

Formalisation of Ground Resolution and CDCL in Isabelle/HOL

Mathias Fleury and Jasmin Blanchette

March 30, 2016

Contents

1	Partial Clausal Logic	3
1.1	Decided Literals	3
1.1.1	Definition	3
1.1.2	Entailment	4
1.1.3	Defined and undefined literals	6
1.2	Backtracking	7
1.3	Decomposition with respect to the First Decided Literals	8
1.3.1	Definition	8
1.3.2	Entailment of the Propagated by the Decided Literal	10
1.4	Negation of Clauses	11
1.5	Other	13
1.6	Extending Entailments to multisets	14
2	NOT's CDCL	15
2.1	Auxiliary Lemmas and Measure	15
2.2	Initial definitions	16
2.2.1	The state	16
2.2.2	Definition of the operation	19
2.3	DPLL with backjumping	20
2.3.1	Definition	20
2.3.2	Basic properties	21
2.3.3	Termination	22
2.3.4	Normal Forms	23
2.4	CDCL	26
2.4.1	Learn and Forget	26
2.4.2	Definition of CDCL	27
2.5	CDCL with invariant	29
2.6	Termination	31
2.6.1	Restricting learn and forget	31
2.7	CDCL with restarts	36
2.7.1	Definition	36
2.7.2	Increasing restarts	37
2.8	Merging backjump and learning	41
2.8.1	Instantiations	45

3	DPLL as an instance of NOT	52
3.1	DPLL with simple backtrack	52
3.2	Adding restarts	54
4	DPLL	54
4.1	Rules	54
4.2	Invariants	55
4.3	Termination	57
4.4	Final States	58
4.5	Link with NOT's DPLL	58
4.5.1	Level of literals and clauses	59
4.5.2	Properties about the levels	62
5	Weidenbach's CDCL	64
5.1	The State	64
5.2	Special Instantiation: using Triples as State	70
5.3	CDCL Rules	70
5.4	Invariants	74
5.4.1	Properties of the trail	74
5.4.2	Better-Suited Induction Principle	77
5.4.3	Compatibility with $op \sim$	79
5.4.4	Conservation of some Properties	81
5.4.5	Learned Clause	81
5.4.6	No alien atom in the state	82
5.4.7	No duplicates all around	83
5.4.8	Conflicts and co	84
5.4.9	Putting all the invariants together	85
5.4.10	No tautology is learned	87
5.5	CDCL Strong Completeness	87
5.6	Higher level strategy	88
5.6.1	Definition	88
5.6.2	Invariants	90
5.6.3	Literal of highest level in conflicting clauses	93
5.6.4	Literal of highest level in decided literals	94
5.6.5	Strong completeness	95
5.6.6	No conflict with only variables of level less than backtrack level	97
5.6.7	Final States are Conclusive	100
5.7	Termination	102
5.8	No Relearning of a clause	102
5.9	Decrease of a measure	105
6	Simple Implementation of the DPLL and CDCL	108
6.1	Common Rules	108
6.1.1	Propagation	108
6.1.2	Unit propagation for all clauses	108
6.1.3	Decide	109
6.2	Simple Implementation of DPLL	110
6.2.1	Combining the propagate and decide: a DPLL step	110
6.2.2	Adding invariants	110
6.2.3	Code export	113

6.3	CDCL Implementation	115
6.3.1	Definition of the rules	115
6.3.2	The Transitions	117
6.3.3	Code generation	121
7	Link between Weidenbach's and NOT's CDCL	127
7.1	Inclusion of the states	127
7.2	Additional Lemmas between NOT and W states	130
7.3	More lemmas conflict-propagate and backjumping	131
7.3.1	Termination	131
7.3.2	More backjumping	131
7.4	CDCL FW	133
7.5	FW with strategy	135
7.5.1	The intermediate step	135
7.6	Adding Restarts	143
8	Incremental SAT solving	147
9	2-Watched-Literal	152
9.1	Datastructure and Access Functions	152
9.2	Invariants	153
9.3	Abstract 2-WL	155
9.4	Instanciation of the previous locale	156
9.5	Interpretation for <i>cdcl_W.cdcl_W</i>	161
9.5.1	Direct Interpretation	161
9.5.2	Opaque Type with Invariant	161
10	Implementation for 2 Watched-Literals	166

1 Partial Clausal Logic

We here define decided literals (that will be used in both DPLL and CDCL) and the entailment corresponding to it.

```
theory Partial-Annotated-Clausal-Logic
imports Partial-Clausal-Logic
```

```
begin
```

1.1 Decided Literals

1.1.1 Definition

```
datatype ('v, 'vl, 'mark) ann-literal =
  is-decided: Decided (lit-of: 'v literal) (level-of: 'vl) |
  is-proped: Propagated (lit-of: 'v literal) (mark-of: 'mark)
```

```
lemma ann-literal-list-induct[case-names nil decided proped]:
  assumes P [] and
   $\bigwedge L\ l\ xs. P\ xs \implies P\ (\textit{Decided}\ L\ l\ \# xs)$  and
   $\bigwedge L\ m\ xs. P\ xs \implies P\ (\textit{Propagated}\ L\ m\ \# xs)$ 
  shows P xs
  <proof>
```

lemma *is-decided-ex-Decided*:

$is-decided\ L \implies \exists K\ lwl.\ L = Decided\ K\ lwl$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

type-synonym $('v, 'l, 'm)\ ann-literals = ('v, 'l, 'm)\ ann-literal\ list$

definition $lits-of :: ('a, 'b, 'c)\ ann-literal\ list \Rightarrow 'a\ literal\ set$ **where**
 $lits-of\ Ls = lit-of\ ' (set\ Ls)$

lemma *lits-of-empty[simp]*:

$lits-of\ [] = \{ \}$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lits-of-cons[simp]*:

$lits-of\ (L \# Ls) = insert\ (lit-of\ L)\ (lits-of\ Ls)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lits-of-append[simp]*:

$lits-of\ (l @ l') = lits-of\ l \cup lits-of\ l'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *finite-lits-of-def[simp]*: $finite\ (lits-of\ L)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lits-of-rev[simp]*: $lits-of\ (rev\ M) = lits-of\ M$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *set-map-lit-of-lits-of[simp]*:

$set\ (map\ lit-of\ T) = lits-of\ T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Remove annotation and transform to a set of single literals.

abbreviation $unmark :: ('a, 'b, 'c)\ ann-literal\ list \Rightarrow 'a\ literal\ multiset\ set$ **where**

$unmark\ M \equiv (\lambda a.\ \{ \#lit-of\ a \# \})\ ' set\ M$

lemma *atms-of-ms-lambda-lit-of-is-atm-of-lit-of[simp]*:

$atms-of-ms\ (unmark\ M') = atm-of\ ' lits-of\ M'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lits-of-empty-is-empty[iff]*:

$lits-of\ M = \{ \} \longleftrightarrow M = []$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

1.1.2 Entailment

definition $true-annot :: ('a, 'l, 'm)\ ann-literals \Rightarrow 'a\ clause \Rightarrow bool$ (**infix** \models_a 49) **where**

$I \models_a C \longleftrightarrow (lits-of\ I) \models C$

definition $true-annots :: ('a, 'l, 'm)\ ann-literals \Rightarrow 'a\ clauses \Rightarrow bool$ (**infix** \models_{as} 49) **where**

$I \models_{as} CC \longleftrightarrow (\forall C \in CC.\ I \models_a C)$

lemma *true-annot-empty-model[simp]*:

$\neg [] \models_a \psi$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *true-annot-empty[simp]*:

$\neg I \models_a \{\#\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *empty-true-annots-def*[*iff*]:
 $\Box \models_{as} \psi \longleftrightarrow \psi = \{\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *true-annots-empty*[*simp*]:
 $I \models_{as} \{\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *true-annots-single-true-annot*[*iff*]:
 $I \models_{as} \{C\} \longleftrightarrow I \models_a C$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *true-annot-insert-l*[*simp*]:
 $M \models_a A \implies L \# M \models_a A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *true-annots-insert-l* [*simp*]:
 $M \models_{as} A \implies L \# M \models_{as} A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *true-annots-union*[*iff*]:
 $M \models_{as} A \cup B \longleftrightarrow (M \models_{as} A \wedge M \models_{as} B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *true-annots-insert*[*iff*]:
 $M \models_{as} \text{insert } a \ A \longleftrightarrow (M \models_a a \wedge M \models_{as} A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Link between \models_{as} and \models_s :

lemma *true-annots-true-cls*:
 $I \models_{as} CC \longleftrightarrow (\text{lits-of } I) \models_s CC$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *in-lit-of-true-annot*:
 $a \in \text{lits-of } M \longleftrightarrow M \models_a \{\#a\#\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *true-annot-lit-of-notin-skip*:
 $L \# M \models_a A \implies \text{lit-of } L \notin \# A \implies M \models_a A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *true-clss-singleton-lit-of-implies-incl*:
 $I \models_s \text{unmark } MLs \implies \text{lits-of } MLs \subseteq I$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *true-annot-true-clss-cls*:
 $MLs \models_a \psi \implies \text{set } (\text{map } (\lambda a. \{\#\text{lit-of } a\#\}) \ MLs) \models_p \psi$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *true-annots-true-clss-cls*:
 $MLs \models_{as} \psi \implies \text{set } (\text{map } (\lambda a. \{\#\text{lit-of } a\#\}) \ MLs) \models_{ps} \psi$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *true-annots-decided-true-cla[iff]*:
 $\text{map } (\lambda M. \text{Decided } M \ a) \ M \models_{as} N \longleftrightarrow \text{set } M \models_s N$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *true-annot-singleton[iff]*: $M \models_a \{\#L\# \} \longleftrightarrow L \in \text{lits-of } M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *true-annots-true-clss-clss*:
 $A \models_{as} \Psi \implies \text{unmark } A \models_{ps} \Psi$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *true-annot-commute*:
 $M @ M' \models_a D \longleftrightarrow M' @ M \models_a D$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *true-annots-commute*:
 $M @ M' \models_{as} D \longleftrightarrow M' @ M \models_{as} D$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *true-annot-mono[dest]*:
 $\text{set } I \subseteq \text{set } I' \implies I \models_a N \implies I' \models_a N$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *true-annots-mono*:
 $\text{set } I \subseteq \text{set } I' \implies I \models_{as} N \implies I' \models_{as} N$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

1.1.3 Defined and undefined literals

We introduce the functions *defined-lit* and *undefined-lit* to know whether a literal is defined with respect to a list of decided literals (aka a trail in most cases).

Remark that *undefined* already exists and is a completely different Isabelle function.

definition *defined-lit* :: $('a, 'l, 'm) \text{ ann-literal list} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ literal} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where
 $\text{defined-lit } I \ L \longleftrightarrow (\exists l. \text{Decided } L \ l \in \text{set } I) \vee (\exists P. \text{Propagated } L \ P \in \text{set } I)$
 $\vee (\exists l. \text{Decided } (-L) \ l \in \text{set } I) \vee (\exists P. \text{Propagated } (-L) \ P \in \text{set } I)$

abbreviation *undefined-lit* :: $('a, 'l, 'm) \text{ ann-literal list} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ literal} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where $\text{undefined-lit } I \ L \equiv \neg \text{defined-lit } I \ L$

lemma *defined-lit-rev[simp]*:
 $\text{defined-lit } (\text{rev } M) \ L \longleftrightarrow \text{defined-lit } M \ L$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *atm-imp-decided-or-proped*:
assumes $x \in \text{set } I$
shows
 $(\exists l. \text{Decided } (- \text{lit-of } x) \ l \in \text{set } I)$
 $\vee (\exists l. \text{Decided } (\text{lit-of } x) \ l \in \text{set } I)$
 $\vee (\exists l. \text{Propagated } (- \text{lit-of } x) \ l \in \text{set } I)$
 $\vee (\exists l. \text{Propagated } (\text{lit-of } x) \ l \in \text{set } I)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *literal-is-lit-of-decided*:

assumes $L = \text{lit-of } x$

shows $(\exists l. x = \text{Decided } L \ l) \vee (\exists l'. x = \text{Propagated } L \ l')$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *true-annot-iff-decided-or-true-lit*:

$\text{defined-lit } I \ L \longleftrightarrow ((\text{lits-of } I) \models L \vee (\text{lits-of } I) \models \neg L)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *consistent-inter-true-annots-satisfiable*:

$\text{consistent-interp } (\text{lits-of } I) \implies I \models_{\text{as}} N \implies \text{satisfiable } N$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *defined-lit-map*:

$\text{defined-lit } Ls \ L \longleftrightarrow \text{atm-of } L \in (\lambda l. \text{atm-of } (\text{lit-of } l)) \text{ ' set } Ls$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *defined-lit-uminus[iff]*:

$\text{defined-lit } I \ (\neg L) \longleftrightarrow \text{defined-lit } I \ L$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Decided-Propagated-in-iff-in-lits-of*:

$\text{defined-lit } I \ L \longleftrightarrow (L \in \text{lits-of } I \vee \neg L \in \text{lits-of } I)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *consistent-add-undefined-lit-consistent[simp]*:

assumes

$\text{consistent-interp } (\text{lits-of } Ls)$ **and**

$\text{undefined-lit } Ls \ L$

shows $\text{consistent-interp } (\text{insert } L \ (\text{lits-of } Ls))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *decided-empty[simp]*:

$\neg \text{defined-lit } [] \ L$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

1.2 Backtracking

fun *backtrack-split* :: $('v, 'l, 'm) \text{ ann-literals}$

$\Rightarrow ('v, 'l, 'm) \text{ ann-literals} \times ('v, 'l, 'm) \text{ ann-literals}$ **where**

$\text{backtrack-split } [] = ([], [])$ |

$\text{backtrack-split } (\text{Propagated } L \ P \ \# \ \text{mlits}) = \text{apfst } ((\text{op } \#) (\text{Propagated } L \ P)) (\text{backtrack-split } \text{mlits})$ |

$\text{backtrack-split } (\text{Decided } L \ l \ \# \ \text{mlits}) = ([], \text{Decided } L \ l \ \# \ \text{mlits})$

lemma *backtrack-split-fst-not-decided*: $a \in \text{set } (\text{fst } (\text{backtrack-split } l)) \implies \neg \text{is-decided } a$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *backtrack-split-snd-hd-decided*:

$\text{snd } (\text{backtrack-split } l) \neq [] \implies \text{is-decided } (\text{hd } (\text{snd } (\text{backtrack-split } l)))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *backtrack-split-list-eq[simp]*:

$\text{fst } (\text{backtrack-split } l) @ (\text{snd } (\text{backtrack-split } l)) = l$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *backtrack-snd-empty-not-decided*:

backtrack-split $M = (M'', \square) \implies \forall l \in \text{set } M. \neg \text{is-decided } l$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *backtrack-split-some-is-decided-then-snd-has-hd*:

$\exists l \in \text{set } M. \text{is-decided } l \implies \exists M' L' M''. \text{backtrack-split } M = (M'', L' \# M')$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Another characterisation of the result of *backtrack-split*. This view allows some simpler proofs, since *takeWhile* and *dropWhile* are highly automated:

lemma *backtrack-split-takeWhile-dropWhile*:

backtrack-split $M = (\text{takeWhile } (\text{Not } o \text{ is-decided}) M, \text{dropWhile } (\text{Not } o \text{ is-decided}) M)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

1.3 Decomposition with respect to the First Decided Literals

In this section we define a function that returns a decomposition with the first decided literal. This function is useful to define the backtracking of DPLL.

