all-levels-of-marked M))
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *get-rev-level-ge-min-get-all-levels-of-marked:*
assumes *atm-of L ∈ atm-of ' lits-of M*
shows *get-rev-level M n L ≥ Min (set (n # get-all-levels-of-marked M))*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *get-all-levels-of-marked-rev-eq-rev-get-all-levels-of-marked[simp]:*
get-all-levels-of-marked (rev M) = rev (get-all-levels-of-marked M)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *get-maximum-possible-level-max-get-all-levels-of-marked:*
get-maximum-possible-level M = Max (insert 0 (set (get-all-levels-of-marked M)))
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *get-rev-level-in-levels-of-marked:*

get-rev-level $M\ n\ L \in \{0, n\} \cup \text{set } (\text{get-all-levels-of-marked } M)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *get-rev-level-in-atms-in-levels-of-marked:*

atm-of $L \in \text{atm-of } ' (\text{lits-of } M) \implies \text{get-rev-level } M\ n\ L \in \{n\} \cup \text{set } (\text{get-all-levels-of-marked } M)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *get-all-levels-of-marked-no-marked:*

$(\forall l \in \text{set } Ls. \neg \text{is-marked } l) \longleftrightarrow \text{get-all-levels-of-marked } Ls = []$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *get-level-in-levels-of-marked:*

get-level $M\ L \in \{0\} \cup \text{set } (\text{get-all-levels-of-marked } M)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The zero is here to avoid empty-list issues with *last*:

lemma *get-level-get-rev-level-get-all-levels-of-marked:*

assumes *atm-of* $L \notin \text{atm-of } ' (\text{lits-of } M)$
shows *get-level* $(K @ M)\ L = \text{get-rev-level } (\text{rev } K)\ (\text{last } (0 \# \text{get-all-levels-of-marked } (\text{rev } M)))$
 L
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *get-rev-level-can-skip-correctly-ordered:*

assumes
no-dup M **and**
atm-of $L \notin \text{atm-of } ' (\text{lits-of } M)$ **and**
get-all-levels-of-marked $M = \text{rev } [\text{Suc } 0..<\text{Suc } (\text{length } (\text{get-all-levels-of-marked } M))]$
shows *get-rev-level* $(\text{rev } M @ K)\ 0\ L = \text{get-rev-level } K\ (\text{length } (\text{get-all-levels-of-marked } M))\ L$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *get-level-skip-beginning-hd-get-all-levels-of-marked:*

assumes *atm-of* $L \notin \text{atm-of } ' \text{ lits-of } S$
and *get-all-levels-of-marked* $S \neq []$
shows *get-level* $(M @ S)\ L = \text{get-rev-level } (\text{rev } M)\ (\text{hd } (\text{get-all-levels-of-marked } S))\ L$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

theory *CDCL-W*

imports *Partial-Annotated-Clausal-Logic List-More CDCL-W-Level Wellfounded-More*

begin

declare *set-mset-minus-replicate-mset*[*simp*]

lemma *Bex-set-set-Bex-set*[*iff*]: $(\exists x \in \text{set-mset } C. P) \longleftrightarrow (\exists x \in \#C. P)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

5 Weidenbach's CDCL

declare *upt.simps*(2)[*simp del*]

5.1 The State

locale *state_W* =

fixes

$trail :: 'st \Rightarrow ('v, nat, 'v \text{ clause}) \text{ ann-literals and}$
 $init-clss :: 'st \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clauses and}$
 $learned-clss :: 'st \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clauses and}$
 $backtrack-lvl :: 'st \Rightarrow nat \text{ and}$
 $conflicting :: 'st \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clause option and}$

$cons-trail :: ('v, nat, 'v \text{ clause}) \text{ ann-literal} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st \text{ and}$
 $tl-trail :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \text{ and}$
 $add-init-clss :: 'v \text{ clause} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st \text{ and}$
 $add-learned-clss :: 'v \text{ clause} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st \text{ and}$
 $remove-clss :: 'v \text{ clause} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st \text{ and}$
 $update-backtrack-lvl :: nat \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st \text{ and}$
 $update-conflicting :: 'v \text{ clause option} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st \text{ and}$

$init-state :: 'v \text{ clauses} \Rightarrow 'st \text{ and}$
 $restart-state :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st$

assumes

$trail-cons-trail[simp]:$
 $\bigwedge L \text{ st. } undefined-lit (trail \text{ st}) (lit-of L) \Longrightarrow trail (cons-trail L \text{ st}) = L \# trail \text{ st and}$
 $trail-tl-trail[simp]: \bigwedge st. trail (tl-trail \text{ st}) = tl (trail \text{ st}) \text{ and}$
 $trail-add-init-clss[simp]:$
 $\bigwedge C \text{ st. no-dup (trail \text{ st})} \Longrightarrow trail (add-init-clss C \text{ st}) = trail \text{ st and}$
 $trail-add-learned-clss[simp]:$
 $\bigwedge C \text{ st. no-dup (trail \text{ st})} \Longrightarrow trail (add-learned-clss C \text{ st}) = trail \text{ st and}$
 $trail-remove-clss[simp]:$
 $\bigwedge C \text{ st. trail (remove-clss C \text{ st}) = trail \text{ st and}$
 $trail-update-backtrack-lvl[simp]: \bigwedge C \text{ st. trail (update-backtrack-lvl C \text{ st}) = trail \text{ st and}$
 $trail-update-conflicting[simp]: \bigwedge C \text{ st. trail (update-conflicting C \text{ st}) = trail \text{ st and}$

$init-clss-cons-trail[simp]:$
 $\bigwedge M \text{ st. } undefined-lit (trail \text{ st}) (lit-of M) \Longrightarrow init-clss (cons-trail M \text{ st}) = init-clss \text{ st and}$
 $init-clss-tl-trail[simp]:$
 $\bigwedge st. init-clss (tl-trail \text{ st}) = init-clss \text{ st and}$
 $init-clss-add-init-clss[simp]:$
 $\bigwedge C \text{ st. no-dup (trail \text{ st})} \Longrightarrow init-clss (add-init-clss C \text{ st}) = \{\#C\# \} + init-clss \text{ st and}$
 $init-clss-add-learned-clss[simp]:$
 $\bigwedge C \text{ st. no-dup (trail \text{ st})} \Longrightarrow init-clss (add-learned-clss C \text{ st}) = init-clss \text{ st and}$
 $init-clss-remove-clss[simp]:$
 $\bigwedge C \text{ st. init-clss (remove-clss C \text{ st}) = remove-mset C (init-clss \text{ st}) and}$
 $init-clss-update-backtrack-lvl[simp]:$
 $\bigwedge C \text{ st. init-clss (update-backtrack-lvl C \text{ st}) = init-clss \text{ st and}$
 $init-clss-update-conflicting[simp]:$
 $\bigwedge C \text{ st. init-clss (update-conflicting C \text{ st}) = init-clss \text{ st and}$

$learned-clss-cons-trail[simp]:$
 $\bigwedge M \text{ st. } undefined-lit (trail \text{ st}) (lit-of M) \Longrightarrow$
 $learned-clss (cons-trail M \text{ st}) = learned-clss \text{ st and}$
 $learned-clss-tl-trail[simp]:$
 $\bigwedge st. learned-clss (tl-trail \text{ st}) = learned-clss \text{ st and}$
 $learned-clss-add-init-clss[simp]:$
 $\bigwedge C \text{ st. no-dup (trail \text{ st})} \Longrightarrow learned-clss (add-init-clss C \text{ st}) = learned-clss \text{ st and}$
 $learned-clss-add-learned-clss[simp]:$
 $\bigwedge C \text{ st. no-dup (trail \text{ st})} \Longrightarrow learned-clss (add-learned-clss C \text{ st}) = \{\#C\# \} + learned-clss \text{ st and}$

learned-clss-remove-cls[simp]:

$\bigwedge C \text{ st. } \text{learned-clss} (\text{remove-cls } C \text{ st}) = \text{remove-mset } C (\text{learned-clss st})$ **and**
learned-clss-update-backtrack-lvl[simp]:

$\bigwedge st \text{ C. } \text{learned-clss} (\text{update-backtrack-lvl } C \text{ st}) = \text{learned-clss st}$ **and**
learned-clss-update-conflicting[simp]:

$\bigwedge C \text{ st. } \text{learned-clss} (\text{update-conflicting } C \text{ st}) = \text{learned-clss st}$ **and**

backtrack-lvl-cons-trail[simp]:

$\bigwedge M \text{ st. } \text{undefined-lit} (\text{trail st}) (\text{lit-of } M) \implies$
 $\text{backtrack-lvl} (\text{cons-trail } M \text{ st}) = \text{backtrack-lvl st}$ **and**

backtrack-lvl-tl-trail[simp]:

$\bigwedge st. \text{backtrack-lvl} (\text{tl-trail st}) = \text{backtrack-lvl st}$ **and**

backtrack-lvl-add-init-cls[simp]:

$\bigwedge st \text{ C. } \text{no-dup} (\text{trail st}) \implies \text{backtrack-lvl} (\text{add-init-cls } C \text{ st}) = \text{backtrack-lvl st}$ **and**

backtrack-lvl-add-learned-cls[simp]:

$\bigwedge C \text{ st. } \text{no-dup} (\text{trail st}) \implies \text{backtrack-lvl} (\text{add-learned-cls } C \text{ st}) = \text{backtrack-lvl st}$ **and**

backtrack-lvl-remove-cls[simp]:

$\bigwedge C \text{ st. } \text{backtrack-lvl} (\text{remove-cls } C \text{ st}) = \text{backtrack-lvl st}$ **and**

backtrack-lvl-update-backtrack-lvl[simp]:

$\bigwedge st \text{ k. } \text{backtrack-lvl} (\text{update-backtrack-lvl } k \text{ st}) = k$ **and**

backtrack-lvl-update-conflicting[simp]:

$\bigwedge C \text{ st. } \text{backtrack-lvl} (\text{update-conflicting } C \text{ st}) = \text{backtrack-lvl st}$ **and**

conflicting-cons-trail[simp]:

$\bigwedge M \text{ st. } \text{undefined-lit} (\text{trail st}) (\text{lit-of } M) \implies$
 $\text{conflicting} (\text{cons-trail } M \text{ st}) = \text{conflicting st}$ **and**

conflicting-tl-trail[simp]:

$\bigwedge st. \text{conflicting} (\text{tl-trail st}) = \text{conflicting st}$ **and**

conflicting-add-init-cls[simp]:

$\bigwedge st \text{ C. } \text{no-dup} (\text{trail st}) \implies \text{conflicting} (\text{add-init-cls } C \text{ st}) = \text{conflicting st}$ **and**

conflicting-add-learned-cls[simp]:

$\bigwedge C \text{ st. } \text{no-dup} (\text{trail st}) \implies \text{conflicting} (\text{add-learned-cls } C \text{ st}) = \text{conflicting st}$ **and**

conflicting-remove-cls[simp]:

$\bigwedge C \text{ st. } \text{conflicting} (\text{remove-cls } C \text{ st}) = \text{conflicting st}$ **and**

conflicting-update-backtrack-lvl[simp]:

$\bigwedge st \text{ C. } \text{conflicting} (\text{update-backtrack-lvl } C \text{ st}) = \text{conflicting st}$ **and**

conflicting-update-conflicting[simp]:

$\bigwedge C \text{ st. } \text{conflicting} (\text{update-conflicting } C \text{ st}) = C$ **and**

init-state-trail[simp]: $\bigwedge N. \text{trail} (\text{init-state } N) = []$ **and**

init-state-clss[simp]: $\bigwedge N. \text{init-clss} (\text{init-state } N) = N$ **and**

init-state-learned-clss[simp]: $\bigwedge N. \text{learned-clss} (\text{init-state } N) = \{\#\}$ **and**

init-state-backtrack-lvl[simp]: $\bigwedge N. \text{backtrack-lvl} (\text{init-state } N) = 0$ **and**

init-state-conflicting[simp]: $\bigwedge N. \text{conflicting} (\text{init-state } N) = \text{None}$ **and**

trail-restart-state[simp]: $\text{trail} (\text{restart-state } S) = []$ **and**

init-clss-restart-state[simp]: $\text{init-clss} (\text{restart-state } S) = \text{init-clss } S$ **and**

learned-clss-restart-state[intro]: $\text{learned-clss} (\text{restart-state } S) \subseteq \# \text{ learned-clss } S$ **and**

backtrack-lvl-restart-state[simp]: $\text{backtrack-lvl} (\text{restart-state } S) = 0$ **and**

conflicting-restart-state[simp]: $\text{conflicting} (\text{restart-state } S) = \text{None}$

begin

definition *clauses* :: '*st* \Rightarrow '*v* clauses **where**
clauses *S* = *init-clss* *S* + *learned-clss* *S*

lemma

shows

clauses-cons-trail[simp]:

undefined-lit (trail *S*) (lit-of *M*) \implies *clauses* (cons-trail *M S*) = *clauses S* **and**

cls-tl-trail[simp]: *clauses* (tl-trail *S*) = *clauses S* **and**

clauses-add-learned-cls-unfolded:

no-dup (trail *S*) \implies *clauses* (add-learned-cls *U S*) = {#*U*#} + *learned-clss S* + *init-clss S* **and**

clauses-add-init-cls[simp]:

no-dup (trail *S*) \implies *clauses* (add-init-cls *N S*) = {#*N*#} + *init-clss S* + *learned-clss S* **and**

clauses-update-backtrack-lvl[simp]: *clauses* (update-backtrack-lvl *k S*) = *clauses S* **and**

clauses-update-conflicting[simp]: *clauses* (update-conflicting *D S*) = *clauses S* **and**

clauses-remove-cls[simp]:

clauses (remove-cls *C S*) = *clauses S* - replicate-mset (count (*clauses S*) *C*) *C* **and**

clauses-add-learned-cls[simp]:

no-dup (trail *S*) \implies *clauses* (add-learned-cls *C S*) = {#*C*#} + *clauses S* **and**

clauses-restart[simp]: *clauses* (restart-state *S*) \subseteq {#*clauses S*} **and**

clauses-init-state[simp]: $\bigwedge N. \text{clauses } (\text{init-state } N) = N$

<proof>

abbreviation *state* :: 'st \Rightarrow ('v, nat, 'v clause) ann-literal list \times 'v clauses \times 'v clauses

\times nat \times 'v clause option **where**

state S \equiv (trail *S*, init-clss *S*, learned-clss *S*, backtrack-lvl *S*, conflicting *S*)

abbreviation *incr-lvl* :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st **where**

incr-lvl S \equiv update-backtrack-lvl (backtrack-lvl *S* + 1) *S*

definition *state-eq* :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool (**infix** \sim 50) **where**

S \sim *T* \iff *state S* = *state T*

lemma *state-eq-ref*[simp, intro]:

S \sim *S*

<proof>

lemma *state-eq-sym*:

S \sim *T* \iff *T* \sim *S*

<proof>

lemma *state-eq-trans*:

S \sim *T* \implies *T* \sim *U* \implies *S* \sim *U*

<proof>

lemma

shows

state-eq-trail: *S* \sim *T* \implies trail *S* = trail *T* **and**

state-eq-init-clss: *S* \sim *T* \implies init-clss *S* = init-clss *T* **and**

state-eq-learned-clss: *S* \sim *T* \implies learned-clss *S* = learned-clss *T* **and**

state-eq-backtrack-lvl: *S* \sim *T* \implies backtrack-lvl *S* = backtrack-lvl *T* **and**

state-eq-conflicting: *S* \sim *T* \implies conflicting *S* = conflicting *T* **and**

state-eq-clauses: *S* \sim *T* \implies clauses *S* = clauses *T* **and**

state-eq-undefined-lit: *S* \sim *T* \implies undefined-lit (trail *S*) *L* = undefined-lit (trail *T*) *L*

<proof>

lemmas *state-simp*[simp] = *state-eq-trail state-eq-init-clss state-eq-learned-clss*

state-eq-backtrack-lvl state-eq-conflicting state-eq-clauses state-eq-undefined-lit

lemma *atms-of-ms-learned-clss-restart-state-in-atms-of-ms-learned-clssI*[intro]:
 $x \in \text{atms-of-msu} (\text{learned-clss} (\text{restart-state } S)) \implies x \in \text{atms-of-msu} (\text{learned-clss } S)$
 ⟨proof⟩

function *reduce-trail-to* :: 'a list \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st **where**
reduce-trail-to F $S =$
 (if length (trail S) = length $F \vee \text{trail } S = []$ then S else *reduce-trail-to* F (tl-trail S))
 ⟨proof⟩
termination
 ⟨proof⟩

declare *reduce-trail-to.simps*[simp del]

lemma
shows
reduce-trail-to-nil[simp]: trail $S = [] \implies \text{reduce-trail-to } F \ S = S$ **and**
reduce-trail-to-eq-length[simp]: length (trail S) = length $F \implies \text{reduce-trail-to } F \ S = S$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *reduce-trail-to-length-ne*:
 length (trail S) \neq length $F \implies \text{trail } S \neq [] \implies$
 $\text{reduce-trail-to } F \ S = \text{reduce-trail-to } F \ (\text{tl-trail } S)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *trail-reduce-trail-to-length-le*:
assumes length $F >$ length (trail S)
shows trail (reduce-trail-to F S) = []
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *trail-reduce-trail-to-nil*[simp]:
 trail (reduce-trail-to [] S) = []
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *clauses-reduce-trail-to-nil*:
 clauses (reduce-trail-to [] S) = clauses S
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *reduce-trail-to-skip-beginning*:
assumes trail $S = F' @ F$
shows trail (reduce-trail-to F S) = F
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *clauses-reduce-trail-to*[simp]:
 clauses (reduce-trail-to F S) = clauses S
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *conflicting-update-trial*[simp]:
 conflicting (reduce-trail-to F S) = conflicting S
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *backtrack-lvl-update-trial*[simp]:
 backtrack-lvl (reduce-trail-to F S) = backtrack-lvl S
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *init-clss-update-trial[simp]*:

init-clss (*reduce-trail-to* *F* *S*) = *init-clss* *S*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *learned-clss-update-trial[simp]*:

learned-clss (*reduce-trail-to* *F* *S*) = *learned-clss* *S*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *trail-eq-reduce-trail-to-eq*:

trail *S* = *trail* *T* \implies *trail* (*reduce-trail-to* *F* *S*) = *trail* (*reduce-trail-to* *F* *T*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *reduce-trail-to-state-eq_{NOT}-compatible*:

assumes *ST*: *S* \sim *T*

shows *reduce-trail-to* *F* *S* \sim *reduce-trail-to* *F* *T*

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *reduce-trail-to-trail-tl-trail-decomp[simp]*:

trail *S* = *F'* @ *Marked* *K* *d* # *F* \implies (*trail* (*reduce-trail-to* *F* *S*)) = *F*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *reduce-trail-to-add-learned-cls[simp]*:

no-dup (*trail* *S*) \implies

trail (*reduce-trail-to* *F* (*add-learned-cls* *C* *S*)) = *trail* (*reduce-trail-to* *F* *S*)

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *reduce-trail-to-add-init-cls[simp]*:

no-dup (*trail* *S*) \implies

trail (*reduce-trail-to* *F* (*add-init-cls* *C* *S*)) = *trail* (*reduce-trail-to* *F* *S*)

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *reduce-trail-to-remove-learned-cls[simp]*:

trail (*reduce-trail-to* *F* (*remove-cls* *C* *S*)) = *trail* (*reduce-trail-to* *F* *S*)

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *reduce-trail-to-update-conflicting[simp]*:

trail (*reduce-trail-to* *F* (*update-conflicting* *C* *S*)) = *trail* (*reduce-trail-to* *F* *S*)

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *reduce-trail-to-update-backtrack-lvl[simp]*:

trail (*reduce-trail-to* *F* (*update-backtrack-lvl* *C* *S*)) = *trail* (*reduce-trail-to* *F* *S*)

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *in-get-all-marked-decomposition-marked-or-empty*:

assumes (*a*, *b*) \in *set* (*get-all-marked-decomposition* *M*)

shows *a* = [] \vee (*is-marked* (*hd* *a*))

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *in-get-all-marked-decomposition-trail-update-trail[simp]*:

assumes *H*: (*L* # *M1*, *M2*) \in *set* (*get-all-marked-decomposition* (*trail* *S*))

shows *trail* (*reduce-trail-to* *M1* *S*) = *M1*

⟨*proof*⟩

fun *append-trail* **where**

append-trail [] $S = S$ |
append-trail ($L \# M$) $S = \text{append-trail } M (\text{cons-trail } L S)$

lemma *trail-append-trail*:

no-dup ($M @ \text{trail } S$) $\implies \text{trail } (\text{append-trail } M S) = \text{rev } M @ \text{trail } S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *init-clss-append-trail*:

no-dup ($M @ \text{trail } S$) $\implies \text{init-clss } (\text{append-trail } M S) = \text{init-clss } S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *learned-clss-append-trail*:

no-dup ($M @ \text{trail } S$) $\implies \text{learned-clss } (\text{append-trail } M S) = \text{learned-clss } S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *conflicting-append-trail*:

no-dup ($M @ \text{trail } S$) $\implies \text{conflicting } (\text{append-trail } M S) = \text{conflicting } S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *backtrack-lvl-append-trail*:

no-dup ($M @ \text{trail } S$) $\implies \text{backtrack-lvl } (\text{append-trail } M S) = \text{backtrack-lvl } S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *clauses-append-trail*:

no-dup ($M @ \text{trail } S$) $\implies \text{clauses } (\text{append-trail } M S) = \text{clauses } S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *state-access-simp* =

trail-append-trail init-clss-append-trail learned-clss-append-trail backtrack-lvl-append-trail
clauses-append-trail conflicting-append-trail

This function is useful for proofs to speak of a global trail change, but is a bad for programs and code in general.

fun *delete-trail-and-rebuild* **where**

delete-trail-and-rebuild $M S = \text{append-trail } (\text{rev } M) (\text{reduce-trail-to } ([:: 'v \text{ list}] S))$

end

5.2 Special Instantiation: using Triples as State

5.3 CDCL Rules

Because of the strategy we will later use, we distinguish propagate, conflict from the other rules

locale

cdcl_W =
state_W trail init-clss learned-clss backtrack-lvl conflicting cons-trail tl-trail add-init-clss
add-learned-clss remove-clss update-backtrack-lvl update-conflicting init-state
restart-state

for

trail :: '*st* \Rightarrow ('*v*, *nat*, '*v* clause) *ann-literals* **and**
init-clss :: '*st* \Rightarrow '*v* clauses **and**
learned-clss :: '*st* \Rightarrow '*v* clauses **and**
backtrack-lvl :: '*st* \Rightarrow *nat* **and**
conflicting :: '*st* \Rightarrow '*v* clause *option* **and**

$cons_trail :: ('v, nat, 'v\ clause) \Rightarrow ann_literal \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st$ **and**
 $tl_trail :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st$ **and**
 $add_init_cls :: 'v\ clause \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st$ **and**
 $add_learned_cls :: 'v\ clause \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st$ **and**
 $remove_cls :: 'v\ clause \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st$ **and**
 $update_backtrack_lvl :: nat \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st$ **and**
 $update_conflicting :: 'v\ clause\ option \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st$ **and**

$init_state :: 'v\ clauses \Rightarrow 'st$ **and**
 $restart_state :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st$

begin

inductive $propagate :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $propagate_rule[intro]:$
 $state\ S = (M, N, U, k, None) \Longrightarrow C + \{\#L\# \} \in \# \ clauses\ S \Longrightarrow M \models_{as} CNot\ C$
 $\Longrightarrow undefined_lit\ (trail\ S)\ L$
 $\Longrightarrow T \sim cons_trail\ (Propagated\ L\ (C + \{\#L\# \}))\ S$
 $\Longrightarrow propagate\ S\ T$

inductive-cases $propagateE[elim]: propagate\ S\ T$
thm $propagateE$

inductive $conflict :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $conflict_rule[intro]: state\ S = (M, N, U, k, None) \Longrightarrow D \in \# \ clauses\ S \Longrightarrow M \models_{as} CNot\ D$
 $\Longrightarrow T \sim update_conflicting\ (Some\ D)\ S$
 $\Longrightarrow conflict\ S\ T$

inductive-cases $conflictE[elim]: conflict\ S\ S'$

inductive $backtrack :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $backtrack_rule[intro]: state\ S = (M, N, U, k, Some\ (D + \{\#L\# \}))$
 $\Longrightarrow (Marked\ K\ (i+1)\ \# \ M1, M2) \in set\ (get_all_marked_decomposition\ M)$
 $\Longrightarrow get_level\ M\ L = k$
 $\Longrightarrow get_level\ M\ L = get_maximum_level\ M\ (D + \{\#L\# \})$
 $\Longrightarrow get_maximum_level\ M\ D = i$
 $\Longrightarrow T \sim cons_trail\ (Propagated\ L\ (D + \{\#L\# \}))$
 $\quad (reduce_trail_to\ M1$
 $\quad \quad (add_learned_cls\ (D + \{\#L\# \}))$
 $\quad \quad (update_backtrack_lvl\ i$
 $\quad \quad \quad (update_conflicting\ None\ S))))$
 $\Longrightarrow backtrack\ S\ T$

inductive-cases $backtrackE[elim]: backtrack\ S\ S'$
thm $backtrackE$

inductive $decide :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $decide_rule[intro]: state\ S = (M, N, U, k, None)$
 $\Longrightarrow undefined_lit\ M\ L \Longrightarrow atm_of\ L \in atms_of_msu\ (init_clss\ S)$
 $\Longrightarrow T \sim cons_trail\ (Marked\ L\ (k+1))\ (incr_lvl\ S)$
 $\Longrightarrow decide\ S\ T$

inductive-cases $decideE[elim]: decide\ S\ S'$
thm $decideE$

inductive $skip :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $skip_rule[intro]: state\ S = (Propagated\ L\ C' \ \# \ M, N, U, k, Some\ D) \Longrightarrow -L \notin \# \ D \Longrightarrow D \neq \{\#\}$
 $\Longrightarrow T \sim tl_trail\ S$
 $\Longrightarrow skip\ S\ T$

inductive-cases *skipE*[elim]: *skip S S'*
thm *skipE*

get-maximum-level (Propagated L (C + {#L#}) # M) D = k ∨ k = 0 is equivalent to
get-maximum-level (Propagated L (C + {#L#}) # M) D = k

inductive *resolve* :: '*st* ⇒ '*st* ⇒ *bool* **where**
resolve-rule[intro]:
 state *S* = (*Propagated L (C + {#L#}) # M, N, U, k, Some (D + {#-L#})*)
 ⇒ *get-maximum-level (Propagated L (C + {#L#}) # M) D = k*
 ⇒ *T ∼ update-conflicting (Some (D #∪ C)) (tl-trail S)*
 ⇒ *resolve S T*

inductive-cases *resolveE*[elim]: *resolve S S'*
thm *resolveE*

inductive *restart* :: '*st* ⇒ '*st* ⇒ *bool* **where**
restart: state *S* = (*M, N, U, k, None*) ⇒ ¬*M* ⊨*asm clauses S*
 ⇒ *T ∼ restart-state S*
 ⇒ *restart S T*
inductive-cases *restartE*[elim]: *restart S T*
thm *restartE*

We add the condition $C \notin \# \text{init-clss } S$, to maintain consistency even without the strategy.

inductive *forget* :: '*st* ⇒ '*st* ⇒ *bool* **where**
forget-rule: state *S* = (*M, N, {#C#} + U, k, None*)
 ⇒ ¬*M* ⊨*asm clauses S*
 ⇒ $C \notin \text{set (get-all-mark-of-propagated (trail S))}$
 ⇒ $C \notin \# \text{init-clss } S$
 ⇒ $C \in \# \text{learned-clss } S$
 ⇒ *T ∼ remove-cls C S*
 ⇒ *forget S T*
inductive-cases *forgetE*[elim]: *forget S T*

inductive *cdcl_W-rf* :: '*st* ⇒ '*st* ⇒ *bool* **for** *S* :: '*st* **where**
restart: *restart S T* ⇒ *cdcl_W-rf S T* |
forget: *forget S T* ⇒ *cdcl_W-rf S T*

inductive *cdcl_W-bj* :: '*st* ⇒ '*st* ⇒ *bool* **where**
skip[intro]: *skip S S'* ⇒ *cdcl_W-bj S S'* |
resolve[intro]: *resolve S S'* ⇒ *cdcl_W-bj S S'* |
backtrack[intro]: *backtrack S S'* ⇒ *cdcl_W-bj S S'*

inductive-cases *cdcl_W-bjE*: *cdcl_W-bj S T*

inductive *cdcl_W-o*: '*st* ⇒ '*st* ⇒ *bool* **for** *S* :: '*st* **where**
decide[intro]: *decide S S'* ⇒ *cdcl_W-o S S'* |
bj[intro]: *cdcl_W-bj S S'* ⇒ *cdcl_W-o S S'*

inductive *cdcl_W* :: '*st* ⇒ '*st* ⇒ *bool* **for** *S* :: '*st* **where**
propagate: *propagate S S'* ⇒ *cdcl_W S S'* |
conflict: *conflict S S'* ⇒ *cdcl_W S S'* |
other: *cdcl_W-o S S'* ⇒ *cdcl_W S S'* |
rf: *cdcl_W-rf S S'* ⇒ *cdcl_W S S'*

lemma *rtrancp-propagate-is-rtrancp-cdcl_W*:
*propagate** S S'* ⇒ *cdcl_W** S S'*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-rules-induct}[\text{consumes } 1, \text{ case-names propagate conflict forget restart decide skip resolve backtrack}]$:

fixes $S :: 'st$

assumes

$\text{cdcl}_W: \text{cdcl}_W S S' \text{ and}$

$\text{propagate}: \bigwedge T. \text{propagate } S T \implies P S T \text{ and}$

$\text{conflict}: \bigwedge T. \text{conflict } S T \implies P S T \text{ and}$

$\text{forget}: \bigwedge T. \text{forget } S T \implies P S T \text{ and}$

$\text{restart}: \bigwedge T. \text{restart } S T \implies P S T \text{ and}$

$\text{decide}: \bigwedge T. \text{decide } S T \implies P S T \text{ and}$

$\text{skip}: \bigwedge T. \text{skip } S T \implies P S T \text{ and}$

$\text{resolve}: \bigwedge T. \text{resolve } S T \implies P S T \text{ and}$

$\text{backtrack}: \bigwedge T. \text{backtrack } S T \implies P S T$

shows $P S S'$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-induct}[\text{consumes } 1, \text{ case-names propagate conflict forget restart decide skip resolve backtrack}]$:

fixes $S :: 'st$

assumes

$\text{cdcl}_W: \text{cdcl}_W S S' \text{ and}$

$\text{propagateH}: \bigwedge C L T. C + \{\#L\# \} \in \# \text{ clauses } S \implies \text{trail } S \models_{as} C \text{Not } C$

$\implies \text{undefined-lit } (\text{trail } S) L \implies \text{conflicting } S = \text{None}$

$\implies T \sim \text{cons-trail } (\text{Propagated } L (C + \{\#L\# \})) S$

$\implies P S T \text{ and}$

$\text{conflictH}: \bigwedge D T. D \in \# \text{ clauses } S \implies \text{conflicting } S = \text{None} \implies \text{trail } S \models_{as} C \text{Not } D$

$\implies T \sim \text{update-conflicting } (\text{Some } D) S$

$\implies P S T \text{ and}$

$\text{forgetH}: \bigwedge C T. \neg \text{trail } S \models_{asm} \text{clauses } S$

$\implies C \notin \text{set } (\text{get-all-mark-of-propagated } (\text{trail } S))$

$\implies C \notin \# \text{ init-clss } S$

$\implies C \in \# \text{ learned-clss } S$

$\implies \text{conflicting } S = \text{None}$

$\implies T \sim \text{remove-cl } C S$

$\implies P S T \text{ and}$

$\text{restartH}: \bigwedge T. \neg \text{trail } S \models_{asm} \text{clauses } S$

$\implies \text{conflicting } S = \text{None}$

$\implies T \sim \text{restart-state } S$

$\implies P S T \text{ and}$

$\text{decideH}: \bigwedge L T. \text{conflicting } S = \text{None} \implies \text{undefined-lit } (\text{trail } S) L$

$\implies \text{atm-of } L \in \text{atms-of-msu } (\text{init-clss } S)$

$\implies T \sim \text{cons-trail } (\text{Marked } L (\text{backtrack-lvl } S + 1)) (\text{incr-lvl } S)$

$\implies P S T \text{ and}$

$\text{skipH}: \bigwedge L C' M D T. \text{trail } S = \text{Propagated } L C' \# M$

$\implies \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } D \implies -L \notin \# D \implies D \neq \{\#\}$

$\implies T \sim \text{tl-trail } S$

$\implies P S T \text{ and}$

$\text{resolveH}: \bigwedge L C M D T.$

$\text{trail } S = \text{Propagated } L ((C + \{\#L\# \})) \# M$

$\implies \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } (D + \{\#-L\# \})$

$\implies \text{get-maximum-level } (\text{Propagated } L (C + \{\#L\# \}) \# M) D = \text{backtrack-lvl } S$

$\implies T \sim (\text{update-conflicting } (\text{Some } (D \# \cup C)) (\text{tl-trail } S))$

$\implies P S T \text{ and}$

$backtrackH: \bigwedge K i M1 M2 L D T.$
 $(\text{Marked } K (\text{Suc } i) \# M1, M2) \in \text{set } (\text{get-all-marked-decomposition } (\text{trail } S))$
 $\implies \text{get-level } (\text{trail } S) L = \text{backtrack-lvl } S$
 $\implies \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } (D + \{\#L\# \})$
 $\implies \text{get-maximum-level } (\text{trail } S) (D + \{\#L\# \}) = \text{get-level } (\text{trail } S) L$
 $\implies \text{get-maximum-level } (\text{trail } S) D \equiv i$
 $\implies T \sim \text{cons-trail } (\text{Propagated } L (D + \{\#L\# \}))$
 $\quad (\text{reduce-trail-to } M1$
 $\quad \quad (\text{add-learned-cls } (D + \{\#L\# \})$
 $\quad \quad \quad (\text{update-backtrack-lvl } i$
 $\quad \quad \quad \quad (\text{update-conflicting } \text{None } S))))$
 $\implies P S T$
shows $P S S'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $cdcl_W\text{-o-induct}[\text{consumes } 1, \text{case-names decide skip resolve backtrack}]$:

fixes $S :: 'st$
assumes $cdcl_W: cdcl_W\text{-o } S T$ **and**
 $decideH: \bigwedge L T. \text{conflicting } S = \text{None} \implies \text{undefined-lit } (\text{trail } S) L$
 $\implies \text{atm-of } L \in \text{atms-of-msu } (\text{init-cls } S)$
 $\implies T \sim \text{cons-trail } (\text{Marked } L (\text{backtrack-lvl } S + 1)) (\text{incr-lvl } S)$
 $\implies P S T$ **and**
 $skipH: \bigwedge L C' M D T. \text{trail } S = \text{Propagated } L C' \# M$
 $\implies \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } D \implies -L \notin \# D \implies D \neq \{\#\}$
 $\implies T \sim \text{tl-trail } S$
 $\implies P S T$ **and**
 $resolveH: \bigwedge L C M D T.$
 $\text{trail } S = \text{Propagated } L ((C + \{\#L\# \}) \# M$
 $\implies \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } (D + \{\#-L\# \})$
 $\implies \text{get-maximum-level } (\text{Propagated } L (C + \{\#L\# \}) \# M) D = \text{backtrack-lvl } S$
 $\implies T \sim \text{update-conflicting } (\text{Some } (D \# \cup C)) (\text{tl-trail } S)$
 $\implies P S T$ **and**
 $backtrackH: \bigwedge K i M1 M2 L D T.$
 $(\text{Marked } K (\text{Suc } i) \# M1, M2) \in \text{set } (\text{get-all-marked-decomposition } (\text{trail } S))$
 $\implies \text{get-level } (\text{trail } S) L = \text{backtrack-lvl } S$
 $\implies \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } (D + \{\#L\# \})$
 $\implies \text{get-level } (\text{trail } S) L = \text{get-maximum-level } (\text{trail } S) (D + \{\#L\# \})$
 $\implies \text{get-maximum-level } (\text{trail } S) D \equiv i$
 $\implies T \sim \text{cons-trail } (\text{Propagated } L (D + \{\#L\# \}))$
 $\quad (\text{reduce-trail-to } M1$
 $\quad \quad (\text{add-learned-cls } (D + \{\#L\# \})$
 $\quad \quad \quad (\text{update-backtrack-lvl } i$
 $\quad \quad \quad \quad (\text{update-conflicting } \text{None } S))))$
 $\implies P S T$
shows $P S T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

thm $cdcl_W\text{-o-induct}$

lemma $cdcl_W\text{-o-all-rules-induct}[\text{consumes } 1, \text{case-names decide backtrack skip resolve}]$:

fixes $S T :: 'st$
assumes
 $cdcl_W\text{-o } S T$ **and**
 $\bigwedge T. \text{decide } S T \implies P S T$ **and**
 $\bigwedge T. \text{backtrack } S T \implies P S T$ **and**
 $\bigwedge T. \text{skip } S T \implies P S T$ **and**

