

Formalisation of Ground Resolution and CDCL in Isabelle/HOL

Mathias Fleury and Jasmin Blanchette

April 20, 2016

Contents

0.1	Partial Clausal Logic	4
0.1.1	Decided Literals	4
0.1.2	Backtracking	8
0.1.3	Decomposition with respect to the First Decided Literals	9
0.1.4	Negation of Clauses	12
0.1.5	Other	15
0.1.6	Extending Entailments to multisets	15
1	NOT's CDCL and DPLL	17
1.1	Measure	17
1.2	NOT's CDCL	19
1.2.1	Auxiliary Lemmas and Measure	19
1.2.2	Initial definitions	19
1.2.3	DPLL with backjumping	24
1.2.4	CDCL	30
1.2.5	CDCL with restarts	41
1.2.6	Merging backjump and learning	46
1.2.7	Instantiations	50
1.3	DPLL as an instance of NOT	56
1.3.1	DPLL with simple backtrack	56
1.3.2	Adding restarts	58
1.4	Weidenbach's DPLL	59
1.4.1	Rules	59
1.4.2	Invariants	59
1.4.3	Termination	62
1.4.4	Final States	62
1.4.5	Link with NOT's DPLL	63
2	Weidenbach's CDCL	67
2.1	Weidenbach's CDCL with Multisets	67
2.1.1	The State	67
2.1.2	CDCL Rules	74
2.1.3	Structural Invariants	80
2.1.4	CDCL Strong Completeness	90
2.1.5	Higher level strategy	91
2.1.6	Termination	104

3	Implementation of DPLL and CDCL	111
3.1	Simple List-Based Implementation of the DPLL and CDCL	111
3.1.1	Common Rules	111
3.1.2	CDCL specific functions	113
3.1.3	List-based CDCL Implementation	114
3.1.4	CDCL Implementation	116
3.2	Merging backjump rules	128
3.2.1	Inclusion of the states	128
3.2.2	More lemmas conflict-propagate and backjumping	129
3.2.3	CDCL with Merging	131
3.2.4	CDCL with Merge and Strategy	133
3.3	Link between Weidenbach's and NOT's CDCL	140
3.3.1	Inclusion of the states	140
3.3.2	Additional Lemmas between NOT and W states	143
3.3.3	Inclusion of Weidenbach's CDCL in NOT's CDCL	144
3.3.4	Correctness of <i>cdcl_W-merge-stgy</i>	144
3.4	Incremental SAT solving	145
3.4.1	Adding Restarts	150
3.4.2	Simple Implementation of DPLL	154
3.4.3	Abstract Clause Representation	158
3.5	Weidenbach's CDCL with Abstract Clause Representation	160
3.5.1	Instantiation of the Multiset Version	160
3.5.2	Abstract Relation and Relation Theorems	162
3.5.3	The State	165
3.5.4	CDCL Rules	173
3.5.5	Higher level strategy	179
3.6	2-Watched-Literal	181
3.6.1	Essence of 2-WL	181
3.6.2	Two Watched-Literals with invariant	191

0.1 Partial Clausal Logic

We here define decided literals (that will be used in both DPLL and CDCL) and the entailment corresponding to it.

theory *Partial-Annotated-Clausal-Logic*

imports *Partial-Clausal-Logic*

begin

0.1.1 Decided Literals

Definition

datatype ('v, 'mark) *ann-lit* =
is-decided: *Decided* (*lit-of*: 'v *literal*) |
is-proped: *Propagated* (*lit-of*: 'v *literal*) (*mark-of*: 'mark)

lemma *ann-lit-list-induct*[*case-names Nil Decided Propagated*]:

assumes $P \square$ **and**

$\bigwedge L \ xs. P \ xs \implies P \ (\text{Decided } L \ \# \ xs)$ **and**

$\bigwedge L \ m \ xs. P \ xs \implies P \ (\text{Propagated } L \ m \ \# \ xs)$

shows $P \text{ } xs$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *is-decided-ex-Decided*:

$is-decided \ L \implies (\bigwedge K. \ L = Decided \ K \implies P) \implies P$

$\langle proof \rangle$

type-synonym $('v, 'm) \text{ ann-lits} = ('v, 'm) \text{ ann-lit list}$

definition *lits-of* $:: ('a, 'b) \text{ ann-lit set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ literal set}$ **where**

$lits-of \ Ls = lit-of \ ' \ Ls$

abbreviation *lits-of-l* $:: ('a, 'b) \text{ ann-lits} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ literal set}$ **where**

$lits-of-l \ Ls \equiv lits-of \ (set \ Ls)$

lemma *lits-of-l-empty[simp]*:

$lits-of \ \{\} = \{\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lits-of-insert[simp]*:

$lits-of \ (insert \ L \ Ls) = insert \ (lit-of \ L) \ (lits-of \ Ls)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lits-of-l-Un[simp]*:

$lits-of \ (l \cup l') = lits-of \ l \cup lits-of \ l'$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *finite-lits-of-def[simp]*:

$finite \ (lits-of-l \ L)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation *unmark* **where**

$unmark \equiv (\lambda a. \ \{\#lit-of \ a\# \})$

abbreviation *unmark-s* **where**

$unmark-s \ M \equiv unmark \ ' \ M$

abbreviation *unmark-l* **where**

$unmark-l \ M \equiv unmark-s \ (set \ M)$

lemma *atms-of-ms-lambda-lit-of-is-atm-of-lit-of[simp]*:

$atms-of-ms \ (unmark-l \ M') = atm-of \ ' \ lits-of-l \ M'$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lits-of-l-empty-is-empty[iff]*:

$lits-of-l \ M = \{\} \longleftrightarrow M = []$

$\langle proof \rangle$

Entailment

definition *true-annot* $:: ('a, 'm) \text{ ann-lits} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ clause} \Rightarrow bool$ (**infix** \models_a 49) **where**

$I \models_a C \longleftrightarrow (lits-of-l \ I) \models C$

definition *true-annots* $:: ('a, 'm) \text{ ann-lits} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ clauses} \Rightarrow bool$ (**infix** \models_{as} 49) **where**

$I \models_{as} CC \longleftrightarrow (\forall C \in CC. \ I \models_a C)$

lemma *true-annot-empty-model*[simp]:

$\neg[] \models_a \psi$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *true-annot-empty*[simp]:

$\neg I \models_a \{\#\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *empty-true-annots-def*[iff]:

$[] \models_{as} \psi \longleftrightarrow \psi = \{\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *true-annots-empty*[simp]:

$I \models_{as} \{\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *true-annots-single-true-annot*[iff]:

$I \models_{as} \{C\} \longleftrightarrow I \models_a C$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *true-annot-insert-l*[simp]:

$M \models_a A \implies L \# M \models_a A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *true-annots-insert-l* [simp]:

$M \models_{as} A \implies L \# M \models_{as} A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *true-annots-union*[iff]:

$M \models_{as} A \cup B \longleftrightarrow (M \models_{as} A \wedge M \models_{as} B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *true-annots-insert*[iff]:

$M \models_{as} \text{insert } a \ A \longleftrightarrow (M \models_a a \wedge M \models_{as} A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Link between \models_{as} and \models_s :

lemma *true-annots-true-cls*:

$I \models_{as} CC \longleftrightarrow \text{lits-of-l } I \models_s CC$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *in-lit-of-true-annot*:

$a \in \text{lits-of-l } M \longleftrightarrow M \models_a \{\#a\#\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *true-annot-lit-of-notin-skip*:

$L \# M \models_a A \implies \text{lit-of } L \notin \# A \implies M \models_a A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *true-clss-singleton-lit-of-implies-incl*:

$I \models_s \text{unmark-l } MLs \implies \text{lits-of-l } MLs \subseteq I$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *true-annot-true-clss-cls*:

$MLs \models_a \psi \implies \text{set } (\text{map unmark } MLs) \models_p \psi$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *true-annots-true-clss-clss*:

$MLs \models_{as} \psi \implies \text{set } (\text{map } \text{unmark } MLs) \models_{ps} \psi$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *true-annots-decided-true-clss[iff]*:

$\text{map } \text{Decided } M \models_{as} N \iff \text{set } M \models_s N$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *true-annot-singleton[iff]*: $M \models_a \{\#L\# \} \iff L \in \text{lits-of-l } M$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *true-annots-true-clss-clss*:

$A \models_{as} \Psi \implies \text{unmark-l } A \models_{ps} \Psi$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *true-annot-commute*:

$M @ M' \models_a D \iff M' @ M \models_a D$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *true-annots-commute*:

$M @ M' \models_{as} D \iff M' @ M \models_{as} D$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *true-annot-mono[dest]*:

$\text{set } I \subseteq \text{set } I' \implies I \models_a N \implies I' \models_a N$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *true-annots-mono*:

$\text{set } I \subseteq \text{set } I' \implies I \models_{as} N \implies I' \models_{as} N$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Defined and undefined literals

We introduce the functions *defined-lit* and *undefined-lit* to know whether a literal is defined with respect to a list of decided literals (aka a trail in most cases).

Remark that *undefined* already exists and is a completely different Isabelle function.

definition *defined-lit* :: $('a, 'm) \text{ ann-lits} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ literal} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

where

$\text{defined-lit } I L \iff (\text{Decided } L \in \text{set } I) \vee (\exists P. \text{Propagated } L P \in \text{set } I)$
 $\vee (\text{Decided } (-L) \in \text{set } I) \vee (\exists P. \text{Propagated } (-L) P \in \text{set } I)$

abbreviation *undefined-lit* :: $('a, 'm) \text{ ann-lits} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ literal} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

where $\text{undefined-lit } I L \equiv \neg \text{defined-lit } I L$

lemma *defined-lit-rev[simp]*:

$\text{defined-lit } (\text{rev } M) L \iff \text{defined-lit } M L$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *atm-imp-decided-or-proped*:

assumes $x \in \text{set } I$

shows

$(\text{Decided } (- \text{lit-of } x) \in \text{set } I)$
 $\vee (\text{Decided } (\text{lit-of } x) \in \text{set } I)$

$\vee (\exists l. \text{Propagated } (\neg \text{lit-of } x) \ l \in \text{set } I)$
 $\vee (\exists l. \text{Propagated } (\text{lit-of } x) \ l \in \text{set } I)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *literal-is-lit-of-decided*:

assumes $L = \text{lit-of } x$
shows $(x = \text{Decided } L) \vee (\exists l'. x = \text{Propagated } L \ l')$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *true-annot-iff-decided-or-true-lit*:

$\text{defined-lit } I \ L \longleftrightarrow (\text{lits-of-l } I \models L \vee \text{lits-of-l } I \models \neg L)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *consistent-inter-true-annot-satisfiable*:

$\text{consistent-interp } (\text{lits-of-l } I) \implies I \models_{\text{as}} N \implies \text{satisfiable } N$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *defined-lit-map*:

$\text{defined-lit } Ls \ L \longleftrightarrow \text{atm-of } L \in (\lambda l. \text{atm-of } (\text{lit-of } l)) \text{ 'set } Ls$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *defined-lit-uminus[iff]*:

$\text{defined-lit } I \ (\neg L) \longleftrightarrow \text{defined-lit } I \ L$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Decided-Propagated-in-iff-in-lits-of-l*:

$\text{defined-lit } I \ L \longleftrightarrow (L \in \text{lits-of-l } I \vee \neg L \in \text{lits-of-l } I)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *consistent-add-undefined-lit-consistent[simp]*:

assumes
 $\text{consistent-interp } (\text{lits-of-l } Ls)$ **and**
 $\text{undefined-lit } Ls \ L$
shows $\text{consistent-interp } (\text{insert } L \ (\text{lits-of-l } Ls))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *decided-empty[simp]*:

$\neg \text{defined-lit } [] \ L$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

0.1.2 Backtracking

fun *backtrack-split* :: $('v, 'm) \text{ ann-lits}$

$\Rightarrow ('v, 'm) \text{ ann-lits} \times ('v, 'm) \text{ ann-lits}$ **where**

backtrack-split $[] = ([], [])$ |

backtrack-split $(\text{Propagated } L \ P \ \# \ \text{mlits}) = \text{apfst } ((\text{op } \#) (\text{Propagated } L \ P)) (\text{backtrack-split } \text{mlits})$ |

backtrack-split $(\text{Decided } L \ \# \ \text{mlits}) = ([], \text{Decided } L \ \# \ \text{mlits})$

lemma *backtrack-split-fst-not-decided*: $a \in \text{set } (\text{fst } (\text{backtrack-split } l)) \implies \neg \text{is-decided } a$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *backtrack-split-snd-hd-decided*:

$\text{snd } (\text{backtrack-split } l) \neq [] \implies \text{is-decided } (\text{hd } (\text{snd } (\text{backtrack-split } l)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *backtrack-split-list-eq[simp]*:

$fst (backtrack-split\ l) @ (snd (backtrack-split\ l)) = l$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *backtrack-snd-empty-not-decided*:

$backtrack-split\ M = (M'', []) \implies \forall l \in set\ M. \neg is-decided\ l$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *backtrack-split-some-is-decided-then-snd-has-hd*:

$\exists l \in set\ M. is-decided\ l \implies \exists M' L' M''. backtrack-split\ M = (M'', L' \# M')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Another characterisation of the result of *backtrack-split*. This view allows some simpler proofs, since *takeWhile* and *dropWhile* are highly automated:

lemma *backtrack-split-takeWhile-dropWhile*:

$backtrack-split\ M = (takeWhile\ (Not\ o\ is-decided)\ M, dropWhile\ (Not\ o\ is-decided)\ M)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

0.1.3 Decomposition with respect to the First Decided Literals

In this section we define a function that returns a decomposition with the first decided literal. This function is useful to define the backtracking of DPLL.

Definition

The pattern *get-all-ann-decomposition* $[] = [([], [])]$ is necessary otherwise, we can call the *hd* function in the other pattern.

fun *get-all-ann-decomposition* :: ('a, 'm) ann-lits
 $\Rightarrow ((('a, 'm) ann-lits \times ('a, 'm) ann-lits) list\ \mathbf{where})$
get-all-ann-decomposition (Decided $L \# Ls$) =
 (Decided $L \# Ls, []$) # *get-all-ann-decomposition* Ls |
get-all-ann-decomposition (Propagated $L P \# Ls$) =
 (apsnd ((op #) (Propagated $L P$)) (hd (get-all-ann-decomposition Ls)))
 # tl (get-all-ann-decomposition Ls) |
get-all-ann-decomposition $[] = [([], [])]$

value *get-all-ann-decomposition* [Propagated $A5\ B5$, Decided $C4$, Propagated $A3\ B3$,
 Propagated $A2\ B2$, Decided $C1$, Propagated $A0\ B0$]

Now we can prove several simple properties about the function.

lemma *get-all-ann-decomposition-never-empty[iff]*:

$get-all-ann-decomposition\ M = [] \longleftrightarrow False$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *get-all-ann-decomposition-never-empty-sym[iff]*:

$[] = get-all-ann-decomposition\ M \longleftrightarrow False$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *get-all-ann-decomposition-decomp*:

$hd\ (get-all-ann-decomposition\ S) = (a, c) \implies S = c @ a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *get-all-ann-decomposition-backtrack-split*:

$backtrack-split\ S = (M, M') \longleftrightarrow hd\ (get-all-ann-decomposition\ S) = (M', M)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *get-all-ann-decomposition-Nil-backtrack-split-snd-Nil:*
 $get_all_ann_decomposition\ S = [([], A)] \implies snd\ (backtrack_split\ S) = []$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

This functions says that the first element is either empty or starts with a decided element of the list.

lemma *get-all-ann-decomposition-length-1-fst-empty-or-length-1:*
assumes $get_all_ann_decomposition\ M = (a, b) \# []$
shows $a = [] \vee (length\ a = 1 \wedge is_decided\ (hd\ a) \wedge hd\ a \in set\ M)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *get-all-ann-decomposition-fst-empty-or-hd-in-M:*
assumes $get_all_ann_decomposition\ M = (a, b) \# l$
shows $a = [] \vee (is_decided\ (hd\ a) \wedge hd\ a \in set\ M)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *get-all-ann-decomposition-snd-not-decided:*
assumes $(a, b) \in set\ (get_all_ann_decomposition\ M)$
and $L \in set\ b$
shows $\neg is_decided\ L$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *tl-get-all-ann-decomposition-skip-some:*
assumes $x \in set\ (tl\ (get_all_ann_decomposition\ M1))$
shows $x \in set\ (tl\ (get_all_ann_decomposition\ (M0\ @\ M1)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *hd-get-all-ann-decomposition-skip-some:*
assumes $(x, y) = hd\ (get_all_ann_decomposition\ M1)$
shows $(x, y) \in set\ (get_all_ann_decomposition\ (M0\ @\ Decided\ K\ \# M1))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *in-get-all-ann-decomposition-in-get-all-ann-decomposition-prepend:*
 $(a, b) \in set\ (get_all_ann_decomposition\ M') \implies$
 $\exists b'. (a, b' @ b) \in set\ (get_all_ann_decomposition\ (M @ M'))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *in-get-all-ann-decomposition-decided-or-empty:*
assumes $(a, b) \in set\ (get_all_ann_decomposition\ M)$
shows $a = [] \vee (is_decided\ (hd\ a))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *get-all-ann-decomposition-remove-undecided-length:*
assumes $\forall l \in set\ M'. \neg is_decided\ l$
shows $length\ (get_all_ann_decomposition\ (M' @ M'')) = length\ (get_all_ann_decomposition\ M'')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *get-all-ann-decomposition-not-is-decided-length:*
assumes $\forall l \in set\ M'. \neg is_decided\ l$
shows $1 + length\ (get_all_ann_decomposition\ (Propagated\ (-L)\ P\ \# M))$
 $= length\ (get_all_ann_decomposition\ (M' @ Decided\ L\ \# M))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *get-all-ann-decomposition-last-choice:*
assumes $tl\ (get_all_ann_decomposition\ (M' @ Decided\ L\ \# M)) \neq []$

and $\forall l \in \text{set } M'. \neg \text{is-decided } l$
and $\text{hd } (\text{tl } (\text{get-all-ann-decomposition } (M' @ \text{Decided } L \# M))) = (M0', M0)$
shows $\text{hd } (\text{get-all-ann-decomposition } (\text{Propagated } (-L) P \# M)) = (M0', \text{Propagated } (-L) P \# M0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *get-all-ann-decomposition-except-last-choice-equal*:
assumes $\forall l \in \text{set } M'. \neg \text{is-decided } l$
shows $\text{tl } (\text{get-all-ann-decomposition } (\text{Propagated } (-L) P \# M))$
 $= \text{tl } (\text{tl } (\text{get-all-ann-decomposition } (M' @ \text{Decided } L \# M)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *get-all-ann-decomposition-hd-hd*:
assumes $\text{get-all-ann-decomposition } Ls = (M, C) \# (M0, M0') \# l$
shows $\text{tl } M = M0' @ M0 \wedge \text{is-decided } (\text{hd } M)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *get-all-ann-decomposition-exists-prepend[dest]*:
assumes $(a, b) \in \text{set } (\text{get-all-ann-decomposition } M)$
shows $\exists c. M = c @ b @ a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *get-all-ann-decomposition-incl*:
assumes $(a, b) \in \text{set } (\text{get-all-ann-decomposition } M)$
shows $\text{set } b \subseteq \text{set } M$ **and** $\text{set } a \subseteq \text{set } M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *get-all-ann-decomposition-exists-prepend'*:
assumes $(a, b) \in \text{set } (\text{get-all-ann-decomposition } M)$
obtains c **where** $M = c @ b @ a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *union-in-get-all-ann-decomposition-is-subset*:
assumes $(a, b) \in \text{set } (\text{get-all-ann-decomposition } M)$
shows $\text{set } a \cup \text{set } b \subseteq \text{set } M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Decided-cons-in-get-all-ann-decomposition-append-Decided-cons*:
 $\exists M1 M2. (\text{Decided } K \# M1, M2) \in \text{set } (\text{get-all-ann-decomposition } (c @ \text{Decided } K \# c'))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fst-get-all-ann-decomposition-prepend-not-decided*:
assumes $\forall m \in \text{set } MS. \neg \text{is-decided } m$
shows $\text{set } (\text{map } \text{fst } (\text{get-all-ann-decomposition } M))$
 $= \text{set } (\text{map } \text{fst } (\text{get-all-ann-decomposition } (MS @ M)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Entailment of the Propagated by the Decided Literal

lemma *get-all-ann-decomposition-snd-union*:
 $\text{set } M = \bigcup (\text{set } ' \text{snd } ' \text{set } (\text{get-all-ann-decomposition } M)) \cup \{L \mid L. \text{is-decided } L \wedge L \in \text{set } M\}$
(is $?M M = ?U M \cup ?Ls M$ **)**
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *all-decomposition-implies* :: *'a literal multiset set*
 $\Rightarrow ((\text{'a}, \text{'m}) \text{ ann-lits} \times (\text{'a}, \text{'m}) \text{ ann-lits}) \text{ list} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{all-decomposition-implies } N S \longleftrightarrow (\forall (Ls, \text{seen}) \in \text{set } S. \text{unmark-l } Ls \cup N \models_{ps} \text{unmark-l } \text{seen})$

lemma *all-decomposition-implies-empty*[iff]:
all-decomposition-implies $N \ [] \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *all-decomposition-implies-single*[iff]:
all-decomposition-implies $N \ [(Ls, \text{seen})] \longleftrightarrow \text{unmark-l } Ls \cup N \models_{ps} \text{unmark-l seen}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *all-decomposition-implies-append*[iff]:
all-decomposition-implies $N \ (S @ S') \longleftrightarrow (all-decomposition-implies \ N \ S \wedge all-decomposition-implies \ N \ S')$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *all-decomposition-implies-cons-pair*[iff]:
all-decomposition-implies $N \ ((Ls, \text{seen}) \# S') \longleftrightarrow (all-decomposition-implies \ N \ [(Ls, \text{seen})] \wedge all-decomposition-implies \ N \ S')$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *all-decomposition-implies-cons-single*[iff]:
all-decomposition-implies $N \ (l \# S') \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\text{unmark-l } (\text{fst } l) \cup N \models_{ps} \text{unmark-l } (\text{snd } l) \wedge$
 $all-decomposition-implies \ N \ S')$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *all-decomposition-implies-trail-is-implied*:
assumes *all-decomposition-implies* $N \ (\text{get-all-ann-decomposition } M)$
shows $N \cup \{\text{unmark } L \mid L. \text{is-decided } L \wedge L \in \text{set } M\}$
 $\models_{ps} \text{unmark } ' \bigcup (\text{set } ' \text{snd } ' \text{set } (\text{get-all-ann-decomposition } M))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *all-decomposition-implies-propagated-lits-are-implied*:
assumes *all-decomposition-implies* $N \ (\text{get-all-ann-decomposition } M)$
shows $N \cup \{\text{unmark } L \mid L. \text{is-decided } L \wedge L \in \text{set } M\} \models_{ps} \text{unmark-l } M$
 $(\text{is } ?I \models_{ps} ?A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *all-decomposition-implies-insert-single*:
all-decomposition-implies $N \ M \implies all-decomposition-implies \ (\text{insert } C \ N) \ M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

0.1.4 Negation of Clauses

We define the negation of a '*a Partial-Clausal-Logic.clause*': it converts it from the a single clause to a set of clauses, wherein each clause is a single negated literal.

definition *CNot* :: '*v clause* \Rightarrow '*v clauses* **where**
CNot $\psi = \{ \{ \# - L \# \} \mid L. L \in \# \psi \}$

lemma *in-CNot-uminus*[iff]:
shows $\{ \# L \# \} \in CNot \ \psi \longleftrightarrow -L \in \# \psi$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma
shows
CNot-singleton[simp]: $CNot \ \{ \# L \# \} = \{ \{ \# - L \# \} \}$ **and**
CNot-empty[simp]: $CNot \ \{ \# \} = \{ \}$ **and**

CNot-plus[simp]: $CNot (A + B) = CNot A \cup CNot B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *CNot-eq-empty[iff]:*
 $CNot D = \{\}$ $\longleftrightarrow D = \{\#\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *in-CNot-implies-uminus:*
assumes $L \in\# D$ **and** $M \models_{as} CNot D$
shows $M \models_a \{\#-L\# \}$ **and** $-L \in lits-of-l M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *CNot-remdups-mset[simp]:*
 $CNot (remdups-mset A) = CNot A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Ball-CNot-Ball-mset[simp]:*
 $(\forall x \in CNot D. P x) \longleftrightarrow (\forall L \in\# D. P \{\#-L\# \})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *consistent-CNot-not:*
assumes *consistent-interp I*
shows $I \models_s CNot \varphi \implies \neg I \models \varphi$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *total-not-true-clb-true-clss-CNot:*
assumes *total-over-m I* $\{\varphi\}$ **and** $\neg I \models \varphi$
shows $I \models_s CNot \varphi$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *total-not-CNot:*
assumes *total-over-m I* $\{\varphi\}$ **and** $\neg I \models_s CNot \varphi$
shows $I \models \varphi$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *atms-of-ms-CNot-atms-of[simp]:*
 $atms-of-ms (CNot C) = atms-of C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *true-clss-clss-contradiction-true-clss-clb-false:*
 $C \in D \implies D \models_{ps} CNot C \implies D \models_p \{\#\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *true-annots-CNot-all-atms-defined:*
assumes $M \models_{as} CNot T$ **and** $a1: L \in\# T$
shows $atm-of L \in atm-of \text{' } lits-of-l M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *true-annots-CNot-all-uminus-atms-defined:*
assumes $M \models_{as} CNot T$ **and** $a1: -L \in\# T$
shows $atm-of L \in atm-of \text{' } lits-of-l M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *true-clss-clss-false-left-right:*
assumes $\{\{\#L\#\}\} \cup B \models_p \{\#\}$
shows $B \models_{ps} CNot \{\#L\#\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *true-annots-true-clb-def-iff-negation-in-model:*

$M \models_{as} CNot\ C \longleftrightarrow (\forall L \in \# \ C. \neg L \in \text{ lits-of-l } M)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *true-annot-CNot-diff:*

$I \models_{as} CNot\ C \implies I \models_{as} CNot\ (C - C')$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *CNot-mset-replicate[simp]:*

$CNot\ (\text{mset } (\text{replicate } n\ L)) = (\text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } \{\} \text{ else } \{\{\#-L\#\}\})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *consistent-CNot-not-tautology:*

$\text{consistent-interp } M \implies M \models_s CNot\ D \implies \neg \text{tautology } D$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *atms-of-ms-CNot-atms-of-ms: atms-of-ms (CNot CC) = atms-of-ms {CC}*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *total-over-m-CNot-toal-over-m[simp]:*

$\text{total-over-m } I\ (CNot\ C) = \text{total-over-set } I\ (\text{atms-of } C)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The following lemma is very useful when in the goal appears an axioms like $\neg L = K$: this lemma allows the simplifier to rewrite L.

lemma *uminus-lit-swap: $\neg(a::'a \text{ literal}) = i \longleftrightarrow a = \neg i$*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *true-clss-clb-plus-CNot:*

assumes

$CC-L: A \models_p CC + \{\#L\# \}$ **and**

$CNot-CC: A \models_{ps} CNot\ CC$

shows $A \models_p \{\#L\# \}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *true-annots-CNot-lit-of-notin-skip:*

assumes $LM: L \# M \models_{as} CNot\ A$ **and** $LA: \text{lit-of } L \notin \# A \neg \text{lit-of } L \notin \# A$

shows $M \models_{as} CNot\ A$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *true-clss-clss-union-false-true-clss-clss-cnot:*

$A \cup \{B\} \models_{ps} \{\{\#\}\} \longleftrightarrow A \models_{ps} CNot\ B$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *true-annot-remove-hd-if-notin-vars:*

assumes $a \# M' \models_a D$ **and** $\text{atm-of } (\text{lit-of } a) \notin \text{atms-of } D$

shows $M' \models_a D$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *true-annot-remove-if-notin-vars:*

assumes $M @ M' \models_a D$ **and** $\forall x \in \text{atms-of } D. x \notin \text{atm-of ' lits-of-l } M$

shows $M' \models_a D$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *true-annots-remove-if-notin-vars*:
assumes $M @ M' \models_{as} D$ **and** $\forall x \in \text{atms-of-}ms\ D. x \notin \text{atm-of ' lits-of-}l\ M$
shows $M' \models_{as} D$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *all-variables-defined-not-imply-cnot*:
assumes
 $\forall s \in \text{atms-of-}ms\ \{B\}. s \in \text{atm-of ' lits-of-}l\ A$ **and**
 $\neg A \models_a B$
shows $A \models_{as} CNot\ B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *CNot-union-mset[simp]*:
 $CNot\ (A \# \cup B) = CNot\ A \cup CNot\ B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

0.1.5 Other

abbreviation *no-dup* $L \equiv \text{distinct}\ (\text{map}\ (\lambda l. \text{atm-of}\ (\text{lit-of}\ l))\ L)$

lemma *no-dup-rev[simp]*:
 $\text{no-dup}\ (\text{rev}\ M) \longleftrightarrow \text{no-dup}\ M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *no-dup-length-eq-card-atm-of-lits-of-l*:
assumes *no-dup* M
shows $\text{length}\ M = \text{card}\ (\text{atm-of ' lits-of-}l\ M)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *distinct-consistent-interp*:
 $\text{no-dup}\ M \implies \text{consistent-interp}\ (\text{lits-of-}l\ M)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *distinct-get-all-ann-decomposition-no-dup*:
assumes $(a, b) \in \text{set}\ (\text{get-all-ann-decomposition}\ M)$
and *no-dup* M
shows *no-dup* $(a @ b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *true-annots-lit-of-notin-skip*:
assumes $L \# M \models_{as} CNot\ A$
and $\neg \text{lit-of}\ L \notin \# A$
and *no-dup* $(L \# M)$
shows $M \models_{as} CNot\ A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

0.1.6 Extending Entailments to multisets

We have defined previous entailment with respect to sets, but we also need a multiset version depending on the context. The conversion is simple using the function *set-mset* (in this direction, there is no loss of information).

abbreviation *true-annots-mset* (**infix** \models_{asm} 50) **where**
 $I \models_{asm} C \equiv I \models_{as}\ (\text{set-mset}\ C)$

abbreviation *true-clss-clss-m:: 'v clause multiset \Rightarrow 'v clause multiset \Rightarrow bool* (**infix** \models_{psm} 50)

where

$I \models_{psm} C \equiv \text{set-mset } I \models_{ps} (\text{set-mset } C)$

Analog of theorem *true-clss-clss-subsetE*

lemma *true-clss-clssm-subsetE*: $N \models_{psm} B \implies A \subseteq\# B \implies N \models_{psm} A$
<proof>

abbreviation *true-clss-clss-m*:: 'a clause multiset \Rightarrow 'a clause \Rightarrow bool (**infix** \models_{pm} 50) **where**
 $I \models_{pm} C \equiv \text{set-mset } I \models_p C$

abbreviation *distinct-mset-mset* :: 'a multiset multiset \Rightarrow bool **where**
 $\text{distinct-mset-mset } \Sigma \equiv \text{distinct-mset-set } (\text{set-mset } \Sigma)$

abbreviation *all-decomposition-implies-m* **where**
 $\text{all-decomposition-implies-m } A B \equiv \text{all-decomposition-implies } (\text{set-mset } A) B$

abbreviation *atms-of-mm* :: 'a literal multiset multiset \Rightarrow 'a set **where**
 $\text{atms-of-mm } U \equiv \text{atms-of-ms } (\text{set-mset } U)$

Other definition using *Union-mset*

lemma *atms-of-mm* $U \equiv \text{set-mset } (\bigcup\# \text{image-mset } (\text{image-mset atm-of}) U)$
<proof>

abbreviation *true-clss-m*:: 'a interp \Rightarrow 'a clause multiset \Rightarrow bool (**infix** \models_{sm} 50) **where**
 $I \models_{sm} C \equiv I \models_s \text{set-mset } C$

abbreviation *true-clss-ext-m* (**infix** \models_{sextm} 49) **where**
 $I \models_{sextm} C \equiv I \models_{sext} \text{set-mset } C$

type-synonym 'v clauses = 'v clause multiset
end

Chapter 1

NOT's CDCL and DPLL

```
theory CDCL-WNOT-Measure
imports Main List-More
begin
```

The organisation of the development is the following:

- `CDCL_WNOT_Measure.thy` contains the measure used to show the termination the core of CDCL.
- `CDCL_NOT.thy` contains the specification of the rules: the rules are defined, and we proof the correctness and termination for some strategies CDCL.
- `DPLL_NOT.thy` contains the DPLL calculus based on the CDCL version.
- `DPLL_W.thy` contains Weidenbach's version of DPLL and the proof of equivalence between the two DPLL versions.

1.1 Measure

This measure show the termination of the core of CDCL: each step improves the number of literals we know for sure.

This measure can also be seen as the increasing lexicographic order: it is an order on bounded sequences, when each element is bounded. The proof involves a measure like the one defined here (the same?).

definition $\mu_C :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat list} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ **where**
 $\mu_C \ s \ b \ M \equiv (\sum_{i=0..<\text{length } M} M!i * b^{\wedge} (s + i - \text{length } M))$

lemma $\mu_C\text{-Nil}[simp]$:
 $\mu_C \ s \ b \ [] = 0$
<proof>

lemma $\mu_C\text{-single}[simp]$:
 $\mu_C \ s \ b \ [L] = L * b^{\wedge} (s - \text{Suc } 0)$
<proof>

lemma $\text{set-sum-atLeastLessThan-add}$:
 $(\sum_{i=k..<k+(b::\text{nat})} f \ i) = (\sum_{i=0..<b} f \ (k + i))$
<proof>

lemma *set-sum-atLeastLessThan-Suc*:

$$(\sum_{i=1..<Suc\ j}. f\ i) = (\sum_{i=0..<j}. f\ (Suc\ i))$$

<proof>

lemma *μ_C -cons*:

$$\mu_C\ s\ b\ (L\ \# \ M) = L * b^{\wedge} (s - 1 - length\ M) + \mu_C\ s\ b\ M$$

<proof>

lemma *μ_C -append*:

assumes $s \geq length\ (M@M')$

shows $\mu_C\ s\ b\ (M@M') = \mu_C\ (s - length\ M')\ b\ M + \mu_C\ s\ b\ M'$

<proof>

lemma *μ_C -cons-non-empty-inf*:

assumes $M\text{-ge-}1: \forall i \in set\ M. i \geq 1$ **and** $M: M \neq []$

shows $\mu_C\ s\ b\ M \geq b^{\wedge} (s - length\ M)$

<proof>

Copy of `~~/src/HOL/ex/NatSum.thy` (but generalized to $0 \leq k$)

lemma *sum-of-powers*: $0 \leq k \implies (k - 1) * (\sum_{i=0..<n}. k^i) = k^n - (1::nat)$

<proof>

In the degenerated cases, we only have the large inequality holds. In the other cases, the following strict inequality holds:

lemma *μ_C -bounded-non-degenerated*:

fixes $b :: nat$

assumes

$b > 0$ **and**

$M \neq []$ **and**

$M\text{-le}: \forall i < length\ M. M!i < b$ **and**

$s \geq length\ M$

shows $\mu_C\ s\ b\ M < b^{\wedge}s$

<proof>

In the degenerate case $b = (0::'a)$, the list M is empty (since the list cannot contain any element).

lemma *μ_C -bounded*:

fixes $b :: nat$

assumes

$M\text{-le}: \forall i < length\ M. M!i < b$ **and**

$s \geq length\ M$

$b > 0$

shows $\mu_C\ s\ b\ M < b^{\wedge}s$

<proof>

When $b = 0$, we cannot show that the measure is empty, since $0^0 = 1$.

lemma *μ_C -base-0*:

assumes $length\ M \leq s$

shows $\mu_C\ s\ 0\ M \leq M!0$

<proof>

lemma *finite-bounded-pair-list*:

fixes $b :: nat$

shows $finite\ \{(ys, xs). length\ xs < s \wedge length\ ys < s \wedge$

$(\forall i < \text{length } xs. xs ! i < b) \wedge (\forall i < \text{length } ys. ys ! i < b)\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition $\nu NOT :: nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow (nat\ list \times nat\ list)\ set$ **where**
 $\nu NOT\ s\ base = \{(ys, xs). \text{length } xs < s \wedge \text{length } ys < s \wedge$
 $(\forall i < \text{length } xs. xs ! i < base) \wedge (\forall i < \text{length } ys. ys ! i < base) \wedge$
 $(ys, xs) \in \text{lenlex less-than}\}$

lemma $\text{finite-}\nu NOT[simp]$:
 $\text{finite } (\nu NOT\ s\ base)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{acyclic-}\nu NOT$: $\text{acyclic } (\nu NOT\ s\ base)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{wf-}\nu NOT$: $\text{wf } (\nu NOT\ s\ base)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

theory $CDCL-NOT$

imports $List-More\ Wellfounded-More\ CDCL-WNOT-Measure\ Partial-Annotated-Clausal-Logic$
begin

1.2 NOT's CDCL

1.2.1 Auxiliary Lemmas and Measure

We define here some more simplification rules, or rules that have been useful as help for some tactic

lemma $\text{no-dup-cannot-not-lit-and-uminus}$:
 $\text{no-dup } M \Longrightarrow -\text{lit-of } xa = \text{lit-of } x \Longrightarrow x \in \text{set } M \Longrightarrow xa \notin \text{set } M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{atms-of-ms-single-atm-of}[simp]$:
 $\text{atms-of-ms } \{\text{unmark } L \mid L. P\ L\} = \text{atm-of } ' \{\text{lit-of } L \mid L. P\ L\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{atms-of-uminus-lit-atm-of-lit-of}$:
 $\text{atms-of } \{\# -\text{lit-of } x. x \in \# A\} = \text{atm-of } ' (\text{lit-of } ' (\text{set-mset } A))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{atms-of-ms-single-image-atm-of-lit-of}$:
 $\text{atms-of-ms } (\text{unmark-s } A) = \text{atm-of } ' (\text{lit-of } ' A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

1.2.2 Initial definitions

The state

We define here an abstraction over operation on the state we are manipulating.

locale $\text{dpll-state-ops} =$
fixes
 $\text{trail} :: 'st \Rightarrow ('v, \text{unit})\ \text{ann-lits}$ **and**
 $\text{clauses}_{NOT} :: 'st \Rightarrow 'v\ \text{clauses}$ **and**

```

prepend-trail :: ('v, unit) ann-lit ⇒ 'st ⇒ 'st and
tl-trail :: 'st ⇒ 'st and
add-clsNOT :: 'v clause ⇒ 'st ⇒ 'st and
remove-clsNOT :: 'v clause ⇒ 'st ⇒ 'st
begin
abbreviation stateNOT :: 'st ⇒ ('v, unit) ann-lit list × 'v clauses where
stateNOT S ≡ (trail S, clausesNOT S)
end

NOT's state is basically a pair composed of the trail (i.e. the candidate model) and the set of
clauses. We abstract this state to convert this state to other states. like Weidenbach's five-tuple.

locale dpll-state =
  dpll-state-ops
  trail clausesNOT prepend-trail tl-trail add-clsNOT remove-clsNOT — related to the state
for
  trail :: 'st ⇒ ('v, unit) ann-lits and
  clausesNOT :: 'st ⇒ 'v clauses and
  prepend-trail :: ('v, unit) ann-lit ⇒ 'st ⇒ 'st and
  tl-trail :: 'st ⇒ 'st and
  add-clsNOT :: 'v clause ⇒ 'st ⇒ 'st and
  remove-clsNOT :: 'v clause ⇒ 'st ⇒ 'st +
assumes
  prepend-trailNOT:
    stateNOT (prepend-trail L st) = (L # trail st, clausesNOT st) and
  tl-trailNOT:
    stateNOT (tl-trail st) = (tl (trail st), clausesNOT st) and
  add-clsNOT:
    stateNOT (add-clsNOT C st) = (trail st, {#C#} + clausesNOT st) and
  remove-clsNOT:
    stateNOT (remove-clsNOT C st) = (trail st, removeAll-mset C (clausesNOT st))
begin
lemma
  trail-prepend-trail[simp]:
    trail (prepend-trail L st) = L # trail st
  and
  trail-tl-trailNOT[simp]: trail (tl-trail st) = tl (trail st) and
  trail-add-clsNOT[simp]: trail (add-clsNOT C st) = trail st and
  trail-remove-clsNOT[simp]: trail (remove-clsNOT C st) = trail st and

  clauses-prepend-trail[simp]:
    clausesNOT (prepend-trail L st) = clausesNOT st
  and
  clauses-tl-trail[simp]: clausesNOT (tl-trail st) = clausesNOT st and
  clauses-add-clsNOT[simp]:
    clausesNOT (add-clsNOT C st) = {#C#} + clausesNOT st and
  clauses-remove-clsNOT[simp]:
    clausesNOT (remove-clsNOT C st) = removeAll-mset C (clausesNOT st)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

We define the following function doing the backtrack in the trail:

```

function reduce-trail-toNOT :: 'a list ⇒ 'st ⇒ 'st where
reduce-trail-toNOT F S =
  (if length (trail S) = length F ∨ trail S = [] then S else reduce-trail-toNOT F (tl-trail S))
  ⟨proof⟩
termination ⟨proof⟩

```

declare *reduce-trail-to_{NOT}.simps*[simp del]

Then we need several lemmas about the *reduce-trail-to_{NOT}*.

lemma

shows

reduce-trail-to_{NOT}-Nil[simp]: $\text{trail } S = [] \implies \text{reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} F S = S$ **and**
reduce-trail-to_{NOT}-eq-length[simp]: $\text{length } (\text{trail } S) = \text{length } F \implies \text{reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} F S = S$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *reduce-trail-to_{NOT}-length-ne*[simp]:

$\text{length } (\text{trail } S) \neq \text{length } F \implies \text{trail } S \neq [] \implies$
 $\text{reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} F S = \text{reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} F (\text{tl-trail } S)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *trail-reduce-trail-to_{NOT}-length-le*:

assumes $\text{length } F > \text{length } (\text{trail } S)$
shows $\text{trail } (\text{reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} F S) = []$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *trail-reduce-trail-to_{NOT}-Nil*[simp]:

$\text{trail } (\text{reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} [] S) = []$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *clauses-reduce-trail-to_{NOT}-Nil*:

$\text{clauses}_{NOT} (\text{reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} [] S) = \text{clauses}_{NOT} S$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *trail-reduce-trail-to_{NOT}-drop*:

$\text{trail } (\text{reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} F S) =$
 (if $\text{length } (\text{trail } S) \geq \text{length } F$
 then $\text{drop } (\text{length } (\text{trail } S) - \text{length } F) (\text{trail } S)$
 else $[]$)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *reduce-trail-to_{NOT}-skip-beginning*:

assumes $\text{trail } S = F' @ F$
shows $\text{trail } (\text{reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} F S) = F$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *reduce-trail-to_{NOT}-clauses*[simp]:

$\text{clauses}_{NOT} (\text{reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} F S) = \text{clauses}_{NOT} S$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *trail-eq-reduce-trail-to_{NOT}-eq*:

$\text{trail } S = \text{trail } T \implies \text{trail } (\text{reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} F S) = \text{trail } (\text{reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} F T)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *trail-reduce-trail-to_{NOT}-add-cl_{NOT}*[simp]:

$\text{no-dup } (\text{trail } S) \implies$
 $\text{trail } (\text{reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} F (\text{add-cl}_{NOT} C S)) = \text{trail } (\text{reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} F S)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *reduce-trail-to_{NOT}-trail-tl-trail-decomp*[simp]:

$\text{trail } S = F' @ \text{Decided } K \# F \implies$
 $\text{trail } (\text{reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} F (\text{tl-trail } S)) = F$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *reduce-trail-to_{NOT}-length*:

$length\ M = length\ M' \implies reduce-trail-to_{NOT}\ M\ S = reduce-trail-to_{NOT}\ M'\ S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation *trail-weight* **where**

$trail-weight\ S \equiv map\ ((\lambda l.\ 1 + length\ l)\ o\ snd)\ (get-all-ann-decomposition\ (trail\ S))$

As we are defining abstract states, the Isabelle equality about them is too strong: we want the weaker equivalence stating that two states are equal if they cannot be distinguished, i.e. given the getter *trail* and *clauses_{NOT}* do not distinguish them.

definition *state-eq_{NOT}* :: $'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool$ (**infix** ~ 50) **where**

$S \sim T \longleftrightarrow trail\ S = trail\ T \wedge clauses_{NOT}\ S = clauses_{NOT}\ T$

lemma *state-eq_{NOT}-ref[simp]*:

$S \sim S$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *state-eq_{NOT}-sym*:

$S \sim T \longleftrightarrow T \sim S$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *state-eq_{NOT}-trans*:

$S \sim T \implies T \sim U \implies S \sim U$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma

shows

state-eq_{NOT}-trail: $S \sim T \implies trail\ S = trail\ T$ **and**

state-eq_{NOT}-clauses: $S \sim T \implies clauses_{NOT}\ S = clauses_{NOT}\ T$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *state-simp_{NOT}[simp]* = *state-eq_{NOT}-trail* *state-eq_{NOT}-clauses*

lemma *reduce-trail-to_{NOT}-state-eq_{NOT}-compatible*:

assumes *ST*: $S \sim T$

shows *reduce-trail-to_{NOT}* $F\ S \sim reduce-trail-to_{NOT}\ F\ T$

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

Definition of the operation

Each possible is in its own locale.

locale *propagate-ops* =

dpll-state *trail* *clauses_{NOT}* *prepend-trail* *tl-trail* *add-cl_s_{NOT}* *remove-cl_s_{NOT}*

for

trail :: $'st \Rightarrow ('v, unit)\ ann-lits$ **and**

clauses_{NOT} :: $'st \Rightarrow 'v\ clauses$ **and**

prepend-trail :: $('v, unit)\ ann-lit \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st$ **and**

tl-trail :: $'st \Rightarrow 'st$ **and**

add-cl_s_{NOT} :: $'v\ clause \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st$ **and**

remove-cl_s_{NOT} :: $'v\ clause \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st +$

fixes

propagate-cond :: $('v, unit)\ ann-lit \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool$

```

begin
inductive propagateNOT :: 'st ⇒ 'st ⇒ bool where
propagateNOT[intro]:  $C + \{\#L\} \in \# \text{ clauses}_{NOT} S \implies \text{trail } S \models_{as} CNot \ C$ 
  ⇒ undefined-lit (trail S) L
  ⇒ propagate-cond (Propagated L ()) S
  ⇒  $T \sim \text{prepend-trail} (\text{Propagated } L \ ()) \ S$ 
  ⇒ propagateNOT S T
inductive-cases propagateNOTE[elim]: propagateNOT S T

end

locale decide-ops =
  dpll-state trail clausesNOT prepend-trail tl-trail add-clNOT remove-clNOT
for
  trail :: 'st ⇒ ('v, unit) ann-lits and
  clausesNOT :: 'st ⇒ 'v clauses and
  prepend-trail :: ('v, unit) ann-lit ⇒ 'st ⇒ 'st and
  tl-trail :: 'st ⇒ 'st and
  add-clNOT :: 'v clause ⇒ 'st ⇒ 'st and
  remove-clNOT :: 'v clause ⇒ 'st ⇒ 'st
begin
inductive decideNOT :: 'st ⇒ 'st ⇒ bool where
decideNOT[intro]: undefined-lit (trail S) L ⇒ atm-of L ∈ atms-of-mm (clausesNOT S)
  ⇒  $T \sim \text{prepend-trail} (\text{Decided } L) \ S$ 
  ⇒ decideNOT S T
inductive-cases decideNOTE[elim]: decideNOT S S'
end

locale backjumping-ops =
  dpll-state trail clausesNOT prepend-trail tl-trail add-clNOT remove-clNOT
for
  trail :: 'st ⇒ ('v, unit) ann-lits and
  clausesNOT :: 'st ⇒ 'v clauses and
  prepend-trail :: ('v, unit) ann-lit ⇒ 'st ⇒ 'st and
  tl-trail :: 'st ⇒ 'st and
  add-clNOT :: 'v clause ⇒ 'st ⇒ 'st and
  remove-clNOT :: 'v clause ⇒ 'st ⇒ 'st +
fixes
  backjump-conds :: 'v clause ⇒ 'v clause ⇒ 'v literal ⇒ 'st ⇒ 'st ⇒ bool
begin

inductive backjump where
trail S = F' @ Decided K# F
  ⇒  $T \sim \text{prepend-trail} (\text{Propagated } L \ ()) \ (\text{reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} \ F \ S)$ 
  ⇒  $C \in \# \text{ clauses}_{NOT} S$ 
  ⇒  $\text{trail } S \models_{as} CNot \ C$ 
  ⇒ undefined-lit F L
  ⇒  $\text{atm-of } L \in \text{atms-of-mm} (\text{clauses}_{NOT} \ S) \cup \text{atm-of } ' \ (\text{lits-of-l} \ (\text{trail } S))$ 
  ⇒  $\text{clauses}_{NOT} \ S \models_{pm} C' + \{\#L\}$ 
  ⇒  $F \models_{as} CNot \ C'$ 
  ⇒ backjump-conds C C' L S T
  ⇒ backjump S T
inductive-cases backjumpE: backjump S T

```

The condition $\text{atm-of } L \in \text{atms-of-mm} (\text{clauses}_{NOT} \ S) \cup \text{atm-of } ' \ (\text{lits-of-l} \ (\text{trail } S))$ is not

implied by the condition $clauses_{NOT} S \models_{pm} C' + \{\#L\# \}$ (no negation).

end

1.2.3 DPLL with backjumping

```

locale dpll-with-backjumping-ops =
  propagate-ops trail clausesNOT prepend-trail tl-trail add-clNOT remove-clNOT propagate-conds +
  decide-ops trail clausesNOT prepend-trail tl-trail add-clNOT remove-clNOT +
  backjumping-ops trail clausesNOT prepend-trail tl-trail add-clNOT remove-clNOT backjump-conds
for
  trail :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  ('v, unit) ann-lits and
  clausesNOT :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  'v clauses and
  prepend-trail :: ('v, unit) ann-lit  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st and
  tl-trail :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st and
  add-clNOT :: 'v clause  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st and
  remove-clNOT :: 'v clause  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st and
  inv :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  bool and
  backjump-conds :: 'v clause  $\Rightarrow$  'v clause  $\Rightarrow$  'v literal  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  bool and
  propagate-conds :: ('v, unit) ann-lit  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  bool +
assumes
  bj-can-jump:
   $\bigwedge S C F' K F L.$ 
  inv  $S \Rightarrow$ 
  no-dup (trail  $S$ )  $\Rightarrow$ 
  trail  $S = F' @ Decided K \# F \Rightarrow$ 
   $C \in \# clauses_{NOT} S \Rightarrow$ 
  trail  $S \models_{as} CNot C \Rightarrow$ 
  undefined-lit  $F L \Rightarrow$ 
  atm-of  $L \in atms-of-mm (clauses_{NOT} S) \cup atm-of (lits-of-l (F' @ Decided K \# F)) \Rightarrow$ 
   $clauses_{NOT} S \models_{pm} C' + \{\#L\# \} \Rightarrow$ 
   $F \models_{as} CNot C' \Rightarrow$ 
   $\neg no-step backjump S$ 
begin

```

We cannot add a like condition $atms-of C' \subseteq atms-of-ms N$ to ensure that we can backjump even if the last decision variable has disappeared from the set of clauses.

The part of the condition $atm-of L \in atm-of (lits-of-l (F' @ Decided K \# F))$ is important, otherwise you are not sure that you can backtrack.

Definition

We define dpll with backjumping:

```

inductive dpll-bj :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  bool for  $S :: 'st$  where
  bj-decideNOT: decideNOT  $S S' \Rightarrow dpll-bj S S' |$ 
  bj-propagateNOT: propagateNOT  $S S' \Rightarrow dpll-bj S S' |$ 
  bj-backjump: backjump  $S S' \Rightarrow dpll-bj S S'$ 

```

lemmas *dpll-bj-induct* = *dpll-bj.induct*[*split-format*(*complete*)]

thm *dpll-bj-induct*[*OF dpll-with-backjumping-ops-axioms*]

lemma *dpll-bj-all-induct*[*consumes 2, case-names* *decide*_{NOT} *propagate*_{NOT} *backjump*]:

```

fixes  $S T :: 'st$ 
assumes
  dpll-bj  $S T$  and
  inv  $S$ 

```


$\wedge L \ T. \text{ undefined-lit } (\text{trail } S) \ L \implies \text{atm-of } L \in \text{atms-of-mm } (\text{clauses}_{NOT} \ S)$
 $\implies T \sim \text{prepend-trail } (\text{Decided } L) \ S$
 $\implies P \ S \ T \text{ and}$
 $\wedge C \ L \ T. \ C + \{\#L\# \} \in \# \text{ clauses}_{NOT} \ S \implies \text{trail } S \models_{as} CNot \ C \implies \text{undefined-lit } (\text{trail } S) \ L$
 $\implies T \sim \text{prepend-trail } (\text{Propagated } L \ ()) \ S$
 $\implies P \ S \ T \text{ and}$
 $\wedge C \ F' \ K \ F \ L \ C' \ T. \ C \in \# \text{ clauses}_{NOT} \ S \implies F' @ \text{Decided } K \ \# \ F \models_{as} CNot \ C$
 $\implies \text{trail } S = F' @ \text{Decided } K \ \# \ F$
 $\implies \text{undefined-lit } F \ L$
 $\implies \text{atm-of } L \in \text{atms-of-mm } (\text{clauses}_{NOT} \ S) \cup \text{atm-of } ' (\text{lits-of-l } (F' @ \text{Decided } K \ \# \ F))$
 $\implies \text{clauses}_{NOT} \ S \models_{pm} C' + \{\#L\# \}$
 $\implies F \models_{as} CNot \ C'$
 $\implies T \sim \text{prepend-trail } (\text{Propagated } L \ ()) \ (\text{reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} \ F \ S)$
 $\implies P \ S \ T$
shows $P \ S \ T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Basic properties

First, some better suited induction principle lemma *dpll-bj-clauses*:

assumes $dpll\text{-}bj \ S \ T$ **and** $inv \ S$
shows $\text{clauses}_{NOT} \ S = \text{clauses}_{NOT} \ T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

No duplicates in the trail lemma *dpll-bj-no-dup*:

assumes $dpll\text{-}bj \ S \ T$ **and** $inv \ S$
and $no\text{-}dup \ (\text{trail } S)$
shows $no\text{-}dup \ (\text{trail } T)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Valuations lemma *dpll-bj-sat-iff*:

assumes $dpll\text{-}bj \ S \ T$ **and** $inv \ S$
shows $I \models_{sm} \text{clauses}_{NOT} \ S \longleftrightarrow I \models_{sm} \text{clauses}_{NOT} \ T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Clauses lemma *dpll-bj-atms-of-ms-clauses-inv*:

assumes
 $dpll\text{-}bj \ S \ T$ **and**
 $inv \ S$
shows $\text{atms-of-mm } (\text{clauses}_{NOT} \ S) = \text{atms-of-mm } (\text{clauses}_{NOT} \ T)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *dpll-bj-atms-in-trail*:

assumes
 $dpll\text{-}bj \ S \ T$ **and**
 $inv \ S$ **and**
 $\text{atm-of } ' (\text{lits-of-l } (\text{trail } S)) \subseteq \text{atms-of-mm } (\text{clauses}_{NOT} \ S)$
shows $\text{atm-of } ' (\text{lits-of-l } (\text{trail } T)) \subseteq \text{atms-of-mm } (\text{clauses}_{NOT} \ S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *dpll-bj-atms-in-trail-in-set*:

assumes $dpll\text{-}bj \ S \ T$ **and**
 $inv \ S$ **and**
 $\text{atms-of-mm } (\text{clauses}_{NOT} \ S) \subseteq A$ **and**
 $\text{atm-of } ' (\text{lits-of-l } (\text{trail } S)) \subseteq A$

shows $\text{atm-of } \text{' (lits-of-l (trail } T)) \subseteq A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *dpll-bj-all-decomposition-implies-inv*:

assumes
 $\text{dpll-bj } S \ T$ **and**
 $\text{inv: inv } S$ **and**
 $\text{decomp: all-decomposition-implies-m (clauses}_{\text{NOT}} S) (\text{get-all-ann-decomposition (trail } S))$
shows $\text{all-decomposition-implies-m (clauses}_{\text{NOT}} T) (\text{get-all-ann-decomposition (trail } T))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Termination

Using a proper measure lemma *length-get-all-ann-decomposition-append-Decided*:

$\text{length (get-all-ann-decomposition (F' @ Decided K \# F))} =$
 $\text{length (get-all-ann-decomposition F')}$
 $+ \text{length (get-all-ann-decomposition (Decided K \# F))}$
 $- 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *take-length-get-all-ann-decomposition-decided-sandwich*:

$\text{take (length (get-all-ann-decomposition F))}$
 $(\text{map (f o snd) (rev (get-all-ann-decomposition (F' @ Decided K \# F))))$
 $=$
 $\text{map (f o snd) (rev (get-all-ann-decomposition F))}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *length-get-all-ann-decomposition-length*:

$\text{length (get-all-ann-decomposition } M) \leq 1 + \text{length } M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *length-in-get-all-ann-decomposition-bounded*:

assumes $i: i \in \text{set (trail-weight } S)$
shows $i \leq \text{Suc (length (trail } S))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Well-foundedness The bounds are the following:

- $1 + \text{card (atms-of-ms } A)$: $\text{card (atms-of-ms } A)$ is an upper bound on the length of the list. As *get-all-ann-decomposition* appends an possibly empty couple at the end, adding one is needed.
- $2 + \text{card (atms-of-ms } A)$: $\text{card (atms-of-ms } A)$ is an upper bound on the number of elements, where adding one is necessary for the same reason as for the bound on the list, and one is needed to have a strict bound.

abbreviation *unassigned-lit* :: $'b \text{ literal multiset set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ **where**

$\text{unassigned-lit } N \ M \equiv \text{card (atms-of-ms } N) - \text{length } M$

lemma *dpll-bj-trail-mes-increasing-prop*:

fixes $M :: ('v, \text{unit}) \text{ ann-lits}$ **and** $N :: 'v \text{ clauses}$
assumes
 $\text{dpll-bj } S \ T$ **and**
 $\text{inv } S$ **and**
 $NA: \text{atms-of-mm (clauses}_{\text{NOT}} S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A$ **and**

$MA: atm\text{-}of \text{ ' } lits\text{-}of\text{-}l (trail\ S) \subseteq atms\text{-}of\text{-}ms\ A$ **and**
 $n\text{-}d: no\text{-}dup (trail\ S)$ **and**
 $finite: finite\ A$
shows $\mu_C (1 + card (atms\text{-}of\text{-}ms\ A)) (2 + card (atms\text{-}of\text{-}ms\ A)) (trail\text{-}weight\ T)$
 $> \mu_C (1 + card (atms\text{-}of\text{-}ms\ A)) (2 + card (atms\text{-}of\text{-}ms\ A)) (trail\text{-}weight\ S)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dpll-bj-trail-mes-decreasing-prop*:
assumes $dpll: dpll\text{-}bj\ S\ T$ **and** $inv: inv\ S$ **and**
 $N\text{-}A: atms\text{-}of\text{-}mm (clauses_{NOT}\ S) \subseteq atms\text{-}of\text{-}ms\ A$ **and**
 $M\text{-}A: atm\text{-}of \text{ ' } lits\text{-}of\text{-}l (trail\ S) \subseteq atms\text{-}of\text{-}ms\ A$ **and**
 $nd: no\text{-}dup (trail\ S)$ **and**
 $fin\text{-}A: finite\ A$
shows $(2 + card (atms\text{-}of\text{-}ms\ A)) \wedge (1 + card (atms\text{-}of\text{-}ms\ A))$
 $\quad - \mu_C (1 + card (atms\text{-}of\text{-}ms\ A)) (2 + card (atms\text{-}of\text{-}ms\ A)) (trail\text{-}weight\ T)$
 $< (2 + card (atms\text{-}of\text{-}ms\ A)) \wedge (1 + card (atms\text{-}of\text{-}ms\ A))$
 $\quad - \mu_C (1 + card (atms\text{-}of\text{-}ms\ A)) (2 + card (atms\text{-}of\text{-}ms\ A)) (trail\text{-}weight\ S)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wf-dpll-bj*:
assumes $fin: finite\ A$
shows $wf \{(T, S). dpll\text{-}bj\ S\ T$
 $\quad \wedge atms\text{-}of\text{-}mm (clauses_{NOT}\ S) \subseteq atms\text{-}of\text{-}ms\ A \wedge atm\text{-}of \text{ ' } lits\text{-}of\text{-}l (trail\ S) \subseteq atms\text{-}of\text{-}ms\ A$
 $\quad \wedge no\text{-}dup (trail\ S) \wedge inv\ S\}$
 $(is\ wf\ ?A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Normal Forms

We prove that given a normal form of DPLL, with some structural invariants, then either N is satisfiable and the built valuation M is a model; or N is unsatisfiable.

Idea of the proof: We have to prove that *satisfiable* N , $\neg M \models_{as} N$ and there is no remaining step is incompatible.

1. The *decide* rule tells us that every variable in N has a value.
2. The assumption $\neg M \models_{as} N$ implies that there is conflict.
3. There is at least one decision in the trail (otherwise, M would be a model of the set of clauses N).
4. Now if we build the clause with all the decision literals of the trail, we can apply the *backjump* rule.

The assumption are saying that we have a finite upper bound A for the literals, that we cannot do any step *no-step* $dpll\text{-}bj\ S$

theorem *dpll-backjump-final-state*:
fixes $A :: 'v\ clause\ set$ **and** $S\ T :: 'st$
assumes
 $atms\text{-}of\text{-}mm (clauses_{NOT}\ S) \subseteq atms\text{-}of\text{-}ms\ A$ **and**
 $atm\text{-}of \text{ ' } lits\text{-}of\text{-}l (trail\ S) \subseteq atms\text{-}of\text{-}ms\ A$ **and**
 $no\text{-}dup (trail\ S)$ **and**
 $finite\ A$ **and**
 $inv: inv\ S$ **and**

n-s: no-step dpll-bj S and
decomp: all-decomposition-implies-m (clauses_{NOT} S) (get-all-ann-decomposition (trail S))
shows *unsatisfiable (set-mset (clauses_{NOT} S))*
 \vee *(trail S \models_{asm} clauses_{NOT} S \wedge satisfiable (set-mset (clauses_{NOT} S)))*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end — End of *dpll-with-backjumping-ops*

locale *dpll-with-backjumping* =
dpll-with-backjumping-ops trail clauses_{NOT} prepend-trail tl-trail add-cl_{NOT} remove-cl_{NOT} inv
backjump-conds propagate-conds
for
trail :: 'st \Rightarrow ('v, unit) ann-lits and
clauses_{NOT} :: 'st \Rightarrow 'v clauses and
prepend-trail :: ('v, unit) ann-lit \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st and
tl-trail :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st and
add-cl_{NOT} :: 'v clause \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st and
remove-cl_{NOT} :: 'v clause \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st and
inv :: 'st \Rightarrow bool and
backjump-conds :: 'v clause \Rightarrow 'v clause \Rightarrow 'v literal \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool and
propagate-conds :: ('v, unit) ann-lit \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool
 $+$
assumes *dpll-bj-inv: $\bigwedge S T. dpll-bj S T \Rightarrow inv S \Rightarrow inv T$*
begin

lemma *rtrancpl-dpll-bj-inv:*
assumes *dpll-bj** S T and inv S*
shows *inv T*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtrancpl-dpll-bj-no-dup:*
assumes *dpll-bj** S T and inv S*
and *no-dup (trail S)*
shows *no-dup (trail T)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtrancpl-dpll-bj-atms-of-ms-clauses-inv:*
assumes
*dpll-bj** S T and inv S*
shows *atms-of-mm (clauses_{NOT} S) = atms-of-mm (clauses_{NOT} T)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtrancpl-dpll-bj-atms-in-trail:*
assumes
*dpll-bj** S T and*
inv S and
atm-of ' (lits-of-l (trail S)) \subseteq atms-of-mm (clauses_{NOT} S)
shows *atm-of ' (lits-of-l (trail T)) \subseteq atms-of-mm (clauses_{NOT} T)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtrancpl-dpll-bj-sat-iff:*
assumes *dpll-bj** S T and inv S*
shows *$I \models_{sm} clauses_{NOT} S \longleftrightarrow I \models_{sm} clauses_{NOT} T$*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtrancpl-dpll-bj-atms-in-trail-in-set:*

assumes
*dpll-bj** S T and*
inv S
atms-of-mm (clauses_{NOT} S) ⊆ A and
atm-of ' (lits-of-l (trail S)) ⊆ A
shows *atm-of ' (lits-of-l (trail T)) ⊆ A*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *rtrancpl-dpll-bj-all-decomposition-implies-inv:*

assumes
*dpll-bj** S T and*
inv S
all-decomposition-implies-m (clauses_{NOT} S) (get-all-ann-decomposition (trail S))
shows *all-decomposition-implies-m (clauses_{NOT} T) (get-all-ann-decomposition (trail T))*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *rtrancpl-dpll-bj-inv-incl-dpll-bj-inv-tranc:*

{(T, S). *dpll-bj⁺⁺ S T*
 ∧ *atms-of-mm (clauses_{NOT} S) ⊆ atms-of-ms A* ∧ *atm-of ' lits-of-l (trail S) ⊆ atms-of-ms A*
 ∧ *no-dup (trail S) ∧ inv S*}
 ⊆ {(T, S). *dpll-bj S T* ∧ *atms-of-mm (clauses_{NOT} S) ⊆ atms-of-ms A*
 ∧ *atm-of ' lits-of-l (trail S) ⊆ atms-of-ms A* ∧ *no-dup (trail S) ∧ inv S*}⁺
 (is ?A ⊆ ?B⁺)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *wf-trancpl-dpll-bj:*

assumes *fin: finite A*
shows *wf {(T, S). dpll-bj⁺⁺ S T*
 ∧ *atms-of-mm (clauses_{NOT} S) ⊆ atms-of-ms A* ∧ *atm-of ' lits-of-l (trail S) ⊆ atms-of-ms A*
 ∧ *no-dup (trail S) ∧ inv S*}
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *dpll-bj-sat-ext-iff:*

dpll-bj S T ⇒ inv S ⇒ I ⊨_{sextm} clauses_{NOT} S ⇔ I ⊨_{sextm} clauses_{NOT} T
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *rtrancpl-dpll-bj-sat-ext-iff:*

*dpll-bj** S T ⇒ inv S ⇒ I ⊨_{sextm} clauses_{NOT} S ⇔ I ⊨_{sextm} clauses_{NOT} T*
 ⟨proof⟩

theorem *full-dpll-backjump-final-state:*

fixes *A :: 'v clause set and S T :: 'st*
assumes
full: full dpll-bj S T and
atms-S: atms-of-mm (clauses_{NOT} S) ⊆ atms-of-ms A and
atms-trail: atm-of ' lits-of-l (trail S) ⊆ atms-of-ms A and
n-d: no-dup (trail S) and
finite A and
inv: inv S and
decomp: all-decomposition-implies-m (clauses_{NOT} S) (get-all-ann-decomposition (trail S))
shows *unsatisfiable (set-mset (clauses_{NOT} S))*
 ∨ *(trail T ⊨_{asm} clauses_{NOT} S ∧ satisfiable (set-mset (clauses_{NOT} S)))*
 ⟨proof⟩

corollary *full-dpll-backjump-final-state-from-init-state:*

fixes *A :: 'v clause set and S T :: 'st*

assumes
full: *full dpll-bj* *S T* **and**
trail *S* = [] **and**
*clauses*_{NOT} *S* = *N* **and**
inv *S*
shows *unsatisfiable* (*set-mset* *N*) \vee (*trail* *T* \models_{asm} *N* \wedge *satisfiable* (*set-mset* *N*))
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *trancpl-dpll-bj-trail-mes-decreasing-prop*:

assumes *dpll*: *dpll-bj*⁺⁺ *S T* **and** *inv*: *inv* *S* **and**
N-A: *atms-of-mm* (*clauses*_{NOT} *S*) \subseteq *atms-of-ms* *A* **and**
M-A: *atm-of* ' *lits-of-l* (*trail* *S*) \subseteq *atms-of-ms* *A* **and**
n-d: *no-dup* (*trail* *S*) **and**
fin-A: *finite* *A*
shows ($2 + \text{card} (\text{atms-of-ms } A) \wedge (1 + \text{card} (\text{atms-of-ms } A))$
 $- \mu_C (1 + \text{card} (\text{atms-of-ms } A)) (2 + \text{card} (\text{atms-of-ms } A)) (\text{trail-weight } T)$
 $< (2 + \text{card} (\text{atms-of-ms } A) \wedge (1 + \text{card} (\text{atms-of-ms } A))$
 $- \mu_C (1 + \text{card} (\text{atms-of-ms } A)) (2 + \text{card} (\text{atms-of-ms } A)) (\text{trail-weight } S)$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end — End of *dpll-with-backjumping*

1.2.4 CDCL

In this section we will now define the conflict driven clause learning above DPLL: we first introduce the rules learn and forget, and the add these rules to the DPLL calculus.

Learn and Forget

Learning adds a new clause where all the literals are already included in the clauses.

locale *learn-ops* =
dpll-state *trail* *clauses*_{NOT} *prepend-trail* *tl-trail* *add-cls*_{NOT} *remove-cls*_{NOT}
for
trail :: 'st \Rightarrow ('v, unit) *ann-lits* **and**
*clauses*_{NOT} :: 'st \Rightarrow 'v *clauses* **and**
prepend-trail :: ('v, unit) *ann-lit* \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**
tl-trail :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**
*add-cls*_{NOT} :: 'v *clause* \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**
*remove-cls*_{NOT} :: 'v *clause* \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st +
fixes
learn-cond :: 'v *clause* \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool
begin
inductive *learn* :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool **where**
*learn*_{NOT}-rule: *clauses*_{NOT} *S* \models_{pm} *C* \Rightarrow
atms-of *C* \subseteq *atms-of-mm* (*clauses*_{NOT} *S*) \cup *atm-of* ' (*lits-of-l* (*trail* *S*)) \Rightarrow
learn-cond *C* *S* \Rightarrow
T \sim *add-cls*_{NOT} *C* *S* \Rightarrow
learn *S* *T*
inductive-cases *learn*_{NOT}*E*: *learn* *S* *T*

lemma *learn- μ_C -stable*:

assumes *learn* *S T* **and** *no-dup* (*trail* *S*)
shows μ_C *A* *B* (*trail-weight* *S*) = μ_C *A* *B* (*trail-weight* *T*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

Forget removes an information that can be deduced from the context (e.g. redundant clauses, tautologies)

locale *forget-ops* =
dpll-state *trail* *clauses*_{NOT} *prepend-trail* *tl-trail* *add-cls*_{NOT} *remove-cls*_{NOT}
for
trail :: 'st \Rightarrow ('v, unit) *ann-lits* **and**
*clauses*_{NOT} :: 'st \Rightarrow 'v *clauses* **and**
prepend-trail :: ('v, unit) *ann-lit* \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**
tl-trail :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**
*add-cls*_{NOT} :: 'v *clause* \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**
*remove-cls*_{NOT} :: 'v *clause* \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st +
fixes
forget-cond :: 'v *clause* \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool
begin
inductive *forget*_{NOT} :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool **where**
*forget*_{NOT}:
removeAll-mset *C* (*clauses*_{NOT} *S*) \models_{pm} *C* \Rightarrow
forget-cond *C* *S* \Rightarrow
C $\in \#$ *clauses*_{NOT} *S* \Rightarrow
T \sim *remove-cls*_{NOT} *C* *S* \Rightarrow
*forget*_{NOT} *S* *T*
inductive-cases *forget*_{NOT}*E*: *forget*_{NOT} *S* *T*

lemma *forget- μ_C -stable*:
assumes *forget*_{NOT} *S* *T*
shows μ_C *A* *B* (*trail-weight* *S*) = μ_C *A* *B* (*trail-weight* *T*)
<proof>
end

locale *learn-and-forget*_{NOT} =
learn-ops *trail* *clauses*_{NOT} *prepend-trail* *tl-trail* *add-cls*_{NOT} *remove-cls*_{NOT} *learn-cond* +
forget-ops *trail* *clauses*_{NOT} *prepend-trail* *tl-trail* *add-cls*_{NOT} *remove-cls*_{NOT} *forget-cond*
for
trail :: 'st \Rightarrow ('v, unit) *ann-lits* **and**
*clauses*_{NOT} :: 'st \Rightarrow 'v *clauses* **and**
prepend-trail :: ('v, unit) *ann-lit* \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**
tl-trail :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**
*add-cls*_{NOT} :: 'v *clause* \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**
*remove-cls*_{NOT} :: 'v *clause* \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**
learn-cond *forget-cond* :: 'v *clause* \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool
begin
inductive *learn-and-forget*_{NOT} :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool
where
lf-learn: *learn* *S* *T* \Rightarrow *learn-and-forget*_{NOT} *S* *T* |
lf-forget: *forget*_{NOT} *S* *T* \Rightarrow *learn-and-forget*_{NOT} *S* *T*
end

Definition of CDCL

locale *conflict-driven-clause-learning-ops* =
dpll-with-backjumping-ops *trail* *clauses*_{NOT} *prepend-trail* *tl-trail* *add-cls*_{NOT} *remove-cls*_{NOT}
inv *backjump-conds* *propagate-conds* +
*learn-and-forget*_{NOT} *trail* *clauses*_{NOT} *prepend-trail* *tl-trail* *add-cls*_{NOT} *remove-cls*_{NOT} *learn-cond*
forget-cond

for

$trail :: 'st \Rightarrow ('v, unit) \text{ ann-lits and}$
 $clauses_{NOT} :: 'st \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clauses and}$
 $prepend-trail :: ('v, unit) \text{ ann-lit} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st \text{ and}$
 $tl-trail :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \text{ and}$
 $add-cls_{NOT} :: 'v \text{ clause} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st \text{ and}$
 $remove-cls_{NOT} :: 'v \text{ clause} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st \text{ and}$
 $inv :: 'st \Rightarrow bool \text{ and}$
 $backjump-conds :: 'v \text{ clause} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clause} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ literal} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool \text{ and}$
 $propagate-conds :: ('v, unit) \text{ ann-lit} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool \text{ and}$
 $learn-cond \text{ forget-cond} :: 'v \text{ clause} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool$

begin

inductive $cdcl_{NOT} :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool$ **for** $S :: 'st$ **where**

$c\text{-dpll-bj}: dpll\text{-bj } S S' \Longrightarrow cdcl_{NOT} S S' \mid$

$c\text{-learn}: learn S S' \Longrightarrow cdcl_{NOT} S S' \mid$

$c\text{-forget}_{NOT}: forget_{NOT} S S' \Longrightarrow cdcl_{NOT} S S'$

lemma $cdcl_{NOT}\text{-all-induct}[consumes 1, \text{case-names } dpll\text{-bj } learn \text{ forget}_{NOT}]$:

fixes $S T :: 'st$

assumes $cdcl_{NOT} S T$ **and**

$dpll: \bigwedge T. dpll\text{-bj } S T \Longrightarrow P S T$ **and**

learning:

$\bigwedge C T. clauses_{NOT} S \models_{pm} C \Longrightarrow$

$atms\text{-of } C \subseteq atms\text{-of-mm } (clauses_{NOT} S) \cup atm\text{-of } (lits\text{-of-l } (trail S)) \Longrightarrow$

$T \sim add\text{-cls}_{NOT} C S \Longrightarrow$

$P S T$ **and**

forgetting: $\bigwedge C T. removeAll\text{-mset } C (clauses_{NOT} S) \models_{pm} C \Longrightarrow$

$C \in \# clauses_{NOT} S \Longrightarrow$

$T \sim remove\text{-cls}_{NOT} C S \Longrightarrow$

$P S T$

shows $P S T$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cdcl_{NOT}\text{-no-dup}$:

assumes

$cdcl_{NOT} S T$ **and**

$inv S$ **and**

$no\text{-dup } (trail S)$

shows $no\text{-dup } (trail T)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

Consistency of the trail lemma $cdcl_{NOT}\text{-consistent}$:

assumes

$cdcl_{NOT} S T$ **and**

$inv S$ **and**

$no\text{-dup } (trail S)$

shows $consistent\text{-interp } (lits\text{-of-l } (trail T))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

The subtle problem here is that tautologies can be removed, meaning that some variable can disappear of the problem. It is also means that some variable of the trail might not be present in the clauses anymore.

lemma $cdcl_{NOT}\text{-atms-of-ms-clauses-decreasing}$:

assumes $cdcl_{NOT} S T$ **and** $inv S$ **and** $no\text{-dup } (trail S)$

shows $\text{atms-of-mm } (\text{clauses}_{NOT} T) \subseteq \text{atms-of-mm } (\text{clauses}_{NOT} S) \cup \text{atm-of } ' (\text{lits-of-l } (\text{trail } S))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-atms-in-trail}$:

assumes $\text{cdcl}_{NOT} S T$ **and** $\text{inv } S$ **and** $\text{no-dup } (\text{trail } S)$
and $\text{atm-of } ' (\text{lits-of-l } (\text{trail } S)) \subseteq \text{atms-of-mm } (\text{clauses}_{NOT} S)$
shows $\text{atm-of } ' (\text{lits-of-l } (\text{trail } T)) \subseteq \text{atms-of-mm } (\text{clauses}_{NOT} S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-atms-in-trail-in-set}$:

assumes
 $\text{cdcl}_{NOT} S T$ **and** $\text{inv } S$ **and** $\text{no-dup } (\text{trail } S)$ **and**
 $\text{atms-of-mm } (\text{clauses}_{NOT} S) \subseteq A$ **and**
 $\text{atm-of } ' (\text{lits-of-l } (\text{trail } S)) \subseteq A$
shows $\text{atm-of } ' (\text{lits-of-l } (\text{trail } T)) \subseteq A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-all-decomposition-implies}$:

assumes $\text{cdcl}_{NOT} S T$ **and** $\text{inv } S$ **and** $n\text{-d}[\text{simp}]: \text{no-dup } (\text{trail } S)$ **and**
 $\text{all-decomposition-implies-m } (\text{clauses}_{NOT} S) (\text{get-all-ann-decomposition } (\text{trail } S))$
shows
 $\text{all-decomposition-implies-m } (\text{clauses}_{NOT} T) (\text{get-all-ann-decomposition } (\text{trail } T))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Extension of models **lemma** $\text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-bj-sat-ext-iff}$:

assumes $\text{cdcl}_{NOT} S T$ **and** $\text{inv } S$ **and** $n\text{-d}: \text{no-dup } (\text{trail } S)$
shows $I \models_{\text{sextm}} \text{clauses}_{NOT} S \longleftrightarrow I \models_{\text{sextm}} \text{clauses}_{NOT} T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end — end of *conflict-driven-clause-learning-ops*

CDCL with invariant

locale $\text{conflict-driven-clause-learning} =$
 $\text{conflict-driven-clause-learning-ops} +$
assumes $\text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-inv}: \bigwedge S T. \text{cdcl}_{NOT} S T \implies \text{inv } S \implies \text{inv } T$
begin
sublocale $\text{dpll-with-backjumping}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{rtranclp-cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-inv}$:

$\text{cdcl}_{NOT}^{**} S T \implies \text{inv } S \implies \text{inv } T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{rtranclp-cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-no-dup}$:

assumes $\text{cdcl}_{NOT}^{**} S T$ **and** $\text{inv } S$
and $\text{no-dup } (\text{trail } S)$
shows $\text{no-dup } (\text{trail } T)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{rtranclp-cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-trail-clauses-bound}$:

assumes
 $\text{cdcl}: \text{cdcl}_{NOT}^{**} S T$ **and**
 $\text{inv}: \text{inv } S$ **and**
 $n\text{-d}: \text{no-dup } (\text{trail } S)$ **and**
 $\text{atms-clauses-}S: \text{atms-of-mm } (\text{clauses}_{NOT} S) \subseteq A$ **and**

atms-trail-S: $\text{atm-of } \text{'(lits-of-l (trail S))} \subseteq A$
shows $\text{atm-of } \text{'(lits-of-l (trail T))} \subseteq A \wedge \text{atms-of-mm (clauses}_{NOT} T) \subseteq A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_{NOT}-all-decomposition-implies*:
assumes $\text{cdcl}_{NOT}^{**} S T$ **and** $\text{inv } S$ **and** $\text{no-dup (trail } S)$ **and**
 $\text{all-decomposition-implies-m (clauses}_{NOT} S) (\text{get-all-ann-decomposition (trail } S))$
shows
 $\text{all-decomposition-implies-m (clauses}_{NOT} T) (\text{get-all-ann-decomposition (trail } T))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_{NOT}-bj-sat-ext-iff*:
assumes $\text{cdcl}_{NOT}^{**} S T$ **and** $\text{inv } S$ **and** $\text{no-dup (trail } S)$
shows $I \models_{\text{sextm}} \text{clauses}_{NOT} S \longleftrightarrow I \models_{\text{sextm}} \text{clauses}_{NOT} T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *cdcl_{NOT}-NOT-all-inv where*
 $\text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-NOT-all-inv } A S \longleftrightarrow (\text{finite } A \wedge \text{inv } S \wedge \text{atms-of-mm (clauses}_{NOT} S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A$
 $\wedge \text{atm-of } \text{'lits-of-l (trail } S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A \wedge \text{no-dup (trail } S))$

lemma *cdcl_{NOT}-NOT-all-inv*:
assumes $\text{cdcl}_{NOT}^{**} S T$ **and** $\text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-NOT-all-inv } A S$
shows $\text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-NOT-all-inv } A T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

abbreviation *learn-or-forget where*
 $\text{learn-or-forget } S T \equiv \text{learn } S T \vee \text{forget}_{NOT} S T$

lemma *rtrancpl-learn-or-forget-cdcl_{NOT}*:
 $\text{learn-or-forget}^{**} S T \implies \text{cdcl}_{NOT}^{**} S T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *learn-or-forget-dpll- μ_C* :
assumes
 $l\text{-f: learn-or-forget}^{**} S T$ **and**
 $dpll: dpll\text{-bj } T U$ **and**
 $\text{inv: cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-NOT-all-inv } A S$
shows $(2 + \text{card (atms-of-ms } A)) \wedge (1 + \text{card (atms-of-ms } A))$
 $- \mu_C (1 + \text{card (atms-of-ms } A)) (2 + \text{card (atms-of-ms } A)) (\text{trail-weight } U)$
 $< (2 + \text{card (atms-of-ms } A)) \wedge (1 + \text{card (atms-of-ms } A))$
 $- \mu_C (1 + \text{card (atms-of-ms } A)) (2 + \text{card (atms-of-ms } A)) (\text{trail-weight } S)$
 $(\text{is } ?\mu U < ?\mu S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *infinite-cdcl_{NOT}-exists-learn-and-forget-infinite-chain*:
assumes
 $\bigwedge i. \text{cdcl}_{NOT} (f i) (f (\text{Suc } i))$ **and**
 $\text{inv: cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-NOT-all-inv } A (f 0)$
shows $\exists j. \forall i \geq j. \text{learn-or-forget } (f i) (f (\text{Suc } i))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *wf-cdcl_{NOT}-no-learn-and-forget-infinite-chain*:
assumes
 $\text{no-infinite-lf: } \bigwedge f j. \neg (\forall i \geq j. \text{learn-or-forget } (f i) (f (\text{Suc } i)))$
shows $\text{wf } \{(T, S). \text{cdcl}_{NOT} S T \wedge \text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-NOT-all-inv } A S\}$

(**is** $wf \{(T, S). \text{cdcl}_{NOT} S T \wedge ?inv S\}$)
 <proof>

lemma *inv-and-tranclp-cdcl_{NOT}-tranclp-cdcl_{NOT}-and-inv:*

$\text{cdcl}_{NOT}^{++} S T \wedge \text{cdcl}_{NOT-NOT-all-inv} A S \longleftrightarrow (\lambda S T. \text{cdcl}_{NOT} S T \wedge \text{cdcl}_{NOT-NOT-all-inv} A S)^{++} S T$

(**is** $?A \wedge ?I \longleftrightarrow ?B$)

<proof>

lemma *wf-tranclp-cdcl_{NOT}-no-learn-and-forget-infinite-chain:*

assumes

no-infinite-lf: $\bigwedge f j. \neg (\forall i \geq j. \text{learn-or-forget } (f i) (f (Suc i)))$

shows $wf \{(T, S). \text{cdcl}_{NOT}^{++} S T \wedge \text{cdcl}_{NOT-NOT-all-inv} A S\}$

<proof>

lemma *cdcl_{NOT}-final-state:*

assumes

n-s: *no-step* $\text{cdcl}_{NOT} S$ **and**

inv: $\text{cdcl}_{NOT-NOT-all-inv} A S$ **and**

decomp: *all-decomposition-implies-m* ($\text{clauses}_{NOT} S$) (*get-all-ann-decomposition* ($\text{trail } S$))

shows *unsatisfiable* ($\text{set-mset } (\text{clauses}_{NOT} S)$)

$\vee (\text{trail } S \models_{asm} \text{clauses}_{NOT} S \wedge \text{satisfiable } (\text{set-mset } (\text{clauses}_{NOT} S)))$

<proof>

lemma *full-cdcl_{NOT}-final-state:*

assumes

full: *full* $\text{cdcl}_{NOT} S T$ **and**

inv: $\text{cdcl}_{NOT-NOT-all-inv} A S$ **and**

n-d: *no-dup* ($\text{trail } S$) **and**

decomp: *all-decomposition-implies-m* ($\text{clauses}_{NOT} S$) (*get-all-ann-decomposition* ($\text{trail } S$))

shows *unsatisfiable* ($\text{set-mset } (\text{clauses}_{NOT} T)$)

$\vee (\text{trail } T \models_{asm} \text{clauses}_{NOT} T \wedge \text{satisfiable } (\text{set-mset } (\text{clauses}_{NOT} T)))$

<proof>

end — end of *conflict-driven-clause-learning*

Termination

To prove termination we need to restrict learn and forget. Otherwise we could forget and relearn the exact same clause over and over. A first idea is to forbid removing clauses that can be used to backjump. This does not change the rules of the calculus. A second idea is to “merge” backjump and learn: that way, though closer to implementation, needs a change of the rules, since the backjump-rule learns the clause used to backjump.

Restricting learn and forget

locale *conflict-driven-clause-learning-learning-before-backjump-only-distinct-learnt* =

dpll-state $\text{trail } \text{clauses}_{NOT} \text{ prepend-trail } \text{tl-trail } \text{add-cl}_s_{NOT} \text{ remove-cl}_s_{NOT} +$
conflict-driven-clause-learning $\text{trail } \text{clauses}_{NOT} \text{ prepend-trail } \text{tl-trail } \text{add-cl}_s_{NOT} \text{ remove-cl}_s_{NOT}$
inv *backjump-conds* *propagate-conds*

$\lambda C S. \text{distinct-mset } C \wedge \neg \text{tautology } C \wedge \text{learn-restrictions } C S \wedge$

$(\exists F K d F' C' L. \text{trail } S = F' @ \text{Decided } K \# F \wedge C = C' + \{\#L\} \wedge F \models_{as} C \text{Not } C'$
 $\wedge C' + \{\#L\} \notin \text{clauses}_{NOT} S)$

$\lambda C S. \neg (\exists F' F K d L. \text{trail } S = F' @ \text{Decided } K \# F \wedge F \models_{as} C \text{Not } (\text{remove1-mset } L C))$
 $\wedge \text{forget-restrictions } C S$

for
 $trail :: 'st \Rightarrow ('v, unit) \text{ ann-lits and}$
 $clauses_{NOT} :: 'st \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clauses and}$
 $prepend-trail :: ('v, unit) \text{ ann-lit} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st \text{ and}$
 $tl-trail :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \text{ and}$
 $add-cls_{NOT} :: 'v \text{ clause} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st \text{ and}$
 $remove-cls_{NOT} :: 'v \text{ clause} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st \text{ and}$
 $inv :: 'st \Rightarrow bool \text{ and}$
 $backjump-conds :: 'v \text{ clause} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clause} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ literal} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool \text{ and}$
 $propagate-conds :: ('v, unit) \text{ ann-lit} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool \text{ and}$
 $learn-restrictions \text{ forget-restrictions} :: 'v \text{ clause} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool$
begin

lemma $cdcl_{NOT}\text{-learn-all-induct}[consumes\ 1, \text{ case-names } dp\text{ll-bj learn forget}_{NOT}]$:
fixes $S\ T :: 'st$
assumes $cdcl_{NOT}\ S\ T$ **and**
 $dp\text{ll}: \bigwedge T. dp\text{ll-bj}\ S\ T \Longrightarrow P\ S\ T$ **and**
learning:
 $\bigwedge C\ F\ K\ F'\ C'\ L\ T. clauses_{NOT}\ S \models_{pm} C \Longrightarrow$
 $atms\text{-of}\ C \subseteq atms\text{-of}\text{-mm}\ (clauses_{NOT}\ S) \cup atm\text{-of}\ ' (lits\text{-of}\text{-l}\ (trail\ S)) \Longrightarrow$
 $distinct\text{-mset}\ C \Longrightarrow$
 $\neg \text{tautology}\ C \Longrightarrow$
 $learn\text{-restrictions}\ C\ S \Longrightarrow$
 $trail\ S = F' @ Decided\ K \# F \Longrightarrow$
 $C = C' + \{\#L\# \} \Longrightarrow$
 $F \models_{as} CNot\ C' \Longrightarrow$
 $C' + \{\#L\# \} \notin clauses_{NOT}\ S \Longrightarrow$
 $T \sim add\text{-cls}_{NOT}\ C\ S \Longrightarrow$
 $P\ S\ T$ **and**
forgetting: $\bigwedge C\ T. removeAll\text{-mset}\ C\ (clauses_{NOT}\ S) \models_{pm} C \Longrightarrow$
 $C \in clauses_{NOT}\ S \Longrightarrow$
 $\neg(\exists F'\ F\ K\ L. trail\ S = F' @ Decided\ K \# F \wedge F \models_{as} CNot\ (C - \{\#L\# \})) \Longrightarrow$
 $T \sim remove\text{-cls}_{NOT}\ C\ S \Longrightarrow$
 $forget\text{-restrictions}\ C\ S \Longrightarrow$
 $P\ S\ T$
shows $P\ S\ T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rtranclp\text{-}cdcl_{NOT}\text{-inv}$:
 $cdcl_{NOT}^{**}\ S\ T \Longrightarrow inv\ S \Longrightarrow inv\ T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $learn\text{-always-simple-clauses}$:
assumes
 $learn: learn\ S\ T$ **and**
 $n\text{-d}: no\text{-dup}\ (trail\ S)$
shows $set\text{-mset}\ (clauses_{NOT}\ T - clauses_{NOT}\ S)$
 $\subseteq simple\text{-clss}\ (atms\text{-of}\text{-mm}\ (clauses_{NOT}\ S) \cup atm\text{-of}\ ' lits\text{-of}\text{-l}\ (trail\ S))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $conflicting\text{-bj-clss}\ S \equiv$
 $\{C + \{\#L\# \} \mid C\ L. C + \{\#L\# \} \in clauses_{NOT}\ S \wedge distinct\text{-mset}\ (C + \{\#L\# \})$
 $\wedge \neg \text{tautology}\ (C + \{\#L\# \})$
 $\wedge (\exists F'\ K\ F. trail\ S = F' @ Decided\ K \# F \wedge F \models_{as} CNot\ C)\}$

lemma $conflicting\text{-bj-clss}\text{-remove-cl}_{NOT}[simp]$:

conflicting-bj-clss (*remove-clss*_{NOT} *C S*) = *conflicting-bj-clss* *S* - {*C*}
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *conflicting-bj-clss-remove-clss*_{NOT}'[simp]:

T ~ *remove-clss*_{NOT} *C S* \implies *conflicting-bj-clss* *T* = *conflicting-bj-clss* *S* - {*C*}
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *conflicting-bj-clss-add-clss*_{NOT}-state-eq:

assumes

T: *T* ~ *add-clss*_{NOT} *C' S* **and**

n-d: *no-dup* (*trail S*)

shows *conflicting-bj-clss* *T*

= *conflicting-bj-clss* *S*

\cup (*if* $\exists C L. C' = C + \{\#L\# \} \wedge \text{distinct-mset } (C + \{\#L\# \}) \wedge \neg \text{tautology } (C + \{\#L\# \})$

$\wedge (\exists F' K d F. \text{trail } S = F' @ \text{Decided } K \# F \wedge F \models_{\text{as}} C \text{Not } C)$

then {*C'*} *else* {})

⟨proof⟩

lemma *conflicting-bj-clss-add-clss*_{NOT}:

no-dup (*trail S*) \implies

conflicting-bj-clss (*add-clss*_{NOT} *C' S*)

= *conflicting-bj-clss* *S*

\cup (*if* $\exists C L. C' = C + \{\#L\# \} \wedge \text{distinct-mset } (C + \{\#L\# \}) \wedge \neg \text{tautology } (C + \{\#L\# \})$

$\wedge (\exists F' K d F. \text{trail } S = F' @ \text{Decided } K \# F \wedge F \models_{\text{as}} C \text{Not } C)$

then {*C'*} *else* {})

⟨proof⟩

lemma *conflicting-bj-clss-incl-clauses*:

conflicting-bj-clss *S* \subseteq *set-mset* (*clauses*_{NOT} *S*)

⟨proof⟩

lemma *finite-conflicting-bj-clss*[simp]:

finite (*conflicting-bj-clss* *S*)

⟨proof⟩

lemma *learn-conflicting-increasing*:

no-dup (*trail S*) \implies *learn* *S T* \implies *conflicting-bj-clss* *S* \subseteq *conflicting-bj-clss* *T*

⟨proof⟩

abbreviation *conflicting-bj-clss-yet* *b S* \equiv

$\mathcal{S} \hat{\wedge} b - \text{card } (\text{conflicting-bj-clss } S)$

abbreviation $\mu_L :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow \text{nat} \times \text{nat}$ **where**

$\mu_L \ b \ S \equiv (\text{conflicting-bj-clss-yet } b \ S, \text{card } (\text{set-mset } (\text{clauses}_{\text{NOT}} \ S)))$

lemma *remove1-mset-single-add-if*:

remove1-mset *L* (*C* + {*#L'#*}) = (*if* *L* = *L'* *then* *C* *else* *remove1-mset* *L* *C* + {*#L'#*})

⟨proof⟩

lemma *do-not-forget-before-backtrack-rule-clause-learned-clause-untouched*:

assumes *forget*_{NOT} *S T*

shows *conflicting-bj-clss* *S* = *conflicting-bj-clss* *T*

⟨proof⟩

lemma *forget- μ_L -decrease*:

assumes *forget*_{NOT}: *forget*_{NOT} *S T*

shows $(\mu_L \ b \ T, \mu_L \ b \ S) \in \text{less-than } <*\text{lex}*> \text{less-than}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *set-condition-or-split*:

$\{a. (a = b \vee Q \ a) \wedge S \ a\} = (\text{if } S \ b \text{ then } \{b\} \text{ else } \{\}) \cup \{a. Q \ a \wedge S \ a\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *set-insert-neg*:

$A \neq \text{insert } a \ A \longleftrightarrow a \notin A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *learn- μ_L -decrease*:

assumes *learnST*: *learn* $S \ T$ **and** *n-d*: *no-dup* (*trail* S) **and**
A: *atms-of-mm* (*clauses*_{NOT} S) \cup *atm-of* ‘*lits-of-l* (*trail* S) $\subseteq A$ **and**
fin-A: *finite* A
shows $(\mu_L \ (\text{card } A) \ T, \mu_L \ (\text{card } A) \ S) \in \text{less-than } <*\text{lex}*> \text{less-than}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

We have to assume the following:

- *inv* S : the invariant holds in the initial state.
- A is a (finite *finite* A) superset of the literals in the trail *atm-of* ‘*lits-of-l* (*trail* S) \subseteq *atms-of-ms* A and in the clauses *atms-of-mm* (*clauses*_{NOT} S) \subseteq *atms-of-ms* A . This can be the set of all the literals in the starting set of clauses.
- *no-dup* (*trail* S): no duplicate in the trail. This is invariant along the path.

definition μ_{CDCL} **where**

$\mu_{CDCL} \ A \ T \equiv ((2 + \text{card} \ (\text{atms-of-ms } A)) \wedge (1 + \text{card} \ (\text{atms-of-ms } A))$
 $\quad - \mu_C \ (1 + \text{card} \ (\text{atms-of-ms } A)) \ (2 + \text{card} \ (\text{atms-of-ms } A)) \ (\text{trail-weight } T),$
 $\quad \text{conflicting-bj-clss-yet} \ (\text{card} \ (\text{atms-of-ms } A)) \ T, \text{card} \ (\text{set-mset} \ (\text{clauses}_{NOT} \ T)))$

lemma *cdcl_{NOT}-decreasing-measure*:

assumes
cdcl_{NOT} $S \ T$ **and**
inv: *inv* S **and**
atm-clss: *atms-of-mm* (*clauses*_{NOT} S) \subseteq *atms-of-ms* A **and**
atm-lits: *atm-of* ‘*lits-of-l* (*trail* S) \subseteq *atms-of-ms* A **and**
n-d: *no-dup* (*trail* S) **and**
fin-A: *finite* A
shows $(\mu_{CDCL} \ A \ T, \mu_{CDCL} \ A \ S)$
 $\in \text{less-than } <*\text{lex}*> \ (\text{less-than } <*\text{lex}*> \text{less-than})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *wf-cdcl_{NOT}-restricted-learning*:

assumes *finite* A
shows *wf* $\{(T, S).$
 $(\text{atms-of-mm} \ (\text{clauses}_{NOT} \ S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A \wedge \text{atm-of} \text{ ‘lits-of-l} \ (\text{trail } S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A$
 $\wedge \text{no-dup} \ (\text{trail } S)$
 $\wedge \text{inv } S)$
 $\wedge \text{cdcl}_{NOT} \ S \ T \}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition $\mu_C' :: 'v \text{ clause set} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ **where**

$\mu_C' \ A \ T \equiv \mu_C \ (1 + \text{card} \ (\text{atms-of-ms } A)) \ (2 + \text{card} \ (\text{atms-of-ms } A)) \ (\text{trail-weight } T)$

definition $\mu_{CDCL}' :: 'v \text{ clause set} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow nat$ **where**

$\mu_{CDCL}' A T \equiv$
 $((2 + \text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A)) \wedge (1 + \text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A)) - \mu_C' A T) * (1 + 3^{\text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A)}) * 2$
 $+ \text{conflicting-bj-clss-yet } (\text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A)) T * 2$
 $+ \text{card } (\text{set-mset } (\text{clauses}_{NOT} T))$

lemma $\text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-decreasing-measure}'$:

assumes

$\text{cdcl}_{NOT} S T$ **and**

$\text{inv: inv } S$ **and**

$\text{atms-clss: atms-of-mm } (\text{clauses}_{NOT} S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A$ **and**

$\text{atms-trail: atm-of } '(\text{lits-of-l } (\text{trail } S)) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A$ **and**

$n\text{-d: no-dup } (\text{trail } S)$ **and**

$\text{fin-A: finite } A$

shows $\mu_{CDCL}' A T < \mu_{CDCL}' A S$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-clauses-bound}$:

assumes

$\text{cdcl}_{NOT} S T$ **and**

$\text{inv } S$ **and**

$\text{atms-of-mm } (\text{clauses}_{NOT} S) \subseteq A$ **and**

$\text{atm-of } '(\text{lits-of-l } (\text{trail } S)) \subseteq A$ **and**

$n\text{-d: no-dup } (\text{trail } S)$ **and**

$\text{fin-A[simp]: finite } A$

shows $\text{set-mset } (\text{clauses}_{NOT} T) \subseteq \text{set-mset } (\text{clauses}_{NOT} S) \cup \text{simple-clss } A$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{rtranclp-cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-clauses-bound}$:

assumes

$\text{cdcl}_{NOT}^{**} S T$ **and**

$\text{inv } S$ **and**

$\text{atms-of-mm } (\text{clauses}_{NOT} S) \subseteq A$ **and**

$\text{atm-of } '(\text{lits-of-l } (\text{trail } S)) \subseteq A$ **and**

$n\text{-d: no-dup } (\text{trail } S)$ **and**

$\text{finite: finite } A$

shows $\text{set-mset } (\text{clauses}_{NOT} T) \subseteq \text{set-mset } (\text{clauses}_{NOT} S) \cup \text{simple-clss } A$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{rtranclp-cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-card-clauses-bound}$:

assumes

$\text{cdcl}_{NOT}^{**} S T$ **and**

$\text{inv } S$ **and**

$\text{atms-of-mm } (\text{clauses}_{NOT} S) \subseteq A$ **and**

$\text{atm-of } '(\text{lits-of-l } (\text{trail } S)) \subseteq A$ **and**

$n\text{-d: no-dup } (\text{trail } S)$ **and**

$\text{finite: finite } A$

shows $\text{card } (\text{set-mset } (\text{clauses}_{NOT} T)) \leq \text{card } (\text{set-mset } (\text{clauses}_{NOT} S)) + 3 \wedge (\text{card } A)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{rtranclp-cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-card-clauses-bound}'$:

assumes

$\text{cdcl}_{NOT}^{**} S T$ **and**

$\text{inv } S$ **and**

$atms\text{-}of\text{-}mm\ (clauses_{NOT}\ S) \subseteq A$ **and**
 $atm\text{-}of\ (lits\text{-}of\text{-}l\ (trail\ S)) \subseteq A$ **and**
 $n\text{-}d: no\text{-}dup\ (trail\ S)$ **and**
 $finite: finite\ A$
shows $card\ \{C \mid C. C \in \# clauses_{NOT}\ T \wedge (tautology\ C \vee \neg distinct\text{-}mset\ C)\}$
 $\leq card\ \{C \mid C. C \in \# clauses_{NOT}\ S \wedge (tautology\ C \vee \neg distinct\text{-}mset\ C)\} + 3 \wedge (card\ A)$
 $(is\ card\ ?T \leq card\ ?S + -)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rtranc\text{-}p\text{-}cdcl_{NOT}\text{-}card\text{-}simple\text{-}clauses\text{-}bound$:

assumes
 $cdcl_{NOT}^{**}\ S\ T$ **and**
 $inv\ S$ **and**
 $NA: atms\text{-}of\text{-}mm\ (clauses_{NOT}\ S) \subseteq A$ **and**
 $MA: atm\text{-}of\ (lits\text{-}of\text{-}l\ (trail\ S)) \subseteq A$ **and**
 $n\text{-}d: no\text{-}dup\ (trail\ S)$ **and**
 $finite: finite\ A$
shows $card\ (set\text{-}mset\ (clauses_{NOT}\ T))$
 $\leq card\ \{C. C \in \# clauses_{NOT}\ S \wedge (tautology\ C \vee \neg distinct\text{-}mset\ C)\} + 3 \wedge (card\ A)$
 $(is\ card\ ?T \leq card\ ?S + -)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $\mu_{CDCL}'\text{-}bound :: 'v\ clause\ set \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow nat$ **where**

$\mu_{CDCL}'\text{-}bound\ A\ S =$
 $((2 + card\ (atms\text{-}of\text{-}ms\ A)) \wedge (1 + card\ (atms\text{-}of\text{-}ms\ A))) * (1 + 3 \wedge card\ (atms\text{-}of\text{-}ms\ A)) * 2$
 $+ 2 * 3 \wedge (card\ (atms\text{-}of\text{-}ms\ A))$
 $+ card\ \{C. C \in \# clauses_{NOT}\ S \wedge (tautology\ C \vee \neg distinct\text{-}mset\ C)\} + 3 \wedge (card\ (atms\text{-}of\text{-}ms\ A))$

lemma $\mu_{CDCL}'\text{-}bound\text{-}reduce\text{-}trail\text{-}to_{NOT}[simp]$:

$\mu_{CDCL}'\text{-}bound\ A\ (reduce\text{-}trail\text{-}to_{NOT}\ M\ S) = \mu_{CDCL}'\text{-}bound\ A\ S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rtranc\text{-}p\text{-}cdcl_{NOT}\text{-}\mu_{CDCL}'\text{-}bound\text{-}reduce\text{-}trail\text{-}to_{NOT}$:

assumes
 $cdcl_{NOT}^{**}\ S\ T$ **and**
 $inv\ S$ **and**
 $atms\text{-}of\text{-}mm\ (clauses_{NOT}\ S) \subseteq atms\text{-}of\text{-}ms\ A$ **and**
 $atm\text{-}of\ (lits\text{-}of\text{-}l\ (trail\ S)) \subseteq atms\text{-}of\text{-}ms\ A$ **and**
 $n\text{-}d: no\text{-}dup\ (trail\ S)$ **and**
 $finite: finite\ (atms\text{-}of\text{-}ms\ A)$ **and**
 $U: U \sim reduce\text{-}trail\text{-}to_{NOT}\ M\ T$
shows $\mu_{CDCL}'\ A\ U \leq \mu_{CDCL}'\text{-}bound\ A\ S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rtranc\text{-}p\text{-}cdcl_{NOT}\text{-}\mu_{CDCL}'\text{-}bound$:

assumes
 $cdcl_{NOT}^{**}\ S\ T$ **and**
 $inv\ S$ **and**
 $atms\text{-}of\text{-}mm\ (clauses_{NOT}\ S) \subseteq atms\text{-}of\text{-}ms\ A$ **and**
 $atm\text{-}of\ (lits\text{-}of\text{-}l\ (trail\ S)) \subseteq atms\text{-}of\text{-}ms\ A$ **and**
 $n\text{-}d: no\text{-}dup\ (trail\ S)$ **and**
 $finite: finite\ (atms\text{-}of\text{-}ms\ A)$
shows $\mu_{CDCL}'\ A\ T \leq \mu_{CDCL}'\text{-}bound\ A\ S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rtranc\text{-}p\text{-}\mu_{CDCL}'\text{-}bound\text{-}decreasing$:

assumes
 $cdcl_{NOT}^{**} S T$ **and**
 $inv S$ **and**
 $atms-of-mm (clauses_{NOT} S) \subseteq atms-of-ms A$ **and**
 $atm-of (lits-of-l (trail S)) \subseteq atms-of-ms A$ **and**
 $n-d: no-dup (trail S)$ **and**
 $finite[simp]: finite (atms-of-ms A)$
shows $\mu_{CDCL}'\text{-bound } A T \leq \mu_{CDCL}'\text{-bound } A S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end — end of *conflict-driven-clause-learning-learning-before-backjump-only-distinct-learnt*

1.2.5 CDCL with restarts

Definition

locale *restart-ops* =
fixes
 $cdcl_{NOT} :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool$ **and**
 $restart :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool$
begin
inductive $cdcl_{NOT}\text{-raw-restart} :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $cdcl_{NOT} S T \Longrightarrow cdcl_{NOT}\text{-raw-restart } S T \mid$
 $restart S T \Longrightarrow cdcl_{NOT}\text{-raw-restart } S T$
end

locale *conflict-driven-clause-learning-with-restarts* =
 $conflict-driven-clause-learning\ trail\ clauses_{NOT}\ prepend-trail\ tl-trail\ add-cl_{NOT}\ remove-cl_{NOT}$
 $inv\ backjump-conds\ propagate-conds\ learn-cond\ forget-cond$
for
 $trail :: 'st \Rightarrow ('v, unit)\ ann-lits$ **and**
 $clauses_{NOT} :: 'st \Rightarrow 'v\ clauses$ **and**
 $prepend-trail :: ('v, unit)\ ann-lit \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st$ **and**
 $tl-trail :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st$ **and**
 $add-cl_{NOT} :: 'v\ clause \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st$ **and**
 $remove-cl_{NOT} :: 'v\ clause \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st$ **and**
 $inv :: 'st \Rightarrow bool$ **and**
 $backjump-conds :: 'v\ clause \Rightarrow 'v\ clause \Rightarrow 'v\ literal \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool$ **and**
 $propagate-conds :: ('v, unit)\ ann-lit \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool$ **and**
 $learn-cond\ forget-cond :: 'v\ clause \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool$
begin

lemma $cdcl_{NOT}\text{-iff-}cdcl_{NOT}\text{-raw-restart-no-restarts}$:
 $cdcl_{NOT} S T \longleftrightarrow restart\text{-ops}.cdcl_{NOT}\text{-raw-restart } cdcl_{NOT} (\lambda\ -. False) S T$
(is ?C S T \longleftrightarrow ?R S T)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cdcl_{NOT}\text{-}cdcl_{NOT}\text{-raw-restart}$:
 $cdcl_{NOT} S T \Longrightarrow restart\text{-ops}.cdcl_{NOT}\text{-raw-restart } cdcl_{NOT} restart S T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$
end

Increasing restarts

To add restarts we need some assumptions on the predicate (called $cdcl_{NOT}$ here):

- a function f that is strictly monotonic. The first step is actually only used as a restart to clean the state (e.g. to ensure that the trail is empty). Then we assume that $(1::'a) \leq f$ n for $(1::'a) \leq n$: it means that between two consecutive restarts, at least one step will be done. This is necessary to avoid sequence. like: full – restart – full – ...
- a measure μ : it should decrease under the assumptions $bound_inv$, whenever a $cdcl_{NOT}$ or a $restart$ is done. A parameter is given to μ : for conflict- driven clause learning, it is an upper-bound of the clauses. We are assuming that such a bound can be found after a restart whenever the invariant holds.
- we also assume that the measure decrease after any $cdcl_{NOT}$ step.
- an invariant on the states $cdcl_{NOT}\text{-inv}$ that also holds after restarts.
- it is *not required* that the measure decrease with respect to restarts, but the measure has to be bound by some function $\mu\text{-bound}$ taking the same parameter as μ and the initial state of the considered $cdcl_{NOT}$ chain.

locale $cdcl_{NOT}\text{-increasing-restarts-ops} =$
 $restart\text{-ops } cdcl_{NOT} \text{ restart for}$
 $restart :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool \text{ and}$
 $cdcl_{NOT} :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool +$
fixes
 $f :: nat \Rightarrow nat \text{ and}$
 $bound_inv :: 'bound \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool \text{ and}$
 $\mu :: 'bound \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow nat \text{ and}$
 $cdcl_{NOT}\text{-inv} :: 'st \Rightarrow bool \text{ and}$
 $\mu\text{-bound} :: 'bound \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow nat$
assumes
 $f: \text{unbounded } f \text{ and}$
 $f\text{-ge-1}: \bigwedge n. n \geq 1 \implies f\ n \neq 0 \text{ and}$
 $bound_inv: \bigwedge A\ S\ T. cdcl_{NOT}\text{-inv } S \implies bound_inv\ A\ S \implies cdcl_{NOT}\ S\ T \implies bound_inv\ A\ T \text{ and}$
 $cdcl_{NOT}\text{-measure}: \bigwedge A\ S\ T. cdcl_{NOT}\text{-inv } S \implies bound_inv\ A\ S \implies cdcl_{NOT}\ S\ T \implies \mu\ A\ T < \mu$
 $A\ S \text{ and}$
 $measure_bound2: \bigwedge A\ T\ U. cdcl_{NOT}\text{-inv } T \implies bound_inv\ A\ T \implies cdcl_{NOT}^{**}\ T\ U$
 $\implies \mu\ A\ U \leq \mu\text{-bound } A\ T \text{ and}$
 $measure_bound4: \bigwedge A\ T\ U. cdcl_{NOT}\text{-inv } T \implies bound_inv\ A\ T \implies cdcl_{NOT}^{**}\ T\ U$
 $\implies \mu\text{-bound } A\ U \leq \mu\text{-bound } A\ T \text{ and}$
 $cdcl_{NOT}\text{-restart-inv}: \bigwedge A\ U\ V. cdcl_{NOT}\text{-inv } U \implies restart\ U\ V \implies bound_inv\ A\ U \implies bound_inv$
 $A\ V$
and
 $exists_bound: \bigwedge R\ S. cdcl_{NOT}\text{-inv } R \implies restart\ R\ S \implies \exists A. bound_inv\ A\ S \text{ and}$
 $cdcl_{NOT}\text{-inv}: \bigwedge S\ T. cdcl_{NOT}\text{-inv } S \implies cdcl_{NOT}\ S\ T \implies cdcl_{NOT}\text{-inv } T \text{ and}$
 $cdcl_{NOT}\text{-inv-restart}: \bigwedge S\ T. cdcl_{NOT}\text{-inv } S \implies restart\ S\ T \implies cdcl_{NOT}\text{-inv } T$
begin

lemma $cdcl_{NOT}\text{-cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-inv}:$

assumes

$(cdcl_{NOT} \rightsquigarrow n)\ S\ T \text{ and}$

$cdcl_{NOT}\text{-inv } S$

shows $cdcl_{NOT}\text{-inv } T$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cdcl_{NOT}\text{-bound-inv}:$

assumes

$(cdcl_{NOT} \rightsquigarrow n) S T$ **and**
 $cdcl_{NOT-inv} S$
 $bound-inv A S$
shows $bound-inv A T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rtrancplp-cdcl_{NOT}-cdcl_{NOT-inv}$:

assumes
 $cdcl_{NOT}^{**} S T$ **and**
 $cdcl_{NOT-inv} S$
shows $cdcl_{NOT-inv} T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rtrancplp-cdcl_{NOT}-bound-inv$:

assumes
 $cdcl_{NOT}^{**} S T$ **and**
 $bound-inv A S$ **and**
 $cdcl_{NOT-inv} S$
shows $bound-inv A T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cdcl_{NOT}-comp-n-le$:

assumes
 $(cdcl_{NOT} \rightsquigarrow (Suc\ n)) S T$ **and**
 $bound-inv A S$
 $cdcl_{NOT-inv} S$
shows $\mu A T < \mu A S - n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $wf-cdcl_{NOT}$:

$wf \{(T, S). cdcl_{NOT} S T \wedge cdcl_{NOT-inv} S \wedge bound-inv A S\}$ (**is** $wf\ ?A$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rtrancplp-cdcl_{NOT}-measure$:

assumes
 $cdcl_{NOT}^{**} S T$ **and**
 $bound-inv A S$ **and**
 $cdcl_{NOT-inv} S$
shows $\mu A T \leq \mu A S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cdcl_{NOT}-comp-bounded$:

assumes
 $bound-inv A S$ **and** $cdcl_{NOT-inv} S$ **and** $m \geq 1 + \mu A S$
shows $\neg(cdcl_{NOT} \rightsquigarrow m) S T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

- $f\ n < m$ ensures that at least one step has been done.

inductive $cdcl_{NOT}-restart$ **where**

$restart-step: (cdcl_{NOT} \rightsquigarrow m) S T \implies m \geq f\ n \implies restart\ T\ U$
 $\implies cdcl_{NOT}-restart\ (S, n)\ (U, Suc\ n) \mid$

$restart-full: full1\ cdcl_{NOT} S T \implies cdcl_{NOT}-restart\ (S, n)\ (T, Suc\ n)$

lemmas $cdcl_{NOT}-with-restart-induct = cdcl_{NOT}-restart.induct[split-format(complete),$

OF cdcl_{NOT}-increasing-restarts-ops-axioms]

lemma *cdcl_{NOT}-restart-cdcl_{NOT}-raw-restart:*

*cdcl_{NOT}-restart S T \implies cdcl_{NOT}-raw-restart** (fst S) (fst T)*
<proof>

lemma *cdcl_{NOT}-with-restart-bound-inv:*

assumes
cdcl_{NOT}-restart S T and
bound-inv A (fst S) and
cdcl_{NOT}-inv (fst S)
shows *bound-inv A (fst T)*
<proof>

lemma *cdcl_{NOT}-with-restart-cdcl_{NOT}-inv:*

assumes
cdcl_{NOT}-restart S T and
cdcl_{NOT}-inv (fst S)
shows *cdcl_{NOT}-inv (fst T)*
<proof>

lemma *rtrancp-cdcl_{NOT}-with-restart-cdcl_{NOT}-inv:*

assumes
*cdcl_{NOT}-restart** S T and*
cdcl_{NOT}-inv (fst S)
shows *cdcl_{NOT}-inv (fst T)*
<proof>

lemma *rtrancp-cdcl_{NOT}-with-restart-bound-inv:*

assumes
*cdcl_{NOT}-restart** S T and*
cdcl_{NOT}-inv (fst S) and
bound-inv A (fst S)
shows *bound-inv A (fst T)*
<proof>

lemma *cdcl_{NOT}-with-restart-increasing-number:*

cdcl_{NOT}-restart S T \implies snd T = 1 + snd S
<proof>

end

locale *cdcl_{NOT}-increasing-restarts =*

cdcl_{NOT}-increasing-restarts-ops restart cdcl_{NOT} f bound-inv μ cdcl_{NOT}-inv μ -bound +
dpll-state trail clauses_{NOT} prepend-trail tl-trail add-cl_{NOT} remove-cl_{NOT}

for

trail :: 'st \Rightarrow ('v, unit) ann-lits and
clauses_{NOT} :: 'st \Rightarrow 'v clauses and
prepend-trail :: ('v, unit) ann-lit \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st and
tl-trail :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st and
add-cl_{NOT} :: 'v clause \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st and
remove-cl_{NOT} :: 'v clause \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st and
f :: nat \Rightarrow nat and
restart :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool and
bound-inv :: 'bound \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool and
 μ :: 'bound \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow nat and
cdcl_{NOT} :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool and

$cdcl_{NOT-inv} :: 'st \Rightarrow bool$ **and**
 $\mu-bound :: 'bound \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow nat +$
assumes
 $measure-bound: \bigwedge A\ T\ V\ n. cdcl_{NOT-inv}\ T \Longrightarrow bound-inv\ A\ T$
 $\Longrightarrow cdcl_{NOT-restart}\ (T, n)\ (V, Suc\ n) \Longrightarrow \mu\ A\ V \leq \mu-bound\ A\ T$ **and**
 $cdcl_{NOT-raw-restart-\mu-bound}:$
 $cdcl_{NOT-restart}\ (T, a)\ (V, b) \Longrightarrow cdcl_{NOT-inv}\ T \Longrightarrow bound-inv\ A\ T$
 $\Longrightarrow \mu-bound\ A\ V \leq \mu-bound\ A\ T$
begin

lemma $rtrancpl-cdcl_{NOT-raw-restart-\mu-bound}:$
 $cdcl_{NOT-restart}^{**}\ (T, a)\ (V, b) \Longrightarrow cdcl_{NOT-inv}\ T \Longrightarrow bound-inv\ A\ T$
 $\Longrightarrow \mu-bound\ A\ V \leq \mu-bound\ A\ T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cdcl_{NOT-raw-restart-measure-bound}:$
 $cdcl_{NOT-restart}\ (T, a)\ (V, b) \Longrightarrow cdcl_{NOT-inv}\ T \Longrightarrow bound-inv\ A\ T$
 $\Longrightarrow \mu\ A\ V \leq \mu-bound\ A\ T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rtrancpl-cdcl_{NOT-raw-restart-measure-bound}:$
 $cdcl_{NOT-restart}^{**}\ (T, a)\ (V, b) \Longrightarrow cdcl_{NOT-inv}\ T \Longrightarrow bound-inv\ A\ T$
 $\Longrightarrow \mu\ A\ V \leq \mu-bound\ A\ T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $wf-cdcl_{NOT-restart}:$
 $wf\ \{(T, S). cdcl_{NOT-restart}\ S\ T \wedge cdcl_{NOT-inv}\ (fst\ S)\}$ **(is wf ?A)**
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cdcl_{NOT-restart-steps-bigger-than-bound}:$
assumes
 $cdcl_{NOT-restart}\ S\ T$ **and**
 $bound-inv\ A\ (fst\ S)$ **and**
 $cdcl_{NOT-inv}\ (fst\ S)$ **and**
 $f\ (snd\ S) > \mu-bound\ A\ (fst\ S)$
shows $full1\ cdcl_{NOT}\ (fst\ S)\ (fst\ T)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rtrancpl-cdcl_{NOT-with-inv-inv-rtrancpl-cdcl_{NOT}}:$
assumes
 $inv: cdcl_{NOT-inv}\ S$ **and**
 $binv: bound-inv\ A\ S$
shows $(\lambda S\ T. cdcl_{NOT}\ S\ T \wedge cdcl_{NOT-inv}\ S \wedge bound-inv\ A\ S)^{**}\ S\ T \longleftrightarrow cdcl_{NOT}^{**}\ S\ T$
(is ?A S T \longleftrightarrow ?B** S T)**
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $no-step-cdcl_{NOT-restart-no-step-cdcl_{NOT}}:$
assumes
 $n-s: no-step\ cdcl_{NOT-restart}\ S$ **and**
 $inv: cdcl_{NOT-inv}\ (fst\ S)$ **and**
 $binv: bound-inv\ A\ (fst\ S)$
shows $no-step\ cdcl_{NOT}\ (fst\ S)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

1.2.6 Merging backjump and learning

locale *cdcl_{NOT}-merge-bj-learn-ops* =
decide-ops trail clauses_{NOT} prepend-trail tl-trail add-cl_{NOT} remove-cl_{NOT} +
forget-ops trail clauses_{NOT} prepend-trail tl-trail add-cl_{NOT} remove-cl_{NOT} forget-cond +
propagate-ops trail clauses_{NOT} prepend-trail tl-trail add-cl_{NOT} remove-cl_{NOT} propagate-conds
for
trail :: 'st \Rightarrow ('v, unit) ann-lits and
clauses_{NOT} :: 'st \Rightarrow 'v clauses and
prepend-trail :: ('v, unit) ann-lit \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st and
tl-trail :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st and
add-cl_{NOT} :: 'v clause \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st and
remove-cl_{NOT} :: 'v clause \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st and
propagate-conds :: ('v, unit) ann-lit \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool and
forget-cond :: 'v clause \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool +
fixes *backjump-l-cond :: 'v clause \Rightarrow 'v clause \Rightarrow 'v literal \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool*
begin

We have a new backjump that combines the backjumping on the trail and the learning of the used clause (called C'' below)

inductive *backjump-l where*
backjump-l: trail S = F' @ Decided K # F
 \Rightarrow *no-dup (trail S)*
 \Rightarrow *T \sim prepend-trail (Propagated L ()) (reduce-trail-to_{NOT} F (add-cl_{NOT} C'' S))*
 \Rightarrow *C \in # clauses_{NOT} S*
 \Rightarrow *trail S \models_{as} CNot C*
 \Rightarrow *undefined-lit F L*
 \Rightarrow *atm-of L \in atms-of-mm (clauses_{NOT} S) \cup atm-of ' (lits-of-l (trail S))*
 \Rightarrow *clauses_{NOT} S \models_{pm} C' + {#L#}*
 \Rightarrow *C'' = C' + {#L#}*
 \Rightarrow *F \models_{as} CNot C'*
 \Rightarrow *backjump-l-cond C C' L S T*
 \Rightarrow *backjump-l S T*

Avoid (meaningless) simplification in the theorem generated by *inductive-cases*:

declare *reduce-trail-to_{NOT}-length-ne[simp del] Set.Un-iff[simp del] Set.insert-iff[simp del]*
inductive-cases *backjump-lE: backjump-l S T*
thm *backjump-lE*
declare *reduce-trail-to_{NOT}-length-ne[simp] Set.Un-iff[simp] Set.insert-iff[simp]*

inductive *cdcl_{NOT}-merged-bj-learn :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool for S :: 'st where*
cdcl_{NOT}-merged-bj-learn-decide_{NOT}: decide_{NOT} S S' \Rightarrow cdcl_{NOT}-merged-bj-learn S S' |
cdcl_{NOT}-merged-bj-learn-propagate_{NOT}: propagate_{NOT} S S' \Rightarrow cdcl_{NOT}-merged-bj-learn S S' |
cdcl_{NOT}-merged-bj-learn-backjump-l: backjump-l S S' \Rightarrow cdcl_{NOT}-merged-bj-learn S S' |
cdcl_{NOT}-merged-bj-learn-forget_{NOT}: forget_{NOT} S S' \Rightarrow cdcl_{NOT}-merged-bj-learn S S'

lemma *cdcl_{NOT}-merged-bj-learn-no-dup-inv:*
cdcl_{NOT}-merged-bj-learn S T \Rightarrow no-dup (trail S) \Rightarrow no-dup (trail T)
 \langle *proof* \rangle
end

locale *cdcl_{NOT}-merge-bj-learn-proxy* =
cdcl_{NOT}-merge-bj-learn-ops trail clauses_{NOT} prepend-trail tl-trail add-cl_{NOT} remove-cl_{NOT}
propagate-conds forget-cond
 λ *C C' L' S T. backjump-l-cond C C' L' S T*
 \wedge *distinct-mset (C' + {#L'#}) \wedge \neg tautology (C' + {#L'#})*

for
trail :: 'st \Rightarrow ('v, unit) ann-lits **and**
clauses_{NOT} :: 'st \Rightarrow 'v clauses **and**
prepend-trail :: ('v, unit) ann-lit \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**
tl-trail :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**
add-cl_s_{NOT} :: 'v clause \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**
remove-cl_s_{NOT} :: 'v clause \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**
propagate-con_s :: ('v, unit) ann-lit \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool **and**
forget-cond :: 'v clause \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool **and**
backjump-l-cond :: 'v clause \Rightarrow 'v clause \Rightarrow 'v literal \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool +
fixes
inv :: 'st \Rightarrow bool
assumes
bj-merge-can-jump:
 $\bigwedge S C F' K F L.$
inv S
 \Rightarrow trail $S = F' @ Decided K \# F$
 $\Rightarrow C \in \# clauses_{NOT} S$
 \Rightarrow trail $S \models_{as} CNot C$
 \Rightarrow undefined-lit $F L$
 $\Rightarrow atm-of L \in atms-of-mm (clauses_{NOT} S) \cup atm-of ' (lits-of-l (F' @ Decided K \# F))$
 $\Rightarrow clauses_{NOT} S \models_{pm} C' + \{\#L\#\}$
 $\Rightarrow F \models_{as} CNot C'$
 $\Rightarrow \neg no-step backjump-l S$ **and**
cdcl-merged-inv: $\bigwedge S T. cdcl_{NOT}-merged-bj-learn S T \Rightarrow inv S \Rightarrow inv T$
begin

abbreviation *backjump-con_s* :: 'v clause \Rightarrow 'v clause \Rightarrow 'v literal \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool
where
backjump-con_s $\equiv \lambda C C' L' S T. distinct-mset (C' + \{\#L'\#\}) \wedge \neg tautology (C' + \{\#L'\#\})$

Without additional knowledge on *backjump-l-cond*, it is impossible to have the same invariant.

sublocale *dpll-with-backjumping-ops* trail clauses_{NOT} prepend-trail tl-trail add-cl_s_{NOT} remove-cl_s_{NOT}
inv backjump-con_s propagate-con_s
 <proof>

end

locale *cdcl_{NOT}-merge-bj-learn-proxy2* =
cdcl_{NOT}-merge-bj-learn-proxy trail clauses_{NOT} prepend-trail tl-trail add-cl_s_{NOT} remove-cl_s_{NOT}
propagate-con_s forget-cond backjump-l-cond *inv*
for
trail :: 'st \Rightarrow ('v, unit) ann-lits **and**
clauses_{NOT} :: 'st \Rightarrow 'v clauses **and**
prepend-trail :: ('v, unit) ann-lit \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**
tl-trail :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**
add-cl_s_{NOT} :: 'v clause \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**
remove-cl_s_{NOT} :: 'v clause \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**
propagate-con_s :: ('v, unit) ann-lit \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool **and**
forget-cond :: 'v clause \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool **and**
backjump-l-cond :: 'v clause \Rightarrow 'v clause \Rightarrow 'v literal \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool **and**
inv :: 'st \Rightarrow bool
begin

sublocale *conflict-driven-clause-learning-ops* trail clauses_{NOT} prepend-trail tl-trail add-cl_s_{NOT}
remove-cl_s_{NOT} *inv* backjump-con_s propagate-con_s

$\lambda C \cdot \text{distinct-mset } C \wedge \neg \text{tautology } C$
 forget-cond
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$
end

locale $\text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-merge-bj-learn} =$
 $\text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-merge-bj-learn-proxy2 trail clauses}_{NOT} \text{ prepend-trail tl-trail add-cl}_{NOT} \text{ remove-cl}_{NOT}$
 $\text{propagate-conds forget-cond backjump-l-cond inv}$
for
 $\text{trail} :: 'st \Rightarrow ('v, \text{unit}) \text{ ann-lits and}$
 $\text{clauses}_{NOT} :: 'st \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clauses and}$
 $\text{prepend-trail} :: ('v, \text{unit}) \text{ ann-lit} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st \text{ and}$
 $\text{tl-trail} :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \text{ and}$
 $\text{add-cl}_{NOT} :: 'v \text{ clause} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st \text{ and}$
 $\text{remove-cl}_{NOT} :: 'v \text{ clause} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st \text{ and}$
 $\text{backjump-l-cond} :: 'v \text{ clause} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clause} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ literal} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow \text{bool and}$
 $\text{propagate-conds} :: ('v, \text{unit}) \text{ ann-lit} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow \text{bool and}$
 $\text{forget-cond} :: 'v \text{ clause} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow \text{bool and}$
 $\text{inv} :: 'st \Rightarrow \text{bool} +$
assumes
 $\text{dpll-merge-bj-inv: } \bigwedge S T. \text{dpll-bj } S T \Longrightarrow \text{inv } S \Longrightarrow \text{inv } T \text{ and}$
 $\text{learn-inv: } \bigwedge S T. \text{learn } S T \Longrightarrow \text{inv } S \Longrightarrow \text{inv } T$
begin

sublocale
 $\text{conflict-driven-clause-learning trail clauses}_{NOT} \text{ prepend-trail tl-trail add-cl}_{NOT} \text{ remove-cl}_{NOT}$
 $\text{inv backjump-conds propagate-conds}$
 $\lambda C \cdot \text{distinct-mset } C \wedge \neg \text{tautology } C$
 forget-cond
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{backjump-l-learn-backjump:}$
assumes $\text{bt: backjump-l } S T \text{ and inv: inv } S \text{ and n-d: no-dup (trail } S)$
shows $\exists C' L D. \text{learn } S (\text{add-cl}_{NOT} D S)$
 $\wedge D = (C' + \{\#L\# \})$
 $\wedge \text{backjump } (\text{add-cl}_{NOT} D S) T$
 $\wedge \text{atms-of } (C' + \{\#L\# \}) \subseteq \text{atms-of-mm } (\text{clauses}_{NOT} S) \cup \text{atm-of } ' (\text{lits-of-l (trail } S))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-merged-bj-learn-is-tranclp-cdcl}_{NOT}:$
 $\text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-merged-bj-learn } S T \Longrightarrow \text{inv } S \Longrightarrow \text{no-dup (trail } S) \Longrightarrow \text{cdcl}_{NOT}^{++} S T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{rtranclp-cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-merged-bj-learn-is-rtranclp-cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-and-inv:}$
 $\text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-merged-bj-learn}^{**} S T \Longrightarrow \text{inv } S \Longrightarrow \text{no-dup (trail } S) \Longrightarrow \text{cdcl}_{NOT}^{**} S T \wedge \text{inv } T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{rtranclp-cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-merged-bj-learn-is-rtranclp-cdcl}_{NOT}:$
 $\text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-merged-bj-learn}^{**} S T \Longrightarrow \text{inv } S \Longrightarrow \text{no-dup (trail } S) \Longrightarrow \text{cdcl}_{NOT}^{**} S T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{rtranclp-cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-merged-bj-learn-inv:}$
 $\text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-merged-bj-learn}^{**} S T \Longrightarrow \text{inv } S \Longrightarrow \text{no-dup (trail } S) \Longrightarrow \text{inv } T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition $\mu_C' :: 'v \text{ clause set} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow \text{nat where}$

$\mu_C' A T \equiv \mu_C (1 + \text{card} (\text{atms-of-ms } A)) (2 + \text{card} (\text{atms-of-ms } A)) (\text{trail-weight } T)$

definition $\mu_{CDCL}'\text{-merged} :: 'v \text{ clause set} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ **where**

$\mu_{CDCL}'\text{-merged } A T \equiv$
 $((2 + \text{card} (\text{atms-of-ms } A)) \wedge (1 + \text{card} (\text{atms-of-ms } A)) - \mu_C' A T) * 2 + \text{card} (\text{set-mset} (\text{clauses}_{NOT} T))$

lemma $\text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-decreasing-measure}'$:

assumes

$\text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-merged-bj-learn } S T$ **and**

$\text{inv: inv } S$ **and**

$\text{atm-clss: atms-of-mm } (\text{clauses}_{NOT} S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A$ **and**

$\text{atm-trail: atm-of } ' \text{ lits-of-l } (\text{trail } S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A$ **and**

$n\text{-d: no-dup } (\text{trail } S)$ **and**

$\text{fin-A: finite } A$

shows $\mu_{CDCL}'\text{-merged } A T < \mu_{CDCL}'\text{-merged } A S$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{wf-cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-merged-bj-learn}$:

assumes

$\text{fin-A: finite } A$

shows $\text{wf } \{(T, S)\}$.

$(\text{inv } S \wedge \text{atms-of-mm } (\text{clauses}_{NOT} S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A \wedge \text{atm-of } ' \text{ lits-of-l } (\text{trail } S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A$
 $\wedge \text{no-dup } (\text{trail } S))$

$\wedge \text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-merged-bj-learn } S T\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{trancpl-cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-trancpl}$:

assumes

$\text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-merged-bj-learn}^{++} S T$ **and**

$\text{inv: inv } S$ **and**

$\text{atm-clss: atms-of-mm } (\text{clauses}_{NOT} S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A$ **and**

$\text{atm-trail: atm-of } ' \text{ lits-of-l } (\text{trail } S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A$ **and**

$n\text{-d: no-dup } (\text{trail } S)$ **and**

$\text{fin-A[simp]: finite } A$

shows $(T, S) \in \{(T, S)\}$.

$(\text{inv } S \wedge \text{atms-of-mm } (\text{clauses}_{NOT} S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A \wedge \text{atm-of } ' \text{ lits-of-l } (\text{trail } S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A$
 $\wedge \text{no-dup } (\text{trail } S))$

$\wedge \text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-merged-bj-learn } S T\}^+ (\text{is } - \in ?P^+)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{wf-trancpl-cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-merged-bj-learn}$:

assumes $\text{finite } A$

shows $\text{wf } \{(T, S)\}$.

$(\text{inv } S \wedge \text{atms-of-mm } (\text{clauses}_{NOT} S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A \wedge \text{atm-of } ' \text{ lits-of-l } (\text{trail } S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A$
 $\wedge \text{no-dup } (\text{trail } S))$

$\wedge \text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-merged-bj-learn}^{++} S T\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{backjump-no-step-backjump-l}$:

$\text{backjump } S T \implies \text{inv } S \implies \neg \text{no-step backjump-l } S$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-merged-bj-learn-final-state}$:

fixes $A :: 'v \text{ clause set}$ **and** $S T :: 'st$

assumes

n-s: no-step $cdcl_{NOT}$ -merged-bj-learn S **and**
atms-S: $atms\text{-of}\text{-mm} (clauses_{NOT} S) \subseteq atms\text{-of}\text{-ms} A$ **and**
atms-trail: $atm\text{-of} \text{ ' lits-of-l } (trail S) \subseteq atms\text{-of}\text{-ms} A$ **and**
n-d: no-dup $(trail S)$ **and**
finite A **and**
inv: $inv S$ **and**
decomp: all-decomposition-implies-m $(clauses_{NOT} S)$ (get-all-ann-decomposition $(trail S)$)
shows unsatisfiable (set-mset $(clauses_{NOT} S)$)
 $\vee (trail S \models_{asm} clauses_{NOT} S \wedge \text{satisfiable} (set\text{-mset} (clauses_{NOT} S)))$
 <proof>

lemma full- $cdcl_{NOT}$ -merged-bj-learn-final-state:

fixes $A :: \text{'v clause set}$ **and** $S T :: \text{'st}$
assumes
full: full $cdcl_{NOT}$ -merged-bj-learn $S T$ **and**
atms-S: $atms\text{-of}\text{-mm} (clauses_{NOT} S) \subseteq atms\text{-of}\text{-ms} A$ **and**
atms-trail: $atm\text{-of} \text{ ' lits-of-l } (trail S) \subseteq atms\text{-of}\text{-ms} A$ **and**
n-d: no-dup $(trail S)$ **and**
finite A **and**
inv: $inv S$ **and**
decomp: all-decomposition-implies-m $(clauses_{NOT} S)$ (get-all-ann-decomposition $(trail S)$)
shows unsatisfiable (set-mset $(clauses_{NOT} T)$)
 $\vee (trail T \models_{asm} clauses_{NOT} T \wedge \text{satisfiable} (set\text{-mset} (clauses_{NOT} T)))$
 <proof>

end

1.2.7 Instantiations

In this section, we instantiate the previous locales to ensure that the assumption are not contradictory.

locale $cdcl_{NOT}$ -with-backtrack-and-restarts =

conflict-driven-clause-learning-learning-before-backjump-only-distinct-learnt
 trail clauses_{NOT} prepend-trail tl-trail add-cl_{NOT} remove-cl_{NOT}
 inv backjump-conds propagate-conds learn-restrictions forget-restrictions

for

trail :: 'st \Rightarrow ('v, unit) ann-lits **and**
 clauses_{NOT} :: 'st \Rightarrow 'v clauses **and**
 prepend-trail :: ('v, unit) ann-lit \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**
 tl-trail :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**
 add-cl_{NOT} :: 'v clause \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**
 remove-cl_{NOT} :: 'v clause \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**
 inv :: 'st \Rightarrow bool **and**
 backjump-conds :: 'v clause \Rightarrow 'v clause \Rightarrow 'v literal \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool **and**
 propagate-conds :: ('v, unit) ann-lit \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool **and**
 learn-restrictions forget-restrictions :: 'v clause \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool

+

fixes $f :: nat \Rightarrow nat$

assumes

unbounded: unbounded f **and** $f\text{-ge-1}: \bigwedge n. n \geq 1 \Rightarrow f n \geq 1$ **and**
 inv-restart: $\bigwedge S T. inv S \Rightarrow T \sim \text{reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} ([::\text{'a list}) S \Rightarrow inv T$

begin

lemma bound-inv-inv:

assumes

inv S and
n-d: no-dup (trail S) and
atms-clss-S-A: atms-of-mm (clauses_{NOT} S) \subseteq atms-of-ms A and
atms-trail-S-A: atm-of ' lits-of-l (trail S) \subseteq atms-of-ms A and
finite A and
cdcl_{NOT}: cdcl_{NOT} S T
shows
atms-of-mm (clauses_{NOT} T) \subseteq atms-of-ms A and
atm-of ' lits-of-l (trail T) \subseteq atms-of-ms A and
finite A
 <proof>

sublocale *cdcl_{NOT}-increasing-restarts-ops* $\lambda S T. T \sim \text{reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} ([::'a \text{ list}) S \text{ cdcl}_{NOT} f$
 $\lambda A S. \text{atms-of-mm (clauses}_{NOT} S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A \wedge \text{atm-of ' lits-of-l (trail S) } \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A \wedge$
finite A
 $\mu_{CDCL}' \lambda S. \text{inv } S \wedge \text{no-dup (trail S)}$
 $\mu_{CDCL}'\text{-bound}$
 <proof>

lemma *cdcl_{NOT}-with-restart- μ_{CDCL}' -le- μ_{CDCL}' -bound:*

assumes
cdcl_{NOT}: cdcl_{NOT}-restart (T, a) (V, b) and
cdcl_{NOT}-inv:
inv T
no-dup (trail T) and
bound-inv:
atms-of-mm (clauses_{NOT} T) \subseteq atms-of-ms A
atm-of ' lits-of-l (trail T) \subseteq atms-of-ms A
finite A
shows $\mu_{CDCL}' A V \leq \mu_{CDCL}'\text{-bound } A T$
 <proof>

lemma *cdcl_{NOT}-with-restart- μ_{CDCL}' -bound-le- μ_{CDCL}' -bound:*

assumes
cdcl_{NOT}: cdcl_{NOT}-restart (T, a) (V, b) and
cdcl_{NOT}-inv:
inv T
no-dup (trail T) and
bound-inv:
atms-of-mm (clauses_{NOT} T) \subseteq atms-of-ms A
atm-of ' lits-of-l (trail T) \subseteq atms-of-ms A
finite A
shows $\mu_{CDCL}'\text{-bound } A V \leq \mu_{CDCL}'\text{-bound } A T$
 <proof>

sublocale *cdcl_{NOT}-increasing-restarts - - - -*

f
 $\lambda S T. T \sim \text{reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} ([::'a \text{ list}) S$
 $\lambda A S. \text{atms-of-mm (clauses}_{NOT} S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A$
 $\wedge \text{atm-of ' lits-of-l (trail S) } \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A \wedge \text{finite } A$
 $\mu_{CDCL}' \text{ cdcl}_{NOT}$
 $\lambda S. \text{inv } S \wedge \text{no-dup (trail S)}$
 $\mu_{CDCL}'\text{-bound}$
 <proof>

lemma *cdcl_{NOT}-restart-all-decomposition-implies:*

assumes $cdcl_{NOT}$ -restart S T **and**
 inv (fst S) **and**
 no -dup ($trail$ (fst S))
 all -decomposition-implies- m ($clauses_{NOT}$ (fst S)) (get -all-ann-decomposition ($trail$ (fst S)))
shows
 all -decomposition-implies- m ($clauses_{NOT}$ (fst T)) (get -all-ann-decomposition ($trail$ (fst T)))
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rtranclp$ - $cdcl_{NOT}$ -restart-all-decomposition-implies:

assumes $cdcl_{NOT}$ -restart** S T **and**
 inv : inv (fst S) **and**
 n -d: no -dup ($trail$ (fst S)) **and**
 $decomp$:
 all -decomposition-implies- m ($clauses_{NOT}$ (fst S)) (get -all-ann-decomposition ($trail$ (fst S)))
shows
 all -decomposition-implies- m ($clauses_{NOT}$ (fst T)) (get -all-ann-decomposition ($trail$ (fst T)))
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cdcl_{NOT}$ -restart-sat-ext-iff:

assumes
 st : $cdcl_{NOT}$ -restart S T **and**
 n -d: no -dup ($trail$ (fst S)) **and**
 inv : inv (fst S)
shows $I \models_{sextm} clauses_{NOT} (fst S) \longleftrightarrow I \models_{sextm} clauses_{NOT} (fst T)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rtranclp$ - $cdcl_{NOT}$ -restart-sat-ext-iff:

fixes S T :: ' $st \times nat$
assumes
 st : $cdcl_{NOT}$ -restart** S T **and**
 n -d: no -dup ($trail$ (fst S)) **and**
 inv : inv (fst S)
shows $I \models_{sextm} clauses_{NOT} (fst S) \longleftrightarrow I \models_{sextm} clauses_{NOT} (fst T)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem $full$ - $cdcl_{NOT}$ -restart-backjump-final-state:

fixes A :: ' v clause set **and** S T :: ' st
assumes
 $full$: $full$ $cdcl_{NOT}$ -restart (S , n) (T , m) **and**
 $atms$ - S : $atms$ -of- mm ($clauses_{NOT} S$) \subseteq $atms$ -of- ms A **and**
 $atms$ - $trail$: atm -of ' $lits$ -of- l ($trail S$) \subseteq $atms$ -of- ms A **and**
 n -d: no -dup ($trail S$) **and**
 fin - $A[simp]$: $finite$ A **and**
 inv : inv S **and**
 $decomp$: all -decomposition-implies- m ($clauses_{NOT} S$) (get -all-ann-decomposition ($trail S$))
shows $unsatisfiable$ (set - $mset$ ($clauses_{NOT} S$))
 \vee ($lits$ -of- l ($trail T$) $\models_{sextm} clauses_{NOT} S \wedge$ $satisfiable$ (set - $mset$ ($clauses_{NOT} S$)))
 $\langle proof \rangle$
end — end of $cdcl_{NOT}$ -with-backtrack-and-restarts locale

The restart does only reset the trail, contrary to Weidenbach's version where forget and restart are always combined. But there is a forget rule.

locale $cdcl_{NOT}$ -merge-bj-learn-with-backtrack-restarts =

$cdcl_{NOT}$ -merge-bj-learn $trail$ $clauses_{NOT}$ $prepend$ - $trail$ tl - $trail$ add - cls_{NOT} $remove$ - cls_{NOT}
 λC C' L' S T . $distinct$ - $mset$ ($C' + \{\#L'\#\}$) \wedge $backjump$ - l - $cond$ C C' L' S T
 $propagate$ - $conds$ $forget$ - $conds$ inv

for
 $trail :: 'st \Rightarrow ('v, unit) \text{ ann-lits and}$
 $clauses_{NOT} :: 'st \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clauses and}$
 $prepend-trail :: ('v, unit) \text{ ann-lit} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st \text{ and}$
 $tl-trail :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \text{ and}$
 $add-cls_{NOT} :: 'v \text{ clause} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st \text{ and}$
 $remove-cls_{NOT} :: 'v \text{ clause} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st \text{ and}$
 $propagate-conds :: ('v, unit) \text{ ann-lit} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool \text{ and}$
 $inv :: 'st \Rightarrow bool \text{ and}$
 $forget-conds :: 'v \text{ clause} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool \text{ and}$
 $backjump-l-cond :: 'v \text{ clause} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clause} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ literal} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool$
 $+$
fixes $f :: nat \Rightarrow nat$
assumes
 $unbounded: unbounded\ f \text{ and } f\text{-ge-1}: \bigwedge n. n \geq 1 \Rightarrow f\ n \geq 1 \text{ and}$
 $inv\text{-restart}: \bigwedge S\ T. inv\ S \Rightarrow T \sim reduce\text{-trail-to}_{NOT} \ \square\ S \Rightarrow inv\ T$
begin

definition $not\text{-simplified-cl}\ A = \{\#C \in \# A. \text{tautology } C \vee \neg distinct\text{-mset } C\#\}$

lemma $simple\text{-clss-or-not-simplified-cl}$:

assumes $atms\text{-of-mm}\ (clauses_{NOT}\ S) \subseteq atms\text{-of-ms}\ A \text{ and}$
 $x \in \# clauses_{NOT}\ S \text{ and finite } A$
shows $x \in simple\text{-clss}\ (atms\text{-of-ms}\ A) \vee x \in \# not\text{-simplified-cl}\ (clauses_{NOT}\ S)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cdcl_{NOT}\text{-merged-bj-learn-clauses-bound}$:

assumes
 $cdcl_{NOT}\text{-merged-bj-learn}\ S\ T \text{ and}$
 $inv: inv\ S \text{ and}$
 $atms\text{-clss}: atms\text{-of-mm}\ (clauses_{NOT}\ S) \subseteq atms\text{-of-ms}\ A \text{ and}$
 $atms\text{-trail}: atm\text{-of}\ ('(lits\text{-of-l}\ (trail\ S))) \subseteq atms\text{-of-ms}\ A \text{ and}$
 $n\text{-d}: no\text{-dup}\ (trail\ S) \text{ and}$
 $fin\text{-}A[simp]: finite\ A$
shows $set\text{-mset}\ (clauses_{NOT}\ T) \subseteq set\text{-mset}\ (not\text{-simplified-cl}\ (clauses_{NOT}\ S))$
 $\cup simple\text{-clss}\ (atms\text{-of-ms}\ A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cdcl_{NOT}\text{-merged-bj-learn-not-simplified-decreasing}$:

assumes $cdcl_{NOT}\text{-merged-bj-learn}\ S\ T$
shows $not\text{-simplified-cl}\ (clauses_{NOT}\ T) \subseteq \# not\text{-simplified-cl}\ (clauses_{NOT}\ S)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rtranclp\text{-}cdcl_{NOT}\text{-merged-bj-learn-not-simplified-decreasing}$:

assumes $cdcl_{NOT}\text{-merged-bj-learn}^{**}\ S\ T$
shows $not\text{-simplified-cl}\ (clauses_{NOT}\ T) \subseteq \# not\text{-simplified-cl}\ (clauses_{NOT}\ S)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rtranclp\text{-}cdcl_{NOT}\text{-merged-bj-learn-clauses-bound}$:

assumes
 $cdcl_{NOT}\text{-merged-bj-learn}^{**}\ S\ T \text{ and}$
 $inv\ S \text{ and}$
 $atms\text{-of-mm}\ (clauses_{NOT}\ S) \subseteq atms\text{-of-ms}\ A \text{ and}$
 $atm\text{-of}\ ('(lits\text{-of-l}\ (trail\ S))) \subseteq atms\text{-of-ms}\ A \text{ and}$
 $n\text{-d}: no\text{-dup}\ (trail\ S) \text{ and}$
 $finite[simp]: finite\ A$

shows $\text{set-mset } (\text{clauses}_{NOT} T) \subseteq \text{set-mset } (\text{not-simplified-cls } (\text{clauses}_{NOT} S))$
 $\cup \text{simple-clss } (\text{atms-of-ms } A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

abbreviation $\mu_{CDCL}'\text{-bound}$ **where**

$\mu_{CDCL}'\text{-bound } A \ T \equiv ((2 + \text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A)) \wedge (1 + \text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A))) * 2$
 $+ \text{card } (\text{set-mset } (\text{not-simplified-cls}(\text{clauses}_{NOT} T)))$
 $+ 3 \wedge \text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A)$

lemma $\text{rtrancp-cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-merged-bj-learn-clauses-bound-card}$:

assumes

$\text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-merged-bj-learn}^{**} S \ T$ **and**

$\text{inv } S$ **and**

$\text{atms-of-mm } (\text{clauses}_{NOT} S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A$ **and**

$\text{atm-of } '(\text{lits-of-l } (\text{trail } S)) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A$ **and**

$\text{no-dup } (\text{trail } S)$ **and**

$\text{finite: finite } A$

shows $\mu_{CDCL}'\text{-merged } A \ T \leq \mu_{CDCL}'\text{-bound } A \ S$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

sublocale $\text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-increasing-restarts-ops } \lambda S \ T. \ T \sim \text{reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} ([::'a \text{ list}) \ S$

$\text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-merged-bj-learn } f$

$\lambda A \ S. \text{atms-of-mm } (\text{clauses}_{NOT} S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A$

$\wedge \text{atm-of } '(\text{lits-of-l } (\text{trail } S)) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A \wedge \text{finite } A$

$\mu_{CDCL}'\text{-merged}$

$\lambda S. \text{inv } S \wedge \text{no-dup } (\text{trail } S)$

$\mu_{CDCL}'\text{-bound}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-restart-}\mu_{CDCL}'\text{-merged-le-}\mu_{CDCL}'\text{-bound}$:

assumes

$\text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-restart } T \ V$

$\text{inv } (\text{fst } T)$ **and**

$\text{no-dup } (\text{trail } (\text{fst } T))$ **and**

$\text{atms-of-mm } (\text{clauses}_{NOT} (\text{fst } T)) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A$ **and**

$\text{atm-of } '(\text{lits-of-l } (\text{trail } (\text{fst } T))) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A$ **and**

$\text{finite } A$

shows $\mu_{CDCL}'\text{-merged } A \ (\text{fst } V) \leq \mu_{CDCL}'\text{-bound } A \ (\text{fst } T)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-restart-}\mu_{CDCL}'\text{-bound-le-}\mu_{CDCL}'\text{-bound}$:

assumes

$\text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-restart } T \ V$ **and**

$\text{no-dup } (\text{trail } (\text{fst } T))$ **and**

$\text{inv } (\text{fst } T)$ **and**

$\text{fin: finite } A$

shows $\mu_{CDCL}'\text{-bound } A \ (\text{fst } V) \leq \mu_{CDCL}'\text{-bound } A \ (\text{fst } T)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

sublocale $\text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-increasing-restarts} - - - - f$

$\lambda S \ T. \ T \sim \text{reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} ([::'a \text{ list}) \ S$

$\lambda A \ S. \text{atms-of-mm } (\text{clauses}_{NOT} S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A$

$\wedge \text{atm-of } '(\text{lits-of-l } (\text{trail } S)) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A \wedge \text{finite } A$

$\mu_{CDCL}'\text{-merged } \text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-merged-bj-learn}$

$\lambda S. \text{inv } S \wedge \text{no-dup } (\text{trail } S)$

$\lambda A \ T. ((2 + \text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A)) \wedge (1 + \text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A))) * 2$
 $+ \text{card } (\text{set-mset } (\text{not-simplified-cls}(\text{clauses}_{NOT} \ T)))$
 $+ 3 \wedge \text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_{NOT}-restart-eq-sat-iff*:

assumes

cdcl_{NOT}-restart $S \ T$ **and**
no-dup (*trail* (*fst* S))
inv (*fst* S)

shows $I \models_{\text{sextm}} \text{clauses}_{NOT} \ (\text{fst } S) \longleftrightarrow I \models_{\text{sextm}} \text{clauses}_{NOT} \ (\text{fst } T)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_{NOT}-restart-eq-sat-iff*:

assumes

*cdcl_{NOT}-restart*** $S \ T$ **and**
inv: *inv* (*fst* S) **and** *n-d*: *no-dup*(*trail* (*fst* S))

shows $I \models_{\text{sextm}} \text{clauses}_{NOT} \ (\text{fst } S) \longleftrightarrow I \models_{\text{sextm}} \text{clauses}_{NOT} \ (\text{fst } T)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_{NOT}-restart-all-decomposition-implies-m*:

assumes

cdcl_{NOT}-restart $S \ T$ **and**
inv: *inv* (*fst* S) **and** *n-d*: *no-dup*(*trail* (*fst* S)) **and**
all-decomposition-implies-m (*clauses_{NOT}* (*fst* S))
(get-all-ann-decomposition (*trail* (*fst* S)))

shows *all-decomposition-implies-m* (*clauses_{NOT}* (*fst* T))

(get-all-ann-decomposition (*trail* (*fst* T)))

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_{NOT}-restart-all-decomposition-implies-m*:

assumes

*cdcl_{NOT}-restart*** $S \ T$ **and**
inv: *inv* (*fst* S) **and** *n-d*: *no-dup*(*trail* (*fst* S)) **and**
decomp: *all-decomposition-implies-m* (*clauses_{NOT}* (*fst* S))
(get-all-ann-decomposition (*trail* (*fst* S)))

shows *all-decomposition-implies-m* (*clauses_{NOT}* (*fst* T))

(get-all-ann-decomposition (*trail* (*fst* T)))

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *full-cdcl_{NOT}-restart-normal-form*:

assumes

full: *full cdcl_{NOT}-restart* $S \ T$ **and**
inv: *inv* (*fst* S) **and** *n-d*: *no-dup*(*trail* (*fst* S)) **and**
decomp: *all-decomposition-implies-m* (*clauses_{NOT}* (*fst* S))
(get-all-ann-decomposition (*trail* (*fst* S))) **and**
atms-cls: *atms-of-mm* (*clauses_{NOT}* (*fst* S)) \subseteq *atms-of-ms* A **and**
atms-trail: *atm-of* ' *lits-of-l* (*trail* (*fst* S)) \subseteq *atms-of-ms* A **and**
fin: *finite* A

shows *unsatisfiable* (*set-mset* (*clauses_{NOT}* (*fst* S)))

\vee *lits-of-l* (*trail* (*fst* T)) $\models_{\text{sextm}} \text{clauses}_{NOT} \ (\text{fst } S) \wedge$

satisfiable (*set-mset* (*clauses_{NOT}* (*fst* S)))

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *full-cdcl_{NOT}-restart-normal-form-init-state*:

assumes