1.3.1 Definition

The pattern *get-all-decided-decomposition* $\square = [(\square, \square)]$ is necessary otherwise, we can call the *hd* function in the other pattern.

fun *get-all-decided-decomposition* :: ('a, 'l, 'm) ann-literals
 $\implies ((('a, 'l, 'm) \text{ ann-literals} \times ('a, 'l, 'm) \text{ ann-literals}) \text{ list } \mathbf{where}$
get-all-decided-decomposition (*Decided* $L \ l \ \# \ Ls$) =
 (*Decided* $L \ l \ \# \ Ls, \square) \ \# \ \text{get-all-decided-decomposition } Ls \mid$
get-all-decided-decomposition (*Propagated* $L \ P \ \# \ Ls$) =
 (*apsnd* ((*op* $\#$) (*Propagated* $L \ P$)) (*hd* (*get-all-decided-decomposition* Ls)))
 $\ \# \ \text{tl } (\text{get-all-decided-decomposition } Ls) \mid$
get-all-decided-decomposition $\square = [(\square, \square)]$

value *get-all-decided-decomposition* [*Propagated* $A5 \ B5$, *Decided* $C4 \ D4$, *Propagated* $A3 \ B3$,
Propagated $A2 \ B2$, *Decided* $C1 \ D1$, *Propagated* $A0 \ B0$]

Now we can prove several simple properties about the function.

lemma *get-all-decided-decomposition-never-empty*[*iff*]:

get-all-decided-decomposition $M = \square \longleftrightarrow \text{False}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *get-all-decided-decomposition-never-empty-sym*[*iff*]:

$\square = \text{get-all-decided-decomposition } M \longleftrightarrow \text{False}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *get-all-decided-decomposition-decomp*:

hd (*get-all-decided-decomposition* S) = (a, c) $\implies S = c \ @ \ a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *get-all-decided-decomposition-backtrack-split*:

backtrack-split $S = (M, M') \longleftrightarrow \text{hd } (\text{get-all-decided-decomposition } S) = (M', M)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *get-all-decided-decomposition-nil-backtrack-split-snd-nil*:

get-all-decided-decomposition $S = [(\square, A)] \implies \text{snd } (\text{backtrack-split } S) = \square$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

This functions says that the first element is either empty or starts with a decided element of the list.

lemma *get-all-decided-decomposition-length-1-fst-empty-or-length-1*:
assumes *get-all-decided-decomposition* $M = (a, b) \# []$
shows $a = [] \vee (\text{length } a = 1 \wedge \text{is-decided } (\text{hd } a) \wedge \text{hd } a \in \text{set } M)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *get-all-decided-decomposition-fst-empty-or-hd-in-M*:
assumes *get-all-decided-decomposition* $M = (a, b) \# l$
shows $a = [] \vee (\text{is-decided } (\text{hd } a) \wedge \text{hd } a \in \text{set } M)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *get-all-decided-decomposition-snd-not-decided*:
assumes $(a, b) \in \text{set } (\text{get-all-decided-decomposition } M)$
and $L \in \text{set } b$
shows $\neg \text{is-decided } L$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tl-get-all-decided-decomposition-skip-some*:
assumes $x \in \text{set } (\text{tl } (\text{get-all-decided-decomposition } M1))$
shows $x \in \text{set } (\text{tl } (\text{get-all-decided-decomposition } (M0 @ M1)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *hd-get-all-decided-decomposition-skip-some*:
assumes $(x, y) = \text{hd } (\text{get-all-decided-decomposition } M1)$
shows $(x, y) \in \text{set } (\text{get-all-decided-decomposition } (M0 @ \text{Decided } K \ i \ # \ M1))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *in-get-all-decided-decomposition-in-get-all-decided-decomposition-prepend*:
 $(a, b) \in \text{set } (\text{get-all-decided-decomposition } M') \implies$
 $\exists b'. (a, b' @ b) \in \text{set } (\text{get-all-decided-decomposition } (M @ M'))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *get-all-decided-decomposition-remove-undecided-length*:
assumes $\forall l \in \text{set } M'. \neg \text{is-decided } l$
shows $\text{length } (\text{get-all-decided-decomposition } (M' @ M''))$
 $= \text{length } (\text{get-all-decided-decomposition } M'')$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *get-all-decided-decomposition-not-is-decided-length*:
assumes $\forall l \in \text{set } M'. \neg \text{is-decided } l$
shows $1 + \text{length } (\text{get-all-decided-decomposition } (\text{Propagated } (-L) \ P \ # \ M))$
 $= \text{length } (\text{get-all-decided-decomposition } (M' @ \text{Decided } L \ l \ # \ M))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *get-all-decided-decomposition-last-choice*:
assumes $\text{tl } (\text{get-all-decided-decomposition } (M' @ \text{Decided } L \ l \ # \ M)) \neq []$
and $\forall l \in \text{set } M'. \neg \text{is-decided } l$
and $\text{hd } (\text{tl } (\text{get-all-decided-decomposition } (M' @ \text{Decided } L \ l \ # \ M))) = (M0', M0)$
shows $\text{hd } (\text{get-all-decided-decomposition } (\text{Propagated } (-L) \ P \ # \ M)) = (M0', \text{Propagated } (-L) \ P \ # \ M0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *get-all-decided-decomposition-except-last-choice-equal*:
assumes $\forall l \in \text{set } M'. \neg \text{is-decided } l$
shows $tl \text{ (get-all-decided-decomposition (Propagated } (-L) P \# M))$
 $= tl \text{ (tl (get-all-decided-decomposition } (M' @ \text{Decided } L \text{ } l \# M))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *get-all-decided-decomposition-hd-hd*:
assumes $\text{get-all-decided-decomposition } Ls = (M, C) \# (M0, M0') \# l$
shows $tl \text{ } M = M0' @ M0 \wedge \text{is-decided (hd } M)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *get-all-decided-decomposition-exists-prepend[dest]*:
assumes $(a, b) \in \text{set (get-all-decided-decomposition } M)$
shows $\exists c. M = c @ b @ a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *get-all-decided-decomposition-incl*:
assumes $(a, b) \in \text{set (get-all-decided-decomposition } M)$
shows $\text{set } b \subseteq \text{set } M \text{ and } \text{set } a \subseteq \text{set } M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *get-all-decided-decomposition-exists-prepend'*:
assumes $(a, b) \in \text{set (get-all-decided-decomposition } M)$
obtains c **where** $M = c @ b @ a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *union-in-get-all-decided-decomposition-is-subset*:
assumes $(a, b) \in \text{set (get-all-decided-decomposition } M)$
shows $\text{set } a \cup \text{set } b \subseteq \text{set } M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

1.3.2 Entailment of the Propagated by the Decided Literal

lemma *get-all-decided-decomposition-snd-union*:
 $\text{set } M = \bigcup (\text{set 'snd ' set (get-all-decided-decomposition } M)) \cup \{L \mid L. \text{is-decided } L \wedge L \in \text{set } M\}$
(is ?M $M = ?U \text{ } M \cup ?Ls \text{ } M)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *all-decomposition-implies :: 'a literal multiset set*
 $\Rightarrow ((('a, 'l, 'm) \text{ ann-literal list} \times ('a, 'l, 'm) \text{ ann-literal list}) \text{ list} \Rightarrow \text{bool})$ **where**
 $\text{all-decomposition-implies } N \text{ } S$
 $\longleftrightarrow (\forall (Ls, \text{seen}) \in \text{set } S. \text{unmark } Ls \cup N \models_{ps} \text{unmark } \text{seen})$

lemma *all-decomposition-implies-empty[iff]*:
 $\text{all-decomposition-implies } N \text{ } [] \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *all-decomposition-implies-single[iff]*:
 $\text{all-decomposition-implies } N \text{ } [(Ls, \text{seen})] \longleftrightarrow \text{unmark } Ls \cup N \models_{ps} \text{unmark } \text{seen}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *all-decomposition-implies-append[iff]*:
 $\text{all-decomposition-implies } N \text{ } (S @ S')$
 $\longleftrightarrow (\text{all-decomposition-implies } N \text{ } S \wedge \text{all-decomposition-implies } N \text{ } S')$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *all-decomposition-implies-cons-pair[iff]*:

all-decomposition-implies $N ((Ls, seen) \# S')$
 $\longleftrightarrow (all-decomposition-implies\ N\ [(Ls, seen)] \wedge all-decomposition-implies\ N\ S')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *all-decomposition-implies-cons-single*[*iff*]:

all-decomposition-implies $N\ (l \# S') \longleftrightarrow$
 $(unmark\ (fst\ l) \cup N \models_{ps} unmark\ (snd\ l) \wedge$
 $all-decomposition-implies\ N\ S')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *all-decomposition-implies-trail-is-implied*:

assumes *all-decomposition-implies* $N\ (get-all-decided-decomposition\ M)$
shows $N \cup \{\{\#lit-of\ L\#\} \mid L. is-decided\ L \wedge L \in set\ M\}$
 $\models_{ps} (\lambda a. \{\#lit-of\ a\#\})\ ' \bigcup (set\ 'snd\ 'set\ (get-all-decided-decomposition\ M))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *all-decomposition-implies-propagated-lits-are-implied*:

assumes *all-decomposition-implies* $N\ (get-all-decided-decomposition\ M)$
shows $N \cup \{\{\#lit-of\ L\#\} \mid L. is-decided\ L \wedge L \in set\ M\} \models_{ps} unmark\ M$
 $(is\ ?I \models_{ps}\ ?A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *all-decomposition-implies-insert-single*:

all-decomposition-implies $N\ M \implies all-decomposition-implies\ (insert\ C\ N)\ M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

1.4 Negation of Clauses

We define the negation of a '*Partial-Clausal-Logic.clause*': it converts it from the a single clause to a set of clauses, wherein each clause is a single negated literal.

definition *CNot* :: '*v clause* \Rightarrow '*v clauses* **where**

CNot $\psi = \{\{\#-L\#\} \mid L. L \in \# \psi\}$

lemma *in-CNot-uminus*[*iff*]:

shows $\{\#L\#\} \in CNot\ \psi \longleftrightarrow -L \in \# \psi$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *CNot-singleton*[*simp*]: *CNot* $\{\#L\#\} = \{\{\#-L\#\}\}$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *CNot-empty*[*simp*]: *CNot* $\{\#\} = \{\}$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *CNot-plus*[*simp*]: *CNot* $(A + B) = CNot\ A \cup CNot\ B$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *CNot-eq-empty*[*iff*]:

CNot $D = \{\} \longleftrightarrow D = \{\#\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *in-CNot-implies-uminus*:

assumes $L \in \# D$
and $M \models_{as} CNot\ D$
shows $M \models_a \{\#-L\#\}$ **and** $-L \in lits-of\ M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *CNot-remdups-mset*[*simp*]:

CNot $(remdups-mset\ A) = CNot\ A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Ball-CNot-Ball-mset[simp]*:
 $(\forall x \in \text{CNot } D. P \ x) \longleftrightarrow (\forall L \in \# \ D. P \ \{\# - L\# \})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *consistent-CNot-not*:
assumes *consistent-interp* I
shows $I \models_s \text{CNot } \varphi \implies \neg I \models \varphi$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *total-not-true-clss-true-clss-CNot*:
assumes *total-over-m* $I \ \{\varphi\}$ **and** $\neg I \models \varphi$
shows $I \models_s \text{CNot } \varphi$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *total-not-CNot*:
assumes *total-over-m* $I \ \{\varphi\}$ **and** $\neg I \models_s \text{CNot } \varphi$
shows $I \models \varphi$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *atms-of-ms-CNot-atms-of[simp]*:
 $\text{atms-of-ms} (\text{CNot } C) = \text{atms-of } C$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *true-clss-clss-contradiction-true-clss-clss-false*:
 $C \in D \implies D \models_{ps} \text{CNot } C \implies D \models_p \{\#\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *true-annots-CNot-all-atms-defined*:
assumes $M \models_{as} \text{CNot } T$ **and** $a1: L \in \# \ T$
shows $\text{atm-of } L \in \text{atm-of } \text{'lits-of } M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *true-clss-clss-false-left-right*:
assumes $\{\{\#L\#\}\} \cup B \models_p \{\#\}$
shows $B \models_{ps} \text{CNot } \{\#L\#\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *true-annots-true-clss-def-iff-negation-in-model*:
 $M \models_{as} \text{CNot } C \longleftrightarrow (\forall L \in \# \ C. -L \in \text{lits-of } M)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *consistent-CNot-not-tautology*:
 $\text{consistent-interp } M \implies M \models_s \text{CNot } D \implies \neg \text{tautology } D$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *atms-of-ms-CNot-atms-of-ms*: $\text{atms-of-ms} (\text{CNot } CC) = \text{atms-of-ms } \{CC\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *total-over-m-CNot-total-over-m[simp]*:
 $\text{total-over-m } I (\text{CNot } C) = \text{total-over-set } I (\text{atms-of } C)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *uminus-lit-swap*: $\neg(a::'a \text{ literal}) = i \longleftrightarrow a = -i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *true-clss-clss-plus-CNot*:

assumes

$CC-L: A \models_p CC + \{\#L\# \}$ **and**

$CNot-CC: A \models_{ps} CNot\ CC$

shows $A \models_p \{\#L\# \}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *true-annots-CNot-lit-of-notin-skip*:

assumes $LM: L \# M \models_{as} CNot\ A$ **and** $LA: lit-of\ L \notin\# A - lit-of\ L \notin\# A$

shows $M \models_{as} CNot\ A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *true-clss-clss-union-false-true-clss-clss-cnot*:

$A \cup \{B\} \models_{ps} \{\{\#\}\} \longleftrightarrow A \models_{ps} CNot\ B$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *true-annot-remove-hd-if-notin-vars*:

assumes $a \# M' \models_a D$

and $atm-of\ (lit-of\ a) \notin\ atms-of\ D$

shows $M' \models_a D$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *true-annot-remove-if-notin-vars*:

assumes $M @ M' \models_a D$

and $\forall x \in atms-of\ D. x \notin atm-of\ ' lits-of\ M$

shows $M' \models_a D$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *true-annots-remove-if-notin-vars*:

assumes $M @ M' \models_{as} D$

and $\forall x \in atms-of-ms\ D. x \notin atm-of\ ' lits-of\ M$

shows $M' \models_{as} D$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *all-variables-defined-not-imply-cnot*:

assumes $\forall s \in atms-of-ms\ \{B\}. s \in atm-of\ ' lits-of\ A$

and $\neg A \models_a B$

shows $A \models_{as} CNot\ B$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *CNot-union-mset[simp]*:

$CNot\ (A \# \cup B) = CNot\ A \cup CNot\ B$

$\langle proof \rangle$

1.5 Other

abbreviation $no-dup\ L \equiv distinct\ (map\ (\lambda l. atm-of\ (lit-of\ l))\ L)$

lemma *no-dup-rev[simp]*:

$no-dup\ (rev\ M) \longleftrightarrow no-dup\ M$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *no-dup-length-eq-card-atm-of-lits-of*:

assumes $no-dup\ M$

shows $length\ M = card\ (atm-of\ ' lits-of\ M)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *distinctconsistent-interp*:
 $no_dup\ M \implies consistent_interp\ (lits_of\ M)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *distinct-get-all-decided-decomposition-no-dup*:
assumes $(a, b) \in set\ (get_all_decided_decomposition\ M)$
and $no_dup\ M$
shows $no_dup\ (a\ @\ b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *true-annots-lit-of-notin-skip*:
assumes $L\ \# \ M \models_{as} CNot\ A$
and $\neg lit_of\ L \notin\# \ A$
and $no_dup\ (L\ \# \ M)$
shows $M \models_{as} CNot\ A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

1.6 Extending Entailments to multisets

We have defined previous entailment with respect to sets, but we also need a multiset version depending on the context. The conversion is simple using the function *set-mset* (in this direction, there is no loss of information).

type-synonym $'v\ clauses = 'v\ clause\ multiset$

abbreviation *true-annots-mset* (**infix** $\models_{asm}\ 50$) **where**
 $I \models_{asm} C \equiv I \models_{as} (set_mset\ C)$

abbreviation *true-clss-clss-m*:: $'a\ clauses \Rightarrow 'a\ clauses \Rightarrow bool$ (**infix** $\models_{psm}\ 50$) **where**
 $I \models_{psm} C \equiv set_mset\ I \models_{ps} (set_mset\ C)$

Analog of $\llbracket ?N \models_{ps} ?B; ?A \subseteq ?B \rrbracket \implies ?N \models_{ps} ?A$

lemma *true-clss-clssm-subsetE*: $N \models_{psm} B \implies A \subseteq\# \ B \implies N \models_{psm} A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation *true-clss-clss-m*:: $'a\ clauses \Rightarrow 'a\ clause \Rightarrow bool$ (**infix** $\models_{pm}\ 50$) **where**
 $I \models_{pm} C \equiv set_mset\ I \models_p C$

abbreviation *distinct-mset-mset* :: $'a\ multiset\ multiset \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $distinct_mset_mset\ \Sigma \equiv distinct_mset_set\ (set_mset\ \Sigma)$

abbreviation *all-decomposition-implies-m* **where**
 $all_decomposition_implies_m\ A\ B \equiv all_decomposition_implies\ (set_mset\ A)\ B$

abbreviation *atms-of-msu* **where**
 $atms_of_msu\ U \equiv atms_of_ms\ (set_mset\ U)$

abbreviation *true-clss-m*:: $'a\ interp \Rightarrow 'a\ clauses \Rightarrow bool$ (**infix** $\models_{sm}\ 50$) **where**
 $I \models_{sm} C \equiv I \models_s set_mset\ C$

abbreviation *true-clss-ext-m* (**infix** $\models_{sextm}\ 49$) **where**
 $I \models_{sextm} C \equiv I \models_{sext} set_mset\ C$

end

theory *CDCL-NOT*

imports *Partial-Annotated-Clausal-Logic List-More Wellfounded-More Partial-Clausal-Logic*
begin

2 NOT's CDCL

declare *set-mset-minus-replicate-mset*[simp]

2.1 Auxiliary Lemmas and Measure

lemma *no-dup-cannot-not-lit-and-uminus*:

$no_dup\ M \implies \neg\ lit_of\ xa = lit_of\ x \implies x \in set\ M \implies xa \notin set\ M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *true-clss-single-iff-incl*:

$I \models_s single\ 'B \longleftrightarrow B \subseteq I$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *atms-of-ms-single-atm-of*[simp]:

$atms_of_ms\ \{\{\#lit_of\ L\# \mid L.\ P\ L\} = atm_of\ ' \{\ lit_of\ L \mid L.\ P\ L\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *atms-of-uminus-lit-atm-of-lit-of*:

$atms_of\ \{\#- lit_of\ x.\ x \in \# A\# \} = atm_of\ ' (lit_of\ ' (set_mset\ A))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *atms-of-ms-single-image-atm-of-lit-of*:

$atms_of_ms\ ((\lambda x.\ \{\#lit_of\ x\# \})\ 'A) = atm_of\ ' (lit_of\ 'A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

This measure can also be seen as the increasing lexicographic order: it is an order on bounded sequences, when each element is bounded. The proof involves a measure like the one defined here (the same?).

definition $\mu_C :: nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat\ list \Rightarrow nat$ **where**

$\mu_C\ s\ b\ M \equiv (\sum i=0..<length\ M.\ M!i * b^\wedge (s+i - length\ M))$

lemma $\mu_C\ nil$ [simp]:

$\mu_C\ s\ b\ [] = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\mu_C\ single$ [simp]:

$\mu_C\ s\ b\ [L] = L * b^\wedge (s - Suc\ 0)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *set-sum-atLeastLessThan-add*:

$(\sum i=k..<k+(b::nat).\ f\ i) = (\sum i=0..<b.\ f\ (k+ i))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *set-sum-atLeastLessThan-Suc*:

$(\sum i=1..<Suc\ j.\ f\ i) = (\sum i=0..<j.\ f\ (Suc\ i))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\mu_C\ cons$:

$\mu_C\ s\ b\ (L \# M) = L * b^\wedge (s - 1 - length\ M) + \mu_C\ s\ b\ M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\mu_C\ append$:

assumes $s \geq length\ (M @ M')$

shows $\mu_C\ s\ b\ (M @ M') = \mu_C\ (s - length\ M')\ b\ M + \mu_C\ s\ b\ M'$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma μ_C -cons-non-empty-inf:

assumes M -ge-1: $\forall i \in \text{set } M. i \geq 1$ **and** $M: M \neq []$

shows $\mu_C \ s \ b \ M \geq b \wedge (s - \text{length } M)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

Duplicate of " /src/HOL/ex/NatSum.thy" (but generalized to $(0::'a) \leq k$)

lemma *sum-of-powers*: $0 \leq k \implies (k - 1) * (\sum_{i=0..<n. k^i} i) = k^n - (1::nat)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

In the degenerated cases, we only have the large inequality holds. In the other cases, the following strict inequality holds:

lemma μ_C -bounded-non-degenerated:

fixes $b :: nat$

assumes

$b > 0$ **and**

$M \neq []$ **and**

M -le: $\forall i < \text{length } M. M[i] < b$ **and**

$s \geq \text{length } M$

shows $\mu_C \ s \ b \ M < b^s$

$\langle proof \rangle$

In the degenerate case $b = (0::'a)$, the list M is empty (since the list cannot contain any element).

lemma μ_C -bounded:

fixes $b :: nat$

assumes

M -le: $\forall i < \text{length } M. M[i] < b$ **and**

$s \geq \text{length } M$

$b > 0$

shows $\mu_C \ s \ b \ M < b^s$

$\langle proof \rangle$

When $b = 0$, we cannot show that the measure is empty, since $0^0 = 1$.

lemma μ_C -base-0:

assumes $\text{length } M \leq s$

shows $\mu_C \ s \ 0 \ M \leq M!0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

2.2 Initial definitions

2.2.1 The state

We define here an abstraction over operation on the state we are manipulating.

locale *dpll-state* =

fixes

$\text{trail} :: 'st \Rightarrow ('v, \text{unit}, \text{unit}) \text{ ann-literals}$ **and**

$\text{clauses} :: 'st \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clauses}$ **and**

$\text{prepend-trail} :: ('v, \text{unit}, \text{unit}) \text{ ann-literal} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st$ **and**

$\text{tl-trail} :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st$ **and**

$\text{add-cl}_{NOT} :: 'v \text{ clause} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st$ **and**

$\text{remove-cl}_{NOT} :: 'v \text{ clause} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st$

assumes

trail-prepend-trail[simp]:

$\bigwedge st\ L. \text{ undefined-lit } (trail\ st) \ (lit\text{-of } L) \implies trail\ (prepend\text{-trail } L\ st) = L \# trail\ st$
and

tl-trail[simp]: $trail\ (tl\text{-trail } S) = tl\ (trail\ S)$ **and**

trail-add-cls_{NOT}[simp]: $\bigwedge st\ C. \text{ no-dup } (trail\ st) \implies trail\ (add\text{-cls}_{NOT}\ C\ st) = trail\ st$ **and**

trail-remove-cls_{NOT}[simp]: $\bigwedge st\ C. trail\ (remove\text{-cls}_{NOT}\ C\ st) = trail\ st$ **and**

clauses-prepend-trail[simp]:

$\bigwedge st\ L. \text{ undefined-lit } (trail\ st) \ (lit\text{-of } L) \implies clauses\ (prepend\text{-trail } L\ st) = clauses\ st$
and

clauses-tl-trail[simp]: $\bigwedge st. clauses\ (tl\text{-trail } st) = clauses\ st$ **and**

clauses-add-cls_{NOT}[simp]:

$\bigwedge st\ C. \text{ no-dup } (trail\ st) \implies clauses\ (add\text{-cls}_{NOT}\ C\ st) = \{\#C\# \} + clauses\ st$ **and**

clauses-remove-cls_{NOT}[simp]: $\bigwedge st\ C. clauses\ (remove\text{-cls}_{NOT}\ C\ st) = remove\text{-mset } C\ (clauses\ st)$

begin

function *reduce-trail-to_{NOT}* :: 'a list \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st **where**

reduce-trail-to_{NOT} F S =

(if length (trail S) = length F \vee trail S = [] then S else *reduce-trail-to_{NOT}* F (tl-trail S))

$\langle proof \rangle$

termination $\langle proof \rangle$

declare *reduce-trail-to_{NOT}.simps[simp del]*

lemma

shows

reduce-trail-to_{NOT}-nil[simp]: $trail\ S = [] \implies reduce\text{-trail-to}_{NOT}\ F\ S = S$ **and**

reduce-trail-to_{NOT}-eq-length[simp]: $length\ (trail\ S) = length\ F \implies reduce\text{-trail-to}_{NOT}\ F\ S = S$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *reduce-trail-to_{NOT}-length-ne[simp]:*

$length\ (trail\ S) \neq length\ F \implies trail\ S \neq [] \implies$

$reduce\text{-trail-to}_{NOT}\ F\ S = reduce\text{-trail-to}_{NOT}\ F\ (tl\text{-trail } S)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *trail-reduce-trail-to_{NOT}-length-le:*

assumes $length\ F > length\ (trail\ S)$

shows $trail\ (reduce\text{-trail-to}_{NOT}\ F\ S) = []$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *trail-reduce-trail-to_{NOT}-nil[simp]:*

$trail\ (reduce\text{-trail-to}_{NOT}\ []\ S) = []$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *clauses-reduce-trail-to_{NOT}-nil:*

$clauses\ (reduce\text{-trail-to}_{NOT}\ []\ S) = clauses\ S$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *trail-reduce-trail-to_{NOT}-drop:*

$trail\ (reduce\text{-trail-to}_{NOT}\ F\ S) =$

(if $length\ (trail\ S) \geq length\ F$

then $drop\ (length\ (trail\ S) - length\ F)\ (trail\ S)$

else [])

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *reduce-trail-to_{NOT}-skip-beginning*:

assumes $\text{trail } S = F' @ F$

shows $\text{trail } (\text{reduce-trail-to}_{\text{NOT}} F S) = F$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *reduce-trail-to_{NOT}-clauses[simp]*:

$\text{clauses } (\text{reduce-trail-to}_{\text{NOT}} F S) = \text{clauses } S$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

abbreviation *trail-weight where*

$\text{trail-weight } S \equiv \text{map } ((\lambda l. 1 + \text{length } l) \circ \text{snd}) (\text{get-all-decided-decomposition } (\text{trail } S))$

definition *state-eq_{NOT}* :: $'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (**infix** ~ 50) **where**

$S \sim T \longleftrightarrow \text{trail } S = \text{trail } T \wedge \text{clauses } S = \text{clauses } T$

lemma *state-eq_{NOT}-ref[simp]*:

$S \sim S$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *state-eq_{NOT}-sym*:

$S \sim T \longleftrightarrow T \sim S$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *state-eq_{NOT}-trans*:

$S \sim T \Longrightarrow T \sim U \Longrightarrow S \sim U$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma

shows

state-eq_{NOT}-trail: $S \sim T \Longrightarrow \text{trail } S = \text{trail } T$ **and**

state-eq_{NOT}-clauses: $S \sim T \Longrightarrow \text{clauses } S = \text{clauses } T$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *state-simp_{NOT}[simp]* = *state-eq_{NOT}-trail state-eq_{NOT}-clauses*

lemma *trail-eq-reduce-trail-to_{NOT}-eq*:

$\text{trail } S = \text{trail } T \Longrightarrow \text{trail } (\text{reduce-trail-to}_{\text{NOT}} F S) = \text{trail } (\text{reduce-trail-to}_{\text{NOT}} F T)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *reduce-trail-to_{NOT}-state-eq_{NOT}-compatible*:

assumes ST : $S \sim T$

shows $\text{reduce-trail-to}_{\text{NOT}} F S \sim \text{reduce-trail-to}_{\text{NOT}} F T$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *trail-reduce-trail-to_{NOT}-add-cl_{NOT}[simp]*:

$\text{no-dup } (\text{trail } S) \Longrightarrow$

$\text{trail } (\text{reduce-trail-to}_{\text{NOT}} F (\text{add-cl}_{\text{NOT}} C S)) = \text{trail } (\text{reduce-trail-to}_{\text{NOT}} F S)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *reduce-trail-to_{NOT}-trail-tl-trail-decomp[simp]*:

$\text{trail } S = F' @ \text{Decided } K () \# F \Longrightarrow$

$\text{trail } (\text{reduce-trail-to}_{\text{NOT}} F (\text{tl-trail } S)) = F$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

2.2.2 Definition of the operation

locale *propagate-ops* =
dpll-state *trail clauses prepend-trail tl-trail add-cl_{NOT} remove-cl_{NOT}* **for**
trail :: 'st \Rightarrow ('v, unit, unit) ann-literals **and**
clauses :: 'st \Rightarrow 'v clauses **and**
prepend-trail :: ('v, unit, unit) ann-literal \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**
tl-trail :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**
add-cl_{NOT} remove-cl_{NOT} :: 'v clause \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**
propagate-cond :: ('v, unit, unit) ann-literal \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool
begin
inductive *propagate_{NOT}* :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool **where**
propagate_{NOT}[intro]: $C + \{\#L\} \in \# \text{ clauses } S \implies \text{trail } S \models_{as} C \text{Not } C$
 $\implies \text{undefined-lit } (\text{trail } S) \text{ } L$
 $\implies \text{propagate-cond } (\text{Propagated } L \text{ }) S$
 $\implies T \sim \text{prepend-trail } (\text{Propagated } L \text{ }) S$
 $\implies \text{propagate}_{NOT} S T$
inductive-cases *propagate_{NOT}E[elim]*: *propagate_{NOT} S T*
end

locale *decide-ops* =
dpll-state *trail clauses prepend-trail tl-trail add-cl_{NOT} remove-cl_{NOT}* **for**
trail :: 'st \Rightarrow ('v, unit, unit) ann-literals **and**
clauses :: 'st \Rightarrow 'v clauses **and**
prepend-trail :: ('v, unit, unit) ann-literal \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**
tl-trail :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**
add-cl_{NOT} remove-cl_{NOT} :: 'v clause \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st
begin
inductive *decide_{NOT}* :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool **where**
decide_{NOT}[intro]: $\text{undefined-lit } (\text{trail } S) L \implies \text{atm-of } L \in \text{atms-of-msu } (\text{clauses } S)$
 $\implies T \sim \text{prepend-trail } (\text{Decided } L \text{ }) S$
 $\implies \text{decide}_{NOT} S T$
inductive-cases *decide_{NOT}E[elim]*: *decide_{NOT} S S'*
end

locale *backjumping-ops* =
dpll-state *trail clauses prepend-trail tl-trail add-cl_{NOT} remove-cl_{NOT}*
for
trail :: 'st \Rightarrow ('v, unit, unit) ann-literals **and**
clauses :: 'st \Rightarrow 'v clauses **and**
prepend-trail :: ('v, unit, unit) ann-literal \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**
tl-trail :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**
add-cl_{NOT} remove-cl_{NOT} :: 'v clause \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st +
fixes
backjump-conds :: 'v clause \Rightarrow 'v clause \Rightarrow 'v literal \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool
begin
inductive *backjump* **where**
trail S = F' @ Decided K () # F
 $\implies T \sim \text{prepend-trail } (\text{Propagated } L \text{ }) (\text{reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} F S)$
 $\implies C \in \# \text{ clauses } S$
 $\implies \text{trail } S \models_{as} C \text{Not } C$
 $\implies \text{undefined-lit } F L$
 $\implies \text{atm-of } L \in \text{atms-of-msu } (\text{clauses } S) \cup \text{atm-of ' (lits-of (trail } S))$
 $\implies \text{clauses } S \models_{pm} C' + \{\#L\}$

```

 $\Rightarrow F \models_{as} CNot\ C'$ 
 $\Rightarrow backjump\text{-}conds\ C\ C'\ L\ S\ T$ 
 $\Rightarrow backjump\ S\ T$ 
inductive-cases  $backjumpE$ :  $backjump\ S\ T$ 
end

```

2.3 DPLL with backjumping

```

locale  $dpll\text{-}with\text{-}backjumping\text{-}ops =$ 
   $dpll\text{-}state\ trail\ clauses\ prepend\text{-}trail\ tl\text{-}trail\ add\text{-}cls_{NOT}\ remove\text{-}cls_{NOT} +$ 
   $propagate\text{-}ops\ trail\ clauses\ prepend\text{-}trail\ tl\text{-}trail\ add\text{-}cls_{NOT}\ remove\text{-}cls_{NOT}\ propagate\text{-}conds +$ 
   $decide\text{-}ops\ trail\ clauses\ prepend\text{-}trail\ tl\text{-}trail\ add\text{-}cls_{NOT}\ remove\text{-}cls_{NOT} +$ 
   $backjumping\text{-}ops\ trail\ clauses\ prepend\text{-}trail\ tl\text{-}trail\ add\text{-}cls_{NOT}\ remove\text{-}cls_{NOT}\ backjump\text{-}conds$ 
for
   $trail :: 'st \Rightarrow ('v, unit, unit)\ ann\text{-}literals$  and
   $clauses :: 'st \Rightarrow 'v\ clauses$  and
   $prepend\text{-}trail :: ('v, unit, unit)\ ann\text{-}literal \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st$  and
   $tl\text{-}trail :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st$  and
   $add\text{-}cls_{NOT}\ remove\text{-}cls_{NOT} :: 'v\ clause \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st$  and
   $propagate\text{-}conds :: ('v, unit, unit)\ ann\text{-}literal \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool$  and
   $inv :: 'st \Rightarrow bool$  and
   $backjump\text{-}conds :: 'v\ clause \Rightarrow 'v\ clause \Rightarrow 'v\ literal \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool +$ 
assumes
   $bj\text{-}can\text{-}jump:$ 
   $\bigwedge S\ C\ F'\ K\ F\ L.$ 
   $inv\ S \Rightarrow$ 
   $no\text{-}dup\ (trail\ S) \Rightarrow$ 
   $trail\ S = F' @ Decided\ K\ () \# F \Rightarrow$ 
   $C \in \# clauses\ S \Rightarrow$ 
   $trail\ S \models_{as} CNot\ C \Rightarrow$ 
   $undefined\text{-}lit\ F\ L \Rightarrow$ 
   $atm\text{-}of\ L \in atm\text{-}of\text{-}msu\ (clauses\ S) \cup atm\text{-}of\ ' (lits\text{-}of\ (F' @ Decided\ K\ () \# F)) \Rightarrow$ 
   $clauses\ S \models_{pm} C' + \{\#L\# \} \Rightarrow$ 
   $F \models_{as} CNot\ C' \Rightarrow$ 
   $\neg no\text{-}step\ backjump\ S$ 
begin

```

We cannot add a like condition $atms\text{-}of\ C' \subseteq atm\text{-}of\text{-}ms\ N$ because to ensure that we can backjump even if the last decision variable has disappeared.

The part of the condition $atm\text{-}of\ L \in atm\text{-}of\ ' lits\text{-}of\ (F' @ Decided\ K\ () \# F)$ is important, otherwise you are not sure that you can backtrack.