$\bigwedge T. \text{resolve } S \ T \implies P \ S \ T$
shows $P \ S \ T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-o-rule-cases*[consumes 1, case-names decide backtrack skip resolve]:
fixes $S \ T :: 'st$
assumes
 $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-o } S \ T$ **and**
 $\text{decide } S \ T \implies P$ **and**
 $\text{backtrack } S \ T \implies P$ **and**
 $\text{skip } S \ T \implies P$ **and**
 $\text{resolve } S \ T \implies P$
shows P
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

5.4 Invariants

5.4.1 Properties of the trail

We here establish that: * the marks are exactly 1..k where k is the level * the consistency of the trail * the fact that there is no duplicate in the trail.

lemma *backtrack-lit-skipped*:
assumes $L: \text{get-level } (\text{trail } S) \ L = \text{backtrack-lvl } S$
and $M1: (\text{Marked } K \ (i + 1) \ \# \ M1, \ M2) \in \text{set } (\text{get-all-marked-decomposition } (\text{trail } S))$
and $\text{no-dup}: \text{no-dup } (\text{trail } S)$
and $\text{bt-l}: \text{backtrack-lvl } S = \text{length } (\text{get-all-levels-of-marked } (\text{trail } S))$
and $\text{order}: \text{get-all-levels-of-marked } (\text{trail } S) = \text{rev } ([1..<(1+\text{length } (\text{get-all-levels-of-marked } (\text{trail } S)))]])$
shows $\text{atm-of } L \notin \text{atm-of ' lits-of } M1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-distinctinv-1*:
assumes
 $\text{cdcl}_W \ S \ S'$ **and**
 $\text{no-dup } (\text{trail } S)$ **and**
 $\text{backtrack-lvl } S = \text{length } (\text{get-all-levels-of-marked } (\text{trail } S))$ **and**
 $\text{get-all-levels-of-marked } (\text{trail } S) = \text{rev } [1..<1+\text{length } (\text{get-all-levels-of-marked } (\text{trail } S))]$
shows $\text{no-dup } (\text{trail } S')$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-consistent-inv-2*:
assumes
 $\text{cdcl}_W \ S \ S'$ **and**
 $\text{no-dup } (\text{trail } S)$ **and**
 $\text{backtrack-lvl } S = \text{length } (\text{get-all-levels-of-marked } (\text{trail } S))$ **and**
 $\text{get-all-levels-of-marked } (\text{trail } S) = \text{rev } [1..<1+\text{length } (\text{get-all-levels-of-marked } (\text{trail } S))]$
shows $\text{consistent-interp } (\text{lits-of } (\text{trail } S'))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-o-bt*:
assumes
 $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-o } S \ S'$ **and**
 $\text{backtrack-lvl } S = \text{length } (\text{get-all-levels-of-marked } (\text{trail } S))$ **and**
 $\text{get-all-levels-of-marked } (\text{trail } S) =$
 $\text{rev } ([1..<(1+\text{length } (\text{get-all-levels-of-marked } (\text{trail } S)))]])$ **and**

n-d[simp]: no-dup (trail S)
shows backtrack-lvl $S' = \text{length } (\text{get-all-levels-of-marked } (\text{trail } S'))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-rf-bt:*

assumes
cdcl_W-rf S S' and
backtrack-lvl S = length (get-all-levels-of-marked (trail S)) and
get-all-levels-of-marked (trail S) = rev [1.. $(1 + \text{length } (\text{get-all-levels-of-marked } (\text{trail } S)))$]]
shows backtrack-lvl $S' = \text{length } (\text{get-all-levels-of-marked } (\text{trail } S'))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-bt:*

assumes
cdcl_W S S' and
backtrack-lvl S = length (get-all-levels-of-marked (trail S)) and
get-all-levels-of-marked (trail S)
 $= \text{rev } ([1.. $(1 + \text{length } (\text{get-all-levels-of-marked } (\text{trail } S)))$]])$ **and**
no-dup (trail S)
shows backtrack-lvl $S' = \text{length } (\text{get-all-levels-of-marked } (\text{trail } S'))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-bt-level':*

assumes
cdcl_W S S' and
backtrack-lvl S = length (get-all-levels-of-marked (trail S)) and
get-all-levels-of-marked (trail S)
 $= \text{rev } ([1.. $(1 + \text{length } (\text{get-all-levels-of-marked } (\text{trail } S)))$]])$ **and**
n-d: no-dup (trail S)
shows *get-all-levels-of-marked (trail S')*
 $= \text{rev } ([1.. $(1 + \text{length } (\text{get-all-levels-of-marked } (\text{trail } S'))$]])$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

We write $1 + \text{length } (\text{get-all-levels-of-marked } (\text{trail } S))$ instead of *backtrack-lvl S* to avoid non termination of rewriting.

definition *cdcl_W-M-level-inv (S:: 'st) \longleftrightarrow*

consistent-interp (lits-of (trail S))
 \wedge *no-dup (trail S)*
 \wedge *backtrack-lvl S = length (get-all-levels-of-marked (trail S))*
 \wedge *get-all-levels-of-marked (trail S)*
 $= \text{rev } ([1.. $1 + \text{length } (\text{get-all-levels-of-marked } (\text{trail } S))$]])$

lemma *cdcl_W-M-level-inv-decomp:*

assumes *cdcl_W-M-level-inv S*
shows *consistent-interp (lits-of (trail S))*
and *no-dup (trail S)*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-consistent-inv:*

fixes *S S' :: 'st*
assumes
cdcl_W S S' and
cdcl_W-M-level-inv S
shows *cdcl_W-M-level-inv S'*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancp-cdcl_W-consistent-inv*:
assumes *cdcl_W^{**} S S'*
and *cdcl_W-M-level-inv S*
shows *cdcl_W-M-level-inv S'*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *trancp-cdcl_W-consistent-inv*:
assumes *cdcl_W⁺⁺ S S'*
and *cdcl_W-M-level-inv S*
shows *cdcl_W-M-level-inv S'*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-M-level-inv-S0-cdcl_W[simp]*:
cdcl_W-M-level-inv (init-state N)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-M-level-inv-get-level-le-backtrack-lvl*:
assumes *inv: cdcl_W-M-level-inv S*
shows *get-level (trail S) L ≤ backtrack-lvl S*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *backtrack-ex-decomp*:
assumes *M-l: cdcl_W-M-level-inv S*
and *i-S: i < backtrack-lvl S*
shows $\exists K\ M1\ M2. (\text{Marked } K\ (i + 1) \# M1, M2) \in \text{set } (\text{get-all-marked-decomposition } (\text{trail } S))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

5.4.2 Better-Suited Induction Principle

We generalise the induction principle defined previously: the induction case for *backtrack* now includes the assumption that *undefined-lit M1 L*. This helps the simplifier and thus the automation.

lemma *backtrack-induction-lev[consumes 1, case-names M-devel-inv backtrack]*:
assumes
bt: backtrack S T and
inv: cdcl_W-M-level-inv S and
backtrackH: $\bigwedge K\ i\ M1\ M2\ L\ D\ T.$
(Marked K (Suc i) # M1, M2) ∈ set (get-all-marked-decomposition (trail S))
 $\implies \text{get-level } (\text{trail } S) L = \text{backtrack-lvl } S$
 $\implies \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } (D + \{\#L\#})$
 $\implies \text{get-level } (\text{trail } S) L = \text{get-maximum-level } (\text{trail } S) (D + \{\#L\#})$
 $\implies \text{get-maximum-level } (\text{trail } S) D \equiv i$
 $\implies \text{undefined-lit } M1\ L$
 $\implies T \sim \text{cons-trail } (\text{Propagated } L\ (D + \{\#L\#}))$
 $\quad (\text{reduce-trail-to } M1$
 $\quad \quad (\text{add-learned-cls } (D + \{\#L\#})$
 $\quad \quad \quad (\text{update-backtrack-lvl } i$
 $\quad \quad \quad \quad (\text{update-conflicting } \text{None } S))))$
 $\implies P\ S\ T$
shows *P S T*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *backtrack-induction-lev2 = backtrack-induction-lev[consumes 2, case-names backtrack]*

lemma *cdcl_W-all-induct-lev-full*:

fixes *S* :: 'st

assumes

cdcl_W: *cdcl_W S S'* **and**

inv[simp]: *cdcl_W-M-level-inv S* **and**

propagateH: $\bigwedge C L T. C + \{\#L\# \} \in \# \text{ clauses } S \implies \text{trail } S \models_{as} CNot C$

$\implies \text{undefined-lit } (\text{trail } S) L \implies \text{conflicting } S = None$

$\implies T \sim \text{cons-trail } (\text{Propagated } L (C + \{\#L\# \})) S$

$\implies \text{cdcl}_W\text{-M-level-inv } S$

$\implies P S T$ **and**

conflictH: $\bigwedge D T. D \in \# \text{ clauses } S \implies \text{conflicting } S = None \implies \text{trail } S \models_{as} CNot D$

$\implies T \sim \text{update-conflicting } (Some D) S$

$\implies P S T$ **and**

forgetH: $\bigwedge C T. \neg \text{trail } S \models_{asm} \text{clauses } S$

$\implies C \notin \text{set } (\text{get-all-mark-of-propagated } (\text{trail } S))$

$\implies C \notin \# \text{ init-clss } S$

$\implies C \in \# \text{ learned-clss } S$

$\implies \text{conflicting } S = None$

$\implies T \sim \text{remove-cl } C S$

$\implies \text{cdcl}_W\text{-M-level-inv } S$

$\implies P S T$ **and**

restartH: $\bigwedge T. \neg \text{trail } S \models_{asm} \text{clauses } S$

$\implies \text{conflicting } S = None$

$\implies T \sim \text{restart-state } S$

$\implies \text{cdcl}_W\text{-M-level-inv } S$

$\implies P S T$ **and**

decideH: $\bigwedge L T. \text{conflicting } S = None \implies \text{undefined-lit } (\text{trail } S) L$

$\implies \text{atm-of } L \in \text{atms-of-msu } (\text{init-clss } S)$

$\implies T \sim \text{cons-trail } (\text{Marked } L (\text{backtrack-lvl } S + 1)) (\text{incr-lvl } S)$

$\implies \text{cdcl}_W\text{-M-level-inv } S$

$\implies P S T$ **and**

skipH: $\bigwedge L C' M D T. \text{trail } S = \text{Propagated } L C' \# M$

$\implies \text{conflicting } S = Some D \implies -L \notin \# D \implies D \neq \{\#\}$

$\implies T \sim \text{tl-trail } S$

$\implies \text{cdcl}_W\text{-M-level-inv } S$

$\implies P S T$ **and**

resolveH: $\bigwedge L C M D T.$

$\text{trail } S = \text{Propagated } L ((C + \{\#L\# \}) \# M$

$\implies \text{conflicting } S = Some (D + \{\#-L\# \})$

$\implies \text{get-maximum-level } (\text{Propagated } L (C + \{\#L\# \}) \# M) D = \text{backtrack-lvl } S$

$\implies T \sim (\text{update-conflicting } (Some (D \# \cup C)) (\text{tl-trail } S))$

$\implies \text{cdcl}_W\text{-M-level-inv } S$

$\implies P S T$ **and**

backtrackH: $\bigwedge K i M1 M2 L D T.$

$(\text{Marked } K (\text{Suc } i) \# M1, M2) \in \text{set } (\text{get-all-marked-decomposition } (\text{trail } S))$

$\implies \text{get-level } (\text{trail } S) L = \text{backtrack-lvl } S$

$\implies \text{conflicting } S = Some (D + \{\#L\# \})$

$\implies \text{get-maximum-level } (\text{trail } S) (D + \{\#L\# \}) = \text{get-level } (\text{trail } S) L$

$\implies \text{get-maximum-level } (\text{trail } S) D \equiv i$

$\implies \text{undefined-lit } M1 L$

$\implies T \sim \text{cons-trail } (\text{Propagated } L (D + \{\#L\# \}))$

$(\text{reduce-trail-to } M1$

$(\text{add-learned-cl } (D + \{\#L\# \}))$

$(\text{update-backtrack-lvl } i$

$(\text{update-conflicting } None S))))$

$\Rightarrow \text{cdcl}_W\text{-}M\text{-level-inv } S$
 $\Rightarrow P \ S \ T$
shows $P \ S \ S'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-induct-lev2} = \text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-induct-lev-full}[\text{consumes } 2, \text{ case-names propagate conflict forget restart decide skip resolve backtrack}]$

lemmas $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-induct-lev} = \text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-induct-lev-full}[\text{consumes } 1, \text{ case-names lev-inv propagate conflict forget restart decide skip resolve backtrack}]$

thm $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-o-induct}$

lemma $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-o-induct-lev}[\text{consumes } 1, \text{ case-names } M\text{-lev decide skip resolve backtrack}]$:

fixes $S :: 'st$

assumes

cdcl_W : $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-o } S \ T$ **and**

$\text{inv}[\text{simp}]$: $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-}M\text{-level-inv } S$ **and**

decideH : $\bigwedge L \ T. \text{conflicting } S = \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{undefined-lit } (\text{trail } S) \ L$

$\Rightarrow \text{atm-of } L \in \text{atms-of-msu } (\text{init-clss } S)$

$\Rightarrow T \sim \text{cons-trail } (\text{Marked } L \ (\text{backtrack-lvl } S + 1)) \ (\text{incr-lvl } S)$

$\Rightarrow \text{cdcl}_W\text{-}M\text{-level-inv } S$

$\Rightarrow P \ S \ T$ **and**

skipH : $\bigwedge L \ C' \ M \ D \ T. \text{trail } S = \text{Propagated } L \ C' \ \# \ M$

$\Rightarrow \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } D \Rightarrow -L \notin \# \ D \Rightarrow D \neq \{\#\}$

$\Rightarrow T \sim \text{tl-trail } S$

$\Rightarrow \text{cdcl}_W\text{-}M\text{-level-inv } S$

$\Rightarrow P \ S \ T$ **and**

resolveH : $\bigwedge L \ C \ M \ D \ T.$

$\text{trail } S = \text{Propagated } L \ ((C + \{\#L\# \}) \ \# \ M$

$\Rightarrow \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } (D + \{\#-L\# \})$

$\Rightarrow \text{get-maximum-level } (\text{Propagated } L \ (C + \{\#L\# \}) \ \# \ M) \ D = \text{backtrack-lvl } S$

$\Rightarrow T \sim \text{update-conflicting } (\text{Some } (D \ \# \cup \ C)) \ (\text{tl-trail } S)$

$\Rightarrow \text{cdcl}_W\text{-}M\text{-level-inv } S$

$\Rightarrow P \ S \ T$ **and**

backtrackH : $\bigwedge K \ i \ M1 \ M2 \ L \ D \ T.$

$(\text{Marked } K \ (\text{Suc } i) \ \# \ M1, \ M2) \in \text{set } (\text{get-all-marked-decomposition } (\text{trail } S))$

$\Rightarrow \text{get-level } (\text{trail } S) \ L = \text{backtrack-lvl } S$

$\Rightarrow \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } (D + \{\#L\# \})$

$\Rightarrow \text{get-level } (\text{trail } S) \ L = \text{get-maximum-level } (\text{trail } S) \ (D + \{\#L\# \})$

$\Rightarrow \text{get-maximum-level } (\text{trail } S) \ D \equiv i$

$\Rightarrow \text{undefined-lit } M1 \ L$

$\Rightarrow T \sim \text{cons-trail } (\text{Propagated } L \ (D + \{\#L\# \}))$

$(\text{reduce-trail-to } M1$

$(\text{add-learned-cls } (D + \{\#L\# \})$

$(\text{update-backtrack-lvl } i$

$(\text{update-conflicting } \text{None } S))))$

$\Rightarrow \text{cdcl}_W\text{-}M\text{-level-inv } S$

$\Rightarrow P \ S \ T$

shows $P \ S \ T$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-o-induct-lev2} = \text{cdcl}_W\text{-o-induct-lev}[\text{consumes } 2, \text{ case-names decide skip resolve backtrack}]$

5.4.3 Compatibility with $op \sim$

lemma *propagate-state-eq-compatible:*

assumes

propagate S T **and**

$S \sim S'$ **and**

$T \sim T'$

shows *propagate* S' T'

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conflict-state-eq-compatible:*

assumes

conflict S T **and**

$S \sim S'$ **and**

$T \sim T'$

shows *conflict* S' T'

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *backtrack-state-eq-compatible:*

assumes

backtrack S T **and**

$S \sim S'$ **and**

$T \sim T'$ **and**

inv: *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* S

shows *backtrack* S' T'

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *decide-state-eq-compatible:*

assumes

decide S T **and**

$S \sim S'$ **and**

$T \sim T'$

shows *decide* S' T'

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *skip-state-eq-compatible:*

assumes

skip S T **and**

$S \sim S'$ **and**

$T \sim T'$

shows *skip* S' T'

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *resolve-state-eq-compatible:*

assumes

resolve S T **and**

$S \sim S'$ **and**

$T \sim T'$

shows *resolve* S' T'

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *forget-state-eq-compatible:*

assumes

forget S T **and**

$S \sim S'$ **and**

$T \sim T'$

shows *forget* $S' T'$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-state-eq-compatible*:

assumes

cdcl_W $S T$ **and** $\neg \text{restart } S T$ **and**

$S \sim S'$ **and**

$T \sim T'$ **and**

inv: *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* S

shows *cdcl_W* $S' T'$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-bj-state-eq-compatible*:

assumes

cdcl_W-bj $S T$ **and** *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* S

$S \sim S'$ **and**

$T \sim T'$

shows *cdcl_W-bj* $S' T'$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *trancpl-cdcl_W-bj-state-eq-compatible*:

assumes

cdcl_W-bj⁺⁺ $S T$ **and** *inv*: *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* S **and**

$S \sim S'$ **and**

$T \sim T'$

shows *cdcl_W-bj⁺⁺* $S' T'$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

5.4.4 Conservation of some Properties

lemma *level-of-marked-ge-1*:

assumes

cdcl_W $S S'$ **and**

inv: *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* S **and**

$\forall L l. \text{Marked } L l \in \text{set } (\text{trail } S) \longrightarrow l > 0$

shows $\forall L l. \text{Marked } L l \in \text{set } (\text{trail } S') \longrightarrow l > 0$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-o-no-more-init-clss*:

assumes

cdcl_W-o $S S'$ **and**

inv: *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* S

shows *init-clss* $S = \text{init-clss } S'$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *trancpl-cdcl_W-o-no-more-init-clss*:

assumes

cdcl_W-o⁺⁺ $S S'$ **and**

inv: *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* S

shows *init-clss* $S = \text{init-clss } S'$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_W-o-no-more-init-clss*:

assumes

*cdcl_W-o^{**}* $S S'$ **and**

inv: *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* S

shows $init-clss\ S = init-clss\ S'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cdcl_W-init-clss$:
 $cdcl_W\ S\ T \implies cdcl_W-M-level-inv\ S \implies init-clss\ S = init-clss\ T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rtrancp-cdcl_W-init-clss$:
 $cdcl_W^{**}\ S\ T \implies cdcl_W-M-level-inv\ S \implies init-clss\ S = init-clss\ T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $trancp-cdcl_W-init-clss$:
 $cdcl_W^{++}\ S\ T \implies cdcl_W-M-level-inv\ S \implies init-clss\ S = init-clss\ T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

5.4.5 Learned Clause

This invariant shows that:

- the learned clauses are entailed by the initial set of clauses.
- the conflicting clause is entailed by the initial set of clauses.
- the marks are entailed by the clauses. A more precise version would be to show that either these marked are learned or are in the set of clauses

definition $cdcl_W-learned-clause\ (S:: 'st) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(init-clss\ S \models_{psm} learned-clss\ S$
 $\wedge (\forall T. conflicting\ S = Some\ T \longrightarrow init-clss\ S \models_{pm} T)$
 $\wedge set\ (get-all-mark-of-propagated\ (trail\ S)) \subseteq set-mset\ (clauses\ S))$

lemma $cdcl_W-learned-clause-S0-cdcl_W[simp]$:
 $cdcl_W-learned-clause\ (init-state\ N)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cdcl_W-learned-clss$:
assumes
 $cdcl_W\ S\ S'$ **and**
 $learned: cdcl_W-learned-clause\ S$ **and**
 $lev-inv: cdcl_W-M-level-inv\ S$
shows $cdcl_W-learned-clause\ S'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rtrancp-cdcl_W-learned-clss$:
assumes
 $cdcl_W^{**}\ S\ S'$ **and**
 $cdcl_W-M-level-inv\ S$
 $cdcl_W-learned-clause\ S$
shows $cdcl_W-learned-clause\ S'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

5.4.6 No alien atom in the state

This invariant means that all the literals are in the set of clauses.

definition *no-strange-atm* $S' \longleftrightarrow$ (
 $(\forall T. \text{conflicting } S' = \text{Some } T \longrightarrow \text{atms-of } T \subseteq \text{atms-of-msu } (\text{init-clss } S'))$
 $\wedge (\forall L \text{ mark. Propagated } L \text{ mark} \in \text{set } (\text{trail } S') \longrightarrow \text{atms-of } (\text{mark}) \subseteq \text{atms-of-msu } (\text{init-clss } S'))$
 $\wedge \text{atms-of-msu } (\text{learned-clss } S') \subseteq \text{atms-of-msu } (\text{init-clss } S')$
 $\wedge \text{atm-of } ' (\text{lits-of } (\text{trail } S')) \subseteq \text{atms-of-msu } (\text{init-clss } S'))$

lemma *no-strange-atm-decomp*:

assumes *no-strange-atm* S
shows *conflicting* $S = \text{Some } T \implies \text{atms-of } T \subseteq \text{atms-of-msu } (\text{init-clss } S)$
and $(\forall L \text{ mark. Propagated } L \text{ mark} \in \text{set } (\text{trail } S) \longrightarrow \text{atms-of } (\text{mark}) \subseteq \text{atms-of-msu } (\text{init-clss } S))$
and $\text{atms-of-msu } (\text{learned-clss } S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-msu } (\text{init-clss } S)$
and $\text{atm-of } ' (\text{lits-of } (\text{trail } S)) \subseteq \text{atms-of-msu } (\text{init-clss } S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *no-strange-atm-S0* [simp]: *no-strange-atm* (*init-state* N)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-no-strange-atm-explicit*:

assumes
 $\text{cdcl}_W S S'$ **and**
 $\text{lev: cdcl}_W\text{-M-level-inv } S$ **and**
 $\text{conf: } \forall T. \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } T \longrightarrow \text{atms-of } T \subseteq \text{atms-of-msu } (\text{init-clss } S)$ **and**
 $\text{marked: } \forall L \text{ mark. Propagated } L \text{ mark} \in \text{set } (\text{trail } S) \longrightarrow \text{atms-of mark} \subseteq \text{atms-of-msu } (\text{init-clss } S)$ **and**
 $\text{learned: atms-of-msu } (\text{learned-clss } S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-msu } (\text{init-clss } S)$ **and**
 $\text{trail: atm-of } ' (\text{lits-of } (\text{trail } S)) \subseteq \text{atms-of-msu } (\text{init-clss } S)$
shows $(\forall T. \text{conflicting } S' = \text{Some } T \longrightarrow \text{atms-of } T \subseteq \text{atms-of-msu } (\text{init-clss } S')) \wedge$
 $(\forall L \text{ mark. Propagated } L \text{ mark} \in \text{set } (\text{trail } S') \longrightarrow \text{atms-of } (\text{mark}) \subseteq \text{atms-of-msu } (\text{init-clss } S')) \wedge$
 $\text{atms-of-msu } (\text{learned-clss } S') \subseteq \text{atms-of-msu } (\text{init-clss } S') \wedge$
 $\text{atm-of } ' (\text{lits-of } (\text{trail } S')) \subseteq \text{atms-of-msu } (\text{init-clss } S') \text{ (is } ?C S' \wedge ?M S' \wedge ?U S' \wedge ?V S')$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-no-strange-atm-inv*:

assumes $\text{cdcl}_W S S'$ **and** *no-strange-atm* S **and** $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-M-level-inv } S$
shows *no-strange-atm* S'
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtranclp-cdcl_W-no-strange-atm-inv*:

assumes $\text{cdcl}_W^{**} S S'$ **and** *no-strange-atm* S **and** $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-M-level-inv } S$
shows *no-strange-atm* S'
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

5.4.7 No duplicates all around

This invariant shows that there is no duplicate (no literal appearing twice in the formula). The last part could be proven using the previous invariant moreover.

definition *distinct-cdcl_W-state* ($S::\text{'st}$)

$\longleftrightarrow ((\forall T. \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } T \longrightarrow \text{distinct-mset } T)$
 $\wedge \text{distinct-mset-mset } (\text{learned-clss } S)$
 $\wedge \text{distinct-mset-mset } (\text{init-clss } S)$
 $\wedge (\forall L \text{ mark. } (\text{Propagated } L \text{ mark} \in \text{set } (\text{trail } S) \longrightarrow \text{distinct-mset } (\text{mark}))))$

lemma *distinct-cdcl_W-state-decomp*:
assumes *distinct-cdcl_W-state* (*S*::'st)
shows $\forall T. \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } T \longrightarrow \text{distinct-mset } T$
and *distinct-mset-mset* (*learned-clss* *S*)
and *distinct-mset-mset* (*init-clss* *S*)
and $\forall L \text{ mark. } (\text{Propagated } L \text{ mark} \in \text{set } (\text{trail } S) \longrightarrow \text{distinct-mset } (\text{ mark}))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *distinct-cdcl_W-state-decomp-2*:
assumes *distinct-cdcl_W-state* (*S*::'st)
shows *conflicting* *S* = *Some* *T* \implies *distinct-mset* *T*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *distinct-cdcl_W-state-S0-cdcl_W[simp]*:
distinct-mset-mset *N* \implies *distinct-cdcl_W-state* (*init-state* *N*)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *distinct-cdcl_W-state-inv*:
assumes
cdcl_W *S* *S'* **and**
cdcl_W-M-level-inv *S* **and**
distinct-cdcl_W-state *S*
shows *distinct-cdcl_W-state* *S'*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtanclp-distinct-cdcl_W-state-inv*:
assumes
*cdcl_W*** *S* *S'* **and**
cdcl_W-M-level-inv *S* **and**
distinct-cdcl_W-state *S*
shows *distinct-cdcl_W-state* *S'*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

5.4.8 Conflicts and co

This invariant shows that each mark contains a contradiction only related to the previously defined variable.

abbreviation *every-mark-is-a-conflict* :: 'st \Rightarrow bool **where**
every-mark-is-a-conflict *S* \equiv
 $\forall L \text{ mark } a \ b. \ a \ @ \ \text{Propagated } L \text{ mark} \ \# \ b = (\text{trail } S)$
 $\longrightarrow (b \models_{\text{as}} \text{CNot } (\text{ mark} - \{\#L\}) \wedge L \in \# \text{ mark})$

definition *cdcl_W-conflicting* *S* \equiv
 $(\forall T. \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } T \longrightarrow \text{trail } S \models_{\text{as}} \text{CNot } T)$
 $\wedge \text{every-mark-is-a-conflict } S$

lemma *backtrack-atms-of-D-in-M1*:
fixes *M1* :: ('v, nat, 'v clause) ann-literals
assumes
inv: *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* *S* **and**
undef: *undefined-lit* *M1* *L* **and**
i: *get-maximum-level* (*trail* *S*) *D* = *i* **and**
decomp: (*Marked* *K* (*Suc* *i*) $\#$ *M1*, *M2*)
 $\in \text{set } (\text{get-all-marked-decomposition } (\text{trail } S))$ **and**
S-lvl: *backtrack-lvl* *S* = *get-maximum-level* (*trail* *S*) (*D* + $\{\#L\}$) **and**

S-confli: conflicting $S = \text{Some } (D + \{\#L\# \})$ **and**
undef: undefined-lit $M1\ L$ **and**
T: $T \sim (\text{cons-trail } (\text{Propagated } L\ (D + \{\#L\# \}))$
 $(\text{reduce-trail-to } M1$
 $(\text{add-learned-cls } (D + \{\#L\# \}))$
 $(\text{update-backtrack-lvl } i$
 $(\text{update-conflicting } \text{None } S))))$ **and**
confli: $\forall T. \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } T \longrightarrow \text{trail } S \models_{\text{as}} \text{CNot } T$
shows $\text{atms-of } D \subseteq \text{atm-of ' lits-of } (\text{tl } (\text{trail } T))$
 <proof>

lemma *distinct-atms-of-incl-not-in-other*:

assumes
a1: *no-dup* $(M\ @\ M')$ **and** *a2*:
atms-of $D \subseteq \text{atm-of ' lits-of } M'$
shows $\forall x \in \text{atms-of } D. x \notin \text{atm-of ' lits-of } M$
 <proof>

lemma *cdcl_W-propagate-is-conclusion*:

assumes
cdcl_W $S\ S'$ **and**
inv: *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* S **and**
decomp: *all-decomposition-implies-m* $(\text{init-clss } S)\ (\text{get-all-marked-decomposition } (\text{trail } S))$ **and**
learned: *cdcl_W-learned-clause* S **and**
confli: $\forall T. \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } T \longrightarrow \text{trail } S \models_{\text{as}} \text{CNot } T$ **and**
alien: *no-strange-atm* S
shows *all-decomposition-implies-m* $(\text{init-clss } S')\ (\text{get-all-marked-decomposition } (\text{trail } S'))$
 <proof>

lemma *cdcl_W-propagate-is-false*:

assumes
cdcl_W $S\ S'$ **and**
lev: *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* S **and**
learned: *cdcl_W-learned-clause* S **and**
decomp: *all-decomposition-implies-m* $(\text{init-clss } S)\ (\text{get-all-marked-decomposition } (\text{trail } S))$ **and**
confli: $\forall T. \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } T \longrightarrow \text{trail } S \models_{\text{as}} \text{CNot } T$ **and**
alien: *no-strange-atm* S **and**
mark-confli: *every-mark-is-a-conflict* S
shows *every-mark-is-a-conflict* S'
 <proof>

lemma *cdcl_W-conflicting-is-false*:

assumes
cdcl_W $S\ S'$ **and**
M-lev: *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* S **and**
confli-inv: $\forall T. \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } T \longrightarrow \text{trail } S \models_{\text{as}} \text{CNot } T$ **and**
marked-confli: $\forall L\ \text{mark } a\ b. a\ @\ \text{Propagated } L\ \text{mark } \# b = (\text{trail } S)$
 $\longrightarrow (b \models_{\text{as}} \text{CNot } (\text{mark} - \{\#L\# \}) \wedge L \in \# \text{ mark})$ **and**
dist: *distinct-cdcl_W-state* S
shows $\forall T. \text{conflicting } S' = \text{Some } T \longrightarrow \text{trail } S' \models_{\text{as}} \text{CNot } T$
 <proof>

lemma *cdcl_W-conflicting-decomp*:

assumes *cdcl_W-conflicting* S
shows $\forall T. \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } T \longrightarrow \text{trail } S \models_{\text{as}} \text{CNot } T$

and $\forall L \text{ mark } a \ b. \ a \ @ \text{ Propagated } L \text{ mark } \# \ b = (\text{trail } S)$
 $\longrightarrow (b \models_{as} CNot \ (\text{mark} - \{\#L\# \}) \wedge L \in \# \text{ mark})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-conflicting-decomp2*:
assumes *cdcl_W-conflicting* *S* **and** *conflicting* *S* = *Some T*
shows *trail S* \models_{as} *CNot T*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-conflicting-decomp2'*:
assumes
cdcl_W-conflicting *S* **and**
conflicting *S* = *Some D*
shows *trail S* \models_{as} *CNot D*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-conflicting-S0-cdcl_W[simp]*:
cdcl_W-conflicting (*init-state N*)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

5.4.9 Putting all the invariants together

lemma *cdcl_W-all-inv*:
assumes *cdcl_W*: *cdcl_W S S'* **and**
1: *all-decomposition-implies-m* (*init-clss S*) (*get-all-marked-decomposition* (*trail S*)) **and**
2: *cdcl_W-learned-clause* *S* **and**
4: *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* *S* **and**
5: *no-strange-atm* *S* **and**
7: *distinct-cdcl_W-state* *S* **and**
8: *cdcl_W-conflicting* *S*
shows *all-decomposition-implies-m* (*init-clss S'*) (*get-all-marked-decomposition* (*trail S'*))
and *cdcl_W-learned-clause* *S'*
and *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* *S'*
and *no-strange-atm* *S'*
and *distinct-cdcl_W-state* *S'*
and *cdcl_W-conflicting* *S'*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancp-cdcl_W-all-inv*:
assumes
cdcl_W: *rtrancp cdcl_W S S'* **and**
1: *all-decomposition-implies-m* (*init-clss S*) (*get-all-marked-decomposition* (*trail S*)) **and**
2: *cdcl_W-learned-clause* *S* **and**
4: *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* *S* **and**
5: *no-strange-atm* *S* **and**
7: *distinct-cdcl_W-state* *S* **and**
8: *cdcl_W-conflicting* *S*
shows
all-decomposition-implies-m (*init-clss S'*) (*get-all-marked-decomposition* (*trail S'*)) **and**
cdcl_W-learned-clause *S'* **and**
cdcl_W-M-level-inv *S'* **and**
no-strange-atm *S'* **and**
distinct-cdcl_W-state *S'* **and**
cdcl_W-conflicting *S'*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *all-invariant-S0-cdcl_W*:
assumes *distinct-mset-mset* N
shows *all-decomposition-implies-m* (*init-clss* (*init-state* N))
 (*get-all-marked-decomposition* (*trail* (*init-state* N)))
and *cdcl_W-learned-clause* (*init-state* N)
and $\forall T. \text{conflicting } (\text{init-state } N) = \text{Some } T \longrightarrow (\text{trail } (\text{init-state } N)) \models_{as} CNot \ T$
and *no-strange-atm* (*init-state* N)
and *consistent-interp* (*lits-of* (*trail* (*init-state* N)))
and $\forall L \text{ mark } a \ b. a @ \text{Propagated } L \text{ mark } \# \ b = \text{trail } (\text{init-state } N) \longrightarrow$
 ($b \models_{as} CNot \ (\text{mark} - \{\#L\}) \wedge L \in \# \text{ mark}$)
and *distinct-cdcl_W-state* (*init-state* N)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-only-propagated-vars-unsat*:
assumes
 marked: $\forall x \in \text{set } M. \neg \text{is-marked } x$ **and**
 DN: $D \in \# \text{ clauses } S$ **and**
 D: $M \models_{as} CNot \ D$ **and**
 inv: *all-decomposition-implies-m* N (*get-all-marked-decomposition* M) **and**
 state: *state* $S = (M, N, U, k, C)$ **and**
 learned-cl: *cdcl_W-learned-clause* S **and**
 atm-incl: *no-strange-atm* S
shows *unsatisfiable* (*set-mset* N)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

We have actually a much stronger theorem, namely *all-decomposition-implies ?N* (*get-all-marked-decomposition* *?M*) $\implies ?N \cup \{\{\#lit\text{-of } L\} \mid L. \text{is-marked } L \wedge L \in \text{set } ?M\} \models_{ps} \text{unmark } ?M$, that show that the only choices we made are marked in the formula

lemma
assumes *all-decomposition-implies-m* N (*get-all-marked-decomposition* M)
and $\forall m \in \text{set } M. \neg \text{is-marked } m$
shows *set-mset* $N \models_{ps} \text{unmark } M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *conflict-with-false-implies-unsat*:
assumes
 cdcl_W: *cdcl_W* $S \ S'$ **and**
 lev: *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* S **and**
 [simp]: *conflicting* $S' = \text{Some } \{\#\}$ **and**
 learned: *cdcl_W-learned-clause* S
shows *unsatisfiable* (*set-mset* (*init-clss* S))
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *conflict-with-false-implies-terminated*:
assumes *cdcl_W* $S \ S'$
and *conflicting* $S = \text{Some } \{\#\}$
shows *False*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

5.4.10 No tautology is learned

This is a simple consequence of all we have shown previously. It is not strictly necessary, but helps finding a better bound on the number of learned clauses.

lemma *learned-clss-are-not-tautologies:*

assumes

cdcl_W S S' and

lev: cdcl_W-M-level-inv S and

conflicting: cdcl_W-conflicting S and

no-tauto: $\forall s \in \# \text{ learned-clss } S. \neg \text{tautology } s$

shows $\forall s \in \# \text{ learned-clss } S'. \neg \text{tautology } s$

<proof>

definition *final-cdcl_W-state (S:: 'st)*

$\longleftrightarrow (\text{trail } S \models_{\text{asm}} \text{init-clss } S$

$\vee ((\forall L \in \text{set } (\text{trail } S). \neg \text{is-marked } L) \wedge$

$(\exists C \in \# \text{ init-clss } S. \text{trail } S \models_{\text{as}} \text{CNot } C)))$

definition *termination-cdcl_W-state (S:: 'st)*

$\longleftrightarrow (\text{trail } S \models_{\text{asm}} \text{init-clss } S$

$\vee ((\forall L \in \text{atms-of-msu } (\text{init-clss } S). L \in \text{atm-of ' lits-of } (\text{trail } S))$

$\wedge (\exists C \in \# \text{ init-clss } S. \text{trail } S \models_{\text{as}} \text{CNot } C)))$

5.5 CDCL Strong Completeness

fun *mapi :: ('a \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'b list where*

mapi - - [] = [] |

mapi f n (x # xs) = f x n # mapi f (n - 1) xs

lemma *mark-not-in-set-mapi[simp]: $L \notin \text{set } M \implies \text{Marked } L \ k \notin \text{set } (\text{mapi } \text{Marked } i \ M)$*

<proof>

lemma *propagated-not-in-set-mapi[simp]: $L \notin \text{set } M \implies \text{Propagated } L \ k \notin \text{set } (\text{mapi } \text{Marked } i \ M)$*

<proof>

lemma *image-set-mapi:*

$f \text{ ' set } (\text{mapi } g \ i \ M) = \text{set } (\text{mapi } (\lambda x \ i. f \ (g \ x \ i)) \ i \ M)$

<proof>

lemma *mapi-map-convert:*

$\forall x \ i \ j. f \ x \ i = f \ x \ j \implies \text{mapi } f \ i \ M = \text{map } (\lambda x. f \ x \ 0) \ M$

<proof>

lemma *defined-lit-mapi: defined-lit (mapi Marked i M) L \longleftrightarrow atm-of L \in atm-of ' set M*

<proof>

lemma *cdcl_W-can-do-step:*

assumes

consistent-interp (set M) and

distinct M and

atm-of ' (set M) \subseteq atms-of-msu N

shows $\exists S. \text{rtrancp } \text{cdcl}_W \ (\text{init-state } N) \ S$

$\wedge \text{state } S = (\text{mapi } \text{Marked } (\text{length } M) \ M, N, \{\#\}, \text{length } M, \text{None})$

<proof>

lemma *cdcl_W-strong-completeness:*

assumes

set M \models_s set-mset N and

consistent-interp (set M) and

distinct M and

$atm-of \text{ ' (set } M) \subseteq atm-of-msu \text{ } N$
obtains S **where**
 $state \text{ } S = (mapi \text{ } Marked \text{ } (length \text{ } M) \text{ } M, N, \{\#\}, length \text{ } M, None)$ **and**
 $rtranclp \text{ } cdcl_W \text{ } (init-state \text{ } N) \text{ } S$ **and**
 $final-cdcl_W-state \text{ } S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

5.6 Higher level strategy

The rules described previously do not lead to a conclusive state. We have to add a strategy.