```

  init-state: trail S = [] clausesNOT S = N and
  full: full cdclNOT-restart (S, 0) T and
  inv: inv S
shows unsatisfiable (set-mset N)
  ∨ lits-of-l (trail (fst T))  $\models_{\text{sextm}}$  N ∧ satisfiable (set-mset N)
⟨proof⟩

```

end

```

end
theory DPLL-NOT
imports CDCL-NOT
begin

```

1.3 DPLL as an instance of NOT

1.3.1 DPLL with simple backtrack

We are using a concrete couple instead of an abstract state.

locale *dpll-with-backtrack*

begin

inductive *backtrack* :: ('v, unit) ann-lits × 'v clauses

⇒ ('v, unit) ann-lits × 'v clauses ⇒ bool **where**

backtrack-split (fst S) = (M', L # M) ⇒ is-decided L ⇒ D ∈ # snd S

⇒ fst S \models_{as} CNot D ⇒ *backtrack* S (Propagated (− (lit-of L)) () # M, snd S)

inductive-cases *backtrackE*[elim]: *backtrack* (M, N) (M', N')

lemma *backtrack-is-backjump*:

fixes M M' :: ('v, unit) ann-lits

assumes

backtrack: *backtrack* (M, N) (M', N') **and**

no-dup: (no-dup ∘ fst) (M, N) **and**

decomp: all-decomposition-implies-m N (get-all-ann-decomposition M)

shows

∃ C F' K F L l C'.

M = F' @ Decided K # F ∧

M' = Propagated L l # F ∧ N = N' ∧ C ∈ # N ∧ F' @ Decided K # F \models_{as} CNot C ∧

undefined-lit F L ∧ atm-of L ∈ atms-of-mm N ∪ atm-of ' lits-of-l (F' @ Decided K # F) ∧

N \models_{pm} C' + {#L#} ∧ F \models_{as} CNot C'

⟨proof⟩

lemma *backtrack-is-backjump'*:

fixes M M' :: ('v, unit) ann-lits

assumes

backtrack: *backtrack* S T **and**

no-dup: (no-dup ∘ fst) S **and**

decomp: all-decomposition-implies-m (snd S) (get-all-ann-decomposition (fst S))

shows

∃ C F' K F L l C'.

fst S = F' @ Decided K # F ∧

T = (Propagated L l # F, snd S) ∧ C ∈ # snd S ∧ fst S \models_{as} CNot C

∧ undefined-lit F L ∧ atm-of L ∈ atms-of-mm (snd S) ∪ atm-of ' lits-of-l (fst S) ∧

snd S \models_{pm} C' + {#L#} ∧ F \models_{as} CNot C'

⟨proof⟩

sublocale *dpll-state*

fst snd $\lambda L (M, N). (L \# M, N) \lambda(M, N). (tl M, N)$
 $\lambda C (M, N). (M, \{\#C\# \} + N) \lambda C (M, N). (M, removeAll-mset C N)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

sublocale *backjumping-ops*

fst snd $\lambda L (M, N). (L \# M, N) \lambda(M, N). (tl M, N)$
 $\lambda C (M, N). (M, \{\#C\# \} + N) \lambda C (M, N). (M, removeAll-mset C N) \lambda- - S T. backtrack S T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

thm *reduce-trail-to_{NOT}-clauses*

lemma *reduce-trail-to_{NOT}:*

reduce-trail-to_{NOT} $F S =$
(if *length* $(fst S) \geq length F$
then *drop* $(length (fst S) - length F) (fst S)$
else \square ,
snd $S) (is \ ?R = \ ?C)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *backtrack-is-backjump'':*

fixes $M M' :: ('v, unit) ann-lits$

assumes

backtrack: *backtrack* $S T$ **and**

no-dup: $(no-dup \circ fst) S$ **and**

decomp: *all-decomposition-implies-m* $(snd S) (get-all-ann-decomposition (fst S))$

shows *backjump* $S T$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *can-do-bt-step:*

assumes

$M: fst S = F' @ Decided K \# F$ **and**

$C \in \# snd S$ **and**

$C: fst S \models_{as} CNot C$

shows $\neg no-step backtrack S$

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

sublocale *dpll-with-backtrack* \subseteq *dpll-with-backjumping-ops*

fst snd $\lambda L (M, N). (L \# M, N)$
 $\lambda(M, N). (tl M, N) \lambda C (M, N). (M, \{\#C\# \} + N) \lambda C (M, N). (M, removeAll-mset C N)$
 $\lambda(M, N). no-dup M \wedge all-decomposition-implies-m N (get-all-ann-decomposition M)$
 $\lambda- - S T. backtrack S T$
 $\lambda- -. True$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

sublocale *dpll-with-backtrack* \subseteq *dpll-with-backjumping*

fst snd $\lambda L (M, N). (L \# M, N)$
 $\lambda(M, N). (tl M, N) \lambda C (M, N). (M, \{\#C\# \} + N) \lambda C (M, N). (M, removeAll-mset C N)$
 $\lambda(M, N). no-dup M \wedge all-decomposition-implies-m N (get-all-ann-decomposition M)$
 $\lambda- - S T. backtrack S T$
 $\lambda- -. True$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

context *dpll-with-backtrack*

begin

lemma *wf-tranclp-dpll-initail-state:*

assumes *fin: finite A*

shows *wf {((M':('v, unit) ann-lits, N':('v clauses), ([], N))|M' N' N.*

dpll-bj⁺⁺ ([], N) (M', N') ∧ atms-of-mm N ⊆ atms-of-ms A}

⟨proof⟩

corollary *full-dpll-final-state-conclusive:*

fixes *M M' :: ('v, unit) ann-lits*

assumes

full: full dpll-bj ([], N) (M', N')

shows *unsatisfiable (set-mset N) ∨ (M' ⊨_{asm} N ∧ satisfiable (set-mset N))*

⟨proof⟩

corollary *full-dpll-normal-form-from-init-state:*

fixes *M M' :: ('v, unit) ann-lits*

assumes

full: full dpll-bj ([], N) (M', N')

shows *M' ⊨_{asm} N ⟷ satisfiable (set-mset N)*

⟨proof⟩

interpretation *conflict-driven-clause-learning-ops*

fst snd λL (M, N). (L # M, N)

λ(M, N). (tl M, N) λC (M, N). (M, {#C#} + N) λC (M, N). (M, removeAll-mset C N)

λ(M, N). no-dup M ∧ all-decomposition-implies-m N (get-all-ann-decomposition M)

λ- - S T. backtrack S T

λ- -. True λ- -. False λ- -. False

⟨proof⟩

interpretation *conflict-driven-clause-learning*

fst snd λL (M, N). (L # M, N)

λ(M, N). (tl M, N) λC (M, N). (M, {#C#} + N) λC (M, N). (M, removeAll-mset C N)

λ(M, N). no-dup M ∧ all-decomposition-implies-m N (get-all-ann-decomposition M)

λ- - S T. backtrack S T

λ- -. True λ- -. False λ- -. False

⟨proof⟩

lemma *cdcl_{NOT}-is-dpll:*

cdcl_{NOT} S T ⟷ dpll-bj S T

⟨proof⟩

Another proof of termination:

lemma *wf {(T, S). dpll-bj S T ∧ cdcl_{NOT}-NOT-all-inv A S}*

⟨proof⟩

end

1.3.2 Adding restarts

This was mainly a test whether it was possible to instantiate the assumption of the locale.

locale *dpll-withbacktrack-and-restarts =*

dpll-with-backtrack +

fixes *f :: nat ⇒ nat*

assumes *unbounded: unbounded f and f-ge-1: ∧n. n ≥ 1 ⟹ f n ≥ 1*

begin

sublocale *cdcl_{NOT}-increasing-restarts*

fst snd λL (M, N). (L # M, N) λ(M, N). (tl M, N)

```

    λC (M, N). (M, {#C#} + N) λC (M, N). (M, removeAll-mset C N) f λ(-, N) S. S = ([], N)
  λA (M, N). atms-of-mm N ⊆ atms-of-ms A ∧ atm-of ' lits-of-l M ⊆ atms-of-ms A ∧ finite A
    ∧ all-decomposition-implies-m N (get-all-ann-decomposition M)
  λA T. (2+card (atms-of-ms A)) ^ (1+card (atms-of-ms A))
    - μC (1+card (atms-of-ms A)) (2+card (atms-of-ms A)) (trail-weight T) dpll-bj
  λ(M, N). no-dup M ∧ all-decomposition-implies-m N (get-all-ann-decomposition M)
  λA -. (2+card (atms-of-ms A)) ^ (1+card (atms-of-ms A))
  <proof>
end

end
theory DPLL-W
imports Main Partial-Clausal-Logic Partial-Annotated-Clausal-Logic List-More Wellfounded-More
  DPLL-NOT
begin

```

1.4 Weidenbach's DPLL

1.4.1 Rules

```

type-synonym 'a dpllW-ann-lit = ('a, unit) ann-lit
type-synonym 'a dpllW-ann-lits = ('a, unit) ann-lits
type-synonym 'v dpllW-state = 'v dpllW-ann-lits × 'v clauses

```

abbreviation trail :: 'v dpll_W-state ⇒ 'v dpll_W-ann-lits **where**
 trail ≡ fst

abbreviation clauses :: 'v dpll_W-state ⇒ 'v clauses **where**
 clauses ≡ snd

inductive dpll_W :: 'v dpll_W-state ⇒ 'v dpll_W-state ⇒ bool **where**
 propagate: C + {#L#} ∈ # clauses S ⇒ trail S ⊨_{as} CNot C ⇒ undefined-lit (trail S) L
 ⇒ dpll_W S (Propagated L () # trail S, clauses S) |
 decided: undefined-lit (trail S) L ⇒ atm-of L ∈ atms-of-mm (clauses S)
 ⇒ dpll_W S (Decided L # trail S, clauses S) |
 backtrack: backtrack-split (trail S) = (M', L # M) ⇒ is-decided L ⇒ D ∈ # clauses S
 ⇒ trail S ⊨_{as} CNot D ⇒ dpll_W S (Propagated (- (lit-of L)) () # M, clauses S)

1.4.2 Invariants

lemma dpll_W-distinct-inv:
 assumes dpll_W S S'
 and no-dup (trail S)
 shows no-dup (trail S')
 <proof>

lemma dpll_W-consistent-interp-inv:
 assumes dpll_W S S'
 and consistent-interp (lits-of-l (trail S))
 and no-dup (trail S)
 shows consistent-interp (lits-of-l (trail S'))
 <proof>

lemma dpll_W-vars-in-snd-inv:
 assumes dpll_W S S'
 and atm-of ' (lits-of-l (trail S)) ⊆ atms-of-mm (clauses S)
 shows atm-of ' (lits-of-l (trail S')) ⊆ atms-of-mm (clauses S')

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *atms-of-ms-lit-of-atms-of*: $\text{atms-of-ms } ((\lambda a. \{\# \text{lit-of } a \# \}) \text{ ' } c) = \text{atm-of ' lit-of ' } c$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem 2.8.2 page 73 of Weidenbach's book

lemma *dpll_W-propagate-is-conclusion*:

assumes *dpll_W* *S S'*

and *all-decomposition-implies-m* (*clauses S*) (*get-all-ann-decomposition* (*trail S*))

and *atm-of ' lits-of-l* (*trail S*) \subseteq *atms-of-mm* (*clauses S*)

shows *all-decomposition-implies-m* (*clauses S'*) (*get-all-ann-decomposition* (*trail S'*))

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem 2.8.3 page 73 of Weidenbach's book

theorem *dpll_W-propagate-is-conclusion-of-decided*:

assumes *dpll_W* *S S'*

and *all-decomposition-implies-m* (*clauses S*) (*get-all-ann-decomposition* (*trail S*))

and *atm-of ' lits-of-l* (*trail S*) \subseteq *atms-of-mm* (*clauses S*)

shows *set-mset* (*clauses S'*) $\cup \{\{\# \text{lit-of } L \# \} \mid L. \text{ is-decided } L \wedge L \in \text{set } (\text{trail } S')\}$

$\models_{ps} (\lambda a. \{\# \text{lit-of } a \# \}) \text{ ' } \bigcup (\text{set ' snd ' set } (\text{get-all-ann-decomposition } (\text{trail } S')))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem 2.8.4 page 73 of Weidenbach's book

lemma *only-propagated-vars-unsat*:

assumes *decided*: $\forall x \in \text{set } M. \neg \text{is-decided } x$

and *DN*: $D \in N$ **and** $D: M \models_{as} C \text{Not } D$

and *inv*: *all-decomposition-implies* *N* (*get-all-ann-decomposition* *M*)

and *atm-incl*: *atm-of ' lits-of-l* *M* \subseteq *atms-of-ms* *N*

shows *unsatisfiable* *N*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *dpll_W-same-clauses*:

assumes *dpll_W* *S S'*

shows *clauses S* = *clauses S'*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancp-dpll_W-inv*:

assumes *rtrancp* *dpll_W* *S S'*

and *inv*: *all-decomposition-implies-m* (*clauses S*) (*get-all-ann-decomposition* (*trail S*))

and *atm-incl*: *atm-of ' lits-of-l* (*trail S*) \subseteq *atms-of-mm* (*clauses S*)

and *consistent-interp* (*lits-of-l* (*trail S*))

and *no-dup* (*trail S*)

shows *all-decomposition-implies-m* (*clauses S'*) (*get-all-ann-decomposition* (*trail S'*))

and *atm-of ' lits-of-l* (*trail S'*) \subseteq *atms-of-mm* (*clauses S'*)

and *clauses S* = *clauses S'*

and *consistent-interp* (*lits-of-l* (*trail S'*))

and *no-dup* (*trail S'*)

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *dpll_W-all-inv* *S* \equiv

(*all-decomposition-implies-m* (*clauses S*) (*get-all-ann-decomposition* (*trail S*))

\wedge *atm-of ' lits-of-l* (*trail S*) \subseteq *atms-of-mm* (*clauses S*)

\wedge *consistent-interp* (*lits-of-l* (*trail S*))

\wedge *no-dup* (*trail S*))

lemma *dpll_W-all-inv-dest*[*dest*]:
assumes *dpll_W-all-inv* *S*
shows *all-decomposition-implies-m* (*clauses S*) (*get-all-ann-decomposition* (*trail S*))
and *atm-of* ‘ *lits-of-l* (*trail S*) \subseteq *atms-of-mm* (*clauses S*)
and *consistent-interp* (*lits-of-l* (*trail S*)) \wedge *no-dup* (*trail S*)
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *rtrancpl-dpll_W-all-inv*:
assumes *rtrancpl dpll_W S S'*
and *dpll_W-all-inv S*
shows *dpll_W-all-inv S'*
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *dpll_W-all-inv*:
assumes *dpll_W S S'*
and *dpll_W-all-inv S*
shows *dpll_W-all-inv S'*
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *rtrancpl-dpll_W-inv-starting-from-0*:
assumes *rtrancpl dpll_W S S'*
and *inv: trail S = []*
shows *dpll_W-all-inv S'*
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *dpll_W-can-do-step*:
assumes *consistent-interp* (*set M*)
and *distinct M*
and *atm-of* ‘ (*set M*) \subseteq *atms-of-mm N*
shows *rtrancpl dpll_W ([], N)* (*map Decided M, N*)
 \langle *proof* \rangle

definition *conclusive-dpll_W-state* (*S:: 'v dpll_W-state*) \longleftrightarrow
(*trail S* \models_{asm} *clauses S* \vee ($\forall L \in \text{set } (\text{trail } S). \neg \text{is-decided } L$)
 \wedge ($\exists C \in \# \text{ clauses } S. \text{trail } S \models_{as} C \text{Not } C$)))

theorem 2.8.6 page 74 of Weidenbach’s book

lemma *dpll_W-strong-completeness*:
assumes *set M* $\models_{sm} N$
and *consistent-interp* (*set M*)
and *distinct M*
and *atm-of* ‘ (*set M*) \subseteq *atms-of-mm N*
shows *dpll_W*** ([], *N*) (*map Decided M, N*)
and *conclusive-dpll_W-state* (*map Decided M, N*)
 \langle *proof* \rangle

theorem 2.8.5 page 73 of Weidenbach’s book

lemma *dpll_W-sound*:
assumes
rtrancpl dpll_W ([], N) (*M, N*) **and**
 $\forall S. \neg \text{dpll}_W (M, N) S$
shows *M* $\models_{asm} N \longleftrightarrow$ *satisfiable* (*set-mset N*) (**is** ?*A* \longleftrightarrow ?*B*)
 \langle *proof* \rangle

1.4.3 Termination

definition $dpll_W\text{-mes } M \ n =$

$\text{map } (\lambda l. \text{ if is-decided } l \text{ then } 2 \text{ else } (1::\text{nat})) (\text{rev } M) \text{ @ replicate } (n - \text{length } M) \ 3$

lemma $\text{length-dpll}_W\text{-mes}$:

assumes $\text{length } M \leq n$

shows $\text{length } (dpll_W\text{-mes } M \ n) = n$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{distinctcard-atm-of-lit-of-eq-length}$:

assumes $\text{no-dup } S$

shows $\text{card } (\text{atm-of } \text{' lits-of-l } S) = \text{length } S$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $dpll_W\text{-card-decrease}$:

assumes $dpll$: $dpll_W \ S \ S'$ **and** $\text{length } (\text{trail } S') \leq \text{card vars}$

and $\text{length } (\text{trail } S) \leq \text{card vars}$

shows $(dpll_W\text{-mes } (\text{trail } S') \ (\text{card vars}), dpll_W\text{-mes } (\text{trail } S) \ (\text{card vars}))$

$\in \text{lexn } \{(a, b). a < b\} \ (\text{card vars})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem 2.8.7 page 74 of Weidenbach's book

lemma $dpll_W\text{-card-decrease'}$:

assumes $dpll$: $dpll_W \ S \ S'$

and atm-incl : $\text{atm-of } \text{' lits-of-l } (\text{trail } S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-mm } (\text{clauses } S)$

and no-dup : $\text{no-dup } (\text{trail } S)$

shows $(dpll_W\text{-mes } (\text{trail } S') \ (\text{card } (\text{atms-of-mm } (\text{clauses } S'))),$

$dpll_W\text{-mes } (\text{trail } S) \ (\text{card } (\text{atms-of-mm } (\text{clauses } S)))) \in \text{lex } \{(a, b). a < b\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma wf-lexn : $\text{wf } (\text{lexn } \{(a, b). (a::\text{nat}) < b\} \ (\text{card } (\text{atms-of-mm } (\text{clauses } S))))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $dpll_W\text{-wf}$:

$\text{wf } \{(S', S). dpll_W\text{-all-inv } S \wedge dpll_W \ S \ S'\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $dpll_W\text{-tranclp-star-commute}$:

$\{(S', S). dpll_W\text{-all-inv } S \wedge dpll_W \ S \ S'\}^+ = \{(S', S). dpll_W\text{-all-inv } S \wedge \text{tranclp } dpll_W \ S \ S'\}$

(**is** $?A = ?B$)

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $dpll_W\text{-wf-tranclp}$: $\text{wf } \{(S', S). dpll_W\text{-all-inv } S \wedge dpll_W^{++} \ S \ S'\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $dpll_W\text{-wf-plus}$:

shows $\text{wf } \{(S', ([], N)) | S'. dpll_W^{++} \ ([], N) \ S'\} \text{ (is wf ?P)}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

1.4.4 Final States

Proposition 2.8.1: final states are the normal forms of $dpll_W$

lemma $dpll_W\text{-no-more-step-is-a-conclusive-state}$:

assumes $\forall S'. \neg dpll_W S S'$
shows *conclusive-dpll_W-state* S
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dpll_W-conclusive-state-correct*:
assumes $dpll_W^{**} ([], N) (M, N)$ **and** *conclusive-dpll_W-state* (M, N)
shows $M \models_{asm} N \longleftrightarrow \text{satisfiable } (set-mset\ N)$ (**is** $?A \longleftrightarrow ?B$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

1.4.5 Link with NOT's DPLL

interpretation *dpll_W-NOT*: *dpll-with-backtrack* $\langle proof \rangle$

declare *dpll_W-NOT.state-simp_{NOT}*[*simp del*]
lemma *state-eq_{NOT}-iff-eq*[*iff, simp*]: *dpll_W-NOT.state-eq_{NOT}* $S\ T \longleftrightarrow S = T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$
lemma *dpll_W-dpll_W-bj*:
assumes *inv*: *dpll_W-all-inv* S **and** *dpll*: *dpll_W* $S\ T$
shows *dpll_W-NOT.dpll-bj* $S\ T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dpll_W-bj-dpll*:
assumes *inv*: *dpll_W-all-inv* S **and** *dpll*: *dpll_W-NOT.dpll-bj* $S\ T$
shows *dpll_W* $S\ T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtrancp-dpll_W-rtrancp-dpll_W-NOT*:
assumes $dpll_W^{**} S\ T$ **and** *dpll_W-all-inv* S
shows *dpll_W-NOT.dpll-bj^{**}* $S\ T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtrancp-dpll-rtrancp-dpll_W*:
assumes *dpll_W-NOT.dpll-bj^{**}* $S\ T$ **and** *dpll_W-all-inv* S
shows $dpll_W^{**} S\ T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dpll-conclusive-state-correctness*:
assumes $dpll_W^{**} ([], N) (M, N)$ **and** *conclusive-dpll_W-state* (M, N)
shows $M \models_{asm} N \longleftrightarrow \text{satisfiable } (set-mset\ N)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end
theory *CDCL-W-Level*
imports *Partial-Annotated-Clausal-Logic*
begin

Level of literals and clauses

Getting the level of a variable, implies that the list has to be reversed. Here is the function after reversing.

abbreviation *count-decided* :: $('v, 'm) \text{ ann-lits} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ **where**
count-decided $l \equiv \text{length } (\text{filter is-decided } l)$

abbreviation *get-level* :: $('v, 'm) \text{ ann-lits} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ literal} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ **where**
get-level $S\ L \equiv \text{length } (\text{filter is-decided } (\text{dropWhile } (\lambda S. \text{atm-of } (\text{lit-of } S) \neq \text{atm-of } L) S))$

lemma *get-level-uminus*: $\text{get-level } M \ (-L) = \text{get-level } M \ L$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *atm-of-notin-get-rev-level-eq-0[simp]*:
assumes $\text{atm-of } L \notin \text{atm-of ' lits-of-l } M$
shows $\text{get-level } M \ L = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *get-level-ge-0-atm-of-in*:
assumes $\text{get-level } M \ L > n$
shows $\text{atm-of } L \in \text{atm-of ' lits-of-l } M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

In *get-level* (resp. *get-level*), the beginning (resp. the end) can be skipped if the literal is not in the beginning (resp. the end).

lemma *get-rev-level-skip[simp]*:
assumes $\text{atm-of } L \notin \text{atm-of ' lits-of-l } M$
shows $\text{get-level } (M \ @ \ M') \ L = \text{get-level } M' \ L$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

If the literal is at the beginning, then the end can be skipped

lemma *get-rev-level-skip-end[simp]*:
assumes $\text{atm-of } L \in \text{atm-of ' lits-of-l } M$
shows $\text{get-level } (M \ @ \ M') \ L = \text{get-level } M \ L + \text{length } (\text{filter is-decided } M')$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *get-level-skip-beginning*:
assumes $\text{atm-of } L' \neq \text{atm-of } (\text{lit-of } K)$
shows $\text{get-level } (K \ # \ M) \ L' = \text{get-level } M \ L'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *get-level-skip-beginning-not-decided[simp]*:
assumes $\text{atm-of } L \notin \text{atm-of ' lits-of-l } S$
and $\forall s \in \text{set } S. \neg \text{is-decided } s$
shows $\text{get-level } (M \ @ \ S) \ L = \text{get-level } M \ L$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *get-level-skip-in-all-not-decided*:
fixes $M :: ('a, 'b) \text{ ann-lits}$ **and** $L :: 'a \text{ literal}$
assumes $\forall m \in \text{set } M. \neg \text{is-decided } m$
and $\text{atm-of } L \in \text{atm-of ' lits-of-l } M$
shows $\text{get-level } M \ L = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *get-level-skip-all-not-decided[simp]*:
fixes M
assumes $\forall m \in \text{set } M. \neg \text{is-decided } m$
shows $\text{get-level } M \ L = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

abbreviation $MMax \ M \equiv Max \ (\text{set-mset } M)$

the $\{\#0::'a\# \}$ is there to ensure that the set is not empty.

definition *get-maximum-level* :: $('a, 'b) \text{ ann-lits} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ literal multiset} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$

where
 $get_maximum_level\ M\ D = MMax\ (\{\#0\# \} + image_mset\ (get_level\ M)\ D)$

lemma *get-maximum-level-ge-get-level*:
 $L \in \# D \implies get_maximum_level\ M\ D \geq get_level\ M\ L$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *get-maximum-level-empty[simp]*:
 $get_maximum_level\ M\ \{\#\} = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *get-maximum-level-exists-lit-of-max-level*:
 $D \neq \{\#\} \implies \exists L \in \# D. get_level\ M\ L = get_maximum_level\ M\ D$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *get-maximum-level-empty-list[simp]*:
 $get_maximum_level\ []\ D = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *get-maximum-level-single[simp]*:
 $get_maximum_level\ M\ \{\#L\# \} = get_level\ M\ L$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *get-maximum-level-plus*:
 $get_maximum_level\ M\ (D + D') = \max\ (get_maximum_level\ M\ D)\ (get_maximum_level\ M\ D')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *get-maximum-level-exists-lit*:
assumes $n: n > 0$
and $max: get_maximum_level\ M\ D = n$
shows $\exists L \in \# D. get_level\ M\ L = n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *get-maximum-level-skip-first[simp]*:
assumes $atm_of\ L \notin atms_of\ D$
shows $get_maximum_level\ (Propagated\ L\ C\ \# M)\ D = get_maximum_level\ M\ D$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *get-maximum-level-skip-beginning*:
assumes $DH: \forall x \in atms_of\ D. x \notin atm_of\ ' lits_of_l\ c$
shows $get_maximum_level\ (c\ @\ H)\ D = get_maximum_level\ H\ D$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *get-maximum-level-D-single-propagated*:
 $get_maximum_level\ [Propagated\ x21\ x22]\ D = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *get-maximum-level-skip-un-decided-not-present*:
assumes
 $\forall L \in \# D. atm_of\ L \notin atm_of\ ' lits_of_l\ M$ **and**
 $\forall m \in set\ M. \neg is_decided\ m$
shows $get_maximum_level\ (M\ @\ aa)\ D = get_maximum_level\ aa\ D$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *get-maximum-level-union-mset*:
 $get_maximum_level\ M\ (A\ \#\cup\ B) = get_maximum_level\ M\ (A + B)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *count-decided-rev[simp]:*
count-decided (rev M) = count-decided M
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *count-decided-ge-get-level[simp]:*
count-decided M \geq get-level M L
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *count-decided-ge-get-maximum-level:*
count-decided M \geq get-maximum-level M D
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

fun *get-all-mark-of-propagated where*
get-all-mark-of-propagated [] = [] |
get-all-mark-of-propagated (Decided - # L) = get-all-mark-of-propagated L |
get-all-mark-of-propagated (Propagated - mark # L) = mark # get-all-mark-of-propagated L

lemma *get-all-mark-of-propagated-append[simp]:*
get-all-mark-of-propagated (A @ B) = get-all-mark-of-propagated A @ get-all-mark-of-propagated B
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Properties about the levels

lemma *atm-lit-of-set-lits-of-l:*
($\lambda l. \text{atm-of (lit-of l)}$) ' set xs = atm-of ' lits-of-l xs
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *le-count-decided-decomp:*
assumes *no-dup M*
shows *i < count-decided M \longleftrightarrow ($\exists c K c'. M = c @ \text{Decided } K \# c' \wedge \text{get-level } M K = \text{Suc } i$)*
(is *?A \longleftrightarrow ?B*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

theory *CDCL-W*

imports *List-More CDCL-W-Level Wellfounded-More Partial-Annotated-Clausal-Logic*

begin

Chapter 2

Weidenbach's CDCL

The organisation of the development is the following:

- `CDCL_W.thy` contains the specification of the rules: the rules and the strategy are defined, and we prove the correctness of CDCL.
- `CDCL_W_Termination.thy` contains the proof of termination.
- `CDCL_W_Merge.thy` contains a variant of the calculus: some rules of the raw calculus are always applied together (like the rules analysing the conflict and then backtracking). We define an equivalent version of the calculus where these rules are applied together. This is useful for implementations.
- `CDCL_WNOT.thy` proves the inclusion of Weidenbach's version of CDCL in NOT's version. We use here the version defined in `CDCL_W_Merge.thy`. We need this, because NOT's backjump corresponds to multiple applications of three rules in Weidenbach's calculus. We show also the termination of the calculus without strategy.

We have some variants build on the top of Weidenbach's CDCL calculus:

- `CDCL_W_Incremental.thy` adds incrementality on the top of `CDCL_W.thy`. The way we are doing it is not compatible with `CDCL_W_Merge.thy`, because we add conflicts and the `CDCL_W_Merge.thy` cannot analyse conflicts added externally, because the conflict and analyse are merged.
- `CDCL_W_Restart.thy` adds restart. It is built on the top of `CDCL_W_Merge.thy`.

2.1 Weidenbach's CDCL with Multisets

```
declare upt.simps( $\mathbb{Z}$ )[simp del]
```

2.1.1 The State

We will abstract the representation of clause and clauses via two locales. We here use multisets, contrary to `CDCL_W_Abstract_State.thy` where we assume only the existence of a conversion to the state.