2.3.1 Definition

We define dpll with backjumping:

```

inductive  $dpll\text{-}bj :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool$  for  $S :: 'st$  where
   $bj\text{-}decide_{NOT}: decide_{NOT}\ S\ S' \Rightarrow dpll\text{-}bj\ S\ S' \mid$ 
   $bj\text{-}propagate_{NOT}: propagate_{NOT}\ S\ S' \Rightarrow dpll\text{-}bj\ S\ S' \mid$ 
   $bj\text{-}backjump: backjump\ S\ S' \Rightarrow dpll\text{-}bj\ S\ S'$ 

```

lemmas $dpll\text{-}bj\text{-}induct = dpll\text{-}bj.induct[split\text{-}format(complete)]$

thm $dpll\text{-}bj\text{-}induct[OF\ dpll\text{-}with\text{-}backjumping\text{-}ops\text{-}axioms]$

lemma $dpll\text{-}bj\text{-}all\text{-}induct[consumes\ 2,\ case\text{-}names\ decide_{NOT}\ propagate_{NOT}\ backjump]:$
fixes $S\ T :: 'st$

assumes

dp11-bj S T **and**

inv S

$\wedge L T. \text{undefined-lit } (\text{trail } S) L \implies \text{atm-of } L \in \text{atms-of-msu } (\text{clauses } S)$

$\implies T \sim \text{prepend-trail } (\text{Decided } L ()) S$

$\implies P S T$ **and**

$\wedge C L T. C + \{\#L\# \} \in \# \text{ clauses } S \implies \text{trail } S \models_{\text{as}} C \text{Not } C \implies \text{undefined-lit } (\text{trail } S) L$

$\implies T \sim \text{prepend-trail } (\text{Propagated } L ()) S$

$\implies P S T$ **and**

$\wedge C F' K F L C' T. C \in \# \text{ clauses } S \implies F' @ \text{Decided } K () \# F \models_{\text{as}} C \text{Not } C$

$\implies \text{trail } S = F' @ \text{Decided } K () \# F$

$\implies \text{undefined-lit } F L$

$\implies \text{atm-of } L \in \text{atms-of-msu } (\text{clauses } S) \cup \text{atm-of } ' (\text{lits-of } (F' @ \text{Decided } K () \# F))$

$\implies \text{clauses } S \models_{\text{pm}} C' + \{\#L\# \}$

$\implies F \models_{\text{as}} C \text{Not } C'$

$\implies T \sim \text{prepend-trail } (\text{Propagated } L ()) (\text{reduce-trail-to}_{\text{NOT}} F S)$

$\implies P S T$

shows *P S T*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.3.2 Basic properties

First, some better suited induction principle lemma *dp11-bj-clauses*:

assumes *dp11-bj S T* **and** *inv S*

shows *clauses S = clauses T*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

No duplicates in the trail lemma *dp11-bj-no-dup*:

assumes *dp11-bj S T* **and** *inv S*

and *no-dup (trail S)*

shows *no-dup (trail T)*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Valuations lemma *dp11-bj-sat-iff*:

assumes *dp11-bj S T* **and** *inv S*

shows $I \models_{\text{sm}} \text{clauses } S \longleftrightarrow I \models_{\text{sm}} \text{clauses } T$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Clauses lemma *dp11-bj-atms-of-ms-clauses-inv*:

assumes

dp11-bj S T **and**

inv S

shows $\text{atms-of-msu } (\text{clauses } S) = \text{atms-of-msu } (\text{clauses } T)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *dp11-bj-atms-in-trail*:

assumes

dp11-bj S T **and**

inv S **and**

$\text{atm-of } ' (\text{lits-of } (\text{trail } S)) \subseteq \text{atms-of-msu } (\text{clauses } S)$

shows $\text{atm-of } ' (\text{lits-of } (\text{trail } T)) \subseteq \text{atms-of-msu } (\text{clauses } S)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *dp11-bj-atms-in-trail-in-set*:

assumes *dp11-bj S T* **and**

$inv\ S$ **and**
 $atms-of-msu\ (clauses\ S) \subseteq A$ **and**
 $atm-of\ ' (lits-of\ (trail\ S)) \subseteq A$
shows $atm-of\ ' (lits-of\ (trail\ T)) \subseteq A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dpll-bj-all-decomposition-implies-inv*:

assumes
 $dpll-bj\ S\ T$ **and**
 $inv: inv\ S$ **and**
 $decomp: all-decomposition-implies-m\ (clauses\ S)\ (get-all-decided-decomposition\ (trail\ S))$
shows $all-decomposition-implies-m\ (clauses\ T)\ (get-all-decided-decomposition\ (trail\ T))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.3.3 Termination

Using a proper measure **lemma** *length-get-all-decided-decomposition-append-Decided*:

$length\ (get-all-decided-decomposition\ (F' @ Decided\ K\ () \# F)) =$
 $length\ (get-all-decided-decomposition\ F')$
 $+ length\ (get-all-decided-decomposition\ (Decided\ K\ () \# F))$
 $- 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *take-length-get-all-decided-decomposition-decided-sandwich*:

$take\ (length\ (get-all-decided-decomposition\ F))$
 $(map\ (f\ o\ snd)\ (rev\ (get-all-decided-decomposition\ (F' @ Decided\ K\ () \# F))))$
 $=$
 $map\ (f\ o\ snd)\ (rev\ (get-all-decided-decomposition\ F))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *length-get-all-decided-decomposition-length*:

$length\ (get-all-decided-decomposition\ M) \leq 1 + length\ M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *length-in-get-all-decided-decomposition-bounded*:

assumes $i:i \in set\ (trail-weight\ S)$
shows $i \leq Suc\ (length\ (trail\ S))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Well-foundedness The bounds are the following:

- $1 + card\ (atms-of-ms\ A)$: $card\ (atms-of-ms\ A)$ is an upper bound on the length of the list. As *get-all-decided-decomposition* appends an possibly empty couple at the end, adding one is needed.
- $2 + card\ (atms-of-ms\ A)$: $card\ (atms-of-ms\ A)$ is an upper bound on the number of elements, where adding one is necessary for the same reason as for the bound on the list, and one is needed to have a strict bound.

abbreviation *unassigned-lit* :: $'b\ literal\ multiset\ set \Rightarrow 'a\ list \Rightarrow nat$ **where**

$unassigned-lit\ N\ M \equiv card\ (atms-of-ms\ N) - length\ M$

lemma *dpll-bj-trail-mes-increasing-prop*:

fixes $M :: ('v, unit, unit)\ ann-literals$ **and** $N :: 'v\ clauses$

assumes

dpll-bj S T **and**

inv S **and**

$NA: \text{atms-of-msu}(\text{clauses } S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A$ **and**

$MA: \text{atm-of } ' \text{ lits-of } (\text{trail } S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A$ **and**

$n\text{-d}: \text{no-dup}(\text{trail } S)$ **and**

finite: *finite* A

shows $\mu_C (1 + \text{card}(\text{atms-of-ms } A)) (2 + \text{card}(\text{atms-of-ms } A)) (\text{trail-weight } T)$
 $> \mu_C (1 + \text{card}(\text{atms-of-ms } A)) (2 + \text{card}(\text{atms-of-ms } A)) (\text{trail-weight } S)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *dpll-bj-trail-mes-decreasing-prop*:

assumes *dpll*: *dpll-bj* S T **and** *inv*: *inv* S **and**

$N\text{-}A: \text{atms-of-msu}(\text{clauses } S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A$ **and**

$M\text{-}A: \text{atm-of } ' \text{ lits-of } (\text{trail } S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A$ **and**

nd : *no-dup* (*trail* S) **and**

fin- A : *finite* A

shows $(2 + \text{card}(\text{atms-of-ms } A)) \wedge (1 + \text{card}(\text{atms-of-ms } A))$
 $- \mu_C (1 + \text{card}(\text{atms-of-ms } A)) (2 + \text{card}(\text{atms-of-ms } A)) (\text{trail-weight } T)$
 $< (2 + \text{card}(\text{atms-of-ms } A)) \wedge (1 + \text{card}(\text{atms-of-ms } A))$
 $- \mu_C (1 + \text{card}(\text{atms-of-ms } A)) (2 + \text{card}(\text{atms-of-ms } A)) (\text{trail-weight } S)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *wf-dpll-bj*:

assumes *fin*: *finite* A

shows *wf* $\{(T, S). \text{dpll-bj } S \text{ } T$

$\wedge \text{atms-of-msu}(\text{clauses } S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A \wedge \text{atm-of } ' \text{ lits-of } (\text{trail } S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A$

$\wedge \text{no-dup}(\text{trail } S) \wedge \text{inv } S\}$

(**is** *wf* $?A$)

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.3.4 Normal Forms

We prove that given a normal form of DPLL, with some invariants, the either N is satisfiable and the built valuation M is a model; or N is unsatisfiable.

Idea of the proof: We have to prove that *satisfiable* N , $\neg M \models_{as} N$ and there is no remaining step is incompatible.

1. The *decide* rules tells us that every variable in N has a value.
2. $\neg M \models_{as} N$ tells us that there is conflict.
3. There is at least one decision in the trail (otherwise, M is a model of N).
4. Now if we build the clause with all the decision literals of the trail, we can apply the *backjump* rule.

The assumption are saying that we have a finite upper bound A for the literals, that we cannot do any step *no-step* *dpll-bj* S

theorem *dpll-backjump-final-state*:

fixes $A :: 'v \text{ literal multiset set}$ **and** $S \text{ } T :: 'st$

assumes

$\text{atms-of-msu}(\text{clauses } S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A$ **and**

$\text{atm-of } ' \text{ lits-of } (\text{trail } S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A$ **and**

no-dup (*trail S*) **and**
finite A **and**
inv: inv S **and**
n-s: no-step dpll-bj S **and**
decomp: all-decomposition-implies-m (clauses S) (get-all-decided-decomposition (trail S))
shows *unsatisfiable (set-mset (clauses S))*
 \vee (*trail S* \models_{asm} *clauses S* \wedge *satisfiable (set-mset (clauses S))*)
 <proof>

end

locale *dpll-with-backjumping* =
dpll-with-backjumping-ops trail clauses prepend-trail tl-trail add-cl_{NOT} remove-cl_{NOT}
propagate-conds inv backjump-conds
for
trail :: 'st \Rightarrow ('v, unit, unit) ann-literals **and**
clauses :: 'st \Rightarrow 'v clauses **and**
prepend-trail :: ('v, unit, unit) ann-literal \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and** *tl-trail :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st* **and**
add-cl_{NOT} remove-cl_{NOT} :: 'v clause \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**
propagate-conds :: ('v, unit, unit) ann-literal \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool **and**
inv :: 'st \Rightarrow bool **and**
backjump-conds :: 'v clause \Rightarrow 'v clause \Rightarrow 'v literal \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool
 +
assumes *dpll-bj-inv: $\bigwedge S T. dpll-bj S T \Rightarrow inv S \Rightarrow inv T$*
begin

lemma *rtrancpl-dpll-bj-inv:*
assumes *dpll-bj** S T* **and** *inv S*
shows *inv T*
 <proof>

lemma *rtrancpl-dpll-bj-no-dup:*
assumes *dpll-bj** S T* **and** *inv S*
and *no-dup (trail S)*
shows *no-dup (trail T)*
 <proof>

lemma *rtrancpl-dpll-bj-atms-of-ms-clauses-inv:*
assumes
*dpll-bj** S T* **and** *inv S*
shows *atms-of-msu (clauses S) = atms-of-msu (clauses T)*
 <proof>

lemma *rtrancpl-dpll-bj-atms-in-trail:*
assumes
*dpll-bj** S T* **and**
inv S **and**
atm-of ' (lits-of (trail S)) \subseteq atms-of-msu (clauses S)
shows *atm-of ' (lits-of (trail T)) \subseteq atms-of-msu (clauses T)*
 <proof>

lemma *rtrancpl-dpll-bj-sat-iff:*
assumes *dpll-bj** S T* **and** *inv S*
shows *I \models_{sm} clauses S \longleftrightarrow I \models_{sm} clauses T*
 <proof>

lemma *rtrancpl-dpll-bj-atms-in-trail-in-set:*

assumes

*dpll-bj** S T and*

inv S

atms-of-msu (clauses S) \subseteq A and

atm-of ' (lits-of (trail S)) \subseteq A

shows *atm-of ' (lits-of (trail T)) \subseteq A*

<proof>

lemma *rtrancpl-dpll-bj-all-decomposition-implies-inv:*

assumes

*dpll-bj** S T and*

inv S

all-decomposition-implies-m (clauses S) (get-all-decided-decomposition (trail S))

shows *all-decomposition-implies-m (clauses T) (get-all-decided-decomposition (trail T))*

<proof>

lemma *rtrancpl-dpll-bj-inv-incl-dpll-bj-inv-tranc:*

{(T, S). dpll-bj++ S T

\wedge atms-of-msu (clauses S) \subseteq atms-of-ms A \wedge atm-of ' lits-of (trail S) \subseteq atms-of-ms A

\wedge no-dup (trail S) \wedge inv S}

\subseteq {(T, S). dpll-bj S T \wedge atms-of-msu (clauses S) \subseteq atms-of-ms A

\wedge atm-of ' lits-of (trail S) \subseteq atms-of-ms A \wedge no-dup (trail S) \wedge inv S}+

(is ?A \subseteq ?B+)

<proof>

lemma *wf-trancpl-dpll-bj:*

assumes *fin: finite A*

shows *wf {(T, S). dpll-bj++ S T*

\wedge atms-of-msu (clauses S) \subseteq atms-of-ms A \wedge atm-of ' lits-of (trail S) \subseteq atms-of-ms A

\wedge no-dup (trail S) \wedge inv S}

<proof>

lemma *dpll-bj-sat-ext-iff:*

dpll-bj S T \implies inv S \implies I \models sextm clauses S \longleftrightarrow I \models sextm clauses T

<proof>

lemma *rtrancpl-dpll-bj-sat-ext-iff:*

*dpll-bj** S T \implies inv S \implies I \models sextm clauses S \longleftrightarrow I \models sextm clauses T*

<proof>

theorem *full-dpll-backjump-final-state:*

fixes *A :: 'v literal multiset set and S T :: 'st*

assumes

full: full dpll-bj S T and

atms-S: atms-of-msu (clauses S) \subseteq atms-of-ms A and

atms-trail: atm-of ' lits-of (trail S) \subseteq atms-of-ms A and

n-d: no-dup (trail S) and

finite A and

inv: inv S and

decomp: all-decomposition-implies-m (clauses S) (get-all-decided-decomposition (trail S))

shows *unsatisfiable (set-mset (clauses S))*

\vee (trail T \models asm clauses S \wedge satisfiable (set-mset (clauses S)))

<proof>

corollary *full-dpll-backjump-final-state-from-init-state:*

fixes $A :: 'v$ literal multiset set **and** $S\ T :: 'st$

assumes

full: *full dpll-bj* $S\ T$ **and**

trail $S = []$ **and**

clauses $S = N$ **and**

inv S

shows $\text{unsatisfiable } (\text{set-mset } N) \vee (\text{trail } T \models_{asm} N \wedge \text{satisfiable } (\text{set-mset } N))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *trancpl-dpll-bj-trail-mes-decreasing-prop:*

assumes *dpll*: *dpll-bj⁺⁺* $S\ T$ **and** *inv*: *inv* S **and**

$N\text{-}A$: *atms-of-msu* (*clauses* S) \subseteq *atms-of-ms* A **and**

$M\text{-}A$: *atm-of* ' *lits-of* (*trail* S) \subseteq *atms-of-ms* A **and**

$n\text{-}d$: *no-dup* (*trail* S) **and**

$fin\text{-}A$: *finite* A

shows $(2 + \text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A)) \wedge (1 + \text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A))$

$\quad - \mu_C (1 + \text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A)) (2 + \text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A)) (\text{trail-weight } T)$

$\quad < (2 + \text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A)) \wedge (1 + \text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A))$

$\quad - \mu_C (1 + \text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A)) (2 + \text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A)) (\text{trail-weight } S)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

2.4 CDCL

2.4.1 Learn and Forget

locale *learn-ops* =

dpll-state *trail* *clauses* *prepend-trail* *tl-trail* *add-cls_{NOT}* *remove-cls_{NOT}*

for

trail :: $'st \Rightarrow ('v, \text{unit}, \text{unit})$ *ann-literals* **and**

clauses :: $'st \Rightarrow 'v$ *clauses* **and**

prepend-trail :: $('v, \text{unit}, \text{unit})$ *ann-literal* $\Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st$ **and** *tl-trail* :: $'st \Rightarrow 'st$ **and**

add-cls_{NOT} *remove-cls_{NOT}* :: $'v$ *clause* $\Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st +$

fixes

learn-cond :: $'v$ *clause* $\Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

begin

inductive *learn* :: $'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

clauses $S \models_{pm} C \implies \text{atms-of } C \subseteq \text{atms-of-msu } (\text{clauses } S) \cup \text{atm-of } ' (\text{lits-of } (\text{trail } S))$