5.6.1 Definition

lemma $trancplp-conflict-iff[iff]$:
 $full1 \text{ } conflict \text{ } S \text{ } S' \longleftrightarrow conflict \text{ } S \text{ } S'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

inductive $cdcl_W-cp :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $conflict[intro]: conflict \text{ } S \text{ } S' \Longrightarrow cdcl_W-cp \text{ } S \text{ } S' \mid$
 $propagate': propagate \text{ } S \text{ } S' \Longrightarrow cdcl_W-cp \text{ } S \text{ } S'$

lemma $rtranclp-cdcl_W-cp-rtranclp-cdcl_W$:
 $cdcl_W-cp^{**} \text{ } S \text{ } T \Longrightarrow cdcl_W^{**} \text{ } S \text{ } T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cdcl_W-cp-state-eq-compatible$:
assumes
 $cdcl_W-cp \text{ } S \text{ } T$ **and**
 $S \sim S'$ **and**
 $T \sim T'$
shows $cdcl_W-cp \text{ } S' \text{ } T'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $trancplp-cdcl_W-cp-state-eq-compatible$:
assumes
 $cdcl_W-cp^{++} \text{ } S \text{ } T$ **and**
 $S \sim S'$ **and**
 $T \sim T'$
shows $cdcl_W-cp^{++} \text{ } S' \text{ } T'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $option-full-cdcl_W-cp$:
 $conflicting \text{ } S \neq None \Longrightarrow full \text{ } cdcl_W-cp \text{ } S \text{ } S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $skip-unique$:
 $skip \text{ } S \text{ } T \Longrightarrow skip \text{ } S \text{ } T' \Longrightarrow T \sim T'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $resolve-unique$:
 $resolve \text{ } S \text{ } T \Longrightarrow resolve \text{ } S \text{ } T' \Longrightarrow T \sim T'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cdcl_W-cp-no-more-clauses$:
assumes $cdcl_W-cp \text{ } S \text{ } S'$

shows $clauses\ S = clauses\ S'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *trancpl-cdcl_W-cp-no-more-clauses*:
assumes $cdcl_W\text{-}cp^{++}\ S\ S'$
shows $clauses\ S = clauses\ S'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_W-cp-no-more-clauses*:
assumes $cdcl_W\text{-}cp^{**}\ S\ S'$
shows $clauses\ S = clauses\ S'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *no-conflict-after-conflict*:
 $conflict\ S\ T \implies \neg conflict\ T\ U$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *no-propagate-after-conflict*:
 $conflict\ S\ T \implies \neg propagate\ T\ U$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *trancpl-cdcl_W-cp-propagate-with-conflict-or-not*:
assumes $cdcl_W\text{-}cp^{++}\ S\ U$
shows $(propagate^{++}\ S\ U \wedge conflicting\ U = None)$
 $\vee (\exists T\ D. propagate^{**}\ S\ T \wedge conflict\ T\ U \wedge conflicting\ U = Some\ D)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-cp-conflicting-not-empty[simp]*: $conflicting\ S = Some\ D \implies \neg cdcl_W\text{-}cp\ S\ S'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *no-step-cdcl_W-cp-no-conflict-no-propagate*:
assumes $no\text{-}step\ cdcl_W\text{-}cp\ S$
shows $no\text{-}step\ conflict\ S$ **and** $no\text{-}step\ propagate\ S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

CDCL with the reasonable strategy: we fully propagate the conflict and propagate, then we apply any other possible rule $cdcl_W\text{-}o\ S\ S'$ and re-apply conflict and propagate *full* $cdcl_W\text{-}cp\ S'\ S''$

inductive $cdcl_W\text{-}stgy :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool$ **for** $S :: 'st$ **where**
 $conflict'$: $full1\ cdcl_W\text{-}cp\ S\ S' \implies cdcl_W\text{-}stgy\ S\ S' \mid$
 $other'$: $cdcl_W\text{-}o\ S\ S' \implies no\text{-}step\ cdcl_W\text{-}cp\ S \implies full\ cdcl_W\text{-}cp\ S'\ S'' \implies cdcl_W\text{-}stgy\ S\ S''$

5.6.2 Invariants

These are the same invariants as before, but lifted

lemma *cdcl_W-cp-learned-clause-inv*:
assumes $cdcl_W\text{-}cp\ S\ S'$
shows $learned\text{-}clss\ S = learned\text{-}clss\ S'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_W-cp-learned-clause-inv*:
assumes $cdcl_W\text{-}cp^{**}\ S\ S'$
shows $learned\text{-}clss\ S = learned\text{-}clss\ S'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *trancpl-cdcl_W-cp-learned-clause-inv*:
assumes *cdcl_W-cp⁺⁺ S S'*
shows *learned-clss S = learned-clss S'*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-cp-backtrack-lvl*:
assumes *cdcl_W-cp S S'*
shows *backtrack-lvl S = backtrack-lvl S'*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_W-cp-backtrack-lvl*:
assumes *cdcl_W-cp^{**} S S'*
shows *backtrack-lvl S = backtrack-lvl S'*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-cp-consistent-inv*:
assumes *cdcl_W-cp S S'*
and *cdcl_W-M-level-inv S*
shows *cdcl_W-M-level-inv S'*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *full1-cdcl_W-cp-consistent-inv*:
assumes *full1 cdcl_W-cp S S'*
and *cdcl_W-M-level-inv S*
shows *cdcl_W-M-level-inv S'*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_W-cp-consistent-inv*:
assumes *rtrancpl cdcl_W-cp S S'*
and *cdcl_W-M-level-inv S*
shows *cdcl_W-M-level-inv S'*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-stgy-consistent-inv*:
assumes *cdcl_W-stgy S S'*
and *cdcl_W-M-level-inv S*
shows *cdcl_W-M-level-inv S'*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_W-stgy-consistent-inv*:
assumes *cdcl_W-stgy^{**} S S'*
and *cdcl_W-M-level-inv S*
shows *cdcl_W-M-level-inv S'*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-cp-no-more-init-clss*:
assumes *cdcl_W-cp S S'*
shows *init-clss S = init-clss S'*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *trancpl-cdcl_W-cp-no-more-init-clss*:
assumes *cdcl_W-cp⁺⁺ S S'*
shows *init-clss S = init-clss S'*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-stgy-no-more-init-clss*:

assumes *cdcl_W-stgy* S S' **and** *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* S

shows *init-clss* $S = \text{init-clss } S'$

<proof>

lemma *rtrancp-cdcl_W-stgy-no-more-init-clss*:

assumes *cdcl_W-stgy*** S S' **and** *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* S

shows *init-clss* $S = \text{init-clss } S'$

<proof>

lemma *cdcl_W-cp-dropWhile-trail'*:

assumes *cdcl_W-cp* S S'

obtains M **where** *trail* $S' = M @ \text{trail } S$ **and** $(\forall l \in \text{set } M. \neg \text{is-marked } l)$

<proof>

lemma *rtrancp-cdcl_W-cp-dropWhile-trail'*:

assumes *cdcl_W-cp*** S S'

obtains $M :: ('v, \text{nat}, 'v \text{ clause}) \text{ ann-literal list}$ **where**

trail $S' = M @ \text{trail } S$ **and** $\forall l \in \text{set } M. \neg \text{is-marked } l$

<proof>

lemma *cdcl_W-cp-dropWhile-trail*:

assumes *cdcl_W-cp* S S'

shows $\exists M. \text{trail } S' = M @ \text{trail } S \wedge (\forall l \in \text{set } M. \neg \text{is-marked } l)$

<proof>

lemma *rtrancp-cdcl_W-cp-dropWhile-trail*:

assumes *cdcl_W-cp*** S S'

shows $\exists M. \text{trail } S' = M @ \text{trail } S \wedge (\forall l \in \text{set } M. \neg \text{is-marked } l)$

<proof>

This theorem can be seen as a termination theorem for *cdcl_W-cp*.

lemma *length-model-le-vars*:

assumes

no-strange-atm S **and**

no-d: no-dup (*trail* S) **and**

finite (*atms-of-msu* (*init-clss* S))

shows *length* (*trail* S) $\leq \text{card } (\text{atms-of-msu } (\text{init-clss } S))$

<proof>

lemma *cdcl_W-cp-decreasing-measure*:

assumes

cdcl_W: cdcl_W-cp S T **and**

M-lev: cdcl_W-M-level-inv S **and**

alien: no-strange-atm S

shows $(\lambda S. \text{card } (\text{atms-of-msu } (\text{init-clss } S)) - \text{length } (\text{trail } S))$

$+ (\text{if conflicting } S = \text{None then } 1 \text{ else } 0)) S$

$> (\lambda S. \text{card } (\text{atms-of-msu } (\text{init-clss } S)) - \text{length } (\text{trail } S))$

$+ (\text{if conflicting } S = \text{None then } 1 \text{ else } 0)) T$

<proof>

lemma *cdcl_W-cp-wf*: $\text{wf } \{(b, a). (\text{cdcl}_W\text{-M-level-inv } a \wedge \text{no-strange-atm } a)$

$\wedge \text{cdcl}_W\text{-cp } a \ b\}$

<proof>

lemma *rtrancplp-cdcl_W-all-struct-inv-cdcl_W-cp-iff-rtrancplp-cdcl_W-cp:*

assumes

lev: cdcl_W-M-level-inv S and

alien: no-strange-atm S

shows $(\lambda a b. (cdcl_W\text{-}M\text{-level-inv } a \wedge \text{no-strange-atm } a) \wedge cdcl_W\text{-}cp \ a \ b)^{**} \ S \ T$

$\longleftrightarrow cdcl_W\text{-}cp^{**} \ S \ T$

(is ?I S T \longleftrightarrow ?C S T)

<proof>

lemma *cdcl_W-cp-normalized-element:*

assumes

lev: cdcl_W-M-level-inv S and

no-strange-atm S

obtains T where full cdcl_W-cp S T

<proof>

lemma *in-atms-of-implies-atm-of-on-atms-of-ms:*

$C + \{\#L\# \} \in \# \ A \implies x \in \text{atms-of } C \implies x \in \text{atms-of-msu } A$

<proof>

lemma *propagate-no-stange-atm:*

assumes

propagate S S' and

no-strange-atm S

shows no-strange-atm S'

<proof>

lemma *always-exists-full-cdcl_W-cp-step:*

assumes *no-strange-atm S*

shows $\exists S''. \text{full } cdcl_W\text{-}cp \ S \ S''$

<proof>

5.6.3 Literal of highest level in conflicting clauses

One important property of the *local.cdcl_W* with strategy is that, whenever a conflict takes place, there is at least a literal of level k involved (except if we have derived the false clause). The reason is that we apply conflicts before a decision is taken.

abbreviation *no-clause-is-false* :: 'st \Rightarrow bool **where**

no-clause-is-false \equiv

$\lambda S. (\text{conflicting } S = \text{None} \longrightarrow (\forall D \in \# \text{ clauses } S. \neg \text{trail } S \models_{as} CNot \ D))$

abbreviation *conflict-is-false-with-level* :: 'st \Rightarrow bool **where**

conflict-is-false-with-level $S \equiv \forall D. \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } D \longrightarrow D \neq \{\#\}$

$\longrightarrow (\exists L \in \# \ D. \text{get-level } (\text{trail } S) \ L = \text{backtrack-lvl } S)$

lemma *not-conflict-not-any-negated-init-clss:*

assumes $\forall \ S'. \neg \text{conflict } S \ S'$

shows *no-clause-is-false S*

<proof>

lemma *full-cdcl_W-cp-not-any-negated-init-clss:*

assumes *full cdcl_W-cp S S'*

shows *no-clause-is-false S'*

<proof>

lemma *full1-cdcl_W-cp-not-any-negated-init-clss:*

assumes *full1 cdcl_W-cp S S'*

shows *no-clause-is-false S'*

<proof>

lemma *cdcl_W-stgy-not-non-negated-init-clss:*

assumes *cdcl_W-stgy S S'*

shows *no-clause-is-false S'*

<proof>

lemma *rtrancp-cdcl_W-stgy-not-non-negated-init-clss:*

assumes *cdcl_W-stgy** S S' and no-clause-is-false S*

shows *no-clause-is-false S'*

<proof>

lemma *cdcl_W-stgy-conflict-ex-lit-of-max-level:*

assumes *cdcl_W-cp S S'*

and *no-clause-is-false S*

and *cdcl_W-M-level-inv S*

shows *conflict-is-false-with-level S'*

<proof>

lemma *no-chained-conflict:*

assumes *conflict S S'*

and *conflict S' S''*

shows *False*

<proof>

lemma *rtrancp-cdcl_W-cp-propa-or-propa-conf:*

assumes *cdcl_W-cp** S U*

shows *propagate** S U \vee ($\exists T$. propagate** S T \wedge conflict T U)*

<proof>

lemma *rtrancp-cdcl_W-co-conflict-ex-lit-of-max-level:*

assumes *full: full cdcl_W-cp S U*

and *cls-f: no-clause-is-false S*

and *conflict-is-false-with-level S*

and *lev: cdcl_W-M-level-inv S*

shows *conflict-is-false-with-level U*

<proof>

5.6.4 Literal of highest level in marked literals

definition *mark-is-false-with-level :: 'st \Rightarrow bool where*

mark-is-false-with-level S' \equiv

*$\forall D M1 M2 L. M1 @ \text{Propagated } L D \# M2 = \text{trail } S' \longrightarrow D - \{\#L\} \neq \{\#\}$
 $\longrightarrow (\exists L. L \in \# D \wedge \text{get-level } (\text{trail } S') L = \text{get-maximum-possible-level } M1)$*

definition *no-more-propagation-to-do :: 'st \Rightarrow bool where*

no-more-propagation-to-do S \equiv

*$\forall D M M' L. D + \{\#L\} \in \# \text{ clauses } S \longrightarrow \text{trail } S = M' @ M \longrightarrow M \models_{as} CNot D$
 $\longrightarrow \text{undefined-lit } M L \longrightarrow \text{get-maximum-possible-level } M < \text{backtrack-lvl } S$
 $\longrightarrow (\exists L. L \in \# D \wedge \text{get-level } (\text{trail } S) L = \text{get-maximum-possible-level } M)$*

lemma *propagate-no-more-propagation-to-do:*

assumes *propagate: propagate S S'*

and H : *no-more-propagation-to-do* S
and M : *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* S
shows *no-more-propagation-to-do* S'
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *conflict-no-more-propagation-to-do*:
assumes *conflict*: *conflict* S S'
and H : *no-more-propagation-to-do* S
and M : *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* S
shows *no-more-propagation-to-do* S'
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *cdcl_W-cp-no-more-propagation-to-do*:
assumes *conflict*: *cdcl_W-cp* S S'
and H : *no-more-propagation-to-do* S
and M : *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* S
shows *no-more-propagation-to-do* S'
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *cdcl_W-then-exists-cdcl_W-stgy-step*:
assumes
 o : *cdcl_W-o* S S' **and**
 $alien$: *no-strange-atm* S **and**
 lev : *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* S
shows $\exists S'$. *cdcl_W-stgy* S S'
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *backtrack-no-decomp*:
assumes S : *state* $S = (M, N, U, k, \text{Some } (D + \{\#L\#}))$
and L : *get-level* M $L = k$
and D : *get-maximum-level* M $D < k$
and $M-L$: *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* S
shows $\exists S'$. *cdcl_W-o* S S'
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *cdcl_W-stgy-final-state-conclusive*:
assumes *termi*: $\forall S'. \neg \text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy } S S'$
and *decomp*: *all-decomposition-implies-m* (*init-cls* S) (*get-all-marked-decomposition* (*trail* S))
and *learned*: *cdcl_W-learned-clause* S
and *level-inv*: *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* S
and *alien*: *no-strange-atm* S
and *no-dup*: *distinct-cdcl_W-state* S
and *confl*: *cdcl_W-conflicting* S
and *confl-k*: *conflict-is-false-with-level* S
shows (*conflicting* $S = \text{Some } \{\#\} \wedge \text{unsatisfiable } (\text{set-mset } (\text{init-cls } S))$)
 $\vee (\text{conflicting } S = \text{None} \wedge \text{trail } S \models_{\text{as set-mset}} (\text{init-cls } S))$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *cdcl_W-cp-tranclp-cdcl_W*:
cdcl_W-cp S $S' \implies \text{cdcl}_W^{++} S S'$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *tranclp-cdcl_W-cp-tranclp-cdcl_W*:
cdcl_W-cp⁺⁺ S $S' \implies \text{cdcl}_W^{++} S S'$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *cdcl_W-stgy-tranclp-cdcl_W:*
cdcl_W-stgy S S' \implies cdcl_W⁺⁺ S S'
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *tranclp-cdcl_W-stgy-tranclp-cdcl_W:*
cdcl_W-stgy⁺⁺ S S' \implies cdcl_W⁺⁺ S S'
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *rtranclp-cdcl_W-stgy-rtranclp-cdcl_W:*
*cdcl_W-stgy^{**} S S' \implies cdcl_W^{**} S S'*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *cdcl_W-o-conflict-is-false-with-level-inv:*
assumes
cdcl_W-o S S' and
lev: cdcl_W-M-level-inv S and
confl-inv: conflict-is-false-with-level S and
n-d: distinct-cdcl_W-state S and
conflicting: cdcl_W-conflicting S
shows *conflict-is-false-with-level S'*
 ⟨proof⟩

5.6.5 Strong completeness

lemma *cdcl_W-cp-propagate-confl:*
assumes *cdcl_W-cp S T*
shows *propagate^{**} S T \vee ($\exists S'. \text{propagate^{**} S S'} \wedge \text{conflict S' T}$)*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *rtranclp-cdcl_W-cp-propagate-confl:*
assumes *cdcl_W-cp^{**} S T*
shows *propagate^{**} S T \vee ($\exists S'. \text{propagate^{**} S S'} \wedge \text{conflict S' T}$)*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *cdcl_W-cp-propagate-completeness:*
assumes *MN: set M \models_s set-mset N and*
cons: consistent-interp (set M) and
tot: total-over-m (set M) (set-mset N) and
lits-of (trail S) \subseteq set M and
init-clss S = N and
*propagate^{**} S S' and*
learned-clss S = {#}
shows *length (trail S) \leq length (trail S') \wedge lits-of (trail S') \subseteq set M*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *completeness-is-a-full1-propagation:*
fixes *S :: 'st and M :: 'v literal list*
assumes *MN: set M \models_s set-mset N*
and *cons: consistent-interp (set M)*
and *tot: total-over-m (set M) (set-mset N)*
and *alien: no-strange-atm S*
and *learned: learned-clss S = {#}*
and *clsS[simp]: init-clss S = N*
and *lits: lits-of (trail S) \subseteq set M*
shows *$\exists S'. \text{propagate^{**} S S'} \wedge \text{full cdcl}_W\text{-cp S S'}$*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

See also $cdcl_W\text{-cp}^{**} ?S ?S' \implies \exists M. \text{trail } ?S' = M @ \text{trail } ?S \wedge (\forall l \in \text{set } M. \neg \text{is-marked } l)$

lemma *rtrancpl-propagate-is-trail-append*:

$\text{propagate}^{**} S T \implies \exists c. \text{trail } T = c @ \text{trail } S$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancpl-propagate-is-update-trail*:

$\text{propagate}^{**} S T \implies cdcl_W\text{-M-level-inv } S \implies T \sim \text{delete-trail-and-rebuild } (\text{trail } T) S$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-stgy-strong-completeness-n*:

assumes

$MN: \text{set } M \models_s \text{set-mset } N$ **and**

$\text{cons: consistent-interp } (\text{set } M)$ **and**

$\text{tot: total-over-m } (\text{set } M) (\text{set-mset } N)$ **and**

$\text{atm-incl: atm-of ' } (\text{set } M) \subseteq \text{atms-of-msu } N$ **and**

$\text{distM: distinct } M$ **and**

$\text{length: } n \leq \text{length } M$

shows

$\exists M' k S. \text{length } M' \geq n \wedge$

$\text{lits-of } M' \subseteq \text{set } M \wedge$

$\text{no-dup } M' \wedge$

$S \sim \text{update-backtrack-lvl } k (\text{append-trail } (\text{rev } M') (\text{init-state } N)) \wedge$

$cdcl_W\text{-stgy}^{**} (\text{init-state } N) S$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-stgy-strong-completeness*:

assumes $MN: \text{set } M \models_s \text{set-mset } N$

and $\text{cons: consistent-interp } (\text{set } M)$

and $\text{tot: total-over-m } (\text{set } M) (\text{set-mset } N)$

and $\text{atm-incl: atm-of ' } (\text{set } M) \subseteq \text{atms-of-msu } N$

and $\text{distM: distinct } M$

shows

$\exists M' k S.$

$\text{lits-of } M' = \text{set } M \wedge$

$S \sim \text{update-backtrack-lvl } k (\text{append-trail } (\text{rev } M') (\text{init-state } N)) \wedge$

$cdcl_W\text{-stgy}^{**} (\text{init-state } N) S \wedge$

$\text{final-cdcl}_W\text{-state } S$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

5.6.6 No conflict with only variables of level less than backtrack level

This invariant is stronger than the previous argument in the sense that it is a property about all possible conflicts.

definition *no-smaller-confl* ($S::'st$) \equiv

$(\forall M K i M' D. M' @ \text{Marked } K i \# M = \text{trail } S \longrightarrow D \in \# \text{ clauses } S$
 $\longrightarrow \neg M \models_{as} CNot D)$

lemma *no-smaller-confl-init-sate*[simp]:

$\text{no-smaller-confl } (\text{init-state } N) \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-o-no-smaller-confl-inv*:

fixes $S S' :: 'st$

assumes

cdcl_W-o S S' **and**
lev: cdcl_W-M-level-inv S **and**
max-lev: conflict-is-false-with-level S **and**
smaller: no-smaller-conflict S **and**
no-f: no-clause-is-false S
shows *no-smaller-conflict S'*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *conflict-no-smaller-conflict-inv:*
assumes *conflict S S'*
and *no-smaller-conflict S*
shows *no-smaller-conflict S'*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *propagate-no-smaller-conflict-inv:*
assumes *propagate: propagate S S'*
and *n-l: no-smaller-conflict S*
shows *no-smaller-conflict S'*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *cdcl_W-cp-no-smaller-conflict-inv:*
assumes *propagate: cdcl_W-cp S S'*
and *n-l: no-smaller-conflict S*
shows *no-smaller-conflict S'*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *rtrancp-cdcl_W-cp-no-smaller-conflict-inv:*
assumes *propagate: cdcl_W-cp^{**} S S'*
and *n-l: no-smaller-conflict S*
shows *no-smaller-conflict S'*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *trancp-cdcl_W-cp-no-smaller-conflict-inv:*
assumes *propagate: cdcl_W-cp⁺⁺ S S'*
and *n-l: no-smaller-conflict S*
shows *no-smaller-conflict S'*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *full-cdcl_W-cp-no-smaller-conflict-inv:*
assumes *full cdcl_W-cp S S'*
and *n-l: no-smaller-conflict S*
shows *no-smaller-conflict S'*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *full1-cdcl_W-cp-no-smaller-conflict-inv:*
assumes *full1 cdcl_W-cp S S'*
and *n-l: no-smaller-conflict S*
shows *no-smaller-conflict S'*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *cdcl_W-stgy-no-smaller-conflict-inv:*
assumes *cdcl_W-stgy S S'*
and *n-l: no-smaller-conflict S*
and *conflict-is-false-with-level S*
and *cdcl_W-M-level-inv S*

shows *no-smaller-confl* S'
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *conflict-conflict-is-no-clause-is-false-test:*

assumes *conflict* $S S'$
and $(\forall D \in \# \text{init-clss } S + \text{learned-clss } S. \text{trail } S \models_{as} CNot D$
 $\longrightarrow (\exists L. L \in \# D \wedge \text{get-level } (\text{trail } S) L = \text{backtrack-lvl } S))$
shows $\forall D \in \# \text{init-clss } S' + \text{learned-clss } S'. \text{trail } S' \models_{as} CNot D$
 $\longrightarrow (\exists L. L \in \# D \wedge \text{get-level } (\text{trail } S') L = \text{backtrack-lvl } S')$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *is-conflicting-exists-conflict:*

assumes $\neg(\forall D \in \# \text{init-clss } S' + \text{learned-clss } S'. \neg \text{trail } S' \models_{as} CNot D)$
and *conflicting* $S' = None$
shows $\exists S''. \text{conflict } S' S''$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-o-conflict-is-no-clause-is-false:*

fixes $S S' :: 'st$
assumes
cdcl_W-o $S S'$ **and**
lev: *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* S **and**
max-lev: *conflict-is-false-with-level* S **and**
no-f: *no-clause-is-false* S **and**
no-l: *no-smaller-confl* S
shows *no-clause-is-false* S'
 $\vee (\text{conflicting } S' = None$
 $\longrightarrow (\forall D \in \# \text{clauses } S'. \text{trail } S' \models_{as} CNot D$
 $\longrightarrow (\exists L. L \in \# D \wedge \text{get-level } (\text{trail } S') L = \text{backtrack-lvl } S')))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *full1-cdcl_W-cp-exists-conflict-decompose:*

assumes *conflict*: $\exists D \in \# \text{clauses } S. \text{trail } S \models_{as} CNot D$
and *full*: *full cdcl_W-cp* $S U$
and *no-conflict*: *conflicting* $S = None$
shows $\exists T. \text{propagate}^{**} S T \wedge \text{conflict } T U$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *full1-cdcl_W-cp-exists-conflict-full1-decompose:*

assumes *conflict*: $\exists D \in \# \text{clauses } S. \text{trail } S \models_{as} CNot D$
and *full*: *full cdcl_W-cp* $S U$
and *no-conflict*: *conflicting* $S = None$
shows $\exists T D. \text{propagate}^{**} S T \wedge \text{conflict } T U$
 $\wedge \text{trail } T \models_{as} CNot D \wedge \text{conflicting } U = \text{Some } D \wedge D \in \# \text{clauses } S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-stgy-no-smaller-confl:*

assumes *cdcl_W-stgy* $S S'$
and *n-l*: *no-smaller-confl* S
and *conflict-is-false-with-level* S
and *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* S
and *no-clause-is-false* S
and *distinct-cdcl_W-state* S
and *cdcl_W-conflicting* S

shows *no-smaller-confl* S'
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-stgy-ex-lit-of-max-level*:
assumes *cdcl_W-stgy* $S S'$
and *n-l*: *no-smaller-confl* S
and *conflict-is-false-with-level* S
and *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* S
and *no-clause-is-false* S
and *distinct-cdcl_W-state* S
and *cdcl_W-conflicting* S
shows *conflict-is-false-with-level* S'
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancp-cdcl_W-stgy-no-smaller-confl-inv*:
assumes
*cdcl_W-stgy*** $S S'$ **and**
n-l: *no-smaller-confl* S **and**
cls-false: *conflict-is-false-with-level* S **and**
lev: *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* S **and**
no-f: *no-clause-is-false* S **and**
dist: *distinct-cdcl_W-state* S **and**
conflicting: *cdcl_W-conflicting* S **and**
decomp: *all-decomposition-implies-m* (*init-clss* S) (*get-all-marked-decomposition* (*trail* S)) **and**
learned: *cdcl_W-learned-clause* S **and**
alien: *no-strange-atm* S
shows *no-smaller-confl* $S' \wedge \text{conflict-is-false-with-level } S'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

5.6.7 Final States are Conclusive

lemma *full-cdcl_W-stgy-final-state-conclusive-non-false*:
fixes $S' :: 'st$
assumes *full*: *full cdcl_W-stgy* (*init-state* N) S'
and *no-d*: *distinct-mset-mset* N
and *no-empty*: $\forall D \in \#N. D \neq \{\#\}$
shows (*conflicting* $S' = \text{Some } \{\#\} \wedge \text{unsatisfiable } (\text{set-mset } (\text{init-clss } S'))$)
 $\vee (\text{conflicting } S' = \text{None} \wedge \text{trail } S' \models_{\text{asm}} \text{init-clss } S')$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *conflict-is-full1-cdcl_W-cp*:
assumes *cp*: *conflict* $S S'$
shows *full1 cdcl_W-cp* $S S'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-cp-fst-empty-conflicting-false*:
assumes *cdcl_W-cp* $S S'$
and *trail* $S = []$
and *conflicting* $S \neq \text{None}$
shows *False*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-o-fst-empty-conflicting-false*:
assumes *cdcl_W-o* $S S'$
and *trail* $S = []$

and *conflicting* $S \neq \text{None}$
shows *False*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-stgy-fst-empty-conflicting-false:*

assumes *cdcl_W-stgy* $S S'$
and *trail* $S = []$
and *conflicting* $S \neq \text{None}$
shows *False*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

thm *cdcl_W-cp.induct[split-format(complete)]*

lemma *cdcl_W-cp-conflicting-is-false:*

cdcl_W-cp $S S' \implies \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } \{\#\} \implies \text{False}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancp-cdcl_W-cp-conflicting-is-false:*

cdcl_W-cp⁺⁺ $S S' \implies \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } \{\#\} \implies \text{False}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-o-conflicting-is-false:*

cdcl_W-o $S S' \implies \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } \{\#\} \implies \text{False}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-stgy-conflicting-is-false:*

cdcl_W-stgy $S S' \implies \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } \{\#\} \implies \text{False}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancp-cdcl_W-stgy-conflicting-is-false:*

cdcl_W-stgy^{*} $S S' \implies \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } \{\#\} \implies S' = S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *full-cdcl_W-init-clss-with-false-normal-form:*

assumes
 $\forall m \in \text{set } M. \neg \text{is-marked } m$ **and**
 $E = \text{Some } D$ **and**
 $\text{state } S = (M, N, U, 0, E)$
full cdcl_W-stgy $S S'$ **and**
all-decomposition-implies-m (*init-clss* S) (*get-all-marked-decomposition* (*trail* S))
cdcl_W-learned-clause S
cdcl_W-M-level-inv S
no-strange-atm S
distinct-cdcl_W-state S
cdcl_W-conflicting S
shows $\exists M''. \text{state } S' = (M'', N, U, 0, \text{Some } \{\#\})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *full-cdcl_W-stgy-final-state-conclusive-is-one-false:*

fixes $S' :: 'st$
assumes *full: full cdcl_W-stgy* (*init-state* N) S'
and *no-d: distinct-mset-mset* N
and *empty: $\{\#\} \in \# N$*
shows *conflicting* $S' = \text{Some } \{\#\} \wedge \text{unsatisfiable } (\text{set-mset } (\text{init-clss } S'))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma full-cdclW-stgy-final-state-conclusive:
  fixes  $S' :: 'st$ 
  assumes full: full cdclW-stgy (init-state N) S' and no-d: distinct-mset-mset N
  shows (conflicting S' = Some {#}  $\wedge$  unsatisfiable (set-mset (init-clss S')))
     $\vee$  (conflicting S' = None  $\wedge$  trail S'  $\models_{asm}$  init-clss S')
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma full-cdclW-stgy-final-state-conclusive-from-init-state:
  fixes  $S' :: 'st$ 
  assumes full: full cdclW-stgy (init-state N) S'
  and no-d: distinct-mset-mset N
  shows (conflicting S' = Some {#}  $\wedge$  unsatisfiable (set-mset N))
     $\vee$  (conflicting S' = None  $\wedge$  trail S'  $\models_{asm}$  N  $\wedge$  satisfiable (set-mset N))
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
end
end
theory CDCL-W-Termination
imports CDCL-W
begin

context cdclW
begin

```

5.7 Termination

The condition that no learned clause is a tautology is overkill (in the sense that the no-duplicate condition is enough), but we can reuse *simple-clss*.

The invariant contains all the structural invariants that holds,