```
locale stateW-ops =
```

fixes

trail :: 'st \Rightarrow ('v, 'v clause) ann-lits **and**
init-clss :: 'st \Rightarrow 'v clauses **and**
learned-clss :: 'st \Rightarrow 'v clauses **and**
backtrack-lvl :: 'st \Rightarrow nat **and**
conflicting :: 'st \Rightarrow 'v clause option **and**

cons-trail :: ('v, 'v clause) ann-lit \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**
tl-trail :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**
add-learned-clss :: 'v clause \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**
remove-clss :: 'v clause \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**
update-backtrack-lvl :: nat \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**
update-conflicting :: 'v clause option \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**

init-state :: 'v clauses \Rightarrow 'st

begin

abbreviation *hd-trail* :: 'st \Rightarrow ('v, 'v clause) ann-lit **where**
hd-trail *S* \equiv *hd* (*trail* *S*)

definition *clauses* :: 'st \Rightarrow 'v clauses **where**
clauses *S* = *init-clss* *S* + *learned-clss* *S*

abbreviation *resolve-clss* **where**

resolve-clss *L* *D'* *E* \equiv *remove1-mset* ($-L$) *D'* # \cup *remove1-mset* *L* *E*

abbreviation *state* :: 'st \Rightarrow ('v, 'v clause) ann-lits \times 'v clauses \times 'v clauses
 \times nat \times 'v clause option **where**
state *S* \equiv (*trail* *S*, *init-clss* *S*, *learned-clss* *S*, *backtrack-lvl* *S*, *conflicting* *S*)
end

We are using an abstract state to abstract away the detail of the implementation: we do not need to know how the clauses are represented internally, we just need to know that they can be converted to multisets.

Weidenbach state is a five-tuple composed of:

1. the trail is a list of decided literals;
2. the initial set of clauses (that is not changed during the whole calculus);
3. the learned clauses (clauses can be added or remove);
4. the maximum level of the trail;
5. the conflicting clause (if any has been found so far).

There are two different clause representation: one for the conflicting clause ('v *Partial-Clausal-Logic.clause*, standing for conflicting clause) and one for the initial and learned clauses ('v *Partial-Clausal-Logic.clause*, standing for clause). The representation of the clauses annotating literals in the trail is slightly different: being able to convert it to 'v *Partial-Clausal-Logic.clause* is enough (needed for function *hd-trail* below).

There are several axioms to state the independance of the different fields of the state: for example, adding a clause to the learned clauses does not change the trail.

locale *state_W* =

stateW-ops

— functions about the state:

— getter:

trail init-clss learned-clss backtrack-lvl conflicting

— setter:

*cons-trail tl-trail add-learned-clss remove-clss update-backtrack-lvl
update-conflicting*

— Some specific states:

init-state

for

trail :: 'st \Rightarrow ('v, 'v clause) ann-lits **and**

init-clss :: 'st \Rightarrow 'v clauses **and**

learned-clss :: 'st \Rightarrow 'v clauses **and**

backtrack-lvl :: 'st \Rightarrow nat **and**

conflicting :: 'st \Rightarrow 'v clause option **and**

cons-trail :: ('v, 'v clause) ann-lit \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**

tl-trail :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**

add-learned-clss :: 'v clause \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**

remove-clss :: 'v clause \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**

update-backtrack-lvl :: nat \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**

update-conflicting :: 'v clause option \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**

init-state :: 'v clauses \Rightarrow 'st +

assumes

cons-trail:

$\bigwedge S'. \text{state } st = (M, S') \implies$
state (cons-trail L st) = (L # M, S') **and**

tl-trail:

$\bigwedge S'. \text{state } st = (M, S') \implies \text{state } (tl\text{-trail } st) = (tl\ M, S') \text{ and}$

remove-clss:

$\bigwedge S'. \text{state } st = (M, N, U, S') \implies$
state (remove-clss C st) =
(M, removeAll-mset C N, removeAll-mset C U, S') **and**

add-learned-clss:

$\bigwedge S'. \text{state } st = (M, N, U, S') \implies$
state (add-learned-clss C st) = (M, N, {#C#} + U, S') **and**

update-backtrack-lvl:

$\bigwedge S'. \text{state } st = (M, N, U, k, S') \implies$
state (update-backtrack-lvl k' st) = (M, N, U, k', S') **and**

update-conflicting:

state st = (M, N, U, k, D) \implies
state (update-conflicting E st) = (M, N, U, k, E) **and**

init-state:

state (init-state N) = ([], N, {#}, 0, None)

begin

lemma

trail-cons-trail[simp]:

$trail (cons-trail L st) = L \# trail st$ **and**
 $trail-tl-trail[simp]: trail (tl-trail st) = tl (trail st)$ **and**
 $trail-add-learned-cls[simp]:$
 $trail (add-learned-cls C st) = trail st$ **and**
 $trail-remove-cls[simp]:$
 $trail (remove-cls C st) = trail st$ **and**
 $trail-update-backtrack-lvl[simp]: trail (update-backtrack-lvl k st) = trail st$ **and**
 $trail-update-conflicting[simp]: trail (update-conflicting E st) = trail st$ **and**

$init-clss-cons-trail[simp]:$
 $init-clss (cons-trail M st) = init-clss st$
and
 $init-clss-tl-trail[simp]:$
 $init-clss (tl-trail st) = init-clss st$ **and**
 $init-clss-add-learned-cls[simp]:$
 $init-clss (add-learned-cls C st) = init-clss st$ **and**
 $init-clss-remove-cls[simp]:$
 $init-clss (remove-cls C st) = removeAll-mset C (init-clss st)$ **and**
 $init-clss-update-backtrack-lvl[simp]:$
 $init-clss (update-backtrack-lvl k st) = init-clss st$ **and**
 $init-clss-update-conflicting[simp]:$
 $init-clss (update-conflicting E st) = init-clss st$ **and**

$learned-clss-cons-trail[simp]:$
 $learned-clss (cons-trail M st) = learned-clss st$ **and**
 $learned-clss-tl-trail[simp]:$
 $learned-clss (tl-trail st) = learned-clss st$ **and**
 $learned-clss-add-learned-cls[simp]:$
 $learned-clss (add-learned-cls C st) = \{\#C\# \} + learned-clss st$ **and**
 $learned-clss-remove-cls[simp]:$
 $learned-clss (remove-cls C st) = removeAll-mset C (learned-clss st)$ **and**
 $learned-clss-update-backtrack-lvl[simp]:$
 $learned-clss (update-backtrack-lvl k st) = learned-clss st$ **and**
 $learned-clss-update-conflicting[simp]:$
 $learned-clss (update-conflicting E st) = learned-clss st$ **and**

$backtrack-lvl-cons-trail[simp]:$
 $backtrack-lvl (cons-trail M st) = backtrack-lvl st$ **and**
 $backtrack-lvl-tl-trail[simp]:$
 $backtrack-lvl (tl-trail st) = backtrack-lvl st$ **and**
 $backtrack-lvl-add-learned-cls[simp]:$
 $backtrack-lvl (add-learned-cls C st) = backtrack-lvl st$ **and**
 $backtrack-lvl-remove-cls[simp]:$
 $backtrack-lvl (remove-cls C st) = backtrack-lvl st$ **and**
 $backtrack-lvl-update-backtrack-lvl[simp]:$
 $backtrack-lvl (update-backtrack-lvl k st) = k$ **and**
 $backtrack-lvl-update-conflicting[simp]:$
 $backtrack-lvl (update-conflicting E st) = backtrack-lvl st$ **and**

$conflicting-cons-trail[simp]:$
 $conflicting (cons-trail M st) = conflicting st$ **and**
 $conflicting-tl-trail[simp]:$
 $conflicting (tl-trail st) = conflicting st$ **and**
 $conflicting-add-learned-cls[simp]:$
 $conflicting (add-learned-cls C st) = conflicting st$
and

conflicting-remove-cls[simp]:
 $\text{conflicting } (\text{remove-cls } C \text{ st}) = \text{conflicting } st \text{ and}$
conflicting-update-backtrack-lvl[simp]:
 $\text{conflicting } (\text{update-backtrack-lvl } k \text{ st}) = \text{conflicting } st \text{ and}$
conflicting-update-conflicting[simp]:
 $\text{conflicting } (\text{update-conflicting } E \text{ st}) = E \text{ and}$

init-state-trail[simp]: $\text{trail } (\text{init-state } N) = [] \text{ and}$
init-state-clss[simp]: $\text{init-clss } (\text{init-state } N) = N \text{ and}$
init-state-learned-clss[simp]: $\text{learned-clss } (\text{init-state } N) = \{\#\} \text{ and}$
init-state-backtrack-lvl[simp]: $\text{backtrack-lvl } (\text{init-state } N) = 0 \text{ and}$
init-state-conflicting[simp]: $\text{conflicting } (\text{init-state } N) = \text{None}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma

shows

clauses-cons-trail[simp]:
 $\text{clauses } (\text{cons-trail } M \text{ } S) = \text{clauses } S \text{ and}$

clss-tl-trail[simp]: $\text{clauses } (\text{tl-trail } S) = \text{clauses } S \text{ and}$
clauses-add-learned-cls-unfolded:
 $\text{clauses } (\text{add-learned-cls } U \text{ } S) = \{\#U\# \} + \text{learned-clss } S + \text{init-clss } S$
and
clauses-update-backtrack-lvl[simp]: $\text{clauses } (\text{update-backtrack-lvl } k \text{ } S) = \text{clauses } S \text{ and}$
clauses-update-conflicting[simp]: $\text{clauses } (\text{update-conflicting } D \text{ } S) = \text{clauses } S \text{ and}$
clauses-remove-cls[simp]:
 $\text{clauses } (\text{remove-cls } C \text{ } S) = \text{removeAll-mset } C \text{ } (\text{clauses } S) \text{ and}$
clauses-add-learned-cls[simp]:
 $\text{clauses } (\text{add-learned-cls } C \text{ } S) = \{\#C\# \} + \text{clauses } S \text{ and}$
clauses-init-state[simp]: $\text{clauses } (\text{init-state } N) = N$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

abbreviation $\text{incr-lvl} :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \text{ where}$

$\text{incr-lvl } S \equiv \text{update-backtrack-lvl } (\text{backtrack-lvl } S + 1) \text{ } S$

definition $\text{state-eq} :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow \text{bool} \text{ (infix } \sim 50) \text{ where}$

$S \sim T \longleftrightarrow \text{state } S = \text{state } T$

lemma *state-eq-ref*[simp, intro]:

$S \sim S$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *state-eq-sym*:

$S \sim T \longleftrightarrow T \sim S$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *state-eq-trans*:

$S \sim T \Longrightarrow T \sim U \Longrightarrow S \sim U$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma

shows

state-eq-trail: $S \sim T \Longrightarrow \text{trail } S = \text{trail } T \text{ and}$
state-eq-init-clss: $S \sim T \Longrightarrow \text{init-clss } S = \text{init-clss } T \text{ and}$
state-eq-learned-clss: $S \sim T \Longrightarrow \text{learned-clss } S = \text{learned-clss } T \text{ and}$

state-eq-backtrack-lvl: $S \sim T \implies \text{backtrack-lvl } S = \text{backtrack-lvl } T$ **and**
state-eq-conflicting: $S \sim T \implies \text{conflicting } S = \text{conflicting } T$ **and**
state-eq-clauses: $S \sim T \implies \text{clauses } S = \text{clauses } T$ **and**
state-eq-undefined-lit: $S \sim T \implies \text{undefined-lit } (\text{trail } S) L = \text{undefined-lit } (\text{trail } T) L$
 <proof>

lemma *state-eq-conflicting-None*:

$S \sim T \implies \text{conflicting } T = \text{None} \implies \text{conflicting } S = \text{None}$
 <proof>

We combine all simplification rules about $op \sim$ in a single list of theorems. While they are handy as simplification rule as long as we are working on the state, they also cause a *huge* slow-down in all other cases.

lemmas *state-simp*[simp] = *state-eq-trail state-eq-init-clss state-eq-learned-clss state-eq-backtrack-lvl state-eq-conflicting state-eq-clauses state-eq-undefined-lit state-eq-conflicting-None*

function *reduce-trail-to* :: 'a list \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st **where**

reduce-trail-to F S =
 (if length (trail S) = length F \vee trail S = [] then S else *reduce-trail-to* F (tl-trail S))
 <proof>

termination

<proof>

declare *reduce-trail-to.simps*[simp del]

lemma

shows

reduce-trail-to-Nil[simp]: trail S = [] \implies *reduce-trail-to* F S = S **and**
reduce-trail-to-eq-length[simp]: length (trail S) = length F \implies *reduce-trail-to* F S = S
 <proof>

lemma *reduce-trail-to-length-ne*:

length (trail S) \neq length F \implies trail S \neq [] \implies
reduce-trail-to F S = *reduce-trail-to* F (tl-trail S)
 <proof>

lemma *trail-reduce-trail-to-length-le*:

assumes length F > length (trail S)
shows trail (reduce-trail-to F S) = []
 <proof>

lemma *trail-reduce-trail-to-Nil*[simp]:

trail (reduce-trail-to [] S) = []
 <proof>

lemma *clauses-reduce-trail-to-Nil*:

clauses (reduce-trail-to [] S) = clauses S
 <proof>

lemma *reduce-trail-to-skip-beginning*:

assumes trail S = F' @ F
shows trail (reduce-trail-to F S) = F
 <proof>

lemma *clauses-reduce-trail-to[simp]*:
 $\text{clauses } (\text{reduce-trail-to } F \ S) = \text{clauses } S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *conflicting-update-trail[simp]*:
 $\text{conflicting } (\text{reduce-trail-to } F \ S) = \text{conflicting } S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *backtrack-lvl-update-trail[simp]*:
 $\text{backtrack-lvl } (\text{reduce-trail-to } F \ S) = \text{backtrack-lvl } S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *init-clss-update-trail[simp]*:
 $\text{init-clss } (\text{reduce-trail-to } F \ S) = \text{init-clss } S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *learned-clss-update-trail[simp]*:
 $\text{learned-clss } (\text{reduce-trail-to } F \ S) = \text{learned-clss } S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *conflicting-reduce-trail-to[simp]*:
 $\text{conflicting } (\text{reduce-trail-to } F \ S) = \text{None} \longleftrightarrow \text{conflicting } S = \text{None}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *trail-eq-reduce-trail-to-eq*:
 $\text{trail } S = \text{trail } T \implies \text{trail } (\text{reduce-trail-to } F \ S) = \text{trail } (\text{reduce-trail-to } F \ T)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *reduce-trail-to-state-eq_{NOT}-compatible*:
assumes $ST: S \sim T$
shows $\text{reduce-trail-to } F \ S \sim \text{reduce-trail-to } F \ T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *reduce-trail-to-trail-tl-trail-decomp[simp]*:
 $\text{trail } S = F' @ \text{Decided } K \ \# \ F \implies (\text{trail } (\text{reduce-trail-to } F \ S)) = F$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *reduce-trail-to-add-learned-cls[simp]*:
 $\text{trail } (\text{reduce-trail-to } F \ (\text{add-learned-cls } C \ S)) = \text{trail } (\text{reduce-trail-to } F \ S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *reduce-trail-to-remove-learned-cls[simp]*:
 $\text{trail } (\text{reduce-trail-to } F \ (\text{remove-cls } C \ S)) = \text{trail } (\text{reduce-trail-to } F \ S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *reduce-trail-to-update-conflicting[simp]*:
 $\text{trail } (\text{reduce-trail-to } F \ (\text{update-conflicting } C \ S)) = \text{trail } (\text{reduce-trail-to } F \ S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *reduce-trail-to-update-backtrack-lvl[simp]*:
 $\text{trail } (\text{reduce-trail-to } F \ (\text{update-backtrack-lvl } k \ S)) = \text{trail } (\text{reduce-trail-to } F \ S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *reduce-trail-to-length*:
 $\text{length } M = \text{length } M' \implies \text{reduce-trail-to } M \ S = \text{reduce-trail-to } M' \ S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *trail-reduce-trail-to-drop*:

trail (*reduce-trail-to* *F* *S*) =
 (if *length* (*trail* *S*) ≥ *length* *F*
 then *drop* (*length* (*trail* *S*) − *length* *F*) (*trail* *S*)
 else [])
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *in-get-all-ann-decomposition-trail-update-trail*[*simp*]:

assumes *H*: (*L* # *M1*, *M2*) ∈ *set* (*get-all-ann-decomposition* (*trail* *S*))
shows *trail* (*reduce-trail-to* *M1* *S*) = *M1*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *conflicting-cons-trail-conflicting*[*simp*]:

assumes *undefined-lit* (*trail* *S*) (*lit-of* *L*)
shows
conflicting (*cons-trail* *L* *S*) = *None* ↔ *conflicting* *S* = *None*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *conflicting-add-learned-cls-conflicting*[*simp*]:

conflicting (*add-learned-cls* *C* *S*) = *None* ↔ *conflicting* *S* = *None*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *conflicting-update-backtrack-lvl*[*simp*]:

conflicting (*update-backtrack-lvl* *k* *S*) = *None* ↔ *conflicting* *S* = *None*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

end — end of *state_W* locale

2.1.2 CDCL Rules

Because of the strategy we will later use, we distinguish propagate, conflict from the other rules

locale *conflict-driven-clause-learning_W* =

state_W

— functions for the state:

— access functions:

trail *init-clss* *learned-clss* *backtrack-lvl* *conflicting*

— changing state:

cons-trail *tl-trail* *add-learned-cls* *remove-cls* *update-backtrack-lvl*

update-conflicting

— get state:

init-state

for

trail :: '*st* ⇒ ('*v*, '*v* clause) *ann-lits* **and**

init-clss :: '*st* ⇒ '*v* clauses **and**

learned-clss :: '*st* ⇒ '*v* clauses **and**

backtrack-lvl :: '*st* ⇒ nat **and**

conflicting :: '*st* ⇒ '*v* clause option **and**

cons-trail :: ('*v*, '*v* clause) *ann-lit* ⇒ '*st* ⇒ '*st* **and**

tl-trail :: '*st* ⇒ '*st* **and**

add-learned-cls :: '*v* clause ⇒ '*st* ⇒ '*st* **and**

remove-cls :: '*v* clause ⇒ '*st* ⇒ '*st* **and**

update-backtrack-lvl :: nat ⇒ '*st* ⇒ '*st* **and**

update-conflicting :: 'v clause option \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**

init-state :: 'v clauses \Rightarrow 'st

begin

inductive *propagate* :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool **for** *S* :: 'st **where**

propagate-rule: *conflicting S* = None \Rightarrow

E \in # clauses *S* \Rightarrow

L \in # *E* \Rightarrow

trail S \models_{as} CNot (*E* - {#*L*#}) \Rightarrow

undefined-lit (*trail S*) *L* \Rightarrow

T \sim cons-trail (*Propagated L E*) *S* \Rightarrow

propagate S T

inductive-cases *propagateE*: *propagate S T*

inductive *conflict* :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool **for** *S* :: 'st **where**

conflict-rule:

conflicting S = None \Rightarrow

D \in # clauses *S* \Rightarrow

trail S \models_{as} CNot *D* \Rightarrow

T \sim *update-conflicting* (*Some D*) *S* \Rightarrow

conflict S T

inductive-cases *conflictE*: *conflict S T*

inductive *backtrack* :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool **for** *S* :: 'st **where**

backtrack-rule:

conflicting S = *Some D* \Rightarrow

L \in # *D* \Rightarrow

(*Decided K* # *M1*, *M2*) \in set (*get-all-ann-decomposition* (*trail S*)) \Rightarrow

get-level (*trail S*) *L* = *backtrack-lvl S* \Rightarrow

get-level (*trail S*) *L* = *get-maximum-level* (*trail S*) *D* \Rightarrow

get-maximum-level (*trail S*) (*D* - {#*L*#}) \equiv *i* \Rightarrow

get-level (*trail S*) *K* = *i* + 1 \Rightarrow

T \sim cons-trail (*Propagated L D*)

(*reduce-trail-to M1*

(*add-learned-cls D*

(*update-backtrack-lvl i*

(*update-conflicting None S*)))) \Rightarrow

backtrack S T

inductive-cases *backtrackE*: *backtrack S T*

thm *backtrackE*

inductive *decide* :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool **for** *S* :: 'st **where**

decide-rule:

conflicting S = None \Rightarrow

undefined-lit (*trail S*) *L* \Rightarrow

atm-of L \in *atms-of-mm* (*init-clss S*) \Rightarrow

T \sim cons-trail (*Decided L*) (*incr-lvl S*) \Rightarrow

decide S T

inductive-cases *decideE*: *decide S T*

inductive *skip* :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool **for** *S* :: 'st **where**

skip-rule:

$trail\ S = Propagated\ L\ C' \# M \implies$
 $conflicting\ S = Some\ E \implies$
 $-L \notin \# E \implies$
 $E \neq \{\#\} \implies$
 $T \sim tl-trail\ S \implies$
 $skip\ S\ T$

inductive-cases *skipE*: $skip\ S\ T$

get-maximum-level ($Propagated\ L\ (C + \{\#L\#\}) \# M$) $D = k \vee k = 0$ (that was in a previous version of the book) is equivalent to *get-maximum-level* ($Propagated\ L\ (C + \{\#L\#\}) \# M$) $D = k$, when the structural invariants holds.

inductive *resolve* :: $'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool$ **for** $S :: 'st$ **where**

resolve-rule: $trail\ S \neq [] \implies$

$hd-trail\ S = Propagated\ L\ E \implies$

$L \in \# E \implies$

$conflicting\ S = Some\ D' \implies$

$-L \in \# D' \implies$

$get-maximum-level\ (trail\ S)\ ((remove1-mset\ (-L)\ D')) = backtrack-lvl\ S \implies$

$T \sim update-conflicting\ (Some\ (resolve-cls\ L\ D'\ E))$

$(tl-trail\ S) \implies$

$resolve\ S\ T$

inductive-cases *resolveE*: $resolve\ S\ T$

inductive *restart* :: $'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool$ **for** $S :: 'st$ **where**

restart: $state\ S = (M, N, U, k, None) \implies$

$\neg M \models_{asm} clauses\ S \implies$

$U' \subseteq \# U \implies$

$state\ T = ([], N, U', 0, None) \implies$

$restart\ S\ T$

inductive-cases *restartE*: $restart\ S\ T$

We add the condition $C \notin \# init-clss\ S$, to maintain consistency even without the strategy.

inductive *forget* :: $'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool$ **where**

forget-rule:

$conflicting\ S = None \implies$

$C \in \# learned-clss\ S \implies$

$\neg(trail\ S) \models_{asm} clauses\ S \implies$

$C \notin set\ (get-all-mark-of-propagated\ (trail\ S)) \implies$

$C \notin \# init-clss\ S \implies$

$T \sim remove-cls\ C\ S \implies$

$forget\ S\ T$

inductive-cases *forgetE*: $forget\ S\ T$

inductive *cdcl_W-rf* :: $'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool$ **for** $S :: 'st$ **where**

restart: $restart\ S\ T \implies cdcl_W-rf\ S\ T \mid$

forget: $forget\ S\ T \implies cdcl_W-rf\ S\ T$

inductive *cdcl_W-bj* :: $'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool$ **where**

skip: $skip\ S\ S' \implies cdcl_W-bj\ S\ S' \mid$

resolve: $resolve\ S\ S' \implies cdcl_W-bj\ S\ S' \mid$

backtrack: $\text{backtrack } S \ S' \implies \text{cdcl}_W\text{-bj } S \ S'$

inductive-cases $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-bjE}$: $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-bj } S \ T$

inductive $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-o} :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **for** $S :: 'st$ **where**

decide: $\text{decide } S \ S' \implies \text{cdcl}_W\text{-o } S \ S' \mid$

bj: $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-bj } S \ S' \implies \text{cdcl}_W\text{-o } S \ S'$

inductive $\text{cdcl}_W :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **for** $S :: 'st$ **where**

propagate: $\text{propagate } S \ S' \implies \text{cdcl}_W \ S \ S' \mid$

conflict: $\text{conflict } S \ S' \implies \text{cdcl}_W \ S \ S' \mid$

other: $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-o } S \ S' \implies \text{cdcl}_W \ S \ S' \mid$

rf: $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-rf } S \ S' \implies \text{cdcl}_W \ S \ S'$

lemma *rtrancpl-propagate-is-rtrancpl-cdcl_W*:

$\text{propagate}^{**} \ S \ S' \implies \text{cdcl}_W^{**} \ S \ S'$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-rules-induct}$ [*consumes 1, case-names propagate conflict forget restart decide skip resolve backtrack*]:

fixes $S :: 'st$

assumes

cdcl_W : $\text{cdcl}_W \ S \ S'$ **and**

propagate: $\bigwedge T. \text{propagate } S \ T \implies P \ S \ T$ **and**

conflict: $\bigwedge T. \text{conflict } S \ T \implies P \ S \ T$ **and**

forget: $\bigwedge T. \text{forget } S \ T \implies P \ S \ T$ **and**

restart: $\bigwedge T. \text{restart } S \ T \implies P \ S \ T$ **and**

decide: $\bigwedge T. \text{decide } S \ T \implies P \ S \ T$ **and**

skip: $\bigwedge T. \text{skip } S \ T \implies P \ S \ T$ **and**

resolve: $\bigwedge T. \text{resolve } S \ T \implies P \ S \ T$ **and**

backtrack: $\bigwedge T. \text{backtrack } S \ T \implies P \ S \ T$

shows $P \ S \ S'$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-induct}$ [*consumes 1, case-names propagate conflict forget restart decide skip resolve backtrack*]:

fixes $S :: 'st$

assumes

cdcl_W : $\text{cdcl}_W \ S \ S'$ **and**

propagateH: $\bigwedge C \ L \ T. \text{conflicting } S = \text{None} \implies$

$C \in \# \text{ clauses } S \implies$

$L \in \# \ C \implies$

$\text{trail } S \models_{\text{as}} C \text{Not } (\text{remove1-mset } L \ C) \implies$

$\text{undefined-lit } (\text{trail } S) \ L \implies$

$T \sim \text{cons-trail } (\text{Propagated } L \ C) \ S \implies$

$P \ S \ T$ **and**

conflictH: $\bigwedge D \ T. \text{conflicting } S = \text{None} \implies$

$D \in \# \text{ clauses } S \implies$

$\text{trail } S \models_{\text{as}} C \text{Not } D \implies$

$T \sim \text{update-conflicting } (\text{Some } D) \ S \implies$

$P \ S \ T$ **and**

forgetH: $\bigwedge C \ T. \text{conflicting } S = \text{None} \implies$

$C \in \# \text{ learned-clss } S \implies$

$\neg(\text{trail } S) \models_{\text{asm}} \text{clauses } S \implies$

$C \notin \text{set } (\text{get-all-mark-of-propagated } (\text{trail } S)) \implies$

$C \notin \# \text{ init-clss } S \implies$

$T \sim \text{remove-cls } C \ S \implies$
 $P \ S \ T \text{ and}$
 $\text{restartH: } \bigwedge T \ U. \neg \text{trail } S \models \text{asm clauses } S \implies$
 $\text{conflicting } S = \text{None} \implies$
 $\text{state } T = ([], \text{init-clss } S, U, 0, \text{None}) \implies$
 $U \subseteq \# \text{ learned-clss } S \implies$
 $P \ S \ T \text{ and}$
 $\text{decideH: } \bigwedge L \ T. \text{ conflicting } S = \text{None} \implies$
 $\text{undefined-lit } (\text{trail } S) \ L \implies$
 $\text{atm-of } L \in \text{atms-of-mm } (\text{init-clss } S) \implies$
 $T \sim \text{cons-trail } (\text{Decided } L) \ (\text{incr-lvl } S) \implies$
 $P \ S \ T \text{ and}$
 $\text{skipH: } \bigwedge L \ C' \ M \ E \ T.$
 $\text{trail } S = \text{Propagated } L \ C' \ \# \ M \implies$
 $\text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } E \implies$
 $-L \notin \# \ E \implies E \neq \{\#\} \implies$
 $T \sim \text{tl-trail } S \implies$
 $P \ S \ T \text{ and}$
 $\text{resolveH: } \bigwedge L \ E \ M \ D \ T.$
 $\text{trail } S = \text{Propagated } L \ E \ \# \ M \implies$
 $L \in \# \ E \implies$
 $\text{hd-trail } S = \text{Propagated } L \ E \implies$
 $\text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } D \implies$
 $-L \in \# \ D \implies$
 $\text{get-maximum-level } (\text{trail } S) \ ((\text{remove1-mset } (-L) \ D)) = \text{backtrack-lvl } S \implies$
 $T \sim \text{update-conflicting}$
 $(\text{Some } (\text{resolve-cls } L \ D \ E)) \ (\text{tl-trail } S) \implies$
 $P \ S \ T \text{ and}$
 $\text{backtrackH: } \bigwedge L \ D \ K \ i \ M1 \ M2 \ T.$
 $\text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } D \implies$
 $L \in \# \ D \implies$
 $(\text{Decided } K \ \# \ M1, \ M2) \in \text{set } (\text{get-all-ann-decomposition } (\text{trail } S)) \implies$
 $\text{get-level } (\text{trail } S) \ L = \text{backtrack-lvl } S \implies$
 $\text{get-level } (\text{trail } S) \ L = \text{get-maximum-level } (\text{trail } S) \ D \implies$
 $\text{get-maximum-level } (\text{trail } S) \ (\text{remove1-mset } L \ D) \equiv i \implies$
 $\text{get-level } (\text{trail } S) \ K = i+1 \implies$
 $T \sim \text{cons-trail } (\text{Propagated } L \ D)$
 $(\text{reduce-trail-to } M1$
 $(\text{add-learned-cls } D$
 $(\text{update-backtrack-lvl } i$
 $(\text{update-conflicting } \text{None } S)))) \implies$
 $P \ S \ T$
shows $P \ S \ S'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-o-induct}[\text{consumes } 1, \text{ case-names decide skip resolve backtrack}]:$

fixes $S :: 'st$

assumes $\text{cdcl}_W: \text{cdcl}_W\text{-o } S \ T \text{ and}$

$\text{decideH: } \bigwedge L \ T. \text{ conflicting } S = \text{None} \implies \text{undefined-lit } (\text{trail } S) \ L$
 $\implies \text{atm-of } L \in \text{atms-of-mm } (\text{init-clss } S)$
 $\implies T \sim \text{cons-trail } (\text{Decided } L) \ (\text{incr-lvl } S)$
 $\implies P \ S \ T \text{ and}$

skipH: $\bigwedge L \ C' \ M \ E \ T.$

$\text{trail } S = \text{Propagated } L \ C' \ \# \ M \implies$
 $\text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } E \implies$
 $-L \notin \# \ E \implies E \neq \{\#\} \implies$

$T \sim \text{tl-trail } S \implies$
 $P \ S \ T \text{ and}$
 $\text{resolveH: } \bigwedge L \ E \ M \ D \ T.$
 $\text{trail } S = \text{Propagated } L \ E \ \# \ M \implies$
 $L \in \# \ E \implies$
 $\text{hd-trail } S = \text{Propagated } L \ E \implies$
 $\text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } D \implies$
 $-L \in \# \ D \implies$
 $\text{get-maximum-level } (\text{trail } S) ((\text{remove1-mset } (-L) \ D)) = \text{backtrack-lvl } S \implies$
 $T \sim \text{update-conflicting}$
 $(\text{Some } (\text{resolve-cls } L \ D \ E)) (\text{tl-trail } S) \implies$
 $P \ S \ T \text{ and}$
 $\text{backtrackH: } \bigwedge L \ D \ K \ i \ M1 \ M2 \ T.$
 $\text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } D \implies$
 $L \in \# \ D \implies$
 $(\text{Decided } K \ \# \ M1, \ M2) \in \text{set } (\text{get-all-ann-decomposition } (\text{trail } S)) \implies$
 $\text{get-level } (\text{trail } S) \ L = \text{backtrack-lvl } S \implies$
 $\text{get-level } (\text{trail } S) \ L = \text{get-maximum-level } (\text{trail } S) \ D \implies$
 $\text{get-maximum-level } (\text{trail } S) (\text{remove1-mset } L \ D) \equiv i \implies$
 $\text{get-level } (\text{trail } S) \ K = i + 1 \implies$
 $T \sim \text{cons-trail } (\text{Propagated } L \ D)$
 $(\text{reduce-trail-to } M1$
 $(\text{add-learned-cls } D$
 $(\text{update-backtrack-lvl } i$
 $(\text{update-conflicting } \text{None } S)))) \implies$
 $P \ S \ T$
shows $P \ S \ T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

thm $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-o.induct}$

lemma $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-o.all-rules-induct}[\text{consumes } 1, \text{ case-names decide backtrack skip resolve}]$:

fixes $S \ T :: 'st$
assumes
 $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-o } S \ T \text{ and}$
 $\bigwedge T. \text{decide } S \ T \implies P \ S \ T \text{ and}$
 $\bigwedge T. \text{backtrack } S \ T \implies P \ S \ T \text{ and}$
 $\bigwedge T. \text{skip } S \ T \implies P \ S \ T \text{ and}$
 $\bigwedge T. \text{resolve } S \ T \implies P \ S \ T$
shows $P \ S \ T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-o.rule-cases}[\text{consumes } 1, \text{ case-names decide backtrack skip resolve}]$:

fixes $S \ T :: 'st$
assumes
 $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-o } S \ T \text{ and}$
 $\text{decide } S \ T \implies P \text{ and}$
 $\text{backtrack } S \ T \implies P \text{ and}$
 $\text{skip } S \ T \implies P \text{ and}$
 $\text{resolve } S \ T \implies P$
shows P
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.1.3 Structural Invariants

Properties of the trail

We here establish that:

- the consistency of the trail;
- the fact that there is no duplicate in the trail.

lemma *backtrack-lit-skipped*:

assumes

L: *get-level* (*trail S*) *L* = *backtrack-lvl S* **and**

M1: (*Decided K* # *M1*, *M2*) ∈ *set* (*get-all-ann-decomposition* (*trail S*)) **and**

no-dup: *no-dup* (*trail S*) **and**

bt-l: *backtrack-lvl S* = *length* (*filter is-decided* (*trail S*)) **and**

lev-K: *get-level* (*trail S*) *K* = *i* + 1

shows *atm-of L* ∉ *atm-of* ' *lits-of-l M1*

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *cdcl_W-distinctinv-1*:

assumes

cdcl_W S S' **and**

no-dup (*trail S*) **and**

bt-lev: *backtrack-lvl S* = *count-decided* (*trail S*)

shows *no-dup* (*trail S'*)

⟨*proof*⟩

Item 1 page 81 of Weidenbach's book

lemma *cdcl_W-consistent-inv-2*:

assumes

cdcl_W S S' **and**

no-dup (*trail S*) **and**

backtrack-lvl S = *count-decided* (*trail S*)

shows *consistent-interp* (*lits-of-l* (*trail S'*))

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *cdcl_W-o-bt*:

assumes

cdcl_{W-o} S S' **and**

backtrack-lvl S = *count-decided* (*trail S*) **and**

n-d[simp]: *no-dup* (*trail S*)

shows *backtrack-lvl S'* = *count-decided* (*trail S'*)

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *cdcl_W-rf-bt*:

assumes

cdcl_{W-rf} S S' **and**

backtrack-lvl S = *count-decided* (*trail S*)

shows *backtrack-lvl S'* = *count-decided* (*trail S'*)

⟨*proof*⟩

Item 7 page 81 of Weidenbach's book

lemma *cdcl_W-bt*:

assumes

$cdcl_W S S'$ **and**
 $backtrack_lvl S = count_decided (trail S)$ **and**
 $no_dup (trail S)$
shows $backtrack_lvl S' = count_decided (trail S')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

We write $1 + count_decided (trail S)$ instead of $backtrack_lvl S$ to avoid non termination of rewriting.

definition $cdcl_W\text{-}M\text{-level-inv} :: 'st \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $cdcl_W\text{-}M\text{-level-inv} S \iff$
 $consistent_interp (lits_of_l (trail S))$
 $\wedge no_dup (trail S)$
 $\wedge backtrack_lvl S = count_decided (trail S)$

lemma $cdcl_W\text{-}M\text{-level-inv-decomp}$:
assumes $cdcl_W\text{-}M\text{-level-inv} S$
shows
 $consistent_interp (lits_of_l (trail S))$ **and**
 $no_dup (trail S)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cdcl_W\text{-consistent-inv}$:
fixes $S S' :: 'st$
assumes
 $cdcl_W S S'$ **and**
 $cdcl_W\text{-}M\text{-level-inv} S$
shows $cdcl_W\text{-}M\text{-level-inv} S'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rtrancp\text{-}cdcl_W\text{-consistent-inv}$:
assumes
 $cdcl_W^{**} S S'$ **and**
 $cdcl_W\text{-}M\text{-level-inv} S$
shows $cdcl_W\text{-}M\text{-level-inv} S'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $trancp\text{-}cdcl_W\text{-consistent-inv}$:
assumes
 $cdcl_W^{++} S S'$ **and**
 $cdcl_W\text{-}M\text{-level-inv} S$
shows $cdcl_W\text{-}M\text{-level-inv} S'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cdcl_W\text{-}M\text{-level-inv-S0-cdcl_W[simp]}$:
 $cdcl_W\text{-}M\text{-level-inv} (init_state N)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cdcl_W\text{-}M\text{-level-inv-get-level-le-backtrack-lvl}$:
assumes $inv: cdcl_W\text{-}M\text{-level-inv} S$
shows $get_level (trail S) L \leq backtrack_lvl S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $backtrack-ex-decomp$:
assumes
 $M\text{-l}: cdcl_W\text{-}M\text{-level-inv} S$ **and**
 $i\text{-S}: i < backtrack_lvl S$

shows $\exists K M1 M2. (Decided K \# M1, M2) \in set (get-all-ann-decomposition (trail S)) \wedge$
 $get-level (trail S) K = Suc i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *backtrack-lvl-backtrack-decrease*:
assumes *inv*: $cdcl_W\text{-}M\text{-level-inv } S$ **and** *bt*: $backtrack S T$
shows $backtrack\text{-}lvl T < backtrack\text{-}lvl S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Compatibility with $op \sim$

lemma *propagate-state-eq-compatible*:
assumes
propa: $propagate S T$ **and**
 $SS': S \sim S'$ **and**
 $TT': T \sim T'$
shows $propagate S' T'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conflict-state-eq-compatible*:
assumes
conf: $conflict S T$ **and**
 $TT': T \sim T'$ **and**
 $SS': S \sim S'$
shows $conflict S' T'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *backtrack-state-eq-compatible*:
assumes
bt: $backtrack S T$ **and**
 $SS': S \sim S'$ **and**
 $TT': T \sim T'$ **and**
inv: $cdcl_W\text{-}M\text{-level-inv } S$
shows $backtrack S' T'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *decide-state-eq-compatible*:
assumes
decide $S T$ **and**
 $S \sim S'$ **and**
 $T \sim T'$
shows $decide S' T'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *skip-state-eq-compatible*:
assumes
skip: $skip S T$ **and**
 $SS': S \sim S'$ **and**
 $TT': T \sim T'$
shows $skip S' T'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *resolve-state-eq-compatible*:
assumes
res: $resolve S T$ **and**
 $TT': T \sim T'$ **and**

$SS': S \sim S'$
shows *resolve* $S' T'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *forget-state-eq-compatible:*

assumes
forget: forget $S T$ **and**
 $SS': S \sim S'$ **and**
 $TT': T \sim T'$
shows *forget* $S' T'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-state-eq-compatible:*

assumes
 $cdcl_W S T$ **and** $\neg \text{restart } S T$ **and**
 $S \sim S'$
 $T \sim T'$ **and**
 $cdcl_W\text{-}M\text{-level-inv } S$
shows $cdcl_W S' T'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-bj-state-eq-compatible:*

assumes
 $cdcl_W\text{-}bj S T$ **and** $cdcl_W\text{-}M\text{-level-inv } S$
 $T \sim T'$
shows $cdcl_W\text{-}bj S T'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *trancpl-cdcl_W-bj-state-eq-compatible:*

assumes
 $cdcl_W\text{-}bj^{++} S T$ **and** $inv: cdcl_W\text{-}M\text{-level-inv } S$ **and**
 $S \sim S'$ **and**
 $T \sim T'$
shows $cdcl_W\text{-}bj^{++} S' T'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Conservation of some Properties

lemma *cdcl_W-o-no-more-init-clss:*

assumes
 $cdcl_W\text{-}o S S'$ **and**
 $inv: cdcl_W\text{-}M\text{-level-inv } S$
shows $init\text{-}clss S = init\text{-}clss S'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *trancpl-cdcl_W-o-no-more-init-clss:*

assumes
 $cdcl_W\text{-}o^{++} S S'$ **and**
 $inv: cdcl_W\text{-}M\text{-level-inv } S$
shows $init\text{-}clss S = init\text{-}clss S'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_W-o-no-more-init-clss:*

assumes
 $cdcl_W\text{-}o^{**} S S'$ **and**
 $inv: cdcl_W\text{-}M\text{-level-inv } S$

shows $init-clss\ S = init-clss\ S'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cdcl_W$ -init-clss:

assumes
 $cdcl_W\ S\ T$ **and**
 $inv: cdcl_W$ -M-level-inv S
shows $init-clss\ S = init-clss\ T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rtrancp$ - $cdcl_W$ -init-clss:

$cdcl_W^{**}\ S\ T \implies cdcl_W$ -M-level-inv $S \implies init-clss\ S = init-clss\ T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $trancp$ - $cdcl_W$ -init-clss:

$cdcl_W^{++}\ S\ T \implies cdcl_W$ -M-level-inv $S \implies init-clss\ S = init-clss\ T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Learned Clause

This invariant shows that:

- the learned clauses are entailed by the initial set of clauses.
- the conflicting clause is entailed by the initial set of clauses.
- the marks are entailed by the clauses.

definition $cdcl_W$ -learned-clause $(S :: 'st) \longleftrightarrow$

$(init-clss\ S \models_{psm} learned-clss\ S$
 $\wedge (\forall T. conflicting\ S = Some\ T \longrightarrow init-clss\ S \models_{pm}\ T)$
 $\wedge set\ (get-all-mark-of-propagated\ (trail\ S)) \subseteq set-mset\ (clauses\ S))$

of Weidenbach's book for the initial state and some additional structural properties about the trail.

lemma $cdcl_W$ -learned-clause-S0- $cdcl_W$ [simp]:

$cdcl_W$ -learned-clause $(init-state\ N)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Item 4 page 81 of Weidenbach's book

lemma $cdcl_W$ -learned-clss:

assumes
 $cdcl_W\ S\ S'$ **and**
 $learned: cdcl_W$ -learned-clause S **and**
 $lev-inv: cdcl_W$ -M-level-inv S
shows $cdcl_W$ -learned-clause S'
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rtrancp$ - $cdcl_W$ -learned-clss:

assumes
 $cdcl_W^{**}\ S\ S'$ **and**
 $cdcl_W$ -M-level-inv S
 $cdcl_W$ -learned-clause S
shows $cdcl_W$ -learned-clause S'
 $\langle proof \rangle$

No alien atom in the state

This invariant means that all the literals are in the set of clauses. These properties are implicit in Weidenbach's book.

definition *no-strange-atm* $S' \longleftrightarrow$ (

($\forall T. \text{conflicting } S' = \text{Some } T \longrightarrow \text{atms-of } T \subseteq \text{atms-of-mm } (\text{init-clss } S')$)
 \wedge ($\forall L \text{ mark. Propagated } L \text{ mark} \in \text{set } (\text{trail } S')$
 $\longrightarrow \text{atms-of mark} \subseteq \text{atms-of-mm } (\text{init-clss } S')$)
 $\wedge \text{atms-of-mm } (\text{learned-clss } S') \subseteq \text{atms-of-mm } (\text{init-clss } S')$
 $\wedge \text{atm-of ' } (\text{lits-of-l } (\text{trail } S')) \subseteq \text{atms-of-mm } (\text{init-clss } S')$)

lemma *no-strange-atm-decomp*:

assumes *no-strange-atm* S

shows $\text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } T \implies \text{atms-of } T \subseteq \text{atms-of-mm } (\text{init-clss } S)$

and ($\forall L \text{ mark. Propagated } L \text{ mark} \in \text{set } (\text{trail } S)$

$\longrightarrow \text{atms-of mark} \subseteq \text{atms-of-mm } (\text{init-clss } S)$)

and $\text{atms-of-mm } (\text{learned-clss } S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-mm } (\text{init-clss } S)$

and $\text{atm-of ' } (\text{lits-of-l } (\text{trail } S)) \subseteq \text{atms-of-mm } (\text{init-clss } S)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *no-strange-atm-S0* [simp]: *no-strange-atm* (*init-state* N)

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *in-atms-of-implies-atm-of-on-atms-of-ms*:

$C + \{\#L\# \} \in \# A \implies x \in \text{atms-of } C \implies x \in \text{atms-of-mm } A$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *propagate-no-strange-atm-inv*:

assumes

propagate S T **and**

alien: *no-strange-atm* S

shows *no-strange-atm* T

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *in-atms-of-remove1-mset-in-atms-of*:

$x \in \text{atms-of } (\text{remove1-mset } L \ C) \implies x \in \text{atms-of } C$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *atms-of-ms-learned-clss-restart-state-in-atms-of-ms-learned-clssI*:

$\text{atms-of-mm } (\text{learned-clss } S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-mm } (\text{init-clss } S) \implies$

$x \in \text{atms-of-mm } (\text{learned-clss } T) \implies$

$\text{learned-clss } T \subseteq \# \text{learned-clss } S \implies$

$x \in \text{atms-of-mm } (\text{init-clss } S)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-no-strange-atm-explicit*:

assumes

cdcl_W S S' **and**

lev: *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* S **and**

conf: $\forall T. \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } T \longrightarrow \text{atms-of } T \subseteq \text{atms-of-mm } (\text{init-clss } S)$ **and**

decided: $\forall L \text{ mark. Propagated } L \text{ mark} \in \text{set } (\text{trail } S)$

$\longrightarrow \text{atms-of mark} \subseteq \text{atms-of-mm } (\text{init-clss } S)$ **and**

learned: $\text{atms-of-mm } (\text{learned-clss } S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-mm } (\text{init-clss } S)$ **and**

trail: $\text{atm-of ' } (\text{lits-of-l } (\text{trail } S)) \subseteq \text{atms-of-mm } (\text{init-clss } S)$

shows

$(\forall T. \text{conflicting } S' = \text{Some } T \longrightarrow \text{atms-of } T \subseteq \text{atms-of-mm } (\text{init-clss } S')) \wedge$
 $(\forall L \text{ mark. Propagated } L \text{ mark} \in \text{set } (\text{trail } S') \longrightarrow \text{atms-of mark} \subseteq \text{atms-of-mm } (\text{init-clss } S')) \wedge$
 $\text{atms-of-mm } (\text{learned-clss } S') \subseteq \text{atms-of-mm } (\text{init-clss } S') \wedge$
 $\text{atm-of } ' (\text{lits-of-l } (\text{trail } S')) \subseteq \text{atms-of-mm } (\text{init-clss } S')$
 $(\text{is } ?C S' \wedge ?M S' \wedge ?U S' \wedge ?V S')$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-no-strange-atm-inv*:

assumes *cdcl_W S S'* **and** *no-strange-atm S* **and** *cdcl_W-M-level-inv S*
shows *no-strange-atm S'*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancp-cdcl_W-no-strange-atm-inv*:

assumes *cdcl_W** S S'* **and** *no-strange-atm S* **and** *cdcl_W-M-level-inv S*
shows *no-strange-atm S'*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

No Duplicates all Around

This invariant shows that there is no duplicate (no literal appearing twice in the formula). The last part could be proven using the previous invariant also. Remark that we will show later that there cannot be duplicate *clause*.

definition *distinct-cdcl_W-state (S :: 'st)*

$\longleftrightarrow ((\forall T. \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } T \longrightarrow \text{distinct-mset } T)$
 $\wedge \text{distinct-mset-mset } (\text{learned-clss } S)$
 $\wedge \text{distinct-mset-mset } (\text{init-clss } S)$
 $\wedge (\forall L \text{ mark. } (\text{Propagated } L \text{ mark} \in \text{set } (\text{trail } S) \longrightarrow \text{distinct-mset mark})))$

lemma *distinct-cdcl_W-state-decomp*:

assumes *distinct-cdcl_W-state (S :: 'st)*

shows

$\forall T. \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } T \longrightarrow \text{distinct-mset } T$ **and**
 $\text{distinct-mset-mset } (\text{learned-clss } S)$ **and**
 $\text{distinct-mset-mset } (\text{init-clss } S)$ **and**
 $\forall L \text{ mark. } (\text{Propagated } L \text{ mark} \in \text{set } (\text{trail } S) \longrightarrow \text{distinct-mset mark})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *distinct-cdcl_W-state-decomp-2*:

assumes *distinct-cdcl_W-state (S :: 'st)* **and** *conflicting S = Some T*

shows *distinct-mset T*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *distinct-cdcl_W-state-S0-cdcl_W[simp]*:

distinct-mset-mset N \implies distinct-cdcl_W-state (init-state N)

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *distinct-cdcl_W-state-inv*:

assumes

cdcl_W S S' **and**

lev-inv: cdcl_W-M-level-inv S **and**

distinct-cdcl_W-state S

shows *distinct-cdcl_W-state S'*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtanclp-distinct-cdcl_W-state-inv*:

assumes
 $cdcl_W^{**} S S'$ **and**
 $cdcl_W\text{-}M\text{-level-inv } S$ **and**
 $distinct\text{-}cdcl_W\text{-}state S$
shows $distinct\text{-}cdcl_W\text{-}state S'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Conflicts and Annotations

This invariant shows that each mark contains a contradiction only related to the previously defined variable.

abbreviation *every-mark-is-a-conflict* :: $'st \Rightarrow bool$ **where**

$every\text{-}mark\text{-}is\text{-}a\text{-}conflict S \equiv$
 $\forall L \text{ mark } a \ b. a @ \text{Propagated } L \text{ mark} \# b = (\text{trail } S)$
 $\longrightarrow (b \models_{as} CNot (\text{mark} - \{\#L\}) \wedge L \in \# \text{mark})$

definition *cdcl_W-conflicting* $S \longleftrightarrow$

$(\forall T. \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } T \longrightarrow \text{trail } S \models_{as} CNot T)$
 $\wedge \text{every-mark-is-a-conflict } S$

lemma *backtrack-atms-of-D-in-M1*:

fixes $M1 :: ('v, 'v \text{ clause}) \text{ ann-lits}$
assumes
 $inv: cdcl_W\text{-}M\text{-level-inv } S$ **and**
 $i: \text{get-maximum-level } (\text{trail } S) ((\text{remove1-mset } L D)) \equiv i$ **and**
 $decomp: (\text{Decided } K \# M1, M2)$
 $\in \text{set } (\text{get-all-ann-decomposition } (\text{trail } S))$ **and**
 $S\text{-lvl}: \text{backtrack-lvl } S = \text{get-maximum-level } (\text{trail } S) D$ **and**
 $S\text{-confl}: \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } D$ **and**
 $lev\text{-}K: \text{get-level } (\text{trail } S) K = \text{Suc } i$ **and**
 $T: T \sim \text{cons-trail } (\text{Propagated } L D)$
 $(\text{reduce-trail-to } M1$
 $(\text{add-learned-cls } D$
 $(\text{update-backtrack-lvl } i$
 $(\text{update-conflicting } None S))))$ **and**
 $confl: \forall T. \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } T \longrightarrow \text{trail } S \models_{as} CNot T$
shows $\text{atms-of } ((\text{remove1-mset } L D)) \subseteq \text{atm-of } ' \text{ lits-of-l } (tl (\text{trail } T))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *distinct-atms-of-incl-not-in-other*:

assumes
 $a1: \text{no-dup } (M @ M')$ **and**
 $a2: \text{atms-of } D \subseteq \text{atm-of } ' \text{ lits-of-l } M'$ **and**
 $a3: x \in \text{atms-of } D$
shows $x \notin \text{atm-of } ' \text{ lits-of-l } M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Item 5 page 81 of Weidenbach's book

lemma *cdcl_W-propagate-is-conclusion*:

assumes
 $cdcl_W S S'$ **and**
 $inv: cdcl_W\text{-}M\text{-level-inv } S$ **and**
 $decomp: \text{all-decomposition-implies-m } (\text{init-clss } S) (\text{get-all-ann-decomposition } (\text{trail } S))$ **and**
 $\text{learned}: cdcl_W\text{-learned-clause } S$ **and**

confl: $\forall T. \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } T \longrightarrow \text{trail } S \models_{as} \text{CNot } T$ **and**
alien: *no-strange-atm* S
shows *all-decomposition-implies-m* (*init-clss* S') (*get-all-ann-decomposition* (*trail* S'))
 <proof>

lemma *cdcl_W-propagate-is-false*:

assumes
cdcl_W $S S'$ **and**
lev: *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* S **and**
learned: *cdcl_W-learned-clause* S **and**
decomp: *all-decomposition-implies-m* (*init-clss* S) (*get-all-ann-decomposition* (*trail* S)) **and**
confl: $\forall T. \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } T \longrightarrow \text{trail } S \models_{as} \text{CNot } T$ **and**
alien: *no-strange-atm* S **and**
mark-confl: *every-mark-is-a-conflict* S
shows *every-mark-is-a-conflict* S'
 <proof>

lemma *cdcl_W-conflicting-is-false*:

assumes
cdcl_W $S S'$ **and**
M-lev: *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* S **and**
confl-inv: $\forall T. \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } T \longrightarrow \text{trail } S \models_{as} \text{CNot } T$ **and**
decided-confl: $\forall L \text{ mark } a \ b. a @ \text{Propagated } L \text{ mark } \# \ b = (\text{trail } S)$
 $\longrightarrow (b \models_{as} \text{CNot } (\text{mark} - \{\#L\}) \wedge L \in \# \text{ mark})$ **and**
dist: *distinct-cdcl_W-state* S
shows $\forall T. \text{conflicting } S' = \text{Some } T \longrightarrow \text{trail } S' \models_{as} \text{CNot } T$
 <proof>

lemma *cdcl_W-conflicting-decomp*:

assumes *cdcl_W-conflicting* S
shows $\forall T. \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } T \longrightarrow \text{trail } S \models_{as} \text{CNot } T$
and $\forall L \text{ mark } a \ b. a @ \text{Propagated } L \text{ mark } \# \ b = (\text{trail } S)$
 $\longrightarrow (b \models_{as} \text{CNot } (\text{mark} - \{\#L\}) \wedge L \in \# \text{ mark})$
 <proof>

lemma *cdcl_W-conflicting-decomp2*:

assumes *cdcl_W-conflicting* S **and** *conflicting* $S = \text{Some } T$
shows $\text{trail } S \models_{as} \text{CNot } T$
 <proof>

lemma *cdcl_W-conflicting-S0-cdcl_W[simp]*:

cdcl_W-conflicting (*init-state* N)
 <proof>

Putting all the invariants together

lemma *cdcl_W-all-inv*:

assumes
cdcl_W: *cdcl_W* $S S'$ **and**
 1: *all-decomposition-implies-m* (*init-clss* S) (*get-all-ann-decomposition* (*trail* S)) **and**
 2: *cdcl_W-learned-clause* S **and**
 4: *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* S **and**
 5: *no-strange-atm* S **and**
 7: *distinct-cdcl_W-state* S **and**
 8: *cdcl_W-conflicting* S
shows

all-decomposition-implies-m (*init-clss* S') (*get-all-ann-decomposition* (*trail* S')) **and**
cdcl_W-learned-clause S' **and**
cdcl_W-M-level-inv S' **and**
no-strange-atm S' **and**
distinct-cdcl_W-state S' **and**
cdcl_W-conflicting S'
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *rtrancp-cdcl_W-all-inv*:

assumes

cdcl_W: *rtrancp cdcl_W S S'* **and**

1: *all-decomposition-implies-m* (*init-clss* S) (*get-all-ann-decomposition* (*trail* S)) **and**

2: *cdcl_W-learned-clause* S **and**

4: *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* S **and**

5: *no-strange-atm* S **and**

7: *distinct-cdcl_W-state* S **and**

8: *cdcl_W-conflicting* S

shows

all-decomposition-implies-m (*init-clss* S') (*get-all-ann-decomposition* (*trail* S')) **and**

cdcl_W-learned-clause S' **and**

cdcl_W-M-level-inv S' **and**

no-strange-atm S' **and**

distinct-cdcl_W-state S' **and**

cdcl_W-conflicting S'
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *all-invariant-S0-cdcl_W*:

assumes *distinct-mset-mset* N

shows

all-decomposition-implies-m (*init-clss* (*init-state* N))

(*get-all-ann-decomposition* (*trail* (*init-state* N))) **and**

cdcl_W-learned-clause (*init-state* N) **and**

$\forall T$. *conflicting* (*init-state* N) = *Some* $T \longrightarrow$ (*trail* (*init-state* N)) \models_{as} *CNot* T **and**

no-strange-atm (*init-state* N) **and**

consistent-interp (*lits-of-l* (*trail* (*init-state* N))) **and**

$\forall L$ *mark* a b . $a \text{ @ } \text{Propagated } L \text{ mark } \# b = \text{trail } (\text{init-state } N) \longrightarrow$

$(b \models_{as} \text{CNot } (\text{mark} - \{\#L\}) \wedge L \in \# \text{ mark})$ **and**

distinct-cdcl_W-state (*init-state* N)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

Item 6 page 81 of Weidenbach's book

lemma *cdcl_W-only-propagated-vars-unsat*:

assumes

decided: $\forall x \in \text{set } M. \neg \text{is-decided } x$ **and**

DN: $D \in \# \text{ clauses } S$ **and**

D: $M \models_{as} \text{CNot } D$ **and**

inv: *all-decomposition-implies-m* N (*get-all-ann-decomposition* M) **and**

state: *state* $S = (M, N, U, k, C)$ **and**

learned-cl: *cdcl_W-learned-clause* S **and**

atm-incl: *no-strange-atm* S

shows *unsatisfiable* (*set-mset* N)

⟨*proof*⟩

Item 5 page 81 of Weidenbach's book

We have actually a much stronger theorem, namely *all-decomposition-implies-propagated-lits-are-implied*,

that show that the only choices we made are decided in the formula

lemma

assumes *all-decomposition-implies-m* N (*get-all-ann-decomposition* M)
and $\forall m \in \text{set } M. \neg \text{is-decided } m$
shows $\text{set-mset } N \models_{ps} \text{unmark-l } M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Item 7 page 81 of Weidenbach's book (part 1)

lemma *conflict-with-false-implies-unsat*:

assumes
 $\text{cdcl}_W: \text{cdcl}_W \ S \ S'$ **and**
 $\text{lev}: \text{cdcl}_W\text{-}M\text{-level-inv } S$ **and**
 $[\text{simp}]: \text{conflicting } S' = \text{Some } \{\#\}$ **and**
 $\text{learned}: \text{cdcl}_W\text{-learned-clause } S$
shows $\text{unsatisfiable } (\text{set-mset } (\text{init-clss } S))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Item 7 page 81 of Weidenbach's book (part 2)

lemma *conflict-with-false-implies-terminated*:

assumes $\text{cdcl}_W \ S \ S'$
and $\text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } \{\#\}$
shows False
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

No tautology is learned

This is a simple consequence of all we have shown previously. It is not strictly necessary, but helps finding a better bound on the number of learned clauses.

lemma *learned-clss-are-not-tautologies*:

assumes
 $\text{cdcl}_W \ S \ S'$ **and**
 $\text{lev}: \text{cdcl}_W\text{-}M\text{-level-inv } S$ **and**
 $\text{conflicting}: \text{cdcl}_W\text{-conflicting } S$ **and**
 $\text{no-tauto}: \forall s \in \# \text{ learned-clss } S. \neg \text{tautology } s$
shows $\forall s \in \# \text{ learned-clss } S'. \neg \text{tautology } s$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *final-cdcl_W-state* ($S :: 'st$)

$\longleftrightarrow (\text{trail } S \models_{asm} \text{init-clss } S$
 $\vee ((\forall L \in \text{set } (\text{trail } S). \neg \text{is-decided } L) \wedge$
 $(\exists C \in \# \text{ init-clss } S. \text{trail } S \models_{as} \text{CNot } C)))$

definition *termination-cdcl_W-state* ($S :: 'st$)

$\longleftrightarrow (\text{trail } S \models_{asm} \text{init-clss } S$
 $\vee ((\forall L \in \text{atms-of-mm } (\text{init-clss } S). L \in \text{atm-of ' lits-of-l } (\text{trail } S))$
 $\wedge (\exists C \in \# \text{ init-clss } S. \text{trail } S \models_{as} \text{CNot } C)))$

2.1.4 CDCL Strong Completeness

lemma *cdcl_W-can-do-step*:

assumes
 $\text{consistent-interp } (\text{set } M)$ **and**
 $\text{distinct } M$ **and**
 $\text{atm-of ' } (\text{set } M) \subseteq \text{atms-of-mm } N$

shows $\exists S. \text{rtrancplp } \text{cdcl}_W \text{ (init-state } N) S$
 $\wedge \text{state } S = (\text{map } (\lambda L. \text{Decided } L) M, N, \{\#\}, \text{length } M, \text{None})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem 2.9.11 page 84 of Weidenbach's book

lemma *cdcl_W-strong-completeness*:

assumes

MN: *set* $M \models_{sm} N$ **and**
cons: *consistent-interp* (*set* M) **and**
dist: *distinct* M **and**
atm: *atm-of* ' (*set* M) \subseteq *atms-of-mm* N

obtains S **where**

state $S = (\text{map } (\lambda L. \text{Decided } L) M, N, \{\#\}, \text{length } M, \text{None})$ **and**
 $\text{rtrancplp } \text{cdcl}_W \text{ (init-state } N) S$ **and**
final-cdcl_W-state S

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.1.5 Higher level strategy

The rules described previously do not lead to a conclusive state. We have to add a strategy.

Definition

lemma *trancplp-conflict*:

trancplp conflict $S S' \implies \text{conflict } S S'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *trancplp-conflict-iff*[*iff*]:

full1 conflict $S S' \longleftrightarrow \text{conflict } S S'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

inductive *cdcl_W-cp* :: '*st* \Rightarrow '*st* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
conflict'[*intro*]: *conflict* $S S' \implies \text{cdcl}_W\text{-cp } S S' \mid$
propagate': *propagate* $S S' \implies \text{cdcl}_W\text{-cp } S S'$

lemma *rtrancplp-cdcl_W-cp-rtrancplp-cdcl_W*:

cdcl_W-cp^{**} $S T \implies \text{cdcl}_W^{\text{**}} S T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-cp-state-eq-compatible*:

assumes

cdcl_W-cp $S T$ **and**
 $S \sim S'$ **and**
 $T \sim T'$

shows *cdcl_W-cp* $S' T'$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *trancplp-cdcl_W-cp-state-eq-compatible*:

assumes

cdcl_W-cp⁺⁺ $S T$ **and**
 $S \sim S'$ **and**
 $T \sim T'$

shows *cdcl_W-cp*⁺⁺ $S' T'$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *option-full-cdcl_W-cp*:

conflicting S \neq *None* \implies *full cdcl_W-cp S S*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *skip-unique*:

skip S T \implies *skip S T'* \implies *T* \sim *T'*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *resolve-unique*:

resolve S T \implies *resolve S T'* \implies *T* \sim *T'*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-cp-no-more-clauses*:

assumes *cdcl_W-cp S S'*
shows *clauses S* = *clauses S'*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *trancpl-cdcl_W-cp-no-more-clauses*:

assumes *cdcl_W-cp⁺⁺ S S'*
shows *clauses S* = *clauses S'*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_W-cp-no-more-clauses*:

assumes *cdcl_W-cp^{**} S S'*
shows *clauses S* = *clauses S'*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *no-conflict-after-conflict*:

conflict S T \implies \neg *conflict T U*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *no-propagate-after-conflict*:

conflict S T \implies \neg *propagate T U*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *trancpl-cdcl_W-cp-propagate-with-conflict-or-not*:

assumes *cdcl_W-cp⁺⁺ S U*
shows (*propagate⁺⁺ S U* \wedge *conflicting U* = *None*)
 \vee ($\exists T D. \text{propagate}^{**} S T \wedge \text{conflict } T U \wedge \text{conflicting } U = \text{Some } D$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-cp-conflicting-not-empty[simp]*: *conflicting S* = *Some D* \implies \neg *cdcl_W-cp S S'*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *no-step-cdcl_W-cp-no-conflict-no-propagate*:

assumes *no-step cdcl_W-cp S*
shows *no-step conflict S* **and** *no-step propagate S*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

CDCL with the reasonable strategy: we fully propagate the conflict and propagate, then we apply any other possible rule *cdcl_W-o S S'* and re-apply conflict and propagate *cdcl_W-cp[↓] S' S''*

inductive *cdcl_W-stgy* :: '*st* \Rightarrow '*st* \Rightarrow *bool* **for** *S* :: '*st* **where**

conflict': *full1 cdcl_W-cp S S'* \implies *cdcl_W-stgy S S'* |

other': *cdcl_W-o S S'* \implies *no-step cdcl_W-cp S* \implies *full cdcl_W-cp S' S''* \implies *cdcl_W-stgy S S''*

Invariants

These are the same invariants as before, but lifted

lemma *cdcl_W-cp-learned-clause-inv:*

assumes *cdcl_W-cp* S S'

shows *learned-clss* $S = \text{learned-clss } S'$

<proof>

lemma *rtrancp-cdcl_W-cp-learned-clause-inv:*

assumes *cdcl_W-cp^{**}* S S'

shows *learned-clss* $S = \text{learned-clss } S'$

<proof>

lemma *trancp-cdcl_W-cp-learned-clause-inv:*

assumes *cdcl_W-cp⁺⁺* S S'

shows *learned-clss* $S = \text{learned-clss } S'$

<proof>

lemma *cdcl_W-cp-backtrack-lvl:*

assumes *cdcl_W-cp* S S'

shows *backtrack-lvl* $S = \text{backtrack-lvl } S'$

<proof>

lemma *rtrancp-cdcl_W-cp-backtrack-lvl:*

assumes *cdcl_W-cp^{**}* S S'

shows *backtrack-lvl* $S = \text{backtrack-lvl } S'$

<proof>

lemma *cdcl_W-cp-consistent-inv:*

assumes *cdcl_W-cp* S S' **and** *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* S

shows *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* S'

<proof>

lemma *full1-cdcl_W-cp-consistent-inv:*

assumes *full1 cdcl_W-cp* S S' **and** *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* S

shows *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* S'

<proof>

lemma *rtrancp-cdcl_W-cp-consistent-inv:*

assumes *rtrancp cdcl_W-cp* S S' **and** *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* S

shows *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* S'

<proof>

lemma *cdcl_W-stgy-consistent-inv:*

assumes *cdcl_W-stgy* S S' **and** *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* S

shows *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* S'

<proof>

lemma *rtrancp-cdcl_W-stgy-consistent-inv:*

assumes *cdcl_W-stgy^{**}* S S' **and** *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* S

shows *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* S'

<proof>

lemma *cdcl_W-cp-no-more-init-clss:*

assumes *cdcl_W-cp* S S'

shows $\text{init-clss } S = \text{init-clss } S'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{trancpl-cdcl}_W\text{-cp-no-more-init-clss}$:

assumes $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-cp}^{++} S S'$
shows $\text{init-clss } S = \text{init-clss } S'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy-no-more-init-clss}$:

assumes $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy } S S'$ **and** $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-M-level-inv } S$
shows $\text{init-clss } S = \text{init-clss } S'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{rtrancpl-cdcl}_W\text{-stgy-no-more-init-clss}$:

assumes $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy}^{**} S S'$ **and** $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-M-level-inv } S$
shows $\text{init-clss } S = \text{init-clss } S'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-cp-dropWhile-trail}'$:

assumes $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-cp } S S'$
obtains M **where** $\text{trail } S' = M @ \text{trail } S$ **and** $(\forall l \in \text{set } M. \neg \text{is-decided } l)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{rtrancpl-cdcl}_W\text{-cp-dropWhile-trail}'$:

assumes $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-cp}^{**} S S'$
obtains $M :: ('v, 'v \text{ clause}) \text{ ann-lits}$ **where**
 $\text{trail } S' = M @ \text{trail } S$ **and** $\forall l \in \text{set } M. \neg \text{is-decided } l$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-cp-dropWhile-trail}$:

assumes $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-cp } S S'$
shows $\exists M. \text{trail } S' = M @ \text{trail } S \wedge (\forall l \in \text{set } M. \neg \text{is-decided } l)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{rtrancpl-cdcl}_W\text{-cp-dropWhile-trail}$:

assumes $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-cp}^{**} S S'$
shows $\exists M. \text{trail } S' = M @ \text{trail } S \wedge (\forall l \in \text{set } M. \neg \text{is-decided } l)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

This theorem can be seen as a termination theorem for $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-cp}$.

lemma $\text{length-model-le-vars}$:

assumes
 $\text{no-strange-atm } S$ **and**
 $\text{no-d: no-dup } (\text{trail } S)$ **and**
 $\text{finite } (\text{atms-of-mm } (\text{init-clss } S))$
shows $\text{length } (\text{trail } S) \leq \text{card } (\text{atms-of-mm } (\text{init-clss } S))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-cp-decreasing-measure}$:

assumes
 cdcl_W : $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-cp } S T$ **and**
 $M\text{-lev}$: $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-M-level-inv } S$ **and**
 alien : $\text{no-strange-atm } S$
shows $(\lambda S. \text{card } (\text{atms-of-mm } (\text{init-clss } S)) - \text{length } (\text{trail } S)$
 $+ (\text{if conflicting } S = \text{None then } 1 \text{ else } 0)) S$
 $> (\lambda S. \text{card } (\text{atms-of-mm } (\text{init-clss } S)) - \text{length } (\text{trail } S))$

+ (if conflicting $S = \text{None}$ then 1 else 0)) T
 <proof>

lemma $cdcl_W\text{-cp-wf}$: wf $\{(b, a). (cdcl_W\text{-M-level-inv } a \wedge \text{no-strange-atm } a) \wedge cdcl_W\text{-cp } a \ b\}$
 <proof>

lemma $rtrancp\text{-}cdcl_W\text{-all-struct-inv-cdcl}_W\text{-cp-iff-rtrancp-cdcl}_W\text{-cp}$:

assumes

lev : $cdcl_W\text{-M-level-inv } S$ **and**

$alien$: $\text{no-strange-atm } S$

shows $(\lambda a \ b. (cdcl_W\text{-M-level-inv } a \wedge \text{no-strange-atm } a) \wedge cdcl_W\text{-cp } a \ b)^{**} S \ T$

$\longleftrightarrow cdcl_W\text{-cp}^{**} S \ T$

(**is** ?I $S \ T \longleftrightarrow ?C \ S \ T$)

<proof>

lemma $cdcl_W\text{-cp-normalized-element}$:

assumes

lev : $cdcl_W\text{-M-level-inv } S$ **and**

$\text{no-strange-atm } S$

obtains T **where** full $cdcl_W\text{-cp } S \ T$

<proof>

lemma $\text{always-exists-full-cdcl}_W\text{-cp-step}$:

assumes $\text{no-strange-atm } S$

shows $\exists S''. \text{full } cdcl_W\text{-cp } S \ S''$

<proof>

Literal of highest level in conflicting clauses

One important property of the $cdcl_W$ with strategy is that, whenever a conflict takes place, there is at least a literal of level k involved (except if we have derived the false clause). The reason is that we apply conflicts before a decision is taken.

abbreviation $\text{no-clause-is-false} :: 'st \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

$\text{no-clause-is-false} \equiv$

$\lambda S. (\text{conflicting } S = \text{None} \longrightarrow (\forall D \in \# \text{ clauses } S. \neg \text{trail } S \models_{\text{as}} C\text{Not } D))$

abbreviation $\text{conflict-is-false-with-level} :: 'st \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

$\text{conflict-is-false-with-level } S \equiv \forall D. \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } D \longrightarrow D \neq \{\#\}$

$\longrightarrow (\exists L \in \# D. \text{get-level } (\text{trail } S) \ L = \text{backtrack-lvl } S)$

lemma $\text{not-conflict-not-any-negated-init-clss}$:

assumes $\forall S'. \neg \text{conflict } S \ S'$

shows $\text{no-clause-is-false } S$

<proof>

lemma $\text{full-cdcl}_W\text{-cp-not-any-negated-init-clss}$:

assumes full $cdcl_W\text{-cp } S \ S'$

shows $\text{no-clause-is-false } S'$

<proof>

lemma $\text{full1-cdcl}_W\text{-cp-not-any-negated-init-clss}$:

assumes full1 $cdcl_W\text{-cp } S \ S'$

shows $\text{no-clause-is-false } S'$

<proof>

lemma *cdcl_W-stgy-not-non-negated-init-clss:*

assumes *cdcl_W-stgy* $S S'$
shows *no-clause-is-false* S'
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_W-stgy-not-non-negated-init-clss:*

assumes *cdcl_W-stgy^{**}* $S S'$ **and** *no-clause-is-false* S
shows *no-clause-is-false* S'
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-stgy-conflict-ex-lit-of-max-level:*

assumes
cdcl_W-cp $S S'$ **and**
no-clause-is-false S **and**
cdcl_W-M-level-inv S
shows *conflict-is-false-with-level* S'
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *no-chained-conflict:*

assumes *conflict* $S S'$ **and** *conflict* $S' S''$
shows *False*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_W-cp-propa-or-propa-conf:*

assumes *cdcl_W-cp^{**}* $S U$
shows *propagate^{**}* $S U \vee (\exists T. \text{propagate^{**}} } S T \wedge \text{conflict } T U)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_W-co-conflict-ex-lit-of-max-level:*

assumes *full: full cdcl_W-cp* $S U$
and *cls-f: no-clause-is-false* S
and *conflict-is-false-with-level* S
and *lev: cdcl_W-M-level-inv* S
shows *conflict-is-false-with-level* U
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Literal of highest level in decided literals

definition *mark-is-false-with-level* $:: 'st \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

mark-is-false-with-level $S' \equiv$

$\forall D M1 M2 L. M1 @ \text{Propagated } L D \# M2 = \text{trail } S' \longrightarrow D - \{\#L\} \neq \{\#\}$
 $\longrightarrow (\exists L. L \in \# D \wedge \text{get-level } (\text{trail } S') L = \text{count-decided } M1)$

definition *no-more-propagation-to-do* $:: 'st \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

no-more-propagation-to-do $S \equiv$

$\forall D M M' L. D + \{\#L\} \in \# \text{ clauses } S \longrightarrow \text{trail } S = M' @ M \longrightarrow M \models_{\text{as}} \text{CNot } D$
 $\longrightarrow \text{undefined-lit } M L \longrightarrow \text{count-decided } M < \text{backtrack-lvl } S$
 $\longrightarrow (\exists L. L \in \# D \wedge \text{get-level } (\text{trail } S) L = \text{count-decided } M)$

lemma *propagate-no-more-propagation-to-do:*

assumes *propagate: propagate* $S S'$
and $H: \text{no-more-propagation-to-do } S$
and *lev-inv: cdcl_W-M-level-inv* S
shows *no-more-propagation-to-do* S'
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *conflict-no-more-propagation-to-do*:

assumes

conflict: *conflict* $S S'$ **and**

H: *no-more-propagation-to-do* S **and**

M: *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* S

shows *no-more-propagation-to-do* S'

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-cp-no-more-propagation-to-do*:

assumes

conflict: *cdcl_W-cp* $S S'$ **and**

H: *no-more-propagation-to-do* S **and**

M: *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* S

shows *no-more-propagation-to-do* S'

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-then-exists-cdcl_W-stgy-step*:

assumes

o: *cdcl_W-o* $S S'$ **and**

alien: *no-strange-atm* S **and**

lev: *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* S

shows $\exists S'. \text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy } S S'$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *backtrack-no-decomp*:

assumes

S: *conflicting* $S = \text{Some } E$ **and**

LE: $L \in \# E$ **and**

L: *get-level* (*trail* S) $L = \text{backtrack-lvl } S$ **and**

D: *get-maximum-level* (*trail* S) (*remove1-mset* $L E$) $< \text{backtrack-lvl } S$ **and**

bt: *backtrack-lvl* $S = \text{get-maximum-level } (\text{trail } S) E$ **and**

M-L: *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* S

shows $\exists S'. \text{cdcl}_W\text{-o } S S'$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-stgy-final-state-conclusive*:

assumes

termi: $\forall S'. \neg \text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy } S S'$ **and**

decomp: *all-decomposition-implies-m* (*init-clss* S) (*get-all-ann-decomposition* (*trail* S)) **and**

learned: *cdcl_W-learned-clause* S **and**

level-inv: *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* S **and**

alien: *no-strange-atm* S **and**

no-dup: *distinct-cdcl_W-state* S **and**

cnfl: *cdcl_W-conflicting* S **and**

cnfl-k: *conflict-is-false-with-level* S

shows (*conflicting* $S = \text{Some } \{\#\} \wedge \text{unsatisfiable } (\text{set-mset } (\text{init-clss } S))$)

$\vee (\text{conflicting } S = \text{None} \wedge \text{trail } S \models_{\text{as set-mset}} (\text{init-clss } S))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-cp-tranclp-cdcl_W*:

cdcl_W-cp $S S' \implies \text{cdcl}_W^{++} S S'$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tranclp-cdcl_W-cp-tranclp-cdcl_W*:

cdcl_W-cp⁺⁺ $S S' \implies \text{cdcl}_W^{++} S S'$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-stgy-tranclp-cdcl_W:*
 $cdcl_W\text{-stgy } S \ S' \implies cdcl_W^{++} \ S \ S'$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *tranclp-cdcl_W-stgy-tranclp-cdcl_W:*
 $cdcl_W\text{-stgy}^{++} \ S \ S' \implies cdcl_W^{++} \ S \ S'$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *rtranclp-cdcl_W-stgy-rtranclp-cdcl_W:*
 $cdcl_W\text{-stgy}^{**} \ S \ S' \implies cdcl_W^{**} \ S \ S'$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *not-empty-get-maximum-level-exists-lit:*
assumes $n: D \neq \{\#\}$
and $max: \text{get-maximum-level } M \ D = n$
shows $\exists L \in \#D. \text{get-level } M \ L = n$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *cdcl_W-o-conflict-is-false-with-level-inv:*
assumes
 $cdcl_W\text{-o } S \ S'$ **and**
 $lev: cdcl_W\text{-M-level-inv } S$ **and**
 $cnfl\text{-inv: conflict-is-false-with-level } S$ **and**
 $n\text{-d: distinct-cdcl}_W\text{-state } S$ **and**
 $conflicting: cdcl_W\text{-conflicting } S$
shows $\text{conflict-is-false-with-level } S'$
 ⟨proof⟩

Strong completeness

lemma *cdcl_W-cp-propagate-cnfl:*
assumes $cdcl_W\text{-cp } S \ T$
shows $\text{propagate}^{**} \ S \ T \vee (\exists S'. \text{propagate}^{**} \ S \ S' \wedge \text{conflict } S' \ T)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *rtranclp-cdcl_W-cp-propagate-cnfl:*
assumes $cdcl_W\text{-cp}^{**} \ S \ T$
shows $\text{propagate}^{**} \ S \ T \vee (\exists S'. \text{propagate}^{**} \ S \ S' \wedge \text{conflict } S' \ T)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *propagate-high-levelE:*
assumes $\text{propagate } S \ T$
obtains $M' \ N' \ U \ k \ L \ C$ **where**
 $state \ S = (M', N', U, k, None)$ **and**
 $state \ T = (\text{Propagated } L \ (C + \{\#L\}) \ \# \ M', N', U, k, None)$ **and**
 $C + \{\#L\} \in \# \text{local.clauses } S$ **and**
 $M' \models_{as} CNot \ C$ **and**
 $undefined\text{-lit } (trail \ S) \ L$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *cdcl_W-cp-propagate-completeness:*
assumes $MN: set \ M \models_s \text{set-mset } N$ **and**
 $cons: \text{consistent-interp } (set \ M)$ **and**
 $tot: \text{total-over-m } (set \ M) \ (set\text{-mset } N)$ **and**
 $lits\text{-of-l } (trail \ S) \subseteq set \ M$ **and**

init-clss $S = N$ **and**
*propagate*** $S S'$ **and**
learned-clss $S = \{\#\}$
shows $\text{length } (\text{trail } S) \leq \text{length } (\text{trail } S') \wedge \text{lits-of-l } (\text{trail } S') \subseteq \text{set } M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma

assumes *propagate*** $S X$
shows
rtrancpl-propagate-init-clss: *init-clss* $X = \text{init-clss } S$ **and**
rtrancpl-propagate-learned-clss: *learned-clss* $X = \text{learned-clss } S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *completeness-is-a-full1-propagation*:

fixes $S :: 'st$ **and** $M :: 'v$ *literal list*
assumes MN : $\text{set } M \models_s \text{set-mset } N$
and *cons*: *consistent-interp* ($\text{set } M$)
and *tot*: *total-over-m* ($\text{set } M$) ($\text{set-mset } N$)
and *alien*: *no-strange-atm* S
and *learned*: *learned-clss* $S = \{\#\}$
and *clsS[simp]*: *init-clss* $S = N$
and *lits*: $\text{lits-of-l } (\text{trail } S) \subseteq \text{set } M$
shows $\exists S'. \text{propagate** } S S' \wedge \text{full } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-cp } S S'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

See also *rtrancpl-cdcl_W-cp-dropWhile-trail*

lemma *rtrancpl-propagate-is-trail-append*:

*propagate*** $S T \implies \exists c. \text{trail } T = c @ \text{trail } S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancpl-propagate-is-update-trail*:

*propagate*** $S T \implies \text{cdcl}_W\text{-M-level-inv } S \implies$
 $\text{init-clss } S = \text{init-clss } T \wedge \text{learned-clss } S = \text{learned-clss } T \wedge \text{backtrack-lvl } S = \text{backtrack-lvl } T$
 $\wedge \text{conflicting } S = \text{conflicting } T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-stgy-strong-completeness-n*:

assumes
 MN : $\text{set } M \models_s \text{set-mset } N$ **and**
cons: *consistent-interp* ($\text{set } M$) **and**
tot: *total-over-m* ($\text{set } M$) ($\text{set-mset } N$) **and**
atm-incl: $\text{atm-of } ' (\text{set } M) \subseteq \text{atms-of-mm } N$ **and**
distM: *distinct* M **and**
length: $n \leq \text{length } M$
shows
 $\exists M' k S. \text{length } M' \geq n \wedge$
 $\text{lits-of-l } M' \subseteq \text{set } M \wedge$
 $\text{no-dup } M' \wedge$
 $\text{state } S = (M', N, \{\#\}, k, \text{None}) \wedge$
 $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy** } (\text{init-state } N) S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem 2.9.11 page 84 of Weidenbach's book (with strategy)

lemma *cdcl_W-stgy-strong-completeness*:

assumes
 MN : $\text{set } M \models_s \text{set-mset } N$ **and**

cons: *consistent-interp* (set M) **and**
tot: *total-over-m* (set M) (set-mset N) **and**
atm-incl: *atm-of* ' (set M) \subseteq *atms-of-mm* N **and**
distM: *distinct* M

shows

$\exists M' k S.$

lits-of-l $M' = \text{set } M \wedge$

state $S = (M', N, \{\#\}, k, \text{None}) \wedge$

*cdcl_W-stgy*** (*init-state* N) $S \wedge$

final-cdcl_W-state S

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

No conflict with only variables of level less than backtrack level

This invariant is stronger than the previous argument in the sense that it is a property about all possible conflicts.

definition *no-smaller-conflict* ($S :: 'st$) \equiv

$(\forall M K M' D. M' @ \text{Decided } K \# M = \text{trail } S \longrightarrow D \in \# \text{ clauses } S$
 $\longrightarrow \neg M \models_{as} CNot D)$

lemma *no-smaller-conflict-init-sate[simp]*:

no-smaller-conflict (*init-state* N) $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-o-no-smaller-conflict-inv*:

fixes $S S' :: 'st$

assumes

cdcl_W-o $S S'$ **and**

lev: *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* S **and**

max-lev: *conflict-is-false-with-level* S **and**

smaller: *no-smaller-conflict* S **and**

no-f: *no-clause-is-false* S

shows *no-smaller-conflict* S'

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *conflict-no-smaller-conflict-inv*:

assumes *conflict* $S S'$

and *no-smaller-conflict* S

shows *no-smaller-conflict* S'

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *propagate-no-smaller-conflict-inv*:

assumes *propagate*: *propagate* $S S'$

and *n-l*: *no-smaller-conflict* S

shows *no-smaller-conflict* S'

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-cp-no-smaller-conflict-inv*:

assumes *propagate*: *cdcl_W-cp* $S S'$

and *n-l*: *no-smaller-conflict* S

shows *no-smaller-conflict* S'

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancp-cdcl_W-cp-no-smaller-conflict-inv*:

assumes *propagate*: *cdcl_W-cp*** $S S'$

and *n-l*: *no-smaller-conflict* S

shows *no-smaller-conf* S'
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *trancp-cdcl_W-cp-no-smaller-conf-inv*:
assumes *propagate*: $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-cp}^{++} S S'$
and *n-l*: *no-smaller-conf* S
shows *no-smaller-conf* S'
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *full-cdcl_W-cp-no-smaller-conf-inv*:
assumes *full* $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-cp} S S'$
and *n-l*: *no-smaller-conf* S
shows *no-smaller-conf* S'
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *full1-cdcl_W-cp-no-smaller-conf-inv*:
assumes *full1* $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-cp} S S'$
and *n-l*: *no-smaller-conf* S
shows *no-smaller-conf* S'
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-stgy-no-smaller-conf-inv*:
assumes $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy} S S'$
and *n-l*: *no-smaller-conf* S
and *conflict-is-false-with-level* S
and *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* S
shows *no-smaller-conf* S'
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *is-conflicting-exists-conflict*:
assumes $\neg(\forall D \in \# \text{init-clss } S' + \text{learned-clss } S'. \neg \text{trail } S' \models_{\text{as}} \text{CNot } D)$
and *conflicting* $S' = \text{None}$
shows $\exists S''. \text{conflict } S' S''$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-o-conflict-is-no-clause-is-false*:
fixes $S S' :: 'st$
assumes
 $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-o } S S'$ **and**
lev: *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* S **and**
max-lev: *conflict-is-false-with-level* S **and**
no-f: *no-clause-is-false* S **and**
no-l: *no-smaller-conf* S
shows *no-clause-is-false* S'
 $\vee (\text{conflicting } S' = \text{None}$
 $\longrightarrow (\forall D \in \# \text{clauses } S'. \text{trail } S' \models_{\text{as}} \text{CNot } D$
 $\longrightarrow (\exists L. L \in \# D \wedge \text{get-level } (\text{trail } S') L = \text{backtrack-lvl } S'))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *full1-cdcl_W-cp-exists-conflict-decompose*:
assumes
conf: $\exists D \in \# \text{clauses } S. \text{trail } S \models_{\text{as}} \text{CNot } D$ **and**
full: *full* $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-cp} S U$ **and**
no-conf: *conflicting* $S = \text{None}$ **and**
lev: *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* S
shows $\exists T. \text{propagate}^{**} S T \wedge \text{conflict } T U$

<proof>

lemma *full1-cdcl_W-cp-exists-conflict-full1-decompose:*

assumes

conf1: $\exists D \in \# \text{clauses } S. \text{trail } S \models_{as} CNot \ D$ **and**

full: *full cdcl_W-cp* *S U* **and**

no-conf1: *conflicting S = None* **and**

lev: *cdcl_W-M-level-inv S*

shows $\exists T D. \text{propagate}^{**} \ S \ T \wedge \text{conflict } T \ U$

$\wedge \text{trail } T \models_{as} CNot \ D \wedge \text{conflicting } U = \text{Some } D \wedge D \in \# \text{clauses } S$

<proof>

lemma *cdcl_W-stgy-no-smaller-conf1:*

assumes

cdcl_W-stgy S S' **and**

n-l: *no-smaller-conf1 S* **and**

conflict-is-false-with-level S **and**

cdcl_W-M-level-inv S **and**

no-clause-is-false S **and**

distinct-cdcl_W-state S **and**

cdcl_W-conflicting S

shows *no-smaller-conf1 S'*

<proof>

lemma *cdcl_W-stgy-ex-lit-of-max-level:*

assumes

cdcl_W-stgy S S' **and**

n-l: *no-smaller-conf1 S* **and**

conflict-is-false-with-level S **and**

cdcl_W-M-level-inv S **and**

no-clause-is-false S **and**

distinct-cdcl_W-state S **and**

cdcl_W-conflicting S

shows *conflict-is-false-with-level S'*

<proof>

lemma *rtranc1p-cdcl_W-stgy-no-smaller-conf1-inv:*

assumes

*cdcl_W-stgy^{**} S S'* **and**

n-l: *no-smaller-conf1 S* **and**

cls-false: *conflict-is-false-with-level S* **and**

lev: *cdcl_W-M-level-inv S* **and**

no-f: *no-clause-is-false S* **and**

dist: *distinct-cdcl_W-state S* **and**

conflicting: *cdcl_W-conflicting S* **and**

decomp: *all-decomposition-implies-m (init-clss S) (get-all-ann-decomposition (trail S))* **and**

learned: *cdcl_W-learned-clause S* **and**

alien: *no-strange-atm S*

shows *no-smaller-conf1 S' \wedge conflict-is-false-with-level S'*

<proof>

Final States are Conclusive

lemma *full-cdcl_W-stgy-final-state-conclusive-non-false:*

fixes *S' :: 'st*

assumes *full*: *full cdcl_W-stgy (init-state N) S'*

and *no-d*: *distinct-mset-mset* N
and *no-empty*: $\forall D \in \#N. D \neq \{\#\}$
shows (*conflicting* $S' = \text{Some } \{\#\} \wedge \text{unsatisfiable } (\text{set-mset } (\text{init-clss } S'))$)
 $\vee (\text{conflicting } S' = \text{None} \wedge \text{trail } S' \models_{\text{asm}} \text{init-clss } S')$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *conflict-is-full1-cdcl_W-cp*:
assumes *cp*: *conflict* $S S'$
shows *full1 cdcl_W-cp* $S S'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-cp-fst-empty-conflicting-false*:
assumes
 $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-cp } S S'$ **and**
 $\text{trail } S = []$ **and**
 $\text{conflicting } S \neq \text{None}$
shows *False*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-o-fst-empty-conflicting-false*:
assumes *cdcl_W-o* $S S'$
and $\text{trail } S = []$
and $\text{conflicting } S \neq \text{None}$
shows *False*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-stgy-fst-empty-conflicting-false*:
assumes *cdcl_W-stgy* $S S'$
and $\text{trail } S = []$
and $\text{conflicting } S \neq \text{None}$
shows *False*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

thm *cdcl_W-cp.induct[split-format(complete)]*

lemma *cdcl_W-cp-conflicting-is-false*:
 $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-cp } S S' \implies \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } \{\#\} \implies \text{False}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtranc1p-cdcl_W-cp-conflicting-is-false*:
 $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-cp}^{++} S S' \implies \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } \{\#\} \implies \text{False}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-o-conflicting-is-false*:
 $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-o } S S' \implies \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } \{\#\} \implies \text{False}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-stgy-conflicting-is-false*:
 $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy } S S' \implies \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } \{\#\} \implies \text{False}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtranc1p-cdcl_W-stgy-conflicting-is-false*:
 $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy}^* S S' \implies \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } \{\#\} \implies S' = S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *full-cdcl_W-init-clss-with-false-normal-form*:

assumes
 $\forall m \in \text{set } M. \neg \text{is-decided } m$ **and**
 $E = \text{Some } D$ **and**
 $\text{state } S = (M, N, U, 0, E)$
 $\text{full } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy } S \ S'$ **and**
 $\text{all-decomposition-implies-}m \ (\text{init-clss } S) \ (\text{get-all-ann-decomposition } (\text{trail } S))$
 $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-learned-clause } S$
 $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-}M\text{-level-inv } S$
 $\text{no-strange-atm } S$
 $\text{distinct-cdcl}_W\text{-state } S$
 $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-conflicting } S$
shows $\exists M''. \text{state } S' = (M'', N, U, 0, \text{Some } \{\#\})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{full-cdcl}_W\text{-stgy-final-state-conclusive-is-one-false}$:
fixes $S' :: 'st$
assumes $\text{full: full } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy } (\text{init-state } N) \ S'$
and $\text{no-d: distinct-mset-mset } N$
and $\text{empty: } \{\#\} \in \# \ N$
shows $\text{conflicting } S' = \text{Some } \{\#\} \wedge \text{unsatisfiable } (\text{set-mset } (\text{init-clss } S'))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem 2.9.9 page 83 of Weidenbach's book

lemma $\text{full-cdcl}_W\text{-stgy-final-state-conclusive}$:
fixes $S' :: 'st$
assumes $\text{full: full } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy } (\text{init-state } N) \ S'$ **and** $\text{no-d: distinct-mset-mset } N$
shows $(\text{conflicting } S' = \text{Some } \{\#\} \wedge \text{unsatisfiable } (\text{set-mset } (\text{init-clss } S')))$
 $\vee (\text{conflicting } S' = \text{None} \wedge \text{trail } S' \models_{\text{asm}} \text{init-clss } S')$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem 2.9.9 page 83 of Weidenbach's book

lemma $\text{full-cdcl}_W\text{-stgy-final-state-conclusive-from-init-state}$:
fixes $S' :: 'st$
assumes $\text{full: full } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy } (\text{init-state } N) \ S'$
and $\text{no-d: distinct-mset-mset } N$
shows $(\text{conflicting } S' = \text{Some } \{\#\} \wedge \text{unsatisfiable } (\text{set-mset } N))$
 $\vee (\text{conflicting } S' = \text{None} \wedge \text{trail } S' \models_{\text{asm}} N \wedge \text{satisfiable } (\text{set-mset } N))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

end

theory $\text{CDCL-}W\text{-Termination}$

imports $\text{CDCL-}W$

begin

context $\text{conflict-driven-clause-learning}_W$

begin

2.1.6 Termination

The condition that no learned clause is a tautology is overkill (in the sense that the no-duplicate condition is enough), but we can reuse *simple-clss*.

The invariant contains all the structural invariants that holds,

definition $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv}$ **where**

$cdcl_W\text{-all-struct-inv } S \longleftrightarrow$
 $no\text{-strange-atm } S \wedge$
 $cdcl_W\text{-M-level-inv } S \wedge$
 $(\forall s \in \# \text{ learned-clss } S. \neg \text{tautology } s) \wedge$
 $distinct\text{-}cdcl_W\text{-state } S \wedge$
 $cdcl_W\text{-conflicting } S \wedge$
 $all\text{-decomposition-implies-m } (init\text{-clss } S) (get\text{-all-ann-decomposition } (trail\ S)) \wedge$
 $cdcl_W\text{-learned-clause } S$

lemma $cdcl_W\text{-all-struct-inv-inv}$:
assumes $cdcl_W\ S\ S'$ **and** $cdcl_W\text{-all-struct-inv } S$
shows $cdcl_W\text{-all-struct-inv } S'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rtrancp\text{-}cdcl_W\text{-all-struct-inv-inv}$:
assumes $cdcl_W^{**}\ S\ S'$ **and** $cdcl_W\text{-all-struct-inv } S$
shows $cdcl_W\text{-all-struct-inv } S'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cdcl_W\text{-stgy-}cdcl_W\text{-all-struct-inv}$:
 $cdcl_W\text{-stgy } S\ T \implies cdcl_W\text{-all-struct-inv } S \implies cdcl_W\text{-all-struct-inv } T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rtrancp\text{-}cdcl_W\text{-stgy-}cdcl_W\text{-all-struct-inv}$:
 $cdcl_W\text{-stgy}^{**}\ S\ T \implies cdcl_W\text{-all-struct-inv } S \implies cdcl_W\text{-all-struct-inv } T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

No Relearning of a clause

lemma $cdcl_W\text{-o-new-clause-learned-is-backtrack-step}$:
assumes $learned: D \in \# \text{ learned-clss } T$ **and**
 $new: D \notin \# \text{ learned-clss } S$ **and**
 $cdcl_W: cdcl_W\text{-o } S\ T$ **and**
 $lev: cdcl_W\text{-M-level-inv } S$
shows $backtrack\ S\ T \wedge conflicting\ S = \text{Some } D$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cdcl_W\text{-cp-new-clause-learned-has-backtrack-step}$:
assumes $learned: D \in \# \text{ learned-clss } T$ **and**
 $new: D \notin \# \text{ learned-clss } S$ **and**
 $cdcl_W: cdcl_W\text{-stgy } S\ T$ **and**
 $lev: cdcl_W\text{-M-level-inv } S$
shows $\exists S'. backtrack\ S\ S' \wedge cdcl_W\text{-stgy}^{**}\ S'\ T \wedge conflicting\ S = \text{Some } D$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rtrancp\text{-}cdcl_W\text{-cp-new-clause-learned-has-backtrack-step}$:
assumes $learned: D \in \# \text{ learned-clss } T$ **and**
 $new: D \notin \# \text{ learned-clss } S$ **and**
 $cdcl_W: cdcl_W\text{-stgy}^{**}\ S\ T$ **and**
 $lev: cdcl_W\text{-M-level-inv } S$
shows $\exists S' S''. cdcl_W\text{-stgy}^{**}\ S\ S' \wedge backtrack\ S'\ S'' \wedge conflicting\ S' = \text{Some } D \wedge$
 $cdcl_W\text{-stgy}^{**}\ S''\ T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $propagate\text{-no-more-Decided-lit}$:
assumes $propagate\ S\ S'$

shows $Decided\ K \in set\ (trail\ S) \longleftrightarrow Decided\ K \in set\ (trail\ S')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conflict-no-more-Decided-lit:*

assumes *conflict* $S\ S'$
shows $Decided\ K \in set\ (trail\ S) \longleftrightarrow Decided\ K \in set\ (trail\ S')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-cp-no-more-Decided-lit:*

assumes *cdcl_W-cp* $S\ S'$
shows $Decided\ K \in set\ (trail\ S) \longleftrightarrow Decided\ K \in set\ (trail\ S')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtrancp-cdcl_W-cp-no-more-Decided-lit:*

assumes *cdcl_W-cp*** $S\ S'$
shows $Decided\ K \in set\ (trail\ S) \longleftrightarrow Decided\ K \in set\ (trail\ S')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-o-no-more-Decided-lit:*

assumes *cdcl_W-o* $S\ S'$ **and** *lev: cdcl_W-M-level-inv* S **and** $\neg decide\ S\ S'$
shows $Decided\ K \in set\ (trail\ S') \longrightarrow Decided\ K \in set\ (trail\ S)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-new-decided-at-beginning-is-decide:*

assumes *cdcl_W-stgy* $S\ S'$ **and**
lev: cdcl_W-M-level-inv S **and**
 $trail\ S' = M' @ Decided\ L \# M$ **and**
 $trail\ S = M$
shows $\exists T. decide\ S\ T \wedge no-step\ cdcl_W-cp\ S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-o-is-decide:*

assumes *cdcl_W-o* $S\ T$ **and** *lev: cdcl_W-M-level-inv* S
 $trail\ T = drop\ (length\ M_0)\ M' @ Decided\ L \# H @ M$ **and**
 $\neg (\exists M'. trail\ S = M' @ Decided\ L \# H @ M)$
shows $decide\ S\ T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtrancp-cdcl_W-new-decided-at-beginning-is-decide:*

assumes *cdcl_W-stgy*** $R\ U$ **and**
 $trail\ U = M' @ Decided\ L \# H @ M$ **and**
 $trail\ R = M$ **and**
cdcl_W-M-level-inv R
shows
 $\exists S\ T\ T'. cdcl_W-stgy^{**}\ R\ S \wedge decide\ S\ T \wedge cdcl_W-stgy^{**}\ T\ U \wedge cdcl_W-stgy^{**}\ S\ U \wedge$
 $no-step\ cdcl_W-cp\ S \wedge trail\ T = Decided\ L \# H @ M \wedge trail\ S = H @ M \wedge cdcl_W-stgy\ S\ T' \wedge$
 $cdcl_W-stgy^{**}\ T'\ U$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtrancp-cdcl_W-new-decided-at-beginning-is-decide':*

assumes *cdcl_W-stgy*** $R\ U$ **and**
 $trail\ U = M' @ Decided\ L \# H @ M$ **and**
 $trail\ R = M$ **and**
cdcl_W-M-level-inv R
shows $\exists y\ y'. cdcl_W-stgy^{**}\ R\ y \wedge cdcl_W-stgy\ y\ y' \wedge \neg (\exists c. trail\ y = c @ Decided\ L \# H @ M)$
 $\wedge (\lambda a\ b. cdcl_W-stgy\ a\ b \wedge (\exists c. trail\ a = c @ Decided\ L \# H @ M))^{**}\ y'\ U$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *beginning-not-decided-invert:*

assumes $A: M @ A = M' @ \text{Decided } K \# H$ **and**

$nm: \forall m \in \text{set } M. \neg \text{is-decided } m$

shows $\exists M. A = M @ \text{Decided } K \# H$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-stgy-trail-has-new-decided-is-decide-step:*

assumes $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy } S \ T$

$\neg (\exists c. \text{trail } S = c @ \text{Decided } L \# H @ M)$ **and**

$(\lambda a b. \text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy } a \ b \wedge (\exists c. \text{trail } a = c @ \text{Decided } L \# H @ M))^{**} \ T \ U$ **and**

$\exists M'. \text{trail } U = M' @ \text{Decided } L \# H @ M$ **and**

$\text{lev: cdcl}_W\text{-M-level-inv } S$

shows $\exists S'. \text{decide } S \ S' \wedge \text{full cdcl}_W\text{-cp } S' \ T \wedge \text{no-step cdcl}_W\text{-cp } S$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancp-cdcl_W-stgy-with-trail-end-has-trail-end:*

assumes $(\lambda a b. \text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy } a \ b \wedge (\exists c. \text{trail } a = c @ \text{Decided } L \# H @ M))^{**} \ T \ U$ **and**

$\exists M'. \text{trail } U = M' @ \text{Decided } L \# H @ M$

shows $\exists M'. \text{trail } T = M' @ \text{Decided } L \# H @ M$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *remove1-mset-eq-remove1-mset-same:*

$\text{remove1-mset } L \ D = \text{remove1-mset } L' \ D \implies L \in \# \ D \implies L = L'$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-o-cannot-learn:*

assumes

$\text{cdcl}_W\text{-o } y \ z$ **and**

$\text{lev: cdcl}_W\text{-M-level-inv } y$ **and**

$M: \text{trail } y = c @ \text{Decided } Kh \# H$ **and**

$DL: D \notin \# \text{learned-clss } y$ **and**

$LD: L \in \# \ D$ **and**

$DH: \text{atms-of } (\text{remove1-mset } L \ D) \subseteq \text{atm-of 'lits-of-l } H$ **and**

$LH: \text{atm-of } L \notin \text{atm-of 'lits-of-l } H$ **and**

$\text{learned: } \forall T. \text{conflicting } y = \text{Some } T \longrightarrow \text{trail } y \models_{\text{as}} \text{CNot } T$ **and**

$z: \text{trail } z = c' @ \text{Decided } Kh \# H$

shows $D \notin \# \text{learned-clss } z$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-stgy-with-trail-end-has-not-been-learned:*

assumes

$\text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy } y \ z$ **and**

$\text{cdcl}_W\text{-M-level-inv } y$ **and**

$\text{trail } y = c @ \text{Decided } Kh \# H$ **and**

$D \notin \# \text{learned-clss } y$ **and**

$LD: L \in \# \ D$ **and**

$DH: \text{atms-of } (\text{remove1-mset } L \ D) \subseteq \text{atm-of 'lits-of-l } H$ **and**

$LH: \text{atm-of } L \notin \text{atm-of 'lits-of-l } H$ **and**

$\forall T. \text{conflicting } y = \text{Some } T \longrightarrow \text{trail } y \models_{\text{as}} \text{CNot } T$ **and**

$\text{trail } z = c' @ \text{Decided } Kh \# H$

shows $D \notin \# \text{learned-clss } z$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancp-cdcl_W-stgy-with-trail-end-has-not-been-learned:*

assumes

($\lambda a b. \text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy } a b \wedge (\exists c. \text{trail } a = c @ \text{Decided } K \# H @ [])^{**} S z$ and
 $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } S$ and
 $\text{trail } S = c @ \text{Decided } K \# H$ and
 $D \notin \# \text{learned-clss } S$ and
 $LD: L \in \# D$ and
 $DH: \text{atms-of } (\text{remove1-mset } L D) \subseteq \text{atm-of } \text{'lits-of-l } H$ and
 $LH: \text{atm-of } L \notin \text{atm-of } \text{'lits-of-l } H$ and
 $\exists c'. \text{trail } z = c' @ \text{Decided } K \# H$

shows $D \notin \# \text{learned-clss } z$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy-new-learned-clause}$:

assumes $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy } S T$ and

$\text{lev: cdcl}_W\text{-M-level-inv } S$ and

$E \notin \# \text{learned-clss } S$ and

$E \in \# \text{learned-clss } T$

shows $\exists S'. \text{backtrack } S S' \wedge \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } E \wedge \text{full cdcl}_W\text{-cp } S' T$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem 2.9.7 page 83 of Weidenbach's book

lemma $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy-no-relearned-clause}$:

assumes

$\text{invR: cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } R$ and

$\text{st': cdcl}_W\text{-stgy}^{**} R S$ and

$\text{bt: backtrack } S T$ and

$\text{confl: conflicting } S = \text{Some } E$ and

$\text{already-learned: } E \in \# \text{clauses } S$ and

$R: \text{trail } R = []$

shows False

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{rtrancpl-cdcl}_W\text{-stgy-distinct-mset-clauses}$:

assumes

$\text{invR: cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } R$ and

$\text{st: cdcl}_W\text{-stgy}^{**} R S$ and

$\text{dist: distinct-mset (clauses } R)$ and

$R: \text{trail } R = []$

shows $\text{distinct-mset (clauses } S)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy-distinct-mset-clauses}$:

assumes

$\text{st: cdcl}_W\text{-stgy}^{**} (\text{init-state } N) S$ and

$\text{no-duplicate-clause: distinct-mset } N$ and

$\text{no-duplicate-in-clause: distinct-mset-mset } N$

shows $\text{distinct-mset (clauses } S)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Decrease of a Measure

fun $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-measure}$ **where**

$\text{cdcl}_W\text{-measure } S =$

$[(3::\text{nat}) \wedge (\text{card } (\text{atms-of-mm } (\text{init-clss } S))) - \text{card } (\text{set-mset } (\text{learned-clss } S)),$
 if conflicting $S = \text{None}$ then 1 else 0,
 if conflicting $S = \text{None}$ then $\text{card } (\text{atms-of-mm } (\text{init-clss } S)) - \text{length } (\text{trail } S)$