$\implies \text{learn-cond } C\ S$

$\implies T \sim \text{add-cls}_{NOT} C\ S$

$\implies \text{learn } S\ T$

inductive-cases *learn_{NOT}E*: *learn* $S\ T$

lemma *learn- μ_C -stable*:

assumes *learn* $S\ T$ **and** *no-dup* (*trail* S)

shows $\mu_C\ A\ B (\text{trail-weight } S) = \mu_C\ A\ B (\text{trail-weight } T)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

locale *forget-ops* =

dpll-state *trail* *clauses* *prepend-trail* *tl-trail* *add-cls_{NOT}* *remove-cls_{NOT}*

for

```

trail :: 'st ⇒ ('v, unit, unit) ann-literals and
clauses :: 'st ⇒ 'v clauses and
prepend-trail :: ('v, unit, unit) ann-literal ⇒ 'st ⇒ 'st and tl-trail :: 'st ⇒ 'st and
add-clNOT remove-clNOT:: 'v clause ⇒ 'st ⇒ 'st +
fixes
forget-cond :: 'v clause ⇒ 'st ⇒ bool
begin
inductive forgetNOT :: 'st ⇒ 'st ⇒ bool where
forgetNOT:clauses S – replicate-mset (count (clauses S) C) C ⊨pm C
⇒ forget-cond C S
⇒ C ∈# clauses S
⇒ T ∼ remove-clNOT C S
⇒ forgetNOT S T
inductive-cases forgetNOTE: forgetNOT S T

lemma forget-μC-stable:
assumes forgetNOT S T
shows μC A B (trail-weight S) = μC A B (trail-weight T)
⟨proof⟩
end

locale learn-and-forgetNOT =
learn-ops trail clauses prepend-trail tl-trail add-clNOT remove-clNOT learn-cond +
forget-ops trail clauses prepend-trail tl-trail add-clNOT remove-clNOT forget-cond
for
trail :: 'st ⇒ ('v, unit, unit) ann-literals and
clauses :: 'st ⇒ 'v clauses and
prepend-trail :: ('v, unit, unit) ann-literal ⇒ 'st ⇒ 'st and
tl-trail :: 'st ⇒ 'st and
add-clNOT remove-clNOT:: 'v clause ⇒ 'st ⇒ 'st and
learn-cond forget-cond :: 'v clause ⇒ 'st ⇒ bool
begin
inductive learn-and-forgetNOT :: 'st ⇒ 'st ⇒ bool
where
lf-learn: learn S T ⇒ learn-and-forgetNOT S T |
lf-forget: forgetNOT S T ⇒ learn-and-forgetNOT S T
end

```

2.4.2 Definition of CDCL

```

locale conflict-driven-clause-learning-ops =
dpll-with-backjumping-ops trail clauses prepend-trail tl-trail add-clNOT remove-clNOT
propagate-conds inv backjump-conds +
learn-and-forgetNOT trail clauses prepend-trail tl-trail add-clNOT remove-clNOT learn-cond
forget-cond
for
trail :: 'st ⇒ ('v, unit, unit) ann-literals and
clauses :: 'st ⇒ 'v clauses and
prepend-trail :: ('v, unit, unit) ann-literal ⇒ 'st ⇒ 'st and
tl-trail :: 'st ⇒ 'st and
add-clNOT remove-clNOT:: 'v clause ⇒ 'st ⇒ 'st and
propagate-conds :: ('v, unit, unit) ann-literal ⇒ 'st ⇒ bool and
inv :: 'st ⇒ bool and
backjump-conds :: 'v clause ⇒ 'v clause ⇒ 'v literal ⇒ 'st ⇒ 'st ⇒ bool and
learn-cond forget-cond :: 'v clause ⇒ 'st ⇒ bool
begin

```

inductive $cdcl_{NOT} :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool$ **for** $S :: 'st$ **where**
c-dpll-bj: $dpll\text{-}bj\ S\ S' \Longrightarrow cdcl_{NOT}\ S\ S' \mid$
c-learn: $learn\ S\ S' \Longrightarrow cdcl_{NOT}\ S\ S' \mid$
c-forget_{NOT}: $forget_{NOT}\ S\ S' \Longrightarrow cdcl_{NOT}\ S\ S'$

lemma $cdcl_{NOT}\text{-all-induct}[consumes\ 1,\ case\text{-}names\ dpll\text{-}bj\ learn\ forget_{NOT}]$:

fixes $S\ T :: 'st$

assumes $cdcl_{NOT}\ S\ T$ **and**

dpll: $\bigwedge T. dpll\text{-}bj\ S\ T \Longrightarrow P\ S\ T$ **and**

learning:

$\bigwedge C\ T. clauses\ S \models_{pm} C \Longrightarrow$

$atms\text{-}of\ C \subseteq atms\text{-}of\text{-}msu\ (clauses\ S) \cup atm\text{-}of\ ' (lits\text{-}of\ (trail\ S)) \Longrightarrow$

$T \sim add\text{-}cls_{NOT}\ C\ S \Longrightarrow$

$P\ S\ T$ **and**

forgetting: $\bigwedge C\ T. clauses\ S - replicate\text{-}mset\ (count\ (clauses\ S)\ C)\ C \models_{pm} C \Longrightarrow$

$C \in \# clauses\ S \Longrightarrow$

$T \sim remove\text{-}cls_{NOT}\ C\ S \Longrightarrow$

$P\ S\ T$

shows $P\ S\ T$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cdcl_{NOT}\text{-no-dup}$:

assumes

$cdcl_{NOT}\ S\ T$ **and**

inv S **and**

no-dup $(trail\ S)$

shows *no-dup* $(trail\ T)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

Consistency of the trail **lemma** $cdcl_{NOT}\text{-consistent}$:

assumes

$cdcl_{NOT}\ S\ T$ **and**

inv S **and**

no-dup $(trail\ S)$

shows *consistent-interp* $(lits\text{-}of\ (trail\ T))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

The subtle problem here is that tautologies can be removed, meaning that some variable can disappear of the problem. It is also possible that some variable of the trail are not in the clauses anymore.

lemma $cdcl_{NOT}\text{-atms-of-ms-clauses-decreasing}$:

assumes $cdcl_{NOT}\ S\ T$ **and** *inv* S **and** *no-dup* $(trail\ S)$

shows $atms\text{-}of\text{-}msu\ (clauses\ T) \subseteq atms\text{-}of\text{-}msu\ (clauses\ S) \cup atm\text{-}of\ ' (lits\text{-}of\ (trail\ S))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cdcl_{NOT}\text{-atms-in-trail}$:

assumes $cdcl_{NOT}\ S\ T$ **and** *inv* S **and** *no-dup* $(trail\ S)$

and $atm\text{-}of\ ' (lits\text{-}of\ (trail\ S)) \subseteq atms\text{-}of\text{-}msu\ (clauses\ S)$

shows $atm\text{-}of\ ' (lits\text{-}of\ (trail\ T)) \subseteq atms\text{-}of\text{-}msu\ (clauses\ S)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cdcl_{NOT}\text{-atms-in-trail-in-set}$:

assumes

$cdcl_{NOT}\ S\ T$ **and** *inv* S **and** *no-dup* $(trail\ S)$ **and**

$atms\text{-}of\text{-}msu\ (clauses\ S) \subseteq A$ **and**
 $atm\text{-}of\ ' (lits\text{-}of\ (trail\ S)) \subseteq A$
shows $atm\text{-}of\ ' (lits\text{-}of\ (trail\ T)) \subseteq A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cdcl_{NOT}\text{-}all\text{-}decomposition\text{-}implies$:
assumes $cdcl_{NOT}\ S\ T$ **and** $inv\ S$ **and** $n\text{-}d[simp]$: $no\text{-}dup\ (trail\ S)$ **and**
 $all\text{-}decomposition\text{-}implies\text{-}m\ (clauses\ S)\ (get\text{-}all\text{-}decided\text{-}decomposition\ (trail\ S))$
shows
 $all\text{-}decomposition\text{-}implies\text{-}m\ (clauses\ T)\ (get\text{-}all\text{-}decided\text{-}decomposition\ (trail\ T))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Extension of models **lemma** $cdcl_{NOT}\text{-}bj\text{-}sat\text{-}ext\text{-}iff$:
assumes $cdcl_{NOT}\ S\ T$ **and** $inv\ S$ **and** $n\text{-}d$: $no\text{-}dup\ (trail\ S)$
shows $I \models_{sextm} clauses\ S \longleftrightarrow I \models_{sextm} clauses\ T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end — end of *conflict-driven-clause-learning-ops*

2.5 CDCL with invariant

locale $conflict\text{-}driven\text{-}clause\text{-}learning =$
 $conflict\text{-}driven\text{-}clause\text{-}learning\text{-}ops +$
assumes $cdcl_{NOT}\text{-}inv$: $\bigwedge S\ T. cdcl_{NOT}\ S\ T \implies inv\ S \implies inv\ T$
begin
sublocale $dpll\text{-}with\text{-}backjumping$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rtranclp\text{-}cdcl_{NOT}\text{-}inv$:
 $cdcl_{NOT}^{**}\ S\ T \implies inv\ S \implies inv\ T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rtranclp\text{-}cdcl_{NOT}\text{-}no\text{-}dup$:
assumes $cdcl_{NOT}^{**}\ S\ T$ **and** $inv\ S$
and $no\text{-}dup\ (trail\ S)$
shows $no\text{-}dup\ (trail\ T)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rtranclp\text{-}cdcl_{NOT}\text{-}trail\text{-}clauses\text{-}bound$:
assumes
 $cdcl$: $cdcl_{NOT}^{**}\ S\ T$ **and**
 inv : $inv\ S$ **and**
 $n\text{-}d$: $no\text{-}dup\ (trail\ S)$ **and**
 $atms\text{-}clauses\text{-}S$: $atms\text{-}of\text{-}msu\ (clauses\ S) \subseteq A$ **and**
 $atms\text{-}trail\text{-}S$: $atm\text{-}of\ ' (lits\text{-}of\ (trail\ S)) \subseteq A$
shows $atm\text{-}of\ ' (lits\text{-}of\ (trail\ T)) \subseteq A \wedge atms\text{-}of\text{-}msu\ (clauses\ T) \subseteq A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rtranclp\text{-}cdcl_{NOT}\text{-}all\text{-}decomposition\text{-}implies$:
assumes $cdcl_{NOT}^{**}\ S\ T$ **and** $inv\ S$ **and** $no\text{-}dup\ (trail\ S)$ **and**
 $all\text{-}decomposition\text{-}implies\text{-}m\ (clauses\ S)\ (get\text{-}all\text{-}decided\text{-}decomposition\ (trail\ S))$
shows
 $all\text{-}decomposition\text{-}implies\text{-}m\ (clauses\ T)\ (get\text{-}all\text{-}decided\text{-}decomposition\ (trail\ T))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rtranclp\text{-}cdcl_{NOT}\text{-}bj\text{-}sat\text{-}ext\text{-}iff$:

assumes $cdcl_{NOT}^{**} S$ **and** $inv S$ **and** $no-dup (trail S)$
shows $I \models_{sextm} clauses S \longleftrightarrow I \models_{sextm} clauses T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $cdcl_{NOT-NOT-all-inv}$ **where**

$cdcl_{NOT-NOT-all-inv} A S \longleftrightarrow (finite A \wedge inv S \wedge atms-of-msu (clauses S) \subseteq atms-of-ms A$
 $\wedge atm-of ' lits-of (trail S) \subseteq atms-of-ms A \wedge no-dup (trail S))$

lemma $cdcl_{NOT-NOT-all-inv}$:

assumes $cdcl_{NOT}^{**} S$ **and** $cdcl_{NOT-NOT-all-inv} A S$
shows $cdcl_{NOT-NOT-all-inv} A T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation $learn-or-forget$ **where**

$learn-or-forget S T \equiv (\lambda S T. learn S T \vee forget_{NOT} S T) S T$

lemma $rtranclp-learn-or-forget-cdcl_{NOT}$:

$learn-or-forget^{**} S T \implies cdcl_{NOT}^{**} S T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $learn-or-forget-dpll-\mu_C$:

assumes
 $l-f: learn-or-forget^{**} S T$ **and**
 $dpll: dpll-bj T U$ **and**
 $inv: cdcl_{NOT-NOT-all-inv} A S$
shows $(2 + card (atms-of-ms A)) \wedge (1 + card (atms-of-ms A))$
 $- \mu_C (1 + card (atms-of-ms A)) (2 + card (atms-of-ms A)) (trail-weight U)$
 $< (2 + card (atms-of-ms A)) \wedge (1 + card (atms-of-ms A))$
 $- \mu_C (1 + card (atms-of-ms A)) (2 + card (atms-of-ms A)) (trail-weight S)$
 $(is \ ?\mu U < ?\mu S)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $infinite-cdcl_{NOT}-exists-learn-and-forget-infinite-chain$:

assumes
 $\bigwedge i. cdcl_{NOT} (f i) (f (Suc i))$ **and**
 $inv: cdcl_{NOT-NOT-all-inv} A (f 0)$
shows $\exists j. \forall i \geq j. learn-or-forget (f i) (f (Suc i))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $wf-cdcl_{NOT}-no-learn-and-forget-infinite-chain$:

assumes
 $no-infinite-lf: \bigwedge f j. \neg (\forall i \geq j. learn-or-forget (f i) (f (Suc i)))$
shows $wf \{(T, S). cdcl_{NOT} S T \wedge cdcl_{NOT-NOT-all-inv} A S\}$ **(is** $wf \{(T, S). cdcl_{NOT} S T$
 $\wedge ?inv S\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $inv-and-tranclp-cdcl_{NOT}-tranclp-cdcl_{NOT}-and-inv$:

$cdcl_{NOT}^{++} S T \wedge cdcl_{NOT-NOT-all-inv} A S \longleftrightarrow (\lambda S T. cdcl_{NOT} S T \wedge cdcl_{NOT-NOT-all-inv} A$
 $S)^{++} S T$
 $(is \ ?A \wedge ?I \longleftrightarrow ?B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $wf-tranclp-cdcl_{NOT}-no-learn-and-forget-infinite-chain$:

assumes

no-infinite-lf: $\bigwedge f j. \neg (\forall i \geq j. \text{learn-or-forget } (f i) (f (\text{Suc } i)))$
shows $wf \{(T, S). \text{cdcl}_{NOT}^{++} S T \wedge \text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-NOT-all-inv } A S\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_{NOT}-final-state*:

assumes

n-s: *no-step* *cdcl_{NOT}* *S* **and**

inv: *cdcl_{NOT}-NOT-all-inv* *A S* **and**

decomp: *all-decomposition-implies-m* (*clauses S*) (*get-all-decided-decomposition* (*trail S*))

shows *unsatisfiable* (*set-mset* (*clauses S*))

$\vee (\text{trail } S \models_{asm} \text{clauses } S \wedge \text{satisfiable } (\text{set-mset } (\text{clauses } S)))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *full-cdcl_{NOT}-final-state*:

assumes

full: *full cdcl_{NOT}* *S T* **and**

inv: *cdcl_{NOT}-NOT-all-inv* *A S* **and**

n-d: *no-dup* (*trail S*) **and**

decomp: *all-decomposition-implies-m* (*clauses S*) (*get-all-decided-decomposition* (*trail S*))

shows *unsatisfiable* (*set-mset* (*clauses T*))

$\vee (\text{trail } T \models_{asm} \text{clauses } T \wedge \text{satisfiable } (\text{set-mset } (\text{clauses } T)))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end — end of *conflict-driven-clause-learning*

2.6 Termination

2.6.1 Restricting learn and forget

locale *conflict-driven-clause-learning-learning-before-backjump-only-distinct-learn* =

conflict-driven-clause-learning *trail clauses prepend-trail tl-trail add-cl_{NOT} remove-cl_{NOT}*

propagate-conds inv backjump-conds

$\lambda C S. \text{distinct-mset } C \wedge \neg \text{tautology } C \wedge \text{learn-restrictions } C S \wedge$

$(\exists F K d F' C' L. \text{trail } S = F' @ \text{Decided } K () \# F \wedge C = C' + \{\#L\# \} \wedge F \models_{as} C \text{Not } C'$

$\wedge C' + \{\#L\# \} \notin \text{clauses } S)$

$\lambda C S. \neg (\exists F' F K d L. \text{trail } S = F' @ \text{Decided } K () \# F \wedge F \models_{as} C \text{Not } (C - \{\#L\# \}))$