```

definition cdclW-all-struct-inv where
  cdclW-all-struct-inv S =
    (no-strange-atm S  $\wedge$  cdclW-M-level-inv S
      $\wedge$  ( $\forall s \in \#$  learned-clss S.  $\neg$ tautology s)
      $\wedge$  distinct-cdclW-state S  $\wedge$  cdclW-conflicting S
      $\wedge$  all-decomposition-implies-m (init-clss S) (get-all-marked-decomposition (trail S))
      $\wedge$  cdclW-learned-clause S)

```

```

lemma cdclW-all-struct-inv-inv:
  assumes cdclW S S' and cdclW-all-struct-inv S
  shows cdclW-all-struct-inv S'
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma rtrancpl-cdclW-all-struct-inv-inv:
  assumes cdclW** S S' and cdclW-all-struct-inv S
  shows cdclW-all-struct-inv S'
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma cdclW-stgy-cdclW-all-struct-inv:
  cdclW-stgy S T  $\implies$  cdclW-all-struct-inv S  $\implies$  cdclW-all-struct-inv T
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma rtrancpl-cdclW-stgy-cdclW-all-struct-inv:
  cdclW-stgy** S T  $\implies$  cdclW-all-struct-inv S  $\implies$  cdclW-all-struct-inv T
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

5.8 No Relearning of a clause

lemma *cdcl_W-o-new-clause-learned-is-backtrack-step:*

assumes *learned: $D \in \# \text{ learned-clss } T$ and*
new: $D \notin \# \text{ learned-clss } S$ and
cdcl_W: cdcl_W-o $S \ T$ and
lev: cdcl_W-M-level-inv S
shows *backtrack $S \ T \wedge \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } D$*
<proof>

lemma *cdcl_W-cp-new-clause-learned-has-backtrack-step:*

assumes *learned: $D \in \# \text{ learned-clss } T$ and*
new: $D \notin \# \text{ learned-clss } S$ and
cdcl_W: cdcl_W-stgy $S \ T$ and
lev: cdcl_W-M-level-inv S
shows *$\exists S'. \text{backtrack } S \ S' \wedge \text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy}^{**} \ S' \ T \wedge \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } D$*
<proof>

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_W-cp-new-clause-learned-has-backtrack-step:*

assumes *learned: $D \in \# \text{ learned-clss } T$ and*
new: $D \notin \# \text{ learned-clss } S$ and
*cdcl_W: cdcl_W-stgy^{**} $S \ T$ and*
lev: cdcl_W-M-level-inv S
shows *$\exists S' \ S''. \text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy}^{**} \ S \ S' \wedge \text{backtrack } S' \ S'' \wedge \text{conflicting } S' = \text{Some } D \wedge$*
*cdcl_W-stgy^{**} $S'' \ T$*
<proof>

lemma *propagate-no-more-Marked-lit:*

assumes *propagate $S \ S'$*
shows *Marked $K \ i \in \text{set } (\text{trail } S) \longleftrightarrow \text{Marked } K \ i \in \text{set } (\text{trail } S')$*
<proof>

lemma *conflict-no-more-Marked-lit:*

assumes *conflict $S \ S'$*
shows *Marked $K \ i \in \text{set } (\text{trail } S) \longleftrightarrow \text{Marked } K \ i \in \text{set } (\text{trail } S')$*
<proof>

lemma *cdcl_W-cp-no-more-Marked-lit:*

assumes *cdcl_W-cp $S \ S'$*
shows *Marked $K \ i \in \text{set } (\text{trail } S) \longleftrightarrow \text{Marked } K \ i \in \text{set } (\text{trail } S')$*
<proof>

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_W-cp-no-more-Marked-lit:*

assumes *cdcl_W-cp^{**} $S \ S'$*
shows *Marked $K \ i \in \text{set } (\text{trail } S) \longleftrightarrow \text{Marked } K \ i \in \text{set } (\text{trail } S')$*
<proof>

lemma *cdcl_W-o-no-more-Marked-lit:*

assumes *cdcl_W-o $S \ S'$ and cdcl_W-M-level-inv S and $\neg \text{decide } S \ S'$*
shows *Marked $K \ i \in \text{set } (\text{trail } S') \longrightarrow \text{Marked } K \ i \in \text{set } (\text{trail } S)$*
<proof>

lemma *cdcl_W-new-marked-at-beginning-is-decide:*

assumes *cdcl_W-stgy $S \ S'$ and*
lev: cdcl_W-M-level-inv S and
trail $S' = M' @ \text{Marked } L \ i \ \# \ M$ and

trail $S = M$
shows $\exists T. \text{decide } S \ T \wedge \text{no-step } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-cp } S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-o-is-decide:*

assumes *cdcl_W-o* $S' \ T$ **and** *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* S'
trail $T = \text{drop } (\text{length } M_0) \ M' @ \text{Marked } L \ i \ \# \ H @ M$ **and**
 $\neg (\exists M'. \text{trail } S' = M' @ \text{Marked } L \ i \ \# \ H @ M)$
shows *decide* $S' \ T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancp-cdcl_W-new-marked-at-beginning-is-decide:*

assumes *cdcl_W-stgy*** $R \ U$ **and**
trail $U = M' @ \text{Marked } L \ i \ \# \ H @ M$ **and**
trail $R = M$ **and**
cdcl_W-M-level-inv R
shows
 $\exists S \ T \ T'. \text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy}^{**} \ R \ S \wedge \text{decide } S \ T \wedge \text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy}^{**} \ T \ U \wedge \text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy}^{**} \ S \ U \wedge$
 $\text{no-step } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-cp } S \wedge \text{trail } T = \text{Marked } L \ i \ \# \ H @ M \wedge \text{trail } S = H @ M \wedge \text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy } S \ T' \wedge$
 $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy}^{**} \ T' \ U$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancp-cdcl_W-new-marked-at-beginning-is-decide':*

assumes *cdcl_W-stgy*** $R \ U$ **and**
trail $U = M' @ \text{Marked } L \ i \ \# \ H @ M$ **and**
trail $R = M$ **and**
cdcl_W-M-level-inv R
shows $\exists y \ y'. \text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy}^{**} \ R \ y \wedge \text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy } y \ y' \wedge \neg (\exists c. \text{trail } y = c @ \text{Marked } L \ i \ \# \ H @ M)$
 $\wedge (\lambda a \ b. \text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy } a \ b \wedge (\exists c. \text{trail } a = c @ \text{Marked } L \ i \ \# \ H @ M))^{**} \ y' \ U$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *beginning-not-marked-invert:*

assumes $A: M @ A = M' @ \text{Marked } K \ i \ \# \ H$ **and**
 $nm: \forall m \in \text{set } M. \neg \text{is-marked } m$
shows $\exists M. A = M @ \text{Marked } K \ i \ \# \ H$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-stgy-trail-has-new-marked-is-decide-step:*

assumes *cdcl_W-stgy* $S \ T$
 $\neg (\exists c. \text{trail } S = c @ \text{Marked } L \ i \ \# \ H @ M)$ **and**
 $(\lambda a \ b. \text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy } a \ b \wedge (\exists c. \text{trail } a = c @ \text{Marked } L \ i \ \# \ H @ M))^{**} \ T \ U$ **and**
 $\exists M'. \text{trail } U = M' @ \text{Marked } L \ i \ \# \ H @ M$ **and**
lev: cdcl_W-M-level-inv S
shows $\exists S'. \text{decide } S \ S' \wedge \text{full } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-cp } S' \ T \wedge \text{no-step } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-cp } S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancp-cdcl_W-stgy-with-trail-end-has-trail-end:*

assumes $(\lambda a \ b. \text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy } a \ b \wedge (\exists c. \text{trail } a = c @ \text{Marked } L \ i \ \# \ H @ M))^{**} \ T \ U$ **and**
 $\exists M'. \text{trail } U = M' @ \text{Marked } L \ i \ \# \ H @ M$
shows $\exists M'. \text{trail } T = M' @ \text{Marked } L \ i \ \# \ H @ M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-o-cannot-learn:*

assumes
cdcl_W-o $y \ z$ **and**

lev: $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-}M\text{-level-inv } y$ **and**
trM: $\text{trail } y = c @ \text{Marked } Kh \ i \ \# \ H$ **and**
DL: $D + \{\#L\# \} \notin \text{learned-clss } y$ **and**
DH: $\text{atms-of } D \subseteq \text{atm-of 'lits-of } H$ **and**
LH: $\text{atm-of } L \notin \text{atm-of 'lits-of } H$ **and**
learned: $\forall T. \text{conflicting } y = \text{Some } T \longrightarrow \text{trail } y \models_{as} \text{CNot } T$ **and**
z: $\text{trail } z = c' @ \text{Marked } Kh \ i \ \# \ H$
shows $D + \{\#L\# \} \notin \text{learned-clss } z$
 <proof>

lemma *cdcl_W-stgy-with-trail-end-has-not-been-learned*:
assumes $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy } y \ z$ **and**
cdcl_W-M-level-inv y **and**
trail $y = c @ \text{Marked } Kh \ i \ \# \ H$ **and**
D + $\{\#L\# \} \notin \text{learned-clss } y$ **and**
DH: $\text{atms-of } D \subseteq \text{atm-of 'lits-of } H$ **and**
LH: $\text{atm-of } L \notin \text{atm-of 'lits-of } H$ **and**
 $\forall T. \text{conflicting } y = \text{Some } T \longrightarrow \text{trail } y \models_{as} \text{CNot } T$ **and**
trail $z = c' @ \text{Marked } Kh \ i \ \# \ H$
shows $D + \{\#L\# \} \notin \text{learned-clss } z$
 <proof>

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_W-stgy-with-trail-end-has-not-been-learned*:
assumes $(\lambda a \ b. \text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy } a \ b \wedge (\exists c. \text{trail } a = c @ \text{Marked } K \ i \ \# \ H @ []))^{**} S \ z$ **and**
cdcl_W-all-struct-inv S **and**
trail $S = c @ \text{Marked } K \ i \ \# \ H$ **and**
D + $\{\#L\# \} \notin \text{learned-clss } S$ **and**
DH: $\text{atms-of } D \subseteq \text{atm-of 'lits-of } H$ **and**
LH: $\text{atm-of } L \notin \text{atm-of 'lits-of } H$ **and**
 $\exists c'. \text{trail } z = c' @ \text{Marked } K \ i \ \# \ H$
shows $D + \{\#L\# \} \notin \text{learned-clss } z$
 <proof>

lemma *cdcl_W-stgy-new-learned-clause*:
assumes $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy } S \ T$ **and**
lev: $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-}M\text{-level-inv } S$ **and**
E $\notin \text{learned-clss } S$ **and**
E $\in \text{learned-clss } T$
shows $\exists S'. \text{backtrack } S \ S' \wedge \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } E \wedge \text{full } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-cp } S' \ T$
 <proof>

lemma *cdcl_W-stgy-no-relearned-clause*:
assumes
invR: $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } R$ **and**
st': $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy}^{**} R \ S$ **and**
bt: $\text{backtrack } S \ T$ **and**
conft: $\text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } E$ **and**
already-learned: $E \in \text{clauses } S$ **and**
R: $\text{trail } R = []$
shows *False*
 <proof>

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_W-stgy-distinct-mset-clauses*:
assumes
invR: $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } R$ **and**

st: $cdcl_W\text{-stgy}^{**} R S$ **and**
dist: $\text{distinct-mset (clauses } R)$ **and**
R: $\text{trail } R = []$
shows $\text{distinct-mset (clauses } S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $cdcl_W\text{-stgy-distinct-mset-clauses}$:

assumes
st: $cdcl_W\text{-stgy}^{**} (\text{init-state } N) S$ **and**
no-duplicate-clause: $\text{distinct-mset } N$ **and**
no-duplicate-in-clause: $\text{distinct-mset-mset } N$
shows $\text{distinct-mset (clauses } S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

5.9 Decrease of a measure

fun $cdcl_W\text{-measure}$ **where**

$cdcl_W\text{-measure } S =$
 $[(\exists :: \text{nat}) \wedge (\text{card (atms-of-msu (init-clss } S))) - \text{card (set-mset (learned-clss } S))],$
 if conflicting $S = \text{None}$ then 1 else 0,
 if conflicting $S = \text{None}$ then $\text{card (atms-of-msu (init-clss } S)) - \text{length (trail } S)$
 else $\text{length (trail } S)$
 $]$

lemma $\text{length-model-le-vars-all-inv}$:

assumes $cdcl_W\text{-all-struct-inv } S$
shows $\text{length (trail } S) \leq \text{card (atms-of-msu (init-clss } S))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

context $cdcl_W$

begin

lemma $\text{learned-clss-less-upper-bound}$:

fixes $S :: 'st$
assumes
 $\text{distinct-}cdcl_W\text{-state } S$ **and**
 $\forall s \in \# \text{ learned-clss } S. \neg \text{tautology } s$
shows $\text{card (set-mset (learned-clss } S)) \leq 3 \wedge \text{card (atms-of-msu (learned-clss } S))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{lexn3[intro!, simp]}$:

$a < a' \vee (a = a' \wedge b < b') \vee (a = a' \wedge b = b' \wedge c < c')$
 $\implies ([a :: \text{nat}, b, c], [a', b', c']) \in \text{lexn } \{(x, y). x < y\} 3$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $cdcl_W\text{-measure-decreasing}$:

fixes $S :: 'st$
assumes
 $cdcl_W S S'$ **and**
 no-restart:
 $\neg (\text{learned-clss } S \subseteq \# \text{ learned-clss } S' \wedge [] = \text{trail } S' \wedge \text{conflicting } S' = \text{None})$
and
 $\text{learned-clss } S \subseteq \# \text{ learned-clss } S'$ **and**
 $\text{no-relearn: } \bigwedge S'. \text{backtrack } S S' \implies \forall T. \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } T \longrightarrow T \notin \# \text{ learned-clss } S$
and

alien: *no-strange-atm* S **and**
M-level: *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* S **and**
no-taut: $\forall s \in \#$ *learned-clss* S . $\neg \text{tautology } s$ **and**
no-dup: *distinct-cdcl_W-state* S **and**
conf: *cdcl_W-conflicting* S
shows (*cdcl_W-measure* S' , *cdcl_W-measure* S) $\in \text{lexn } \{(a, b). a < b\}$ \exists
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *propagate-measure-decreasing*:
fixes $S :: 'st$
assumes *propagate* $S S'$ **and** *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv* S
shows (*cdcl_W-measure* S' , *cdcl_W-measure* S) $\in \text{lexn } \{(a, b). a < b\}$ \exists
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *conflict-measure-decreasing*:
fixes $S :: 'st$
assumes *conflict* $S S'$ **and** *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv* S
shows (*cdcl_W-measure* S' , *cdcl_W-measure* S) $\in \text{lexn } \{(a, b). a < b\}$ \exists
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *decide-measure-decreasing*:
fixes $S :: 'st$
assumes *decide* $S S'$ **and** *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv* S
shows (*cdcl_W-measure* S' , *cdcl_W-measure* S) $\in \text{lexn } \{(a, b). a < b\}$ \exists
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *trans-le*:
trans $\{(a, (b::nat)). a < b\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-cp-measure-decreasing*:
fixes $S :: 'st$
assumes *cdcl_W-cp* $S S'$ **and** *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv* S
shows (*cdcl_W-measure* S' , *cdcl_W-measure* S) $\in \text{lexn } \{(a, b). a < b\}$ \exists
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tranclp-cdcl_W-cp-measure-decreasing*:
fixes $S :: 'st$
assumes *cdcl_W-cp⁺⁺* $S S'$ **and** *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv* S
shows (*cdcl_W-measure* S' , *cdcl_W-measure* S) $\in \text{lexn } \{(a, b). a < b\}$ \exists
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-stgy-step-decreasing*:
fixes $R S T :: 'st$
assumes *cdcl_W-stgy* $S T$ **and**
*cdcl_W-stgy^{**}* $R S$
trail $R = []$ **and**
cdcl_W-all-struct-inv R
shows (*cdcl_W-measure* T , *cdcl_W-measure* S) $\in \text{lexn } \{(a, b). a < b\}$ \exists
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tranclp-cdcl_W-stgy-decreasing*:
fixes $R S T :: 'st$
assumes *cdcl_W-stgy⁺⁺* $R S$
trail $R = []$ **and**

```

cdclW-all-struct-inv R
shows (cdclW-measure S, cdclW-measure R) ∈ lexn {(a, b). a < b} ?
⟨proof⟩

lemma trancpl-cdclW-stgy-S0-decreasing:
  fixes R S T :: 'st
  assumes pl: cdclW-stgy++ (init-state N) S and
  no-dup: distinct-mset-mset N
  shows (cdclW-measure S, cdclW-measure (init-state N)) ∈ lexn {(a, b). a < b} ?
⟨proof⟩

lemma wf-trancpl-cdclW-stgy:
  wf {(S::'st, init-state N) | S N. distinct-mset-mset N ∧ cdclW-stgy++ (init-state N) S}
⟨proof⟩
end

end
theory DPLL-CDCL-W-Implementation
imports Partial-Annotated-Clausal-Logic
begin

```

6 Simple Implementation of the DPLL and CDCL

6.1 Common Rules

6.1.1 Propagation

The following theorem holds:

```

lemma lits-of-unfold[iff]:
  (∀ c ∈ set C. − c ∈ lits-of Ms) ↔ Ms ⊨as CNot (mset C)
⟨proof⟩

```

The right-hand version is written at a high-level, but only the left-hand side is executable.

```

definition is-unit-clause :: 'a literal list ⇒ ('a, 'b, 'c) ann-literal list ⇒ 'a literal option
where
is-unit-clause l M =
  (case List.filter (λa. atm-of a ∉ atm-of ' lits-of M) l of
    a # [] ⇒ if M ⊨as CNot (mset l − {#a#}) then Some a else None
    | - ⇒ None)

```

```

definition is-unit-clause-code :: 'a literal list ⇒ ('a, 'b, 'c) ann-literal list
  ⇒ 'a literal option where
is-unit-clause-code l M =
  (case List.filter (λa. atm-of a ∉ atm-of ' lits-of M) l of
    a # [] ⇒ if (∀ c ∈ set (remove1 a l). − c ∈ lits-of M) then Some a else None
    | - ⇒ None)

```

```

lemma is-unit-clause-is-unit-clause-code[code]:
  is-unit-clause l M = is-unit-clause-code l M
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma is-unit-clause-some-undef:
  assumes is-unit-clause l M = Some a
  shows undefined-lit M a
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *is-unit-clause-some-CNot*: *is-unit-clause* l $M = \text{Some } a \implies M \models_{as} \text{CNot } (\text{mset } l - \{\#a\# \})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *is-unit-clause-some-in*: *is-unit-clause* l $M = \text{Some } a \implies a \in \text{set } l$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *is-unit-clause-nil[simp]*: *is-unit-clause* $[]$ $M = \text{None}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

6.1.2 Unit propagation for all clauses

Finding the first clause to propagate

fun *find-first-unit-clause* :: *'a literal list list* \Rightarrow (*'a, 'b, 'c*) *ann-literal list*
 \Rightarrow (*'a literal* \times *'a literal list*) *option* **where**
find-first-unit-clause ($a \# l$) $M =$
 (case *is-unit-clause* a M of
 None \Rightarrow *find-first-unit-clause* l M
 | *Some* $L \Rightarrow \text{Some } (L, a)$) |
find-first-unit-clause $[]$ $= \text{None}$

lemma *find-first-unit-clause-some*:
find-first-unit-clause l $M = \text{Some } (a, c)$
 $\implies c \in \text{set } l \wedge M \models_{as} \text{CNot } (\text{mset } c - \{\#a\# \}) \wedge \text{undefined-lit } M a \wedge a \in \text{set } c$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *propagate-is-unit-clause-not-None*:
assumes *dist*: *distinct* c **and**
 $M: M \models_{as} \text{CNot } (\text{mset } c - \{\#a\# \})$ **and**
undef: *undefined-lit* $M a$ **and**
ac: $a \in \text{set } c$
shows *is-unit-clause* c $M \neq \text{None}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *find-first-unit-clause-none*:
distinct $c \implies c \in \text{set } l \implies M \models_{as} \text{CNot } (\text{mset } c - \{\#a\# \}) \implies \text{undefined-lit } M a \implies a \in \text{set } c$
 $\implies \text{find-first-unit-clause } l$ $M \neq \text{None}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

6.1.3 Decide

fun *find-first-unused-var* :: *'a literal list list* \Rightarrow *'a literal set* \Rightarrow *'a literal option* **where**
find-first-unused-var ($a \# l$) $M =$
 (case *List.find* ($\lambda \text{lit. lit} \notin M \wedge \neg \text{lit} \notin M$) a of
 None \Rightarrow *find-first-unused-var* l M
 | *Some* $a \Rightarrow \text{Some } a$) |
find-first-unused-var $[]$ $= \text{None}$

lemma *find-none[iff]*:
List.find ($\lambda \text{lit. lit} \notin M \wedge \neg \text{lit} \notin M$) $a = \text{None} \longleftrightarrow \text{atm-of ' set } a \subseteq \text{atm-of ' } M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *find-some*: *List.find* ($\lambda \text{lit. lit} \notin M \wedge \neg \text{lit} \notin M$) $a = \text{Some } b \implies b \in \text{set } a \wedge b \notin M \wedge \neg b \notin M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *find-first-unused-var-None*[*iff*]:
 $\text{find-first-unused-var } l \ M = \text{None} \longleftrightarrow (\forall a \in \text{set } l. \text{ atm-of } ' \text{ set } a \subseteq \text{atm-of } ' \ M)$
 <proof>

lemma *find-first-unused-var-Some-not-all-incl*:
assumes *find-first-unused-var* $l \ M = \text{Some } c$
shows $\neg(\forall a \in \text{set } l. \text{ atm-of } ' \text{ set } a \subseteq \text{atm-of } ' \ M)$
 <proof>

lemma *find-first-unused-var-Some*:
 $\text{find-first-unused-var } l \ M = \text{Some } a \implies (\exists m \in \text{set } l. a \in \text{set } m \wedge a \notin M \wedge -a \notin M)$
 <proof>

lemma *find-first-unused-var-undefined*:
 $\text{find-first-unused-var } l \ (\text{lits-of } Ms) = \text{Some } a \implies \text{undefined-lit } Ms \ a$
 <proof>

end

theory *DPLL-W-Implementation*

imports *DPLL-CDCL-W-Implementation* *DPLL-W* $\sim\sim$ /src/HOL/Library/Code-Target-Numeral
begin

6.2 Simple Implementation of DPLL

6.2.1 Combining the propagate and decide: a DPLL step

definition *DPLL-step* :: $\text{int dpll}_W\text{-ann-literals} \times \text{int literal list list}$
 $\Rightarrow \text{int dpll}_W\text{-ann-literals} \times \text{int literal list list}$ **where**
 $\text{DPLL-step} = (\lambda(Ms, N).$
 (case *find-first-unit-clause* $N \ Ms$ of
 Some $(L, -) \Rightarrow (\text{Propagated } L \ () \ \# \ Ms, N)$
 | - \Rightarrow
 if $\exists C \in \text{set } N. (\forall c \in \text{set } C. -c \in \text{lits-of } Ms)$
 then
 (case *backtrack-split* Ms of
 $(-, L \ \# \ M) \Rightarrow (\text{Propagated } (- \ (\text{lit-of } L)) \ () \ \# \ M, N)$
 | $(-, -) \Rightarrow (Ms, N)$
)
 else
 (case *find-first-unused-var* $N \ (\text{lits-of } Ms)$ of
 Some $a \Rightarrow (\text{Marked } a \ () \ \# \ Ms, N)$
 | None $\Rightarrow (Ms, N)))$

Example of propagation:

value *DPLL-step* ($[\text{Marked } (\text{Neg } 1) \ ()], [[\text{Pos } (1::\text{int}), \text{Neg } 2]]$)

We define the conversion function between the states as defined in *Prop-DPLL* (with multisets) and here (with lists).

abbreviation $\text{toS} \equiv \lambda(Ms::(\text{int}, \text{unit}, \text{unit}) \text{ ann-literal list})$
 $(N:: \text{int literal list list}). (Ms, \text{mset } (\text{map } \text{mset } N))$

abbreviation $\text{toS}' \equiv \lambda(Ms::(\text{int}, \text{unit}, \text{unit}) \text{ ann-literal list},$
 $N:: \text{int literal list list}). (Ms, \text{mset } (\text{map } \text{mset } N))$

Proof of correctness of *DPLL-step*

lemma *DPLL-step-is-a-dpll_W-step*:

assumes *step*: $(Ms', N') = DPLL\text{-}step\ (Ms, N)$
and *neq*: $(Ms, N) \neq (Ms', N')$
shows $dpll_W\ (toS\ Ms\ N)\ (toS\ Ms'\ N')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *DPLL-step-stuck-final-state*:
assumes *step*: $(Ms, N) = DPLL\text{-}step\ (Ms, N)$
shows *conclusive-dpll_W-state* $(toS\ Ms\ N)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

6.2.2 Adding invariants

Invariant tested in the function **function** *DPLL-ci* :: *int dpll_W-ann-literals* \Rightarrow *int literal list list*

\Rightarrow *int dpll_W-ann-literals* \times *int literal list list* **where**
DPLL-ci *Ms N* =
 (if $\neg dpll_W\text{-}all\text{-}inv\ (Ms, mset\ (map\ mset\ N))$
 then (Ms, N)
 else
 let $(Ms', N') = DPLL\text{-}step\ (Ms, N)$ in
 if $(Ms', N') = (Ms, N)$ then (Ms, N) else *DPLL-ci* *Ms' N*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

termination
 $\langle proof \rangle$

No invariant tested **function** (*domintros*) *DPLL-part*:: *int dpll_W-ann-literals* \Rightarrow *int literal list list*

\Rightarrow
int dpll_W-ann-literals \times *int literal list list* **where**
DPLL-part *Ms N* =
 (let $(Ms', N') = DPLL\text{-}step\ (Ms, N)$ in
 if $(Ms', N') = (Ms, N)$ then (Ms, N) else *DPLL-part* *Ms' N*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *snd-DPLL-step[simp]*:
snd $(DPLL\text{-}step\ (Ms, N)) = N$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dpll_W-all-inv-implieS-2-eq3-and-dom*:
assumes *dpll_W-all-inv* $(Ms, mset\ (map\ mset\ N))$
shows *DPLL-ci* *Ms N* = *DPLL-part* *Ms N* \wedge *DPLL-part-dom* (Ms, N)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *DPLL-ci-dpll_W-rtrancp*:
assumes *DPLL-ci* *Ms N* = (Ms', N')
shows $dpll_W^{**}\ (toS\ Ms\ N)\ (toS\ Ms'\ N)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dpll_W-all-inv-dpll_W-trancp-irrefl*:
assumes *dpll_W-all-inv* (Ms, N)
and $dpll_W^{++}\ (Ms, N)\ (Ms, N)$
shows *False*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *DPLL-ci-final-state*:
assumes *step*: *DPLL-ci* *Ms N* = (Ms, N)
and *inv*: *dpll_W-all-inv* $(toS\ Ms\ N)$

shows *conclusive-dpll_W-state* (toS Ms N)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *DPLL-step-obtains:*

obtains Ms' **where** (Ms', N) = *DPLL-step* (Ms, N)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *DPLL-ci-obtains:*

obtains Ms' **where** (Ms', N) = *DPLL-ci* Ms N
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *DPLL-ci-no-more-step:*

assumes *step*: *DPLL-ci* Ms N = (Ms', N')
shows *DPLL-ci* Ms' N' = (Ms', N')
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *DPLL-part-dpll_W-all-inv-final:*

fixes M Ms': (int, unit, unit) *ann-literal list* **and**
 N :: int *literal list list*
assumes *inv*: *dpll_W-all-inv* (Ms, mset (map mset N))
and MsN: *DPLL-part* Ms N = (Ms', N)
shows *conclusive-dpll_W-state* (toS Ms' N) ∧ *dpll_W*** (toS Ms N) (toS Ms' N)
 ⟨proof⟩

Embedding the invariant into the type

Defining the type **typedef** *dpll_W-state* =

{(M::(int, unit, unit) *ann-literal list*, N::int *literal list list*).
dpll_W-all-inv (toS M N)}

morphisms *rough-state-of state-of*

⟨proof⟩

lemma

DPLL-part-dom ([], N)
 ⟨proof⟩

Some type classes **instantiation** *dpll_W-state* :: *equal*

begin

definition *equal-dpll_W-state* :: *dpll_W-state* ⇒ *dpll_W-state* ⇒ *bool* **where**
equal-dpll_W-state S S' = (*rough-state-of* S = *rough-state-of* S')

instance

⟨proof⟩

end

DPLL **definition** *DPLL-step'* :: *dpll_W-state* ⇒ *dpll_W-state* **where**

DPLL-step' S = *state-of* (*DPLL-step* (*rough-state-of* S))

declare *rough-state-of-inverse*[*simp*]

lemma *DPLL-step-dpll_W-conc-inv:*

DPLL-step (*rough-state-of* S) ∈ {(M, N). *dpll_W-all-inv* (toS M N)}
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *rough-state-of-DPLL-step'-DPLL-step[simp]*:
rough-state-of (DPLL-step' S) = DPLL-step (rough-state-of S)
 ⟨proof⟩

function *DPLL-tot*:: *dpll_W-state* \Rightarrow *dpll_W-state* **where**
DPLL-tot S =
 (let *S' = DPLL-step' S* in
 if *S' = S* then *S* else *DPLL-tot S'*)
 ⟨proof⟩

termination
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma [*code*]:
DPLL-tot S =
 (let *S' = DPLL-step' S* in
 if *S' = S* then *S* else *DPLL-tot S'*) ⟨proof⟩

lemma *DPLL-tot-DPLL-step-DPLL-tot[simp]*: *DPLL-tot (DPLL-step' S) = DPLL-tot S*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *DOPLL-step'-DPLL-tot[simp]*:
DPLL-step' (DPLL-tot S) = DPLL-tot S
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *DPLL-tot-final-state*:
assumes *DPLL-tot S = S*
shows *conclusive-dpll_W-state (toS' (rough-state-of S))*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *DPLL-tot-star*:
assumes *rough-state-of (DPLL-tot S) = S'*
shows *dpll_W** (toS' (rough-state-of S)) (toS' S')*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *rough-state-of-rough-state-of-nil[simp]*:
rough-state-of (state-of ([], N)) = ([], N)
 ⟨proof⟩

Theorem of correctness

lemma *DPLL-tot-correct*:
assumes *rough-state-of (DPLL-tot (state-of ([], N))) = (M, N')*
and *(M', N'') = toS' (M, N')*
shows *M' \models_{asm} N'' \longleftrightarrow satisfiable (set-mset N'')*
 ⟨proof⟩

6.2.3 Code export

A conversion to DPLL-W-Implementation. *dpll_W-state* **definition** *Con* :: (*int, unit, unit*) *ann-literal*
list \times *int literal list list*

\Rightarrow *dpll_W-state* **where**

Con xs = state-of (if dpll_W-all-inv (toS (fst xs) (snd xs)) then xs else ([], []))

lemma [*code abstype*]:
Con (rough-state-of S) = S
 ⟨proof⟩

declare *rough-state-of-DPLL-step'-DPLL-step*[code abstract]

lemma *Con-DPLL-step-rough-state-of-state-of*[simp]:

Con (DPLL-step (rough-state-of s)) = state-of (DPLL-step (rough-state-of s))
<proof>

A slightly different version of *DPLL-tot* where the returned boolean indicates the result.

definition *DPLL-tot-rep* **where**

DPLL-tot-rep S =
(let (M, N) = (rough-state-of (DPLL-tot S)) in (∀ A ∈ set N. (∃ a ∈ set A. a ∈ lits-of (M)), M))

One version of the generated SML code is here, but not included in the generated document.
The only differences are:

- export *'a literal* from the SML Module *Clausal-Logic*;
- export the constructor *Con* from *DPLL-W-Implementation*;
- export the *int* constructor from *Arith*.

All these allows to test on the code on some examples.

end

theory *CDCL-W-Implementation*

imports *DPLL-CDCL-W-Implementation CDCL-W-Termination*

begin

notation *image-mset* (**infixr** *'#* 90)

type-synonym *'a cdcl_W-mark* = *'a clause*

type-synonym *cdcl_W-marked-level* = *nat*

type-synonym *'v cdcl_W-ann-literal* = (*'v, cdcl_W-marked-level, 'v cdcl_W-mark*) *ann-literal*

type-synonym *'v cdcl_W-ann-literals* = (*'v, cdcl_W-marked-level, 'v cdcl_W-mark*) *ann-literals*

type-synonym *'v cdcl_W-state* =

'v cdcl_W-ann-literals × 'v clauses × 'v clauses × nat × 'v clause option

abbreviation *trail* :: *'a × 'b × 'c × 'd × 'e ⇒ 'a* **where**

trail ≡ (λ(M, -). M)

abbreviation *cons-trail* :: *'a ⇒ 'a list × 'b × 'c × 'd × 'e ⇒ 'a list × 'b × 'c × 'd × 'e*

where

cons-trail ≡ (λL (M, S). (L#M, S))

abbreviation *tl-trail* :: *'a list × 'b × 'c × 'd × 'e ⇒ 'a list × 'b × 'c × 'd × 'e* **where**

tl-trail ≡ (λ(M, S). (tl M, S))

abbreviation *clss* :: *'a × 'b × 'c × 'd × 'e ⇒ 'b* **where**

clss ≡ λ(M, N, -). N

abbreviation *learned-clss* :: *'a × 'b × 'c × 'd × 'e ⇒ 'c* **where**

learned-clss ≡ λ(M, N, U, -). U

abbreviation *backtrack-lvl* :: *'a × 'b × 'c × 'd × 'e ⇒ 'd* **where**

backtrack-lvl ≡ λ(M, N, U, k, -). k

abbreviation *update-backtrack-lvl* :: 'd \Rightarrow 'a \times 'b \times 'c \times 'd \times 'e \Rightarrow 'a \times 'b \times 'c \times 'd \times 'e

where

update-backtrack-lvl $\equiv \lambda k (M, N, U, -, S). (M, N, U, k, S)$

abbreviation *conflicting* :: 'a \times 'b \times 'c \times 'd \times 'e \Rightarrow 'e **where**

conflicting $\equiv \lambda(M, N, U, k, D). D$

abbreviation *update-conflicting* :: 'e \Rightarrow 'a \times 'b \times 'c \times 'd \times 'e \Rightarrow 'a \times 'b \times 'c \times 'd \times 'e

where

update-conflicting $\equiv \lambda S (M, N, U, k, -). (M, N, U, k, S)$

abbreviation *S0-cdcl_W* *N* $\equiv (([], N, \{\#\}, 0, None) :: 'v \text{ cdcl}_W\text{-state})$

abbreviation *add-learned-cls* **where**

add-learned-cls $\equiv \lambda C (M, N, U, S). (M, N, \{\#C\# \} + U, S)$

abbreviation *remove-cls* **where**

remove-cls $\equiv \lambda C (M, N, U, S). (M, \text{remove-mset } C \ N, \text{remove-mset } C \ U, S)$

lemma *trail-conv*: *trail* (*M*, *N*, *U*, *k*, *D*) = *M* **and**

clauses-conv: *clss* (*M*, *N*, *U*, *k*, *D*) = *N* **and**

learned-clss-conv: *learned-clss* (*M*, *N*, *U*, *k*, *D*) = *U* **and**

conflicting-conv: *conflicting* (*M*, *N*, *U*, *k*, *D*) = *D* **and**

backtrack-lvl-conv: *backtrack-lvl* (*M*, *N*, *U*, *k*, *D*) = *k*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *state-conv*:

S = (*trail* *S*, *clss* *S*, *learned-clss* *S*, *backtrack-lvl* *S*, *conflicting* *S*)

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

interpretation *state_W* *trail* *clss* *learned-clss* *backtrack-lvl* *conflicting*

$\lambda L (M, S). (L \# M, S)$

$\lambda(M, S). (tl \ M, S)$

$\lambda C (M, N, S). (M, \{\#C\# \} + N, S)$

$\lambda C (M, N, U, S). (M, N, \{\#C\# \} + U, S)$

$\lambda C (M, N, U, S). (M, \text{remove-mset } C \ N, \text{remove-mset } C \ U, S)$

$\lambda(k :: nat) (M, N, U, -, D). (M, N, U, k, D)$

$\lambda D (M, N, U, k, -). (M, N, U, k, D)$

$\lambda N. ([], N, \{\#\}, 0, None)$

$\lambda(-, N, U, -). ([], N, U, 0, None)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

interpretation *cdcl_W* *trail* *clss* *learned-clss* *backtrack-lvl* *conflicting*

$\lambda L (M, S). (L \# M, S)$

$\lambda(M, S). (tl \ M, S)$

$\lambda C (M, N, S). (M, \{\#C\# \} + N, S)$

$\lambda C (M, N, U, S). (M, N, \{\#C\# \} + U, S)$

$\lambda C (M, N, U, S). (M, \text{remove-mset } C \ N, \text{remove-mset } C \ U, S)$

$\lambda(k :: nat) (M, N, U, -, D). (M, N, U, k, D)$

$\lambda D (M, N, U, k, -). (M, N, U, k, D)$

$\lambda N. ([], N, \{\#\}, 0, None)$

$\lambda(-, N, U, -). ([], N, U, 0, None)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

declare *clauses-def*[*simp*]

lemma *cdcl_W-state-eq-equality*[*iff*]: *state-eq S T* \longleftrightarrow *S = T*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

declare *state-simp*[*simp del*]

6.3 CDCL Implementation

6.3.1 Definition of the rules

Types **lemma** *true-clss-remdups*[*simp*]:
 $I \models_s (mset \circ remdups) \text{ ' } N \longleftrightarrow I \models_s mset \text{ ' } N$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *satisfiable-mset-remdups*[*simp*]:
 $satisfiable ((mset \circ remdups) \text{ ' } N) \longleftrightarrow satisfiable (mset \text{ ' } N)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

value *backtrack-split* [*Marked (Pos (Suc 0)) ()*]
value $\exists C \in set \ [[Pos (Suc 0), Neg (Suc 0)]]. (\forall c \in set \ C. -c \in lits-of \ [Marked (Pos (Suc 0)) ()])$

type-synonym *cdcl_W-state-inv-st* = (*nat*, *nat*, *nat literal list*) *ann-literal list* \times
nat literal list list \times *nat literal list list* \times *nat* \times *nat literal list option*

We need some functions to convert between our abstract state *nat cdcl_W-state* and the concrete state *cdcl_W-state-inv-st*.

fun *convert* :: (*'a*, *'b*, *'c list*) *ann-literal* \Rightarrow (*'a*, *'b*, *'c multiset*) *ann-literal* **where**
 $convert (Propagated \ L \ C) = Propagated \ L \ (mset \ C) \mid$
 $convert (Marked \ K \ i) = Marked \ K \ i$

abbreviation *convertC* :: *'a list option* \Rightarrow *'a multiset option* **where**
 $convertC \equiv map-option \ mset$

lemma *convert-Propagated*[*elim!*]:
 $convert \ z = Propagated \ L \ C \implies (\exists C'. z = Propagated \ L \ C' \wedge C = mset \ C')$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *get-rev-level-map-convert*:
 $get-rev-level (map \ convert \ M) \ n \ x = get-rev-level \ M \ n \ x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *get-level-map-convert*[*simp*]:
 $get-level (map \ convert \ M) = get-level \ M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *get-maximum-level-map-convert*[*simp*]:
 $get-maximum-level (map \ convert \ M) \ D = get-maximum-level \ M \ D$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *get-all-levels-of-marked-map-convert*[*simp*]:
 $get-all-levels-of-marked (map \ convert \ M) = (get-all-levels-of-marked \ M)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Conversion function

fun *toS* :: *cdcl_W-state-inv-st* \Rightarrow *nat cdcl_W-state* **where**

$toS (M, N, U, k, C) = (map \text{ convert } M, mset (map \text{ mset } N), mset (map \text{ mset } U), k, \text{ convert } C \ C)$

Definition an abstract type