```

    else length (trail S)
  ]

```

lemma *length-model-le-vars-all-inv*:
assumes *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv S*
shows $\text{length } (\text{trail } S) \leq \text{card } (\text{atms-of-mm } (\text{init-clss } S))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$
end

context *conflict-driven-clause-learning_W*
begin

lemma *learned-clss-less-upper-bound*:
fixes $S :: 'st$
assumes
 distinct-cdcl_W-state S **and**
 $\forall s \in \# \text{ learned-clss } S. \neg \text{tautology } s$
shows $\text{card}(\text{set-mset } (\text{learned-clss } S)) \leq 3 \wedge \text{card } (\text{atms-of-mm } (\text{learned-clss } S))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-measure-decreasing*:
fixes $S :: 'st$
assumes
 cdcl_W S S' **and**
 no-restart:
 $\neg(\text{learned-clss } S \subseteq \# \text{ learned-clss } S' \wedge [] = \text{trail } S' \wedge \text{conflicting } S' = \text{None})$
 and
 no-forget: $\text{learned-clss } S \subseteq \# \text{ learned-clss } S'$ **and**
 no-relearn: $\bigwedge S'. \text{backtrack } S S' \implies \forall T. \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } T \longrightarrow T \notin \# \text{ learned-clss } S$
 and
 alien: *no-strange-atm S* **and**
 M-level: *cdcl_W-M-level-inv S* **and**
 no-taut: $\forall s \in \# \text{ learned-clss } S. \neg \text{tautology } s$ **and**
 no-dup: *distinct-cdcl_W-state S* **and**
 confl: *cdcl_W-conflicting S*
shows $(\text{cdcl}_W\text{-measure } S', \text{cdcl}_W\text{-measure } S) \in \text{lexn less-than } 3$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *propagate-measure-decreasing*:
fixes $S :: 'st$
assumes *propagate S S'* **and** *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv S*
shows $(\text{cdcl}_W\text{-measure } S', \text{cdcl}_W\text{-measure } S) \in \text{lexn less-than } 3$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *conflict-measure-decreasing*:
fixes $S :: 'st$
assumes *conflict S S'* **and** *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv S*
shows $(\text{cdcl}_W\text{-measure } S', \text{cdcl}_W\text{-measure } S) \in \text{lexn less-than } 3$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *decide-measure-decreasing*:
fixes $S :: 'st$
assumes *decide S S'* **and** *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv S*
shows $(\text{cdcl}_W\text{-measure } S', \text{cdcl}_W\text{-measure } S) \in \text{lexn less-than } 3$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-cp-measure-decreasing*:
fixes $S :: 'st$
assumes *cdcl_W-cp* $S S'$ **and** *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv* S
shows $(\text{cdcl}_W\text{-measure } S', \text{cdcl}_W\text{-measure } S) \in \text{lexn less-than } 3$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *trancpl-cdcl_W-cp-measure-decreasing*:
fixes $S :: 'st$
assumes *cdcl_W-cp⁺⁺* $S S'$ **and** *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv* S
shows $(\text{cdcl}_W\text{-measure } S', \text{cdcl}_W\text{-measure } S) \in \text{lexn less-than } 3$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-stgy-step-decreasing*:
fixes $R S T :: 'st$
assumes *cdcl_W-stgy* $S T$ **and**
*cdcl_W-stgy^{**}* $R S$
trail $R = []$ **and**
cdcl_W-all-struct-inv R
shows $(\text{cdcl}_W\text{-measure } T, \text{cdcl}_W\text{-measure } S) \in \text{lexn less-than } 3$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Roughly corresponds to theorem 2.9.15 page 86 of Weidenbach's book (using a different bound)

lemma *trancpl-cdcl_W-stgy-decreasing*:
fixes $R S T :: 'st$
assumes *cdcl_W-stgy⁺⁺* $R S$
trail $R = []$ **and**
cdcl_W-all-struct-inv R
shows $(\text{cdcl}_W\text{-measure } S, \text{cdcl}_W\text{-measure } R) \in \text{lexn less-than } 3$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *trancpl-cdcl_W-stgy-S0-decreasing*:
fixes $R S T :: 'st$
assumes
pl: *cdcl_W-stgy⁺⁺* $(\text{init-state } N) S$ **and**
no-dup: *distinct-mset-mset* N
shows $(\text{cdcl}_W\text{-measure } S, \text{cdcl}_W\text{-measure } (\text{init-state } N)) \in \text{lexn less-than } 3$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *wf-trancpl-cdcl_W-stgy*:
 $\text{wf } \{(S :: 'st, \text{init-state } N) |$
 $S N. \text{distinct-mset-mset } N \wedge \text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy}^{++} (\text{init-state } N) S\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-cp-wf-all-inv*:
 $\text{wf } \{(S', S). \text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } S \wedge \text{cdcl}_W\text{-cp } S S'\}$
 $(\text{is wf } ?R)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

end

theory *DPLL-CDCL-W-Implementation*

imports *Partial-Annotated-Clausal-Logic CDCL-W-Level*

begin

Chapter 3

Implementation of DPLL and CDCL

We then reuse all the theorems to go towards an implementation using 2-watched literals:

- `CDCL_W_Abstract_State.thy` defines a better-suited state: the operation operating on it are more constrained, allowing simpler proofs and less edge cases later.

3.1 Simple List-Based Implementation of the DPLL and CDCL

The idea of the list-based implementation is to test the stack: the theories about the calculi, adapting the theorems to a simple implementation and the code exportation. The implementation are very simple and simply iterate over-and-over on lists.

3.1.1 Common Rules

Propagation

The following theorem holds:

lemma *lits-of-l-unfold*[*iff*]:
 $(\forall c \in \text{set } C. -c \in \text{lits-of-l } Ms) \longleftrightarrow Ms \models_{\text{as}} C\text{Not } (mset\ C)$
<proof>

The right-hand version is written at a high-level, but only the left-hand side is executable.

definition *is-unit-clause* :: *'a literal list* \Rightarrow (*'a, 'b*) *ann-lits* \Rightarrow *'a literal option*

where

is-unit-clause *l M* =
(*case List.filter* ($\lambda a. \text{atm-of } a \notin \text{atm-of ' lits-of-l } M$) *l of*
 a # [] \Rightarrow *if* *M* $\models_{\text{as}} C\text{Not } (mset\ l - \{\#a\# \})$ *then Some a else None*
 | - \Rightarrow *None*)

definition *is-unit-clause-code* :: *'a literal list* \Rightarrow (*'a, 'b*) *ann-lits*

\Rightarrow *'a literal option* **where**

is-unit-clause-code *l M* =
(*case List.filter* ($\lambda a. \text{atm-of } a \notin \text{atm-of ' lits-of-l } M$) *l of*
 a # [] \Rightarrow *if* ($\forall c \in \text{set } (\text{remove1 } a\ l). -c \in \text{lits-of-l } M$) *then Some a else None*
 | - \Rightarrow *None*)

lemma *is-unit-clause-is-unit-clause-code*[*code*]:

is-unit-clause *l M* = *is-unit-clause-code* *l M*

<proof>

lemma *is-unit-clause-some-undef:*

assumes *is-unit-clause* $l\ M = \text{Some } a$

shows *undefined-lit* $M\ a$

<proof>

lemma *is-unit-clause-some-CNot:* *is-unit-clause* $l\ M = \text{Some } a \implies M \models_{as} \text{CNot } (\text{mset } l - \{\#a\# \})$

<proof>

lemma *is-unit-clause-some-in:* *is-unit-clause* $l\ M = \text{Some } a \implies a \in \text{set } l$

<proof>

lemma *is-unit-clause-Nil[simp]:* *is-unit-clause* $[]\ M = \text{None}$

<proof>

Unit propagation for all clauses

Finding the first clause to propagate

fun *find-first-unit-clause* :: *'a literal list list* \Rightarrow (*'a, 'b*) *ann-lits*

\Rightarrow (*'a literal* \times *'a literal list*) *option* **where**

find-first-unit-clause $(a \# l)\ M =$

(*case is-unit-clause* $a\ M$ of

None \Rightarrow *find-first-unit-clause* $l\ M$

| *Some L* \Rightarrow *Some* (L, a)) |

find-first-unit-clause $[]\ - = \text{None}$

lemma *find-first-unit-clause-some:*

find-first-unit-clause $l\ M = \text{Some } (a, c)$

$\implies c \in \text{set } l \wedge M \models_{as} \text{CNot } (\text{mset } c - \{\#a\# \}) \wedge \text{undefined-lit } M\ a \wedge a \in \text{set } c$

<proof>

lemma *propagate-is-unit-clause-not-None:*

assumes *dist:* *distinct* c **and**

$M: M \models_{as} \text{CNot } (\text{mset } c - \{\#a\# \})$ **and**

undef: *undefined-lit* $M\ a$ **and**

ac: $a \in \text{set } c$

shows *is-unit-clause* $c\ M \neq \text{None}$

<proof>

lemma *find-first-unit-clause-none:*

distinct $c \implies c \in \text{set } l \implies M \models_{as} \text{CNot } (\text{mset } c - \{\#a\# \}) \implies \text{undefined-lit } M\ a \implies a \in \text{set } c$

$\implies \text{find-first-unit-clause } l\ M \neq \text{None}$

<proof>

Decide

fun *find-first-unused-var* :: *'a literal list list* \Rightarrow *'a literal set* \Rightarrow *'a literal option* **where**

find-first-unused-var $(a \# l)\ M =$

(*case List.find* $(\lambda \text{lit. lit} \notin M \wedge \neg \text{lit} \notin M)$ a of

None \Rightarrow *find-first-unused-var* $l\ M$

| *Some a* \Rightarrow *Some a*) |

find-first-unused-var $[]\ - = \text{None}$

lemma *find-none[iff]:*

List.find ($\lambda lit. lit \notin M \wedge \neg lit \notin M$) $a = None \longleftrightarrow atm\text{-}of\ 'a\ set\ a \subseteq atm\text{-}of\ 'a\ M$
 <proof>

lemma *find-some*: *List.find* ($\lambda lit. lit \notin M \wedge \neg lit \notin M$) $a = Some\ b \implies b \in set\ a \wedge b \notin M \wedge \neg b \notin M$
 <proof>

lemma *find-first-unused-var-None*[iff]:
find-first-unused-var $l\ M = None \longleftrightarrow (\forall a \in set\ l. atm\text{-}of\ 'a\ set\ a \subseteq atm\text{-}of\ 'a\ M)$
 <proof>

lemma *find-first-unused-var-Some-not-all-incl*:
assumes *find-first-unused-var* $l\ M = Some\ c$
shows $\neg(\forall a \in set\ l. atm\text{-}of\ 'a\ set\ a \subseteq atm\text{-}of\ 'a\ M)$
 <proof>

lemma *find-first-unused-var-Some*:
find-first-unused-var $l\ M = Some\ a \implies (\exists m \in set\ l. a \in set\ m \wedge a \notin M \wedge \neg a \notin M)$
 <proof>

lemma *find-first-unused-var-undefined*:
find-first-unused-var $l\ (lits\text{-}of\ l\ Ms) = Some\ a \implies undefined\text{-}lit\ Ms\ a$
 <proof>

3.1.2 CDCL specific functions

Level

fun *maximum-level-code*:: $'a\ literal\ list \Rightarrow ('a, 'b)\ ann\text{-}lits \Rightarrow nat$
where
maximum-level-code [] = 0 |
maximum-level-code ($L\ \# Ls$) $M = \max\ (get\text{-}level\ M\ L)\ (maximum\text{-}level\text{-}code\ Ls\ M)$

lemma *maximum-level-code-eq-get-maximum-level*[simp]:
maximum-level-code $D\ M = get\text{-}maximum\text{-}level\ M\ (mset\ D)$
 <proof>

lemma [code]:
fixes $M :: ('a, 'b)\ ann\text{-}lits$
shows $get\text{-}maximum\text{-}level\ M\ (mset\ D) = maximum\text{-}level\text{-}code\ D\ M$
 <proof>

Backjumping

fun *find-level-decomp* **where**
find-level-decomp $M\ []\ D\ k = None$ |
find-level-decomp $M\ (L\ \# Ls)\ D\ k =$
 (case ($get\text{-}level\ M\ L, maximum\text{-}level\text{-}code\ (D\ @\ Ls)\ M$) of
 (i, j) \Rightarrow if $i = k \wedge j < i$ then $Some\ (L, j)$ else *find-level-decomp* $M\ Ls\ (L\ \# D)\ k$
)

lemma *find-level-decomp-some*:
assumes *find-level-decomp* $M\ Ls\ D\ k = Some\ (L, j)$
shows $L \in set\ Ls \wedge get\text{-}maximum\text{-}level\ M\ (mset\ (remove1\ L\ (Ls\ @\ D))) = j \wedge get\text{-}level\ M\ L = k$
 <proof>

lemma *find-level-decomp-none*:

assumes *find-level-decomp* $M \ Ls \ E \ k = \text{None}$ **and** $\text{mset } (L \# D) = \text{mset } (Ls \ @ \ E)$
shows $\neg(L \in \text{set } Ls \wedge \text{get-maximum-level } M \ (\text{mset } D) < k \wedge k = \text{get-level } M \ L)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

fun *bt-cut* **where**

bt-cut $i \ (\text{Propagated } - \ # \ Ls) = \text{bt-cut } i \ Ls \ |$

bt-cut $i \ (\text{Decided } K \ # \ Ls) = (\text{if count-decided } Ls = i \text{ then } \text{Some } (\text{Decided } K \ # \ Ls) \text{ else } \text{bt-cut } i \ Ls) \ |$

bt-cut $i \ [] = \text{None}$

lemma *bt-cut-some-decomp*:

assumes *no-dup* M **and** *bt-cut* $i \ M = \text{Some } M'$

shows $\exists K \ M2 \ M1. \ M = M2 \ @ \ M' \wedge M' = \text{Decided } K \ # \ M1 \wedge \text{get-level } M \ K = (i+1)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bt-cut-not-none*:

assumes *no-dup* M **and** $M = M2 \ @ \ \text{Decided } K \ # \ M'$ **and** $\text{get-level } M \ K = (i+1)$

shows *bt-cut* $i \ M \neq \text{None}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *get-all-ann-decomposition-ex*:

$\exists N. (\text{Decided } K \ # \ M', N) \in \text{set } (\text{get-all-ann-decomposition } (M2 @ \text{Decided } K \ # \ M'))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bt-cut-in-get-all-ann-decomposition*:

assumes *no-dup* M **and** *bt-cut* $i \ M = \text{Some } M'$

shows $\exists M2. (M', M2) \in \text{set } (\text{get-all-ann-decomposition } M)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

fun *do-backtrack-step* **where**

do-backtrack-step $(M, N, U, k, \text{Some } D) =$

$(\text{case find-level-decomp } M \ D \ [] \ k \ \text{of}$

$\text{None} \Rightarrow (M, N, U, k, \text{Some } D)$

$| \text{Some } (L, j) \Rightarrow$

$(\text{case bt-cut } j \ M \ \text{of}$

$\text{Some } (\text{Decided } - \ # \ Ls) \Rightarrow (\text{Propagated } L \ D \ # \ Ls, N, D \ # \ U, j, \text{None})$

$| - \Rightarrow (M, N, U, k, \text{Some } D))$

$) \ |$

do-backtrack-step $S = S$

end

theory *CDCL-W-Implementation*

imports *DPLL-CDCL-W-Implementation* *CDCL-W-Termination*

begin

3.1.3 List-based CDCL Implementation

We here have a very simple implementation of Weidenbach's CDCL, based on the same principle as the implementation of DPLL: iterating over-and-over on lists. We do not use any fancy data-structure (see the two-watched literals for a better suited data-structure).

The goal was (as for DPLL) to test the infrastructure and see if an important lemma was missing to prove the correctness and the termination of a simple implementation.

Types and Instantiation

notation *image-mset* (**infixr** $\#$ 90)

type-synonym $'a \text{ cdcl}_W\text{-mark} = 'a \text{ clause}$

type-synonym $'v \text{ cdcl}_W\text{-ann-lit} = ('v, 'v \text{ cdcl}_W\text{-mark}) \text{ ann-lit}$

type-synonym $'v \text{ cdcl}_W\text{-ann-lits} = ('v, 'v \text{ cdcl}_W\text{-mark}) \text{ ann-lits}$

type-synonym $'v \text{ cdcl}_W\text{-state} =$
 $'v \text{ cdcl}_W\text{-ann-lits} \times 'v \text{ clauses} \times 'v \text{ clauses} \times \text{nat} \times 'v \text{ clause option}$

abbreviation $\text{raw-trail} :: 'a \times 'b \times 'c \times 'd \times 'e \Rightarrow 'a \text{ where}$
 $\text{raw-trail} \equiv (\lambda(M, -). M)$

abbreviation $\text{raw-cons-trail} :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list} \times 'b \times 'c \times 'd \times 'e \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list} \times 'b \times 'c \times 'd \times 'e$
where
 $\text{raw-cons-trail} \equiv (\lambda L (M, S). (L \# M, S))$

abbreviation $\text{raw-tl-trail} :: 'a \text{ list} \times 'b \times 'c \times 'd \times 'e \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list} \times 'b \times 'c \times 'd \times 'e \text{ where}$
 $\text{raw-tl-trail} \equiv (\lambda(M, S). (\text{tl } M, S))$

abbreviation $\text{raw-init-clss} :: 'a \times 'b \times 'c \times 'd \times 'e \Rightarrow 'b \text{ where}$
 $\text{raw-init-clss} \equiv \lambda(M, N, -). N$

abbreviation $\text{raw-learned-clss} :: 'a \times 'b \times 'c \times 'd \times 'e \Rightarrow 'c \text{ where}$
 $\text{raw-learned-clss} \equiv \lambda(M, N, U, -). U$

abbreviation $\text{raw-backtrack-lvl} :: 'a \times 'b \times 'c \times 'd \times 'e \Rightarrow 'd \text{ where}$
 $\text{raw-backtrack-lvl} \equiv \lambda(M, N, U, k, -). k$

abbreviation $\text{raw-update-backtrack-lvl} :: 'd \Rightarrow 'a \times 'b \times 'c \times 'd \times 'e \Rightarrow 'a \times 'b \times 'c \times 'd \times 'e$
where
 $\text{raw-update-backtrack-lvl} \equiv \lambda k (M, N, U, -, S). (M, N, U, k, S)$

abbreviation $\text{raw-conflicting} :: 'a \times 'b \times 'c \times 'd \times 'e \Rightarrow 'e \text{ where}$
 $\text{raw-conflicting} \equiv \lambda(M, N, U, k, D). D$

abbreviation $\text{raw-update-conflicting} :: 'e \Rightarrow 'a \times 'b \times 'c \times 'd \times 'e \Rightarrow 'a \times 'b \times 'c \times 'd \times 'e$
where
 $\text{raw-update-conflicting} \equiv \lambda S (M, N, U, k, -). (M, N, U, k, S)$

abbreviation $S0\text{-cdcl}_W N \equiv (([], N, \{\#\}, 0, \text{None})) :: 'v \text{ cdcl}_W\text{-state}$

abbreviation $\text{raw-add-learned-clss} \text{ where}$
 $\text{raw-add-learned-clss} \equiv \lambda C (M, N, U, S). (M, N, \{\#C\# \} + U, S)$

abbreviation $\text{raw-remove-clss} \text{ where}$
 $\text{raw-remove-clss} \equiv \lambda C (M, N, U, S). (M, \text{removeAll-mset } C N, \text{removeAll-mset } C U, S)$

lemma $\text{raw-trail-conv: raw-trail } (M, N, U, k, D) = M \text{ and}$
 $\text{clauses-conv: raw-init-clss } (M, N, U, k, D) = N \text{ and}$
 $\text{raw-learned-clss-conv: raw-learned-clss } (M, N, U, k, D) = U \text{ and}$
 $\text{raw-conflicting-conv: raw-conflicting } (M, N, U, k, D) = D \text{ and}$
 $\text{raw-backtrack-lvl-conv: raw-backtrack-lvl } (M, N, U, k, D) = k$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma state-conv:
 $S = (\text{raw-trail } S, \text{raw-init-clss } S, \text{raw-learned-clss } S, \text{raw-backtrack-lvl } S, \text{raw-conflicting } S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

interpretation *state_W*

raw-trail raw-init-clss raw-learned-clss raw-backtrack-lvl raw-conflicting
 $\lambda L (M, S). (L \# M, S)$
 $\lambda (M, S). (tl\ M, S)$
 $\lambda C (M, N, U, S). (M, N, \{\#C\# \} + U, S)$
 $\lambda C (M, N, U, S). (M, removeAll-mset\ C\ N, removeAll-mset\ C\ U, S)$
 $\lambda (k::nat) (M, N, U, -, D). (M, N, U, k, D)$
 $\lambda D (M, N, U, k, -). (M, N, U, k, D)$
 $\lambda N. ([], N, \{\#\}, 0, None)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

interpretation *conflict-driven-clause-learning_W raw-trail raw-init-clss raw-learned-clss raw-backtrack-lvl raw-conflicting*

$\lambda L (M, S). (L \# M, S)$
 $\lambda (M, S). (tl\ M, S)$
 $\lambda C (M, N, U, S). (M, N, \{\#C\# \} + U, S)$
 $\lambda C (M, N, U, S). (M, removeAll-mset\ C\ N, removeAll-mset\ C\ U, S)$
 $\lambda (k::nat) (M, N, U, -, D). (M, N, U, k, D)$
 $\lambda D (M, N, U, k, -). (M, N, U, k, D)$
 $\lambda N. ([], N, \{\#\}, 0, None)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

declare *clauses-def[simp]*

lemma *cdcl_W-state-eq-equality[iff]*: *state-eq* $S\ T \longleftrightarrow S = T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

declare *state-simp[simp del]*

lemma *reduce-trail-to-empty-trail[simp]*:
 $reduce-trail-to\ F\ ([], aa, ab, ac, b) = ([], aa, ab, ac, b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *raw-trail-reduce-trail-to-length-le*:
assumes $length\ F > length\ (raw-trail\ S)$
shows $raw-trail\ (reduce-trail-to\ F\ S) = []$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *reduce-trail-to*:
 $reduce-trail-to\ F\ S =$
 $((if\ length\ (raw-trail\ S) \geq length\ F$
 $then\ drop\ (length\ (raw-trail\ S) - length\ F)\ (raw-trail\ S)$
 $else\ []), raw-init-clss\ S, raw-learned-clss\ S, raw-backtrack-lvl\ S, raw-conflicting\ S)$
 $(is\ ?S = -)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

3.1.4 CDCL Implementation

Definition of the rules

Types **lemma** *true-raw-init-clss-remdups[simp]*:
 $I \models s\ (mset \circ remdups)\ 'N \longleftrightarrow I \models s\ mset\ 'N$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *satisfiable-mset-remdups[simp]*:

satisfiable ((*mset* \circ *remdups*) ‘ *N*) \longleftrightarrow *satisfiable* (*mset* ‘ *N*)
 <proof>

type-synonym *'v cdcl_W-state-inv-st* = (*'v*, *'v literal list*) *ann-lit list* \times
'v literal list list \times *'v literal list list* \times *nat* \times *'v literal list option*

We need some functions to convert between our abstract state *'v cdcl_W-state* and the concrete state *'v cdcl_W-state-inv-st*.

fun *convert* :: (*'a*, *'c list*) *ann-lit* \Rightarrow (*'a*, *'c multiset*) *ann-lit* **where**
convert (*Propagated L C*) = *Propagated L* (*mset C*) |
convert (*Decided K*) = *Decided K*

abbreviation *convertC* :: *'a list option* \Rightarrow *'a multiset option* **where**
convertC \equiv *map-option mset*

lemma *convert-Propagated[elim!]*:
convert z = Propagated L C \implies ($\exists C'.$ *z = Propagated L C' \wedge C = mset C'*)
 <proof>

lemma *is-decided-convert[simp]*: *is-decided* (*convert x*) = *is-decided x*
 <proof>

lemma *get-level-map-convert[simp]*:
get-level (*map convert M*) *x* = *get-level M x*
 <proof>

lemma *get-maximum-level-map-convert[simp]*:
get-maximum-level (*map convert M*) *D* = *get-maximum-level M D*
 <proof>

Conversion function

fun *toS* :: *'v cdcl_W-state-inv-st* \Rightarrow *'v cdcl_W-state* **where**
toS (*M*, *N*, *U*, *k*, *C*) = (*map convert M*, *mset* (*map mset N*), *mset* (*map mset U*), *k*, *convertC C*)

Definition an abstract type

typedef *'v cdcl_W-state-inv* = {*S*::*'v cdcl_W-state-inv-st*. *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv* (*toS S*)}
morphisms *rough-state-of state-of*
 <proof>

instantiation *cdcl_W-state-inv* :: (*type*) *equal*

begin

definition *equal-cdcl_W-state-inv* :: *'v cdcl_W-state-inv* \Rightarrow *'v cdcl_W-state-inv* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
equal-cdcl_W-state-inv S S' = (*rough-state-of S* = *rough-state-of S'*)

instance

<proof>

end

lemma *lits-of-map-convert[simp]*: *lits-of-l* (*map convert M*) = *lits-of-l M*
 <proof>

lemma *atm-lit-of-convert[simp]*:
lit-of (*convert x*) = *lit-of x*
 <proof>

lemma *undefined-lit-map-convert*[iff]:
 $\text{undefined-lit } (\text{map convert } M) L \longleftrightarrow \text{undefined-lit } M L$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *true-annot-map-convert*[simp]: $\text{map convert } M \models_a N \longleftrightarrow M \models_a N$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *true-annots-map-convert*[simp]: $\text{map convert } M \models_{as} N \longleftrightarrow M \models_{as} N$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *propagateE*

lemma *find-first-unit-clause-some-is-propagate*:

assumes H : $\text{find-first-unit-clause } (N @ U) M = \text{Some } (L, C)$

shows $\text{propagate } (\text{toS } (M, N, U, k, \text{None})) (\text{toS } (\text{Propagated } L C \# M, N, U, k, \text{None}))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The Transitions

Propagate definition *do-propagate-step* **where**

do-propagate-step $S =$

(*case* S of

$(M, N, U, k, \text{None}) \Rightarrow$

(*case* $\text{find-first-unit-clause } (N @ U) M$ of

$\text{Some } (L, C) \Rightarrow (\text{Propagated } L C \# M, N, U, k, \text{None})$

| $\text{None} \Rightarrow (M, N, U, k, \text{None}))$

| $S \Rightarrow S$)

lemma *do-propagate-step*:

$\text{do-propagate-step } S \neq S \implies \text{propagate } (\text{toS } S) (\text{toS } (\text{do-propagate-step } S))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-propagate-step-option*[simp]:

$\text{raw-conflicting } S \neq \text{None} \implies \text{do-propagate-step } S = S$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-propagate-step-no-step*:

assumes dist : $\forall c \in \text{set } (\text{raw-init-clss } S @ \text{raw-learned-clss } S). \text{ distinct } c$ **and**

prop-step: $\text{do-propagate-step } S = S$

shows $\text{no-step propagate } (\text{toS } S)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Conflict fun *find-conflict* **where**

$\text{find-conflict } M [] = \text{None} \mid$

$\text{find-conflict } M (N \# Ns) = (\text{if } (\forall c \in \text{set } N. \neg c \in \text{ lits-of-l } M) \text{ then } \text{Some } N \text{ else } \text{find-conflict } M Ns)$

lemma *find-conflict-Some*:

$\text{find-conflict } M Ns = \text{Some } N \implies N \in \text{set } Ns \wedge M \models_{as} \text{CNot } (\text{mset } N)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *find-conflict-None*:

$\text{find-conflict } M Ns = \text{None} \longleftrightarrow (\forall N \in \text{set } Ns. \neg M \models_{as} \text{CNot } (\text{mset } N))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *find-conflict-None-no-confl*:

$\text{find-conflict } M (N @ U) = \text{None} \longleftrightarrow \text{no-step conflict } (\text{toS } (M, N, U, k, \text{None}))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *do-conflict-step* where

do-conflict-step $S =$

(case S of
 (M, N, U, k, None) \Rightarrow
 (case *find-conflict* M ($N @ U$) of
 Some $a \Rightarrow (M, N, U, k, \text{Some } a)$
 | $\text{None} \Rightarrow (M, N, U, k, \text{None})$)
 | $S \Rightarrow S$)

lemma *do-conflict-step*:

do-conflict-step $S \neq S \implies \text{conflict } (\text{toS } S) (\text{toS } (\text{do-conflict-step } S))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-conflict-step-no-step*:

do-conflict-step $S = S \implies \text{no-step conflict } (\text{toS } S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-conflict-step-option[simp]*:

raw-conflicting $S \neq \text{None} \implies \text{do-conflict-step } S = S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-conflict-step-raw-conflicting[dest]*:

do-conflict-step $S \neq S \implies \text{raw-conflicting } (\text{do-conflict-step } S) \neq \text{None}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *do-cp-step* where

do-cp-step $S =$

(*do-propagate-step* o *do-conflict-step*) S

lemma *cp-step-is-cdcl_W-cp*:

assumes H : *do-cp-step* $S \neq S$
shows *cdcl_W-cp* (*toS* S) (*toS* (*do-cp-step* S))
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-cp-step-eq-no-prop-no-conf*:

do-cp-step $S = S \implies \text{do-conflict-step } S = S \wedge \text{do-propagate-step } S = S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *no-cdcl_W-cp-iff-no-propagate-no-conflict*:

no-step cdcl_W-cp $S \longleftrightarrow \text{no-step propagate } S \wedge \text{no-step conflict } S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-cp-step-eq-no-step*:

assumes H : *do-cp-step* $S = S$ **and** $\forall c \in \text{set } (\text{raw-init-clss } S @ \text{raw-learned-clss } S)$. *distinct* c
shows *no-step cdcl_W-cp* (*toS* S)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-cp-cdcl_W-st*: *cdcl_W-cp* $S S' \implies \text{cdcl}_W^{**} S S'$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv-rough-state[simp]*: *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv* (*toS* (*rough-state-of* S))

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma [simp]: *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv* (*toS* S) $\implies \text{rough-state-of } (\text{state-of } S) = S$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rough-state-of-state-of-do-cp-step[simp]*:
 $\text{rough-state-of } (\text{state-of } (\text{do-cp-step } (\text{rough-state-of } S))) = \text{do-cp-step } (\text{rough-state-of } S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Skip fun *do-skip-step* :: $'v \text{ cdcl}_W\text{-state-inv-st} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ cdcl}_W\text{-state-inv-st}$ **where**
 $\text{do-skip-step } (\text{Propagated } L \ C \ \# \ Ls, N, U, k, \text{Some } D) =$
 $(\text{if } -L \notin \text{set } D \wedge D \neq []$
 $\text{then } (Ls, N, U, k, \text{Some } D)$
 $\text{else } (\text{Propagated } L \ C \ \# Ls, N, U, k, \text{Some } D)) \mid$
 $\text{do-skip-step } S = S$

lemma *do-skip-step*:
 $\text{do-skip-step } S \neq S \implies \text{skip } (\text{toS } S) (\text{toS } (\text{do-skip-step } S))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-skip-step-no*:
 $\text{do-skip-step } S = S \implies \text{no-step skip } (\text{toS } S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-skip-step-raw-trail-is-None[iff]*:
 $\text{do-skip-step } S = (a, b, c, d, \text{None}) \longleftrightarrow S = (a, b, c, d, \text{None})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Resolve fun *maximum-level-code*:: $'a \text{ literal list} \Rightarrow ('a, 'a \text{ literal list}) \text{ ann-lit list} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$
where
 $\text{maximum-level-code } [] = 0 \mid$
 $\text{maximum-level-code } (L \ \# \ Ls) \ M = \max (\text{get-level } M \ L) (\text{maximum-level-code } Ls \ M)$

lemma *maximum-level-code-eq-get-maximum-level[code, simp]*:
 $\text{maximum-level-code } D \ M = \text{get-maximum-level } M \ (\text{mset } D)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

fun *do-resolve-step* :: $'v \text{ cdcl}_W\text{-state-inv-st} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ cdcl}_W\text{-state-inv-st}$ **where**
 $\text{do-resolve-step } (\text{Propagated } L \ C \ \# \ Ls, N, U, k, \text{Some } D) =$
 $(\text{if } -L \in \text{set } D \wedge \text{maximum-level-code } (\text{remove1 } (-L) \ D) (\text{Propagated } L \ C \ \# \ Ls) = k$
 $\text{then } (Ls, N, U, k, \text{Some } (\text{remdups } (\text{remove1 } L \ C \ @ \ \text{remove1 } (-L) \ D)))$
 $\text{else } (\text{Propagated } L \ C \ \# \ Ls, N, U, k, \text{Some } D)) \mid$
 $\text{do-resolve-step } S = S$

lemma *do-resolve-step*:
 $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } (\text{toS } S) \implies \text{do-resolve-step } S \neq S$
 $\implies \text{resolve } (\text{toS } S) (\text{toS } (\text{do-resolve-step } S))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-resolve-step-no*:
 $\text{do-resolve-step } S = S \implies \text{no-step resolve } (\text{toS } S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rough-state-of-state-of-resolve[simp]*:
 $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } (\text{toS } S) \implies \text{rough-state-of } (\text{state-of } (\text{do-resolve-step } S)) = \text{do-resolve-step } S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-resolve-step-raw-trail-is-None[iff]*:
 $\text{do-resolve-step } S = (a, b, c, d, \text{None}) \longleftrightarrow S = (a, b, c, d, \text{None})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Backjumping lemma *get-all-ann-decomposition-map-convert:*

$(\text{get-all-ann-decomposition } (\text{map convert } M)) =$
 $\text{map } (\lambda(a, b). (\text{map convert } a, \text{map convert } b)) (\text{get-all-ann-decomposition } M)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-backtrack-step:*

assumes
 db: do-backtrack-step $S \neq S$ **and**
 inv: cdcl_W-all-struct-inv $(\text{toS } S)$
shows *backtrack* $(\text{toS } S)$ $(\text{toS } (\text{do-backtrack-step } S))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *map-eq-list-length:*

$\text{map } f \ L = L' \implies \text{length } L = \text{length } L'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *map-mmset-of-mlit-eq-cons:*

assumes $\text{map convert } M = a @ c$
obtains $a' \ c'$ **where**
 $M = a' @ c'$ **and**
 $a = \text{map convert } a'$ **and**
 $c = \text{map convert } c'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Decided-convert-iff:*

$\text{Decided } K = \text{convert } za \longleftrightarrow za = \text{Decided } K$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-backtrack-step-no:*

assumes
 db: do-backtrack-step $S = S$ **and**
 inv: cdcl_W-all-struct-inv $(\text{toS } S)$
shows *no-step backtrack* $(\text{toS } S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rough-state-of-state-of-backtrack[simp]:*

assumes *inv: cdcl_W-all-struct-inv* $(\text{toS } S)$
shows *rough-state-of* $(\text{state-of } (\text{do-backtrack-step } S)) = \text{do-backtrack-step } S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Decide fun *do-decide-step where*

do-decide-step $(M, N, U, k, \text{None}) =$
 $(\text{case find-first-unused-var } N \ (\text{lits-of-l } M) \ \text{of}$
 $\text{None} \Rightarrow (M, N, U, k, \text{None})$
 $| \text{Some } L \Rightarrow (\text{Decided } L \ \# \ M, N, U, k+1, \text{None})) \ |$
do-decide-step $S = S$

lemma *do-decide-step:*

$\text{do-decide-step } S \neq S \implies \text{decide } (\text{toS } S) (\text{toS } (\text{do-decide-step } S))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-decide-step-no:*

$\text{do-decide-step } S = S \implies \text{no-step decide } (\text{toS } S)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rough-state-of-state-of-do-decide-step*[simp]:

$\text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } (toS S) \implies \text{rough-state-of } (\text{state-of } (\text{do-decide-step } S)) = \text{do-decide-step } S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rough-state-of-state-of-do-skip-step*[simp]:

$\text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } (toS S) \implies \text{rough-state-of } (\text{state-of } (\text{do-skip-step } S)) = \text{do-skip-step } S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Code generation

Type definition There are two invariants: one while applying conflict and propagate and one for the other rules

declare *rough-state-of-inverse*[simp add]

definition *Con* **where**

$\text{Con } xs = \text{state-of } (\text{if } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } (toS (\text{fst } xs, \text{snd } xs)) \text{ then } xs$
 $\text{else } ([], [], [], 0, \text{None}))$

lemma [code abstype]:

$\text{Con } (\text{rough-state-of } S) = S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *do-cp-step'* **where**

$\text{do-cp-step}' S = \text{state-of } (\text{do-cp-step } (\text{rough-state-of } S))$

typedef *'v cdcl_W-state-inv-from-init-state* =

$\{S :: 'v \text{ cdcl}_W\text{-state-inv-st. } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } (toS S)$
 $\wedge \text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy}^{**} (S0\text{-cdcl}_W (\text{raw-init-clss } (toS S))) (toS S)\}$

morphisms *rough-state-from-init-state-of* *state-from-init-state-of*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

instantiation *cdcl_W-state-inv-from-init-state* :: (type) equal

begin

definition *equal-cdcl_W-state-inv-from-init-state* :: 'v *cdcl_W-state-inv-from-init-state* \Rightarrow

'v cdcl_W-state-inv-from-init-state \Rightarrow bool **where**

equal-cdcl_W-state-inv-from-init-state *S S'* \longleftrightarrow

$(\text{rough-state-from-init-state-of } S = \text{rough-state-from-init-state-of } S')$

instance

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

definition *ConI* **where**

$\text{ConI } S = \text{state-from-init-state-of } (\text{if } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } (toS (\text{fst } S, \text{snd } S))$
 $\wedge \text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy}^{**} (S0\text{-cdcl}_W (\text{raw-init-clss } (toS S))) (toS S) \text{ then } S \text{ else } ([], [], [], 0, \text{None}))$

lemma [code abstype]:

$\text{ConI } (\text{rough-state-from-init-state-of } S) = S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *id-of-I-to*:: 'v *cdcl_W-state-inv-from-init-state* \Rightarrow 'v *cdcl_W-state-inv* **where**

id-of-I-to *S* = *state-of* (*rough-state-from-init-state-of* *S*)

lemma [code abstract]:

rough-state-of (*id-of-I-to* *S*) = *rough-state-from-init-state-of* *S*
 ⟨proof⟩

Conflict and Propagate function *do-full1-cp-step* :: 'v *cdcl_W-state-inv* ⇒ 'v *cdcl_W-state-inv*
where

do-full1-cp-step *S* =
 (let *S'* = *do-cp-step'* *S* in
 if *S* = *S'* then *S* else *do-full1-cp-step* *S'*)
 ⟨proof⟩

termination
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *do-full1-cp-step-fix-point-of-do-full1-cp-step*:
do-cp-step(*rough-state-of* (*do-full1-cp-step* *S*)) = (*rough-state-of* (*do-full1-cp-step* *S*))
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *in-clauses-rough-state-of-is-distinct*:
 $c \in \text{set } (\text{raw-init-clss } (\text{rough-state-of } S) @ \text{raw-learned-clss } (\text{rough-state-of } S)) \implies \text{distinct } c$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *do-full1-cp-step-full*:
full cdcl_W-cp (*toS* (*rough-state-of* *S*))
 (*toS* (*rough-state-of* (*do-full1-cp-step* *S*)))
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma [*code abstract*]:
rough-state-of (*do-cp-step'* *S*) = *do-cp-step* (*rough-state-of* *S*)
 ⟨proof⟩

The other rules **fun** *do-other-step* **where**

do-other-step *S* =
 (let *T* = *do-skip-step* *S* in
 if *T* ≠ *S*
 then *T*
 else
 (let *U* = *do-resolve-step* *T* in
 if *U* ≠ *T*
 then *U* else
 (let *V* = *do-backtrack-step* *U* in
 if *V* ≠ *U* then *V* else *do-decide-step* *V*)))

lemma *do-other-step*:
assumes *inv*: *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv* (*toS* *S*) **and**
st: *do-other-step* *S* ≠ *S*
shows *cdcl_W-o* (*toS* *S*) (*toS* (*do-other-step* *S*))
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *do-other-step-no*:
assumes *inv*: *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv* (*toS* *S*) **and**
st: *do-other-step* *S* = *S*
shows *no-step cdcl_W-o* (*toS* *S*)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *rough-state-of-state-of-do-other-step[simp]*:
rough-state-of (*state-of* (*do-other-step* (*rough-state-of* *S*))) = *do-other-step* (*rough-state-of* *S*)

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *do-other-step'* **where**

do-other-step' $S =$
state-of (do-other-step (rough-state-of S))

lemma *rough-state-of-do-other-step'* [code abstract]:

rough-state-of (do-other-step' S) = do-other-step (rough-state-of S)

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *do-cdcl_W-stgy-step* **where**

do-cdcl_W-stgy-step $S =$
(let $T = \text{do-full1-cp-step } S$ in
if $T \neq S$
then T
else
(let $U = (\text{do-other-step}' T)$ in
(do-full1-cp-step U)))

definition *do-cdcl_W-stgy-step'* **where**

do-cdcl_W-stgy-step' $S = \text{state-from-init-state-of } (\text{rough-state-of } (\text{do-cdcl}_W\text{-stgy-step } (\text{id-of-I-to } S)))$

lemma *toS-do-full1-cp-step-not-eq*: $\text{do-full1-cp-step } S \neq S \implies$

$\text{toS } (\text{rough-state-of } S) \neq \text{toS } (\text{rough-state-of } (\text{do-full1-cp-step } S))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

do-full1-cp-step should not be unfolded anymore:

declare *do-full1-cp-step.simps*[simp del]

Correction of the transformation **lemma** *do-cdcl_W-stgy-step*:

assumes *do-cdcl_W-stgy-step* $S \neq S$

shows *cdcl_W-stgy* ($\text{toS } (\text{rough-state-of } S)$) ($\text{toS } (\text{rough-state-of } (\text{do-cdcl}_W\text{-stgy-step } S))$)

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *length-raw-trail-toS*[simp]:

length (raw-trail ($\text{toS } S$)) = length (raw-trail S)

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *raw-conflicting-noTrue-iff-toS*[simp]:

raw-conflicting ($\text{toS } S$) $\neq \text{None} \longleftrightarrow \text{raw-conflicting } S \neq \text{None}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *raw-trail-toS-neq-imp-raw-trail-neq*:

raw-trail ($\text{toS } S$) $\neq \text{raw-trail } (\text{toS } S') \implies \text{raw-trail } S \neq \text{raw-trail } S'$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-skip-step-raw-trail-changed-or-conflict*:

assumes d : *do-other-step* $S \neq S$

and *inv*: *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv* ($\text{toS } S$)

shows *raw-trail* $S \neq \text{raw-trail } (\text{do-other-step } S)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-full1-cp-step-induct*:

$(\bigwedge S. (S \neq \text{do-cp-step}' S \implies P (\text{do-cp-step}' S)) \implies P S) \implies P a0$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-cp-step-neq-raw-trail-increase:*

$\exists c. \text{raw-trail } (\text{do-cp-step } S) = c @ \text{raw-trail } S \wedge (\forall m \in \text{set } c. \neg \text{is-decided } m)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-full1-cp-step-neq-raw-trail-increase:*

$\exists c. \text{raw-trail } (\text{rough-state-of } (\text{do-full1-cp-step } S)) = c @ \text{raw-trail } (\text{rough-state-of } S)$
 $\wedge (\forall m \in \text{set } c. \neg \text{is-decided } m)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-cp-step-raw-conflicting:*

$\text{raw-conflicting } (\text{rough-state-of } S) \neq \text{None} \implies \text{do-cp-step}' S = S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-full1-cp-step-raw-conflicting:*

$\text{raw-conflicting } (\text{rough-state-of } S) \neq \text{None} \implies \text{do-full1-cp-step } S = S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-decide-step-not-raw-conflicting-one-more-decide:*

assumes
 $\text{raw-conflicting } S = \text{None}$ **and**
 $\text{do-decide-step } S \neq S$
shows $\text{Suc } (\text{length } (\text{filter is-decided } (\text{raw-trail } S)))$
 $= \text{length } (\text{filter is-decided } (\text{raw-trail } (\text{do-decide-step } S)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-decide-step-not-raw-conflicting-one-more-decide-bt:*

assumes $\text{raw-conflicting } S \neq \text{None}$ **and**
 $\text{do-decide-step } S \neq S$
shows $\text{length } (\text{filter is-decided } (\text{raw-trail } S)) < \text{length } (\text{filter is-decided } (\text{raw-trail } (\text{do-decide-step } S)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *count-decided-raw-trail-toS:*

$\text{count-decided } (\text{raw-trail } (\text{toS } S)) = \text{count-decided } (\text{raw-trail } S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-other-step-not-raw-conflicting-one-more-decide-bt:*

assumes
 $\text{raw-conflicting } (\text{rough-state-of } S) \neq \text{None}$ **and**
 $\text{raw-conflicting } (\text{rough-state-of } (\text{do-other-step}' S)) = \text{None}$ **and**
 $\text{do-other-step}' S \neq S$
shows $\text{count-decided } (\text{raw-trail } (\text{rough-state-of } S))$
 $> \text{count-decided } (\text{raw-trail } (\text{rough-state-of } (\text{do-other-step}' S)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-other-step-not-raw-conflicting-one-more-decide:*

assumes $\text{raw-conflicting } (\text{rough-state-of } S) = \text{None}$ **and**
 $\text{do-other-step}' S \neq S$
shows $1 + \text{length } (\text{filter is-decided } (\text{raw-trail } (\text{rough-state-of } S)))$
 $= \text{length } (\text{filter is-decided } (\text{raw-trail } (\text{rough-state-of } (\text{do-other-step}' S))))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rough-state-of-state-of-do-skip-step-rough-state-of[simp]:*

$\text{rough-state-of } (\text{state-of } (\text{do-skip-step } (\text{rough-state-of } S))) = \text{do-skip-step } (\text{rough-state-of } S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *raw-conflicting-do-resolve-step-iff[iff]:*

raw-conflicting (*do-resolve-step* *S*) = *None* \longleftrightarrow *raw-conflicting* *S* = *None*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *raw-conflicting-do-skip-step-iff*[*iff*]:
raw-conflicting (*do-skip-step* *S*) = *None* \longleftrightarrow *raw-conflicting* *S* = *None*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *raw-conflicting-do-decide-step-iff*[*iff*]:
raw-conflicting (*do-decide-step* *S*) = *None* \longleftrightarrow *raw-conflicting* *S* = *None*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *raw-conflicting-do-backtrack-step-imp*[*simp*]:
do-backtrack-step *S* \neq *S* \implies *raw-conflicting* (*do-backtrack-step* *S*) = *None*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-skip-step-eq-iff-raw-trail-eq*:
do-skip-step *S* = *S* \longleftrightarrow *raw-trail* (*do-skip-step* *S*) = *raw-trail* *S*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-decide-step-eq-iff-raw-trail-eq*:
do-decide-step *S* = *S* \longleftrightarrow *raw-trail* (*do-decide-step* *S*) = *raw-trail* *S*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-backtrack-step-eq-iff-raw-trail-eq*:
assumes *no-dup* (*raw-trail* *S*)
shows *do-backtrack-step* *S* = *S* \longleftrightarrow *raw-trail* (*do-backtrack-step* *S*) = *raw-trail* *S*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-resolve-step-eq-iff-raw-trail-eq*:
do-resolve-step *S* = *S* \longleftrightarrow *raw-trail* (*do-resolve-step* *S*) = *raw-trail* *S*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-other-step-eq-iff-raw-trail-eq*:
assumes *no-dup* (*raw-trail* *S*)
shows *raw-trail* (*do-other-step* *S*) = *raw-trail* *S* \longleftrightarrow *do-other-step* *S* = *S*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-full1-cp-step-do-other-step'-normal-form*[*dest!*]:
assumes *H*: *do-full1-cp-step* (*do-other-step'* *S*) = *S*
shows *do-other-step'* *S* = *S* \wedge *do-full1-cp-step* *S* = *S*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-cdcl_W-stgy-step-no*:
assumes *S*: *do-cdcl_W-stgy-step* *S* = *S*
shows *no-step* *cdcl_W-stgy* (*toS* (*rough-state-of* *S*))
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *toS-rough-state-of-state-of-rough-state-from-init-state-of*[*simp*]:
toS (*rough-state-of* (*state-of* (*rough-state-from-init-state-of* *S*)))
= *toS* (*rough-state-from-init-state-of* *S*)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-cp-is-rtrancp-cdcl_W*: *cdcl_W-cp* *S* *T* \implies *cdcl_W*** *S* *T*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_W-cp-is-rtrancpl-cdcl_W*: $cdcl_W\text{-}cp^{**} S T \implies cdcl_W^{**} S T$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *cdcl_W-stgy-is-rtrancpl-cdcl_W*:
 $cdcl_W\text{-}stgy S T \implies cdcl_W^{**} S T$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *cdcl_W-stgy-init-raw-init-clss*:
 $cdcl_W\text{-}stgy S T \implies cdcl_W\text{-}M\text{-level-inv } S \implies \text{raw-init-clss } S = \text{raw-init-clss } T$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *clauses-toS-rough-state-of-do-cdcl_W-stgy-step[simp]*:
 $\text{raw-init-clss } (toS \text{ (rough-state-of (do-cdcl}_W\text{-stgy-step (state-of (rough-state-from-init-state-of } S))))$
 $= \text{raw-init-clss } (toS \text{ (rough-state-from-init-state-of } S)) \text{ (is - = raw-init-clss (toS ?S))}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *rough-state-from-init-state-of-do-cdcl_W-stgy-step'[code abstract]*:
 $\text{rough-state-from-init-state-of (do-cdcl}_W\text{-stgy-step' } S) =$
 $\text{rough-state-of (do-cdcl}_W\text{-stgy-step (id-of-I-to } S))$
 ⟨proof⟩

All rules together function *do-all-cdcl_W-stgy* **where**

do-all-cdcl_W-stgy $S =$
 (let $T = \text{do-cdcl}_W\text{-stgy-step' } S$ in
 if $T = S$ then S else *do-all-cdcl_W-stgy* T)
 ⟨proof⟩

termination
 ⟨proof⟩

thm *do-all-cdcl_W-stgy.induct*

lemma *do-all-cdcl_W-stgy-induct*:
 $(\bigwedge S. (\text{do-cdcl}_W\text{-stgy-step' } S \neq S \implies P (\text{do-cdcl}_W\text{-stgy-step' } S)) \implies P S) \implies P a0$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *no-step-cdcl_W-stgy-cdcl_W-all*:
fixes $S :: 'a \text{ cdcl}_W\text{-state-inv-from-init-state}$
shows $\text{no-step cdcl}_W\text{-stgy (toS (rough-state-from-init-state-of (do-all-cdcl}_W\text{-stgy } S)))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *do-all-cdcl_W-stgy-is-rtrancpl-cdcl_W-stgy*:
 $cdcl_W\text{-stgy}^{**} (toS \text{ (rough-state-from-init-state-of } S))$
 $(toS \text{ (rough-state-from-init-state-of (do-all-cdcl}_W\text{-stgy } S)))$
 ⟨proof⟩

Final theorem:

lemma *DPLL-tot-correct*:

assumes

$r: \text{rough-state-from-init-state-of (do-all-cdcl}_W\text{-stgy (state-from-init-state-of$
 $(([], \text{map remdups } N, [], 0, \text{None}))) = S \text{ and}$

$S: (M', N', U', k, E) = toS S$

shows $(E \neq \text{Some } \{\#\} \wedge \text{satisfiable (set (map mset } N)))$

$\vee (E = \text{Some } \{\#\} \wedge \text{unsatisfiable (set (map mset } N)))$

⟨proof⟩

The Code The SML code is skipped in the documentation, but stays to ensure that some version of the exported code is working. The only difference between the generated code and the one used here is the export of the constructor `ConI`.

`end`

3.2 Merging backjump rules

```
theory CDCL-W-Merge
imports CDCL-W-Termination
begin
```

Before showing that Weidenbach's CDCL is included in NOT's CDCL, we need to work on a variant of Weidenbach's calculus: NOT's backjump assumes the existence of a clause that is suitable to backjump. This clause is obtained in W's CDCL by applying:

1. *conflict-driven-clause-learning_W.conflict* to find the conflict
2. the conflict is analysed by repetitive application of *conflict-driven-clause-learning_W.resolve* and *conflict-driven-clause-learning_W.skip*,
3. finally *conflict-driven-clause-learning_W.backtrack* is used to backtrack.

We show that this new calculus has the same final states than Weidenbach's CDCL if the calculus starts in a state such that the invariant holds and no conflict has been found yet. The latter condition holds for initial states.

3.2.1 Inclusion of the states

```
context conflict-driven-clause-learningW
begin
declare cdclW.intros[intro] cdclW-bj.intros[intro] cdclW-o.intros[intro]
```

```
lemma backtrack-no-cdclW-bj:
  assumes cdcl: cdclW-bj T U and inv: cdclW-M-level-inv V
  shows ¬backtrack V T
  <proof>
```

skip-or-resolve corresponds to the *analyze* function in the code of MiniSAT.