$\wedge \text{forget-restrictions } C S$

for

trail :: *'st* \Rightarrow (*'v*, *unit*, *unit*) *ann-literals* **and**

clauses :: *'st* \Rightarrow *'v clauses* **and**

prepend-trail :: (*'v*, *unit*, *unit*) *ann-literal* \Rightarrow *'st* \Rightarrow *'st* **and**

tl-trail :: *'st* \Rightarrow *'st* **and**

add-cl_{NOT} remove-cl_{NOT}:: *'v clause* \Rightarrow *'st* \Rightarrow *'st* **and**

propagate-conds :: (*'v*, *unit*, *unit*) *ann-literal* \Rightarrow *'st* \Rightarrow *bool* **and**

inv :: *'st* \Rightarrow *bool* **and**

backjump-conds :: *'v clause* \Rightarrow *'v clause* \Rightarrow *'v literal* \Rightarrow *'st* \Rightarrow *'st* \Rightarrow *bool* **and**

learn-restrictions forget-restrictions :: *'v clause* \Rightarrow *'st* \Rightarrow *bool*

begin

lemma *cdcl_{NOT}-learn-all-induct*[*consumes 1*, *case-names dpll-bj learn forget_{NOT}*]:

fixes *S T* :: *'st*

assumes *cdcl_{NOT}* *S T* **and**

dpll: $\bigwedge T. \text{dpll-bj } S T \Rightarrow P S T$ **and**

learning:

$\bigwedge C F K F' C' L T. \text{clauses } S \models_{pm} C$

$\Rightarrow \text{atms-of } C \subseteq \text{atms-of-msu } (\text{clauses } S) \cup \text{atm-of } ' (\text{lits-of } (\text{trail } S))$

$\implies \text{distinct-mset } C \implies \neg \text{tautology } C \implies \text{learn-restrictions } C S$
 $\implies \text{trail } S = F' @ \text{Decided } K () \# F \implies C = C' + \{\#L\# \} \implies F \models_{as} CNot C'$
 $\implies C' + \{\#L\# \} \notin \# \text{ clauses } S \implies T \sim \text{add-cl}_S C S$
 $\implies P S T \text{ and}$
forgetting: $\bigwedge C T. \text{ clauses } S - \text{replicate-mset } (\text{count } (\text{clauses } S) C) C \models_{pm} C$
 $\implies C \in \# \text{ clauses } S$
 $\implies \neg (\exists F' F K L. \text{ trail } S = F' @ \text{Decided } K () \# F \wedge F \models_{as} CNot (C - \{\#L\# \}))$
 $\implies T \sim \text{remove-cl}_S C S$
 $\implies \text{forget-restrictions } C S \implies P S T$
shows $P S T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtranclp-cdcl_{NOT}-inv*:
 $\text{cdcl}_{NOT}^{**} S T \implies \text{inv } S \implies \text{inv } T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *learn-always-simple-clauses*:
assumes
learn: $\text{learn } S T$ **and**
n-d: $\text{no-dup } (\text{trail } S)$
shows $\text{set-mset } (\text{clauses } T - \text{clauses } S)$
 $\subseteq \text{simple-cl}_S (\text{atms-of-msu } (\text{clauses } S) \cup \text{atm-of ' lits-of } (\text{trail } S))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *conflicting-bj-clss* $S \equiv$
 $\{C + \{\#L\# \} \mid C L. C + \{\#L\# \} \in \# \text{ clauses } S \wedge \text{distinct-mset } (C + \{\#L\# \}) \wedge \neg \text{tautology } (C + \{\#L\# \})$
 $\wedge (\exists F' K F. \text{ trail } S = F' @ \text{Decided } K () \# F \wedge F \models_{as} CNot C)\}$

lemma *conflicting-bj-clss-remove-cl_{NOT}[simp]*:
 $\text{conflicting-bj-clss } (\text{remove-cl}_S C S) = \text{conflicting-bj-clss } S - \{C\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *conflicting-bj-clss-add-cl_{NOT}-state-eq*:
 $T \sim \text{add-cl}_S C' S \implies \text{no-dup } (\text{trail } S) \implies \text{conflicting-bj-clss } T$
 $= \text{conflicting-bj-clss } S$
 $\cup (\text{if } \exists C L. C' = C + \{\#L\# \} \wedge \text{distinct-mset } (C + \{\#L\# \}) \wedge \neg \text{tautology } (C + \{\#L\# \})$
 $\wedge (\exists F' K d F. \text{ trail } S = F' @ \text{Decided } K () \# F \wedge F \models_{as} CNot C)$
 $\text{then } \{C'\} \text{ else } \{\})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *conflicting-bj-clss-add-cl_{NOT}*:
 $\text{no-dup } (\text{trail } S) \implies$
 $\text{conflicting-bj-clss } (\text{add-cl}_S C' S)$
 $= \text{conflicting-bj-clss } S$
 $\cup (\text{if } \exists C L. C' = C + \{\#L\# \} \wedge \text{distinct-mset } (C + \{\#L\# \}) \wedge \neg \text{tautology } (C + \{\#L\# \})$
 $\wedge (\exists F' K d F. \text{ trail } S = F' @ \text{Decided } K () \# F \wedge F \models_{as} CNot C)$
 $\text{then } \{C'\} \text{ else } \{\})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *conflicting-bj-clss-incl-clauses*:
 $\text{conflicting-bj-clss } S \subseteq \text{set-mset } (\text{clauses } S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *finite-conflicting-bj-clss[simp]*:
 $\text{finite } (\text{conflicting-bj-clss } S)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *learn-conflicting-increasing:*

no-dup (*trail* S) \implies *learn* S $T \implies$ *conflicting-bj-clss* $S \subseteq$ *conflicting-bj-clss* T

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

abbreviation *conflicting-bj-clss-yet* b $S \equiv$

$3 \wedge b - \text{card} (\text{conflicting-bj-clss } S)$

abbreviation $\mu_L :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow \text{nat} \times \text{nat}$ **where**

$\mu_L b S \equiv (\text{conflicting-bj-clss-yet } b S, \text{card} (\text{set-mset} (\text{clauses } S)))$

lemma *do-not-forget-before-backtrack-rule-clause-learned-clause-untouched:*

assumes *forget*_{NOT} S T

shows *conflicting-bj-clss* $S =$ *conflicting-bj-clss* T

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *forget- μ_L -decrease:*

assumes *forget*_{NOT}: *forget*_{NOT} S T

shows $(\mu_L b T, \mu_L b S) \in \text{less-than} <*\text{lex}*> \text{less-than}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *set-condition-or-split:*

$\{a. (a = b \vee Q a) \wedge S a\} = (\text{if } S b \text{ then } \{b\} \text{ else } \{\}) \cup \{a. Q a \wedge S a\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *set-insert-neq:*

$A \neq \text{insert } a A \longleftrightarrow a \notin A$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *learn- μ_L -decrease:*

assumes *learnST*: *learn* S T **and** *n-d*: *no-dup* (*trail* S) **and**

A : *atms-of-msu* (*clauses* S) \cup *atm-of* ‘*lits-of* (*trail* S) \subseteq A **and**

fin-A: *finite* A

shows $(\mu_L (\text{card } A) T, \mu_L (\text{card } A) S) \in \text{less-than} <*\text{lex}*> \text{less-than}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

We have to assume the following:

- *inv* S : the invariant holds in the initial state.
- A is a (finite *finite* A) superset of the literals in the trail *atm-of* ‘*lits-of* (*trail* S) \subseteq *atms-of-ms* A and in the clauses *atms-of-msu* (*clauses* S) \subseteq *atms-of-ms* A . This can be the set of all the literals in the starting set of clauses.
- *no-dup* (*trail* S): no duplicate in the trail. This is invariant along the path.

definition μ_{CDCL} **where**

$\mu_{CDCL} A T \equiv ((2 + \text{card} (\text{atms-of-ms } A)) \wedge (1 + \text{card} (\text{atms-of-ms } A))$
 $- \mu_C (1 + \text{card} (\text{atms-of-ms } A)) (2 + \text{card} (\text{atms-of-ms } A)) (\text{trail-weight } T),$
 $\text{conflicting-bj-clss-yet} (\text{card} (\text{atms-of-ms } A)) T, \text{card} (\text{set-mset} (\text{clauses } T)))$

lemma *cdcl*_{NOT}-*decreasing-measure:*

assumes

*cdcl*_{NOT} S T **and**

inv: *inv* S **and**

atm-clss: $\text{atms-of-msu } (\text{clauses } S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A$ **and**
atm-lits: $\text{atm-of } ' \text{ lits-of } (\text{trail } S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A$ **and**
n-d: $\text{no-dup } (\text{trail } S)$ **and**
fin-A: $\text{finite } A$
shows $(\mu_{CDCL} A \ T, \mu_{CDCL} A \ S)$
 $\in \text{less-than } <*lex*> (\text{less-than } <*lex*> \text{less-than})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *wf-cdcl_{NOT}-restricted-learning*:

assumes $\text{finite } A$
shows $\text{wf } \{(T, S).$
 $(\text{atms-of-msu } (\text{clauses } S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A \wedge \text{atm-of } ' \text{ lits-of } (\text{trail } S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A$
 $\wedge \text{no-dup } (\text{trail } S)$
 $\wedge \text{inv } S)$
 $\wedge \text{cdcl}_{NOT} S \ T \}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition $\mu_C' :: 'v \text{ literal multiset set} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ **where**

$\mu_C' A \ T \equiv \mu_C (1 + \text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A)) (2 + \text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A)) (\text{trail-weight } T)$

definition $\mu_{CDCL}' :: 'v \text{ literal multiset set} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ **where**

$\mu_{CDCL}' A \ T \equiv$
 $((2 + \text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A)) \wedge (1 + \text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A)) - \mu_C' A \ T) * (1 + 3^{\text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A)}) * 2$
 $+ \text{conflicting-bj-clss-yet } (\text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A)) \ T * 2$
 $+ \text{card } (\text{set-mset } (\text{clauses } T))$

lemma *cdcl_{NOT}-decreasing-measure'*:

assumes
 $\text{cdcl}_{NOT} S \ T$ **and**
 $\text{inv: inv } S$ **and**
atms-clss: $\text{atms-of-msu } (\text{clauses } S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A$ **and**
atms-trail: $\text{atm-of } ' (\text{lits-of } (\text{trail } S)) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A$ **and**
n-d: $\text{no-dup } (\text{trail } S)$ **and**
fin-A: $\text{finite } A$
shows $\mu_{CDCL}' A \ T < \mu_{CDCL}' A \ S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_{NOT}-clauses-bound*:

assumes
 $\text{cdcl}_{NOT} S \ T$ **and**
 $\text{inv } S$ **and**
 $\text{atms-of-msu } (\text{clauses } S) \subseteq A$ **and**
 $\text{atm-of } ' (\text{lits-of } (\text{trail } S)) \subseteq A$ **and**
n-d: $\text{no-dup } (\text{trail } S)$ **and**
fin-A[simp]: $\text{finite } A$
shows $\text{set-mset } (\text{clauses } T) \subseteq \text{set-mset } (\text{clauses } S) \cup \text{simple-clss } A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_{NOT}-clauses-bound*:

assumes
 $\text{cdcl}_{NOT}^{**} S \ T$ **and**
 $\text{inv } S$ **and**
 $\text{atms-of-msu } (\text{clauses } S) \subseteq A$ **and**
 $\text{atm-of } ' (\text{lits-of } (\text{trail } S)) \subseteq A$ **and**

n-d: no-dup (trail S) and
finite: finite A
shows $\text{set-mset (clauses } T) \subseteq \text{set-mset (clauses } S) \cup \text{simple-clss } A$
 <proof>

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_{NOT}-card-clauses-bound:*

assumes
*cdcl_{NOT}** S T and*
inv S and
atms-of-msu (clauses S) \subseteq A and
atm-of '(lits-of (trail S)) \subseteq A and
n-d: no-dup (trail S) and
finite: finite A
shows $\text{card (set-mset (clauses } T)) \leq \text{card (set-mset (clauses } S)) + 3 \wedge (\text{card } A)$
 <proof>

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_{NOT}-card-clauses-bound':*

assumes
*cdcl_{NOT}** S T and*
inv S and
atms-of-msu (clauses S) \subseteq A and
atm-of '(lits-of (trail S)) \subseteq A and
n-d: no-dup (trail S) and
finite: finite A
shows $\text{card } \{C \mid C. C \in \# \text{ clauses } T \wedge (\text{tautology } C \vee \neg \text{distinct-mset } C)\}$
 $\leq \text{card } \{C \mid C. C \in \# \text{ clauses } S \wedge (\text{tautology } C \vee \neg \text{distinct-mset } C)\} + 3 \wedge (\text{card } A)$
 (is card ?T \leq card ?S + -)
 <proof>

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_{NOT}-card-simple-clauses-bound:*

assumes
*cdcl_{NOT}** S T and*
inv S and
atms-of-msu (clauses S) \subseteq A and
atm-of '(lits-of (trail S)) \subseteq A and
n-d: no-dup (trail S) and
finite: finite A
shows $\text{card (set-mset (clauses } T))$
 $\leq \text{card } \{C. C \in \# \text{ clauses } S \wedge (\text{tautology } C \vee \neg \text{distinct-mset } C)\} + 3 \wedge (\text{card } A)$
 (is card ?T \leq card ?S + -)
 <proof>

definition $\mu_{CDCL}'\text{-bound} :: 'v \text{ literal multiset set} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ **where**

$\mu_{CDCL}'\text{-bound } A \ S =$
 $((2 + \text{card (atms-of-ms } A)) \wedge (1 + \text{card (atms-of-ms } A))) * (1 + 3 \wedge \text{card (atms-of-ms } A)) * 2$
 $+ 2 * 3 \wedge (\text{card (atms-of-ms } A))$
 $+ \text{card } \{C. C \in \# \text{ clauses } S \wedge (\text{tautology } C \vee \neg \text{distinct-mset } C)\} + 3 \wedge (\text{card (atms-of-ms } A))$

lemma $\mu_{CDCL}'\text{-bound-reduce-trail-to}_{NOT}[\text{simp}]$:

$\mu_{CDCL}'\text{-bound } A \ (\text{reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} \ M \ S) = \mu_{CDCL}'\text{-bound } A \ S$
 <proof>

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_{NOT}- $\mu_{CDCL}'\text{-bound-reduce-trail-to}_{NOT}$:*

assumes

$cdcl_{NOT}^{**} S T$ **and**
 $inv S$ **and**
 $atms-of-msu (clauses S) \subseteq atms-of-ms A$ **and**
 $atm-of ('(lits-of (trail S)) \subseteq atms-of-ms A$ **and**
 $n-d: no-dup (trail S)$ **and**
 $finite: finite (atms-of-ms A)$ **and**
 $U: U \sim reduce-trail-to_{NOT} M T$
shows $\mu_{CDCL}' A U \leq \mu_{CDCL}'\text{-bound } A S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rtranclp\text{-}cdcl_{NOT}\text{-}\mu_{CDCL}'\text{-bound}$:

assumes
 $cdcl_{NOT}^{**} S T$ **and**
 $inv S$ **and**
 $atms-of-msu (clauses S) \subseteq atms-of-ms A$ **and**
 $atm-of ('(lits-of (trail S)) \subseteq atms-of-ms A$ **and**
 $n-d: no-dup (trail S)$ **and**
 $finite: finite (atms-of-ms A)$
shows $\mu_{CDCL}' A T \leq \mu_{CDCL}'\text{-bound } A S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rtranclp\text{-}\mu_{CDCL}'\text{-bound-decreasing}$:

assumes
 $cdcl_{NOT}^{**} S T$ **and**
 $inv S$ **and**
 $atms-of-msu (clauses S) \subseteq atms-of-ms A$ **and**
 $atm-of ('(lits-of (trail S)) \subseteq atms-of-ms A$ **and**
 $n-d: no-dup (trail S)$ **and**
 $finite[simp]: finite (atms-of-ms A)$
shows $\mu_{CDCL}'\text{-bound } A T \leq \mu_{CDCL}'\text{-bound } A S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end — end of *conflict-driven-clause-learning-learning-before-backjump-only-distinct-learn*

2.7 CDCL with restarts

2.7.1 Definition

locale $restart\text{-}ops =$
fixes
 $cdcl_{NOT} :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool$ **and**
 $restart :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool$
begin
inductive $cdcl_{NOT}\text{-raw-restart} :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $cdcl_{NOT} S T \Longrightarrow cdcl_{NOT}\text{-raw-restart } S T \mid$
 $restart S T \Longrightarrow cdcl_{NOT}\text{-raw-restart } S T$

end

locale $conflict\text{-driven-clause-learning-with-restarts} =$
 $conflict\text{-driven-clause-learning } trail \text{ clauses } prepend\text{-trail } tl\text{-trail } add\text{-cls}_{NOT} \text{ remove}\text{-cls}_{NOT}$
 $propagate\text{-conds } inv \text{ backjump}\text{-conds } learn\text{-cond } forget\text{-cond}$
for
 $trail :: 'st \Rightarrow ('v, unit, unit) \text{ ann-literals}$ **and**
 $clauses :: 'st \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clauses}$ **and**
 $prepend\text{-trail} :: ('v, unit, unit) \text{ ann-literal} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st$ **and**

```

tl-trail :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st and
add-clNOT remove-clNOT :: 'v clause  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st and
propagate-conds :: ('v, unit, unit) ann-literal  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  bool and
inv :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  bool and
backjump-conds :: 'v clause  $\Rightarrow$  'v clause  $\Rightarrow$  'v literal  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  bool and
learn-cond forget-cond :: 'v clause  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  bool