```
typedef cdclW-state-inv = {S::cdclW-state-inv-st. cdclW-all-struct-inv (toS S)}
morphisms rough-state-of state-of
⟨proof⟩
```

instantiation *cdcl_W-state-inv* :: *equal*

begin

definition *equal-cdcl_W-state-inv* :: *cdcl_W-state-inv* \Rightarrow *cdcl_W-state-inv* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
equal-cdcl_W-state-inv S S' = (*rough-state-of S* = *rough-state-of S'*)

instance

⟨*proof*⟩

end

lemma *lits-of-map-convert[simp]*: *lits-of (map convert M)* = *lits-of M*

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *undefined-lit-map-convert[iff]*:

undefined-lit (map convert M) L \longleftrightarrow *undefined-lit M L*

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *true-annot-map-convert[simp]*: *map convert M* $\models_a N \longleftrightarrow M \models_a N$

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *true-annots-map-convert[simp]*: *map convert M* $\models_{as} N \longleftrightarrow M \models_{as} N$

⟨*proof*⟩

lemmas *propagateE*

lemma *find-first-unit-clause-some-is-propagate*:

assumes *H*: *find-first-unit-clause (N @ U) M* = *Some (L, C)*

shows *propagate (toS (M, N, U, k, None)) (toS (Propagated L C # M, N, U, k, None))*

⟨*proof*⟩

6.3.2 The Transitions

Propagate **definition** *do-propagate-step* **where**

do-propagate-step S =

(*case S of*

(*M, N, U, k, None*) \Rightarrow

(*case find-first-unit-clause (N @ U) M of*

Some (L, C) \Rightarrow (*Propagated L C # M, N, U, k, None*)

| *None* \Rightarrow (*M, N, U, k, None*))

| *S* \Rightarrow *S*)

lemma *do-propagate-step*:

do-propagate-step S $\neq S \implies propagate (toS S) (toS (do-propagate-step S))$

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *do-propagate-step-option[simp]*:

conflicting S $\neq None \implies do-propagate-step S = S$

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *do-propagate-step-no-step*:

assumes *dist*: $\forall c \in set (class S @ learned-class S). distinct\ c$ **and**

prop-step: *do-propagate-step S* = *S*

shows *no-step propagate* (*toS S*)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

Conflict **fun** *find-conflict* **where**

find-conflict *M* [] = *None* |

find-conflict *M* (*N* # *Ns*) = (if (∀ *c* ∈ *set N*. ¬*c* ∈ *lits-of M*) then *Some N* else *find-conflict M Ns*)

lemma *find-conflict-Some*:

find-conflict M Ns = *Some N* ⇒ *N* ∈ *set Ns* ∧ *M* ⊨_{as} *CNot* (*mset N*)

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *find-conflict-None*:

find-conflict M Ns = *None* ⇔ (∀ *N* ∈ *set Ns*. ¬*M* ⊨_{as} *CNot* (*mset N*))

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *find-conflict-None-no-conf*:

find-conflict M (N@U) = *None* ⇔ *no-step conflict* (*toS (M, N, U, k, None)*)

⟨*proof*⟩

definition *do-conflict-step* **where**

do-conflict-step S =

(*case S of*

(*M, N, U, k, None*) ⇒

(*case find-conflict M (N @ U) of*

Some a ⇒ (*M, N, U, k, Some a*)

| *None* ⇒ (*M, N, U, k, None*))

| *S* ⇒ *S*)

lemma *do-conflict-step*:

do-conflict-step S ≠ *S* ⇒ *conflict* (*toS S*) (*toS (do-conflict-step S)*)

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *do-conflict-step-no-step*:

do-conflict-step S = *S* ⇒ *no-step conflict* (*toS S*)

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *do-conflict-step-option[simp]*:

conflicting S ≠ *None* ⇒ *do-conflict-step S* = *S*

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *do-conflict-step-conflicting[dest]*:

do-conflict-step S ≠ *S* ⇒ *conflicting* (*do-conflict-step S*) ≠ *None*

⟨*proof*⟩

definition *do-cp-step* **where**

do-cp-step S =

(*do-propagate-step o do-conflict-step*) *S*

lemma *cp-step-is-cdcl_W-cp*:

assumes *H*: *do-cp-step S* ≠ *S*

shows *cdcl_W-cp* (*toS S*) (*toS (do-cp-step S)*)

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *do-cp-step-eq-no-prop-no-conf*:

do-cp-step S = *S* ⇒ *do-conflict-step S* = *S* ∧ *do-propagate-step S* = *S*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *no-cdcl_W-cp-iff-no-propagate-no-conflict*:

no-step cdcl_W-cp S \longleftrightarrow no-step propagate S \wedge no-step conflict S

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-cp-step-eq-no-step*:

assumes *H*: *do-cp-step S = S* **and** $\forall c \in \text{set } (\text{class } S @ \text{learned-class } S)$. *distinct c*

shows *no-step cdcl_W-cp (toS S)*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-cp-cdcl_W-st*: *cdcl_W-cp S S' \implies cdcl_W** S S'*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-cp-wf-all-inv*:

wf {(S', S::'v::linorder cdcl_W-state). cdcl_W-all-struct-inv S \wedge cdcl_W-cp S S'}

(is wf ?R)

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv-rough-state[simp]*: *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv (toS (rough-state-of S))*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma [simp]: *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv (toS S) \implies rough-state-of (state-of S) = S*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rough-state-of-state-of-do-cp-step[simp]*:

rough-state-of (state-of (do-cp-step (rough-state-of S))) = do-cp-step (rough-state-of S)

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Skip fun *do-skip-step* :: *cdcl_W-state-inv-st \Rightarrow cdcl_W-state-inv-st* **where**

do-skip-step (Propagated L C # Ls, N, U, k, Some D) =

(if $-L \notin \text{set } D \wedge D \neq []$

then (Ls, N, U, k, Some D)

else (Propagated L C # Ls, N, U, k, Some D)) |

do-skip-step S = S

lemma *do-skip-step*:

do-skip-step S \neq S \implies skip (toS S) (toS (do-skip-step S))

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-skip-step-no*:

do-skip-step S = S \implies no-step skip (toS S)

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-skip-step-trail-is-None[iff]*:

do-skip-step S = (a, b, c, d, None) \longleftrightarrow S = (a, b, c, d, None)

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Resolve fun *maximum-level-code*:: *'a literal list \Rightarrow ('a, nat, 'a literal list) ann-literal list \Rightarrow nat*
where

maximum-level-code [] = 0 |

maximum-level-code (L # Ls) M = max (get-level M L) (maximum-level-code Ls M)

lemma *maximum-level-code-eq-get-maximum-level[code, simp]*:

maximum-level-code D M = get-maximum-level M (mset D)

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

fun *do-resolve-step* :: *cdcl_W-state-inv-st* \Rightarrow *cdcl_W-state-inv-st* **where**
do-resolve-step (*Propagated* *L C # Ls, N, U, k, Some D*) =
 (if $-L \in \text{set } D \wedge \text{maximum-level-code } (\text{remove1 } (-L) D) (\text{Propagated } L C \# Ls) = k$
 then (*Ls, N, U, k, Some* (*remdups* (*remove1 L C @ remove1 (-L) D*)))
 else (*Propagated L C # Ls, N, U, k, Some D*)) |
do-resolve-step S = S

lemma *do-resolve-step*:
cdcl_W-all-struct-inv (*toS S*) \implies *do-resolve-step S* \neq *S*
 \implies *resolve* (*toS S*) (*toS* (*do-resolve-step S*))
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-resolve-step-no*:
do-resolve-step S = S \implies *no-step resolve* (*toS S*)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rough-state-of-state-of-resolve[simp]*:
cdcl_W-all-struct-inv (*toS S*) \implies *rough-state-of* (*state-of* (*do-resolve-step S*)) = *do-resolve-step S*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-resolve-step-trail-is-None[iff]*:
do-resolve-step S = (a, b, c, d, None) \longleftrightarrow *S = (a, b, c, d, None)*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Backjumping **fun** *find-level-decomp* **where**
find-level-decomp M [] D k = None |
find-level-decomp M (L # Ls) D k =
 (case (*get-level M L, maximum-level-code* (*D @ Ls*) *M*) of
 (*i, j*) \Rightarrow if $i = k \wedge j < i$ then *Some (L, j)* else *find-level-decomp M Ls (L#D) k*
)

lemma *find-level-decomp-some*:
assumes *find-level-decomp M Ls D k = Some (L, j)*
shows $L \in \text{set } Ls \wedge \text{get-maximum-level } M (\text{mset } (\text{remove1 } L (Ls @ D))) = j \wedge \text{get-level } M L = k$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *find-level-decomp-none*:
assumes *find-level-decomp M Ls E k = None* **and** *mset (L#D) = mset (Ls @ E)*
shows $\neg(L \in \text{set } Ls \wedge \text{get-maximum-level } M (\text{mset } D) < k \wedge k = \text{get-level } M L)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

fun *bt-cut* **where**
bt-cut i (Propagated - - # Ls) = bt-cut i Ls |
bt-cut i (Marked K k # Ls) = (if k = Suc i then Some (Marked K k # Ls) else bt-cut i Ls) |
bt-cut i [] = None

lemma *bt-cut-some-decomp*:
bt-cut i M = Some M' $\implies \exists K M2 M1. M = M2 @ M' \wedge M' = \text{Marked } K (i+1) \# M1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bt-cut-not-none*: *M = M2 @ Marked K (Suc i) # M' \implies bt-cut i M \neq None*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *get-all-marked-decomposition-ex*:

$\exists N. (\text{Marked } K (\text{Suc } i) \# M', N) \in \text{set } (\text{get-all-marked-decomposition } (M2 @ \text{Marked } K (\text{Suc } i) \# M'))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bt-cut-in-get-all-marked-decomposition*:

$\text{bt-cut } i \ M = \text{Some } M' \implies \exists M2. (M', M2) \in \text{set } (\text{get-all-marked-decomposition } M)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

fun *do-backtrack-step* **where**

do-backtrack-step $(M, N, U, k, \text{Some } D) =$

$(\text{case find-level-decomp } M \ D \ [] \ k \ \text{of}$

$\text{None} \Rightarrow (M, N, U, k, \text{Some } D)$

$| \text{Some } (L, j) \Rightarrow$

$(\text{case bt-cut } j \ M \ \text{of}$

$\text{Some } (\text{Marked } - \ - \ \# \ Ls) \Rightarrow (\text{Propagated } L \ D \ \# \ Ls, N, D \ \# \ U, j, \text{None})$

$| - \Rightarrow (M, N, U, k, \text{Some } D))$

$) \mid$

do-backtrack-step $S = S$

lemma *get-all-marked-decomposition-map-convert*:

$(\text{get-all-marked-decomposition } (\text{map convert } M)) =$

$\text{map } (\lambda(a, b). (\text{map convert } a, \text{map convert } b)) (\text{get-all-marked-decomposition } M)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-backtrack-step*:

assumes

db: *do-backtrack-step* $S \neq S$ **and**

inv: *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv* $(\text{toS } S)$

shows *backtrack* $(\text{toS } S) (\text{toS } (\text{do-backtrack-step } S))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-backtrack-step-no*:

assumes *db*: *do-backtrack-step* $S = S$

and *inv*: *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv* $(\text{toS } S)$

shows *no-step backtrack* $(\text{toS } S)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rough-state-of-state-of-backtrack[simp]*:

assumes *inv*: *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv* $(\text{toS } S)$

shows *rough-state-of* $(\text{state-of } (\text{do-backtrack-step } S)) = \text{do-backtrack-step } S$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Decide **fun** *do-decide-step* **where**

do-decide-step $(M, N, U, k, \text{None}) =$

$(\text{case find-first-unused-var } N \ (\text{lits-of } M) \ \text{of}$

$\text{None} \Rightarrow (M, N, U, k, \text{None})$

$| \text{Some } L \Rightarrow (\text{Marked } L \ (\text{Suc } k) \ \# \ M, N, U, k+1, \text{None})) \mid$

do-decide-step $S = S$

lemma *do-decide-step*:

do-decide-step $S \neq S \implies \text{decide } (\text{toS } S) (\text{toS } (\text{do-decide-step } S))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-decide-step-no*:

do-decide-step S = S \implies no-step decide (toS S)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rough-state-of-state-of-do-decide-step[simp]*:

cdcl_W-all-struct-inv (toS S) \implies rough-state-of (state-of (do-decide-step S)) = do-decide-step S
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rough-state-of-state-of-do-skip-step[simp]*:

cdcl_W-all-struct-inv (toS S) \implies rough-state-of (state-of (do-skip-step S)) = do-skip-step S
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

6.3.3 Code generation

Type definition There are two invariants: one while applying conflict and propagate and one for the other rules

declare *rough-state-of-inverse[simp add]*

definition *Con* **where**

*Con xs = state-of (if cdcl_W-all-struct-inv (toS (fst xs, snd xs)) then xs
 else ([], [], [], 0, None))*

lemma [*code abstype*]:

Con (rough-state-of S) = S
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *do-cp-step'* **where**

do-cp-step' S = state-of (do-cp-step (rough-state-of S))

typedef *cdcl_W-state-inv-from-init-state* = $\{S :: \text{cdcl}_W\text{-state-inv-st. } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv (toS S)} \wedge \text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy}^{**} (S0\text{-cdcl}_W (\text{class (toS S)})) (\text{toS S})\}$

morphisms *rough-state-from-init-state-of state-from-init-state-of*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

instantiation *cdcl_W-state-inv-from-init-state* :: *equal*

begin

definition *equal-cdcl_W-state-inv-from-init-state* :: *cdcl_W-state-inv-from-init-state* \Rightarrow

cdcl_W-state-inv-from-init-state \Rightarrow **bool** **where**

equal-cdcl_W-state-inv-from-init-state S S' \longleftrightarrow

(rough-state-from-init-state-of S = rough-state-from-init-state-of S')

instance

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

definition *ConI* **where**

*ConI S = state-from-init-state-of (if cdcl_W-all-struct-inv (toS (fst S, snd S))
 \wedge cdcl_W-stgy^{**} (S0-cdcl_W (class (toS S))) (toS S) then S else ([], [], [], 0, None))*

lemma [*code abstype*]:

ConI (rough-state-from-init-state-of S) = S
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *id-of-I-to* :: *cdcl_W-state-inv-from-init-state* \Rightarrow *cdcl_W-state-inv* **where**

id-of-I-to S = state-of (rough-state-from-init-state-of S)

lemma [*code abstract*]:

rough-state-of (*id-of-I-to* *S*) = *rough-state-from-init-state-of* *S*
 ⟨proof⟩

Conflict and Propagate function *do-full1-cp-step* :: *cdcl_W-state-inv* ⇒ *cdcl_W-state-inv* where
do-full1-cp-step *S* =

(let *S'* = *do-cp-step'* *S* in
 if *S* = *S'* then *S* else *do-full1-cp-step* *S'*)

⟨proof⟩

termination

⟨proof⟩

lemma *do-full1-cp-step-fix-point-of-do-full1-cp-step*:

do-cp-step(*rough-state-of* (*do-full1-cp-step* *S*)) = (*rough-state-of* (*do-full1-cp-step* *S*))

⟨proof⟩

lemma *in-clauses-rough-state-of-is-distinct*:

c ∈ *set* (*clss* (*rough-state-of* *S*) @ *learned-clss* (*rough-state-of* *S*)) ⇒ *distinct* *c*

⟨proof⟩

lemma *do-full1-cp-step-full*:

full cdcl_W-cp (*toS* (*rough-state-of* *S*))
 (*toS* (*rough-state-of* (*do-full1-cp-step* *S*)))

⟨proof⟩

lemma [*code abstract*]:

rough-state-of (*do-cp-step'* *S*) = *do-cp-step* (*rough-state-of* *S*)

⟨proof⟩

The other rules fun *do-other-step* where

do-other-step *S* =

(let *T* = *do-skip-step* *S* in
 if *T* ≠ *S*
 then *T*
 else
 (let *U* = *do-resolve-step* *T* in
 if *U* ≠ *T*
 then *U* else
 (let *V* = *do-backtrack-step* *U* in
 if *V* ≠ *U* then *V* else *do-decide-step* *V*)))

lemma *do-other-step*:

assumes *inv*: *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv* (*toS* *S*) **and**

st: *do-other-step* *S* ≠ *S*

shows *cdcl_W-o* (*toS* *S*) (*toS* (*do-other-step* *S*))

⟨proof⟩

lemma *do-other-step-no*:

assumes *inv*: *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv* (*toS* *S*) **and**

st: *do-other-step* *S* = *S*

shows *no-step cdcl_W-o* (*toS* *S*)

⟨proof⟩

lemma *rough-state-of-state-of-do-other-step[simp]*:

rough-state-of (*state-of* (*do-other-step* (*rough-state-of* *S*))) = *do-other-step* (*rough-state-of* *S*)

⟨proof⟩

definition *do-other-step'* **where**

do-other-step' $S =$
 $\text{state-of } (\text{do-other-step } (\text{rough-state-of } S))$

lemma *rough-state-of-do-other-step'* [code abstract]:

$\text{rough-state-of } (\text{do-other-step}' S) = \text{do-other-step } (\text{rough-state-of } S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *do-cdcl_W-stgy-step* **where**

do-cdcl_W-stgy-step $S =$
 $(\text{let } T = \text{do-full1-cp-step } S \text{ in}$
 $\text{if } T \neq S$
 $\text{then } T$
 else
 $(\text{let } U = (\text{do-other-step}' T) \text{ in}$
 $(\text{do-full1-cp-step } U)))$

definition *do-cdcl_W-stgy-step'* **where**

do-cdcl_W-stgy-step' $S = \text{state-from-init-state-of } (\text{rough-state-of } (\text{do-cdcl}_W\text{-stgy-step } (\text{id-of-I-to } S)))$

lemma *toS-do-full1-cp-step-not-eq*: $\text{do-full1-cp-step } S \neq S \implies$

$\text{toS } (\text{rough-state-of } S) \neq \text{toS } (\text{rough-state-of } (\text{do-full1-cp-step } S))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

do-full1-cp-step should not be unfolded anymore:

declare *do-full1-cp-step.simps* [simp del]

Correction of the transformation **lemma** *do-cdcl_W-stgy-step*:

assumes *do-cdcl_W-stgy-step* $S \neq S$

shows *cdcl_W-stgy* $(\text{toS } (\text{rough-state-of } S)) (\text{toS } (\text{rough-state-of } (\text{do-cdcl}_W\text{-stgy-step } S)))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *length-trail-toS* [simp]:

$\text{length } (\text{trail } (\text{toS } S)) = \text{length } (\text{trail } S)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *conflicting-noTrue-iff-toS* [simp]:

$\text{conflicting } (\text{toS } S) \neq \text{None} \longleftrightarrow \text{conflicting } S \neq \text{None}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *trail-toS-neq-imp-trail-neq*:

$\text{trail } (\text{toS } S) \neq \text{trail } (\text{toS } S') \implies \text{trail } S \neq \text{trail } S'$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-skip-step-trail-changed-or-conflict*:

assumes *d*: *do-other-step* $S \neq S$

and *inv*: *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv* $(\text{toS } S)$

shows $\text{trail } S \neq \text{trail } (\text{do-other-step } S)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-full1-cp-step-induct*:

$(\bigwedge S. (S \neq \text{do-cp-step}' S \implies P (\text{do-cp-step}' S)) \implies P S) \implies P a0$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-cp-step-neq-trail-increase:*

$\exists c. \text{trail } (\text{do-cp-step } S) = c @ \text{trail } S \wedge (\forall m \in \text{set } c. \neg \text{is-marked } m)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-full1-cp-step-neq-trail-increase:*

$\exists c. \text{trail } (\text{rough-state-of } (\text{do-full1-cp-step } S)) = c @ \text{trail } (\text{rough-state-of } S)$
 $\wedge (\forall m \in \text{set } c. \neg \text{is-marked } m)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-cp-step-conflicting:*

$\text{conflicting } (\text{rough-state-of } S) \neq \text{None} \implies \text{do-cp-step}' S = S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-full1-cp-step-conflicting:*

$\text{conflicting } (\text{rough-state-of } S) \neq \text{None} \implies \text{do-full1-cp-step } S = S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-decide-step-not-conflicting-one-more-decide:*

assumes
 $\text{conflicting } S = \text{None}$ **and**
 $\text{do-decide-step } S \neq S$
shows $\text{Suc } (\text{length } (\text{filter is-marked } (\text{trail } S)))$
 $= \text{length } (\text{filter is-marked } (\text{trail } (\text{do-decide-step } S)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-decide-step-not-conflicting-one-more-decide-bt:*

assumes $\text{conflicting } S \neq \text{None}$ **and**
 $\text{do-decide-step } S \neq S$
shows $\text{length } (\text{filter is-marked } (\text{trail } S)) < \text{length } (\text{filter is-marked } (\text{trail } (\text{do-decide-step } S)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-other-step-not-conflicting-one-more-decide-bt:*

assumes
 $\text{conflicting } (\text{rough-state-of } S) \neq \text{None}$ **and**
 $\text{conflicting } (\text{rough-state-of } (\text{do-other-step}' S)) = \text{None}$ **and**
 $\text{do-other-step}' S \neq S$
shows $\text{length } (\text{filter is-marked } (\text{trail } (\text{rough-state-of } S)))$
 $> \text{length } (\text{filter is-marked } (\text{trail } (\text{rough-state-of } (\text{do-other-step}' S))))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-other-step-not-conflicting-one-more-decide:*

assumes $\text{conflicting } (\text{rough-state-of } S) = \text{None}$ **and**
 $\text{do-other-step}' S \neq S$
shows $1 + \text{length } (\text{filter is-marked } (\text{trail } (\text{rough-state-of } S)))$
 $= \text{length } (\text{filter is-marked } (\text{trail } (\text{rough-state-of } (\text{do-other-step}' S))))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rough-state-of-state-of-do-skip-step-rough-state-of[simp]:*

$\text{rough-state-of } (\text{state-of } (\text{do-skip-step } (\text{rough-state-of } S))) = \text{do-skip-step } (\text{rough-state-of } S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *conflicting-do-resolve-step-iff[iff]:*

$\text{conflicting } (\text{do-resolve-step } S) = \text{None} \longleftrightarrow \text{conflicting } S = \text{None}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *conflicting-do-skip-step-iff*[iff]:
 $\text{conflicting } (\text{do-skip-step } S) = \text{None} \longleftrightarrow \text{conflicting } S = \text{None}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *conflicting-do-decide-step-iff*[iff]:
 $\text{conflicting } (\text{do-decide-step } S) = \text{None} \longleftrightarrow \text{conflicting } S = \text{None}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *conflicting-do-backtrack-step-imp*[simp]:
 $\text{do-backtrack-step } S \neq S \implies \text{conflicting } (\text{do-backtrack-step } S) = \text{None}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-skip-step-eq-iff-trail-eq*:
 $\text{do-skip-step } S = S \longleftrightarrow \text{trail } (\text{do-skip-step } S) = \text{trail } S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-decide-step-eq-iff-trail-eq*:
 $\text{do-decide-step } S = S \longleftrightarrow \text{trail } (\text{do-decide-step } S) = \text{trail } S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-backtrack-step-eq-iff-trail-eq*:
 $\text{do-backtrack-step } S = S \longleftrightarrow \text{trail } (\text{do-backtrack-step } S) = \text{trail } S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-resolve-step-eq-iff-trail-eq*:
 $\text{do-resolve-step } S = S \longleftrightarrow \text{trail } (\text{do-resolve-step } S) = \text{trail } S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-other-step-eq-iff-trail-eq*:
 $\text{trail } (\text{do-other-step } S) = \text{trail } S \longleftrightarrow \text{do-other-step } S = S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-full1-cp-step-do-other-step'-normal-form*[dest!]:
assumes H : $\text{do-full1-cp-step } (\text{do-other-step}' S) = S$
shows $\text{do-other-step}' S = S \wedge \text{do-full1-cp-step } S = S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-cdcl_W-stgy-step-no*:
assumes S : $\text{do-cdcl}_W\text{-stgy-step } S = S$
shows $\text{no-step } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy } (\text{toS } (\text{rough-state-of } S))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *toS-rough-state-of-state-of-rough-state-from-init-state-of*[simp]:
 $\text{toS } (\text{rough-state-of } (\text{state-of } (\text{rough-state-from-init-state-of } S)))$
 $= \text{toS } (\text{rough-state-from-init-state-of } S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-cp-is-rtrancp-cdcl_W*: $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-cp } S T \implies \text{cdcl}_W^{**} S T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancp-cdcl_W-cp-is-rtrancp-cdcl_W*: $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-cp}^{**} S T \implies \text{cdcl}_W^{**} S T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-stgy-is-rtrancp-cdcl_W*:

$cdcl_W\text{-stgy } S \ T \implies cdcl_W^{**} \ S \ T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $cdcl_W\text{-stgy-init-clss}$: $cdcl_W\text{-stgy } S \ T \implies cdcl_W\text{-M-level-inv } S \implies clss \ S = clss \ T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $clauses\text{-toS-rough-state-of-do-cdcl}_W\text{-stgy-step}$ [simp]:
 $clss \ (toS \ (rough\text{-state-of} \ (do\text{-cdcl}_W\text{-stgy-step} \ (state\text{-of} \ (rough\text{-state-from-init-state-of } S))))$
 $= clss \ (toS \ (rough\text{-state-from-init-state-of } S)) \ (\text{is } - = clss \ (toS \ ?S))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $rough\text{-state-from-init-state-of-do-cdcl}_W\text{-stgy-step'}$ [code abstract]:
 $rough\text{-state-from-init-state-of} \ (do\text{-cdcl}_W\text{-stgy-step'} \ S) =$
 $rough\text{-state-of} \ (do\text{-cdcl}_W\text{-stgy-step} \ (id\text{-of-I-to } S))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

All rules together function $do\text{-all-cdcl}_W\text{-stgy}$ **where**

$do\text{-all-cdcl}_W\text{-stgy } S =$
 $(let \ T = do\text{-cdcl}_W\text{-stgy-step'} \ S \ in$
 $if \ T = S \ then \ S \ else \ do\text{-all-cdcl}_W\text{-stgy } T)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

termination

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

thm $do\text{-all-cdcl}_W\text{-stgy.induct}$

lemma $do\text{-all-cdcl}_W\text{-stgy-induct}$:

$(\bigwedge S. (do\text{-cdcl}_W\text{-stgy-step'} \ S \neq S \implies P \ (do\text{-cdcl}_W\text{-stgy-step'} \ S)) \implies P \ S) \implies P \ a0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $no\text{-step-cdcl}_W\text{-stgy-cdcl}_W\text{-all}$:

$no\text{-step } cdcl_W\text{-stgy} \ (toS \ (rough\text{-state-from-init-state-of} \ (do\text{-all-cdcl}_W\text{-stgy } S)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $do\text{-all-cdcl}_W\text{-stgy-is-rtranclp-cdcl}_W\text{-stgy}$:

$cdcl_W\text{-stgy}^{**} \ (toS \ (rough\text{-state-from-init-state-of } S))$
 $(toS \ (rough\text{-state-from-init-state-of} \ (do\text{-all-cdcl}_W\text{-stgy } S)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Final theorem:

lemma $DPLL\text{-tot-correct}$:

assumes

r : $rough\text{-state-from-init-state-of} \ (do\text{-all-cdcl}_W\text{-stgy} \ (state\text{-from-init-state-of}$
 $(([], \ map \ remdups \ N, [], \ 0, \ None)))) = S$ **and**

S : $(M', \ N', \ U', \ k, \ E) = toS \ S$

shows $(E \neq Some \ \{\#\} \wedge \text{satisfiable} \ (set \ (map \ mset \ N)))$

$\vee (E = Some \ \{\#\} \wedge \text{unsatisfiable} \ (set \ (map \ mset \ N)))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The Code The SML code is skipped in the documentation, but stays to ensure that some version of the exported code is working. The only difference between the generated code and the one used here is the export of the constructor `ConI`.

end

theory $CDCL\text{-WNOT}$

imports $CDCL\text{-W-Termination } CDCL\text{-NOT}$

begin

7 Link between Weidenbach's and NOT's CDCL

7.1 Inclusion of the states

declare *upt.simps*(2)[*simp del*]
 sledgehammer-params[*verbose*]

context *cdcl_W*
 begin

lemma *backtrack-levE*:

backtrack S S' \implies cdcl_W-M-level-inv S \implies
($\bigwedge D L K M1 M2$.
(Marked K (Suc (get-maximum-level (trail S) D)) # M1, M2)
 \in set (get-all-marked-decomposition (trail S)) \implies
get-level (trail S) L = get-maximum-level (trail S) (D + {#L#}) \implies
undefined-lit M1 L \implies
S' \sim cons-trail (Propagated L (D + {#L#}))
(reduce-trail-to M1 (add-learned-cls (D + {#L#}))
(update-backtrack-lvl (get-maximum-level (trail S) D) (update-conflicting None S)))) \implies
backtrack-lvl S = get-maximum-level (trail S) (D + {#L#}) \implies
conflicting S = Some (D + {#L#}) \implies P) \implies
P
<proof>

lemma *backtrack-no-cdcl_W-bj*:

assumes *cdcl*: *cdcl_W-bj T U* **and** *inv*: *cdcl_W-M-level-inv V*
shows \neg *backtrack V T*
<proof>

abbreviation *skip-or-resolve* :: '*st* \Rightarrow '*st* \Rightarrow bool **where**

skip-or-resolve $\equiv (\lambda S T. \text{skip } S T \vee \text{resolve } S T)$

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_W-bj-skip-or-resolve-backtrack*:

assumes *cdcl_W-bj** S U* **and** *inv*: *cdcl_W-M-level-inv S*
shows *skip-or-resolve** S U \vee ($\exists T. \text{skip-or-resolve** } S T \wedge \text{backtrack } T U$)*
<proof>

lemma *rtrancpl-skip-or-resolve-rtrancpl-cdcl_W*:

*skip-or-resolve** S T \implies cdcl_W** S T*
<proof>

definition *backjump-l-cond* :: '*v clause* \Rightarrow '*v clause* \Rightarrow '*v literal* \Rightarrow '*st* \Rightarrow bool **where**

backjump-l-cond $\equiv \lambda C C' L' S. \text{True}$

definition *inv_{NOT}* :: '*st* \Rightarrow bool **where**

inv_{NOT} $\equiv \lambda S. \text{no-dup (trail S)}$

declare *inv_{NOT}-def*[*simp*]

end

fun *convert-ann-literal-from-W* **where**

convert-ann-literal-from-W (*Propagated L -*) = *Propagated L* () |
convert-ann-literal-from-W (*Marked L -*) = *Marked L* ()

abbreviation *convert-trail-from-W* ::

(*'v*, *'vl*, *'a*) *ann-literal list*
 \Rightarrow (*'v*, *unit*, *unit*) *ann-literal list* **where**

convert-trail-from-W \equiv *map convert-ann-literal-from-W*

lemma *lits-of-convert-trail-from-W*[*simp*]:

lits-of (*convert-trail-from-W M*) = *lits-of M*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lit-of-convert-trail-from-W*[*simp*]:

lit-of (*convert-ann-literal-from-W L*) = *lit-of L*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *no-dup-convert-from-W*[*simp*]:

no-dup (*convert-trail-from-W M*) \longleftrightarrow *no-dup M*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *convert-trail-from-W-true-annots*[*simp*]:

convert-trail-from-W M $\models_{as} C \longleftrightarrow M \models_{as} C$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *defined-lit-convert-trail-from-W*[*simp*]:

defined-lit (*convert-trail-from-W S*) *L* \longleftrightarrow *defined-lit S L*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The values *0* and $\{\#\}$ are dummy values.

fun *convert-ann-literal-from-NOT*

:: (*'a*, *'e*, *'b*) *ann-literal* \Rightarrow (*'a*, *nat*, *'a literal multiset*) *ann-literal* **where**

convert-ann-literal-from-NOT (*Propagated L -*) = *Propagated L* $\{\#\}$ |
convert-ann-literal-from-NOT (*Marked L -*) = *Marked L 0*

abbreviation *convert-trail-from-NOT* **where**

convert-trail-from-NOT \equiv *map convert-ann-literal-from-NOT*

lemma *undefined-lit-convert-trail-from-NOT*[*simp*]:

undefined-lit (*convert-trail-from-NOT F*) *L* \longleftrightarrow *undefined-lit F L*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lits-of-convert-trail-from-NOT*:

lits-of (*convert-trail-from-NOT F*) = *lits-of F*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *convert-trail-from-W-from-NOT*[*simp*]:

convert-trail-from-W (*convert-trail-from-NOT M*) = *M*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *convert-trail-from-W-convert-lit-from-NOT*[*simp*]:

convert-ann-literal-from-W (*convert-ann-literal-from-NOT L*) = *L*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

abbreviation *trail_{NOT}* **where**

trail_{NOT} S \equiv *convert-trail-from-W (fst S)*

lemma *undefined-lit-convert-trail-from-W*[iff]:
undefined-lit (*convert-trail-from-W* *M*) *L* \longleftrightarrow *undefined-lit* *M* *L*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *lit-of-convert-ann-literal-from-NOT*[iff]:
lit-of (*convert-ann-literal-from-NOT* *L*) = *lit-of* *L*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

sublocale *state_W* \subseteq *dpll-state*
 $\lambda S.$ *convert-trail-from-W* (*trail* *S*)
clauses
 λL *S.* *cons-trail* (*convert-ann-literal-from-NOT* *L*) *S*
 $\lambda S.$ *tl-trail* *S*
 λC *S.* *add-learned-cls* *C* *S*
 λC *S.* *remove-cls* *C* *S*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

context *state_W*
begin
declare *state-simp_{NOT}*[*simp del*]
end

sublocale *cdcl_W* \subseteq *cdcl_{NOT}-merge-bj-learn-ops*
 $\lambda S.$ *convert-trail-from-W* (*trail* *S*)
clauses
 λL *S.* *cons-trail* (*convert-ann-literal-from-NOT* *L*) *S*
 $\lambda S.$ *tl-trail* *S*
 λC *S.* *add-learned-cls* *C* *S*
 λC *S.* *remove-cls* *C* *S*
 $\lambda -.$ *True*
 $\lambda -$ *S.* *conflicting* *S* = *None*
 λC *C' L' S.* *backjump-l-cond* *C C' L' S* \wedge *distinct-mset* (*C'* + {*#L'#*}) \wedge \neg *tautology* (*C'* + {*#L'#*})
 ⟨*proof*⟩

sublocale *cdcl_W* \subseteq *cdcl_{NOT}-merge-bj-learn-proxy*
 $\lambda S.$ *convert-trail-from-W* (*trail* *S*)
clauses
 λL *S.* *cons-trail* (*convert-ann-literal-from-NOT* *L*) *S*
 $\lambda S.$ *tl-trail* *S*
 λC *S.* *add-learned-cls* *C* *S*
 λC *S.* *remove-cls* *C* *S*
 $\lambda -.$ *True*
 $\lambda -$ *S.* *conflicting* *S* = *None* *backjump-l-cond* *inv_{NOT}*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

sublocale *cdcl_W* \subseteq *cdcl_{NOT}-merge-bj-learn-proxy2*
 $\lambda S.$ *convert-trail-from-W* (*trail* *S*)
clauses
 λL *S.* *cons-trail* (*convert-ann-literal-from-NOT* *L*) *S*
 $\lambda S.$ *tl-trail* *S*
 λC *S.* *add-learned-cls* *C* *S*
 λC *S.* *remove-cls* *C* *S* $\lambda -.$ *True* *inv_{NOT}*
 $\lambda -$ *S.* *conflicting* *S* = *None* *backjump-l-cond*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

sublocale $cdcl_W \subseteq cdcl_{NOT}\text{-merge-bj-learn}$
 $\lambda S. \text{convert-trail-from-}W \text{ (trail } S)$
clauses
 $\lambda L S. \text{cons-trail (convert-ann-literal-from-NOT } L) S$
 $\lambda S. \text{tl-trail } S$
 $\lambda C S. \text{add-learned-cls } C S$
 $\lambda C S. \text{remove-cls } C S \lambda - -. \text{True inv}_{NOT}$