```
inductive skip-or-resolve :: 'st ⇒ 'st ⇒ bool where
s-or-r-skip[intro]: skip S T ⇒ skip-or-resolve S T |
s-or-r-resolve[intro]: resolve S T ⇒ skip-or-resolve S T
```

```
lemma rtrancp-cdclW-bj-skip-or-resolve-backtrack:
  assumes cdclW-bj** S U and inv: cdclW-M-level-inv S
  shows skip-or-resolve** S U ∨ (∃ T. skip-or-resolve** S T ∧ backtrack T U)
  <proof>
```

```
lemma rtrancp-skip-or-resolve-rtrancp-cdclW:
  skip-or-resolve** S T ⇒ cdclW** S T
  <proof>
```

```
definition backjump-l-cond :: 'v clause ⇒ 'v clause ⇒ 'v literal ⇒ 'st ⇒ 'st ⇒ bool where
backjump-l-cond ≡ λC C' L' S T. True
```


definition $inv_{NOT} :: 'st \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $inv_{NOT} \equiv \lambda S. no_dup \ (trail \ S)$

declare $inv_{NOT-def}[simp]$
end

context $conflict-driven-clause-learning_W$
begin

3.2.2 More lemmas conflict-propagate and backjumping

Termination

lemma $cdcl_W-cp-normalized-element-all-inv$:

assumes inv : $cdcl_W-all-struct-inv \ S$
obtains T **where** $full \ cdcl_W-cp \ S \ T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

thm $backtrackE$

lemma $cdcl_W-bj-measure$:

assumes $cdcl_W-bj \ S \ T$ **and** $cdcl_W-M-level-inv \ S$
shows $length \ (trail \ S) + (if \ conflicting \ S = None \ then \ 0 \ else \ 1)$
 $> length \ (trail \ T) + (if \ conflicting \ T = None \ then \ 0 \ else \ 1)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $wf-cdcl_W-bj$:

$wf \ \{(b,a). \ cdcl_W-bj \ a \ b \wedge \ cdcl_W-M-level-inv \ a\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cdcl_W-bj-exists-normal-form$:

assumes lev : $cdcl_W-M-level-inv \ S$
shows $\exists T. full \ cdcl_W-bj \ S \ T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rtrancp-skip-state-decomp$:

assumes $skip^{**} \ S \ T$ **and** $no_dup \ (trail \ S)$
shows
 $\exists M. trail \ S = M \ @ \ trail \ T \wedge (\forall m \in set \ M. \neg is-decided \ m)$
 $init-clss \ S = init-clss \ T$
 $learned-clss \ S = learned-clss \ T$
 $backtrack-lvl \ S = backtrack-lvl \ T$
 $conflicting \ S = conflicting \ T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

More backjumping

Backjumping after skipping or jump directly **lemma** $rtrancp-skip-backtrack-backtrack$:

assumes
 $skip^{**} \ S \ T$ **and**
 $backtrack \ T \ W$ **and**
 $cdcl_W-all-struct-inv \ S$
shows $backtrack \ S \ W$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

See also theorem $rtrancp-skip-backtrack-backtrack$

lemma $rtrancp-skip-backtrack-backtrack-end$:

assumes
skip: *skip*** *S T* **and**
bt: *backtrack* *S W* **and**
inv: *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv* *S*
shows *backtrack* *T W*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *cdcl_W-bj-decomp-resolve-skip-and-bj*:
assumes *cdcl_W-bj*** *S T* **and** *inv*: *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* *S*
shows (*skip-or-resolve*** *S T*
 $\vee (\exists U. \text{skip-or-resolve}^{**} S U \wedge \text{backtrack } U T)$)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *resolve-skip-deterministic*:
resolve *S T* \implies *skip* *S U* \implies *False*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *list-same-level-decomp-is-same-decomp*:
assumes *M-K*: *M* = *M1* @ *Decided* *K* # *M2* **and** *M-K'*: *M* = *M1'* @ *Decided* *K'* # *M2'* **and**
lev-KK': *get-level* *M K* = *get-level* *M K'* **and**
n-d: *no-dup* *M*
shows *K* = *K'* **and** *M1* = *M1'* **and** *M2* = *M2'*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *backtrack-unique*:
assumes
bt-T: *backtrack* *S T* **and**
bt-U: *backtrack* *S U* **and**
inv: *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv* *S*
shows *T* \sim *U*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *if-can-apply-backtrack-no-more-resolve*:
assumes
skip: *skip*** *S U* **and**
bt: *backtrack* *S T* **and**
inv: *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv* *S*
shows $\neg \text{resolve } U V$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *if-can-apply-resolve-no-more-backtrack*:
assumes
skip: *skip*** *S U* **and**
resolve: *resolve* *S T* **and**
inv: *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv* *S*
shows $\neg \text{backtrack } U V$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *if-can-apply-backtrack-skip-or-resolve-is-skip*:
assumes
bt: *backtrack* *S T* **and**
skip: *skip-or-resolve*** *S U* **and**
inv: *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv* *S*
shows *skip*** *S U*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *cdcl_W-bj-bj-decomp*:

assumes *cdcl_W-bj** S W* **and** *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv S*

shows

$(\exists T U V. (\lambda S T. \text{skip-or-resolve } S T \wedge \text{no-step backtrack } S)^{**} S T$
 $\wedge (\lambda T U. \text{resolve } T U \wedge \text{no-step backtrack } T) T U$
 $\wedge \text{skip}^{**} U V \wedge \text{backtrack } V W)$
 $\vee (\exists T U. (\lambda S T. \text{skip-or-resolve } S T \wedge \text{no-step backtrack } S)^{**} S T$
 $\wedge (\lambda T U. \text{resolve } T U \wedge \text{no-step backtrack } T) T U \wedge \text{skip}^{**} U W)$
 $\vee (\exists T. \text{skip}^{**} S T \wedge \text{backtrack } T W)$
 $\vee \text{skip}^{**} S W$ (**is** *?RB S W* \vee *?R S W* \vee *?SB S W* \vee *?S S W*)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The case distinction is needed, since $T \sim V$ does not imply that $R^{**} T V$.

lemma *cdcl_W-bj-strongly-confluent*:

assumes

*cdcl_W-bj** S V* **and**

*cdcl_W-bj** S T* **and**

n-s: no-step cdcl_W-bj V **and**

inv: cdcl_W-all-struct-inv S

shows $T \sim V \vee \text{cdcl}_W\text{-bj}^{**} T V$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-bj-unique-normal-form*:

assumes

*ST: cdcl_W-bj** S T* **and** *SU: cdcl_W-bj** S U* **and**

n-s-U: no-step cdcl_W-bj U **and**

n-s-T: no-step cdcl_W-bj T **and**

inv: cdcl_W-all-struct-inv S

shows $T \sim U$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *full-cdcl_W-bj-unique-normal-form*:

assumes *full cdcl_W-bj S T* **and** *full cdcl_W-bj S U* **and**

inv: cdcl_W-all-struct-inv S

shows $T \sim U$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

3.2.3 CDCL with Merging

inductive *cdcl_W-merge-restart* :: *'st* \Rightarrow *'st* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**

fw-r-propagate: propagate S S' \Rightarrow cdcl_W-merge-restart S S' |

fw-r-conflict: conflict S T \Rightarrow full cdcl_W-bj T U \Rightarrow cdcl_W-merge-restart S U |

fw-r-decide: decide S S' \Rightarrow cdcl_W-merge-restart S S' |

fw-r-rf: cdcl_W-rf S S' \Rightarrow cdcl_W-merge-restart S S'

lemma *rtrancp-cdcl_W-bj-rtrancp-cdcl_W*:

*cdcl_W-bj** S T \Rightarrow cdcl_W** S T*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-merge-restart-cdcl_W*:

assumes *cdcl_W-merge-restart S T*

shows *cdcl_W** S T*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-merge-restart-conflicting-true-or-no-step*:

assumes $cdcl_W\text{-merge-restart } S \ T$
shows $conflicting \ T = None \vee no\text{-step } cdcl_W \ T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

inductive $cdcl_W\text{-merge} :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $fw\text{-propagate}: propagate \ S \ S' \Longrightarrow cdcl_W\text{-merge } S \ S' \mid$
 $fw\text{-conflict}: conflict \ S \ T \Longrightarrow full \ cdcl_W\text{-bj } T \ U \Longrightarrow cdcl_W\text{-merge } S \ U \mid$
 $fw\text{-decide}: decide \ S \ S' \Longrightarrow cdcl_W\text{-merge } S \ S' \mid$
 $fw\text{-forget}: forget \ S \ S' \Longrightarrow cdcl_W\text{-merge } S \ S'$

lemma $cdcl_W\text{-merge-cdcl}_W\text{-merge-restart}$:
 $cdcl_W\text{-merge } S \ T \Longrightarrow cdcl_W\text{-merge-restart } S \ T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rtranclp\text{-cdcl}_W\text{-merge-tranclp-cdcl}_W\text{-merge-restart}$:
 $cdcl_W\text{-merge}^{**} \ S \ T \Longrightarrow cdcl_W\text{-merge-restart}^{**} \ S \ T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cdcl_W\text{-merge-rtranclp-cdcl}_W$:
 $cdcl_W\text{-merge } S \ T \Longrightarrow cdcl_W^{**} \ S \ T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rtranclp\text{-cdcl}_W\text{-merge-rtranclp-cdcl}_W$:
 $cdcl_W\text{-merge}^{**} \ S \ T \Longrightarrow cdcl_W^{**} \ S \ T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas $rulesE =$
 $skipE \ resolveE \ backtrackE \ propagateE \ conflictE \ decideE \ restartE \ forgetE$

lemma $cdcl_W\text{-all-struct-inv-tranclp-cdcl}_W\text{-merge-tranclp-cdcl}_W\text{-merge-cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv}$:
assumes
 $inv: cdcl_W\text{-all-struct-inv } b$
 $cdcl_W\text{-merge}^{++} \ b \ a$
shows $(\lambda S \ T. cdcl_W\text{-all-struct-inv } S \ \wedge \ cdcl_W\text{-merge } S \ T)^{++} \ b \ a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $backtrack\text{-is-full1-cdcl}_W\text{-bj}$:
assumes $bt: backtrack \ S \ T$ **and** $inv: cdcl_W\text{-M-level-inv } S$
shows $full1 \ cdcl_W\text{-bj } S \ T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rtrancl\text{-cdcl}_W\text{-conflicting-true-cdcl}_W\text{-merge-restart}$:
assumes $cdcl_W^{**} \ S \ V$ **and** $inv: cdcl_W\text{-M-level-inv } S$ **and** $conflicting \ S = None$
shows $(cdcl_W\text{-merge-restart}^{**} \ S \ V \ \wedge \ conflicting \ V = None)$
 $\vee (\exists \ T \ U. cdcl_W\text{-merge-restart}^{**} \ S \ T \ \wedge \ conflicting \ V \neq None \ \wedge \ conflict \ T \ U \ \wedge \ cdcl_W\text{-bj}^{**} \ U \ V)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $no\text{-step-cdcl}_W\text{-no-step-cdcl}_W\text{-merge-restart}$: $no\text{-step } cdcl_W \ S \Longrightarrow no\text{-step } cdcl_W\text{-merge-restart } S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $no\text{-step-cdcl}_W\text{-merge-restart-no-step-cdcl}_W$:
assumes
 $conflicting \ S = None$ **and**
 $cdcl_W\text{-M-level-inv } S$ **and**
 $no\text{-step } cdcl_W\text{-merge-restart } S$

shows *no-step* $cdcl_W$ S
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-merge-restart-no-step-cdcl_W-bj*:
assumes
cdcl_W-merge-restart S T
shows *no-step* $cdcl_W$ -*bj* T
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtrancp-cdcl_W-merge-restart-no-step-cdcl_W-bj*:
assumes
*cdcl_W-merge-restart*** S T **and**
conflicting $S = \text{None}$
shows *no-step* $cdcl_W$ -*bj* T
 $\langle proof \rangle$

If *conflicting* $S \neq \text{None}$, we cannot say anything.

Remark that this theorem does not say anything about well-foundedness: even if you know that one relation is well-founded, it only states that the normal forms are shared.

lemma *conflicting-true-full-cdcl_W-iff-full-cdcl_W-merge*:
assumes *conf*: *conflicting* $S = \text{None}$ **and** *lev*: *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* S
shows *full* $cdcl_W$ S $V \iff$ *full* $cdcl_W$ -*merge-restart* S V
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *init-state-true-full-cdcl_W-iff-full-cdcl_W-merge*:
shows *full* $cdcl_W$ (*init-state* N) $V \iff$ *full* $cdcl_W$ -*merge-restart* (*init-state* N) V
 $\langle proof \rangle$

3.2.4 CDCL with Merge and Strategy

The intermediate step

inductive *cdcl_W-s'* :: *'st* \Rightarrow *'st* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
conflict': *full1* $cdcl_W$ -*cp* S $S' \implies cdcl_W$ -*s'* S $S' \mid$
decide': *decide* S $S' \implies no\text{-}step$ $cdcl_W$ -*cp* $S \implies full$ $cdcl_W$ -*cp* $S' S'' \implies cdcl_W$ -*s'* S $S'' \mid$
bj': *full1* $cdcl_W$ -*bj* S $S' \implies no\text{-}step$ $cdcl_W$ -*cp* $S \implies full$ $cdcl_W$ -*cp* $S' S'' \implies cdcl_W$ -*s'* S S''

inductive-cases *cdcl_W-s'E*: *cdcl_W-s'* S T

lemma *rtrancp-cdcl_W-bj-full1-cdclp-cdcl_W-stgy*:
*cdcl_W-bj*** S $S' \implies full$ $cdcl_W$ -*cp* $S' S'' \implies cdcl_W$ -*stgy*** S S''
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-s'-is-rtrancp-cdcl_W-stgy*:
cdcl_W-s' S $T \implies cdcl_W$ -*stgy*** S T
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-cp-cdcl_W-bj-bissimulation*:
assumes
full $cdcl_W$ -*cp* T U **and**
*cdcl_W-bj*** T T' **and**
cdcl_W-all-struct-inv T **and**
no-step $cdcl_W$ -*bj* T'
shows *full* $cdcl_W$ -*cp* T' U
 $\vee (\exists U' U''. \text{full } cdcl_W\text{-cp } T' U'' \wedge \text{full1 } cdcl_W\text{-bj } U U' \wedge \text{full } cdcl_W\text{-cp } U' U''$
 $\wedge cdcl_W\text{-s}^{**} U U'')$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-cp-cdcl}_W\text{-bj-bissimulation'}$:

assumes

$\text{full cdcl}_W\text{-cp } T \ U \text{ and}$

$\text{cdcl}_W\text{-bj}^{**} \ T \ T' \text{ and}$

$\text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } T \text{ and}$

$\text{no-step cdcl}_W\text{-bj } T'$

shows $\text{full cdcl}_W\text{-cp } T' \ U$

$\vee (\exists U'. \text{full1 cdcl}_W\text{-bj } U \ U' \wedge (\forall U''. \text{full cdcl}_W\text{-cp } U' \ U'' \longrightarrow \text{full cdcl}_W\text{-cp } T' \ U''$
 $\wedge \text{cdcl}_W\text{-s}^{l**} \ U \ U''))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy-cdcl}_W\text{-s'-connected}$:

assumes $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy } S \ U \text{ and } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } S$

shows $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-s}' \ S \ U$

$\vee (\exists U'. \text{full1 cdcl}_W\text{-bj } U \ U' \wedge (\forall U''. \text{full cdcl}_W\text{-cp } U' \ U'' \longrightarrow \text{cdcl}_W\text{-s}' \ S \ U''))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy-cdcl}_W\text{-s'-connected'}$:

assumes $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy } S \ U \text{ and } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } S$

shows $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-s}' \ S \ U$

$\vee (\exists U' \ U''. \text{cdcl}_W\text{-s}' \ S \ U'' \wedge \text{full1 cdcl}_W\text{-bj } U \ U' \wedge \text{full cdcl}_W\text{-cp } U' \ U'')$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy-cdcl}_W\text{-s'-no-step}$:

assumes $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy } S \ U \text{ and } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } S \text{ and } \text{no-step cdcl}_W\text{-bj } U$

shows $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-s}' \ S \ U$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{rtrancpl-cdcl}_W\text{-stgy-connected-to-rtrancpl-cdcl}_W\text{-s'}$:

assumes $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy}^{**} \ S \ U \text{ and } \text{inv: cdcl}_W\text{-M-level-inv } S$

shows $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-s}^{l**} \ S \ U \vee (\exists T. \text{cdcl}_W\text{-s}^{l**} \ S \ T \wedge \text{cdcl}_W\text{-bj}^{++} \ T \ U \wedge \text{conflicting } U \neq \text{None})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{n-step-cdcl}_W\text{-stgy-iff-no-step-cdcl}_W\text{-cl-cdcl}_W\text{-o}$:

assumes $\text{inv: cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } S$

shows $\text{no-step cdcl}_W\text{-s}' \ S \longleftrightarrow \text{no-step cdcl}_W\text{-cp } S \wedge \text{no-step cdcl}_W\text{-o } S \text{ (is } ?S' \ S \longleftrightarrow ?C \ S \wedge ?O \ S)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-s'-trancpl-cdcl}_W$:

$\text{cdcl}_W\text{-s}' \ S \ S' \implies \text{cdcl}_W^{++} \ S \ S'$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{trancpl-cdcl}_W\text{-s'-trancpl-cdcl}_W$:

$\text{cdcl}_W\text{-s}^{l++} \ S \ S' \implies \text{cdcl}_W^{++} \ S \ S'$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{rtrancpl-cdcl}_W\text{-s'-rtrancpl-cdcl}_W$:

$\text{cdcl}_W\text{-s}^{l**} \ S \ S' \implies \text{cdcl}_W^{**} \ S \ S'$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{full-cdcl}_W\text{-stgy-iff-full-cdcl}_W\text{-s'}$:

assumes $\text{inv: cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } S$

shows $\text{full cdcl}_W\text{-stgy } S \ T \longleftrightarrow \text{full cdcl}_W\text{-s}' \ S \ T \text{ (is } ?S \longleftrightarrow ?S')$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *conflict-step-cdcl_W-stgy-step*:

assumes

conflict S T

cdcl_W-all-struct-inv S

shows $\exists T. \text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy } S \ T$

<proof>

lemma *decide-step-cdcl_W-stgy-step*:

assumes

decide S T

cdcl_W-all-struct-inv S

shows $\exists T. \text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy } S \ T$

<proof>

lemma *rtranclp-cdcl_W-cp-conflicting-Some*:

*cdcl_W-cp^{**} S T \implies conflicting S = Some D \implies S = T*

<proof>

inductive *cdcl_W-merge-cp* :: '*st* \Rightarrow '*st* \Rightarrow bool **for** *S* :: '*st* **where**

conflict': *conflict S T \implies full cdcl_W-bj T U \implies cdcl_W-merge-cp S U* |

propagate': *propagate⁺⁺ S S' \implies cdcl_W-merge-cp S S'*

lemma *cdcl_W-merge-restart-cases*[consumes 1, case-names *conflict propagate*]:

assumes

cdcl_W-merge-cp S U **and**

$\bigwedge T. \text{conflict } S \ T \implies \text{full } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-bj } T \ U \implies P$ **and**

propagate⁺⁺ S U \implies P

shows *P*

<proof>

lemma *cdcl_W-merge-cp-rtranclp-cdcl_W-merge*:

cdcl_W-merge-cp S T \implies cdcl_W-merge⁺⁺ S T

<proof>

lemma *rtranclp-cdcl_W-merge-cp-rtranclp-cdcl_W*:

*cdcl_W-merge-cp^{**} S T \implies cdcl_W^{**} S T*

<proof>

lemma *full1-cdcl_W-bj-no-step-cdcl_W-bj*:

full1 cdcl_W-bj S T \implies no-step cdcl_W-cp S

<proof>

Full Transformation

inductive *cdcl_W-s'-without-decide* **where**

conflict'-without-decide[intro]: *full1 cdcl_W-cp S S' \implies cdcl_W-s'-without-decide S S'* |

bj'-without-decide[intro]: *full1 cdcl_W-bj S S' \implies no-step cdcl_W-cp S \implies full cdcl_W-cp S' S'' \implies cdcl_W-s'-without-decide S S''*

lemma *rtranclp-cdcl_W-s'-without-decide-rtranclp-cdcl_W*:

*cdcl_W-s'-without-decide^{**} S T \implies cdcl_W^{**} S T*

<proof>

lemma *rtranclp-cdcl_W-s'-without-decide-rtranclp-cdcl_W-s'*:

*cdcl_W-s'-without-decide^{**} S T \implies cdcl_W-s'^{**} S T*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_W-merge-cp-is-rtrancpl-cdcl_W-s'-without-decide:*

assumes

*cdcl_W-merge-cp** S V*

conflicting S = None

shows

*(cdcl_W-s'-without-decide** S V)*

$\vee (\exists T. \text{cdcl}_W\text{-s'-without-decide}^{**} S T \wedge \text{propagate}^{++} T V)$

$\vee (\exists T U. \text{cdcl}_W\text{-s'-without-decide}^{**} S T \wedge \text{full1 cdcl}_W\text{-bj } T U \wedge \text{propagate}^{**} U V)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_W-s'-without-decide-is-rtrancpl-cdcl_W-merge-cp:*

assumes

*cdcl_W-s'-without-decide** S V and*

confl: conflicting S = None

shows

*(cdcl_W-merge-cp** S V \wedge conflicting V = None)*

$\vee (\text{cdcl}_W\text{-merge-cp}^{**} S V \wedge \text{conflicting } V \neq \text{None} \wedge \text{no-step cdcl}_W\text{-cp } V \wedge \text{no-step cdcl}_W\text{-bj } V)$

$\vee (\exists T. \text{cdcl}_W\text{-merge-cp}^{**} S T \wedge \text{conflict } T V)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *no-step-cdcl_W-s'-no-ste-cdcl_W-merge-cp:*

assumes

cdcl_W-all-struct-inv S

conflicting S = None

no-step cdcl_W-s' S

shows *no-step cdcl_W-merge-cp S*

$\langle proof \rangle$

The *no-step decide S* is needed, since *cdcl_W-merge-cp* is *cdcl_W-s'* without *decide*.

lemma *conflicting-true-no-step-cdcl_W-merge-cp-no-step-s'-without-decide:*

assumes

confl: conflicting S = None and

inv: cdcl_W-M-level-inv S and

n-s: no-step cdcl_W-merge-cp S

shows *no-step cdcl_W-s'-without-decide S*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conflicting-true-no-step-s'-without-decide-no-step-cdcl_W-merge-cp:*

assumes

inv: cdcl_W-all-struct-inv S and

n-s: no-step cdcl_W-s'-without-decide S

shows *no-step cdcl_W-merge-cp S*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *no-step-cdcl_W-merge-cp-no-step-cdcl_W-cp:*

no-step cdcl_W-merge-cp S \implies cdcl_W-M-level-inv S \implies no-step cdcl_W-cp S

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conflicting-not-true-rtrancpl-cdcl_W-merge-cp-no-step-cdcl_W-bj:*

assumes

conflicting S = None and

*cdcl_W-merge-cp** S T*

shows *no-step cdcl_W-bj T*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conflicting-true-full-cdcl_W-merge-cp-iff-full-cdcl_W-s'-without-decode:*
assumes
conf: *conflicting S = None* **and**
inv: *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv S*
shows
full cdcl_W-merge-cp S V \longleftrightarrow *full cdcl_W-s'-without-decode S V* (**is** *?fw* \longleftrightarrow *?s'*)
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *conflicting-true-full1-cdcl_W-merge-cp-iff-full1-cdcl_W-s'-without-decode:*
assumes
conf: *conflicting S = None* **and**
inv: *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv S*
shows
full1 cdcl_W-merge-cp S V \longleftrightarrow *full1 cdcl_W-s'-without-decode S V*
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *conflicting-true-full1-cdcl_W-merge-cp-imp-full1-cdcl_W-s'-without-decode:*
assumes
fw: *full1 cdcl_W-merge-cp S V* **and**
inv: *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv S*
shows
full1 cdcl_W-s'-without-decode S V
 \langle *proof* \rangle

inductive *cdcl_W-merge-stgy* **for** *S :: 'st* **where**
fw-s-cp[*intro*]: *full1 cdcl_W-merge-cp S T* \implies *cdcl_W-merge-stgy S T* |
fw-s-decide[*intro*]: *decide S T* \implies *no-step cdcl_W-merge-cp S* \implies *full cdcl_W-merge-cp T U*
 \implies *cdcl_W-merge-stgy S U*

lemma *cdcl_W-merge-stgy-tranclp-cdcl_W-merge:*
assumes *fw*: *cdcl_W-merge-stgy S T*
shows *cdcl_W-merge⁺⁺ S T*
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *rtranclp-cdcl_W-merge-stgy-rtranclp-cdcl_W-merge:*
assumes *fw*: *cdcl_W-merge-stgy^{**} S T*
shows *cdcl_W-merge^{**} S T*
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *cdcl_W-merge-stgy-rtranclp-cdcl_W:*
cdcl_W-merge-stgy S T \implies *cdcl_W^{**} S T*
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *rtranclp-cdcl_W-merge-stgy-rtranclp-cdcl_W:*
*cdcl_W-merge-stgy^{**} S T* \implies *cdcl_W^{**} S T*
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *cdcl_W-merge-stgy-cases*[*consumes 1*, *case-names fw-s-cp fw-s-decide*]:
assumes
cdcl_W-merge-stgy S U
full1 cdcl_W-merge-cp S U \implies *P*
 $\bigwedge T.$ *decide S T* \implies *no-step cdcl_W-merge-cp S* \implies *full cdcl_W-merge-cp T U* \implies *P*
shows *P*
 \langle *proof* \rangle

inductive $cdcl_W-s'-w :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool$ **where**

conflict': $full1\ cdcl_W-s'-without-decide\ S\ S' \Longrightarrow cdcl_W-s'-w\ S\ S' \mid$

decide': $decide\ S\ S' \Longrightarrow no-step\ cdcl_W-s'-without-decide\ S \Longrightarrow full\ cdcl_W-s'-without-decide\ S'\ S'' \Longrightarrow cdcl_W-s'-w\ S\ S''$

lemma $cdcl_W-s'-w-rtrancpl-cdcl_W$:

$cdcl_W-s'-w\ S\ T \Longrightarrow cdcl_W^{**}\ S\ T$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rtrancpl-cdcl_W-s'-w-rtrancpl-cdcl_W$:

$cdcl_W-s'-w^{**}\ S\ T \Longrightarrow cdcl_W^{**}\ S\ T$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $no-step-cdcl_W-cp-no-step-cdcl_W-s'-without-decide$:

assumes $no-step\ cdcl_W-cp\ S$ **and** $conflicting\ S = None$ **and** inv : $cdcl_W-M-level-inv\ S$

shows $no-step\ cdcl_W-s'-without-decide\ S$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $no-step-cdcl_W-cp-no-step-cdcl_W-merge-restart$:

assumes $no-step\ cdcl_W-cp\ S$ **and** $conflicting\ S = None$

shows $no-step\ cdcl_W-merge-cp\ S$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $after-cdcl_W-s'-without-decide-no-step-cdcl_W-cp$:

assumes $cdcl_W-s'-without-decide\ S\ T$

shows $no-step\ cdcl_W-cp\ T$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $no-step-cdcl_W-s'-without-decide-no-step-cdcl_W-cp$:

$cdcl_W-all-struct-inv\ S \Longrightarrow no-step\ cdcl_W-s'-without-decide\ S \Longrightarrow no-step\ cdcl_W-cp\ S$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $after-cdcl_W-s'-w-no-step-cdcl_W-cp$:

assumes $cdcl_W-s'-w\ S\ T$ **and** $cdcl_W-all-struct-inv\ S$

shows $no-step\ cdcl_W-cp\ T$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rtrancpl-cdcl_W-s'-w-no-step-cdcl_W-cp-or-eq$:

assumes $cdcl_W-s'-w^{**}\ S\ T$ **and** $cdcl_W-all-struct-inv\ S$

shows $S = T \vee no-step\ cdcl_W-cp\ T$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rtrancpl-cdcl_W-merge-stgy'-no-step-cdcl_W-cp-or-eq$:

assumes $cdcl_W-merge-stgy^{**}\ S\ T$ **and** inv : $cdcl_W-all-struct-inv\ S$

shows $S = T \vee no-step\ cdcl_W-cp\ T$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $no-step-cdcl_W-s'-without-decide-no-step-cdcl_W-bj$:

assumes $no-step\ cdcl_W-s'-without-decide\ S$ **and** inv : $cdcl_W-all-struct-inv\ S$

shows $no-step\ cdcl_W-bj\ S$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cdcl_W-s'-w-no-step-cdcl_W-bj$:

assumes $cdcl_W-s'-w\ S\ T$ **and** $cdcl_W-all-struct-inv\ S$

shows $no-step\ cdcl_W-bj\ T$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtrancp-cdcl_W-s'-w-no-step-cdcl_W-bj-or-eq*:
assumes *cdcl_W-s'-w** S T and cdcl_W-all-struct-inv S*
shows *S = T ∨ no-step cdcl_W-bj T*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *rtrancp-cdcl_W-s'-no-step-cdcl_W-s'-without-decide-decomp-into-cdcl_W-merge*:
assumes
 *cdcl_W-s'** R V and*
 conflicting R = None and
 inv: cdcl_W-all-struct-inv R
shows (*cdcl_W-merge-stgy** R V ∧ conflicting V = None*)
 ∨ (*cdcl_W-merge-stgy** R V ∧ conflicting V ≠ None ∧ no-step cdcl_W-bj V*)
 ∨ (*∃ S T U. cdcl_W-merge-stgy** R S ∧ no-step cdcl_W-merge-cp S ∧ decide S T*
 *∧ cdcl_W-merge-cp** T U ∧ conflict U V*)
 ∨ (*∃ S T. cdcl_W-merge-stgy** R S ∧ no-step cdcl_W-merge-cp S ∧ decide S T*
 *∧ cdcl_W-merge-cp** T V*
 ∧ conflicting V = None)
 ∨ (*cdcl_W-merge-cp** R V ∧ conflicting V = None*)
 ∨ (*∃ U. cdcl_W-merge-cp** R U ∧ conflict U V*)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *decide-rtrancp-cdcl_W-s'-rtrancp-cdcl_W-s'*:
assumes
 dec: decide S T and
 *cdcl_W-s'** T U and*
 n-s-S: no-step cdcl_W-cp S and
 no-step cdcl_W-cp U
shows *cdcl_W-s'** S U*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *rtrancp-cdcl_W-merge-stgy-rtrancp-cdcl_W-s'*:
assumes
 *cdcl_W-merge-stgy** R V and*
 inv: cdcl_W-all-struct-inv R
shows *cdcl_W-s'** R V*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *rtrancp-cdcl_W-merge-stgy-distinct-mset-clauses*:
assumes *invR: cdcl_W-all-struct-inv R and*
*st: cdcl_W-merge-stgy** R S and*
dist: distinct-mset (clauses R) and
R: trail R = []
shows *distinct-mset (clauses S)*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *no-step-cdcl_W-s'-no-step-cdcl_W-merge-stgy*:
assumes
 inv: cdcl_W-all-struct-inv R and s': no-step cdcl_W-s' R
shows *no-step cdcl_W-merge-stgy R*
 ⟨proof⟩
end

Termination and full Equivalence

We will discharge the assumption later using NOT's proof of termination.

```

locale conflict-driven-clause-learningW-termination =
  conflict-driven-clause-learningW +
  assumes wf-cdclW-merge-inv: wf  $\{(T, S). \text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } S \wedge \text{cdcl}_W\text{-merge } S \ T\}$ 
begin

lemma wf-tranclp-cdclW-merge: wf  $\{(T, S). \text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } S \wedge \text{cdcl}_W\text{-merge}^{++} S \ T\}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma wf-cdclW-merge-cp:
  wf  $\{(T, S). \text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } S \wedge \text{cdcl}_W\text{-merge-cp } S \ T\}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma wf-cdclW-merge-stgy:
  wf  $\{(T, S). \text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } S \wedge \text{cdcl}_W\text{-merge-stgy } S \ T\}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma cdclW-merge-cp-obtain-normal-form:
  assumes inv: cdclW-all-struct-inv R
  obtains S where full cdclW-merge-cp R S
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma no-step-cdclW-merge-stgy-no-step-cdclW-s':
  assumes
    inv: cdclW-all-struct-inv R and
    confl: conflicting R = None and
    n-s: no-step cdclW-merge-stgy R
  shows no-step cdclW-s' R
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma rtranclp-cdclW-merge-cp-no-step-cdclW-bj:
  assumes conflicting R = None and cdclW-merge-cp** R S
  shows no-step cdclW-bj S
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma rtranclp-cdclW-merge-stgy-no-step-cdclW-bj:
  assumes confl: conflicting R = None and cdclW-merge-stgy** R S
  shows no-step cdclW-bj S
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

end

end
theory CDCL-WNOT
imports CDCL-NOT CDCL-W-Termination CDCL-W-Merge
begin

```

3.3 Link between Weidenbach's and NOT's CDCL

3.3.1 Inclusion of the states

```

declare upt.simps(2)[simp del]

fun convert-ann-lit-from-W where
  convert-ann-lit-from-W (Propagated L -) = Propagated L () |
  convert-ann-lit-from-W (Decided L) = Decided L

```

abbreviation *convert-trail-from-W* ::
 ('v, 'mark) ann-lits
 \Rightarrow ('v, unit) ann-lits **where**
convert-trail-from-W \equiv map *convert-ann-lit-from-W*

lemma *lits-of-l-convert-trail-from-W[simp]*:
lits-of-l (*convert-trail-from-W* M) = *lits-of-l* M
 <proof>

lemma *lit-of-convert-trail-from-W[simp]*:
lit-of (*convert-ann-lit-from-W* L) = *lit-of* L
 <proof>

lemma *no-dup-convert-from-W[simp]*:
no-dup (*convert-trail-from-W* M) \longleftrightarrow *no-dup* M
 <proof>

lemma *convert-trail-from-W-true-annots[simp]*:
convert-trail-from-W M \models_{as} C \longleftrightarrow M \models_{as} C
 <proof>

lemma *defined-lit-convert-trail-from-W[simp]*:
defined-lit (*convert-trail-from-W* S) L \longleftrightarrow *defined-lit* S L
 <proof>

The values 0 and {#} are dummy values.

consts *dummy-cl*s :: 'cls
fun *convert-ann-lit-from-NOT*
 :: ('v, 'mark) ann-lit \Rightarrow ('v, 'cls) ann-lit **where**
convert-ann-lit-from-NOT (Propagated L -) = Propagated L *dummy-cl*s |
convert-ann-lit-from-NOT (Decided L) = Decided L

abbreviation *convert-trail-from-NOT* **where**
convert-trail-from-NOT \equiv map *convert-ann-lit-from-NOT*

lemma *undefined-lit-convert-trail-from-NOT[simp]*:
undefined-lit (*convert-trail-from-NOT* F) L \longleftrightarrow *undefined-lit* F L
 <proof>

lemma *lits-of-l-convert-trail-from-NOT*:
lits-of-l (*convert-trail-from-NOT* F) = *lits-of-l* F
 <proof>

lemma *convert-trail-from-W-from-NOT[simp]*:
convert-trail-from-W (*convert-trail-from-NOT* M) = M
 <proof>

lemma *convert-trail-from-W-convert-lit-from-NOT[simp]*:
convert-ann-lit-from-W (*convert-ann-lit-from-NOT* L) = L
 <proof>

abbreviation *trail_{NOT}* **where**
trail_{NOT} S \equiv *convert-trail-from-W* (fst S)

lemma *undefined-lit-convert-trail-from-W[iff]*:

undefined-lit (*convert-trail-from-W* *M*) *L* \longleftrightarrow *undefined-lit* *M* *L*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *lit-of-convert-ann-lit-from-NOT*[*iff*]:
lit-of (*convert-ann-lit-from-NOT* *L*) = *lit-of* *L*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

sublocale *state_W* \subseteq *dpll-state-ops*
 $\lambda S.$ *convert-trail-from-W* (*trail* *S*)
clauses
 $\lambda L S.$ *cons-trail* (*convert-ann-lit-from-NOT* *L*) *S*
 $\lambda S.$ *tl-trail* *S*
 $\lambda C S.$ *add-learned-cls* *C* *S*
 $\lambda C S.$ *remove-cls* *C* *S*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

sublocale *state_W* \subseteq *dpll-state*
 $\lambda S.$ *convert-trail-from-W* (*trail* *S*)
clauses
 $\lambda L S.$ *cons-trail* (*convert-ann-lit-from-NOT* *L*) *S*
 $\lambda S.$ *tl-trail* *S*
 $\lambda C S.$ *add-learned-cls* *C* *S*
 $\lambda C S.$ *remove-cls* *C* *S*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

context *state_W*
begin
declare *state-simp_{NOT}*[*simp del*]
end

sublocale *conflict-driven-clause-learning_W* \subseteq *cdcl_{NOT}-merge-bj-learn-ops*
 $\lambda S.$ *convert-trail-from-W* (*trail* *S*)
clauses
 $\lambda L S.$ *cons-trail* (*convert-ann-lit-from-NOT* *L*) *S*
 $\lambda S.$ *tl-trail* *S*
 $\lambda C S.$ *add-learned-cls* *C* *S*
 $\lambda C S.$ *remove-cls* *C* *S*
 $\lambda -.$ *True*
 $\lambda - S.$ *conflicting* *S* = *None*
 $\lambda C C' L' S T.$ *backjump-l-cond* *C* *C'* *L'* *S* *T*
 \wedge *distinct-mset* (*C'* + {*#L'#*}) \wedge \neg *tautology* (*C'* + {*#L'#*})
 ⟨*proof*⟩

thm *cdcl_{NOT}-merge-bj-learn-proxy.axioms*

sublocale *conflict-driven-clause-learning_W* \subseteq *cdcl_{NOT}-merge-bj-learn-proxy*
 $\lambda S.$ *convert-trail-from-W* (*trail* *S*)
clauses
 $\lambda L S.$ *cons-trail* (*convert-ann-lit-from-NOT* *L*) *S*
 $\lambda S.$ *tl-trail* *S*
 $\lambda C S.$ *add-learned-cls* *C* *S*
 $\lambda C S.$ *remove-cls* *C* *S*

 $\lambda -.$ *True*
 $\lambda - S.$ *conflicting* *S* = *None*
backjump-l-cond
inv_{NOT}

$\langle proof \rangle$

sublocale *conflict-driven-clause-learning*_W \subseteq *cdcl*_{NOT}-merge-bj-learn-proxy2

$\lambda S. \text{convert-trail-from-} W \text{ (trail } S)$

clauses

$\lambda L S. \text{cons-trail (convert-ann-lit-from-NOT } L) S$

$\lambda S. \text{tl-trail } S$

$\lambda C S. \text{add-learned-cls } C S$

$\lambda C S. \text{remove-cls } C S$

$\lambda - -. \text{True}$

$\lambda - S. \text{conflicting } S = \text{None backjump-l-cond inv}_{NOT}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

sublocale *conflict-driven-clause-learning*_W \subseteq *cdcl*_{NOT}-merge-bj-learn

$\lambda S. \text{convert-trail-from-} W \text{ (trail } S)$

clauses

$\lambda L S. \text{cons-trail (convert-ann-lit-from-NOT } L) S$

$\lambda S. \text{tl-trail } S$

$\lambda C S. \text{add-learned-cls } C S$

$\lambda C S. \text{remove-cls } C S$

backjump-l-cond

$\lambda - -. \text{True}$

$\lambda - S. \text{conflicting } S = \text{None inv}_{NOT}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

context *conflict-driven-clause-learning*_W

begin

Notations are lost while proving locale inclusion:

notation *state-eq*_{NOT} (**infix** \sim_{NOT} 50)

3.3.2 Additional Lemmas between NOT and W states

lemma *trail*_W-eq-reduce-trail-to_{NOT}-eq:

$\text{trail } S = \text{trail } T \implies \text{trail (reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} F S) = \text{trail (reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} F T)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *trail-reduce-trail-to*_{NOT}-add-learned-cls:

no-dup (*trail* *S*) \implies

$\text{trail (reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} M (\text{add-learned-cls } D S)) = \text{trail (reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} M S)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *reduce-trail-to*_{NOT}-reduce-trail-convert:

$\text{reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} C S = \text{reduce-trail-to (convert-trail-from-NOT } C) S$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *reduce-trail-to-map*[simp]:

$\text{reduce-trail-to (map } f M) S = \text{reduce-trail-to } M S$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *reduce-trail-to*_{NOT}-map[simp]:

$\text{reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} (\text{map } f M) S = \text{reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} M S$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *skip-or-resolve-state-change*:

assumes *skip-or-resolve*^{**} *S T*

shows

$\exists M. \text{trail } S = M @ \text{trail } T \wedge (\forall m \in \text{set } M. \neg \text{is-decided } m)$

$\text{clauses } S = \text{clauses } T$

$\text{backtrack-lvl } S = \text{backtrack-lvl } T$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

3.3.3 Inclusion of Weidenbach's CDCL in NOT's CDCL

This lemma shows the inclusion of Weidenbach's CDCL *cdcl_W-merge* (with merging) in NOT's *cdcl_{NOT}-merged-bj-learn*.

lemma *cdcl_W-merge-is-cdcl_{NOT}-merged-bj-learn*:

assumes

inv: *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv* *S* **and**

cdcl_W: *cdcl_W-merge* *S* *T*

shows *cdcl_{NOT}-merged-bj-learn* *S* *T*

$\vee (\text{no-step } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-merge } T \wedge \text{conflicting } T \neq \text{None})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

abbreviation *cdcl_{NOT}-restart* **where**

cdcl_{NOT}-restart $\equiv \text{restart-ops.cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-raw-restart } \text{cdcl}_{NOT} \text{ restart}$

lemma *cdcl_W-merge-restart-is-cdcl_{NOT}-merged-bj-learn-restart-no-step*:

assumes

inv: *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv* *S* **and**

cdcl_W: *cdcl_W-merge-restart* *S* *T*

shows *cdcl_{NOT}-restart*^{**} *S* *T* $\vee (\text{no-step } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-merge } T \wedge \text{conflicting } T \neq \text{None})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

abbreviation $\mu_{FW} :: 'st \Rightarrow nat$ **where**

$\mu_{FW} S \equiv (\text{if no-step } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-merge } S \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } 1 + \mu_{CDCL}'\text{-merged } (\text{set-mset } (\text{init-clss } S)) S)$

lemma *cdcl_W-merge- μ_{FW} -decreasing*:

assumes

inv: *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv* *S* **and**

fw: *cdcl_W-merge* *S* *T*

shows $\mu_{FW} T < \mu_{FW} S$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *wf-cdcl_W-merge*: *wf* $\{(T, S). \text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } S \wedge \text{cdcl}_W\text{-merge } S T\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

sublocale *conflict-driven-clause-learning_W-termination*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

3.3.4 Correctness of *cdcl_W-merge-stgy*

lemma *full-cdcl_W-s'-full-cdcl_W-merge-restart*:

assumes

conflicting *R* = *None* **and**

inv: *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv* *R*

shows *full-cdcl_W-s'* *R* *V* \longleftrightarrow *full-cdcl_W-merge-stgy* *R* *V* (**is** $?s' \longleftrightarrow ?fw$)

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *full-cdcl_W-stgy-full-cdcl_W-merge*:

assumes


```

    conflicting R = None and
    cdclW-all-struct-inv R
shows full cdclW-stgy R V  $\longleftrightarrow$  full cdclW-merge-stgy R V
  <proof>

lemma full-cdclW-merge-stgy-final-state-conclusive':
  fixes S' :: 'st
  assumes
    full: full cdclW-merge-stgy (init-state N) S' and
    no-d: distinct-mset-mset N
  shows (conflicting S' = Some {#}  $\wedge$  unsatisfiable (set-mset N))
     $\vee$  (conflicting S' = None  $\wedge$  trail S'  $\models_{asm}$  N  $\wedge$  satisfiable (set-mset N))
  <proof>
end

end
theory CDCL-W-Incremental
imports CDCL-W-Termination
begin

```

3.4 Incremental SAT solving

```

locale stateW-adding-init-clause =
  stateW
  — functions about the state:
  — getter:
  trail init-clss learned-clss backtrack-lvl conflicting
  — setter:
  cons-trail tl-trail add-learned-cls remove-cls update-backtrack-lvl
  update-conflicting

  — Some specific states:
  init-state
for
  trail :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  ('v, 'v clause) ann-lits and
  init-clss :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  'v clauses and
  learned-clss :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  'v clauses and
  backtrack-lvl :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  nat and
  conflicting :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  'v clause option and

  cons-trail :: ('v, 'v clause) ann-lit  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st and
  tl-trail :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st and
  add-learned-cls :: 'v clause  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st and
  remove-cls :: 'v clause  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st and
  update-backtrack-lvl :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st and
  update-conflicting :: 'v clause option  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st and

  init-state :: 'v clauses  $\Rightarrow$  'st +
fixes
  add-init-cls :: 'v clause  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st
assumes
  add-init-cls:
    state st = (M, N, U, S')  $\Rightarrow$ 
    state (add-init-cls C st) = (M, {#C#} + N, U, S')
begin

```

lemma

trail-add-init-cls[simp]:
trail (*add-init-cls* *C st*) = *trail st* **and**
init-clss-add-init-cls[simp]:
init-clss (*add-init-cls* *C st*) = {#*C*#} + *init-clss st*
and
learned-clss-add-init-cls[simp]:
learned-clss (*add-init-cls* *C st*) = *learned-clss st* **and**
backtrack-lvl-add-init-cls[simp]:
backtrack-lvl (*add-init-cls* *C st*) = *backtrack-lvl st* **and**
conflicting-add-init-cls[simp]:
conflicting (*add-init-cls* *C st*) = *conflicting st*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *clauses-add-init-cls*[simp]:

clauses (*add-init-cls* *N S*) = {#*N*#} + *init-clss S* + *learned-clss S*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *reduce-trail-to-add-init-cls*[simp]:

trail (*reduce-trail-to* *F* (*add-init-cls* *C S*)) = *trail* (*reduce-trail-to* *F S*)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *conflicting-add-init-cls-iff-conflicting*[simp]:

conflicting (*add-init-cls* *C S*) = *None* \longleftrightarrow *conflicting S* = *None*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

end

locale *conflict-driven-clause-learning-with-adding-init-clause_W* =
state_W-adding-init-clause

— functions for the state:

— access functions:

trail init-clss learned-clss backtrack-lvl conflicting

— changing state:

cons-trail tl-trail add-learned-cls remove-cls update-backtrack-lvl
update-conflicting

— get state:

init-state

— Adding a clause:

add-init-cls

for

trail :: 'st \Rightarrow ('v, 'v clause) ann-lits **and**
hd-trail :: 'st \Rightarrow ('v, 'v clause) ann-lit **and**
init-clss :: 'st \Rightarrow 'v clauses **and**
learned-clss :: 'st \Rightarrow 'v clauses **and**
backtrack-lvl :: 'st \Rightarrow nat **and**
conflicting :: 'st \Rightarrow 'v clause option **and**

cons-trail :: ('v, 'v clause) ann-lit \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**

tl-trail :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**

add-learned-cls :: 'v clause \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**

remove-cls :: 'v clause \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**

update-backtrack-lvl :: nat \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**

update-conflicting :: 'v clause option \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**

$init-state :: 'v\ clauses \Rightarrow 'st$ **and**
 $add-init-cls :: 'v\ clause \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st$
begin

sublocale *conflict-driven-clause-learning_W*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

This invariant holds all the invariant related to the strategy. See the structural invariant in *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv*

definition *cdcl_W-stgy-invariant* **where**

$cdcl_W-stgy-invariant\ S \longleftrightarrow$
 $conflict-is-false-with-level\ S$
 $\wedge no-clause-is-false\ S$
 $\wedge no-smaller-confl\ S$
 $\wedge no-clause-is-false\ S$

lemma *cdcl_W-stgy-cdcl_W-stgy-invariant*:

assumes
 $cdcl_W: cdcl_W-stgy\ S\ T$ **and**
 $inv-s: cdcl_W-stgy-invariant\ S$ **and**
 $inv: cdcl_W-all-struct-inv\ S$
shows
 $cdcl_W-stgy-invariant\ T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtrancp-cdcl_W-stgy-cdcl_W-stgy-invariant*:

assumes
 $cdcl_W: cdcl_W-stgy^{**}\ S\ T$ **and**
 $inv-s: cdcl_W-stgy-invariant\ S$ **and**
 $inv: cdcl_W-all-struct-inv\ S$
shows
 $cdcl_W-stgy-invariant\ T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation *decr-bt-lvl* **where**

$decr-bt-lvl\ S \equiv update-backtrack-lvl\ (backtrack-lvl\ S - 1)\ S$

When we add a new clause, we reduce the trail until we get to the first literal included in C. Then we can mark the conflict.

fun *cut-trail-wrt-clause* **where**

$cut-trail-wrt-clause\ C\ []\ S = S\ |$
 $cut-trail-wrt-clause\ C\ (Decided\ L\ \# \ M)\ S =$
 $(if\ -L \in \# \ C\ then\ S$
 $\quad else\ cut-trail-wrt-clause\ C\ M\ (decr-bt-lvl\ (tl-trail\ S)))\ |$
 $cut-trail-wrt-clause\ C\ (Propagated\ L\ - \ \# \ M)\ S =$
 $(if\ -L \in \# \ C\ then\ S$
 $\quad else\ cut-trail-wrt-clause\ C\ M\ (tl-trail\ S))$

definition *add-new-clause-and-update* $:: 'v\ clause \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st$ **where**

$add-new-clause-and-update\ C\ S =$
 $(if\ trail\ S \models_{as} CNot\ C$
 $\quad then\ update-conflicting\ (Some\ C)\ (add-init-cls\ C$
 $\quad \quad (cut-trail-wrt-clause\ C\ (trail\ S)\ S))$
 $\quad else\ add-init-cls\ C\ S)$

thm *cut-trail-wrt-clause.induct*

lemma *init-clss-cut-trail-wrt-clause[simp]*:

init-clss (cut-trail-wrt-clause C M S) = init-clss S
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *learned-clss-cut-trail-wrt-clause[simp]*:

learned-clss (cut-trail-wrt-clause C M S) = learned-clss S
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *conflicting-clss-cut-trail-wrt-clause[simp]*:

conflicting (cut-trail-wrt-clause C M S) = conflicting S
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *trail-cut-trail-wrt-clause*:

$\exists M. \text{trail } S = M @ \text{trail } (\text{cut-trail-wrt-clause } C (\text{trail } S) S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *n-dup-no-dup-trail-cut-trail-wrt-clause[simp]*:

assumes *n-d: no-dup (trail T)*
shows *no-dup (trail (cut-trail-wrt-clause C (trail T) T))*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cut-trail-wrt-clause-backtrack-lvl-length-decided*:

assumes
backtrack-lvl T = count-decided (trail T)
shows
backtrack-lvl (cut-trail-wrt-clause C (trail T) T) =
count-decided (trail (cut-trail-wrt-clause C (trail T) T))
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cut-trail-wrt-clause-CNot-trail*:

assumes *trail T \models_{as} CNot C*
shows
(trail ((cut-trail-wrt-clause C (trail T) T))) \models_{as} CNot C
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cut-trail-wrt-clause-hd-trail-in-or-empty-trail*:

$((\forall L \in \#C. -L \notin \text{lits-of-l } (\text{trail } T)) \wedge \text{trail } (\text{cut-trail-wrt-clause } C (\text{trail } T) T) = [])$
 $\vee (-\text{lit-of } (\text{hd } (\text{trail } (\text{cut-trail-wrt-clause } C (\text{trail } T) T)))) \in \#C$
 $\wedge \text{length } (\text{trail } (\text{cut-trail-wrt-clause } C (\text{trail } T) T)) \geq 1)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

We can fully run *cdcl_W*-s or add a clause. Remark that we use *cdcl_W*-s to avoid an explicit *skip*, *resolve*, and *backtrack* normalisation to get rid of the conflict *C* if possible.

inductive *incremental-cdcl_W* :: '*st* \Rightarrow '*st* \Rightarrow bool **for** *S* **where**

add-conf:

trail S \models_{asm} init-clss S \Rightarrow distinct-mset C \Rightarrow conflicting S = None \Rightarrow
trail S \models_{as} CNot C \Rightarrow
full cdcl_W-stgy
(update-conflicting (Some C)
(add-init-cls C (cut-trail-wrt-clause C (trail S) S))) T \Rightarrow
incremental-cdcl_W S T |

add-no-conf:

trail S \models_{asm} init-clss S \Rightarrow distinct-mset C \Rightarrow conflicting S = None \Rightarrow
 $\neg \text{trail } S \models_{as} \text{CNot } C \Rightarrow$

$full\ cdcl_W\text{-}stgy\ (add\text{-}init\text{-}cls\ C\ S)\ T \implies$
 $incremental\text{-}cdcl_W\ S\ T$

lemma $cdcl_W\text{-}all\text{-}struct\text{-}inv\text{-}add\text{-}new\text{-}clause\text{-}and\text{-}update\text{-}cdcl_W\text{-}all\text{-}struct\text{-}inv$:

assumes

$inv\text{-}T$: $cdcl_W\text{-}all\text{-}struct\text{-}inv\ T$ **and**
 $tr\text{-}T\text{-}N[simp]$: $trail\ T \models_{asm} N$ **and**
 $tr\text{-}C[simp]$: $trail\ T \models_{as} CNot\ C$ **and**
 $[simp]$: $distinct\text{-}mset\ C$

shows $cdcl_W\text{-}all\text{-}struct\text{-}inv\ (add\text{-}new\text{-}clause\text{-}and\text{-}update\ C\ T)$ (**is** $cdcl_W\text{-}all\text{-}struct\text{-}inv\ ?T'$)

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cdcl_W\text{-}all\text{-}struct\text{-}inv\text{-}add\text{-}new\text{-}clause\text{-}and\text{-}update\text{-}cdcl_W\text{-}stgy\text{-}inv$:

assumes

$inv\text{-}s$: $cdcl_W\text{-}stgy\text{-}invariant\ T$ **and**
 inv : $cdcl_W\text{-}all\text{-}struct\text{-}inv\ T$ **and**
 $tr\text{-}T\text{-}N[simp]$: $trail\ T \models_{asm} N$ **and**
 $tr\text{-}C[simp]$: $trail\ T \models_{as} CNot\ C$ **and**
 $[simp]$: $distinct\text{-}mset\ C$

shows $cdcl_W\text{-}stgy\text{-}invariant\ (add\text{-}new\text{-}clause\text{-}and\text{-}update\ C\ T)$

(**is** $cdcl_W\text{-}stgy\text{-}invariant\ ?T'$)

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $full\text{-}cdcl_W\text{-}stgy\text{-}inv\text{-}normal\text{-}form$:

assumes

$full$: $full\ cdcl_W\text{-}stgy\ S\ T$ **and**
 $inv\text{-}s$: $cdcl_W\text{-}stgy\text{-}invariant\ S$ **and**
 inv : $cdcl_W\text{-}all\text{-}struct\text{-}inv\ S$

shows $conflicting\ T = Some\ \{\#\} \wedge unsatisfiable\ (set\text{-}mset\ (init\text{-}class\ S))$

$\vee conflicting\ T = None \wedge trail\ T \models_{asm} init\text{-}class\ S \wedge satisfiable\ (set\text{-}mset\ (init\text{-}class\ S))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $incremental\text{-}cdcl_W\text{-}inv$:

assumes

inc : $incremental\text{-}cdcl_W\ S\ T$ **and**
 inv : $cdcl_W\text{-}all\text{-}struct\text{-}inv\ S$ **and**
 $s\text{-}inv$: $cdcl_W\text{-}stgy\text{-}invariant\ S$

shows

$cdcl_W\text{-}all\text{-}struct\text{-}inv\ T$ **and**
 $cdcl_W\text{-}stgy\text{-}invariant\ T$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rtrancpl\text{-}incremental\text{-}cdcl_W\text{-}inv$:

assumes

inc : $incremental\text{-}cdcl_W^{**}\ S\ T$ **and**
 inv : $cdcl_W\text{-}all\text{-}struct\text{-}inv\ S$ **and**
 $s\text{-}inv$: $cdcl_W\text{-}stgy\text{-}invariant\ S$

shows

$cdcl_W\text{-}all\text{-}struct\text{-}inv\ T$ **and**
 $cdcl_W\text{-}stgy\text{-}invariant\ T$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $incremental\text{-}conclusive\text{-}state$:

assumes

inc : $incremental\text{-}cdcl_W\ S\ T$ **and**
 inv : $cdcl_W\text{-}all\text{-}struct\text{-}inv\ S$ **and**

s-inv: cdcl_W-stgy-invariant S
shows *conflicting T = Some {#} \wedge unsatisfiable (set-mset (init-clss T))*
 \vee conflicting T = None \wedge trail T \models_{asm} init-clss T \wedge satisfiable (set-mset (init-clss T))
 <proof>

lemma *tranclp-incremental-correct:*

assumes
inc: incremental-cdcl_W⁺⁺ S T and
inv: cdcl_W-all-struct-inv S and
s-inv: cdcl_W-stgy-invariant S
shows *conflicting T = Some {#} \wedge unsatisfiable (set-mset (init-clss T))*
 \vee conflicting T = None \wedge trail T \models_{asm} init-clss T \wedge satisfiable (set-mset (init-clss T))
 <proof>

end

end

theory *CDCL-W-Restart*

imports *CDCL-W-Merge*

begin

3.4.1 Adding Restarts

locale *cdcl_W-restart =*
conflict-driven-clause-learning_W
 — functions for the state:
 — access functions:
trail init-clss learned-clss backtrack-lvl conflicting
 — changing state:
cons-trail tl-trail add-learned-cls remove-cls update-backtrack-lvl
update-conflicting

 — get state:
init-state
for
trail :: 'st \Rightarrow ('v, 'v clause) ann-lits and
init-clss :: 'st \Rightarrow 'v clauses and
learned-clss :: 'st \Rightarrow 'v clauses and
backtrack-lvl :: 'st \Rightarrow nat and
conflicting :: 'st \Rightarrow 'v clause option and

cons-trail :: ('v, 'v clause) ann-lit \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st and
tl-trail :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st and
add-learned-cls :: 'v clause \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st and
remove-cls :: 'v clause \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st and
update-backtrack-lvl :: nat \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st and
update-conflicting :: 'v clause option \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st and

init-state :: 'v clauses \Rightarrow 'st +
fixes *f :: nat \Rightarrow nat*
assumes *f: unbounded f*
begin

The condition of the differences of cardinality has to be strict. Otherwise, you could be in a strange state, where nothing remains to do, but a restart is done. See the proof of well-foundedness.

inductive *cdcl_W-merge-with-restart* **where**

restart-step:

$(cdcl_W\text{-merge-stgy} \sim (card (set\text{-mset} (learned\text{-clss} T)) - card (set\text{-mset} (learned\text{-clss} S)))) S T \implies$
 $card (set\text{-mset} (learned\text{-clss} T)) - card (set\text{-mset} (learned\text{-clss} S)) > f n$
 $\implies restart T U \implies cdcl_W\text{-merge-with-restart} (S, n) (U, Suc n) \mid$

restart-full: $full1\ cdcl_W\text{-merge-stgy} S T \implies cdcl_W\text{-merge-with-restart} (S, n) (T, Suc n)$

lemma *cdcl_W-merge-with-restart* $S T \implies cdcl_W\text{-merge-restart}^{**} (fst S) (fst T)$

<proof>

lemma *cdcl_W-merge-with-restart-rtrancpl-cdcl_W*:

cdcl_W-merge-with-restart $S T \implies cdcl_W^{**} (fst S) (fst T)$

<proof>

lemma *cdcl_W-merge-with-restart-increasing-number*:

cdcl_W-merge-with-restart $S T \implies snd T = 1 + snd S$

<proof>

lemma *full1 cdcl_W-merge-stgy* $S T \implies cdcl_W\text{-merge-with-restart} (S, n) (T, Suc n)$

<proof>

lemma *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv-learned-clss-bound*:

assumes *inv*: *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv* S

shows $set\text{-mset} (learned\text{-clss} S) \subseteq simple\text{-clss} (atms\text{-of-mm} (init\text{-clss} S))$

<proof>

lemma *cdcl_W-merge-with-restart-init-clss*:

cdcl_W-merge-with-restart $S T \implies cdcl_W\text{-M-level-inv} (fst S) \implies$

$init\text{-clss} (fst S) = init\text{-clss} (fst T)$

<proof>

lemma

$wf \{(T, S). cdcl_W\text{-all-struct-inv} (fst S) \wedge cdcl_W\text{-merge-with-restart} S T\}$

<proof>

lemma *cdcl_W-merge-with-restart-distinct-mset-clauses*:

assumes *invR*: *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv* $(fst R)$ **and**

st: *cdcl_W-merge-with-restart* $R S$ **and**

dist: *distinct-mset* $(clauses (fst R))$ **and**

R: $trail (fst R) = []$

shows *distinct-mset* $(clauses (fst S))$

<proof>

inductive *cdcl_W-with-restart* **where**

restart-step:

$(cdcl_W\text{-stgy} \sim (card (set\text{-mset} (learned\text{-clss} T)) - card (set\text{-mset} (learned\text{-clss} S)))) S T \implies$
 $card (set\text{-mset} (learned\text{-clss} T)) - card (set\text{-mset} (learned\text{-clss} S)) > f n \implies$
 $restart T U \implies$
 $cdcl_W\text{-with-restart} (S, n) (U, Suc n) \mid$

restart-full: $full1\ cdcl_W\text{-stgy} S T \implies cdcl_W\text{-with-restart} (S, n) (T, Suc n)$

lemma *cdcl_W-with-restart-rtrancpl-cdcl_W*:

cdcl_W-with-restart $S T \implies cdcl_W^{**} (fst S) (fst T)$

<proof>

lemma *cdcl_W-with-restart-increasing-number*:

cdcl_W-with-restart $S\ T \implies \text{snd } T = 1 + \text{snd } S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *full1 cdcl_W-stgy* $S\ T \implies \text{cdcl}_W\text{-with-restart } (S, n)\ (T, \text{Suc } n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-with-restart-init-clss:*
cdcl_W-with-restart $S\ T \implies \text{cdcl}_W\text{-M-level-inv } (\text{fst } S) \implies \text{init-clss } (\text{fst } S) = \text{init-clss } (\text{fst } T)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma
 $wf \{ (T, S). \text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } (\text{fst } S) \wedge \text{cdcl}_W\text{-with-restart } S\ T \}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-with-restart-distinct-mset-clauses:*
assumes *invR:* *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv* $(\text{fst } R)$ **and**
st: *cdcl_W-with-restart* $R\ S$ **and**
dist: *distinct-mset* $(\text{clauses } (\text{fst } R))$ **and**
R: *trail* $(\text{fst } R) = []$
shows *distinct-mset* $(\text{clauses } (\text{fst } S))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

locale *luby-sequence* =
fixes *ur* :: *nat*
assumes *ur* > 0
begin

lemma *exists-luby-decomp:*
fixes *i* :: *nat*
shows $\exists k :: \text{nat}. (2^k - 1) \leq i \wedge i < 2^{k+1} - 1 \vee i = 2^{k+1} - 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Luby sequences are defined by:

- $2^k - 1$, if $i = (2::'a)^k - (1::'a)$
- *luby-sequence-core* $(i - 2^{k-1} + 1)$, if $(2::'a)^{k-1} \leq i$ and $i \leq (2::'a)^k - (1::'a)$

Then the sequence is then scaled by a constant unit run (called *ur* here), strictly positive.

function *luby-sequence-core* :: *nat* \Rightarrow *nat* **where**
luby-sequence-core $i =$
 $(\text{if } \exists k. i = 2^k - 1$
 $\text{then } 2^{(SOME\ k. i = 2^k - 1) - 1}$
 $\text{else } \text{luby-sequence-core } (i - 2^{(SOME\ k. 2^{k-1} \leq i \wedge i < 2^k - 1) - 1} + 1))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$
termination
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

function *natlog2* :: *nat* \Rightarrow *nat* **where**
natlog2 $n = (\text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } 1 + \text{natlog2 } (n \text{ div } 2))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$
termination $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

declare *natlog2.simps*[*simp del*]

declare *luby-sequence-core.simps*[*simp del*]

lemma *two-pover-n-eq-two-power-n'-eq*:

assumes $H: (2::nat) \wedge (k::nat) - 1 = 2 \wedge k' - 1$

shows $k' = k$

<proof>

lemma *luby-sequence-core-two-power-minus-one*:

luby-sequence-core $(2^k - 1) = 2^{(k-1)}$ (**is** ? $L = ?K$)

<proof>

lemma *different-luby-decomposition-false*:

assumes

$H: 2 \wedge (k - \text{Suc } 0) \leq i$ **and**

$k': i < 2 \wedge k' - \text{Suc } 0$ **and**

$k-k': k > k'$

shows *False*

<proof>

lemma *luby-sequence-core-not-two-power-minus-one*:

assumes

$k-i: 2 \wedge (k - 1) \leq i$ **and**

$i-k: i < 2^k - 1$

shows *luby-sequence-core* $i = \text{luby-sequence-core } (i - 2 \wedge (k - 1) + 1)$

<proof>

lemma *unbounded-luby-sequence-core: unbounded luby-sequence-core*

<proof>

abbreviation *luby-sequence* :: *nat* \Rightarrow *nat* **where**

luby-sequence $n \equiv \text{ur} * \text{luby-sequence-core } n$

lemma *bounded-luby-sequence: unbounded luby-sequence*

<proof>

lemma *luby-sequence-core-0: luby-sequence-core 0 = 1*

<proof>

lemma *luby-sequence-core n ≥ 1*

<proof>

end

locale *luby-sequence-restart* =

luby-sequence *ur* +

*conflict-driven-clause-learning*_W

— functions for the state:

— access functions:

trail init-clss learned-clss backtrack-lvl conflicting

— changing state:

cons-trail tl-trail add-learned-cls remove-cls update-backtrack-lvl

update-conflicting

— get state:

init-state

for

```

ur :: nat and
trail :: 'st ⇒ ('v, 'v clause) ann-lits and
hd-trail :: 'st ⇒ ('v, 'v clause) ann-lit and
init-clss :: 'st ⇒ 'v clauses and
learned-clss :: 'st ⇒ 'v clauses and
backtrack-lvl :: 'st ⇒ nat and
conflicting :: 'st ⇒ 'v clause option and

cons-trail :: ('v, 'v clause) ann-lit ⇒ 'st ⇒ 'st and
tl-trail :: 'st ⇒ 'st and
add-learned-clss :: 'v clause ⇒ 'st ⇒ 'st and
remove-clss :: 'v clause ⇒ 'st ⇒ 'st and
update-backtrack-lvl :: nat ⇒ 'st ⇒ 'st and
update-conflicting :: 'v clause option ⇒ 'st ⇒ 'st and

init-state :: 'v clauses ⇒ 'st
begin

sublocale cdclW-restart - - - - - luby-sequence
  ⟨proof⟩

end
end
theory DPLL-W-Implementation
imports DPLL-CDCL-W-Implementation DPLL-W ~~/src/HOL/Library/Code-Target-Numeral
begin

```

3.4.2 Simple Implementation of DPLL

Combining the propagate and decide: a DPLL step

```

definition DPLL-step :: int dpllW-ann-lits × int literal list list
  ⇒ int dpllW-ann-lits × int literal list list where
DPLL-step = (λ(Ms, N).
  (case find-first-unit-clause N Ms of
    Some (L, -) ⇒ (Propagated L () # Ms, N)
  | - ⇒
    if ∃ C ∈ set N. (∀ c ∈ set C. -c ∈ lits-of-l Ms)
    then
      (case backtrack-split Ms of
        (-, L # M) ⇒ (Propagated (- (lit-of L)) () # M, N)
      | (-, -) ⇒ (Ms, N)
      )
    else
      (case find-first-unused-var N (lits-of-l Ms) of
        Some a ⇒ (Decided a # Ms, N)
      | None ⇒ (Ms, N))))

```

Example of propagation:

```

value DPLL-step ([Decided (Neg 1)], [[Pos (1::int), Neg 2]])

```

We define the conversion function between the states as defined in *Prop-DPLL* (with multisets) and here (with lists).