 $\lambda - S. \text{conflicting } S = \text{None backjump-l-cond}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

context $cdcl_W$
begin

Notations are lost while proving locale inclusion:

notation $\text{state-eq}_{NOT} \text{ (infix } \sim_{NOT} 50)$

7.2 Additional Lemmas between NOT and W states

lemma $\text{trail}_W\text{-eq-reduce-trail-to}_{NOT}\text{-eq}$:
 $\text{trail } S = \text{trail } T \implies \text{trail (reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} F S) = \text{trail (reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} F T)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{trail-reduce-trail-to}_{NOT}\text{-add-learned-cls}$:
 $\text{no-dup (trail } S) \implies$
 $\text{trail (reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} M (\text{add-learned-cls } D S)) = \text{trail (reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} M S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{reduce-trail-to}_{NOT}\text{-reduce-trail-convert}$:
 $\text{reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} C S = \text{reduce-trail-to (convert-trail-from-NOT } C) S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{reduce-trail-to-length}$:
 $\text{length } M = \text{length } M' \implies \text{reduce-trail-to } M S = \text{reduce-trail-to } M' S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

7.3 More lemmas conflict-propagate and backjumping

7.3.1 Termination

lemma $cdcl_W\text{-cp-normalized-element-all-inv}$:
assumes $\text{inv: } cdcl_W\text{-all-struct-inv } S$
obtains T **where** $\text{full } cdcl_W\text{-cp } S T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$
thm backtrackE

lemma $cdcl_W\text{-bj-measure}$:
assumes $cdcl_W\text{-bj } S T$ **and** $cdcl_W\text{-M-level-inv } S$
shows $\text{length (trail } S) + (\text{if conflicting } S = \text{None then } 0 \text{ else } 1)$
 $> \text{length (trail } T) + (\text{if conflicting } T = \text{None then } 0 \text{ else } 1)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{wf-}cdcl_W\text{-bj}$:
 $\text{wf } \{(b,a). cdcl_W\text{-bj } a b \wedge cdcl_W\text{-M-level-inv } a\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-bj-exists-normal-form:*

assumes *lev: cdcl_W-M-level-inv S*

shows $\exists T. \text{full } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-bj } S \ T$

<proof>

lemma *rtrancpl-skip-state-decomp:*

assumes *skip** S T and no-dup (trail S)*

shows

$\exists M. \text{trail } S = M @ \text{trail } T \wedge (\forall m \in \text{set } M. \neg \text{is-marked } m)$ **and**

$T \sim \text{delete-trail-and-rebuild } (\text{trail } T) \ S$

<proof>

7.3.2 More backjumping

Backjumping after skipping or jump directly **lemma** *rtrancpl-skip-backtrack-backtrack:*

assumes

*skip** S T and*

backtrack T W and

cdcl_W-all-struct-inv S

shows *backtrack S W*

<proof>

lemma *fst-get-all-marked-decomposition-prepend-not-marked:*

assumes $\forall m \in \text{set } MS. \neg \text{is-marked } m$

shows $\text{set } (\text{map } \text{fst } (\text{get-all-marked-decomposition } M))$

$= \text{set } (\text{map } \text{fst } (\text{get-all-marked-decomposition } (MS @ M)))$

<proof>

See also $\llbracket \text{skip** } ?S \ ?T; \text{backtrack } ?T \ ?W; \text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } ?S \rrbracket \implies \text{backtrack } ?S \ ?W$

lemma *rtrancpl-skip-backtrack-backtrack-end:*

assumes

*skip: skip** S T and*

bt: backtrack S W and

inv: cdcl_W-all-struct-inv S

shows *backtrack T W*

<proof>

lemma *cdcl_W-bj-decomp-resolve-skip-and-bj:*

assumes *cdcl_W-bj** S T and inv: cdcl_W-M-level-inv S*

shows $(\text{skip-or-resolve** } S \ T$

$\vee (\exists U. \text{skip-or-resolve** } S \ U \wedge \text{backtrack } U \ T))$

<proof>

lemma *resolve-skip-deterministic:*

$\text{resolve } S \ T \implies \text{skip } S \ U \implies \text{False}$

<proof>

lemma *backtrack-unique:*

assumes

bt-T: backtrack S T and

bt-U: backtrack S U and

inv: cdcl_W-all-struct-inv S

shows $T \sim U$

<proof>

lemma *if-can-apply-backtrack-no-more-resolve:*

assumes
skip: $skip^{**} S U$ **and**
bt: $backtrack S T$ **and**
inv: $cdcl_W\text{-all-struct-inv } S$
shows $\neg resolve U V$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *if-can-apply-resolve-no-more-backtrack*:

assumes
skip: $skip^{**} S U$ **and**
resolve: $resolve S T$ **and**
inv: $cdcl_W\text{-all-struct-inv } S$
shows $\neg backtrack U V$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *if-can-apply-backtrack-skip-or-resolve-is-skip*:

assumes
bt: $backtrack S T$ **and**
skip: $skip\text{-or-resolve}^{**} S U$ **and**
inv: $cdcl_W\text{-all-struct-inv } S$
shows $skip^{**} S U$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-bj-bj-decomp*:

assumes $cdcl_W\text{-bj}^{**} S W$ **and** $cdcl_W\text{-all-struct-inv } S$
shows
 $(\exists T U V. (\lambda S T. skip\text{-or-resolve } S T \wedge no\text{-step } backtrack S)^{**} S T$
 $\wedge (\lambda T U. resolve T U \wedge no\text{-step } backtrack T) T U$
 $\wedge skip^{**} U V \wedge backtrack V W)$
 $\vee (\exists T U. (\lambda S T. skip\text{-or-resolve } S T \wedge no\text{-step } backtrack S)^{**} S T$
 $\wedge (\lambda T U. resolve T U \wedge no\text{-step } backtrack T) T U \wedge skip^{**} U W)$
 $\vee (\exists T. skip^{**} S T \wedge backtrack T W)$
 $\vee skip^{**} S W$ (**is** $?RB S W \vee ?R S W \vee ?SB S W \vee ?S S W$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The case distinction is needed, since $T \sim V$ does not imply that $R^{**} T V$.

lemma *cdcl_W-bj-strongly-confluent*:

assumes
 $cdcl_W\text{-bj}^{**} S V$ **and**
 $cdcl_W\text{-bj}^{**} S T$ **and**
n-s: $no\text{-step } cdcl_W\text{-bj } V$ **and**
inv: $cdcl_W\text{-all-struct-inv } S$
shows $T \sim V \vee cdcl_W\text{-bj}^{**} T V$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-bj-unique-normal-form*:

assumes
ST: $cdcl_W\text{-bj}^{**} S T$ **and** *SU*: $cdcl_W\text{-bj}^{**} S U$ **and**
n-s-U: $no\text{-step } cdcl_W\text{-bj } U$ **and**
n-s-T: $no\text{-step } cdcl_W\text{-bj } T$ **and**
inv: $cdcl_W\text{-all-struct-inv } S$
shows $T \sim U$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *full-cdcl_W-bj-unique-normal-form*:
assumes *full cdcl_W-bj S T and full cdcl_W-bj S U and*
inv: cdcl_W-all-struct-inv S
shows $T \sim U$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

7.4 CDCL FW

inductive *cdcl_W-merge-restart* :: $'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
fw-r-propagate: propagate S S' \implies cdcl_W-merge-restart S S' |
fw-r-conflict: conflict S T \implies full cdcl_W-bj T U \implies cdcl_W-merge-restart S U |
fw-r-decide: decide S S' \implies cdcl_W-merge-restart S S' |
fw-r-rf: cdcl_W-rf S S' \implies cdcl_W-merge-restart S S'

lemma *cdcl_W-merge-restart-cdcl_W*:
assumes *cdcl_W-merge-restart S T*
shows $cdcl_W^{**} S T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-merge-restart-conflicting-true-or-no-step*:
assumes *cdcl_W-merge-restart S T*
shows $conflicting\ T = None \vee no\text{-}step\ cdcl_W\ T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

inductive *cdcl_W-merge* :: $'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
fw-propagate: propagate S S' \implies cdcl_W-merge S S' |
fw-conflict: conflict S T \implies full cdcl_W-bj T U \implies cdcl_W-merge S U |
fw-decide: decide S S' \implies cdcl_W-merge S S' |
fw-forget: forget S S' \implies cdcl_W-merge S S'

lemma *cdcl_W-merge-cdcl_W-merge-restart*:
 $cdcl_W\text{-merge}\ S\ T \implies cdcl_W\text{-merge-restart}\ S\ T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_W-merge-rtrancpl-cdcl_W-merge-restart*:
 $cdcl_W\text{-merge}^{**}\ S\ T \implies cdcl_W\text{-merge-restart}^{**}\ S\ T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-merge-rtrancpl-cdcl_W*:
 $cdcl_W\text{-merge}\ S\ T \implies cdcl_W^{**}\ S\ T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_W-merge-rtrancpl-cdcl_W*:
 $cdcl_W\text{-merge}^{**}\ S\ T \implies cdcl_W^{**}\ S\ T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-merge-is-cdcl_{NOT}-merged-bj-learn*:
assumes
inv: cdcl_W-all-struct-inv S and
cdcl_W:cdcl_W-merge S T
shows $cdcl_{NOT}\text{-merged-bj-learn}\ S\ T$
 $\vee (no\text{-}step\ cdcl_W\text{-merge}\ T \wedge conflicting\ T \neq None)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation *cdcl_{NOT}-restart* **where**
 $cdcl_{NOT}\text{-restart} \equiv restart\text{-ops}.cdcl_{NOT}\text{-raw-restart}\ cdcl_{NOT}\ restart$

lemma *cdcl_W-merge-restart-is-cdcl_{NOT}-merged-bj-learn-restart-no-step*:

assumes

inv: *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv S* **and**

cdcl_W:cdcl_W-merge-restart S T

shows *cdcl_{NOT}-restart** S T* \vee (*no-step cdcl_W-merge T* \wedge *conflicting T* \neq *None*)

<proof>

abbreviation $\mu_{FW} :: 'st \Rightarrow nat$ **where**

$\mu_{FW} S \equiv$ (*if no-step cdcl_W-merge S then 0 else 1 + μ_{CDCL} '-merged (set-mset (init-cls S)) S*)

lemma *cdcl_W-merge- μ_{FW} -decreasing*:

assumes

inv: *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv S* **and**

fw: *cdcl_W-merge S T*

shows $\mu_{FW} T < \mu_{FW} S$

<proof>

lemma *wf-cdcl_W-merge*: *wf {(T, S). cdcl_W-all-struct-inv S \wedge cdcl_W-merge S T}*

<proof>

lemma *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv-tranclp-cdcl_W-merge-tranclp-cdcl_W-merge-cdcl_W-all-struct-inv*:

assumes

inv: *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv b*

cdcl_W-merge⁺⁺ b a

shows $(\lambda S T. \text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } S \wedge \text{cdcl}_W\text{-merge } S T)^{++} b a$

<proof>

lemma *wf-tranclp-cdcl_W-merge*: *wf {(T, S). cdcl_W-all-struct-inv S \wedge cdcl_W-merge⁺⁺ S T}*

<proof>

lemma *backtrack-is-full1-cdcl_W-bj*:

assumes *bt*: *backtrack S T* **and** *inv*: *cdcl_W-M-level-inv S*

shows *full1 cdcl_W-bj S T*

<proof>

lemma *rtrancl-cdcl_W-conflicting-true-cdcl_W-merge-restart*:

assumes *cdcl_W** S V* **and** *inv*: *cdcl_W-M-level-inv S* **and** *conflicting S = None*

shows (*cdcl_W-merge-restart** S V* \wedge *conflicting V = None*)

$\vee (\exists T U. \text{cdcl}_W\text{-merge-restart** } S T \wedge \text{conflicting } V \neq \text{None} \wedge \text{conflict } T U \wedge \text{cdcl}_W\text{-bj** } U V)$

<proof>

lemma *no-step-cdcl_W-no-step-cdcl_W-merge-restart*: *no-step cdcl_W S \implies no-step cdcl_W-merge-restart S*

<proof>

lemma *no-step-cdcl_W-merge-restart-no-step-cdcl_W*:

assumes

conflicting S = None **and**

cdcl_W-M-level-inv S **and**

no-step cdcl_W-merge-restart S

shows *no-step cdcl_W S*

<proof>

lemma *rtranclp-cdcl_W-merge-restart-no-step-cdcl_W-bj*:

assumes
 $cdcl_W\text{-merge-restart}^{**} S T$ **and**
 $conflicting S = None$
shows $no\text{-step } cdcl_W\text{-bj } T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

If $conflicting S \neq None$, we cannot say anything.

Remark that this theorem does not say anything about well-foundedness: even if you know that one relation is well-founded, it only states that the normal forms are shared.

lemma $conflicting\text{-true-full-cdcl}_W\text{-iff-full-cdcl}_W\text{-merge}$:
assumes $conflict$: $conflicting S = None$ **and** lev : $cdcl_W\text{-M-level-inv } S$
shows $full\ cdcl_W S V \longleftrightarrow full\ cdcl_W\text{-merge-restart } S V$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $init\text{-state-true-full-cdcl}_W\text{-iff-full-cdcl}_W\text{-merge}$:
shows $full\ cdcl_W (init\text{-state } N) V \longleftrightarrow full\ cdcl_W\text{-merge-restart } (init\text{-state } N) V$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

7.5 FW with strategy

7.5.1 The intermediate step

inductive $cdcl_W\text{-s}' :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $conflict'$: $full1\ cdcl_W\text{-cp } S S' \Longrightarrow cdcl_W\text{-s}' S S' \mid$
 $decide'$: $decide S S' \Longrightarrow no\text{-step } cdcl_W\text{-cp } S \Longrightarrow full\ cdcl_W\text{-cp } S' S'' \Longrightarrow cdcl_W\text{-s}' S S'' \mid$
 bj' : $full1\ cdcl_W\text{-bj } S S' \Longrightarrow no\text{-step } cdcl_W\text{-cp } S \Longrightarrow full\ cdcl_W\text{-cp } S' S'' \Longrightarrow cdcl_W\text{-s}' S S''$

inductive-cases $cdcl_W\text{-s}'E$: $cdcl_W\text{-s}' S T$

lemma $rtrancp\text{-cdcl}_W\text{-bj-full1-cdclp-cdcl}_W\text{-stgy}$:
 $cdcl_W\text{-bj}^{**} S S' \Longrightarrow full\ cdcl_W\text{-cp } S' S'' \Longrightarrow cdcl_W\text{-stgy}^{**} S S''$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cdcl_W\text{-s}'\text{-is-rtrancp-cdcl}_W\text{-stgy}$:
 $cdcl_W\text{-s}' S T \Longrightarrow cdcl_W\text{-stgy}^{**} S T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cdcl_W\text{-cp-cdcl}_W\text{-bj-bissimulation}$:
assumes
 $full\ cdcl_W\text{-cp } T U$ **and**
 $cdcl_W\text{-bj}^{**} T T'$ **and**
 $cdcl_W\text{-all-struct-inv } T$ **and**
 $no\text{-step } cdcl_W\text{-bj } T'$
shows $full\ cdcl_W\text{-cp } T' U$
 $\vee (\exists U' U'', full\ cdcl_W\text{-cp } T' U'' \wedge full1\ cdcl_W\text{-bj } U U' \wedge full\ cdcl_W\text{-cp } U' U'' \wedge cdcl_W\text{-s}'^{**} U U'')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cdcl_W\text{-cp-cdcl}_W\text{-bj-bissimulation}'$:
assumes
 $full\ cdcl_W\text{-cp } T U$ **and**
 $cdcl_W\text{-bj}^{**} T T'$ **and**
 $cdcl_W\text{-all-struct-inv } T$ **and**
 $no\text{-step } cdcl_W\text{-bj } T'$
shows $full\ cdcl_W\text{-cp } T' U$
 $\vee (\exists U'. full1\ cdcl_W\text{-bj } U U' \wedge (\forall U'', full\ cdcl_W\text{-cp } U' U'' \longrightarrow full\ cdcl_W\text{-cp } T' U''))$

$\wedge \text{cdcl}_W\text{-s}^{ '** } U U''))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy-cdcl}_W\text{-s}'\text{-connected}$:

assumes $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy } S U$ **and** $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } S$

shows $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-s}' S U$

$\vee (\exists U'. \text{full1 } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-bj } U U' \wedge (\forall U''. \text{full } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-cp } U' U'' \longrightarrow \text{cdcl}_W\text{-s}' S U''))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy-cdcl}_W\text{-s}'\text{-connected}'$:

assumes $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy } S U$ **and** $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } S$

shows $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-s}' S U$

$\vee (\exists U' U''. \text{cdcl}_W\text{-s}' S U'' \wedge \text{full1 } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-bj } U U' \wedge \text{full } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-cp } U' U'')$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy-cdcl}_W\text{-s}'\text{-no-step}$:

assumes $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy } S U$ **and** $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } S$ **and** $\text{no-step } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-bj } U$

shows $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-s}' S U$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{rtrancpl-cdcl}_W\text{-stgy-connected-to-rtrancpl-cdcl}_W\text{-s}'$:

assumes $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy}^{ '** } S U$ **and** $\text{inv: } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-M-level-inv } S$

shows $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-s}^{ '** } S U \vee (\exists T. \text{cdcl}_W\text{-s}^{ '** } S T \wedge \text{cdcl}_W\text{-bj}^{ ++ } T U \wedge \text{conflicting } U \neq \text{None})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{n-step-cdcl}_W\text{-stgy-iff-no-step-cdcl}_W\text{-cl-cdcl}_W\text{-o}$:

assumes $\text{inv: } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } S$

shows $\text{no-step } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-s}' S \longleftrightarrow \text{no-step } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-cp } S \wedge \text{no-step } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-o } S$ (**is** $?S' S \longleftrightarrow ?C S \wedge ?O S$)

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-s}'\text{-trancpl-cdcl}_W$:

$\text{cdcl}_W\text{-s}' S S' \Longrightarrow \text{cdcl}_W^{ ++ } S S'$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{trancpl-cdcl}_W\text{-s}'\text{-trancpl-cdcl}_W$:

$\text{cdcl}_W\text{-s}^{ '++ } S S' \Longrightarrow \text{cdcl}_W^{ ++ } S S'$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{rtrancpl-cdcl}_W\text{-s}'\text{-rtrancpl-cdcl}_W$:

$\text{cdcl}_W\text{-s}^{ '** } S S' \Longrightarrow \text{cdcl}_W^{ '** } S S'$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{full-cdcl}_W\text{-stgy-iff-full-cdcl}_W\text{-s}'$:

assumes $\text{inv: } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } S$

shows $\text{full } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy } S T \longleftrightarrow \text{full } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-s}' S T$ (**is** $?S \longleftrightarrow ?S'$)

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{conflict-step-cdcl}_W\text{-stgy-step}$:

assumes

$\text{conflict } S T$

$\text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } S$

shows $\exists T. \text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy } S T$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{decide-step-cdcl}_W\text{-stgy-step}$:

assumes
decide $S\ T$
cdcl_W-all-struct-inv S
shows $\exists T. \text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy } S\ T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_W-cp-conflicting-Some*:
 $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-cp}^{**} S\ T \implies \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } D \implies S = T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

inductive *cdcl_W-merge-cp* :: '*st* \Rightarrow '*st* \Rightarrow bool **where**
conflict'[*intro*]: $\text{conflict } S\ T \implies \text{full } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-bj } T\ U \implies \text{cdcl}_W\text{-merge-cp } S\ U \mid$
propagate'[*intro*]: $\text{propagate}^{++} S\ S' \implies \text{cdcl}_W\text{-merge-cp } S\ S'$

lemma *cdcl_W-merge-restart-cases*[*consumes 1, case-names conflict propagate*]:
assumes
cdcl_W-merge-cp $S\ U$ **and**
 $\bigwedge T. \text{conflict } S\ T \implies \text{full } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-bj } T\ U \implies P$ **and**
 $\text{propagate}^{++} S\ U \implies P$
shows P
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-merge-cp-trancpl-cdcl_W-merge*:
 $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-merge-cp } S\ T \implies \text{cdcl}_W\text{-merge}^{++} S\ T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_W-merge-cp-rtrancpl-cdcl_W*:
 $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-merge-cp}^{**} S\ T \implies \text{cdcl}_W^{**} S\ T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *full1-cdcl_W-bj-no-step-cdcl_W-bj*:
 $\text{full1 } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-bj } S\ T \implies \text{no-step } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-cp } S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

inductive *cdcl_W-s'-without-decide* **where**
conflict'-without-decide'[*intro*]: $\text{full1 } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-cp } S\ S' \implies \text{cdcl}_W\text{-s'-without-decide } S\ S' \mid$
bj'-without-decide'[*intro*]: $\text{full1 } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-bj } S\ S' \implies \text{no-step } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-cp } S \implies \text{full } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-cp } S'\ S''$
 $\implies \text{cdcl}_W\text{-s'-without-decide } S\ S''$

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_W-s'-without-decide-rtrancpl-cdcl_W*:
 $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-s'-without-decide}^{**} S\ T \implies \text{cdcl}_W^{**} S\ T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_W-s'-without-decide-rtrancpl-cdcl_W-s'*:
 $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-s'-without-decide}^{**} S\ T \implies \text{cdcl}_W\text{-s'}^{**} S\ T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_W-merge-cp-is-rtrancpl-cdcl_W-s'-without-decide*:
assumes
 $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-merge-cp}^{**} S\ V$
 $\text{conflicting } S = \text{None}$
shows
 $(\text{cdcl}_W\text{-s'-without-decide}^{**} S\ V)$
 $\vee (\exists T. \text{cdcl}_W\text{-s'-without-decide}^{**} S\ T \wedge \text{propagate}^{++} T\ V)$
 $\vee (\exists T\ U. \text{cdcl}_W\text{-s'-without-decide}^{**} S\ T \wedge \text{full1 } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-bj } T\ U \wedge \text{propagate}^{**} U\ V)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancp-cdcl_W-s'-without-decide-is-rtrancp-cdcl_W-merge-cp:*

assumes

*cdcl_W-s'-without-decide** S V and*

confl: conflicting S = None

shows

*(cdcl_W-merge-cp** S V \wedge conflicting V = None)*

*\vee (cdcl_W-merge-cp** S V \wedge conflicting V \neq None \wedge no-step cdcl_W-cp V \wedge no-step cdcl_W-bj V)*

*\vee ($\exists T$. cdcl_W-merge-cp** S T \wedge conflict T V)*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *no-step-cdcl_W-s'-no-ste-cdcl_W-merge-cp:*

assumes

cdcl_W-all-struct-inv S

conflicting S = None

no-step cdcl_W-s' S

shows *no-step cdcl_W-merge-cp S*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The *no-step decide S* is needed, since *cdcl_W-merge-cp* is *cdcl_W-s'* without *decide*.

lemma *conflicting-true-no-step-cdcl_W-merge-cp-no-step-s'-without-decide:*

assumes

confl: conflicting S = None and

inv: cdcl_W-M-level-inv S and

n-s: no-step cdcl_W-merge-cp S

shows *no-step cdcl_W-s'-without-decide S*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *conflicting-true-no-step-s'-without-decide-no-step-cdcl_W-merge-cp:*

assumes

inv: cdcl_W-all-struct-inv S and

n-s: no-step cdcl_W-s'-without-decide S

shows *no-step cdcl_W-merge-cp S*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *no-step-cdcl_W-merge-cp-no-step-cdcl_W-cp:*

no-step cdcl_W-merge-cp S \implies cdcl_W-M-level-inv S \implies no-step cdcl_W-cp S

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *conflicting-not-true-rtrancp-cdcl_W-merge-cp-no-step-cdcl_W-bj:*

assumes

conflicting S = None and

*cdcl_W-merge-cp** S T*

shows *no-step cdcl_W-bj T*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *conflicting-true-full-cdcl_W-merge-cp-iff-full-cdcl_W-s'-without-decode:*

assumes

confl: conflicting S = None and

inv: cdcl_W-all-struct-inv S

shows

full cdcl_W-merge-cp S V \longleftrightarrow full cdcl_W-s'-without-decode S V (is ?fw \longleftrightarrow ?s')

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *conflicting-true-full1-cdcl_W-merge-cp-iff-full1-cdcl_W-s'-without-decode:*

assumes

conf: *conflicting* $S = \text{None}$ **and**

inv: *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv* S

shows

full1 cdcl_W-merge-cp $S \ V \longleftrightarrow \text{full1 cdcl}_W\text{-s'-without-decide } S \ V$

<proof>

lemma *conflicting-true-full1-cdcl_W-merge-cp-imp-full1-cdcl_W-s'-without-decode:*

assumes

fw: *full1 cdcl_W-merge-cp* $S \ V$ **and**

inv: *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv* S

shows

full1 cdcl_W-s'-without-decide $S \ V$

<proof>

inductive *cdcl_W-merge-stgy* **where**

fw-s-cp[*intro*]: *full1 cdcl_W-merge-cp* $S \ T \implies \text{cdcl}_W\text{-merge-stgy } S \ T \mid$

fw-s-decide[*intro*]: *decide* $S \ T \implies \text{no-step cdcl}_W\text{-merge-cp } S \implies \text{full cdcl}_W\text{-merge-cp } T \ U$
 $\implies \text{cdcl}_W\text{-merge-stgy } S \ U$

lemma *cdcl_W-merge-stgy-tranclp-cdcl_W-merge:*

assumes *fw*: *cdcl_W-merge-stgy* $S \ T$

shows *cdcl_W-merge*⁺⁺ $S \ T$

<proof>

lemma *rtranclp-cdcl_W-merge-stgy-rtranclp-cdcl_W-merge:*

assumes *fw*: *cdcl_W-merge-stgy*^{**} $S \ T$

shows *cdcl_W-merge*^{**} $S \ T$

<proof>

lemma *cdcl_W-merge-stgy-rtranclp-cdcl_W:*

cdcl_W-merge-stgy $S \ T \implies \text{cdcl}_W^{\text{**}} S \ T$

<proof>

lemma *rtranclp-cdcl_W-merge-stgy-rtranclp-cdcl_W:*

cdcl_W-merge-stgy^{**} $S \ T \implies \text{cdcl}_W^{\text{**}} S \ T$

<proof>

lemma *cdcl_W-merge-stgy-cases*[*consumes 1, case-names fw-s-cp fw-s-decide*]:

assumes

cdcl_W-merge-stgy $S \ U$

full1 cdcl_W-merge-cp $S \ U \implies P$

$\bigwedge T. \text{decide } S \ T \implies \text{no-step cdcl}_W\text{-merge-cp } S \implies \text{full cdcl}_W\text{-merge-cp } T \ U \implies P$

shows P

<proof>

inductive *cdcl_W-s'-w* :: *'st* \Rightarrow *'st* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**

conflict': *full1 cdcl_W-s'-without-decide* $S \ S' \implies \text{cdcl}_W\text{-s'-w } S \ S' \mid$

decide': *decide* $S \ S' \implies \text{no-step cdcl}_W\text{-s'-without-decide } S \implies \text{full cdcl}_W\text{-s'-without-decide } S' \ S''$
 $\implies \text{cdcl}_W\text{-s'-w } S \ S''$

lemma *cdcl_W-s'-w-rtranclp-cdcl_W:*

cdcl_W-s'-w $S \ T \implies \text{cdcl}_W^{\text{**}} S \ T$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{rtrancpl-cdcl}_W\text{-s'-w-rtrancpl-cdcl}_W$:

$\text{cdcl}_W\text{-s'-w}^{**} S T \implies \text{cdcl}_W^{**} S T$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{no-step-cdcl}_W\text{-cp-no-step-cdcl}_W\text{-s'-without-decide}$:

assumes $\text{no-step cdcl}_W\text{-cp } S$ **and** $\text{conflicting } S = \text{None}$ **and** $\text{inv: cdcl}_W\text{-M-level-inv } S$

shows $\text{no-step cdcl}_W\text{-s'-without-decide } S$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{no-step-cdcl}_W\text{-cp-no-step-cdcl}_W\text{-merge-restart}$:

assumes $\text{no-step cdcl}_W\text{-cp } S$ **and** $\text{conflicting } S = \text{None}$

shows $\text{no-step cdcl}_W\text{-merge-cp } S$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{after-cdcl}_W\text{-s'-without-decide-no-step-cdcl}_W\text{-cp}$:

assumes $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-s'-without-decide } S T$

shows $\text{no-step cdcl}_W\text{-cp } T$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{no-step-cdcl}_W\text{-s'-without-decide-no-step-cdcl}_W\text{-cp}$:

$\text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } S \implies \text{no-step cdcl}_W\text{-s'-without-decide } S \implies \text{no-step cdcl}_W\text{-cp } S$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{after-cdcl}_W\text{-s'-w-no-step-cdcl}_W\text{-cp}$:

assumes $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-s'-w } S T$ **and** $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } S$

shows $\text{no-step cdcl}_W\text{-cp } T$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{rtrancpl-cdcl}_W\text{-s'-w-no-step-cdcl}_W\text{-cp-or-eq}$:

assumes $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-s'-w}^{**} S T$ **and** $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } S$

shows $S = T \vee \text{no-step cdcl}_W\text{-cp } T$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{rtrancpl-cdcl}_W\text{-merge-stgy'-no-step-cdcl}_W\text{-cp-or-eq}$:

assumes $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-merge-stgy}^{**} S T$ **and** $\text{inv: cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } S$

shows $S = T \vee \text{no-step cdcl}_W\text{-cp } T$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{no-step-cdcl}_W\text{-s'-without-decide-no-step-cdcl}_W\text{-bj}$:

assumes $\text{no-step cdcl}_W\text{-s'-without-decide } S$ **and** $\text{inv: cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } S$

shows $\text{no-step cdcl}_W\text{-bj } S$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-s'-w-no-step-cdcl}_W\text{-bj}$:

assumes $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-s'-w } S T$ **and** $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } S$

shows $\text{no-step cdcl}_W\text{-bj } T$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{rtrancpl-cdcl}_W\text{-s'-w-no-step-cdcl}_W\text{-bj-or-eq}$:

assumes $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-s'-w}^{**} S T$ **and** $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } S$

shows $S = T \vee \text{no-step cdcl}_W\text{-bj } T$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{rtrancpl-cdcl}_W\text{-s'-no-step-cdcl}_W\text{-s'-without-decide-decomp-into-cdcl}_W\text{-merge}$:

assumes

$cdcl_W-s'^{**} R V$ **and**
 $conflicting R = None$ **and**
 $inv: cdcl_W-all-struct-inv R$

shows $(cdcl_W-merge-stgy^{**} R V \wedge conflicting V = None)$

$\vee (cdcl_W-merge-stgy^{**} R V \wedge conflicting V \neq None \wedge no-step cdcl_W-bj V)$
 $\vee (\exists S T U. cdcl_W-merge-stgy^{**} R S \wedge no-step cdcl_W-merge-cp S \wedge decide S T$
 $\wedge cdcl_W-merge-cp^{**} T U \wedge conflict U V)$
 $\vee (\exists S T. cdcl_W-merge-stgy^{**} R S \wedge no-step cdcl_W-merge-cp S \wedge decide S T$
 $\wedge cdcl_W-merge-cp^{**} T V$
 $\wedge conflicting V = None)$
 $\vee (cdcl_W-merge-cp^{**} R V \wedge conflicting V = None)$
 $\vee (\exists U. cdcl_W-merge-cp^{**} R U \wedge conflict U V)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $decide-rtrancp-cdcl_W-s'-rtrancp-cdcl_W-s'$:

assumes

$dec: decide S T$ **and**
 $cdcl_W-s'^{**} T U$ **and**
 $n-s-S: no-step cdcl_W-cp S$ **and**
 $no-step cdcl_W-cp U$

shows $cdcl_W-s'^{**} S U$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rtrancp-cdcl_W-merge-stgy-rtrancp-cdcl_W-s'$:

assumes

$cdcl_W-merge-stgy^{**} R V$ **and**
 $inv: cdcl_W-all-struct-inv R$

shows $cdcl_W-s'^{**} R V$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rtrancp-cdcl_W-merge-stgy-distinct-mset-clauses$:

assumes $invR: cdcl_W-all-struct-inv R$ **and**

$st: cdcl_W-merge-stgy^{**} R S$ **and**

$dist: distinct-mset (clauses R)$ **and**

$R: trail R = []$

shows $distinct-mset (clauses S)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $no-step-cdcl_W-s'-no-step-cdcl_W-merge-stgy$:

assumes

$inv: cdcl_W-all-struct-inv R$ **and** $s': no-step cdcl_W-s' R$

shows $no-step cdcl_W-merge-stgy R$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $wf-cdcl_W-merge-cp$:

$wf\{(T, S). cdcl_W-all-struct-inv S \wedge cdcl_W-merge-cp S T\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $wf-cdcl_W-merge-stgy$:

$wf\{(T, S). cdcl_W-all-struct-inv S \wedge cdcl_W-merge-stgy S T\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cdcl_W-merge-cp-obtain-normal-form$:

assumes $inv: cdcl_W-all-struct-inv R$

obtains S **where** $\text{full cdcl}_W\text{-merge-cp } R \ S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{no-step-cdcl}_W\text{-merge-stgy-no-step-cdcl}_W\text{-s'}$:

assumes

$\text{inv: cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } R$ **and**

$\text{confl: conflicting } R = \text{None}$ **and**

$\text{n-s: no-step cdcl}_W\text{-merge-stgy } R$

shows $\text{no-step cdcl}_W\text{-s' } R$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{rtrancp-cdcl}_W\text{-merge-cp-no-step-cdcl}_W\text{-bj}$:

assumes $\text{conflicting } R = \text{None}$ **and** $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-merge-cp}^{**} R \ S$

shows $\text{no-step cdcl}_W\text{-bj } S$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{rtrancp-cdcl}_W\text{-merge-stgy-no-step-cdcl}_W\text{-bj}$:

assumes $\text{confl: conflicting } R = \text{None}$ **and** $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-merge-stgy}^{**} R \ S$

shows $\text{no-step cdcl}_W\text{-bj } S$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{full-cdcl}_W\text{-s'-full-cdcl}_W\text{-merge-restart}$:

assumes

$\text{conflicting } R = \text{None}$ **and**

$\text{inv: cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } R$

shows $\text{full cdcl}_W\text{-s' } R \ V \longleftrightarrow \text{full cdcl}_W\text{-merge-stgy } R \ V$ (**is** $?s' \longleftrightarrow ?fw$)

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{full-cdcl}_W\text{-stgy-full-cdcl}_W\text{-merge}$:

assumes

$\text{conflicting } R = \text{None}$ **and**

$\text{inv: cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } R$

shows $\text{full cdcl}_W\text{-stgy } R \ V \longleftrightarrow \text{full cdcl}_W\text{-merge-stgy } R \ V$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{full-cdcl}_W\text{-merge-stgy-final-state-conclusive'}$:

fixes $S' :: 'st$

assumes $\text{full: full cdcl}_W\text{-merge-stgy (init-state } N) \ S'$

and $\text{no-d: distinct-mset-mset } N$

shows $(\text{conflicting } S' = \text{Some } \{\#\} \wedge \text{unsatisfiable (set-mset } N))$

$\vee (\text{conflicting } S' = \text{None} \wedge \text{trail } S' \models_{\text{asm}} N \wedge \text{satisfiable (set-mset } N))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

7.6 Adding Restarts

locale $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-restart} =$

$\text{cdcl}_W \ \text{trail init-clss learned-clss backtrack-lvl conflicting cons-trail tl-trail}$

add-init-clss

$\text{add-learned-clss remove-clss update-backtrack-lvl update-conflicting init-state}$

restart-state

for

$\text{trail} :: 'st \Rightarrow ('v, \text{nat}, 'v \text{ clause}) \text{ ann-literals}$ **and**

$\text{init-clss} :: 'st \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clauses}$ **and**

$\text{learned-clss} :: 'st \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clauses}$ **and**

```

backtrack-lvl :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  nat and
conflicting :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  'v clause option and

cons-trail :: ('v, nat, 'v clause) ann-literal  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st and
tl-trail :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st and
add-init-cls :: 'v clause  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st and
add-learned-cls remove-cls :: 'v clause  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st and
update-backtrack-lvl :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st and
update-conflicting :: 'v clause option  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st and

init-state :: 'v clauses  $\Rightarrow$  'st and
restart-state :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st +
fixes f :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat
assumes f: unbounded f
begin

```

The condition of the differences of cardinality has to be strict. Otherwise, you could be in a strange state, where nothing remains to do, but a restart is done. See the proof of well-foundedness.

inductive *cdcl_W-merge-with-restart* **where**

restart-step:

```

(cdclW-merge-stgy  $\sim$  (card (set-mset (learned-clss T)) - card (set-mset (learned-clss S)))) S T
 $\implies$  card (set-mset (learned-clss T)) - card (set-mset (learned-clss S)) > f n
 $\implies$  restart T U  $\implies$  cdclW-merge-with-restart (S, n) (U, Suc n) |

```

restart-full: full1 cdcl_W-merge-stgy S T \implies cdcl_W-merge-with-restart (S, n) (T, Suc n)

lemma *cdcl_W-merge-with-restart* S T \implies cdcl_W-merge-restart** (fst S) (fst T)

<proof>

lemma *cdcl_W-merge-with-restart-rtrancpl-cdcl_W*:

cdcl_W-merge-with-restart S T \implies cdcl_W** (fst S) (fst T)

<proof>

lemma *cdcl_W-merge-with-restart-increasing-number*:

cdcl_W-merge-with-restart S T \implies snd T = 1 + snd S

<proof>

lemma full1 cdcl_W-merge-stgy S T \implies cdcl_W-merge-with-restart (S, n) (T, Suc n)

<proof>

lemma *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv-learned-clss-bound*:

assumes inv: cdcl_W-all-struct-inv S

shows set-mset (learned-clss S) \subseteq simple-clss (atms-of-msu (init-clss S))

<proof>

lemma *cdcl_W-merge-with-restart-init-clss*:

cdcl_W-merge-with-restart S T \implies cdcl_W-M-level-inv (fst S) \implies

init-clss (fst S) = init-clss (fst T)

<proof>

lemma

wf {(T, S). cdcl_W-all-struct-inv (fst S) \wedge cdcl_W-merge-with-restart S T}

<proof>

lemma *cdcl_W-merge-with-restart-distinct-mset-clauses*:

assumes $invR$: $cdcl_W$ -all-struct-inv ($fst R$) **and**
 st : $cdcl_W$ -merge-with-restart $R S$ **and**
 $dist$: $distinct-mset$ ($clauses (fst R)$) **and**
 R : $trail (fst R) = []$
shows $distinct-mset (clauses (fst S))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

inductive $cdcl_W$ -with-restart **where**

restart-step:

$(cdcl_W$ -stgy $\sim (card (set-mset (learned-clss T)) - card (set-mset (learned-clss S)))) S T \implies$
 $card (set-mset (learned-clss T)) - card (set-mset (learned-clss S)) > f n \implies$
 $restart T U \implies$
 $cdcl_W$ -with-restart $(S, n) (U, Suc n) |$

restart-full: $full1\ cdcl_W$ -stgy $S T \implies cdcl_W$ -with-restart $(S, n) (T, Suc n)$

lemma $cdcl_W$ -with-restart-rtrancp- $cdcl_W$:

$cdcl_W$ -with-restart $S T \implies cdcl_W^{**} (fst S) (fst T)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cdcl_W$ -with-restart-increasing-number:

$cdcl_W$ -with-restart $S T \implies snd T = 1 + snd S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $full1\ cdcl_W$ -stgy $S T \implies cdcl_W$ -with-restart $(S, n) (T, Suc n)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cdcl_W$ -with-restart-init-clss:

$cdcl_W$ -with-restart $S T \implies cdcl_W$ -M-level-inv ($fst S$) $\implies init-clss (fst S) = init-clss (fst T)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma

$wf \{(T, S). cdcl_W$ -all-struct-inv ($fst S$) $\wedge cdcl_W$ -with-restart $S T\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cdcl_W$ -with-restart-distinct-mset-clauses:

assumes $invR$: $cdcl_W$ -all-struct-inv ($fst R$) **and**
 st : $cdcl_W$ -with-restart $R S$ **and**
 $dist$: $distinct-mset (clauses (fst R))$ **and**
 R : $trail (fst R) = []$
shows $distinct-mset (clauses (fst S))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

locale $luby$ -sequence =

fixes $ur :: nat$
assumes $ur > 0$

begin

lemma $exists$ -luby-decomp:

fixes $i :: nat$
shows $\exists k :: nat. (2 \wedge (k - 1) \leq i \wedge i < 2 \wedge k - 1) \vee i = 2 \wedge k - 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Luby sequences are defined by:

- $2^k - 1$, if $i = (2 :: 'a)^k - (1 :: 'a)$

- *luby-sequence-core* $(i - 2^k - 1 + 1)$, if $(2::'a)^k - 1 \leq i$ and $i \leq (2::'a)^k - (1::'a)$

Then the sequence is then scaled by a constant unit run (called *ur* here), strictly positive.

function *luby-sequence-core* :: *nat* \Rightarrow *nat* **where**

luby-sequence-core *i* =

(if $\exists k. i = 2^k - 1$

then $2^((SOME\ k. i = 2^k - 1) - 1)$

else *luby-sequence-core* $(i - 2^((SOME\ k. 2^{(k-1)} \leq i \wedge i < 2^k - 1) - 1) + 1))$

\langle proof \rangle

termination

\langle proof \rangle

declare *luby-sequence-core.simps*[*simp del*]

lemma *two-pover-n-eq-two-power-n'-eq*:

assumes *H*: $(2::nat)^\wedge (k::nat) - 1 = 2^\wedge k' - 1$

shows $k' = k$

\langle proof \rangle

lemma *luby-sequence-core-two-power-minus-one*:

luby-sequence-core $(2^k - 1) = 2^{(k-1)}$ (**is** ?*L* = ?*K*)

\langle proof \rangle

lemma *different-luby-decomposition-false*:

assumes

H: $2^\wedge (k - Suc\ 0) \leq i$ **and**

k': $i < 2^\wedge k' - Suc\ 0$ **and**

k-k': $k > k'$

shows *False*

\langle proof \rangle

lemma *luby-sequence-core-not-two-power-minus-one*:

assumes

k-i: $2^\wedge (k - 1) \leq i$ **and**

i-k: $i < 2^\wedge k - 1$

shows *luby-sequence-core* *i* = *luby-sequence-core* $(i - 2^\wedge (k - 1) + 1)$

\langle proof \rangle

lemma *unbounded-luby-sequence-core*: *unbounded luby-sequence-core*

\langle proof \rangle

abbreviation *luby-sequence* :: *nat* \Rightarrow *nat* **where**

luby-sequence *n* \equiv *ur* * *luby-sequence-core* *n*

lemma *bounded-luby-sequence*: *unbounded luby-sequence*

\langle proof \rangle

lemma *luby-sequence-core-0*: *luby-sequence-core* 0 = 1

\langle proof \rangle

lemma *luby-sequence-core* *n* \geq 1

\langle proof \rangle

end

locale *luby-sequence-restart* =

```

luby-sequence ur +
cdclW trail init-clss learned-clss backtrack-lvl conflicting cons-trail tl-trail
  add-init-clss
  add-learned-clss remove-clss update-backtrack-lvl update-conflicting init-state
  restart-state
for
  ur :: nat and
  trail :: 'st ⇒ ('v, nat, 'v clause) ann-literals and
  init-clss :: 'st ⇒ 'v clauses and
  learned-clss :: 'st ⇒ 'v clauses and
  backtrack-lvl :: 'st ⇒ nat and
  conflicting :: 'st ⇒ 'v clause option and
  cons-trail :: ('v, nat, 'v clause) ann-literal ⇒ 'st ⇒ 'st and
  tl-trail :: 'st ⇒ 'st and
  add-init-clss :: 'v clause ⇒ 'st ⇒ 'st and
  add-learned-clss remove-clss :: 'v clause ⇒ 'st ⇒ 'st and
  update-backtrack-lvl :: nat ⇒ 'st ⇒ 'st and
  update-conflicting :: 'v clause option ⇒ 'st ⇒ 'st and

  init-state :: 'v clauses ⇒ 'st and
  restart-state :: 'st ⇒ 'st
begin

sublocale cdclW-restart - - - - - luby-sequence
⟨proof⟩

end

end
theory CDCL-W-Incremental
imports CDCL-W-Termination
begin

```

8 Incremental SAT solving

```

context cdclW
begin

```

This invariant holds all the invariant related to the strategy. See the structural invariant in *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv*

```

definition cdclW-stgy-invariant where
cdclW-stgy-invariant  $S \longleftrightarrow$ 
  conflict-is-false-with-level  $S$ 
  ∧ no-clause-is-false  $S$ 
  ∧ no-smaller-confl  $S$ 
  ∧ no-clause-is-false  $S$ 

```

```

lemma cdclW-stgy-cdclW-stgy-invariant:
assumes
  cdclW: cdclW-stgy  $S$   $T$  and
  inv-s: cdclW-stgy-invariant  $S$  and
  inv: cdclW-all-struct-inv  $S$ 
shows
  cdclW-stgy-invariant  $T$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *rtrancp-cdcl_W-stgy-cdcl_W-stgy-invariant*:

assumes

*cdcl_W: cdcl_W-stgy** S T and*

inv-s: cdcl_W-stgy-invariant S and

inv: cdcl_W-all-struct-inv S

shows

cdcl_W-stgy-invariant T

<proof>

abbreviation *decr-bt-lvl where*

decr-bt-lvl S ≡ update-backtrack-lvl (backtrack-lvl S - 1) S

When we add a new clause, we reduce the trail until we get to the first literal included in C. Then we can mark the conflict.

fun *cut-trail-wrt-clause where*

cut-trail-wrt-clause C [] S = S |

cut-trail-wrt-clause C (Marked L - # M) S =

(if -L ∈ # C then S

else cut-trail-wrt-clause C M (decr-bt-lvl (tl-trail S))) |

cut-trail-wrt-clause C (Propagated L - # M) S =

(if -L ∈ # C then S

else cut-trail-wrt-clause C M (tl-trail S))

definition *add-new-clause-and-update :: 'v literal multiset ⇒ 'st ⇒ 'st where*

add-new-clause-and-update C S =

(if trail S ⊨_{as} CNot C

then update-conflicting (Some C) (add-init-cls C (cut-trail-wrt-clause C (trail S) S))

else add-init-cls C S)

thm *cut-trail-wrt-clause.induct*

lemma *init-clss-cut-trail-wrt-clause[simp]:*

init-clss (cut-trail-wrt-clause C M S) = init-clss S

<proof>

lemma *learned-clss-cut-trail-wrt-clause[simp]:*

learned-clss (cut-trail-wrt-clause C M S) = learned-clss S

<proof>

lemma *conflicting-clss-cut-trail-wrt-clause[simp]:*

conflicting (cut-trail-wrt-clause C M S) = conflicting S

<proof>

lemma *trail-cut-trail-wrt-clause:*

∃ M. trail S = M @ trail (cut-trail-wrt-clause C (trail S) S)

<proof>

lemma *n-dup-no-dup-trail-cut-trail-wrt-clause[simp]:*

assumes *n-d: no-dup (trail T)*

shows *no-dup (trail (cut-trail-wrt-clause C (trail T) T))*

<proof>

lemma *cut-trail-wrt-clause-backtrack-lvl-length-marked:*

assumes

backtrack-lvl T = length (get-all-levels-of-marked (trail T))

shows

$\text{backtrack-lvl } (\text{cut-trail-wrt-clause } C \text{ (trail } T) \text{ } T) =$
 $\text{length } (\text{get-all-levels-of-marked } (\text{trail } (\text{cut-trail-wrt-clause } C \text{ (trail } T) \text{ } T)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cut-trail-wrt-clause-get-all-levels-of-marked:*

assumes $\text{get-all-levels-of-marked } (\text{trail } T) = \text{rev } [\text{Suc } 0..<$
 $\text{Suc } (\text{length } (\text{get-all-levels-of-marked } (\text{trail } T)))]$

shows

$\text{get-all-levels-of-marked } (\text{trail } ((\text{cut-trail-wrt-clause } C \text{ (trail } T) \text{ } T))) = \text{rev } [\text{Suc } 0..<$
 $\text{Suc } (\text{length } (\text{get-all-levels-of-marked } (\text{trail } ((\text{cut-trail-wrt-clause } C \text{ (trail } T) \text{ } T)))))]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cut-trail-wrt-clause-CNot-trail:*

assumes $\text{trail } T \models_{\text{as}} \text{CNot } C$

shows

$(\text{trail } ((\text{cut-trail-wrt-clause } C \text{ (trail } T) \text{ } T))) \models_{\text{as}} \text{CNot } C$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cut-trail-wrt-clause-hd-trail-in-or-empty-trail:*

$((\forall L \in \#C. -L \notin \text{lits-of } (\text{trail } T)) \wedge \text{trail } (\text{cut-trail-wrt-clause } C \text{ (trail } T) \text{ } T) = [])$
 $\vee (-\text{lit-of } (\text{hd } (\text{trail } (\text{cut-trail-wrt-clause } C \text{ (trail } T) \text{ } T)))) \in \#C$
 $\wedge \text{length } (\text{trail } (\text{cut-trail-wrt-clause } C \text{ (trail } T) \text{ } T)) \geq 1)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

We can fully run *cdcl_W*-s or add a clause. Remark that we use *cdcl_W*-s to avoid an explicit *skip*, *resolve*, and *backtrack* normalisation to get rid of the conflict *C* if possible.

inductive *incremental-cdcl_W* :: '*st* \Rightarrow '*st* \Rightarrow bool **for** *S* **where**

add-conflict:

$\text{trail } S \models_{\text{asm}} \text{init-clss } S \implies \text{distinct-mset } C \implies \text{conflicting } S = \text{None} \implies$
 $\text{trail } S \models_{\text{as}} \text{CNot } C \implies$
 $\text{full } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy}$
 $(\text{update-conflicting } (\text{Some } C) (\text{add-init-clss } C (\text{cut-trail-wrt-clause } C \text{ (trail } S) \text{ } S))) \text{ } T \implies$
 $\text{incremental-cdcl}_W \text{ } S \text{ } T \mid$

add-no-conflict:

$\text{trail } S \models_{\text{asm}} \text{init-clss } S \implies \text{distinct-mset } C \implies \text{conflicting } S = \text{None} \implies$
 $\neg \text{trail } S \models_{\text{as}} \text{CNot } C \implies$
 $\text{full } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy } (\text{add-init-clss } C \text{ } S) \text{ } T \implies$
 $\text{incremental-cdcl}_W \text{ } S \text{ } T$

inductive *add-learned-clss* :: '*st* \Rightarrow '*v* clauses \Rightarrow '*st* \Rightarrow bool **for** *S* :: '*st* **where**

add-learned-clss-nil: $\text{add-learned-clss } S \{ \# \} S \mid$

add-learned-clss-plus:

$\text{add-learned-clss } S \text{ } A \text{ } T \implies \text{add-learned-clss } S (\{ \#x\# \} + A) (\text{add-learned-clss } x \text{ } T)$

declare *add-learned-clss.intros*[intro]

lemma *Ex-add-learned-clss:*

$\exists T. \text{add-learned-clss } S \text{ } A \text{ } T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *add-learned-clss-trail:*

assumes $\text{add-learned-clss } S \text{ } U \text{ } T$ **and** $\text{no-dup } (\text{trail } S)$
shows $\text{trail } T = \text{trail } S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *add-learned-clss-learned-clss*:
assumes *add-learned-clss S U T and no-dup (trail S)*
shows *learned-clss T = U + learned-clss S*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *add-learned-clss-init-clss*:
assumes *add-learned-clss S U T and no-dup (trail S)*
shows *init-clss T = init-clss S*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *add-learned-clss-conflicting*:
assumes *add-learned-clss S U T and no-dup (trail S)*
shows *conflicting T = conflicting S*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *add-learned-clss-backtrack-lvl*:
assumes *add-learned-clss S U T and no-dup (trail S)*
shows *backtrack-lvl T = backtrack-lvl S*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *add-learned-clss-init-state-mempty[dest!]*:
add-learned-clss (init-state N) {#} T \implies T = init-state N
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

For multiset larger than 1 element, there is no way to know in which order the clauses are added.
But contrary to a definition *fold-mset*, there is an element.

lemma *add-learned-clss-init-state-single[dest!]*:
add-learned-clss (init-state N) {#C#} T \implies T = add-learned-clss C (init-state N)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

thm *rtrancp-cdcl_W-stgy-no-smaller-conf-inv cdcl_W-stgy-final-state-conclusive*

lemma *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv-add-new-clause-and-update-cdcl_W-all-struct-inv*:
assumes
inv-T: cdcl_W-all-struct-inv T and
tr-T-N[simp]: trail T \models_{asm} N and
tr-C[simp]: trail T \models_{as} CNot C and
[simp]: distinct-mset C
shows *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv (add-new-clause-and-update C T) (is cdcl_W-all-struct-inv ?T')*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv-add-new-clause-and-update-cdcl_W-stgy-inv*:
assumes
inv-s: cdcl_W-stgy-invariant T and
inv: cdcl_W-all-struct-inv T and
tr-T-N[simp]: trail T \models_{asm} N and
tr-C[simp]: trail T \models_{as} CNot C and
[simp]: distinct-mset C
shows *cdcl_W-stgy-invariant (add-new-clause-and-update C T) (is cdcl_W-stgy-invariant ?T')*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *full-cdcl_W-stgy-inv-normal-form*:
assumes
full: full cdcl_W-stgy S T and
inv-s: cdcl_W-stgy-invariant S and
inv: cdcl_W-all-struct-inv S

shows *conflicting* $T = \text{Some } \{\#\} \wedge \text{unsatisfiable } (\text{set-mset } (\text{init-clss } S))$
 $\vee \text{conflicting } T = \text{None} \wedge \text{trail } T \models_{\text{asm}} \text{init-clss } S \wedge \text{satisfiable } (\text{set-mset } (\text{init-clss } S))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *incremental-cdcl_W-inv*:

assumes
inc: *incremental-cdcl_W* S T **and**
inv: *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv* S **and**
s-inv: *cdcl_W-stgy-invariant* S
shows
cdcl_W-all-struct-inv T **and**
cdcl_W-stgy-invariant T
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancplp-incremental-cdcl_W-inv*:

assumes
inc: *incremental-cdcl_W*** S T **and**
inv: *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv* S **and**
s-inv: *cdcl_W-stgy-invariant* S
shows
cdcl_W-all-struct-inv T **and**
cdcl_W-stgy-invariant T
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *incremental-conclusive-state*:

assumes
inc: *incremental-cdcl_W* S T **and**
inv: *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv* S **and**
s-inv: *cdcl_W-stgy-invariant* S
shows *conflicting* $T = \text{Some } \{\#\} \wedge \text{unsatisfiable } (\text{set-mset } (\text{init-clss } T))$
 $\vee \text{conflicting } T = \text{None} \wedge \text{trail } T \models_{\text{asm}} \text{init-clss } T \wedge \text{satisfiable } (\text{set-mset } (\text{init-clss } T))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *trancplp-incremental-correct*:

assumes
inc: *incremental-cdcl_W⁺⁺* S T **and**
inv: *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv* S **and**
s-inv: *cdcl_W-stgy-invariant* S
shows *conflicting* $T = \text{Some } \{\#\} \wedge \text{unsatisfiable } (\text{set-mset } (\text{init-clss } T))$
 $\vee \text{conflicting } T = \text{None} \wedge \text{trail } T \models_{\text{asm}} \text{init-clss } T \wedge \text{satisfiable } (\text{set-mset } (\text{init-clss } T))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *blocked-induction-with-marked*:

assumes
n-d: *no-dup* $(L \# M)$ **and**
nil: $P []$ **and**
append: $\bigwedge M L M'. P M \implies \text{is-marked } L \implies \forall m \in \text{set } M'. \neg \text{is-marked } m \implies \text{no-dup } (L \# M' @ M) \implies$
 $P (L \# M' @ M)$ **and**
L: *is-marked* L
shows
 $P (L \# M)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *trail-bloc-induction*:

assumes
 $n\text{-}d: \text{no-dup } M$ **and**
 $nil: P \ \square$ **and**
 $append: \bigwedge M L M'. P M \implies \text{is-marked } L \implies \forall m \in \text{set } M'. \neg \text{is-marked } m \implies \text{no-dup } (L \# M' @ M) \implies$
 $P (L \# M' @ M)$ **and**
 $append\text{-}nm: \bigwedge M' M''. P M' \implies M = M'' @ M' \implies \forall m \in \text{set } M''. \neg \text{is-marked } m \implies P M$
shows
 $P M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

inductive $Tcons :: ('v, \text{nat}, 'v \text{ clause}) \text{ ann-literals} \Rightarrow ('v, \text{nat}, 'v \text{ clause}) \text{ ann-literals} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
for $M :: ('v, \text{nat}, 'v \text{ clause}) \text{ ann-literals}$ **where**
 $Tcons M \ \square \mid$
 $Tcons M M' \implies M = M'' @ M' \implies (\forall m \in \text{set } M''. \neg \text{is-marked } m) \implies Tcons M (M'' @ M') \mid$
 $Tcons M M' \implies \text{is-marked } L \implies M = M''' @ L \# M'' @ M' \implies (\forall m \in \text{set } M''. \neg \text{is-marked } m) \implies$
 $Tcons M (L \# M'' @ M')$

lemma $Tcons\text{-}same\text{-}end: Tcons M M' \implies \exists M''. M = M'' @ M'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

end

9 2-Watched-Literal

theory $CDCL\text{-}Two\text{-}Watched\text{-}Literals$
imports $CDCL\text{-}WNOT$
begin

9.1 Datastructure and Access Functions

Only the 2-watched literals have to be verified here: the backtrack level and the trail that appear in the state are not related to the 2-watched algorithm.

datatype $'v \text{ twl-clause} =$
 $TWL\text{-}Clause (\text{watched}: 'v) (\text{unwatched}: 'v)$

abbreviation $raw\text{-}clause :: 'v \text{ clause twl-clause} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clause}$ **where**
 $raw\text{-}clause C \equiv \text{watched } C + \text{unwatched } C$

datatype $('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \text{ twl-state} =$
 $TWL\text{-}State (\text{trail}: 'a \text{ list}) (\text{init-clss}: 'b)$
 $(\text{learned-clss}: 'b) (\text{backtrack-lvl}: 'c)$
 $(\text{conflicting}: 'd \text{ option})$

type-synonym $('v, 'lvl, 'mark) \text{ twl-state-abs} =$
 $((v, 'lvl, 'mark) \text{ ann-literal}, 'v \text{ clause twl-clause multiset}, 'lvl, 'v \text{ clause}) \text{ twl-state}$

abbreviation $raw\text{-}init\text{-}clss$ **where**
 $raw\text{-}init\text{-}clss S \equiv \text{image-mset } raw\text{-}clause (\text{init-clss } S)$

abbreviation $raw\text{-}learned\text{-}clss$ **where**
 $raw\text{-}learned\text{-}clss S \equiv \text{image-mset } raw\text{-}clause (\text{learned-clss } S)$

abbreviation *clauses* **where**

clauses $S \equiv \text{init-clss } S + \text{learned-clss } S$

abbreviation *raw-clauses* **where**

raw-clauses $S \equiv \text{image-mset raw-clause } (\text{clauses } S)$

definition

candidates-propagate $:: ('v, 'lvl, 'mark) \text{ twl-state-abs} \Rightarrow ('v \text{ literal} \times 'v \text{ clause}) \text{ set}$

where

candidates-propagate $S =$

$\{(L, \text{raw-clause } C) \mid L \in C.\}$

$C \in \# \text{ clauses } S \wedge \text{watched } C - \text{mset-set } (\text{uminus } ' \text{ lits-of } (\text{trail } S)) = \{\#L\# \} \wedge$

$\text{undefined-lit } (\text{trail } S) \ L\}$

definition *candidates-conflict* $:: ('v, 'lvl, 'mark) \text{ twl-state-abs} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clause set}$ **where**

candidates-conflict $S =$

$\{\text{raw-clause } C \mid C. C \in \# \text{ clauses } S \wedge \text{watched } C \subseteq \# \text{ mset-set } (\text{uminus } ' \text{ lits-of } (\text{trail } S))\}$

primrec (*nonexhaustive*) *index* $:: 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ **where**

index $(a \# l) \ c = (\text{if } a = c \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } 1 + \text{index } l \ c)$

lemma *index-nth*:

$a \in \text{set } l \implies l! (\text{index } l \ a) = a$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

9.2 Invariants

We need the following property about updates: if there is a literal L with $-L$ in the trail, and L is not watched, then it stays unwatched; i.e., while updating with *rewatch* it does not get swap with a watched literal L' such that $-L'$ is in the trail.

primrec *watched-decided-most-recently* $:: ('v, 'lvl, 'mark) \text{ ann-literal list} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clause twl-clause} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

where

watched-decided-most-recently $M \ (\text{TWL-Clause } W \ UW) \longleftrightarrow$

$(\forall L' \in \# W. \forall L \in \# UW.$

$-L' \in \text{lits-of } M \longrightarrow -L \in \text{lits-of } M \longrightarrow L \notin \# W \longrightarrow$

$\text{index } (\text{map lit-of } M) \ (-L') \leq \text{index } (\text{map lit-of } M) \ (-L))$

Here are the invariant strictly related to the 2-WL data structure.

primrec *wf-tw-cl* $:: ('v, 'lvl, 'mark) \text{ ann-literal list} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clause twl-clause} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

wf-tw-cl $M \ (\text{TWL-Clause } W \ UW) \longleftrightarrow$

$\text{distinct-mset } W \wedge \text{size } W \leq 2 \wedge (\text{size } W < 2 \longrightarrow \text{set-mset } UW \subseteq \text{set-mset } W) \wedge$

$(\forall L \in \# W. -L \in \text{lits-of } M \longrightarrow (\forall L' \in \# UW. L' \notin \# W \longrightarrow -L' \in \text{lits-of } M)) \wedge$

watched-decided-most-recently $M \ (\text{TWL-Clause } W \ UW)$

lemma $-L \in \text{lits-of } M \implies \{i. \text{map lit-of } M!i = -L\} \neq \{\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *size-mset-2*: $\text{size } x1 = 2 \longleftrightarrow (\exists a \ b. x1 = \{\#a, \#b\})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *distinct-mset-size-2*: $\text{distinct-mset } \{\#a, \#b\} \longleftrightarrow a \neq b$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *wf-twl-cls-annotation-indepnedant*:

assumes M : *map lit-of* $M = \text{map lit-of } M'$

shows $\text{wf-twl-cls } M \text{ (TWL-Clause } W \text{ UW)} \longleftrightarrow \text{wf-twl-cls } M' \text{ (TWL-Clause } W \text{ UW)}$

<proof>

lemma *wf-twl-cls-wf-twl-cls-tl*:

assumes wf : $\text{wf-twl-cls } M \text{ } C$ **and** $n\text{-d}$: *no-dup* M

shows $\text{wf-twl-cls } (\text{tl } M) \text{ } C$

<proof>

definition *wf-twl-state* :: $(v, 'wl, 'mark) \text{ twl-state-abs} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

$\text{wf-twl-state } S \longleftrightarrow (\forall C \in \# \text{ clauses } S. \text{wf-twl-cls } (\text{trail } S) \text{ } C) \wedge \text{no-dup } (\text{trail } S)$

lemma *wf-candidates-propagate-sound*:

assumes wf : $\text{wf-twl-state } S$ **and**

cand : $(L, C) \in \text{candidates-propagate } S$

shows $\text{trail } S \models_{\text{as}} C \text{Not } (\text{mset-set } (\text{set-mset } C - \{L\})) \wedge \text{undefined-lit } (\text{trail } S) \text{ } L$

<proof>

lemma *wf-candidates-propagate-complete*:

assumes wf : $\text{wf-twl-state } S$ **and**

$c\text{-mem}$: $C \in \# \text{ raw-clauses } S$ **and**

$l\text{-mem}$: $L \in \# C$ **and**

unsat : $\text{trail } S \models_{\text{as}} C \text{Not } (\text{mset-set } (\text{set-mset } C - \{L\}))$ **and**

undef : $\text{undefined-lit } (\text{trail } S) \text{ } L$

shows $(L, C) \in \text{candidates-propagate } S$

<proof>

lemma *wf-candidates-conflict-sound*:

assumes wf : $\text{wf-twl-state } S$ **and**

cand : $C \in \text{candidates-conflict } S$

shows $\text{trail } S \models_{\text{as}} C \text{Not } C \wedge C \in \# \text{ image-mset raw-clause } (\text{clauses } S)$

<proof>

lemma *wf-candidates-conflict-complete*:

assumes wf : $\text{wf-twl-state } S$ **and**

$c\text{-mem}$: $C \in \# \text{ raw-clauses } S$ **and**

unsat : $\text{trail } S \models_{\text{as}} C \text{Not } C$

shows $C \in \text{candidates-conflict } S$

<proof>

typedef $'v \text{ wf-twl} = \{S :: ('v, \text{nat}, 'v \text{ clause}) \text{ twl-state-abs. } \text{wf-twl-state } S\}$

morphisms *rough-state-of-twl twl-of-rough-state*

<proof>

lemma [*code abstype*]:

$\text{twl-of-rough-state } (\text{rough-state-of-twl } S) = S$

<proof>

lemma $\text{wf-twl-state-rough-state-of-twl[simp]}$: $\text{wf-twl-state } (\text{rough-state-of-twl } S)$

<proof>

abbreviation *candidates-conflict-twl* :: $'v \text{ wf-twl} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ literal multiset set}$ **where**

$\text{candidates-conflict-twl } S \equiv \text{candidates-conflict } (\text{rough-state-of-twl } S)$

abbreviation *candidates-propagate-twl* :: 'v wf-twl \Rightarrow ('v literal \times 'v clause) set **where**
candidates-propagate-twl *S* \equiv *candidates-propagate* (*rough-state-of-twl* *S*)

abbreviation *trail-twl* :: 'a wf-twl \Rightarrow ('a, nat, 'a literal multiset) ann-literal list **where**
trail-twl *S* \equiv *trail* (*rough-state-of-twl* *S*)

abbreviation *clauses-twl* :: 'a wf-twl \Rightarrow 'a literal multiset multiset **where**
clauses-twl *S* \equiv *raw-clauses* (*rough-state-of-twl* *S*)

abbreviation *init-clss-twl* :: 'a wf-twl \Rightarrow 'a literal multiset multiset **where**
init-clss-twl *S* \equiv *raw-init-clss* (*rough-state-of-twl* *S*)

abbreviation *learned-clss-twl* :: 'a wf-twl \Rightarrow 'a literal multiset multiset **where**
learned-clss-twl *S* \equiv *raw-learned-clss* (*rough-state-of-twl* *S*)

abbreviation *backtrack-lvl-twl* **where**
backtrack-lvl-twl *S* \equiv *backtrack-lvl* (*rough-state-of-twl* *S*)

abbreviation *conflicting-twl* **where**
conflicting-twl *S* \equiv *conflicting* (*rough-state-of-twl* *S*)

lemma *wf-candidates-twl-conflict-complete*:

assumes

c-mem: $C \in \#$ *clauses-twl* *S* **and**

unsat: *trail-twl* *S* \models_{as} *CNot* *C*

shows $C \in$ *candidates-conflict-twl* *S*

<proof>

abbreviation *update-backtrack-lvl* **where**

update-backtrack-lvl *k* *S* \equiv

TWL-State (*trail* *S*) (*init-clss* *S*) (*learned-clss* *S*) *k* (*conflicting* *S*)

abbreviation *update-conflicting* **where**

update-conflicting *C* *S* \equiv *TWL-State* (*trail* *S*) (*init-clss* *S*) (*learned-clss* *S*) (*backtrack-lvl* *S*) *C*