```

abbreviation toS ≡ λ(Ms::(int, unit) ann-lits)
  (N:: int literal list list). (Ms, mset (map mset N))
abbreviation toS' ≡ λ(Ms::(int, unit) ann-lits,

```

$N :: \text{int literal list list}. (Ms, \text{mset} (\text{map mset } N))$

Proof of correctness of *DPLL-step*

lemma *DPLL-step-is-a-dpll_W-step*:

assumes *step*: $(Ms', N') = \text{DPLL-step } (Ms, N)$

and *neg*: $(Ms, N) \neq (Ms', N')$

shows $\text{dpll}_W (\text{toS } Ms \ N) (\text{toS } Ms' \ N')$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *DPLL-step-stuck-final-state*:

assumes *step*: $(Ms, N) = \text{DPLL-step } (Ms, N)$

shows *conclusive-dpll_W-state* $(\text{toS } Ms \ N)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Adding invariants

Invariant tested in the function **function** *DPLL-ci* :: $\text{int dpll}_W\text{-ann-lits} \Rightarrow \text{int literal list list} \Rightarrow \text{int dpll}_W\text{-ann-lits} \times \text{int literal list list}$ **where**

DPLL-ci $Ms \ N =$

$(\text{if } \neg \text{dpll}_W\text{-all-inv } (Ms, \text{mset} (\text{map mset } N))$

$\text{then } (Ms, N)$

else

$\text{let } (Ms', N') = \text{DPLL-step } (Ms, N) \text{ in}$

$\text{if } (Ms', N') = (Ms, N) \text{ then } (Ms, N) \text{ else } \text{DPLL-ci } Ms' \ N)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

termination

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

No invariant tested **function** *(domintros) DPLL-part* :: $\text{int dpll}_W\text{-ann-lits} \Rightarrow \text{int literal list list} \Rightarrow \text{int dpll}_W\text{-ann-lits} \times \text{int literal list list}$ **where**

DPLL-part $Ms \ N =$

$(\text{let } (Ms', N') = \text{DPLL-step } (Ms, N) \text{ in}$

$\text{if } (Ms', N') = (Ms, N) \text{ then } (Ms, N) \text{ else } \text{DPLL-part } Ms' \ N)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *snd-DPLL-step[simp]*:

$\text{snd } (\text{DPLL-step } (Ms, N)) = N$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *dpll_W-all-inv-implieS-2-eq3-and-dom*:

assumes *dpll_W-all-inv* $(Ms, \text{mset} (\text{map mset } N))$

shows $\text{DPLL-ci } Ms \ N = \text{DPLL-part } Ms \ N \wedge \text{DPLL-part-dom } (Ms, N)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *DPLL-ci-dpll_W-rtrancp*:

assumes *DPLL-ci* $Ms \ N = (Ms', N')$

shows $\text{dpll}_W^{**} (\text{toS } Ms \ N) (\text{toS } Ms' \ N')$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *dpll_W-all-inv-dpll_W-trancp-irrefl*:

assumes *dpll_W-all-inv* (Ms, N)

and $\text{dpll}_W^{++} (Ms, N) (Ms, N)$

shows *False*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *DPLL-ci-final-state*:

assumes *step*: $DPLL\text{-}ci\ Ms\ N = (Ms, N)$
and *inv*: $dpll_W\text{-}all\text{-}inv\ (toS\ Ms\ N)$
shows *conclusive-dpll_W-state* (*toS Ms N*)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *DPLL-step-obtains*:
obtains Ms' **where** $(Ms', N) = DPLL\text{-}step\ (Ms, N)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *DPLL-ci-obtains*:
obtains Ms' **where** $(Ms', N) = DPLL\text{-}ci\ Ms\ N$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *DPLL-ci-no-more-step*:
assumes *step*: $DPLL\text{-}ci\ Ms\ N = (Ms', N')$
shows $DPLL\text{-}ci\ Ms'\ N' = (Ms', N')$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *DPLL-part-dpll_W-all-inv-final*:
fixes $M\ Ms'::(int, unit)\ ann\text{-}lits$ **and**
 $N::int\ literal\ list\ list$
assumes *inv*: $dpll_W\text{-}all\text{-}inv\ (Ms, mset\ (map\ mset\ N))$
and MsN : $DPLL\text{-}part\ Ms\ N = (Ms', N)$
shows $conclusive\text{-}dpll_W\text{-}state\ (toS\ Ms'\ N) \wedge dpll_W^{**}\ (toS\ Ms\ N)\ (toS\ Ms'\ N)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

Embedding the invariant into the type

Defining the type **typedef** $dpll_W\text{-}state =$
 $\{(M::(int, unit)\ ann\text{-}lits, N::int\ literal\ list\ list).\$
 $dpll_W\text{-}all\text{-}inv\ (toS\ M\ N)\}$
morphisms *rough-state-of* *state-of*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma
 $DPLL\text{-}part\text{-}dom\ ([], N)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

Some type classes **instantiation** $dpll_W\text{-}state::equal$

begin

definition $equal\text{-}dpll_W\text{-}state::dpll_W\text{-}state \Rightarrow dpll_W\text{-}state \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $equal\text{-}dpll_W\text{-}state\ S\ S' = (rough\text{-}state\text{-}of\ S = rough\text{-}state\text{-}of\ S')$

instance

⟨*proof*⟩

end

DPLL **definition** $DPLL\text{-}step'::dpll_W\text{-}state \Rightarrow dpll_W\text{-}state$ **where**
 $DPLL\text{-}step'\ S = state\text{-}of\ (DPLL\text{-}step\ (rough\text{-}state\text{-}of\ S))$

declare *rough-state-of-inverse*[*simp*]

lemma *DPLL-step-dpll_W-conc-inv*:
 $DPLL\text{-}step\ (rough\text{-}state\text{-}of\ S) \in \{(M, N). dpll_W\text{-}all\text{-}inv\ (toS\ M\ N)\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rough-state-of-DPLL-step'-DPLL-step[simp]*:
 rough-state-of (DPLL-step' S) = DPLL-step (rough-state-of S)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

function *DPLL-tot*:: *dpll_W-state* \Rightarrow *dpll_W-state* **where**
DPLL-tot S =
 (*let S' = DPLL-step' S in*
 if S' = S then S else DPLL-tot S')
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

termination
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma [*code*]:
DPLL-tot S =
 (*let S' = DPLL-step' S in*
 if S' = S then S else DPLL-tot S') $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *DPLL-tot-DPLL-step-DPLL-tot[simp]*: *DPLL-tot (DPLL-step' S) = DPLL-tot S*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *DOPLL-step'-DPLL-tot[simp]*:
 DPLL-step' (DPLL-tot S) = DPLL-tot S
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *DPLL-tot-final-state*:
 assumes *DPLL-tot S = S*
 shows *conclusive-dpll_W-state (toS' (rough-state-of S))*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *DPLL-tot-star*:
 assumes *rough-state-of (DPLL-tot S) = S'*
 shows *dpll_W** (toS' (rough-state-of S)) (toS' S')*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rough-state-of-rough-state-of-Nil[simp]*:
 rough-state-of (state-of ([], N)) = ([], N)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Theorem of correctness

lemma *DPLL-tot-correct*:
 assumes *rough-state-of (DPLL-tot (state-of ([], N))) = (M, N')*
 and *(M', N'') = toS' (M, N')*
 shows *M' \models_{asm} N'' \longleftrightarrow satisfiable (set-mset N'')*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Code export

A conversion to DPLL-W-Implementation.dpll_W-state **definition** *Con* :: (*int*, *unit*) *ann-lits* \times *int literal list list*

\Rightarrow *dpll_W-state* **where**

Con xs = state-of (if dpll_W-all-inv (toS (fst xs) (snd xs)) then xs else ([], []))

lemma [*code abstype*]:

Con (*rough-state-of* *S*) = *S*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

declare *rough-state-of-DPLL-step'-DPLL-step*[*code abstract*]

lemma *Con-DPLL-step-rough-state-of-state-of*[*simp*]:

Con (*DPLL-step* (*rough-state-of* *s*)) = *state-of* (*DPLL-step* (*rough-state-of* *s*))
 ⟨*proof*⟩

A slightly different version of *DPLL-tot* where the returned boolean indicates the result.

definition *DPLL-tot-rep* **where**

DPLL-tot-rep *S* =
 (let (*M*, *N*) = (*rough-state-of* (*DPLL-tot* *S*)) in (∀ *A* ∈ *set* *N*. (∃ *a* ∈ *set* *A*. *a* ∈ *lits-of-l* (*M*)), *M*))

One version of the generated SML code is here, but not included in the generated document. The only differences are:

- export '*a literal* from the SML Module *Clausal-Logic*;
- export the constructor *Con* from *DPLL-W-Implementation*;
- export the *int* constructor from *Arith*.

All these allows to test on the code on some examples.

end

theory *CDCL-Abstract-Clause-Representation*

imports *Main Partial-Clausal-Logic*

begin

type-synonym '*v clause* = '*v literal multiset*

type-synonym '*v clauses* = '*v clause multiset*

3.4.3 Abstract Clause Representation

We will abstract the representation of clause and clauses via two locales. We expect our representation to behave like multiset, but the internal representation can be done using list or whatever other representation.

We assume the following:

- there is an equivalent to adding and removing a literal and to taking the union of clauses.

locale *raw-cls* =

fixes

mset-cls :: '*cls* ⇒ '*v clause*

begin

end

locale *raw-ccls-union* =

fixes

mset-cls :: '*cls* ⇒ '*v clause* **and**

union-cls :: '*cls* ⇒ '*cls* ⇒ '*cls* **and**

remove-clit :: '*v literal* ⇒ '*cls* ⇒ '*cls*

assumes

$mset-clss-union-clss[simp]: mset-clss (union-clss C D) = mset-clss C \# \cup mset-clss D$ **and**
 $remove-clit[simp]: mset-clss (remove-clit L C) = remove1-mset L (mset-clss C)$
begin
end

Instantiation of the previous locale, in an unnamed context to avoid polluting with simp rules

context
begin
interpretation *list-clss: raw-clss mset*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

interpretation *clss-clss: raw-clss id*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

interpretation *list-clss: raw-clss-union mset*
 $union-mset-list\ remove1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

interpretation *clss-clss: raw-clss-union id op $\# \cup remove1-mset$*
 $\langle proof \rangle$
end

Over the abstract clauses, we have the following properties:

- We can insert a clause
- We can take the union (used only in proofs for the definition of *clauses*)
- there is an operator indicating whether the abstract clause is contained or not
- if a concrete clause is contained the abstract clauses, then there is an abstract clause

locale *raw-clss =*
raw-clss mset-clss
for
 $mset-clss :: 'clss \Rightarrow 'v\ clauses +$
fixes
 $mset-clss :: 'clss \Rightarrow 'v\ clauses$ **and**
 $union-clss :: 'clss \Rightarrow 'clss \Rightarrow 'clss$ **and**
 $in-clss :: 'clss \Rightarrow 'clss \Rightarrow bool$ **and**
 $insert-clss :: 'clss \Rightarrow 'clss \Rightarrow 'clss$ **and**
 $remove-from-clss :: 'clss \Rightarrow 'clss \Rightarrow 'clss$
assumes
 $insert-clss[simp]: mset-clss (insert-clss L C) = mset-clss C + \{\#mset-clss L\# \}$ **and**
 $union-clss[simp]: mset-clss (union-clss C D) = mset-clss C + mset-clss D$ **and**
 $mset-clss-union-clss[simp]: mset-clss (insert-clss C' D) = \{\#mset-clss C'\# \} + mset-clss D$ **and**
 $in-clss-mset-clss[dest]: in-clss a C \implies mset-clss a \in \# mset-clss C$ **and**
 $in-mset-clss-exists-preimage: b \in \# mset-clss C \implies \exists b'. in-clss b' C \wedge mset-clss b' = b$ **and**
 $remove-from-clss-mset-clss[simp]:$
 $mset-clss (remove-from-clss a C) = mset-clss C - \{\#mset-clss a\# \}$ **and**
 $in-clss-union-clss[simp]:$
 $in-clss a (union-clss C D) \longleftrightarrow in-clss a C \vee in-clss a D$
begin
end

```

experiment
begin
  fun remove-first where
    remove-first - [] = [] |
    remove-first C (C' # L) = (if mset C = mset C' then L else C' # remove-first C L)

  lemma mset-map-mset-remove-first:
    mset (map mset (remove-first a C)) = remove1-mset (mset a) (mset (map mset C))
    ⟨proof⟩

  interpretation clss-clss: raw-clss id
    id op + op ∈ # λL C. C + {#L#} remove1-mset
    ⟨proof⟩

  interpretation list-clss: raw-clss mset
    λL. mset (map mset L) op @ λL C. L ∈ set C op #
    remove-first
    ⟨proof⟩
end

end
theory CDCL-W-Abstract-State
imports CDCL-Abstract-Clause-Representation List-More CDCL-W-Level Wellfounded-More
         CDCL-WNOT CDCL-Abstract-Clause-Representation

begin

```

3.5 Weidenbach's CDCL with Abstract Clause Representation

We first instantiate the locale of Weidenbach's locale. Then we define another abstract state: the goal of this state is to be used for implementations. We add more assumptions on the function about the state. For example *cons-trail* is restricted to undefined literals.

3.5.1 Instantiation of the Multiset Version

```

type-synonym 'v cdclW-mset = ('v, 'v clause) ann-lit list ×
  'v clauses ×
  'v clauses ×
  nat × 'v clause option

```

We use definition, otherwise we could not use the simplification theorems we have already shown.

```

definition trail :: 'v cdclW-mset ⇒ ('v, 'v clause) ann-lit list where
trail ≡ λ(M, -). M

```

```

definition init-clss :: 'v cdclW-mset ⇒ 'v clauses where
init-clss ≡ λ(-, N, -). N

```

```

definition learned-clss :: 'v cdclW-mset ⇒ 'v clauses where
learned-clss ≡ λ(-, -, U, -). U

```

```

definition backtrack-lvl :: 'v cdclW-mset ⇒ nat where
backtrack-lvl ≡ λ(-, -, -, k, -). k

```

```

definition conflicting :: 'v cdclW-mset ⇒ 'v clause option where

```


conflicting $\equiv \lambda(-, -, -, -, C). C$

definition *cons-trail* $:: ('v, 'v \text{ clause}) \text{ ann-lit} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ cdcl}_W\text{-mset} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ cdcl}_W\text{-mset}$ **where**
cons-trail $\equiv \lambda L (M, R). (L \# M, R)$

definition *tl-trail* **where**
tl-trail $\equiv \lambda(M, R). (tl \ M, R)$

definition *add-learned-cls* **where**
add-learned-cls $\equiv \lambda C (M, N, U, R). (M, N, \{\#C\# \} + U, R)$

definition *remove-cls* **where**
remove-cls $\equiv \lambda C (M, N, U, R). (M, \text{removeAll-mset } C \ N, \text{removeAll-mset } C \ U, R)$

definition *update-backtrack-lvl* **where**
update-backtrack-lvl $\equiv \lambda k (M, N, U, -, D). (M, N, U, k, D)$

definition *update-conflicting* **where**
update-conflicting $\equiv \lambda D (M, N, U, k, -). (M, N, U, k, D)$

definition *init-state* **where**
init-state $\equiv \lambda N. ([], N, \{\#\}, 0, \text{None})$

lemmas *cdcl_W-mset-state* = *trail-def cons-trail-def tl-trail-def add-learned-cls-def*
remove-cls-def update-backtrack-lvl-def update-conflicting-def init-clss-def learned-clss-def
backtrack-lvl-def conflicting-def init-state-def

interpretation *cdcl_W-mset: state_W-ops* **where**

trail = *trail* **and**
init-clss = *init-clss* **and**
learned-clss = *learned-clss* **and**
backtrack-lvl = *backtrack-lvl* **and**
conflicting = *conflicting* **and**

cons-trail = *cons-trail* **and**
tl-trail = *tl-trail* **and**
add-learned-cls = *add-learned-cls* **and**
remove-cls = *remove-cls* **and**
update-backtrack-lvl = *update-backtrack-lvl* **and**
update-conflicting = *update-conflicting* **and**
init-state = *init-state*
 ⟨proof⟩

interpretation *cdcl_W-mset: state_W* **where**

trail = *trail* **and**
init-clss = *init-clss* **and**
learned-clss = *learned-clss* **and**
backtrack-lvl = *backtrack-lvl* **and**
conflicting = *conflicting* **and**

cons-trail = *cons-trail* **and**
tl-trail = *tl-trail* **and**
add-learned-cls = *add-learned-cls* **and**
remove-cls = *remove-cls* **and**
update-backtrack-lvl = *update-backtrack-lvl* **and**
update-conflicting = *update-conflicting* **and**

init-state = *init-state*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

interpretation *cdcl_W-mset*: *conflict-driven-clause-learning_W* where

trail = *trail* and
init-clss = *init-clss* and
learned-clss = *learned-clss* and
backtrack-lvl = *backtrack-lvl* and
conflicting = *conflicting* and

cons-trail = *cons-trail* and
tl-trail = *tl-trail* and
add-learned-cls = *add-learned-cls* and
remove-cls = *remove-cls* and
update-backtrack-lvl = *update-backtrack-lvl* and
update-conflicting = *update-conflicting* and
init-state = *init-state*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *cdcl_W-mset-state-eq-eq*: *cdcl_W-mset.state-eq* = (*op* =)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

notation *cdcl_W-mset.state-eq* (**infix** \sim_m 49)

3.5.2 Abstract Relation and Relation Theorems

This locale makes the lifting from the relation defined with multiset *R* and the version with an abstract state *R-abs*. We are lifting many different relations (each rule and the the strategy).

locale *relation-implied-relation-abs* =

fixes

R :: '*v cdcl_W-mset* \Rightarrow '*v cdcl_W-mset* \Rightarrow *bool* and
R-abs :: '*st* \Rightarrow '*st* \Rightarrow *bool* and
state :: '*st* \Rightarrow '*v cdcl_W-mset* and
inv :: '*v cdcl_W-mset* \Rightarrow *bool*

assumes

relation-compatible-state:

inv (*state S*) \Longrightarrow *R-abs S T* \Longrightarrow *R (state S) (state T)* and

relation-compatible-abs:

$\bigwedge S S' T. \text{inv } S \Longrightarrow S \sim_m \text{state } S' \Longrightarrow R S T \Longrightarrow \exists U. R\text{-abs } S' U \wedge T \sim_m \text{state } U$ and

relation-invariant:

$\bigwedge S T. R S T \Longrightarrow \text{inv } S \Longrightarrow \text{inv } T$ and

relation-abs-right-compatible:

$\bigwedge S T U. \text{inv } (\text{state } S) \Longrightarrow R\text{-abs } S T \Longrightarrow \text{state } T \sim_m \text{state } U \Longrightarrow R\text{-abs } S U$

begin

lemma *relation-compatible-eq*:

assumes

inv: *inv (state S)* and
abs: *R-abs S T* and
SS': *state S* \sim_m *state S'* and
TT': *state T* \sim_m *state T'*

shows *R-abs S' T'*

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *rtrancplp-relation-invariant*:

$R^{++} S T \implies \text{inv } S \implies \text{inv } T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancp-abs-rtrancp*:

$R\text{-abs}^{**} S T \implies \text{inv } (\text{state } S) \implies R^{**} (\text{state } S) (\text{state } T)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *trancp-relation-trancp-relation-abs-compatible*:

fixes $S :: 'st$
assumes
 $R: R^{++} (\text{state } S) T$ **and**
 $\text{inv}: \text{inv } (\text{state } S)$
shows $\exists U. R\text{-abs}^{++} S U \wedge T \sim_m \text{state } U$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancp-relation-rtrancp-relation-abs-compatible*:

fixes $S :: 'st$
assumes
 $R: R^{**} (\text{state } S) T$ **and**
 $\text{inv}: \text{inv } (\text{state } S)$
shows $\exists U. R\text{-abs}^{**} S U \wedge T \sim_m \text{state } U$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *no-step-iff*:

$\text{inv } (\text{state } S) \implies \text{no-step } R (\text{state } S) \longleftrightarrow \text{no-step } R\text{-abs } S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *trancp-relation-compatible-eq-and-inv*:

assumes
 $\text{inv}: \text{inv } (\text{state } S)$ **and**
 $st: R\text{-abs}^{++} S T$ **and**
 $SS': \text{state } S \sim_m \text{state } S'$ **and**
 $TU: \text{state } T \sim_m \text{state } U$
shows $R\text{-abs}^{++} S' U \wedge \text{inv } (\text{state } U)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma

assumes
 $\text{inv}: \text{inv } (\text{state } S)$ **and**
 $st: R\text{-abs}^{++} S T$ **and**
 $SS': \text{state } S \sim_m \text{state } S'$ **and**
 $TU: \text{state } T \sim_m \text{state } U$
shows
trancp-relation-compatible-eq: $R\text{-abs}^{++} S' U$ **and**
trancp-relation-abs-invariant: $\text{inv } (\text{state } U)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *trancp-abs-trancp*: $R\text{-abs}^{++} S T \implies \text{inv } (\text{state } S) \implies R^{++} (\text{state } S) (\text{state } T)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *full1-iff*:

assumes $\text{inv}: \text{inv } (\text{state } S)$
shows $\text{full1 } R (\text{state } S) (\text{state } T) \longleftrightarrow \text{full1 } R\text{-abs } S T$ (**is** $?R \longleftrightarrow ?R\text{-abs}$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *full1-iff-compatible*:

assumes *inv*: *inv* (state *S*) **and** *SS'*: $S' \sim_m \text{state } S$ **and** *TT'*: $T' \sim_m \text{state } T$
shows $\text{full1 } R \ S' \ T' \longleftrightarrow \text{full1 } R\text{-abs } S \ T$ (**is** $?R \longleftrightarrow ?R\text{-abs}$)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *full-if-full-abs*:

assumes *inv* (state *S*) **and** *full* *R-abs* *S* *T*
shows *full* *R* (state *S*) (state *T*)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

The converse does *not* hold, since we cannot prove that $S = T$ given $\text{state } S = \text{state } T$.

lemma *full-abs-if-full*:

assumes *inv* (state *S*) **and** *full* *R* (state *S*) (state *T*)
shows $\text{full } R\text{-abs } S \ T \vee (\text{state } S \sim_m \text{state } T \wedge \text{no-step } R \ (\text{state } S))$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *full-exists-full-abs*:

assumes *inv*: *inv* (state *S*) **and** *full*: *full* *R* (state *S*) *T*
obtains *U* **where** *full* *R-abs* *S* *U* **and** $T \sim_m \text{state } U$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *full1-exists-full1-abs*:

assumes *inv*: *inv* (state *S*) **and** *full1*: *full1* *R* (state *S*) *T*
obtains *U* **where** *full1* *R-abs* *S* *U* **and** $T \sim_m \text{state } U$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *full1-right-compatible*:

assumes *inv* (state *S*) **and**
 full1: *full1* *R-abs* *S* *T* **and** *TV*: $\text{state } T \sim_m \text{state } V$
shows *full1* *R-abs* *S* *V*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *full-right-compatible*:

assumes *inv*: *inv* (state *S*) **and**
 full-ST: *full* *R-abs* *S* *T* **and** *TU*: $\text{state } T \sim_m \text{state } U$
shows $\text{full } R\text{-abs } S \ U \vee (S = T \wedge \text{no-step } R\text{-abs } S)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

end

locale *relation-relation-abs* =

fixes

R :: '*v* *cdcl_W*-mset \Rightarrow '*v* *cdcl_W*-mset \Rightarrow bool **and**

R-abs :: '*st* \Rightarrow '*st* \Rightarrow bool **and**

state :: '*st* \Rightarrow '*v* *cdcl_W*-mset **and**

inv :: '*v* *cdcl_W*-mset \Rightarrow bool

assumes

relation-compatible-state:

$\text{inv } (\text{state } S) \Longrightarrow R \ (\text{state } S) \ (\text{state } T) \longleftrightarrow R\text{-abs } S \ T$ **and**

relation-compatible-abs:

$\bigwedge S \ S' \ T. \ \text{inv } S \Longrightarrow S \sim_m \text{state } S' \Longrightarrow R \ S \ T \Longrightarrow \exists U. \ R\text{-abs } S' \ U \wedge T \sim_m \text{state } U$ **and**

relation-invariant:

$\bigwedge S \ T. \ R \ S \ T \Longrightarrow \text{inv } S \Longrightarrow \text{inv } T$

begin

lemma *relation-compatible-eq*:

$inv \text{ (state } S) \implies R\text{-abs } S \ T \implies \text{state } S \sim_m \text{state } S' \implies \text{state } T \sim_m \text{state } T' \implies R\text{-abs } S' \ T'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *relation-right-compatible:*

$inv \text{ (state } S) \implies R\text{-abs } S \ T \implies \text{state } T \sim_m \text{state } U \implies R\text{-abs } S \ U$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

sublocale *relation-implied-relation-abs*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

3.5.3 The State

We will abstract the representation of clause and clauses via two locales. We expect our representation to behave like multiset, but the internal representation can be done using list or whatever other representation.

locale *abs-state_W-ops* =
raw-clss mset-cls
mset-clss union-clss in-clss insert-clss remove-from-clss
 +
raw-ccls-union mset-ccls union-ccls remove-clit
for
 — Clause
mset-cls :: 'cls \Rightarrow 'v clause **and**

 — Multiset of Clauses
mset-clss :: 'clss \Rightarrow 'v clauses **and**
union-clss :: 'clss \Rightarrow 'clss \Rightarrow 'clss **and**
in-clss :: 'cls \Rightarrow 'clss \Rightarrow bool **and**
insert-clss :: 'cls \Rightarrow 'clss \Rightarrow 'clss **and**
remove-from-clss :: 'cls \Rightarrow 'clss \Rightarrow 'clss **and**

mset-ccls :: 'ccls \Rightarrow 'v clause **and**
union-ccls :: 'ccls \Rightarrow 'ccls \Rightarrow 'ccls **and**
remove-clit :: 'v literal \Rightarrow 'ccls \Rightarrow 'ccls
 +
fixes
ccls-of-cls :: 'cls \Rightarrow 'ccls **and**
cls-of-ccls :: 'ccls \Rightarrow 'cls **and**

conc-trail :: 'st \Rightarrow ('v, 'v clause) ann-lits **and**
hd-raw-conc-trail :: 'st \Rightarrow ('v, 'cls) ann-lit **and**
raw-conc-init-clss :: 'st \Rightarrow 'clss **and**
raw-conc-learned-clss :: 'st \Rightarrow 'clss **and**
conc-backtrack-lvl :: 'st \Rightarrow nat **and**
raw-conc-conflicting :: 'st \Rightarrow 'ccls option **and**

cons-conc-trail :: ('v, 'cls) ann-lit \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**
tl-conc-trail :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**
add-conc-conflict-to-learned-cls :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**
remove-cls :: 'cls \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**
update-conc-backtrack-lvl :: nat \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**
mark-conflicting :: 'ccls \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**

```

reduce-conc-trail-to :: ('v, 'v clause) ann-lits ⇒ 'st ⇒ 'st and
resolve-conflicting :: 'v literal ⇒ 'cls ⇒ 'st ⇒ 'st and

conc-init-state :: 'clss ⇒ 'st and
restart-state :: 'st ⇒ 'st
assumes
  mset-ccls-ccls-of-cl[simp]:
    mset-ccls (ccls-of-cl C) = mset-cl C and
  mset-cl-ccls-of-ccls[simp]:
    mset-cl (cl-of-ccls D) = mset-ccls D and
  ex-mset-cl: ∃ a. mset-cl a = E
begin
fun mmset-of-mlit :: ('v, 'cls) ann-lit ⇒ ('v, 'v clause) ann-lit
  where
mmset-of-mlit (Propagated L C) = Propagated L (mset-cl C) |
mmset-of-mlit (Decided L) = Decided L

lemma lit-of-mmset-of-mlit[simp]:
  lit-of (mmset-of-mlit a) = lit-of a
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lit-of-mmset-of-mlit-set-lit-of-l[simp]:
  lit-of ' mmset-of-mlit ' set M' = lits-of-l M'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma map-mmset-of-mlit-true-annots-true-cl[simp]:
  map mmset-of-mlit M' ⊢as C ⇔ M' ⊢as C
  ⟨proof⟩

abbreviation conc-init-clss ≡ λS. mset-clss (raw-conc-init-clss S)
abbreviation conc-learned-clss ≡ λS. mset-clss (raw-conc-learned-clss S)
abbreviation conc-conflicting ≡ λS. map-option mset-ccls (raw-conc-conflicting S)

notation in-clss (infix !∈! 50)
notation union-clss (infix ⊕ 50)
notation insert-clss (infix !++! 50)

notation union-ccls (infix !∪ 50)

definition raw-clauses :: 'st ⇒ 'clss where
raw-clauses S = union-clss (raw-conc-init-clss S) (raw-conc-learned-clss S)

abbreviation conc-clauses :: 'st ⇒ 'v clauses where
conc-clauses S ≡ mset-clss (raw-clauses S)

definition state :: 'st ⇒ 'v cdclW-mset where
state = (λS. (conc-trail S, conc-init-clss S, conc-learned-clss S, conc-backtrack-lvl S,
  conc-conflicting S))