9.3 Abstract 2-WL

definition *tl-trail* **where**

tl-trail *S* =

TWL-State (*tl* (*trail* *S*)) (*init-clss* *S*) (*learned-clss* *S*) (*backtrack-lvl* *S*) (*conflicting* *S*)

locale *abstract-twl* =

fixes

watch :: ('v, nat, 'v clause) *twl-state-abs* \Rightarrow 'v clause \Rightarrow 'v clause *twl-clause* **and**

rewatch :: ('v, nat, 'v literal multiset) ann-literal \Rightarrow ('v, nat, 'v clause) *twl-state-abs* \Rightarrow

'v clause *twl-clause* \Rightarrow 'v clause *twl-clause* **and**

linearize :: 'v clauses \Rightarrow 'v clause list **and**

restart-learned :: ('v, nat, 'v clause) *twl-state-abs* \Rightarrow 'v clause *twl-clause* multiset

assumes

clause-watch: *no-dup* (*trail* *S*) \implies *raw-clause* (*watch* *S* *C*) = *C* **and**

wf-watch: *no-dup* (*trail* *S*) \implies *wf-twl-cls* (*trail* *S*) (*watch* *S* *C*) **and**

clause-rewatch: *raw-clause* (*rewatch* *L* *S* *C'*) = *raw-clause* *C'* **and**

wf-rewatch:

no-dup (*trail* *S*) \implies *undefined-lit* (*trail* *S*) (*lit-of* *L*) \implies *wf-twl-cls* (*trail* *S*) *C'* \implies

wf-twl-cls (*L* $\#$ *trail* *S*) (*rewatch* *L* *S* *C'*)

and

$linearize: mset (linearize N) = N$ **and**
 $restart-learned: restart-learned S \subseteq \# \text{ learned-clss } S$

begin

lemma *linearize-mempty*[simp]: $linearize \{\#\} = []$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition
 $cons-trail :: ('v, nat, 'v \text{ clause}) \text{ ann-literal} \Rightarrow ('v, nat, 'v \text{ clause}) \text{ twl-state-abs} \Rightarrow$
 $('v, nat, 'v \text{ clause}) \text{ twl-state-abs}$

where
 $cons-trail L S =$
 $TWL-State (L \# trail S) (image-mset (rewatch L S) (init-clss S))$
 $(image-mset (rewatch L S) (learned-clss S)) (backtrack-lvl S) (conflicting S)$

definition
 $add-init-cls :: 'v \text{ clause} \Rightarrow ('v, nat, 'v \text{ clause}) \text{ twl-state-abs} \Rightarrow$
 $('v, nat, 'v \text{ clause}) \text{ twl-state-abs}$

where
 $add-init-cls C S =$
 $TWL-State (trail S) (\{\#watch S C\# \} + init-clss S) (learned-clss S) (backtrack-lvl S)$
 $(conflicting S)$

definition
 $add-learned-cls :: 'v \text{ clause} \Rightarrow ('v, nat, 'v \text{ clause}) \text{ twl-state-abs} \Rightarrow$
 $('v, nat, 'v \text{ clause}) \text{ twl-state-abs}$

where
 $add-learned-cls C S =$
 $TWL-State (trail S) (init-clss S) (\{\#watch S C\# \} + learned-clss S) (backtrack-lvl S)$
 $(conflicting S)$

definition
 $remove-cls :: 'v \text{ clause} \Rightarrow ('v, nat, 'v \text{ clause}) \text{ twl-state-abs} \Rightarrow$
 $('v, nat, 'v \text{ clause}) \text{ twl-state-abs}$

where
 $remove-cls C S =$
 $TWL-State (trail S) (filter-mset (\lambda D. \text{raw-clause } D \neq C) (init-clss S))$
 $(filter-mset (\lambda D. \text{raw-clause } D \neq C) (learned-clss S)) (backtrack-lvl S)$
 $(conflicting S)$

definition *init-state* :: $'v \text{ clauses} \Rightarrow ('v, nat, 'v \text{ clause}) \text{ twl-state-abs}$ **where**
 $init-state N = fold add-init-cls (linearize N) (TWL-State [] \{\#\} \{\#\} 0 None)$

lemma *unchanged-fold-add-init-cls*:
 $trail (fold add-init-cls Cs (TWL-State M N U k C)) = M$
 $learned-clss (fold add-init-cls Cs (TWL-State M N U k C)) = U$
 $backtrack-lvl (fold add-init-cls Cs (TWL-State M N U k C)) = k$
 $conflicting (fold add-init-cls Cs (TWL-State M N U k C)) = C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *unchanged-init-state*[simp]:
 $trail (init-state N) = []$
 $learned-clss (init-state N) = \{\#\}$
 $backtrack-lvl (init-state N) = 0$
 $conflicting (init-state N) = None$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *clauses-init-fold-add-init*:

no-dup $M \implies$

image-mset *raw-clause* (*init-clss* (*fold add-init-cls* *Cs* (*TWL-State* $M\ N\ U\ k\ C$))) =
mset *Cs* + *image-mset* *raw-clause* N

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *init-clss-init-state[simp]*: *image-mset* *raw-clause* (*init-clss* (*init-state* N)) = N

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *restart'* **where**

restart' $S = \text{TWL-State } [] \ (\text{init-clss } S) \ (\text{restart-learned } S) \ 0 \ \text{None}$

end

9.4 Instantiation of the previous locale

definition *watch-nat* :: (*nat*, *nat*, *nat clause*) *twl-state-abs* \Rightarrow *nat clause* \Rightarrow

nat clause twl-clause **where**

watch-nat $S\ C =$

(*let*

$C' = \text{remdups } (\text{sorted-list-of-set } (\text{set-mset } C));$

negation-not-assigned = *filter* ($\lambda L. -L \notin \text{lits-of } (\text{trail } S)$) C' ;

negation-assigned-sorted-by-trail = *filter* ($\lambda L. L \in \# C$) (*map* ($\lambda L. -\text{lit-of } L$) (*trail* S));

$W = \text{take } 2 \ (\text{negation-not-assigned } @ \ \text{negation-assigned-sorted-by-trail});$

$UW = \text{sorted-list-of-multiset } (C - \text{mset } W)$

in *TWL-Clause* (*mset* W) (*mset* UW))

lemma *list-cases2*:

fixes $l :: 'a \text{ list}$

assumes

$l = [] \implies P$ **and**

$\bigwedge x. l = [x] \implies P$ **and**

$\bigwedge x\ y\ xs. l = x \# y \# xs \implies P$

shows P

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *filter-in-list-prop-verifiedD*:

assumes $[L \leftarrow P \ . \ Q\ L] = l$

shows $\forall x \in \text{set } l. x \in \text{set } P \wedge Q\ x$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *no-dup-filter-diff*:

assumes *n-d*: *no-dup* M **and** H : $[L \leftarrow \text{map } (\lambda L. - \text{lit-of } L) \ M. L \in \# C] = l$

shows *distinct* l

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *watch-nat-lists-disjointD*:

assumes

$l: [L \leftarrow \text{remdups } (\text{sorted-list-of-set } (\text{set-mset } C)) \ . \ -L \notin \text{lits-of } (\text{trail } S)] = l$ **and**

$l': [L \leftarrow \text{map } (\lambda L. - \text{lit-of } L) \ (\text{trail } S) \ . \ L \in \# C] = l'$

shows $\forall x \in \text{set } l. \forall y \in \text{set } l'. x \neq y$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *watch-nat-list-cases-witness*[*consumes* 2, *case-names* *nil-nil nil-single nil-other*

single-nil single-other other]:

fixes

$C :: 'v$ literal multiset **and**

$C' :: 'v$ literal list **and**

$S :: (('v, 'b, 'c)$ ann-literal, 'd, 'e, 'f) twl-state

defines

$xs \equiv [L \leftarrow \text{remdups } C'. - L \notin \text{lits-of } (\text{trail } S)]$ **and**

$ys \equiv [L \leftarrow \text{map } (\lambda L. - \text{lit-of } L) (\text{trail } S) . L \in \# C]$

assumes

$n\text{-d}$: no-dup (trail S) **and**

C' : set $C' = \text{set-mset } C$ **and**

$nil\text{-}nil$: $xs = [] \implies ys = [] \implies P$ **and**

$nil\text{-}single$:

$\bigwedge a. xs = [] \implies ys = [a] \implies a \in \# C \implies P$ **and**

$nil\text{-}other$: $\bigwedge a\ b\ ys'. xs = [] \implies ys = a \# b \# ys' \implies a \neq b \implies P$ **and**

$single\text{-}nil$: $\bigwedge a. xs = [a] \implies ys = [] \implies P$ **and**

$single\text{-}other$: $\bigwedge a\ b\ ys'. xs = [a] \implies ys = b \# ys' \implies a \neq b \implies P$ **and**

$other$: $\bigwedge a\ b\ xs'. xs = a \# b \# xs' \implies a \neq b \implies P$

shows P

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *watch-nat-list-cases* [consumes 1, case-names *nil-nil nil-single nil-other single-nil single-other other*]:

fixes

$C :: 'v::\text{linorder}$ literal multiset **and**

$S :: (('v, 'b, 'c)$ ann-literal, 'd, 'e, 'f) twl-state

defines

$xs \equiv [L \leftarrow \text{remdups } (\text{sorted-list-of-set } (\text{set-mset } C)) . - L \notin \text{lits-of } (\text{trail } S)]$ **and**

$ys \equiv [L \leftarrow \text{map } (\lambda L. - \text{lit-of } L) (\text{trail } S) . L \in \# C]$

assumes

$n\text{-d}$: no-dup (trail S) **and**

$nil\text{-}nil$: $xs = [] \implies ys = [] \implies P$ **and**

$nil\text{-}single$:

$\bigwedge a. xs = [] \implies ys = [a] \implies a \in \# C \implies P$ **and**

$nil\text{-}other$: $\bigwedge a\ b\ ys'. xs = [] \implies ys = a \# b \# ys' \implies a \neq b \implies P$ **and**

$single\text{-}nil$: $\bigwedge a. xs = [a] \implies ys = [] \implies P$ **and**

$single\text{-}other$: $\bigwedge a\ b\ ys'. xs = [a] \implies ys = b \# ys' \implies a \neq b \implies P$ **and**

$other$: $\bigwedge a\ b\ xs'. xs = a \# b \# xs' \implies a \neq b \implies P$

shows P

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *watch-nat-lists-set-union-witness*:

fixes

$C :: 'v$ literal multiset **and**

$C' :: 'v$ literal list **and**

$S :: (('v, 'b, 'c)$ ann-literal, 'd, 'e, 'f) twl-state

defines

$xs \equiv [L \leftarrow \text{remdups } C'. - L \notin \text{lits-of } (\text{trail } S)]$ **and**

$ys \equiv [L \leftarrow \text{map } (\lambda L. - \text{lit-of } L) (\text{trail } S) . L \in \# C]$

assumes $n\text{-d}$: no-dup (trail S) **and** C' : set $C' = \text{set-mset } C$

shows $\text{set-mset } C = \text{set } xs \cup \text{set } ys$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *watch-nat-lists-set-union*:

fixes

$C :: 'v::\text{linorder literal multiset and}$
 $S :: (('v, 'b, 'c) \text{ ann-literal, 'd, 'e, 'f}) \text{ twl-state}$
defines
 $xs \equiv [L \leftarrow \text{remdups } (\text{sorted-list-of-set } (\text{set-mset } C)), - L \notin \text{lits-of } (\text{trail } S)] \text{ and}$
 $ys \equiv [L \leftarrow \text{map } (\lambda L. - \text{lit-of } L) (\text{trail } S) . L \in \# C]$
assumes $n\text{-d: no-dup } (\text{trail } S)$
shows $\text{set-mset } C = \text{set } xs \cup \text{set } ys$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{mset-intersection-inclusion: } A + (B - A) = B \longleftrightarrow A \subseteq \# B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma clause-watch-nat:
assumes $\text{no-dup } (\text{trail } S)$
shows $\text{raw-clause } (\text{watch-nat } S C) = C$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{set-mset-is-single-in-mset-is-single:}$
 $\text{set-mset } C = \{a\} \implies x \in \# C \implies x = a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{index-uminus-index-map-uminus:}$
 $-a \in \text{set } L \implies \text{index } L (-a) = \text{index } (\text{map } \text{uminus } L) (a::'a \text{ literal})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma index-filter:
 $a \in \text{set } L \implies b \in \text{set } L \implies P a \implies P b \implies$
 $\text{index } L a \leq \text{index } L b \longleftrightarrow \text{index } (\text{filter } P L) a \leq \text{index } (\text{filter } P L) b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma wf-watch-witness:
fixes $C :: 'a \text{ literal multiset and } C':: 'a \text{ literal list and}$
 $S :: (('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ ann-literal, 'd, 'e, 'f}) \text{ twl-state}$
defines
 $\text{ass: negation-not-assigned} \equiv \text{filter } (\lambda L. -L \notin \text{lits-of } (\text{trail } S)) (\text{remdups } C')$ **and**
 $\text{tr: negation-assigned-sorted-by-trail} \equiv \text{filter } (\lambda L. L \in \# C) (\text{map } (\lambda L. -\text{lit-of } L) (\text{trail } S))$
defines
 $W: W \equiv \text{take } 2 (\text{negation-not-assigned } @ \text{negation-assigned-sorted-by-trail})$
assumes
 $n\text{-d}[\text{simp}]: \text{no-dup } (\text{trail } S) \text{ and}$
 $C': \text{set } C' = \text{set-mset } C$
shows $\text{wf-tw-cl} (\text{trail } S) (\text{TWL-Clause } (\text{mset } W) (C - \text{mset } W))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{wf-watch-nat: no-dup } (\text{trail } S) \implies \text{wf-tw-cl} (\text{trail } S) (\text{watch-nat } S C)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition
 $\text{rewatch-nat} ::$
 $(\text{nat, nat, nat literal multiset}) \text{ ann-literal} \Rightarrow (\text{nat, nat, nat clause}) \text{ twl-state-abs} \Rightarrow$
 $\text{nat clause twl-clause} \Rightarrow \text{nat clause twl-clause}$
where
 $\text{rewatch-nat } L S C =$
 $(\text{if } - \text{lit-of } L \in \# \text{watched } C \text{ then}$

```

    case filter (λL'. L' ∉ # watched C ∧ - L' ∉ lits-of (L # trail S))
      (sorted-list-of-multiset (unwatched C)) of
    [] ⇒ C
  | L' # - ⇒
    TWL-Clause (watched C - {#- lit-of L#} + {#L'#}) (unwatched C - {#L'#} + {#- lit-of
L#})
  else
    C)

```

lemma *clause-rewatch-witness*:

```

fixes UW :: 'a literal list and
  S :: (('a, 'b, 'c) ann-literal, 'd, 'e, 'f) twl-state and
  L :: ('a, 'b, 'c) ann-literal and C :: 'a literal multiset twl-clause
defines C' ≡ (if - lit-of L ∈ # watched C then
  case filter (λL'. L' ∉ # watched C ∧ - L' ∉ lits-of (L # trail S)) UW of
  [] ⇒ C
  | L' # - ⇒
    TWL-Clause (watched C - {#- lit-of L#} + {#L'#}) (unwatched C - {#L'#} + {#- lit-of
L#})
  else
    C)
assumes
  UW: set UW = set-mset (unwatched C)
shows raw-clause C' = raw-clause C
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *clause-rewatch-nat*: raw-clause (rewatch-nat L S C) = raw-clause C
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *filter-sorted-list-of-multiset-Nil*:

```

[x ← sorted-list-of-multiset M. p x] = [] ⟷ (∀ x ∈ # M. ¬ p x)
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *filter-sorted-list-of-multiset-ConsD*:

```

[x ← sorted-list-of-multiset M. p x] = x # xs ⟹ p x
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *mset-minus-single-eq-mempty*:

```

a - {#b#} = {#} ⟷ a = {#b#} ∨ a = {#}
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *size-mset-le-2-cases*:

```

assumes size W ≤ 2
shows W = {#} ∨ (∃ a. W = {#a#}) ∨ (∃ a b. W = {#a,b#})
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *filter-sorted-list-of-multiset-eqD*:

```

assumes [x ← sorted-list-of-multiset A. p x] = x # xs (is ?comp = -)
shows x ∈ # A
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *clause-rewatch-witness'*:

```

fixes UWC :: 'a literal list and
  S :: (('a, 'b, 'c) ann-literal, 'd, 'e, 'f) twl-state and
  L :: ('a, 'b, 'c) ann-literal and C :: 'a literal multiset twl-clause

```

defines $C' \equiv$ (if $- \text{lit-of } L \in \# \text{ watched } C$ then
 case filter $(\lambda L'. L' \notin \# \text{ watched } C \wedge - L' \notin \text{ lits-of } (L \# \text{ trail } S))$ UWC of
 $\square \Rightarrow C$
 $| L' \# - \Rightarrow$
 $\text{TWL-Clause } (\text{watched } C - \{\# - \text{lit-of } L\} + \{\# L'\})$ (unwatched $C - \{\# L'\} + \{\# - \text{lit-of } L\}$)
 else
 C)
assumes
 $\text{UWC: set } UWC = \text{set-mset } (\text{unwatched } C)$ **and**
 $\text{wf: wf-twl-cls } (\text{trail } S) C$ **and**
 $\text{n-d: no-dup } (\text{trail } S)$ **and**
 $\text{undef: undefined-lit } (\text{trail } S) (\text{lit-of } L)$
shows $\text{wf-twl-cls } (L \# \text{ trail } S) C'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma wf-rewatch-nat' :
assumes
 $\text{wf: wf-twl-cls } (\text{trail } S) C$ **and**
 $\text{n-d: no-dup } (\text{trail } S)$ **and**
 $\text{undef: undefined-lit } (\text{trail } S) (\text{lit-of } L)$
shows $\text{wf-twl-cls } (L \# \text{ trail } S) (\text{rewatch-nat } L S C)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

interpretation twl : *abstract-twl watch-nat rewatch-nat sorted-list-of-multiset learned-clss*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

9.5 Interpretation for $\text{cdcl}_W.\text{cdcl}_W$

context *abstract-twl*
begin

9.5.1 Direct Interpretation

interpretation *rough-cdcl*: state_W *trail raw-init-clss raw-learned-clss backtrack-lvl conflicting*
cons-trail tl-trail add-init-cls add-learned-cls remove-cls update-backtrack-lvl
update-conflicting init-state restart'
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

interpretation *rough-cdcl*: cdcl_W *trail raw-init-clss raw-learned-clss backtrack-lvl conflicting*
cons-trail tl-trail add-init-cls add-learned-cls remove-cls update-backtrack-lvl
update-conflicting init-state restart'
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

9.5.2 Opaque Type with Invariant

declare *rough-cdcl.state-simp*[*simp del*]

definition $\text{cons-trail-twl} :: ('v, \text{nat}, 'v \text{ literal multiset}) \text{ ann-literal} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ wf-twl} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ wf-twl}$
where
 $\text{cons-trail-twl } L S \equiv \text{twl-of-rough-state } (\text{cons-trail } L (\text{rough-state-of-twl } S))$

lemma $\text{wf-twl-state-cons-trail}$:
 $\text{undefined-lit } (\text{trail } S) (\text{lit-of } L) \implies \text{wf-twl-state } S \implies \text{wf-twl-state } (\text{cons-trail } L S)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rough-state-of-twl-cons-trail*:

undefined-lit (*trail-twl* *S*) (*lit-of* *L*) \implies
rough-state-of-twl (*cons-trail-twl* *L* *S*) = *cons-trail* *L* (*rough-state-of-twl* *S*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation *add-init-cls-twl* **where**

add-init-cls-twl *C* *S* \equiv *twl-of-rough-state* (*add-init-cls* *C* (*rough-state-of-twl* *S*))

lemma *wf-twl-add-init-cls*: *wf-twl-state* *S* \implies *wf-twl-state* (*add-init-cls* *L* *S*)

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rough-state-of-twl-add-init-cls*:

rough-state-of-twl (*add-init-cls-twl* *L* *S*) = *add-init-cls* *L* (*rough-state-of-twl* *S*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation *add-learned-cls-twl* **where**

add-learned-cls-twl *C* *S* \equiv *twl-of-rough-state* (*add-learned-cls* *C* (*rough-state-of-twl* *S*))

lemma *wf-twl-add-learned-cls*: *wf-twl-state* *S* \implies *wf-twl-state* (*add-learned-cls* *L* *S*)

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rough-state-of-twl-add-learned-cls*:

rough-state-of-twl (*add-learned-cls-twl* *L* *S*) = *add-learned-cls* *L* (*rough-state-of-twl* *S*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation *remove-cls-twl* **where**

remove-cls-twl *C* *S* \equiv *twl-of-rough-state* (*remove-cls* *C* (*rough-state-of-twl* *S*))

lemma *wf-twl-remove-cls*: *wf-twl-state* *S* \implies *wf-twl-state* (*remove-cls* *L* *S*)

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rough-state-of-twl-remove-cls*:

rough-state-of-twl (*remove-cls-twl* *L* *S*) = *remove-cls* *L* (*rough-state-of-twl* *S*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation *init-state-twl* **where**

init-state-twl *N* \equiv *twl-of-rough-state* (*init-state* *N*)

lemma *wf-twl-state-wf-twl-state-fold-add-init-cls*:

assumes *wf-twl-state* *S*
shows *wf-twl-state* (*fold* *add-init-cls* *N* *S*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wf-twl-state-epsilon-state[simp]*:

wf-twl-state (*TWL-State* [] {#} {#} 0 None)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wf-twl-init-state*: *wf-twl-state* (*init-state* *N*)

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rough-state-of-twl-init-state*:

rough-state-of-twl (*init-state-twl* *N*) = *init-state* *N*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation *tl-trail-twl* **where**

$tl\text{-}trail\text{-}twl\ S \equiv twl\text{-}of\text{-}rough\text{-}state\ (tl\text{-}trail\ (rough\text{-}state\text{-}of\text{-}twl\ S))$

lemma *wf-twl-state-tl-trail*: $wf\text{-}twl\text{-}state\ S \implies wf\text{-}twl\text{-}state\ (tl\text{-}trail\ S)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rough-state-of-twl-tl-trail*:

$rough\text{-}state\text{-}of\text{-}twl\ (tl\text{-}trail\text{-}twl\ S) = tl\text{-}trail\ (rough\text{-}state\text{-}of\text{-}twl\ S)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation *update-backtrack-lvl-twl* **where**

$update\text{-}backtrack\text{-}lvl\text{-}twl\ k\ S \equiv twl\text{-}of\text{-}rough\text{-}state\ (update\text{-}backtrack\text{-}lvl\ k\ (rough\text{-}state\text{-}of\text{-}twl\ S))$

lemma *wf-twl-state-update-backtrack-lvl*:

$wf\text{-}twl\text{-}state\ S \implies wf\text{-}twl\text{-}state\ (update\text{-}backtrack\text{-}lvl\ k\ S)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rough-state-of-twl-update-backtrack-lvl*:

$rough\text{-}state\text{-}of\text{-}twl\ (update\text{-}backtrack\text{-}lvl\text{-}twl\ k\ S) = update\text{-}backtrack\text{-}lvl\ k\ (rough\text{-}state\text{-}of\text{-}twl\ S)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation *update-conflicting-twl* **where**

$update\text{-}conflicting\text{-}twl\ k\ S \equiv twl\text{-}of\text{-}rough\text{-}state\ (update\text{-}conflicting\ k\ (rough\text{-}state\text{-}of\text{-}twl\ S))$

lemma *wf-twl-state-update-conflicting*:

$wf\text{-}twl\text{-}state\ S \implies wf\text{-}twl\text{-}state\ (update\text{-}conflicting\ k\ S)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rough-state-of-twl-update-conflicting*:

$rough\text{-}state\text{-}of\text{-}twl\ (update\text{-}conflicting\text{-}twl\ k\ S) = update\text{-}conflicting\ k\ (rough\text{-}state\text{-}of\text{-}twl\ S)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation *raw-clauses-twl* **where**

$raw\text{-}clauses\text{-}twl\ S \equiv raw\text{-}clauses\ (rough\text{-}state\text{-}of\text{-}twl\ S)$

abbreviation *restart-twl* **where**

$restart\text{-}twl\ S \equiv twl\text{-}of\text{-}rough\text{-}state\ (restart'\ (rough\text{-}state\text{-}of\text{-}twl\ S))$

lemma *wf-wf-restart'*: $wf\text{-}twl\text{-}state\ S \implies wf\text{-}twl\text{-}state\ (restart'\ S)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rough-state-of-twl-restart-twl*:

$rough\text{-}state\text{-}of\text{-}twl\ (restart\text{-}twl\ S) = restart'\ (rough\text{-}state\text{-}of\text{-}twl\ S)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

interpretation *cdcl_W-twl-NOT*: *dpll-state*

$\lambda S. convert\text{-}trail\text{-}from\text{-}W\ (trail\text{-}twl\ S)$

raw-clauses-twl

$\lambda L\ S. cons\text{-}trail\text{-}twl\ (convert\text{-}ann\text{-}literal\text{-}from\text{-}NOT\ L)\ S$

$\lambda S. tl\text{-}trail\text{-}twl\ S$

$\lambda C\ S. add\text{-}learned\text{-}cls\text{-}twl\ C\ S$

$\lambda C S. \text{remove-cls-twl } C S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

interpretation $cdcl_W\text{-twl}: \text{state}_W$

trail-twl
 init-clss-twl
 learned-clss-twl
 backtrack-lvl-twl
 conflicting-twl
 cons-trail-twl
 tl-trail-twl
 add-init-cls-twl
 $\text{add-learned-cls-twl}$
 remove-cls-twl
 $\text{update-backtrack-lvl-twl}$
 $\text{update-conflicting-twl}$
 init-state-twl
 restart-twl
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

interpretation $cdcl_W\text{-twl}: cdcl_W$

trail-twl
 init-clss-twl
 learned-clss-twl
 backtrack-lvl-twl
 conflicting-twl
 cons-trail-twl
 tl-trail-twl
 add-init-cls-twl
 $\text{add-learned-cls-twl}$
 remove-cls-twl
 $\text{update-backtrack-lvl-twl}$
 $\text{update-conflicting-twl}$
 init-state-twl
 restart-twl
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

sublocale $cdcl_W$

trail-twl
 init-clss-twl
 learned-clss-twl
 backtrack-lvl-twl
 conflicting-twl
 cons-trail-twl
 tl-trail-twl
 add-init-cls-twl
 $\text{add-learned-cls-twl}$
 remove-cls-twl
 $\text{update-backtrack-lvl-twl}$
 $\text{update-conflicting-twl}$
 init-state-twl
 restart-twl
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

abbreviation state-eq-twl (infix $\sim_{TWL} 51$) **where**

state-eq-tw $S S' \equiv \text{rough-cdcl.state-eq } (\text{rough-state-of-tw } S) (\text{rough-state-of-tw } S')$

notation *cdcl_W-twl.state-eq* (**infix** ~ 51)

declare *cdcl_W-twl.state-simp*[*simp del*]
cdcl_W-twl-NOT.state-simp_{NOT}[*simp del*]

To avoid ambiguities:

no-notation *state-eq-tw* (**infix** ~ 51)

definition *propagate-tw* **where**

propagate-tw $S S' \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists L C. (L, C) \in \text{candidates-propagate-tw } S$
 $\wedge S' \sim \text{cons-trail-tw } (\text{Propagated } L C) S$
 $\wedge \text{conflicting-tw } S = \text{None})$

lemma *propagate-tw-iff-propagate*:

assumes *inv*: *cdcl_W-twl.cdcl_W-all-struct-inv* S

shows *cdcl_W-twl.propagate* $S T \longleftrightarrow \text{propagate-tw } S T$ (**is** $?P \longleftrightarrow ?T$)

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

no-notation *CDCL-Two-Watched-Literals.twl.state-eq-tw* (**infix** $\sim \text{TWL } 51$)

definition *conflict-tw* **where**

conflict-tw $S S' \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists C. C \in \text{candidates-conflict-tw } S$
 $\wedge S' \sim \text{update-conflicting-tw } (\text{Some } C) S$
 $\wedge \text{conflicting-tw } S = \text{None})$

lemma *conflict-tw-iff-conflict*:

shows *cdcl_W-twl.conflict* $S T \longleftrightarrow \text{conflict-tw } S T$ (**is** $?C \longleftrightarrow ?T$)

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

inductive *cdcl_W-twl* $:: 'v \text{ wf-tw} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ wf-tw} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **for** $S :: 'v \text{ wf-tw}$ **where**

propagate: *propagate-tw* $S S' \Longrightarrow \text{cdcl}_W\text{-twl } S S' \mid$

conflict: *conflict-tw* $S S' \Longrightarrow \text{cdcl}_W\text{-twl } S S' \mid$

other: *cdcl_W-twl.cdcl_W-o* $S S' \Longrightarrow \text{cdcl}_W\text{-twl } S S' \mid$

rf: *cdcl_W-twl.cdcl_W-rf* $S S' \Longrightarrow \text{cdcl}_W\text{-twl } S S'$

lemma *cdcl_W-twl-iff-cdcl_W*:

assumes *cdcl_W-twl.cdcl_W-all-struct-inv* S

shows *cdcl_W-twl* $S T \longleftrightarrow \text{cdcl}_W\text{-twl.cdcl}_W S T$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancp-cdcl_W-twl-all-struct-inv-inv*:

assumes *cdcl_W-twl*** $S T$ **and** *cdcl_W-twl.cdcl_W-all-struct-inv* S

shows *cdcl_W-twl.cdcl_W-all-struct-inv* T

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancp-cdcl_W-twl-iff-rtrancp-cdcl_W*:

assumes *cdcl_W-twl.cdcl_W-all-struct-inv* S

shows *cdcl_W-twl*** $S T \longleftrightarrow \text{cdcl}_W\text{-twl.cdcl}_W^{**} S T$ (**is** $?T \longleftrightarrow ?W$)

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

interpretation *cdcl_{NOT}-twl*: *backjumping-ops*

$\lambda S. \text{convert-trail-from-}W (\text{trail-tw } S)$

abstract-tw.*raw-clauses-tw*

$\lambda L (S :: 'v \text{ wf-tw}).$

cons-trail-tw

(convert-ann-literal-from-NOT L) (S:: 'v wf-twl)
 tl-trail-twl
 add-learned-cls-twl
 remove-cls-twl
 $\lambda C \text{ -- } (S:: 'v wf-twl) \text{ -- } C \in \text{candidates-conflict-twl } S$
 <proof>

lemma *reduce-trail-to_{NOT}-skip-beginning-twl*:

assumes *trail-twl* $S = \text{convert-trail-from-NOT } (F' @ F)$
shows *trail-twl* $(\text{cdcl}_W\text{-twl.reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} F S) = \text{convert-trail-from-NOT } F$
 <proof>

lemma *reduce-trail-to_{NOT}-trail-tl-trail-twl-decomp[simp]*:

trail-twl $S = \text{convert-trail-from-NOT } (F' @ \text{Marked } K () \# F) \implies$
trail-twl $(\text{cdcl}_W\text{-twl.reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} F (\text{tl-trail-twl } S)) = \text{convert-trail-from-NOT } F$
 <proof>

lemma *trail-twl-reduce-trail-to_{NOT}-drop*:

trail-twl $(\text{cdcl}_W\text{-twl.reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} F S) =$
 (if length (trail-twl S) \geq length F
 then drop (length (trail-twl S) - length F) (trail-twl S)
 else [])
 <proof>

interpretation *cdcl_{NOT}-twl: dpll-with-backjumping-ops*

$\lambda S. \text{convert-trail-from-}W (\text{trail-twl } S)$
abstract-twl.raw-clauses-twl
 $\lambda L S.$
cons-trail-twl
 (convert-ann-literal-from-NOT L) S
tl-trail-twl
add-learned-cls-twl
remove-cls-twl
 $\lambda L S. \text{lit-of } L \in \text{fst 'candidates-propagate-twl } S$
 $\lambda S. \text{no-dup } (\text{trail-twl } S)$
 $\lambda C \text{ -- } S \text{ -- } C \in \text{candidates-conflict-twl } S$
 <proof>

interpretation *cdcl_{NOT}-twl: dpll-with-backjumping*

$\lambda S. \text{convert-trail-from-}W (\text{trail-twl } S)$
abstract-twl.raw-clauses-twl
 $\lambda L (S:: 'v wf-twl).$
cons-trail-twl
 (convert-ann-literal-from-NOT L) (S:: 'v wf-twl)
tl-trail-twl
add-learned-cls-twl
remove-cls-twl
 $\lambda L S. \text{lit-of } L \in \text{fst 'candidates-propagate-twl } S$
 $\lambda S. \text{no-dup } (\text{trail-twl } S)$
 $\lambda C \text{ -- } (S:: 'v wf-twl) \text{ -- } C \in \text{candidates-conflict-twl } S$
 <proof>

end

end

10 Implementation for 2 Watched-Literals

theory *CDCL-Two-Watched-Literals-Implementation*

imports *CDCL-Two-Watched-Literals DPLL-CDCL-W-Implementation*

begin

type-synonym *'v conc-twl-state* =

((('v, nat, 'v literal list) ann-literal, 'v literal list twl-clause list, nat, 'v literal list)
twl-state

fun *convert* :: *('a, 'b, 'c list) ann-literal* \Rightarrow *('a, 'b, 'c multiset) ann-literal* **where**

convert (*Propagated L C*) = *Propagated L (mset C)* |

convert (*Marked K i*) = *Marked K i*

abbreviation *convert-tr* :: *('a, 'b, 'c list) ann-literals* \Rightarrow *('a, 'b, 'c multiset) ann-literals*

where

convert-tr \equiv *map convert*

abbreviation *convertC* :: *'a literal list option* \Rightarrow *'a clause option* **where**

convertC \equiv *map-option mset*

fun *raw-clause-l* :: *'v list twl-clause* \Rightarrow *'v multiset twl-clause* **where**

raw-clause-l (*TWL-Clause UW W*) = *TWL-Clause (mset W) (mset UW)*

abbreviation *convert-clss* :: *'v literal list twl-clause list* \Rightarrow *'v clause twl-clause multiset*

where

convert-clss S \equiv *mset (map raw-clause-l S)*

fun *raw-state-of-conc* :: *'v conc-twl-state* \Rightarrow *('v, nat, 'v clause) twl-state-abs* **where**

raw-state-of-conc (*TWL-State M N U k C*) =

TWL-State (convert-tr M) (convert-clss N) (convert-clss U) k (map-option mset C)

lemma

raw-state-of-conc (tl-trail S) = tl-trail (raw-state-of-conc S)

<proof>

typedef *'v conv-twl-state* = *{S :: 'v conc-twl-state. wf-twl-state (raw-state-of-conc S)}*

morphisms *list-twl-state-of cls-twl-state*

<proof>

term *list-twl-state-of*

definition *watch-list* :: *'v conv-twl-state* \Rightarrow *'v literal list* \Rightarrow *'v literal list twl-clause* **where**

watch-list S' C =

(let

M = trail (list-twl-state-of S');

C' = remdups C;

negation-not-assigned = filter ($\lambda L. -L \notin \text{ lits-of } M$) C';

negation-assigned-sorted-by-trail = filter ($\lambda L. L \in \text{ set } C$) (map ($\lambda L. -\text{ lit-of } L$) M);

W = take 2 (negation-not-assigned @ negation-assigned-sorted-by-trail);

UW = foldl ($\lambda a l. \text{ remove1 } l a$) C W

in TWL-Clause W UW)

lemma *wf-watch-nat: no-dup (trail (list-twl-state-of S)) \implies*

wf-twl-cls (trail (list-twl-state-of S)) (raw-clause-l (watch-list S C))

<proof>

end