end

```

We are using an abstract state to abstract away the detail of the implementation: we do not need to know how the clauses are represented internally, we just need to know that they can be converted to multisets.

Weidenbach state is a five-tuple composed of:

1. the trail is a list of decided literals;
2. the initial set of clauses (that is not changed during the whole calculus);
3. the learned clauses (clauses can be added or remove);
4. the maximum level of the trail;
5. the conflicting clause (if any has been found so far).

There are two different clause representation: one for the conflicting clause (*'ccls*, standing for conflicting clause) and one for the initial and learned clauses (*'cls*, standing for clause). The representation of the clauses annotating literals in the trail is slightly different: being able to convert it to *'v CDCL-Abstract-Clause-Representation.clause* is enough (needed for function *hd-raw-conc-trail* below).

There are several axioms to state the independance of the different fields of the state: for example, adding a clause to the learned clauses does not change the trail.

locale *abs-state_W* =

abs-state_W-ops

— functions for clauses:

mset-cls

mset-clss union-clss in-clss insert-clss remove-from-clss

— functions for the conflicting clause:

mset-ccls union-ccls remove-clit

— Conversion between conflicting and non-conflicting

ccls-of-cls cls-of-ccls

— functions about the state:

— getter:

conc-trail hd-raw-conc-trail raw-conc-init-clss raw-conc-learned-clss conc-backtrack-lvl

raw-conc-conflicting

— setter:

cons-conc-trail tl-conc-trail add-conc-conflict-to-learned-cls remove-cls update-conc-backtrack-lvl

mark-conflicting reduce-conc-trail-to resolve-conflicting

— Some specific states:

conc-init-state

restart-state

for

mset-cls :: *'cls* ⇒ *'v clause* **and**

mset-clss :: *'clss* ⇒ *'v clauses* **and**

union-clss :: *'clss* ⇒ *'clss* ⇒ *'clss* **and**

in-clss :: *'cls* ⇒ *'clss* ⇒ *bool* **and**

insert-clss :: *'cls* ⇒ *'clss* ⇒ *'clss* **and**

remove-from-clss :: *'cls* ⇒ *'clss* ⇒ *'clss* **and**

mset-ccls :: *'ccls* ⇒ *'v clause* **and**

union-ccls :: *'ccls* ⇒ *'ccls* ⇒ *'ccls* **and**

remove-clit :: *'v literal* ⇒ *'ccls* ⇒ *'ccls* **and**

ccls-of-cls :: *'cls* ⇒ *'ccls* **and**

cls-of-ccls :: 'ccls \Rightarrow 'cls **and**

conc-trail :: 'st \Rightarrow ('v, 'v clause) ann-lits **and**

hd-raw-conc-trail :: 'st \Rightarrow ('v, 'cls) ann-lit **and**

raw-conc-init-clss :: 'st \Rightarrow 'clss **and**

raw-conc-learned-clss :: 'st \Rightarrow 'clss **and**

conc-backtrack-lvl :: 'st \Rightarrow nat **and**

raw-conc-conflicting :: 'st \Rightarrow 'ccls option **and**

cons-conc-trail :: ('v, 'cls) ann-lit \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**

tl-conc-trail :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**

add-conc-conflict-to-learned-clss :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**

remove-clss :: 'cls \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**

update-conc-backtrack-lvl :: nat \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**

mark-conflicting :: 'ccls \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**

reduce-conc-trail-to :: ('v, 'v clause) ann-lits \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**

resolve-conflicting :: 'v literal \Rightarrow 'cls \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**

conc-init-state :: 'clss \Rightarrow 'st **and**

restart-state :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st +

assumes

— Definition of *hd-raw-trail*:

hd-raw-conc-trail:

conc-trail $S \neq [] \implies \text{mmset-of-mlit } (\text{hd-raw-conc-trail } S) = \text{hd } (\text{conc-trail } S)$ **and**

cons-conc-trail:

$\bigwedge S'. \text{undefined-lit } (\text{conc-trail } st) (\text{lit-of } L) \implies$

$\text{state } st = (M, S') \implies$

$\text{state } (\text{cons-conc-trail } L \text{ } st) = (\text{mmset-of-mlit } L \# M, S')$ **and**

tl-conc-trail:

$\bigwedge S'. \text{state } st = (M, S') \implies \text{state } (\text{tl-conc-trail } st) = (\text{tl } M, S')$ **and**

remove-clss:

$\bigwedge S'. \text{state } st = (M, N, U, S') \implies$

$\text{state } (\text{remove-clss } C \text{ } st) =$

$(M, \text{removeAll-mset } (\text{mset-clss } C) \text{ } N, \text{removeAll-mset } (\text{mset-clss } C) \text{ } U, S')$ **and**

add-conc-conflict-to-learned-clss:

$\text{no-dup } (\text{conc-trail } st) \implies \text{state } st = (M, N, U, k, \text{Some } F) \implies$

$\text{state } (\text{add-conc-conflict-to-learned-clss } st) =$

$(M, N, \{\#F\# \} + U, k, \text{None})$ **and**

update-conc-backtrack-lvl:

$\bigwedge S'. \text{state } st = (M, N, U, k, S') \implies$

$\text{state } (\text{update-conc-backtrack-lvl } k' \text{ } st) = (M, N, U, k', S')$ **and**

mark-conflicting:

$\text{state } st = (M, N, U, k, \text{None}) \implies$

$\text{state } (\text{mark-conflicting } E \text{ } st) = (M, N, U, k, \text{Some } (\text{mset-clss } E))$ **and**

conc-conflicting-mark-conflicting[simp]:

$\text{raw-conc-conflicting } (\text{mark-conflicting } E \text{ } st) = \text{Some } E$ **and**

resolve-conflicting:

$\text{state } st = (M, N, U, k, \text{Some } F) \implies -L' \in \# F \implies L' \in \# \text{mset-clss } D \implies$

$\text{state } (\text{resolve-conflicting } L' \text{ } D \text{ } st) =$

$(M, N, U, k, \text{Some } (cdcl_W\text{-mset.resolve-cl } L' F \text{ (mset-cl } D)))$ **and**

conc-init-state:

state (*conc-init-state* *Ns*) = (\square , *mset-clss* *Ns*, $\{\#\}$, 0, *None*) **and**

— Properties about restarting *restart-state*:

conc-trail-restart-state[*simp*]: *conc-trail* (*restart-state* *S*) = \square **and**

conc-init-clss-restart-state[*simp*]: *conc-init-clss* (*restart-state* *S*) = *conc-init-clss* *S* **and**

conc-learned-clss-restart-state[*intro*]:

conc-learned-clss (*restart-state* *S*) $\subseteq \#$ *conc-learned-clss* *S* **and**

conc-backtrack-lvl-restart-state[*simp*]: *conc-backtrack-lvl* (*restart-state* *S*) = 0 **and**

conc-conflicting-restart-state[*simp*]: *conc-conflicting* (*restart-state* *S*) = *None* **and**

— Properties about *reduce-conc-trail-to*:

reduce-conc-trail-to[*simp*]:

$\bigwedge S'. \text{conc-trail } st = M2 \text{ @ } M1 \implies \text{state } st = (M, S') \implies$

state (*reduce-conc-trail-to* *M1* *st*) = (*M1*, *S'*)

begin

lemma

— Properties about the trail *conc-trail*:

conc-trail-cons-conc-trail[*simp*]:

undefined-lit (*conc-trail* *st*) (*lit-of* *L*) \implies

conc-trail (*cons-conc-trail* *L* *st*) = *mmset-of-mlit* *L* $\#$ *conc-trail* *st* **and**

conc-trail-tl-conc-trail[*simp*]:

conc-trail (*tl-conc-trail* *st*) = *tl* (*conc-trail* *st*) **and**

conc-trail-add-conc-conf-to-learned-cl[*simp*]:

no-dup (*conc-trail* *st*) \implies *conc-conflicting* *st* \neq *None* \implies

conc-trail (*add-conc-conf-to-learned-cl* *st*) = *conc-trail* *st* **and**

conc-trail-remove-cl[*simp*]:

conc-trail (*remove-cl* *C* *st*) = *conc-trail* *st* **and**

conc-trail-update-conc-backtrack-lvl[*simp*]:

conc-trail (*update-conc-backtrack-lvl* *k* *st*) = *conc-trail* *st* **and**

conc-trail-mark-conflicting[*simp*]:

raw-conc-conflicting *st* = *None* \implies *conc-trail* (*mark-conflicting* *E* *st*) = *conc-trail* *st* **and**

conc-trail-resolve-conflicting[*simp*]:

conc-conflicting *st* = *Some* *F* $\implies -L' \in \# F \implies L' \in \# \text{mset-cl } D \implies$

conc-trail (*resolve-conflicting* *L' D* *st*) = *conc-trail* *st* **and**

— Properties about the initial clauses *conc-init-clss*:

conc-init-clss-cons-conc-trail[*simp*]:

undefined-lit (*conc-trail* *st*) (*lit-of* *L*) \implies

conc-init-clss (*cons-conc-trail* *L* *st*) = *conc-init-clss* *st*

and

conc-init-clss-tl-conc-trail[*simp*]:

conc-init-clss (*tl-conc-trail* *st*) = *conc-init-clss* *st* **and**

conc-init-clss-add-conc-conf-to-learned-cl[*simp*]:

no-dup (*conc-trail* *st*) \implies *conc-conflicting* *st* \neq *None* \implies

conc-init-clss (*add-conc-conf-to-learned-cl* *st*) = *conc-init-clss* *st* **and**

conc-init-clss-remove-cl[*simp*]:

conc-init-clss (*remove-cl* *C* *st*) = *removeAll-mset* (*mset-cl* *C*) (*conc-init-clss* *st*) **and**

conc-init-clss-update-conc-backtrack-lvl[*simp*]:

conc-init-clss (*update-conc-backtrack-lvl* *k* *st*) = *conc-init-clss* *st* **and**

conc-init-clss-mark-conflicting[*simp*]:

raw-conc-conflicting *st* = *None* \implies

conc-init-clss (*mark-conflicting* *E* *st*) = *conc-init-clss* *st* **and**

conc-init-clss-resolve-conflicting[simp]:
 $\text{conc-conflicting } st = \text{Some } F \implies -L' \in \# F \implies L' \in \# \text{ mset-cls } D \implies$
 $\text{conc-init-clss } (\text{resolve-conflicting } L' D st) = \text{conc-init-clss } st \text{ and}$

— Properties about the learned clauses *conc-learned-clss*:
conc-learned-clss-cons-conc-trail[simp]:
 $\text{undefined-lit } (\text{conc-trail } st) (\text{lit-of } L) \implies$
 $\text{conc-learned-clss } (\text{cons-conc-trail } L st) = \text{conc-learned-clss } st \text{ and}$
conc-learned-clss-tl-conc-trail[simp]:
 $\text{conc-learned-clss } (\text{tl-conc-trail } st) = \text{conc-learned-clss } st \text{ and}$
conc-learned-clss-add-conc-conflict-to-learned-cls[simp]:
 $\text{no-dup } (\text{conc-trail } st) \implies \text{conc-conflicting } st = \text{Some } C' \implies$
 $\text{conc-learned-clss } (\text{add-conc-conflict-to-learned-cls } st) = \{\#C'\# \} + \text{conc-learned-clss } st \text{ and}$
conc-learned-clss-remove-cls[simp]:
 $\text{conc-learned-clss } (\text{remove-cls } C st) = \text{removeAll-mset } (\text{mset-cls } C) (\text{conc-learned-clss } st) \text{ and}$
conc-learned-clss-update-conc-backtrack-lvl[simp]:
 $\text{conc-learned-clss } (\text{update-conc-backtrack-lvl } k st) = \text{conc-learned-clss } st \text{ and}$
conc-learned-clss-mark-conflicting[simp]:
 $\text{raw-conc-conflicting } st = \text{None} \implies$
 $\text{conc-learned-clss } (\text{mark-conflicting } E st) = \text{conc-learned-clss } st \text{ and}$
conc-learned-clss-clss-resolve-conflicting[simp]:
 $\text{conc-conflicting } st = \text{Some } F \implies -L' \in \# F \implies L' \in \# \text{ mset-cls } D \implies$
 $\text{conc-learned-clss } (\text{resolve-conflicting } L' D st) = \text{conc-learned-clss } st \text{ and}$

— Properties about the backtracking level *conc-backtrack-lvl*:
conc-backtrack-lvl-cons-conc-trail[simp]:
 $\text{undefined-lit } (\text{conc-trail } st) (\text{lit-of } L) \implies$
 $\text{conc-backtrack-lvl } (\text{cons-conc-trail } L st) = \text{conc-backtrack-lvl } st \text{ and}$
conc-backtrack-lvl-tl-conc-trail[simp]:
 $\text{conc-backtrack-lvl } (\text{tl-conc-trail } st) = \text{conc-backtrack-lvl } st \text{ and}$
conc-backtrack-lvl-add-conc-conflict-to-learned-cls[simp]:
 $\text{no-dup } (\text{conc-trail } st) \implies \text{conc-conflicting } st \neq \text{None} \implies$
 $\text{conc-backtrack-lvl } (\text{add-conc-conflict-to-learned-cls } st) = \text{conc-backtrack-lvl } st \text{ and}$
conc-backtrack-lvl-remove-cls[simp]:
 $\text{conc-backtrack-lvl } (\text{remove-cls } C st) = \text{conc-backtrack-lvl } st \text{ and}$
conc-backtrack-lvl-update-conc-backtrack-lvl[simp]:
 $\text{conc-backtrack-lvl } (\text{update-conc-backtrack-lvl } k st) = k \text{ and}$
conc-backtrack-lvl-mark-conflicting[simp]:
 $\text{raw-conc-conflicting } st = \text{None} \implies$
 $\text{conc-backtrack-lvl } (\text{mark-conflicting } E st) = \text{conc-backtrack-lvl } st \text{ and}$
conc-backtrack-lvl-clss-clss-resolve-conflicting[simp]:
 $\text{conc-conflicting } st = \text{Some } F \implies -L' \in \# F \implies L' \in \# \text{ mset-cls } D \implies$
 $\text{conc-backtrack-lvl } (\text{resolve-conflicting } L' D st) = \text{conc-backtrack-lvl } st \text{ and}$

— Properties about the conflicting clause *conc-conflicting*:
conc-conflicting-cons-conc-trail[simp]:
 $\text{undefined-lit } (\text{conc-trail } st) (\text{lit-of } L) \implies$
 $\text{conc-conflicting } (\text{cons-conc-trail } L st) = \text{conc-conflicting } st \text{ and}$
conc-conflicting-tl-conc-trail[simp]:
 $\text{conc-conflicting } (\text{tl-conc-trail } st) = \text{conc-conflicting } st \text{ and}$
conc-conflicting-add-conc-conflict-to-learned-cls[simp]:
 $\text{no-dup } (\text{conc-trail } st) \implies \text{conc-conflicting } st = \text{Some } C' \implies$
 $\text{conc-conflicting } (\text{add-conc-conflict-to-learned-cls } st) = \text{None}$
and
raw-conc-conflicting-add-conc-conflict-to-learned-cls[simp]:
 $\text{no-dup } (\text{conc-trail } st) \implies \text{conc-conflicting } st = \text{Some } C' \implies$

$\text{raw-conc-conflicting } (\text{add-conc-conf-to-learned-cls } st) = \text{None}$ **and**
 $\text{conc-conflicting-remove-cls}[simp]:$
 $\text{conc-conflicting } (\text{remove-cls } C \ st) = \text{conc-conflicting } st$ **and**
 $\text{conc-conflicting-update-conc-backtrack-lvl}[simp]:$
 $\text{conc-conflicting } (\text{update-conc-backtrack-lvl } k \ st) = \text{conc-conflicting } st$ **and**
 $\text{conc-conflicting-clss-clss-resolve-conflicting}[simp]:$
 $\text{conc-conflicting } st = \text{Some } F \implies -L' \in \# \ F \implies L' \in \# \ \text{mset-cls } D \implies$
 $\text{conc-conflicting } (\text{resolve-conflicting } L' \ D \ st) =$
 $\text{Some } (\text{cdcl}_W\text{-mset.resolve-cls } L' \ F \ (\text{mset-cls } D))$ **and**

— Properties about the initial state conc-init-state :

$\text{conc-init-state-conc-trail}[simp]: \text{conc-trail } (\text{conc-init-state } Ns) = []$ **and**
 $\text{conc-init-state-clss}[simp]: \text{conc-init-clss } (\text{conc-init-state } Ns) = \text{mset-clss } Ns$ **and**
 $\text{conc-init-state-conc-learned-clss}[simp]: \text{conc-learned-clss } (\text{conc-init-state } Ns) = \{\#\}$ **and**
 $\text{conc-init-state-conc-backtrack-lvl}[simp]: \text{conc-backtrack-lvl } (\text{conc-init-state } Ns) = 0$ **and**
 $\text{conc-init-state-conc-conflicting}[simp]: \text{conc-conflicting } (\text{conc-init-state } Ns) = \text{None}$ **and**

— Properties about $\text{reduce-conc-trail-to}$:

$\text{trail-reduce-conc-trail-to}[simp]:$
 $\text{conc-trail } st = M2 \ @ \ M1 \implies \text{conc-trail } (\text{reduce-conc-trail-to } M1 \ st) = M1$ **and**
 $\text{conc-init-clss-reduce-conc-trail-to}[simp]:$
 $\text{conc-trail } st = M2 \ @ \ M1 \implies$
 $\text{conc-init-clss } (\text{reduce-conc-trail-to } M1 \ st) = \text{conc-init-clss } st$ **and**
 $\text{conc-learned-clss-reduce-conc-trail-to}[simp]:$
 $\text{conc-trail } st = M2 \ @ \ M1 \implies$
 $\text{conc-learned-clss } (\text{reduce-conc-trail-to } M1 \ st) = \text{conc-learned-clss } st$ **and**
 $\text{conc-backtrack-lvl-reduce-conc-trail-to}[simp]:$
 $\text{conc-trail } st = M2 \ @ \ M1 \implies$
 $\text{conc-backtrack-lvl } (\text{reduce-conc-trail-to } M1 \ st) = \text{conc-backtrack-lvl } st$ **and**
 $\text{conc-conflicting-reduce-conc-trail-to}[simp]:$
 $\text{conc-trail } st = M2 \ @ \ M1 \implies$
 $\text{conc-conflicting } (\text{reduce-conc-trail-to } M1 \ st) = \text{conc-conflicting } st$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma
shows

$\text{clauses-cons-conc-trail}[simp]:$
 $\text{undefined-lit } (\text{conc-trail } S) \ (\text{lit-of } L) \implies$
 $\text{conc-clauses } (\text{cons-conc-trail } L \ S) = \text{conc-clauses } S$ **and**
 $\text{clss-tl-conc-trail}[simp]: \text{conc-clauses } (\text{tl-conc-trail } S) = \text{conc-clauses } S$ **and**
 $\text{clauses-update-conc-backtrack-lvl}[simp]:$
 $\text{conc-clauses } (\text{update-conc-backtrack-lvl } k \ S) = \text{conc-clauses } S$ **and**
 $\text{clauses-mark-conflicting}[simp]:$
 $\text{raw-conc-conflicting } S = \text{None} \implies$
 $\text{conc-clauses } (\text{mark-conflicting } D \ S) = \text{conc-clauses } S$ **and**
 $\text{clauses-remove-cls}[simp]:$
 $\text{conc-clauses } (\text{remove-cls } C \ S) = \text{removeAll-mset } (\text{mset-cls } C) \ (\text{conc-clauses } S)$ **and**
 $\text{clauses-add-conc-conf-to-learned-cls}[simp]:$
 $\text{no-dup } (\text{conc-trail } S) \implies \text{conc-conflicting } S = \text{Some } C' \implies$
 $\text{conc-clauses } (\text{add-conc-conf-to-learned-cls } S) = \{\#C'\#\} + \text{conc-clauses } S$ **and**
 $\text{clauses-restart}[simp]: \text{conc-clauses } (\text{restart-state } S) \subseteq \# \ \text{conc-clauses } S$ **and**
 $\text{clauses-conc-init-state}[simp]: \bigwedge N. \text{conc-clauses } (\text{conc-init-state } N) = \text{mset-clss } N$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

abbreviation *incr-lvl* :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st **where**
incr-lvl *S* \equiv *update-conc-backtrack-lvl* (*conc-backtrack-lvl* *S* + 1) *S*

abbreviation *state-eq* :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool (**infix** \sim 36) **where**
S \sim *T* \equiv *state* *S* \sim_m *state* *T*

lemma *state-eq-sym*:

S \sim *T* \longleftrightarrow *T* \sim *S*
 \langle proof \rangle

lemma *state-eq-trans*:

S \sim *T* \Longrightarrow *T* \sim *U* \Longrightarrow *S* \sim *U*
 \langle proof \rangle

lemma

shows

state-eq-conc-trail: *S* \sim *T* \Longrightarrow *conc-trail* *S* = *conc-trail* *T* **and**
state-eq-conc-init-clss: *S* \sim *T* \Longrightarrow *conc-init-clss* *S* = *conc-init-clss* *T* **and**
state-eq-conc-learned-clss: *S* \sim *T* \Longrightarrow *conc-learned-clss* *S* = *conc-learned-clss* *T* **and**
state-eq-conc-backtrack-lvl: *S* \sim *T* \Longrightarrow *conc-backtrack-lvl* *S* = *conc-backtrack-lvl* *T* **and**
state-eq-conc-conflicting: *S* \sim *T* \Longrightarrow *conc-conflicting* *S* = *conc-conflicting* *T* **and**
state-eq-clauses: *S* \sim *T* \Longrightarrow *conc-clauses* *S* = *conc-clauses* *T* **and**
state-eq-undefined-lit:
S \sim *T* \Longrightarrow *undefined-lit* (*conc-trail* *S*) *L* = *undefined-lit* (*conc-trail* *T*) *L*
 \langle proof \rangle

We combine all simplification rules about *op* \sim in a single list of theorems. While they are handy as simplification rule as long as we are working on the state, they also cause a *huge* slow-down in all other cases.

lemmas *state-simp* = *state-eq-conc-trail* *state-eq-conc-init-clss* *state-eq-conc-learned-clss*
state-eq-conc-backtrack-lvl *state-eq-conc-conflicting* *state-eq-clauses* *state-eq-undefined-lit*

lemma *atms-of-ms-conc-learned-clss-restart-state-in-atms-of-ms-conc-learned-clssI*[intro]:

x \in *atms-of-mm* (*conc-learned-clss* (*restart-state* *S*)) \Longrightarrow *x* \in *atms-of-mm* (*conc-learned-clss* *S*)
 \langle proof \rangle

lemma *clauses-reduce-conc-trail-to*[simp]:

conc-trail *S* = *M2* @ *M1* \Longrightarrow *conc-clauses* (*reduce-conc-trail-to* *M1* *S*) = *conc-clauses* *S*
 \langle proof \rangle

lemma *in-get-all-ann-decomposition-conc-trail-update-conc-trail*[simp]:

assumes *H*: (*L* # *M1*, *M2*) \in *set* (*get-all-ann-decomposition* (*conc-trail* *S*))
shows *conc-trail* (*reduce-conc-trail-to* *M1* *S*) = *M1*
 \langle proof \rangle

lemma *raw-conc-conflicting-cons-conc-trail*[simp]:

assumes *undefined-lit* (*conc-trail* *S*) (*lit-of* *L*)
shows

raw-conc-conflicting (*cons-conc-trail* *L* *S*) = *None* \longleftrightarrow *raw-conc-conflicting* *S* = *None*
 \langle proof \rangle

lemma *raw-conc-conflicting-update-backtrack-lvl*[simp]:

raw-conc-conflicting (*update-conc-backtrack-lvl* *k* *S*) = *None* \longleftrightarrow *raw-conc-conflicting* *S* = *None*
 \langle proof \rangle

end — end of $state_W$ locale

3.5.4 CDCL Rules

locale *abs-conflict-driven-clause-learning_W* =
 abs-state_W
 — functions for clauses:
 mset-cls
 mset-clss union-clss in-clss insert-clss remove-from-clss

 — functions for the conflicting clause:
 mset-ccls union-ccls remove-clit

 — conversion
 ccls-of-cls cls-of-ccls

 — functions for the state:
 — access functions:
 conc-trail hd-raw-conc-trail raw-conc-init-clss raw-conc-learned-clss conc-backtrack-lvl
 raw-conc-conflicting
 — changing state:
 cons-conc-trail tl-conc-trail add-conc-confl-to-learned-cls remove-cls update-conc-backtrack-lvl
 mark-conflicting reduce-conc-trail-to resolve-conflicting

 — get state:
 conc-init-state
 restart-state
for
 mset-cls :: '*cls* ⇒ '*v* clause **and**

 mset-clss :: '*clss* ⇒ '*v* clauses **and**
 union-clss :: '*clss* ⇒ '*clss* ⇒ '*clss* **and**
 in-clss :: '*cls* ⇒ '*clss* ⇒ *bool* **and**
 insert-clss :: '*cls* ⇒ '*clss* ⇒ '*clss* **and**
 remove-from-clss :: '*cls* ⇒ '*clss* ⇒ '*clss* **and**

 mset-ccls :: '*ccls* ⇒ '*v* clause **and**
 union-ccls :: '*ccls* ⇒ '*ccls* ⇒ '*ccls* **and**
 remove-clit :: '*v* literal ⇒ '*ccls* ⇒ '*ccls* **and**

 ccls-of-cls :: '*cls* ⇒ '*ccls* **and**
 cls-of-ccls :: '*ccls* ⇒ '*cls* **and**

 conc-trail :: '*st* ⇒ ('*v*, '*v* clause) *ann-lits* **and**
 hd-raw-conc-trail :: '*st* ⇒ ('*v*, '*cls*) *ann-lit* **and**
 raw-conc-init-clss :: '*st* ⇒ '*clss* **and**
 raw-conc-learned-clss :: '*st* ⇒ '*clss* **and**
 conc-backtrack-lvl :: '*st* ⇒ *nat* **and**
 raw-conc-conflicting :: '*st* ⇒ '*ccls* *option* **and**

 cons-conc-trail :: ('*v*, '*cls*) *ann-lit* ⇒ '*st* ⇒ '*st* **and**
 tl-conc-trail :: '*st* ⇒ '*st* **and**
 add-conc-confl-to-learned-cls :: '*st* ⇒ '*st* **and**
 remove-cls :: '*cls* ⇒ '*st* ⇒ '*st* **and**
 update-conc-backtrack-lvl :: *nat* ⇒ '*st* ⇒ '*st* **and**
 mark-conflicting :: '*ccls* ⇒ '*st* ⇒ '*st* **and**

reduce-conc-trail-to :: ('v, 'v clause) ann-lits \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**
resolve-conflicting :: 'v literal \Rightarrow 'cls \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**

conc-init-state :: 'clss \Rightarrow 'st **and**
restart-state :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st

begin

lemma *clauses-state-conc-clauses[simp]*: $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-mset.clauses (state } S) = \text{conc-clauses } S$
 <proof>

lemma *conflicting-None-iff-raw-conc-conflicting[simp]*:
conflicting (state S) = None \longleftrightarrow *raw-conc-conflicting S = None*
 <proof>

lemma *trail-state-add-conc-confl-to-learned-cls*:
no-dup (conc-trail S) \implies conc-conflicting S \neq None \implies
trail (state (add-conc-confl-to-learned-cls S)) = trail (state S)
 <proof>

lemma *trail-state-update-backtrack-lvl*:
trail (state (update-conc-backtrack-lvl i S)) = trail (state S)
 <proof>

lemma *trail-state-update-conflicting*:
raw-conc-conflicting S = None \implies trail (state (mark-conflicting i S)) = trail (state S)
 <proof>

lemma *trail-state-conc-trail[simp]*:
trail (state S) = conc-trail S
 <proof>

lemma *init-clss-state-conc-init-clss[simp]*:
init-clss (state S) = conc-init-clss S
 <proof>

lemma *learned-clss-state-conc-learned-clss[simp]*:
learned-clss (state S) = conc-learned-clss S
 <proof>

lemma *tl-trail-state-tl-con-trail[simp]*:
tl-trail (state S) = state (tl-conc-trail S)
 <proof>

lemma *add-learned-clss-state-add-conc-confl-to-learned-clss[simp]*:
assumes *no-dup (conc-trail S)* **and** *raw-conc-conflicting S = Some D*
shows *update-conflicting None (add-learned-clss (mset-ccls D) (state S)) =*
state (add-conc-confl-to-learned-clss S)
 <proof>

lemma *state-cons-cons-trail-cons-trail[simp]*:
undefined-lit (trail (state S)) (lit-of L) \implies
cons-trail (mmset-of-mlit L) (state S) = state (cons-conc-trail L S)
 <proof>

lemma *state-cons-cons-trail-cons-trail-propagated[simp]*:
undefined-lit (trail (state S)) K \implies

$\text{cons-trail } (\text{Propagated } K \text{ (mset-cls } C)) \text{ (state } S) = \text{state } (\text{cons-conc-trail } (\text{Propagated } K \text{ } C) \text{ } S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *state-cons-cons-trail-cons-trail-propagated-ccls[simp]*:

$\text{undefined-lit } (\text{trail } (\text{state } S)) \text{ } K \implies$
 $\text{cons-trail } (\text{Propagated } K \text{ (mset-ccls } C)) \text{ (state } S) =$
 $\text{state } (\text{cons-conc-trail } (\text{Propagated } K \text{ (cls-of-ccls } C)) \text{ } S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *state-cons-cons-trail-cons-trail-decided[simp]*:

$\text{undefined-lit } (\text{trail } (\text{state } S)) \text{ } K \implies$
 $\text{cons-trail } (\text{Decided } K) \text{ (state } S) = \text{state } (\text{cons-conc-trail } (\text{Decided } K) \text{ } S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *state-mark-conflicting-update-conflicting[simp]*:

assumes $\text{raw-conc-conflicting } S = \text{None}$

shows

$\text{update-conflicting } (\text{Some } (\text{mset-ccls } D)) \text{ (state } S) = \text{state } (\text{mark-conflicting } D \text{ } S)$
 $\text{update-conflicting } (\text{Some } (\text{mset-cls } D')) \text{ (state } S) =$
 $\text{state } (\text{mark-conflicting } ((\text{ccls-of-cls } D')) \text{ } S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *update-backtrack-lvl-state[simp]*:

$\text{update-backtrack-lvl } i \text{ (state } S) = \text{state } (\text{update-conc-backtrack-lvl } i \text{ } S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *conc-conflicting-conflicting[simp]*:

$\text{conflicting } (\text{state } S) = \text{conc-conflicting } S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *update-conflicting-resolve-state-mark-conflicting[simp]*:

$\text{raw-conc-conflicting } S = \text{Some } D' \implies -L \in \# \text{ mset-ccls } D' \implies L \in \# \text{ mset-cls } E' \implies$
 $\text{update-conflicting } (\text{Some } (\text{remove1-mset } (- L) (\text{mset-ccls } D') \# \cup \text{remove1-mset } L (\text{mset-cls } E')))$
 $(\text{state } (\text{tl-conc-trail } S)) =$
 $\text{state } (\text{resolve-conflicting } L \text{ } E' (\text{tl-conc-trail } S))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *add-learned-update-backtrack-update-conflicting[simp]*:

$\text{no-dup } (\text{conc-trail } S) \implies \text{raw-conc-conflicting } S = \text{Some } D' \implies \text{add-learned-cls } (\text{mset-ccls } D')$
 $(\text{update-backtrack-lvl } i$
 $(\text{update-conflicting } \text{None}$
 $(\text{state } S))) =$
 $\text{state } (\text{add-conc-conf-to-learned-cls } (\text{update-conc-backtrack-lvl } i \text{ } S))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *conc-backtrack-lvl-backtrack-lvl[simp]*:

$\text{backtrack-lvl } (\text{state } S) = \text{conc-backtrack-lvl } S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *state-state*:

$\text{cdcl}_W\text{-mset.state } (\text{state } S) = (\text{trail } (\text{state } S), \text{init-clss } (\text{state } S), \text{learned-clss } (\text{state } S),$
 $\text{backtrack-lvl } (\text{state } S), \text{conflicting } (\text{state } S))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *state-reduce-conc-trail-to-reduce-conc-trail-to[simp]*:

assumes $[\text{simp}]$: $\text{conc-trail } S = M2 \text{ } @ \text{ } M1$

shows $cdcl_W\text{-mset.reduce-trail-to } M1 \text{ (state } S) = \text{state (reduce-conc-trail-to } M1 \text{ } S) \text{ (is ?RS = ?SR)}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *state-conc-init-state*: $\text{state (conc-init-state } N) = \text{init-state (mset-clss } N)$
 ⟨proof⟩

More robust version of *in-mset-clss-exists-preimage*:

lemma *in-clauses-preimage*:

assumes $b: b \in \# \text{ cdcl}_W\text{-mset.clauses (state } C)$
shows $\exists b'. b' \in \# \text{ raw-clauses } C \wedge \text{mset-clss } b' = b$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *state-reduce-conc-trail-to-reduce-conc-trail-to-decomp[simp]*:

assumes $(P \# M1, M2) \in \text{set (get-all-ann-decomposition (conc-trail } S))$

shows $cdcl_W\text{-mset.reduce-trail-to } M1 \text{ (state } S) = \text{state (reduce-conc-trail-to } M1 \text{ } S)$

⟨proof⟩

inductive *propagate-abs* :: $'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **for** $S :: 'st$ **where**

propagate-abs-rule: $\text{conc-conflicting } S = \text{None} \implies$

$E \in \# \text{ raw-clauses } S \implies$

$L \in \# \text{ mset-clss } E \implies$

$\text{conc-trail } S \models_{\text{as}} \text{CNot (mset-clss } E - \{\#L\# \}) \implies$

$\text{undefined-lit (conc-trail } S) \text{ } L \implies$

$T \sim \text{cons-conc-trail (Propagated } L \text{ } E) \text{ } S \implies$

$\text{propagate-abs } S \text{ } T$

inductive-cases *propagate-absE*: $\text{propagate-abs } S \text{ } T$

lemma *propagate-propagate-abs*:

$cdcl_W\text{-mset.propagate (state } S) \text{ (state } T) \longleftrightarrow \text{propagate-abs } S \text{ } T \text{ (is ?mset } \longleftrightarrow \text{ ?abs)}$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *propagate-compatible-abs*:

assumes SS' : $S \sim_m \text{state } S'$ **and** $\text{abs: } cdcl_W\text{-mset.propagate } S \text{ } T$

obtains U **where** $\text{propagate-abs } S' \text{ } U$ **and** $T \sim_m \text{state } U$

⟨proof⟩

interpretation *propagate-abs*: $\text{relation-relation-abs } cdcl_W\text{-mset.propagate propagate-abs state}$

$\lambda\cdot. \text{True}$

⟨proof⟩

inductive *conflict-abs* :: $'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **for** $S :: 'st$ **where**

conflict-abs-rule:

$\text{conc-conflicting } S = \text{None} \implies$

$D \in \# \text{ raw-clauses } S \implies$

$\text{conc-trail } S \models_{\text{as}} \text{CNot (mset-clss } D) \implies$

$T \sim \text{mark-conflicting (ccls-of-clss } D) \text{ } S \implies$

$\text{conflict-abs } S \text{ } T$

inductive-cases *conflict-absE*: $\text{conflict-abs } S \text{ } T$

lemma *conflict-conflict-abs*:

$cdcl_W\text{-mset.conflict (state } S) \text{ (state } T) \longleftrightarrow \text{conflict-abs } S \text{ } T \text{ (is ?mset } \longleftrightarrow \text{ ?abs)}$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *conflict-compatible-abs*:

assumes SS' : $S \sim_m \text{state } S'$ **and** *conflict*: $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-mset.conflict } S \ T$
obtains U **where** *conflict-abs* $S' \ U$ **and** $T \sim_m \text{state } U$
 ⟨proof⟩

interpretation *conflict-abs*: *relation-relation-abs* $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-mset.conflict}$ *conflict-abs* *state*
 $\lambda\cdot. \text{True}$
 ⟨proof⟩

inductive *backtrack-abs* :: $'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **for** $S :: 'st$ **where**
backtrack-abs-rule:

$\text{raw-conc-conflicting } S = \text{Some } D \implies$
 $L \in \# \text{ mset-ccls } D \implies$
 $(\text{Decided } K \ \# \ M1, \ M2) \in \text{set } (\text{get-all-ann-decomposition } (\text{conc-trail } S)) \implies$
 $\text{get-level } (\text{conc-trail } S) \ L = \text{conc-backtrack-lvl } S \implies$
 $\text{get-level } (\text{conc-trail } S) \ L = \text{get-maximum-level } (\text{conc-trail } S) \ (\text{mset-ccls } D) \implies$
 $\text{get-maximum-level } (\text{conc-trail } S) \ (\text{mset-ccls } D - \{\#L\# \}) \equiv i \implies$
 $\text{get-level } (\text{conc-trail } S) \ K = i + 1 \implies$
 $T \sim \text{cons-conc-trail } (\text{Propagated } L \ (\text{cls-of-ccls } D))$
 $(\text{reduce-conc-trail-to } M1$
 $(\text{add-conc-conflict-to-learned-cls}$
 $(\text{update-conc-backtrack-lvl } i \ S))) \implies$
backtrack-abs $S \ T$

inductive-cases *backtrack-absE*: *backtrack-abs* $S \ T$

lemma *backtrack-backtrack-abs*:

assumes *inv*: $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-mset.cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } (\text{state } S)$
shows $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-mset.backtrack } (\text{state } S) \ (\text{state } T) \longleftrightarrow \text{backtrack-abs } S \ T$ (**is** $?conc \longleftrightarrow ?abs$)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *backtrack-exists-backtrack-abs-step*:

assumes *bt*: $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-mset.backtrack } S \ T$ **and** *inv*: $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-mset.cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } S$ **and**
 SS' : $S \sim_m \text{state } S'$
obtains U **where** *backtrack-abs* $S' \ U$ **and** $T \sim_m \text{state } U$
 ⟨proof⟩

interpretation *backtrack-abs*: *relation-relation-abs* $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-mset.backtrack}$ *backtrack-abs* *state*
 $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-mset.cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv}$
 ⟨proof⟩

inductive *decide-abs* :: $'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **for** $S :: 'st$ **where**
decide-abs-rule:

$\text{conc-conflicting } S = \text{None} \implies$
 $\text{undefined-lit } (\text{conc-trail } S) \ L \implies$
 $\text{atm-of } L \in \text{atms-of-mm } (\text{conc-init-clss } S) \implies$
 $T \sim \text{cons-conc-trail } (\text{Decided } L) \ (\text{incr-lvl } S) \implies$
decide-abs $S \ T$

inductive-cases *decide-absE*: *decide-abs* $S \ T$

lemma *decide-decide-abs*:

$\text{cdcl}_W\text{-mset.decide } (\text{state } S) \ (\text{state } T) \longleftrightarrow \text{decide-abs } S \ T$
 ⟨proof⟩

interpretation *decide-abs*: *relation-relation-abs* $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-mset.decide}$ *decide-abs* *state*
 $\lambda\cdot. \text{True}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

inductive *skip-abs* :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool **for** *S* :: 'st **where**

skip-abs-rule:

conc-trail *S* = *Propagated* *L* *C'* # *M* \Rightarrow
raw-conc-conflicting *S* = *Some* *E* \Rightarrow
 $\neg L \in \# \text{ mset-ccls } E \Rightarrow$
mset-ccls *E* $\neq \{\#\}$ \Rightarrow
T \sim *tl-conc-trail* *S* \Rightarrow
skip-abs *S* *T*

inductive-cases *skip-absE*: *skip-abs* *S* *T*

lemma *skip-skip-abs*:

cdcl_W-mset.skip (*state* *S*) (*state* *T*) \longleftrightarrow *skip-abs* *S* *T* (**is** ?*conc* \longleftrightarrow ?*abs*)

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *skip-exists-skip-abs*:

assumes *skip*: *cdcl_W-mset.skip* *S* *T* **and** *SS'*: *S* \sim_m *state* *S'*

obtains *U* **where** *skip-abs* *S'* *U* **and** *T* \sim_m *state* *U*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

interpretation *skip-abs*: *relation-relation-abs* *cdcl_W-mset.skip* *skip-abs* *state*

$\lambda-. \text{ True}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

inductive *resolve-abs* :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool **for** *S* :: 'st **where**

resolve-abs-rule: *conc-trail* *S* $\neq []$ \Rightarrow

hd-raw-conc-trail *S* = *Propagated* *L* *E* \Rightarrow

L $\in \# \text{ mset-cls } E \Rightarrow$

raw-conc-conflicting *S* = *Some* *D'* \Rightarrow

$\neg L \in \# \text{ mset-ccls } D' \Rightarrow$

get-maximum-level (*conc-trail* *S*) (*mset-ccls* (*remove-clit* ($\neg L$) *D'*)) = *conc-backtrack-lvl* *S* \Rightarrow

T \sim *resolve-conflicting* *L* *E* (*tl-conc-trail* *S*) \Rightarrow

resolve-abs *S* *T*

inductive-cases *resolve-absE*: *resolve-abs* *S* *T*

lemma *resolve-resolve-abs*:

cdcl_W-mset.resolve (*state* *S*) (*state* *T*) \longleftrightarrow *resolve-abs* *S* *T* (**is** ?*conc* \longleftrightarrow ?*abs*)

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *resolve-exists-resolve-abs*:

assumes

res: *cdcl_W-mset.resolve* *S* *T* **and**

SS': *S* \sim_m *state* *S'*

obtains *U* **where** *resolve-abs* *S'* *U* **and** *T* \sim_m *state* *U*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

interpretation *resolve-abs*: *relation-relation-abs* *cdcl_W-mset.resolve* *resolve-abs* *state*

$\lambda-. \text{ True}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

inductive *restart* :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool **for** *S* :: 'st **where**

restart: *conc-conflicting* *S* = *None* \Rightarrow

$\neg \text{conc-trail } S \models_{\text{asm}} \text{conc-clauses } S \Rightarrow$

$T \sim \text{restart-state } S \implies$
 $\text{restart } S \ T$

inductive-cases restartE : $\text{restart } S \ T$

We add the condition $C \notin \# \text{conc-init-clss } S$, to maintain consistency even without the strategy.

inductive $\text{forget} :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

forget-rule :

$\text{conc-conflicting } S = \text{None} \implies$
 $C \in ! \text{raw-conc-learned-clss } S \implies$
 $\neg(\text{conc-trail } S) \models \text{asm clauses } S \implies$
 $\text{mset-cls } C \notin \text{set } (\text{get-all-mark-of-propagated } (\text{conc-trail } S)) \implies$
 $\text{mset-cls } C \notin \# \text{conc-init-clss } S \implies$
 $T \sim \text{remove-cls } C \ S \implies$
 $\text{forget } S \ T$

inductive-cases forgetE : $\text{forget } S \ T$

inductive $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-abs-rf} :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **for** $S :: 'st$ **where**

$\text{restart}: \text{restart-abs } S \ T \implies \text{cdcl}_W\text{-abs-rf } S \ T \mid$
 $\text{forget}: \text{forget-abs } S \ T \implies \text{cdcl}_W\text{-abs-rf } S \ T$

inductive $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-abs-bj} :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

$\text{skip}: \text{skip-abs } S \ S' \implies \text{cdcl}_W\text{-abs-bj } S \ S' \mid$
 $\text{resolve}: \text{resolve-abs } S \ S' \implies \text{cdcl}_W\text{-abs-bj } S \ S' \mid$
 $\text{backtrack}: \text{backtrack-abs } S \ S' \implies \text{cdcl}_W\text{-abs-bj } S \ S'$

inductive-cases $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-abs-bjE}$: $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-abs-bj } S \ T$

lemma $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-abs-bj-cdcl}_W\text{-abs-bj}$:

$\text{cdcl}_W\text{-mset.cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } (\text{state } S) \implies$
 $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-mset.cdcl}_W\text{-bj } (\text{state } S) \ (\text{state } T) \longleftrightarrow \text{cdcl}_W\text{-abs-bj } S \ T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

interpretation $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-abs-bj}$: $\text{relation-relation-abs cdcl}_W\text{-mset.cdcl}_W\text{-bj cdcl}_W\text{-abs-bj state}$

$\text{cdcl}_W\text{-mset.cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

inductive $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-abs-o} :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **for** $S :: 'st$ **where**

$\text{decide}: \text{decide-abs } S \ S' \implies \text{cdcl}_W\text{-abs-o } S \ S' \mid$
 $\text{bj}: \text{cdcl}_W\text{-abs-bj } S \ S' \implies \text{cdcl}_W\text{-abs-o } S \ S'$

inductive $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-abs} :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **for** $S :: 'st$ **where**

$\text{propagate}: \text{propagate-abs } S \ S' \implies \text{cdcl}_W\text{-abs } S \ S' \mid$
 $\text{conflict}: \text{conflict-abs } S \ S' \implies \text{cdcl}_W\text{-abs } S \ S' \mid$
 $\text{other}: \text{cdcl}_W\text{-abs-o } S \ S' \implies \text{cdcl}_W\text{-abs } S \ S' \mid$
 $\text{rf}: \text{cdcl}_W\text{-abs-rf } S \ S' \implies \text{cdcl}_W\text{-abs } S \ S'$

3.5.5 Higher level strategy

The rules described previously do not lead to a conclusive state. We have add a strategy and show the inclusion in the multiset version.

inductive $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-merge-abs-cp} :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **for** $S :: 'st$ **where**

$\text{conflict}': \text{conflict-abs } S \ T \implies \text{full cdcl}_W\text{-abs-bj } T \ U \implies \text{cdcl}_W\text{-merge-abs-cp } S \ U \mid$
 $\text{propagate}': \text{propagate-abs}^{++} S \ S' \implies \text{cdcl}_W\text{-merge-abs-cp } S \ S'$

lemma *cdcl_W-merge-cp-cdcl_W-abs-merge-cp*:

assumes

cp: *cdcl_W-merge-abs-cp S T* **and**

inv: *cdcl_W-mset.cdcl_W-all-struct-inv (state S)*

shows *cdcl_W-mset.cdcl_W-merge-cp (state S) (state T)*

<proof>

lemma *cdcl_W-merge-cp-abs-exists-cdcl_W-merge-cp*:

assumes

cp: *cdcl_W-mset.cdcl_W-merge-cp (state S) T* **and**

inv: *cdcl_W-mset.cdcl_W-all-struct-inv (state S)*

obtains *U* **where** *cdcl_W-merge-abs-cp S U* **and** *T ~_m state U*

<proof>

lemma *no-step-cdcl_W-merge-cp-no-step-cdcl_W-abs-merge-cp*:

assumes

inv: *cdcl_W-mset.cdcl_W-all-struct-inv (state S)*

shows *no-step cdcl_W-merge-abs-cp S* \longleftrightarrow *no-step cdcl_W-mset.cdcl_W-merge-cp (state S)*

(**is** *?abs* \longleftrightarrow *?conc*)

<proof>

lemma *cdcl_W-merge-abs-cp-right-compatible*:

cdcl_W-merge-abs-cp S V \implies *cdcl_W-mset.cdcl_W-all-struct-inv (state S)* \implies

V ~ W \implies *cdcl_W-merge-abs-cp S W*

<proof>

interpretation *cdcl_W-merge-abs-cp: relation-implied-relation-abs*

cdcl_W-mset.cdcl_W-merge-cp cdcl_W-merge-abs-cp state cdcl_W-mset.cdcl_W-all-struct-inv

<proof>

inductive *cdcl_W-merge-abs-stgy* **for** *S :: 'st* **where**

fw-s-cp: *full1 cdcl_W-merge-abs-cp S T* \implies *cdcl_W-merge-abs-stgy S T* |

fw-s-decide: *decide-abs S T* \implies *no-step cdcl_W-merge-abs-cp S* \implies *full cdcl_W-merge-abs-cp T U*

\implies *cdcl_W-merge-abs-stgy S U*

lemma *cdcl_W-cp-cdcl_W-abs-cp*:

assumes *stgy*: *cdcl_W-merge-abs-stgy S T* **and**

inv: *cdcl_W-mset.cdcl_W-all-struct-inv (state S)*

shows *cdcl_W-mset.cdcl_W-merge-stgy (state S) (state T)*

<proof>

lemma *cdcl_W-merge-abs-stgy-exists-cdcl_W-merge-stgy*:

assumes

inv: *cdcl_W-mset.cdcl_W-all-struct-inv S* **and**

SS': *S ~_m state S'* **and**

st: *cdcl_W-mset.cdcl_W-merge-stgy S T*

shows $\exists U. \text{cdcl}_W\text{-merge-abs-stgy } S' U \wedge T \sim_m \text{state } U$

<proof>

lemma *cdcl_W-merge-abs-stgy-right-compatible*:

assumes

inv: *cdcl_W-mset.cdcl_W-all-struct-inv (state S)* **and**

st: *cdcl_W-merge-abs-stgy S T* **and**

TU: *T ~ V*

shows *cdcl_W-merge-abs-stgy S V*
 ⟨proof⟩

interpretation *cdcl_W-merge-abs-stgy: relation-implied-relation-abs*
cdcl_W-mset.cdcl_W-merge-stgy cdcl_W-merge-abs-stgy state cdcl_W-mset.cdcl_W-all-struct-inv
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *cdcl_W-merge-abs-stgy-final-State-conclusive:*
fixes *T :: 'st*
assumes
 full: full cdcl_W-merge-abs-stgy (conc-init-state N) T and
 n-d: distinct-mset-mset (mset-cls N)
shows *(conc-conflicting T = Some {#} ∧ unsatisfiable (set-mset (mset-cls N)))*
 ∨ (conc-conflicting T = None ∧ conc-trail T ⊨_{asm} mset-cls N
 ∧ satisfiable (set-mset (mset-cls N)))
 ⟨proof⟩

end

end

3.6 2-Watched-Literal

theory *CDCL-Two-Watched-Literals*
imports *CDCL-W-Abstract-State*
begin

First we define here the core of the two-watched literal data structure:

1. A clause is composed of (at most) two watched literals.
2. It is sufficient to find the candidates for propagation and conflict from the clauses such that the new literal is watched.

While this is the principle behind the two-watched literals, an implementation has to remember the candidates that have been found so far while updating the data structure.

We will directly on the two-watched literals data structure with lists: it could be also seen as a state over some abstract clause representation we would later refine as lists. However, as we need a way to select element from a clause, working on lists is better.

3.6.1 Essence of 2-WL

Data structure and Access Functions

Only the 2-watched literals have to be verified here: the backtrack level and the trail that appear in the state are not related to the 2-watched algorithm.

datatype *'v twl-clause =*
TWL-Clause (watched: 'v literal list) (unwatched: 'v literal list)

datatype *'v twl-state =*
TWL-State (raw-trail: ('v, 'v twl-clause) ann-lits)
 (raw-init-cls: 'v twl-clause list)
 (raw-learned-cls: 'v twl-clause list) (backtrack-lvl: nat)

(*raw-conflicting*: 'v literal list option)

fun *mmset-of-mlit* :: ('v, 'v twl-clause) ann-lit \Rightarrow ('v, 'v clause) ann-lit

where

mmset-of-mlit (*Propagated* L C) = *Propagated* L (*mset* (*watched* C @ *unwatched* C)) |

mmset-of-mlit (*Decided* L) = *Decided* L

lemma *lit-of-mmset-of-mlit[simp]*: *lit-of* (*mmset-of-mlit* x) = *lit-of* x

\langle proof \rangle

lemma *lits-of-mmset-of-mlit[simp]*: *lits-of* (*mmset-of-mlit* ' S) = *lits-of* S

\langle proof \rangle

abbreviation *trail* **where**

trail S \equiv *map* *mmset-of-mlit* (*raw-trail* S)

abbreviation *clauses-of-l* **where**

clauses-of-l \equiv λ L. *mset* (*map* *mset* L)

definition *raw-clause* :: 'v twl-clause \Rightarrow 'v literal list **where**

raw-clause C \equiv *watched* C @ *unwatched* C

definition *clause* :: 'v twl-clause \Rightarrow 'v clause **where**

clause C \equiv *mset* (*raw-clause* C)

lemma *clause-def-lambda*:

clause = (λ C. *mset* (*raw-clause* C))

\langle proof \rangle

abbreviation *raw-clss* :: 'v twl-state \Rightarrow 'v clauses **where**

raw-clss S \equiv *mset* (*map* *clause* (*raw-init-clss* S @ *raw-learned-clss* S))

abbreviation *raw-clss-l* :: 'a twl-clause list \Rightarrow 'a literal multiset multiset **where**

raw-clss-l C \equiv *mset* (*map* *clause* C)

interpretation *raw-cl* *clause* \langle proof \rangle

lemma *mset-map-clause-remove1-cond*:

mset (*map* (λ x. *mset* (*unwatched* x) + *mset* (*watched* x))

(*remove1-cond* (λ D. *clause* D = *clause* a) Cs)) =

remove1-mset (*clause* a) (*mset* (*map* *clause* Cs))

\langle proof \rangle

interpretation *raw-clss*

clause

raw-clss-l op @

λ L C. L \in *set* C op $\#$ λ C. *remove1-cond* (λ D. *clause* D = *clause* C)

\langle proof \rangle

lemma *ex-mset-unwatched-watched*:

\exists a. *mset* (*unwatched* a) + *mset* (*watched* a) = E

\langle proof \rangle

interpretation *twl*: *abs-state_W-ops*

clause

raw-clss-l op @

$\lambda L C. L \in \text{set } C \text{ op } \# \lambda C. \text{remove1-cond } (\lambda D. \text{clause } D = \text{clause } C)$

$\text{mset } \lambda xs \text{ } ys. \text{case-prod append } (\text{fold } (\lambda x (ys, zs). (\text{remove1 } x \text{ } ys, x \# zs)) \text{ } xs \text{ } (ys, []))$
 remove1

$\text{raw-clause } \lambda C. \text{TWL-Clause } [] \text{ } C$
 $\text{trail } \lambda S. \text{hd } (\text{raw-trail } S)$
 $\text{raw-init-clss raw-learned-clss backtrack-lvl raw-conflicting}$
rewrites
 $\text{twl.mmset-of-mlit} = \text{mmset-of-mlit}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

declare *CDCL-Two-Watched-Literals.twl.mset-ccls-ccls-of-cl[simp del]*

definition

$\text{candidates-propagate} :: 'v \text{ twl-state} \Rightarrow ('v \text{ literal} \times 'v \text{ twl-clause}) \text{ set}$

where

$\text{candidates-propagate } S =$
 $\{(L, C) \mid L \text{ } C.$
 $C \in \text{set } (\text{twl.raw-clauses } S) \wedge$
 $\text{set } (\text{watched } C) - (\text{uminus } ' \text{ lits-of-l } (\text{trail } S)) = \{L\} \wedge$
 $\text{undefined-lit } (\text{raw-trail } S) \text{ } L\}$

definition $\text{candidates-conflict} :: 'v \text{ twl-state} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-clause set}$ **where**

$\text{candidates-conflict } S =$
 $\{C. C \in \text{set } (\text{twl.raw-clauses } S) \wedge$
 $\text{set } (\text{watched } C) \subseteq \text{uminus } ' \text{ lits-of-l } (\text{raw-trail } S)\}$

primrec (*nonexhaustive*) $\text{index} :: 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ **where**

$\text{index } (a \# l) \text{ } c = (\text{if } a = c \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } 1 + \text{index } l \text{ } c)$

lemma *index-nth*:

$a \in \text{set } l \implies l ! (\text{index } l \text{ } a) = a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Invariants

The structural invariants states that there are at most two watched elements, that the watched literals are distinct, and that there are 2 watched literals if there are at least than two different literals in the full clauses.

primrec $\text{struct-wf-tw-cl} :: 'v \text{ twl-clause} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

$\text{struct-wf-tw-cl } (\text{TWL-Clause } W \text{ } UW) \longleftrightarrow$
 $\text{distinct } W \wedge \text{length } W \leq 2 \wedge (\text{length } W < 2 \longrightarrow \text{set } UW \subseteq \text{set } W)$

We need the following property about updates: if there is a literal L with $-L$ in the trail, and L is not watched, then it stays unwatched; i.e., while updating with *rewatch*, L does not get swapped with a watched literal L' such that $-L'$ is in the trail. This corresponds to the laziness of the data structure.

Remark that M is a trail: literals at the end were the first to be added to the trail.

primrec $\text{watched-only-lazy-updates} :: ('v, 'mark) \text{ ann-lits} \Rightarrow$

$'v \text{ twl-clause} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

where

$\text{watched-only-lazy-updates } M (\text{TWL-Clause } W \text{ } UW) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\forall L' \in \text{set } W. \forall L \in \text{set } UW.$

$$\begin{aligned} -L' \in \text{ lits-of-l } M &\longrightarrow -L \in \text{ lits-of-l } M \longrightarrow L \notin \text{ set } W \longrightarrow \\ &\text{ index } (\text{ map lit-of } M) (-L') \leq \text{ index } (\text{ map lit-of } M) (-L) \end{aligned}$$

If the negation of a watched literal is included in the trail, then the negation of every unwatched literals is also included in the trail. Otherwise, the data-structure has to be updated.

primrec *watched-wf-twl-cls* :: ('a, 'b) *ann-lits* \Rightarrow 'a *twl-clause* \Rightarrow bool **where**
watched-wf-twl-cls *M* (*TWL-Clause* *W UW*) \longleftrightarrow
 $(\forall L \in \text{ set } W. -L \in \text{ lits-of-l } M \longrightarrow (\forall L' \in \text{ set } UW. L' \notin \text{ set } W \longrightarrow -L' \in \text{ lits-of-l } M))$

Here are the invariant strictly related to the 2-WL data structure.

primrec *wf-twl-cls* :: ('v, 'mark) *ann-lits* \Rightarrow 'v *twl-clause* \Rightarrow bool **where**
wf-twl-cls *M* (*TWL-Clause* *W UW*) \longleftrightarrow
struct-wf-twl-cls (*TWL-Clause* *W UW*) \wedge *watched-wf-twl-cls* *M* (*TWL-Clause* *W UW*) \wedge
watched-only-lazy-updates *M* (*TWL-Clause* *W UW*)

lemma *wf-twl-cls-annotation-independant*:
assumes *M*: *map lit-of* *M* = *map lit-of* *M'*
shows *wf-twl-cls* *M* (*TWL-Clause* *W UW*) \longleftrightarrow *wf-twl-cls* *M'* (*TWL-Clause* *W UW*)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *wf-twl-cls-wf-twl-cls-tl*:
assumes *wf*: *wf-twl-cls* *M* *C* **and** *n-d*: *no-dup* *M*
shows *wf-twl-cls* (*tl* *M*) *C*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *wf-twl-cls-append*:
assumes
n-d: *no-dup* (*M' @ M*) **and**
wf: *wf-twl-cls* (*M' @ M*) *C*
shows *wf-twl-cls* *M* *C*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *wf-twl-state* :: 'v *twl-state* \Rightarrow bool **where**
wf-twl-state *S* \longleftrightarrow
 $(\forall C \in \text{ set } (\text{ twl.raw-clauses } S). \text{ wf-twl-cls } (\text{ raw-trail } S) C) \wedge \text{ no-dup } (\text{ raw-trail } S)$

lemma *wf-candidates-propagate-sound*:
assumes *wf*: *wf-twl-state* *S* **and**
cand: (*L*, *C*) \in *candidates-propagate* *S*
shows *raw-trail* *S* \models_{as} *CNot* (*mset* (*removeAll* *L* (*raw-clause* *C*))) \wedge *undefined-lit* (*raw-trail* *S*) *L*
 $(\text{ is ?Not } \wedge \text{ ?undef })$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *wf-candidates-propagate-complete*:
assumes *wf*: *wf-twl-state* *S* **and**
c-mem: *C* \in *set* (*twl.raw-clauses* *S*) **and**
l-mem: *L* \in *set* (*raw-clause* *C*) **and**
unsat: *trail* *S* \models_{as} *CNot* (*mset-set* (*set* (*raw-clause* *C*) - {*L*})) **and**
undef: *undefined-lit* (*raw-trail* *S*) *L*
shows (*L*, *C*) \in *candidates-propagate* *S*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *wf-candidates-conflict-sound*:
assumes *wf*: *wf-twl-state* *S* **and**

cand: $C \in \text{candidates-conflict } S$
shows $\text{trail } S \models_{\text{as}} \text{CNot } (\text{clause } C) \wedge C \in \text{set } (\text{twl.raw-clauses } S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *wf-candidates-conflict-complete*:
assumes *wf*: $\text{wf-tw-l-state } S$ **and**
c-mem: $C \in \text{set } (\text{twl.raw-clauses } S)$ **and**
unsat: $\text{trail } S \models_{\text{as}} \text{CNot } (\text{clause } C)$
shows $C \in \text{candidates-conflict } S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

typedef $'v \text{ wf-tw-l} = \{S :: 'v \text{ tw-l-state. wf-tw-l-state } S\}$
morphisms *rough-state-of-tw-l tw-l-of-rough-state*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma [*code abstype*]:
 $\text{tw-l-of-rough-state } (\text{rough-state-of-tw-l } S) = S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *wf-tw-l-state-rough-state-of-tw-l[simp]*: $\text{wf-tw-l-state } (\text{rough-state-of-tw-l } S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

abbreviation *candidates-conflict-tw-l* :: $'v \text{ wf-tw-l} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ tw-l-clause set}$ **where**
 $\text{candidates-conflict-tw-l } S \equiv \text{candidates-conflict } (\text{rough-state-of-tw-l } S)$

abbreviation *candidates-propagate-tw-l* :: $'v \text{ wf-tw-l} \Rightarrow ('v \text{ literal} \times 'v \text{ tw-l-clause}) \text{ set}$ **where**
 $\text{candidates-propagate-tw-l } S \equiv \text{candidates-propagate } (\text{rough-state-of-tw-l } S)$

abbreviation *raw-trail-tw-l* :: $'a \text{ wf-tw-l} \Rightarrow ('a, 'a \text{ tw-l-clause}) \text{ ann-lits}$ **where**
 $\text{raw-trail-tw-l } S \equiv \text{raw-trail } (\text{rough-state-of-tw-l } S)$

abbreviation *trail-tw-l* :: $'a \text{ wf-tw-l} \Rightarrow ('a, 'a \text{ literal multiset}) \text{ ann-lits}$ **where**
 $\text{trail-tw-l } S \equiv \text{trail } (\text{rough-state-of-tw-l } S)$

abbreviation *raw-clauses-tw-l* :: $'a \text{ wf-tw-l} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ tw-l-clause list}$ **where**
 $\text{raw-clauses-tw-l } S \equiv \text{tw-l.raw-clauses } (\text{rough-state-of-tw-l } S)$

abbreviation *raw-init-clss-tw-l* :: $'a \text{ wf-tw-l} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ tw-l-clause list}$ **where**
 $\text{raw-init-clss-tw-l } S \equiv \text{raw-init-clss } (\text{rough-state-of-tw-l } S)$

abbreviation *raw-learned-clss-tw-l* :: $'a \text{ wf-tw-l} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ tw-l-clause list}$ **where**
 $\text{raw-learned-clss-tw-l } S \equiv \text{raw-learned-clss } (\text{rough-state-of-tw-l } S)$

abbreviation *backtrack-lvl-tw-l* **where**
 $\text{backtrack-lvl-tw-l } S \equiv \text{backtrack-lvl } (\text{rough-state-of-tw-l } S)$

abbreviation *raw-conflicting-tw-l* **where**
 $\text{raw-conflicting-tw-l } S \equiv \text{raw-conflicting } (\text{rough-state-of-tw-l } S)$

lemma *wf-candidates-tw-l-conflict-complete*:
assumes
c-mem: $C \in \text{set } (\text{raw-clauses-tw-l } S)$ **and**
unsat: $\text{trail-tw-l } S \models_{\text{as}} \text{CNot } (\text{clause } C)$
shows $C \in \text{candidates-conflict-tw-l } S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

abbreviation *update-backtrack-lvl* **where**

update-backtrack-lvl k $S \equiv$

$TWL\text{-}State$ (*raw-trail* S) (*raw-init-clss* S) (*raw-learned-clss* S) k (*raw-conflicting* S)

abbreviation *update-conflicting* **where**

update-conflicting C $S \equiv$

$TWL\text{-}State$ (*raw-trail* S) (*raw-init-clss* S) (*raw-learned-clss* S) (*backtrack-lvl* S) C

Abstract 2-WL

definition *tl-trail* **where**

tl-trail $S =$

$TWL\text{-}State$ (*tl* (*raw-trail* S)) (*raw-init-clss* S) (*raw-learned-clss* S) (*backtrack-lvl* S)
(*raw-conflicting* S)

locale *abstract-tw* $=$

fixes

watch $:: 'v$ *twl-state* $\Rightarrow 'v$ *literal list* $\Rightarrow 'v$ *twl-clause* **and**

rewatch $:: 'v$ *literal* $\Rightarrow 'v$ *twl-state* \Rightarrow

$'v$ *twl-clause* $\Rightarrow 'v$ *twl-clause* **and**

restart-learned $:: 'v$ *twl-state* $\Rightarrow 'v$ *twl-clause list*

assumes

clause-watch: *no-dup* (*raw-trail* S) \implies *clause* (*watch* S C) = *mset* C **and**

wf-watch: *no-dup* (*raw-trail* S) \implies *wf-tw*-*cls* (*raw-trail* S) (*watch* S C) **and**

clause-rewatch: *clause* (*rewatch* L' S C') = *clause* C' **and**

wf-rewatch:

no-dup (*raw-trail* S) \implies *undefined-lit* (*raw-trail* S) (*lit-of* L) \implies

wf-tw-*cls* (*raw-trail* S) $C' \implies$

wf-tw-*cls* ($L \#$ *raw-trail* S) (*rewatch* (*lit-of* L) S C')

and

restart-learned: *mset* (*restart-learned* S) $\subseteq \#$ *mset* (*raw-learned-clss* S) — We need *mset* and not *set* to take care of duplicates.

begin

definition

cons-trail $:: ('v, 'v$ *twl-clause*) *ann-lit* $\Rightarrow 'v$ *twl-state* $\Rightarrow 'v$ *twl-state*

where

cons-trail L $S =$

$TWL\text{-}State$ ($L \#$ *raw-trail* S) (*map* (*rewatch* (*lit-of* L) S) (*raw-init-clss* S))

(*map* (*rewatch* (*lit-of* L) S) (*raw-learned-clss* S)) (*backtrack-lvl* S) (*raw-conflicting* S)

definition

add-init-cl $:: 'v$ *literal list* $\Rightarrow 'v$ *twl-state* $\Rightarrow 'v$ *twl-state*

where

add-init-cl C $S =$

$TWL\text{-}State$ (*raw-trail* S) (*watch* S $C \#$ *raw-init-clss* S) (*raw-learned-clss* S) (*backtrack-lvl* S)
(*raw-conflicting* S)

definition

add-learned-cl $:: 'v$ *literal list* $\Rightarrow 'v$ *twl-state* $\Rightarrow 'v$ *twl-state*

where

add-learned-cl C $S =$

$TWL\text{-}State$ (*raw-trail* S) (*raw-init-clss* S) (*watch* S $C \#$ *raw-learned-clss* S) (*backtrack-lvl* S)
(*raw-conflicting* S)

definition

$remove_cls :: 'v \text{ literal list} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-state} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-state}$
where
 $remove_cls \ C \ S =$
 $TWL_State \ (raw_trail \ S)$
 $(removeAll_cond \ (\lambda D. \ clause \ D = \ mset \ C) \ (raw_init_clss \ S))$
 $(removeAll_cond \ (\lambda D. \ clause \ D = \ mset \ C) \ (raw_learned_clss \ S))$
 $(backtrack_lvl \ S)$
 $(raw_conflicting \ S)$

definition $init_state :: 'v \text{ literal list list} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-state}$ **where**
 $init_state \ N = fold \ add_init_cls \ N \ (TWL_State \ [] \ [] \ 0 \ None)$

lemma $unchanged_fold_add_init_cls$:
 $raw_trail \ (fold \ add_init_cls \ Cs \ (TWL_State \ M \ N \ U \ k \ C)) = M$
 $raw_learned_clss \ (fold \ add_init_cls \ Cs \ (TWL_State \ M \ N \ U \ k \ C)) = U$
 $backtrack_lvl \ (fold \ add_init_cls \ Cs \ (TWL_State \ M \ N \ U \ k \ C)) = k$
 $raw_conflicting \ (fold \ add_init_cls \ Cs \ (TWL_State \ M \ N \ U \ k \ C)) = C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $unchanged_init_state[simp]$:
 $raw_trail \ (init_state \ N) = []$
 $raw_learned_clss \ (init_state \ N) = []$
 $backtrack_lvl \ (init_state \ N) = 0$
 $raw_conflicting \ (init_state \ N) = None$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $clauses_init_fold_add_init$:
 $no_dup \ M \Longrightarrow$
 $twl.conc_init_clss \ (fold \ add_init_cls \ Cs \ (TWL_State \ M \ N \ U \ k \ C)) =$
 $clauses_of_l \ Cs + raw_clss_l \ N$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $init_clss_init_state[simp]$: $twl.conc_init_clss \ (init_state \ N) = clauses_of_l \ N$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $restart'$ **where**
 $restart' \ S = TWL_State \ [] \ (raw_init_clss \ S) \ (restart_learned \ S) \ 0 \ None$

end

Instanciation of the previous locale

definition $watch_nat :: 'v \text{ twl-state} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ literal list} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-clause}$ **where**
 $watch_nat \ S \ C =$
 $(let$
 $\ C' = remdups \ C;$
 $\ neg_not_assigned = filter \ (\lambda L. \ -L \notin \ lits_of_l \ (raw_trail \ S)) \ C';$
 $\ neg_assigned_sorted_by_trail = filter \ (\lambda L. \ L \in \ set \ C) \ (map \ (\lambda L. \ -lit_of \ L) \ (raw_trail \ S));$
 $\ W = take \ 2 \ (neg_not_assigned \ @ \ neg_assigned_sorted_by_trail);$
 $\ UW = foldr \ remove1 \ W \ C$
 $\ in \ TWL_Clause \ W \ UW)$

lemma $list_cases2$:
fixes $l :: 'a \text{ list}$
assumes
 $l = [] \Longrightarrow P$ **and**

$\bigwedge x. l = [x] \implies P$ **and**
 $\bigwedge x y xs. l = x \# y \# xs \implies P$
shows P
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *filter-in-list-prop-verifiedD*:
assumes $[L \leftarrow P . Q \ L] = l$
shows $\forall x \in \text{set } l. x \in \text{set } P \wedge Q \ x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *no-dup-filter-diff*:
assumes $n\text{-d}: \text{no-dup } M$ **and** $H: [L \leftarrow \text{map } (\lambda L. - \text{lit-of } L) \ M. L \in \text{set } C] = l$
shows *distinct* l
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *watch-nat-lists-disjointD*:
assumes
 $l: [L \leftarrow \text{remdups } C. - L \notin \text{lits-of-l } (\text{raw-trail } S)] = l$ **and**
 $l': [L \leftarrow \text{map } (\lambda L. - \text{lit-of } L) (\text{raw-trail } S) . L \in \text{set } C] = l'$
shows $\forall x \in \text{set } l. \forall y \in \text{set } l'. x \neq y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *watch-nat-list-cases-witness*[*consumes 2, case-names Nil-Nil Nil-single Nil-other single-Nil single-other other*]:
fixes
 $C :: 'v \text{ literal list}$ **and**
 $S :: 'v \text{ twl-state}$
defines
 $xs \equiv [L \leftarrow \text{remdups } C. - L \notin \text{lits-of-l } (\text{raw-trail } S)]$ **and**
 $ys \equiv [L \leftarrow \text{map } (\lambda L. - \text{lit-of } L) (\text{raw-trail } S) . L \in \text{set } C]$
assumes
 $n\text{-d}: \text{no-dup } (\text{raw-trail } S)$ **and**
 $\text{Nil-Nil}: xs = [] \implies ys = [] \implies P$ **and**
 $\text{Nil-single}: \bigwedge a. xs = [] \implies ys = [a] \implies a \in \text{set } C \implies P$ **and**
 $\text{Nil-other}: \bigwedge a \ b \ ys'. xs = [] \implies ys = a \# b \# ys' \implies a \neq b \implies P$ **and**
 $\text{single-Nil}: \bigwedge a. xs = [a] \implies ys = [] \implies P$ **and**
 $\text{single-other}: \bigwedge a \ b \ ys'. xs = [a] \implies ys = b \# ys' \implies a \neq b \implies P$ **and**
 $\text{other}: \bigwedge a \ b \ xs'. xs = a \# b \# xs' \implies a \neq b \implies P$
shows P
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *watch-nat-list-cases* [*consumes 1, case-names Nil-Nil Nil-single Nil-other single-Nil single-other other*]:
fixes
 $C :: 'v \text{ literal list}$ **and**
 $S :: 'v \text{ twl-state}$
defines
 $xs \equiv [L \leftarrow \text{remdups } C . - L \notin \text{lits-of-l } (\text{raw-trail } S)]$ **and**
 $ys \equiv [L \leftarrow \text{map } (\lambda L. - \text{lit-of } L) (\text{raw-trail } S) . L \in \text{set } C]$
assumes
 $n\text{-d}: \text{no-dup } (\text{raw-trail } S)$ **and**
 $\text{Nil-Nil}: xs = [] \implies ys = [] \implies P$ **and**
 $\text{Nil-single}: \bigwedge a. xs = [] \implies ys = [a] \implies a \in \text{set } C \implies P$ **and**
 $\text{Nil-other}: \bigwedge a \ b \ ys'. xs = [] \implies ys = a \# b \# ys' \implies a \neq b \implies P$ **and**

single-Nil: $\bigwedge a. xs = [a] \implies ys = [] \implies P$ **and**
single-other: $\bigwedge a\ b\ ys'. xs = [a] \implies ys = b \# ys' \implies a \neq b \implies P$ **and**
other: $\bigwedge a\ b\ xs'. xs = a \# b \# xs' \implies a \neq b \implies P$

shows P

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *watch-nat-lists-set-union-witness*:

fixes

$C :: 'v$ literal list **and**

$S :: 'v$ twl-state

defines

$xs \equiv [L \leftarrow \text{remdups } C. - L \notin \text{ lits-of-l } (\text{raw-trail } S)]$ **and**

$ys \equiv [L \leftarrow \text{map } (\lambda L. - \text{lit-of } L) (\text{raw-trail } S) . L \in \text{set } C]$

assumes $n\text{-d}$: $\text{no-dup } (\text{raw-trail } S)$

shows $\text{set } C = \text{set } xs \cup \text{set } ys$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mset-intersection-inclusion*: $A + (B - A) = B \longleftrightarrow A \subseteq \# B$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *clause-watch-nat*:

assumes $\text{no-dup } (\text{raw-trail } S)$

shows $\text{clause } (\text{watch-nat } S\ C) = \text{mset } C$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *index-uminus-index-map-uminus*:

$-a \in \text{set } L \implies \text{index } L\ (-a) = \text{index } (\text{map } \text{uminus } L)\ (a::'a \text{ literal})$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *index-filter*:

$a \in \text{set } L \implies b \in \text{set } L \implies P\ a \implies P\ b \implies$

$\text{index } L\ a \leq \text{index } L\ b \longleftrightarrow \text{index } (\text{filter } P\ L)\ a \leq \text{index } (\text{filter } P\ L)\ b$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *foldr-remove1-W-Nil[simp]*: $\text{foldr } \text{remove1 } W\ [] = []$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *image-lit-of-mmset-of-mlit[simp]*:

$\text{lit-of } ' \text{ mmset-of-mlit } ' A = \text{lit-of } ' A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *distinct-filter-eq*:

assumes $\text{distinct } xs$

shows $[L \leftarrow xs. L = a] = (\text{if } a \in \text{set } xs \text{ then } [a] \text{ else } [])$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *no-dup-distinct-map-uminus-lit-of*:

$\text{no-dup } xs \implies \text{distinct } (\text{map } (\lambda L. - \text{lit-of } L)\ xs)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wf-watch-witness*:

fixes $C :: 'v$ literal list **and**

$S :: 'v$ twl-state

defines

$\text{ass: neg-not-assigned} \equiv \text{filter } (\lambda L. -L \notin \text{ lits-of-l } (\text{raw-trail } S)) (\text{remdups } C)$ **and**

$\text{tr: neg-assigned-sorted-by-trail} \equiv \text{filter } (\lambda L. L \in \text{set } C) (\text{map } (\lambda L. - \text{lit-of } L) (\text{raw-trail } S))$

defines

$W: W \equiv \text{take } 2 \text{ (neg-not-assigned @ neg-assigned-sorted-by-trail)}$

assumes

$n\text{-d[simp]}: \text{no-dup (raw-trail } S)$

shows $\text{wf-tw-cl-cls (raw-trail } S) \text{ (TWL-Clause } W \text{ (foldr remove1 } W \text{ } C))}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{wf-watch-nat: no-dup (raw-trail } S) \implies \text{wf-tw-cl-cls (raw-trail } S) \text{ (watch-nat } S \text{ } C)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition

$\text{rewatch-nat} ::$

$'v \text{ literal} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-state} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-clause} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-clause}$

where

$\text{rewatch-nat } L \text{ } S \text{ } C =$

$(\text{if } -L \in \text{set (watched } C) \text{ then}$

$\text{case filter } (\lambda L'. L' \notin \text{set (watched } C) \wedge -L' \notin \text{insert } L \text{ (lits-of-l (trail } S)))$
 $\text{(unwatched } C) \text{ of}$

$\square \Rightarrow C$

$| L' \# - \Rightarrow$

$\text{TWL-Clause } (L' \# \text{remove1 } (-L) \text{ (watched } C)) \text{ } (-L \# \text{remove1 } L' \text{ (unwatched } C))$

else

$C)$

lemma $\text{clause-rewatch-nat:}$

fixes $UW :: 'v \text{ literal list}$ **and**

$S :: 'v \text{ twl-state}$ **and**

$L :: 'v \text{ literal}$ **and** $C :: 'v \text{ twl-clause}$

shows $\text{clause (rewatch-nat } L \text{ } S \text{ } C) = \text{clause } C$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{filter-sorted-list-of-multiset-Nil:}$

$[x \leftarrow \text{sorted-list-of-multiset } M. p \ x] = \square \longleftrightarrow (\forall x \in \# M. \neg p \ x)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{filter-sorted-list-of-multiset-ConsD:}$

$[x \leftarrow \text{sorted-list-of-multiset } M. p \ x] = x \# xs \implies p \ x$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{mset-minus-single-eq-mempty:}$

$a - \{\#b\# \} = \{\#\} \longleftrightarrow a = \{\#b\# \} \vee a = \{\#\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{size-mset-le-2-cases:}$

assumes $\text{size } W \leq 2$

shows $W = \{\#\} \vee (\exists a. W = \{\#a\# \}) \vee (\exists a \ b. W = \{\#a, b\# \})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{filter-sorted-list-of-multiset-eqD:}$

assumes $[x \leftarrow \text{sorted-list-of-multiset } A. p \ x] = x \# xs$ **(is ?comp = -)**

shows $x \in \# A$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{clause-rewatch-witness':}$

assumes

$\text{wf: wf-tw-cl-cls (raw-trail } S) \text{ } C$ **and**

$undef: undefined-lit (raw-trail S) (lit-of L)$
shows $wf-tw1-clls (L \# raw-trail S) (rewatch-nat (lit-of L) S C)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

interpretation $tw1: abstract-tw1 watch-nat rewatch-nat raw-learned-clss$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

interpretation $tw12: abstract-tw1 watch-nat rewatch-nat \lambda-. []$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

3.6.2 Two Watched-Literals with invariant

theory $CDCL-Two-Watched-Literals-Invariant$
imports $CDCL-Two-Watched-Literals DPLL-CDCL-W-Implementation$
begin

Interpretation for $conflict-driven-clause-learning_W.cdcl_W$

We define here the 2-WL with the invariant of well-foundedness and show the role of the candidates by defining an equivalent CDCL procedure using the candidates given by the data-structure.

context $abstract-tw1$
begin

Direct Interpretation lemma $mset-map-removeAll-cond:$

$mset (map clause$
 $(removeAll-cond (\lambda D. clause D = clause C) N))$
 $= mset (removeAll (clause C) (map clause N))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $mset-raw-init-clss-init-state:$

$mset (map clause (raw-init-clss (init-state (map raw-clause N))))$
 $= mset (map clause N)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

fun $reduce-trail-to$ **where**

$reduce-trail-to M1 S =$
 $(case S of$
 $(TWL-State M N U k C) \Rightarrow TWL-State (drop (length M - length M1) M) N U k C)$

abbreviation $resolve-conflicting$ **where**

$resolve-conflicting L D S \equiv$
 $update-conflicting$
 $(Some (union-mset-list (remove1 (-L) (the (raw-conflicting S))) (remove1 L (raw-clause D))))$
 S

interpretation $rough-cdcl: abs-state_W-ops$

$clause$
 $raw-clss-l op @$
 $\lambda L C. L \in set C op \# \lambda C. remove1-cond (\lambda D. clause D = clause C)$

mset $\lambda xs\ ys.\ case\text{-}prod\ append\ (fold\ (\lambda x\ (ys,\ zs).\ (remove1\ x\ ys,\ x\ \# \ zs))\ xs\ (ys,\ []))$
remove1

raw-clause $\lambda C.\ TWL\text{-}Clause\ []\ C$
trail $\lambda S.\ hd\ (raw\text{-}trail\ S)$
raw-init-clss *raw-learned-clss* *backtrack-lvl* *raw-conflicting*
cons-trail *tl-trail* $\lambda S.\ update\text{-}conflicting\ None\ (add\text{-}learned\text{-}cls\ (the\ (raw\text{-}conflicting\ S))\ S)$
 $\lambda C.\ remove\text{-}cls\ (raw\text{-}clause\ C)$
update-backtrack-lvl
 $\lambda C.\ update\text{-}conflicting\ (Some\ C)\ reduce\text{-}trail\text{-}to\ resolve\text{-}conflicting$
 $\lambda N.\ init\text{-}state\ (map\ raw\text{-}clause\ N)\ restart'$

rewrites

rough-cdcl.mmset-of-mlit = *mmset-of-mlit*

<proof>

interpretation *rough-cdcl: abs-state_W*

clause

raw-clss-l op @

$\lambda L\ C.\ L \in set\ C\ op\ \# \ \lambda C.\ remove1\text{-}cond\ (\lambda D.\ clause\ D = clause\ C)$

mset $\lambda xs\ ys.\ case\text{-}prod\ append\ (fold\ (\lambda x\ (ys,\ zs).\ (remove1\ x\ ys,\ x\ \# \ zs))\ xs\ (ys,\ []))$
remove1

raw-clause $\lambda C.\ TWL\text{-}Clause\ []\ C$
trail $\lambda S.\ hd\ (raw\text{-}trail\ S)$
raw-init-clss *raw-learned-clss* *backtrack-lvl* *raw-conflicting*
cons-trail *tl-trail* $\lambda S.\ update\text{-}conflicting\ None\ (add\text{-}learned\text{-}cls\ (the\ (raw\text{-}conflicting\ S))\ S)$
 $\lambda C.\ remove\text{-}cls\ (raw\text{-}clause\ C)$
update-backtrack-lvl
 $\lambda C.\ update\text{-}conflicting\ (Some\ C)\ reduce\text{-}trail\text{-}to\ resolve\text{-}conflicting$
 $\lambda N.\ init\text{-}state\ (map\ raw\text{-}clause\ N)\ restart'$

<proof>

interpretation *rough-cdcl: abs-conflict-driven-clause-learning_W*

clause

raw-clss-l op @

$\lambda L\ C.\ L \in set\ C\ op\ \# \ \lambda C.\ remove1\text{-}cond\ (\lambda D.\ clause\ D = clause\ C)$

mset $\lambda xs\ ys.\ case\text{-}prod\ append\ (fold\ (\lambda x\ (ys,\ zs).\ (remove1\ x\ ys,\ x\ \# \ zs))\ xs\ (ys,\ []))$
remove1

raw-clause $\lambda C.\ TWL\text{-}Clause\ []\ C$
trail $\lambda S.\ hd\ (raw\text{-}trail\ S)$
raw-init-clss *raw-learned-clss* *backtrack-lvl* *raw-conflicting*
cons-trail *tl-trail* $\lambda S.\ update\text{-}conflicting\ None\ (add\text{-}learned\text{-}cls\ (the\ (raw\text{-}conflicting\ S))\ S)$
 $\lambda C.\ remove\text{-}cls\ (raw\text{-}clause\ C)$
update-backtrack-lvl
 $\lambda C.\ update\text{-}conflicting\ (Some\ C)\ reduce\text{-}trail\text{-}to\ resolve\text{-}conflicting$
 $\lambda N.\ init\text{-}state\ (map\ raw\text{-}clause\ N)\ restart'$

<proof>

declare *local.rough-cdcl.mset-ccls-ccls-of-cl*[*simp del*]

Opaque Type with Invariant **declare** *rough-cdcl.state-simp*[*simp del*]

definition *cons-trail-tw* :: (*'v*, *'v twl-clause*) *ann-lit* \Rightarrow *'v wf-tw* \Rightarrow *'v wf-tw*

where
 $\text{cons-trail-twl } L \ S \equiv \text{twl-of-rough-state } (\text{cons-trail } L \ (\text{rough-state-of-twl } S))$

lemma *wf-twl-state-cons-trail*:

assumes
 $\text{undef: undefined-lit } (\text{raw-trail } S) \ (\text{lit-of } L) \text{ and}$
 $\text{wf: wf-twl-state } S$
shows $\text{wf-twl-state } (\text{cons-trail } L \ S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rough-state-of-twl-cons-trail*:

$\text{undefined-lit } (\text{raw-trail-twl } S) \ (\text{lit-of } L) \implies$
 $\text{rough-state-of-twl } (\text{cons-trail-twl } L \ S) = \text{cons-trail } L \ (\text{rough-state-of-twl } S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

abbreviation *add-init-cls-twl* **where**

$\text{add-init-cls-twl } C \ S \equiv \text{twl-of-rough-state } (\text{add-init-cls } C \ (\text{rough-state-of-twl } S))$

lemma *wf-twl-add-init-cls*: $\text{wf-twl-state } S \implies \text{wf-twl-state } (\text{add-init-cls } L \ S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rough-state-of-twl-add-init-cls*:

$\text{rough-state-of-twl } (\text{add-init-cls-twl } L \ S) = \text{add-init-cls } L \ (\text{rough-state-of-twl } S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

abbreviation *add-learned-cls-twl* **where**

$\text{add-learned-cls-twl } C \ S \equiv \text{twl-of-rough-state } (\text{add-learned-cls } C \ (\text{rough-state-of-twl } S))$

lemma *wf-twl-add-learned-cls*: $\text{wf-twl-state } S \implies \text{wf-twl-state } (\text{add-learned-cls } L \ S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rough-state-of-twl-add-learned-cls*:

$\text{rough-state-of-twl } (\text{add-learned-cls-twl } L \ S) = \text{add-learned-cls } L \ (\text{rough-state-of-twl } S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

abbreviation *remove-cls-twl* **where**

$\text{remove-cls-twl } C \ S \equiv \text{twl-of-rough-state } (\text{remove-cls } C \ (\text{rough-state-of-twl } S))$

lemma *set-removeAll-condD*: $x \in \text{set } (\text{removeAll-cond } f \ xs) \implies x \in \text{set } xs$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *wf-twl-remove-cls*: $\text{wf-twl-state } S \implies \text{wf-twl-state } (\text{remove-cls } L \ S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rough-state-of-twl-remove-cls*:

$\text{rough-state-of-twl } (\text{remove-cls-twl } L \ S) = \text{remove-cls } L \ (\text{rough-state-of-twl } S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

abbreviation *init-state-twl* **where**

$\text{init-state-twl } N \equiv \text{twl-of-rough-state } (\text{init-state } N)$

lemma *wf-twl-state-wf-twl-state-fold-add-init-cls*:

assumes $\text{wf-twl-state } S$
shows $\text{wf-twl-state } (\text{fold } \text{add-init-cls } N \ S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *wf-twl-state-epsilon-state*[simp]:
wf-twl-state (*TWL-State* [] [] 0 *None*)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *wf-twl-init-state*: *wf-twl-state* (*init-state* *N*)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *rough-state-of-twl-init-state*:
rough-state-of-twl (*init-state-twl* *N*) = *init-state* *N*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

abbreviation *tl-trail-twl* **where**
tl-trail-twl *S* ≡ *twl-of-rough-state* (*tl-trail* (*rough-state-of-twl* *S*))

lemma *wf-twl-state-tl-trail*: *wf-twl-state* *S* ⇒ *wf-twl-state* (*tl-trail* *S*)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *rough-state-of-twl-tl-trail*:
rough-state-of-twl (*tl-trail-twl* *S*) = *tl-trail* (*rough-state-of-twl* *S*)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

abbreviation *update-backtrack-lvl-twl* **where**
update-backtrack-lvl-twl *k* *S* ≡ *twl-of-rough-state* (*update-backtrack-lvl* *k* (*rough-state-of-twl* *S*))

lemma *wf-twl-state-update-backtrack-lvl*:
wf-twl-state *S* ⇒ *wf-twl-state* (*update-backtrack-lvl* *k* *S*)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *rough-state-of-twl-update-backtrack-lvl*:
rough-state-of-twl (*update-backtrack-lvl-twl* *k* *S*) = *update-backtrack-lvl* *k*
 (*rough-state-of-twl* *S*)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

abbreviation *update-conflicting-twl* **where**
update-conflicting-twl *k* *S* ≡ *twl-of-rough-state* (*update-conflicting* *k* (*rough-state-of-twl* *S*))

lemma *wf-twl-state-update-conflicting*:
wf-twl-state *S* ⇒ *wf-twl-state* (*update-conflicting* *k* *S*)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *rough-state-of-twl-update-add-learned-cls*:
rough-state-of-twl (*update-conflicting-twl* *None* (*add-learned-cls-twl* *C* *S*)) =
update-conflicting *None* (*add-learned-cls* *C* (*rough-state-of-twl* *S*))
 (**is** *rough-state-of-twl* ?*upd* = *update-conflicting* *None* ?*le*)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

abbreviation *reduce-trail-to-twl* **where**
reduce-trail-to-twl *M1* *S* ≡ *twl-of-rough-state* (*reduce-trail-to* *M1* (*rough-state-of-twl* *S*))

abbreviation *resolve-conflicting-twl* **where**
resolve-conflicting-twl *L* *D* *S* ≡ *twl-of-rough-state* (*resolve-conflicting* *L* *D* (*rough-state-of-twl* *S*))

lemma *rough-state-of-twl-update-conflicting*:
rough-state-of-twl (*update-conflicting-twl* *k* *S*) = *update-conflicting* *k*
 (*rough-state-of-twl* *S*)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

abbreviation *raw-clauses-twl* **where**

raw-clauses-twl $S \equiv \text{twl.raw-clauses } (\text{rough-state-of-twl } S)$

abbreviation *restart-twl* **where**

restart-twl $S \equiv \text{twl-of-rough-state } (\text{restart}' (\text{rough-state-of-twl } S))$

lemma *mset-union-mset-setD*:

$\text{mset } A \subseteq \# \text{ mset } B \implies \text{set } A \subseteq \text{set } B$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *wf-wf-restart'*: $\text{wf-twl-state } S \implies \text{wf-twl-state } (\text{restart}' S)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rough-state-of-twl-restart-twl*:

$\text{rough-state-of-twl } (\text{restart-twl } S) = \text{restart}' (\text{rough-state-of-twl } S)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *undefined-lit-trail-twl-raw-trail*[*iff*]:

$\text{undefined-lit } (\text{trail-twl } S) L \longleftrightarrow \text{undefined-lit } (\text{raw-trail-twl } S) L$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *wf-twl-reduce-trail-to*:

assumes $\text{trail } S = M2 @ M1$ **and** $\text{wf: wf-twl-state } S$

shows $\text{wf-twl-state } (\text{reduce-trail-to } M1 S)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *trail-twl-twl-rough-state-reduce-trail-to*:

assumes $\text{trail-twl } st = M2 @ M1$

shows $\text{trail-twl } (\text{twl-of-rough-state } (\text{reduce-trail-to } M1 (\text{rough-state-of-twl } st))) = M1$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *twl-of-rough-state-reduce-trail-to*:

assumes $\text{trail-twl } st = M2 @ M1$ **and**

$S: \text{rough-cdcl.state } (\text{rough-state-of-twl } st) = (M, S)$

shows

rough-cdcl.state

$(\text{rough-state-of-twl } (\text{twl-of-rough-state } (\text{reduce-trail-to } M1 (\text{rough-state-of-twl } st)))) = (M1, S) \text{ (is ?st) and}$

$\text{raw-init-clss-twl } (\text{twl-of-rough-state } (\text{reduce-trail-to } M1 (\text{rough-state-of-twl } st)))$

$= \text{raw-init-clss-twl } st \text{ (is ?A) and}$

$\text{raw-learned-clss-twl } (\text{twl-of-rough-state } (\text{reduce-trail-to } M1 (\text{rough-state-of-twl } st)))$

$= \text{raw-learned-clss-twl } st \text{ (is ?B) and}$

$\text{backtrack-lvl-twl } (\text{twl-of-rough-state } (\text{reduce-trail-to } M1 (\text{rough-state-of-twl } st)))$

$= \text{backtrack-lvl-twl } st \text{ (is ?C) and}$

$\text{rough-cdcl.conc-conflicting } (\text{rough-state-of-twl } (\text{twl-of-rough-state } (\text{reduce-trail-to } M1 (\text{rough-state-of-twl } st))))$

$= \text{rough-cdcl.conc-conflicting } (\text{rough-state-of-twl } st) \text{ (is ?D)}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *add-learned-clss-rough-state-of-twl-simp*:

assumes $\text{raw-conflicting-twl } st = \text{Some } z$

shows

$\text{trail } (\text{add-learned-clss } z (\text{rough-state-of-twl } st)) = \text{trail-twl } st$

$\text{rough-cdcl.conc-init-clss } (\text{add-learned-clss } z (\text{rough-state-of-twl } st)) =$

$\text{rough-cdcl.conc-init-clss } (\text{rough-state-of-twl } st)$

$\text{rough-cdcl.conc-learned-clss } (\text{local.add-learned-cls } z \text{ (rough-state-of-twl } st)) =$
 $\{ \#mset \ z \# \} + \text{rough-cdcl.conc-learned-clss } (\text{rough-state-of-twl } st)$
 $\text{backtrack-lvl } (\text{add-learned-cls } z \text{ (rough-state-of-twl } st)) = \text{backtrack-lvl-twl } st$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

sublocale *wf-twl*: *abs-state_W-ops*

clause
raw-clss-l op @
 $\lambda L \ C. L \in \text{set } C \text{ op } \# \lambda C. \text{remove1-cond } (\lambda D. \text{clause } D = \text{clause } C)$

 $\text{mset } \lambda xs \ ys. \text{case-prod append } (\text{fold } (\lambda x \ (ys, zs). (\text{remove1 } x \ ys, x \# \ zs)) \ xs \ (ys, []))$
remove1

 $\lambda C. \text{raw-clause } C \ \lambda C. \text{TWL-Clause } [] \ C$
trail-twl $\lambda S. \text{hd } (\text{raw-trail-twl } S)$
raw-init-clss-twl
raw-learned-clss-twl
backtrack-lvl-twl
raw-conflicting-twl
cons-trail-twl
tl-trail-twl
 $\lambda S. \text{update-conflicting-twl } \text{None } (\text{add-learned-cls-twl } (\text{the } (\text{raw-conflicting-twl } S)) \ S)$
 $\lambda C. \text{remove-cls-twl } (\text{raw-clause } C)$
update-backtrack-lvl-twl
 $\lambda C. \text{update-conflicting-twl } (\text{Some } C)$
reduce-trail-to-twl
resolve-conflicting-twl
 $\lambda N. \text{init-state-twl } (\text{map raw-clause } N)$
restart-twl
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

sublocale *wf-twl*: *abs-state_W*

clause
raw-clss-l op @
 $\lambda L \ C. L \in \text{set } C \text{ op } \# \lambda C. \text{remove1-cond } (\lambda D. \text{clause } D = \text{clause } C)$

 $\text{mset } \lambda xs \ ys. \text{case-prod append } (\text{fold } (\lambda x \ (ys, zs). (\text{remove1 } x \ ys, x \# \ zs)) \ xs \ (ys, []))$
remove1

 $\lambda C. \text{raw-clause } C \ \lambda C. \text{TWL-Clause } [] \ C$
trail-twl $\lambda S. \text{hd } (\text{raw-trail-twl } S)$
raw-init-clss-twl
raw-learned-clss-twl
backtrack-lvl-twl
raw-conflicting-twl
cons-trail-twl
tl-trail-twl
 $\lambda S. \text{update-conflicting-twl } \text{None } (\text{add-learned-cls-twl } (\text{the } (\text{raw-conflicting-twl } S)) \ S)$
 $\lambda C. \text{remove-cls-twl } (\text{raw-clause } C)$
update-backtrack-lvl-twl
 $\lambda C. \text{update-conflicting-twl } (\text{Some } C)$
reduce-trail-to-twl
resolve-conflicting-twl
 $\lambda N. \text{init-state-twl } (\text{map raw-clause } N)$
restart-twl
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

sublocale *wf-twl*: *abs-conflict-driven-clause-learning_W*
clause
raw-clss-l op @
 $\lambda L C. L \in \text{set } C \text{ op } \# \lambda C. \text{remove1-cond } (\lambda D. \text{clause } D = \text{clause } C)$

mset $\lambda xs \text{ } ys. \text{case-prod append (fold } (\lambda x (ys, zs). (\text{remove1 } x \text{ } ys, x \# zs)) \text{ } xs (ys, []))$
remove1

 $\lambda C. \text{raw-clause } C \lambda C. \text{TWL-Clause } [] \text{ } C$
trail-twl $\lambda S. \text{hd (raw-trail-twl } S)$
raw-init-clss-twl
raw-learned-clss-twl
backtrack-lvl-twl
raw-conflicting-twl
cons-trail-twl
tl-trail-twl
 $\lambda S. \text{update-conflicting-twl None (add-learned-clss-twl (the (raw-conflicting-twl } S)) \text{ } S)$
 $\lambda C. \text{remove-clss-twl (raw-clause } C)$
update-backtrack-lvl-twl
 $\lambda C. \text{update-conflicting-twl (Some } C)$
reduce-trail-to-twl
resolve-conflicting-twl
 $\lambda N. \text{init-state-twl (map raw-clause } N)$
restart-twl
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

declare *local.rough-cdcl.mset-ccls-ccls-of-clss[simp del]*
abbreviation *state-eq-twl* (**infix** $\sim \text{TWL } 51$) **where**
 $\text{state-eq-twl } S \text{ } S' \equiv \text{rough-cdcl.state-eq (rough-state-of-twl } S) (\text{rough-state-of-twl } S')$
notation *wf-twl.state-eq* (**infix** ~ 51)

To avoid ambiguities:

no-notation *state-eq-twl* (**infix** ~ 51)

Alternative Definition of CDCL using the candidates of 2-WL *inductive propagate-twl*

$:: 'v \text{ wf-twl} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ wf-twl} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
propagate-twl-rule: $(L, C) \in \text{candidates-propagate-twl } S \Rightarrow$
 $S' \sim \text{cons-trail-twl (Propagated } L \text{ } C) \text{ } S \Rightarrow$
 $\text{raw-conflicting-twl } S = \text{None} \Rightarrow$
 $\text{propagate-twl } S \text{ } S'$

inductive-cases *propagate-twlE*: $\text{propagate-twl } S \text{ } T$

lemma *propagate-twl-iff-propagate*:

assumes *inv*: $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-mset.cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv (wf-twl.state } S)$
shows $\text{wf-twl.propagate-abs } S \text{ } T \longleftrightarrow \text{propagate-twl } S \text{ } T$ (**is** $?P \longleftrightarrow ?T$)

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

no-notation *twl.state-eq-twl* (**infix** $\sim \text{TWL } 51$)

inductive *conflict-twl* **where**

conflict-twl-rule:

$C \in \text{candidates-conflict-twl } S \Rightarrow$
 $S' \sim \text{update-conflicting-twl (Some (raw-clause } C)) \text{ } S \Rightarrow$
 $\text{raw-conflicting-twl } S = \text{None} \Rightarrow$

conflict-tw $S S'$

inductive-cases *conflict-tw* E : *conflict-tw* $S T$

lemma *conflict-tw-iff-conflict*:

shows *wf-tw*.*conflict-abs* $S T \longleftrightarrow \text{conflict-tw } S T$ (**is** $?C \longleftrightarrow ?T$)

<proof>

We have shown that we we can use *conflict-tw* and *propagate-tw* in a CDCL calculus.

end

end