```

begin

lemma *cdcl_{NOT}-iff-cdcl_{NOT}-raw-restart-no-restarts:*

```

cdclNOT S T  $\longleftrightarrow$  restart-ops.cdclNOT-raw-restart cdclNOT ( $\lambda$ - -. False) S T
(is ?C S T  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?R S T)
<proof>

```

lemma *cdcl_{NOT}-cdcl_{NOT}-raw-restart:*

```

cdclNOT S T  $\implies$  restart-ops.cdclNOT-raw-restart cdclNOT restart S T
<proof>

```

end

2.7.2 Increasing restarts

To add restarts we need some assumptions on the predicate (called *cdcl_{NOT}* here):

- a function f that is strictly monotonic. The first step is actually only used as a restart to clean the state (e.g. to ensure that the trail is empty). Then we assume that $(1::'a) \leq f$ n for $(1::'a) \leq n$: it means that between two consecutive restarts, at least one step will be done. This is necessary to avoid sequence. like: full – restart – full – ...
- a measure μ : it should decrease under the assumptions *bound-inv*, whenever a *cdcl_{NOT}* or a *restart* is done. A parameter is given to μ : for conflict- driven clause learning, it is an upper-bound of the clauses. We are assuming that such a bound can be found after a restart whenever the invariant holds.
- we also assume that the measure decrease after any *cdcl_{NOT}* step.
- an invariant on the states *cdcl_{NOT}-inv* that also holds after restarts.
- it is *not required* that the measure decrease with respect to restarts, but the measure has to be bound by some function μ -bound taking the same parameter as μ and the initial state of the considered *cdcl_{NOT}* chain.

locale *cdcl_{NOT}-increasing-restarts-ops =*

```

restart-ops cdclNOT restart for
restart :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  bool and
cdclNOT :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  bool +
fixes
f :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat and
bound-inv :: 'bound  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  bool and
 $\mu$  :: 'bound  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  nat and
cdclNOT-inv :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  bool and
 $\mu$ -bound :: 'bound  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  nat

```

assumes

```

f: unbounded f and
f-ge-1:  $\bigwedge n. n \geq 1 \implies f\ n \neq 0$  and
bound-inv:  $\bigwedge A\ S\ T. cdcl_{NOT}\text{-inv}\ S \implies bound\text{-inv}\ A\ S \implies cdcl_{NOT}\ S\ T \implies bound\text{-inv}\ A\ T$  and

```

$cdcl_{NOT}\text{-measure}: \bigwedge A S T. cdcl_{NOT}\text{-inv } S \implies bound\text{-inv } A S \implies cdcl_{NOT} S T \implies \mu A T < \mu A S$ **and**
 $measure\text{-bound2}: \bigwedge A T U. cdcl_{NOT}\text{-inv } T \implies bound\text{-inv } A T \implies cdcl_{NOT}^{**} T U \implies \mu A U \leq \mu\text{-bound } A T$ **and**
 $measure\text{-bound4}: \bigwedge A T U. cdcl_{NOT}\text{-inv } T \implies bound\text{-inv } A T \implies cdcl_{NOT}^{**} T U \implies \mu\text{-bound } A U \leq \mu\text{-bound } A T$ **and**
 $cdcl_{NOT}\text{-restart-inv}: \bigwedge A U V. cdcl_{NOT}\text{-inv } U \implies restart U V \implies bound\text{-inv } A U \implies bound\text{-inv } A V$ **and**
 $exists\text{-bound}: \bigwedge R S. cdcl_{NOT}\text{-inv } R \implies restart R S \implies \exists A. bound\text{-inv } A S$ **and**
 $cdcl_{NOT}\text{-inv}: \bigwedge S T. cdcl_{NOT}\text{-inv } S \implies cdcl_{NOT} S T \implies cdcl_{NOT}\text{-inv } T$ **and**
 $cdcl_{NOT}\text{-inv-restart}: \bigwedge S T. cdcl_{NOT}\text{-inv } S \implies restart S T \implies cdcl_{NOT}\text{-inv } T$
begin

lemma $cdcl_{NOT}\text{-}cdcl_{NOT}\text{-inv}$:

assumes
 $(cdcl_{NOT} \rightsquigarrow n) S T$ **and**
 $cdcl_{NOT}\text{-inv } S$
shows $cdcl_{NOT}\text{-inv } T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cdcl_{NOT}\text{-bound-inv}$:

assumes
 $(cdcl_{NOT} \rightsquigarrow n) S T$ **and**
 $cdcl_{NOT}\text{-inv } S$
 $bound\text{-inv } A S$
shows $bound\text{-inv } A T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rtrancplp\text{-}cdcl_{NOT}\text{-}cdcl_{NOT}\text{-inv}$:

assumes
 $cdcl_{NOT}^{**} S T$ **and**
 $cdcl_{NOT}\text{-inv } S$
shows $cdcl_{NOT}\text{-inv } T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rtrancplp\text{-}cdcl_{NOT}\text{-bound-inv}$:

assumes
 $cdcl_{NOT}^{**} S T$ **and**
 $bound\text{-inv } A S$ **and**
 $cdcl_{NOT}\text{-inv } S$
shows $bound\text{-inv } A T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cdcl_{NOT}\text{-comp-n-le}$:

assumes
 $(cdcl_{NOT} \rightsquigarrow (Suc n)) S T$ **and**
 $bound\text{-inv } A S$
 $cdcl_{NOT}\text{-inv } S$
shows $\mu A T < \mu A S - n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $wf\text{-}cdcl_{NOT}$:

$wf \{(T, S). cdcl_{NOT} S T \wedge cdcl_{NOT}\text{-inv } S \wedge bound\text{-inv } A S\}$ **(is** $wf ?A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtrancplp-cdcl_{NOT}-measure:*

assumes

*cdcl_{NOT}** S T and*

bound-inv A S and

cdcl_{NOT}-inv S

shows $\mu A T \leq \mu A S$

<proof>

lemma *cdcl_{NOT}-comp-bounded:*

assumes

bound-inv A S and cdcl_{NOT}-inv S and $m \geq 1 + \mu A S$

shows $\neg(\text{cdcl}_{NOT} \rightsquigarrow m) S T$

<proof>

- $f n < m$ ensures that at least one step has been done.

inductive *cdcl_{NOT}-restart where*

restart-step: $(\text{cdcl}_{NOT} \rightsquigarrow m) S T \implies m \geq f n \implies \text{restart } T U$

$\implies \text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-restart } (S, n) (U, \text{Suc } n) \mid$

restart-full: $\text{full1 } \text{cdcl}_{NOT} S T \implies \text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-restart } (S, n) (T, \text{Suc } n)$

lemmas *cdcl_{NOT}-with-restart-induct = cdcl_{NOT}-restart.induct[split-format(complete),
OF cdcl_{NOT}-increasing-restarts-ops-axioms]*

lemma *cdcl_{NOT}-restart-cdcl_{NOT}-raw-restart:*

*cdcl_{NOT}-restart S T \implies cdcl_{NOT}-raw-restart** (fst S) (fst T)*

<proof>

lemma *cdcl_{NOT}-with-restart-bound-inv:*

assumes

cdcl_{NOT}-restart S T and

bound-inv A (fst S) and

cdcl_{NOT}-inv (fst S)

shows *bound-inv A (fst T)*

<proof>

lemma *cdcl_{NOT}-with-restart-cdcl_{NOT}-inv:*

assumes

cdcl_{NOT}-restart S T and

cdcl_{NOT}-inv (fst S)

shows *cdcl_{NOT}-inv (fst T)*

<proof>

lemma *rtrancplp-cdcl_{NOT}-with-restart-cdcl_{NOT}-inv:*

assumes

*cdcl_{NOT}-restart** S T and*

cdcl_{NOT}-inv (fst S)

shows *cdcl_{NOT}-inv (fst T)*

<proof>

lemma *rtrancplp-cdcl_{NOT}-with-restart-bound-inv:*

assumes

*cdcl_{NOT}-restart** S T and*

cdcl_{NOT}-inv (fst S) and

$\text{bound-inv } A \text{ (fst } S)$
shows $\text{bound-inv } A \text{ (fst } T)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-with-restart-increasing-number:}$

$\text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-restart } S \ T \implies \text{snd } T = 1 + \text{snd } S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

locale $\text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-increasing-restarts} =$

$\text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-increasing-restarts-ops restart } \text{cdcl}_{NOT} \ f \ \text{bound-inv } \mu \ \text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-inv } \mu\text{-bound}$
for

$\text{trail} :: 'st \Rightarrow ('v, \text{unit}, \text{unit}) \text{ ann-literals and}$
 $\text{clauses} :: 'st \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clauses and}$
 $\text{prepend-trail} :: ('v, \text{unit}, \text{unit}) \text{ ann-literal} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st \text{ and}$
 $\text{tl-trail} :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \text{ and}$
 $\text{add-cl}_{NOT} \ \text{remove-cl}_{NOT} :: 'v \text{ clause} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st \text{ and}$
 $f :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat and}$
 $\text{restart} :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow \text{bool and}$
 $\text{bound-inv} :: 'bound \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow \text{bool and}$
 $\mu :: 'bound \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow \text{nat and}$
 $\text{cdcl}_{NOT} :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow \text{bool and}$
 $\text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-inv} :: 'st \Rightarrow \text{bool and}$
 $\mu\text{-bound} :: 'bound \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow \text{nat} +$

assumes

$\text{measure-bound: } \bigwedge A \ T \ V \ n. \ \text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-inv } T \implies \text{bound-inv } A \ T$
 $\implies \text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-restart } (T, n) \ (V, \text{Suc } n) \implies \mu \ A \ V \leq \mu\text{-bound } A \ T \text{ and}$
 $\text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-raw-restart-}\mu\text{-bound:}$
 $\text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-restart } (T, a) \ (V, b) \implies \text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-inv } T \implies \text{bound-inv } A \ T$
 $\implies \mu\text{-bound } A \ V \leq \mu\text{-bound } A \ T$

begin

lemma $\text{rtrancpl-cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-raw-restart-}\mu\text{-bound:}$

$\text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-restart}^{**} (T, a) \ (V, b) \implies \text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-inv } T \implies \text{bound-inv } A \ T$
 $\implies \mu\text{-bound } A \ V \leq \mu\text{-bound } A \ T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-raw-restart-measure-bound:}$

$\text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-restart } (T, a) \ (V, b) \implies \text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-inv } T \implies \text{bound-inv } A \ T$
 $\implies \mu \ A \ V \leq \mu\text{-bound } A \ T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{rtrancpl-cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-raw-restart-measure-bound:}$

$\text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-restart}^{**} (T, a) \ (V, b) \implies \text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-inv } T \implies \text{bound-inv } A \ T$
 $\implies \mu \ A \ V \leq \mu\text{-bound } A \ T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{wf-cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-restart:}$

$\text{wf } \{(T, S). \ \text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-restart } S \ T \wedge \text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-inv } (\text{fst } S)\} \text{ (is wf ?A)}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-restart-steps-bigger-than-bound:}$

assumes

$\text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-restart } S \ T \text{ and}$
 $\text{bound-inv } A \ (\text{fst } S) \text{ and}$

$cdcl_{NOT-inv} (fst S)$ **and**
 $f (snd S) > \mu-bound A (fst S)$
shows $full1\ cdcl_{NOT} (fst S) (fst T)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rtrancpl-cdcl_{NOT-with-inv-inv-rtrancpl-cdcl_{NOT}}$:

assumes
 $inv: cdcl_{NOT-inv} S$ **and**
 $binv: bound-inv A S$
shows $(\lambda S T. cdcl_{NOT} S T \wedge cdcl_{NOT-inv} S \wedge bound-inv A S)^{**} S T \longleftrightarrow cdcl_{NOT}^{**} S T$
(is $?A^{**} S T \longleftrightarrow ?B^{**} S T$ **)**
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $no-step-cdcl_{NOT-restart-no-step-cdcl_{NOT}}$:

assumes
 $n-s: no-step\ cdcl_{NOT-restart} S$ **and**
 $inv: cdcl_{NOT-inv} (fst S)$ **and**
 $binv: bound-inv A (fst S)$
shows $no-step\ cdcl_{NOT} (fst S)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

2.8 Merging backjump and learning

locale $cdcl_{NOT-merge-bj-learn-ops} =$

$dpll-state\ trail\ clauses\ prepend-trail\ tl-trail\ add-cls_{NOT}\ remove-cls_{NOT} +$
 $decide-ops\ trail\ clauses\ prepend-trail\ tl-trail\ add-cls_{NOT}\ remove-cls_{NOT} +$
 $forget-ops\ trail\ clauses\ prepend-trail\ tl-trail\ add-cls_{NOT}\ remove-cls_{NOT}\ forget-cond +$
 $propagate-ops\ trail\ clauses\ prepend-trail\ tl-trail\ add-cls_{NOT}\ remove-cls_{NOT}\ propagate-conds$
for

$trail :: 'st \Rightarrow ('v, unit, unit)\ ann-literals$ **and**
 $clauses :: 'st \Rightarrow 'v\ clauses$ **and**
 $prepend-trail :: ('v, unit, unit)\ ann-literal \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st$ **and**
 $tl-trail :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st$ **and**
 $add-cls_{NOT}\ remove-cls_{NOT} :: 'v\ clause \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st$ **and**
 $propagate-conds :: ('v, unit, unit)\ ann-literal \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool$ **and**
 $forget-cond :: 'v\ clause \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool +$

fixes $backjump-l-cond :: 'v\ clause \Rightarrow 'v\ clause \Rightarrow 'v\ literal \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool$

begin

inductive $backjump-l$ **where**

$backjump-l: trail\ S = F' @ Decided\ K\ () \# F$
 $\implies no-dup\ (trail\ S)$
 $\implies T \sim prepend-trail\ (Propagated\ L\ ())\ (reduce-trail-to_{NOT}\ F\ (add-cls_{NOT}\ (C' + \{\#L\#\})\ S))$
 $\implies C \in \# clauses\ S$
 $\implies trail\ S \models_{as}\ CNot\ C$
 $\implies undefined-lit\ F\ L$
 $\implies atm-of\ L \in atms-of-msu\ (clauses\ S) \cup atm-of\ ' (lits-of\ (trail\ S))$
 $\implies clauses\ S \models_{pm}\ C' + \{\#L\#\}$
 $\implies F \models_{as}\ CNot\ C'$
 $\implies backjump-l-cond\ C\ C'\ L\ T$
 $\implies backjump-l\ S\ T$

inductive-cases $backjump-lE: backjump-l\ S\ T$

inductive $cdcl_{NOT-merged-bj-learn} :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool$ **for** $S :: 'st$ **where**

$cdcl_{NOT-merged-bj-learn-decide_{NOT}}: decide_{NOT}\ S\ S' \implies cdcl_{NOT-merged-bj-learn}\ S\ S' \mid$

$cdcl_{NOT}\text{-merged-bj-learn-propagate}_{NOT}: propagate_{NOT} S S' \Rightarrow cdcl_{NOT}\text{-merged-bj-learn} S S' \mid$
 $cdcl_{NOT}\text{-merged-bj-learn-backjump-l}: backjump-l S S' \Rightarrow cdcl_{NOT}\text{-merged-bj-learn} S S' \mid$
 $cdcl_{NOT}\text{-merged-bj-learn-forget}_{NOT}: forget_{NOT} S S' \Rightarrow cdcl_{NOT}\text{-merged-bj-learn} S S'$

lemma $cdcl_{NOT}\text{-merged-bj-learn-no-dup-inv}$:

$cdcl_{NOT}\text{-merged-bj-learn} S T \Rightarrow no\text{-dup} (trail S) \Rightarrow no\text{-dup} (trail T)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

locale $cdcl_{NOT}\text{-merge-bj-learn-proxy} =$

$cdcl_{NOT}\text{-merge-bj-learn-ops} trail clauses prepend\text{-}trail tl\text{-}trail add\text{-}cls_{NOT} remove\text{-}cls_{NOT}$
 $propagate\text{-}conds forget\text{-}conds \lambda C C' L' S. backjump\text{-}l\text{-}cond C C' L' S$
 $\wedge distinct\text{-}mset (C' + \{\#L'\# \}) \wedge \neg tautology (C' + \{\#L'\# \})$

for

$trail :: 'st \Rightarrow ('v, unit, unit) ann\text{-}literals$ **and**
 $clauses :: 'st \Rightarrow 'v clauses$ **and**
 $prepend\text{-}trail :: ('v, unit, unit) ann\text{-}literal \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st$ **and**
 $tl\text{-}trail :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st$ **and**
 $add\text{-}cls_{NOT} remove\text{-}cls_{NOT} :: 'v clause \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st$ **and**
 $propagate\text{-}conds :: ('v, unit, unit) ann\text{-}literal \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool$ **and**
 $forget\text{-}conds :: 'v clause \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool$ **and**
 $backjump\text{-}l\text{-}cond :: 'v clause \Rightarrow 'v clause \Rightarrow 'v literal \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool +$

fixes

$inv :: 'st \Rightarrow bool$

assumes

$bj\text{-}merge\text{-}can\text{-}jump$:

$\bigwedge S C F' K F L.$

$inv S$

$\Rightarrow trail S = F' @ Decided K () \# F$

$\Rightarrow C \in \# clauses S$

$\Rightarrow trail S \models_{as} CNot C$

$\Rightarrow undefined\text{-}lit F L$

$\Rightarrow atm\text{-}of L \in atm\text{-}of\text{-}msu (clauses S) \cup atm\text{-}of ' (lits\text{-}of (F' @ Decided K () \# F))$

$\Rightarrow clauses S \models_{pm} C' + \{\#L'\# \}$

$\Rightarrow F \models_{as} CNot C'$

$\Rightarrow \neg no\text{-}step backjump\text{-}l S$ **and**

$cdcl\text{-}merged\text{-}inv: \bigwedge S T. cdcl_{NOT}\text{-merged-bj-learn} S T \Rightarrow inv S \Rightarrow inv T$

begin

abbreviation $backjump\text{-}conds$ **where**

$backjump\text{-}conds \equiv \lambda\text{-} C L \text{-} -. distinct\text{-}mset (C + \{\#L'\# \}) \wedge \neg tautology (C + \{\#L'\# \})$

sublocale $dpll\text{-}with\text{-}backjumping\text{-}ops trail clauses prepend\text{-}trail tl\text{-}trail add\text{-}cls_{NOT} remove\text{-}cls_{NOT}$

$propagate\text{-}conds inv backjump\text{-}conds$

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

locale $cdcl_{NOT}\text{-merge-bj-learn-proxy2} =$

$cdcl_{NOT}\text{-merge-bj-learn-proxy} trail clauses prepend\text{-}trail tl\text{-}trail add\text{-}cls_{NOT} remove\text{-}cls_{NOT}$
 $propagate\text{-}conds forget\text{-}conds backjump\text{-}l\text{-}cond inv$

for

$trail :: 'st \Rightarrow ('v, unit, unit) ann\text{-}literals$ **and**
 $clauses :: 'st \Rightarrow 'v clauses$ **and**
 $prepend\text{-}trail :: ('v, unit, unit) ann\text{-}literal \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st$ **and**
 $tl\text{-}trail :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st$ **and**

$add_cls_{NOT} \text{ remove_cls}_{NOT} :: 'v \text{ clause} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st \text{ and}$
 $propagate_conds :: ('v, unit, unit) \text{ ann-literal} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool \text{ and}$
 $inv :: 'st \Rightarrow bool \text{ and}$
 $forget_conds :: 'v \text{ clause} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool \text{ and}$
 $backjump_l_cond :: 'v \text{ clause} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clause} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ literal} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool$
begin

sublocale *conflict-driven-clause-learning-ops* trail clauses prepend-trail tl-trail add-cls_{NOT} remove-cls_{NOT} propagate-conds inv backjump-conds $\lambda C \cdot \text{distinct-mset } C \wedge \neg \text{tautology } C$ forget-conds
 <proof>
end

locale *cdcl_{NOT}-merge-bj-learn* =
 cdcl_{NOT}-merge-bj-learn-proxy2 trail clauses prepend-trail tl-trail add-cls_{NOT} remove-cls_{NOT}
 propagate-conds inv forget-conds backjump-l-cond
for
 trail :: 'st $\Rightarrow ('v, unit, unit) \text{ ann-literals and}$
 clauses :: 'st $\Rightarrow 'v \text{ clauses and}$
 prepend-trail :: ('v, unit, unit) ann-literal $\Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st \text{ and}$
 tl-trail :: 'st $\Rightarrow 'st \text{ and}$
 add-cls_{NOT} remove-cls_{NOT} :: 'v clause $\Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st \text{ and}$
 propagate-conds :: ('v, unit, unit) ann-literal $\Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool \text{ and}$
 inv :: 'st $\Rightarrow bool \text{ and}$
 forget-conds :: 'v clause $\Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool \text{ and}$
 backjump-l-cond :: 'v clause $\Rightarrow 'v \text{ clause} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ literal} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool +$
assumes
 dpll-bj-inv: $\bigwedge S T. \text{dpll-bj } S T \Longrightarrow inv S \Longrightarrow inv T \text{ and}$
 learn-inv: $\bigwedge S T. \text{learn } S T \Longrightarrow inv S \Longrightarrow inv T$
begin

interpretation *cdcl_{NOT}*:
 conflict-driven-clause-learning trail clauses prepend-trail tl-trail add-cls_{NOT} remove-cls_{NOT}
 propagate-conds inv backjump-conds $\lambda C \cdot \text{distinct-mset } C \wedge \neg \text{tautology } C$ forget-conds
 <proof>

lemma *backjump-l-learn-backjump*:
assumes bt: backjump-l *S T* **and** inv: inv *S* **and** n-d: no-dup (trail *S*)
shows $\exists C' L. \text{learn } S (\text{add-cls}_{NOT} (C' + \{\#L\# \}) S)$
 $\wedge \text{backjump } (\text{add-cls}_{NOT} (C' + \{\#L\# \}) S) T$
 $\wedge \text{atms-of } (C' + \{\#L\# \}) \subseteq \text{atms-of-msu } (\text{clauses } S) \cup \text{atm-of } (lits-of (\text{trail } S))$
 <proof>

lemma *cdcl_{NOT}-merged-bj-learn-is-tranclp-cdcl_{NOT}*:
 $\text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-merged-bj-learn } S T \Longrightarrow inv S \Longrightarrow \text{no-dup } (\text{trail } S) \Longrightarrow \text{cdcl}_{NOT}^{++} S T$
 <proof>

lemma *rtranclp-cdcl_{NOT}-merged-bj-learn-is-rtranclp-cdcl_{NOT}-and-inv*:
 $\text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-merged-bj-learn}^{**} S T \Longrightarrow inv S \Longrightarrow \text{no-dup } (\text{trail } S) \Longrightarrow \text{cdcl}_{NOT}^{**} S T \wedge inv T$
 <proof>

lemma *rtranclp-cdcl_{NOT}-merged-bj-learn-is-rtranclp-cdcl_{NOT}*:
 $\text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-merged-bj-learn}^{**} S T \Longrightarrow inv S \Longrightarrow \text{no-dup } (\text{trail } S) \Longrightarrow \text{cdcl}_{NOT}^{**} S T$
 <proof>

lemma *trancpl-cdcl_{NOT}-merged-bj-learn-inv:*

*cdcl_{NOT}-merged-bj-learn** S T \implies inv S \implies no-dup (trail S) \implies inv T*
<proof>

definition $\mu_C' :: 'v \text{ literal multiset set} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ **where**

$\mu_C' A \ T \equiv \mu_C \ (1 + \text{card} \ (\text{atms-of-ms } A)) \ (2 + \text{card} \ (\text{atms-of-ms } A)) \ (\text{trail-weight } T)$

definition $\mu_{CDCL}'\text{-merged} :: 'v \text{ literal multiset set} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ **where**

$\mu_{CDCL}'\text{-merged } A \ T \equiv$
 $((2 + \text{card} \ (\text{atms-of-ms } A)) \wedge (1 + \text{card} \ (\text{atms-of-ms } A)) - \mu_C' A \ T) * 2 + \text{card} \ (\text{set-mset} \ (\text{clauses } T))$

lemma *cdcl_{NOT}-decreasing-measure':*

assumes

cdcl_{NOT}-merged-bj-learn S T and

inv: inv S and

atm-clss: atms-of-msu (clauses S) \subseteq atms-of-ms A and

atm-trail: atm-of ' lits-of (trail S) \subseteq atms-of-ms A and

n-d: no-dup (trail S) and

fin-A: finite A

shows $\mu_{CDCL}'\text{-merged } A \ T < \mu_{CDCL}'\text{-merged } A \ S$

<proof>

lemma *wf-cdcl_{NOT}-merged-bj-learn:*

assumes

fin-A: finite A

shows *wf {(T, S).*

(inv S \wedge atms-of-msu (clauses S) \subseteq atms-of-ms A \wedge atm-of ' lits-of (trail S) \subseteq atms-of-ms A
 \wedge no-dup (trail S))

\wedge cdcl_{NOT}-merged-bj-learn S T}

<proof>

lemma *trancpl-cdcl_{NOT}-cdcl_{NOT}-trancpl:*

assumes

cdcl_{NOT}-merged-bj-learn⁺⁺ S T and

inv: inv S and

atm-clss: atms-of-msu (clauses S) \subseteq atms-of-ms A and

atm-trail: atm-of ' lits-of (trail S) \subseteq atms-of-ms A and

n-d: no-dup (trail S) and

fin-A[simp]: finite A

shows $(T, S) \in \{(T, S).$

(inv S \wedge atms-of-msu (clauses S) \subseteq atms-of-ms A \wedge atm-of ' lits-of (trail S) \subseteq atms-of-ms A
 \wedge no-dup (trail S))

\wedge cdcl_{NOT}-merged-bj-learn S T}⁺ (is - $\in ?P^+$)

<proof>

lemma *wf-trancpl-cdcl_{NOT}-merged-bj-learn:*

assumes *finite A*

shows *wf {(T, S).*

(inv S \wedge atms-of-msu (clauses S) \subseteq atms-of-ms A \wedge atm-of ' lits-of (trail S) \subseteq atms-of-ms A
 \wedge no-dup (trail S))

\wedge cdcl_{NOT}-merged-bj-learn⁺⁺ S T}

<proof>

lemma *backjump-no-step-backjump-l:*

backjump S T \implies inv S \implies \neg no-step backjump-l S

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_{NOT}-merged-bj-learn-final-state:*

fixes $A :: 'v \text{ literal multiset set}$ **and** $S \ T :: 'st$

assumes

$n\text{-s}$: *no-step cdcl_{NOT}-merged-bj-learn* S **and**

$\text{atms}\text{-}S$: *atms-of-msu* (*clauses* S) \subseteq *atms-of-ms* A **and**

$\text{atms}\text{-}trail$: *atm-of* ' *lits-of* (*trail* S) \subseteq *atms-of-ms* A **and**

$n\text{-d}$: *no-dup* (*trail* S) **and**

finite A **and**

inv: *inv* S **and**

decomp: *all-decomposition-implies-m* (*clauses* S) (*get-all-decided-decomposition* (*trail* S))

shows *unsatisfiable* (*set-mset* (*clauses* S))

\vee (*trail* $S \models_{asm}$ *clauses* $S \wedge$ *satisfiable* (*set-mset* (*clauses* S)))

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *full-cdcl_{NOT}-merged-bj-learn-final-state:*

fixes $A :: 'v \text{ literal multiset set}$ **and** $S \ T :: 'st$

assumes

full: *full cdcl_{NOT}-merged-bj-learn* $S \ T$ **and**

$\text{atms}\text{-}S$: *atms-of-msu* (*clauses* S) \subseteq *atms-of-ms* A **and**

$\text{atms}\text{-}trail$: *atm-of* ' *lits-of* (*trail* S) \subseteq *atms-of-ms* A **and**

$n\text{-d}$: *no-dup* (*trail* S) **and**

finite A **and**

inv: *inv* S **and**

decomp: *all-decomposition-implies-m* (*clauses* S) (*get-all-decided-decomposition* (*trail* S))

shows *unsatisfiable* (*set-mset* (*clauses* T))

\vee (*trail* $T \models_{asm}$ *clauses* $T \wedge$ *satisfiable* (*set-mset* (*clauses* T)))

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

2.8.1 Instantiations

locale *cdcl_{NOT}-with-backtrack-and-restarts =*

conflict-driven-clause-learning-learning-before-backjump-only-distinct-learned *trail clauses*

prepend-trail *tl-trail* *add-cls_{NOT}* *remove-cls_{NOT}* *propagate-conds* *inv* *backjump-conds*

learn-restrictions *forget-restrictions*

for

trail $:: 'st \Rightarrow ('v, \text{unit}, \text{unit}) \text{ ann-literals}$ **and**

clauses $:: 'st \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clauses}$ **and**

prepend-trail $:: ('v, \text{unit}, \text{unit}) \text{ ann-literal} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st$ **and**

tl-trail $:: 'st \Rightarrow 'st$ **and**

add-cls_{NOT} *remove-cls_{NOT}* $:: 'v \text{ clause} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st$ **and**

propagate-conds $:: ('v, \text{unit}, \text{unit}) \text{ ann-literal} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **and**

inv $:: 'st \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **and**

backjump-conds $:: 'v \text{ clause} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clause} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ literal} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **and**

learn-restrictions *forget-restrictions* $:: 'v \text{ clause} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

+

fixes $f :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$

assumes

unbounded: *unbounded* f **and** *f-ge-1*: $\bigwedge n. n \geq 1 \Rightarrow f \ n \geq 1$ **and**

inv-restart: $\bigwedge S \ T. \text{inv } S \Rightarrow T \sim \text{reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} ([:: 'a \text{ list}] S \Rightarrow \text{inv } T$

begin

lemma *bound-inv-inv:*

assumes

inv S **and**

n-d: no-dup (trail S) **and**

atms-clss-S-A: atms-of-msu (clauses S) \subseteq atms-of-ms A **and**

atms-trail-S-A: atm-of ' lits-of (trail S) \subseteq atms-of-ms A **and**

finite A **and**

cdcl_{NOT}: cdcl_{NOT} S T

shows

atms-of-msu (clauses T) \subseteq atms-of-ms A **and**

atm-of ' lits-of (trail T) \subseteq atms-of-ms A **and**

finite A

\langle proof \rangle

sublocale *cdcl_{NOT}-increasing-restarts-ops* $\lambda S T. T \sim \text{reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} ([::'a \text{ list}) S \text{ cdcl}_{NOT} f$

$\lambda A S. \text{atms-of-msu (clauses S)} \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms A} \wedge \text{atm-of ' lits-of (trail S)} \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms A} \wedge$

finite A

$\mu_{CDCL}' \lambda S. \text{inv S} \wedge \text{no-dup (trail S)}$

$\mu_{CDCL}'\text{-bound}$

\langle proof \rangle

abbreviation *cdcl_{NOT}-l* **where**

cdcl_{NOT}-l \equiv

conflict-driven-clause-learning-ops.cdcl_{NOT} trail clauses prepend-trail tl-trail add-cl_{NOT}

remove-cl_{NOT} propagate-conds ($\lambda - - S T. \text{backjump S T}$)

$(\lambda C S. \text{distinct-mset } C \wedge \neg \text{tautology } C \wedge \text{learn-restrictions } C S$

$\wedge (\exists F K F' C' L. \text{trail S} = F' @ \text{Decided K } ()) \# F \wedge C = C' + \{\#L\# \}$

$\wedge F \models_{as} C \text{Not } C' \wedge C' + \{\#L\# \} \notin \# \text{ clauses S}))$

$(\lambda C S. \neg (\exists F' F K L. \text{trail S} = F' @ \text{Decided K } ()) \# F \wedge F \models_{as} C \text{Not } (C - \{\#L\# \}))$

$\wedge \text{forget-restrictions } C S)$

lemma *cdcl_{NOT}-with-restart- μ_{CDCL}' -le- μ_{CDCL}' -bound:*

assumes

cdcl_{NOT}: cdcl_{NOT}-restart (T, a) (V, b) **and**

cdcl_{NOT}-inv:

inv T

no-dup (trail T) **and**

bound-inv:

atms-of-msu (clauses T) \subseteq atms-of-ms A

atm-of ' lits-of (trail T) \subseteq atms-of-ms A

finite A

shows $\mu_{CDCL}' A V \leq \mu_{CDCL}'\text{-bound A T}$

\langle proof \rangle

lemma *cdcl_{NOT}-with-restart- μ_{CDCL}' -bound-le- μ_{CDCL}' -bound:*

assumes

cdcl_{NOT}: cdcl_{NOT}-restart (T, a) (V, b) **and**

cdcl_{NOT}-inv:

inv T

no-dup (trail T) **and**

bound-inv:

atms-of-msu (clauses T) \subseteq atms-of-ms A

atm-of ' lits-of (trail T) \subseteq atms-of-ms A

finite A

shows $\mu_{CDCL}'\text{-bound A V} \leq \mu_{CDCL}'\text{-bound A T}$

\langle proof \rangle

sublocale *cdcl_{NOT}-increasing-restarts* - - - - - *f*
 $\lambda S T. T \sim \text{reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} ([] :: 'a \text{ list}) S$
 $\lambda A S. \text{atms-of-msu} (\text{clauses } S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A$
 $\wedge \text{atm-of } ' \text{ lits-of } (\text{trail } S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A \wedge \text{finite } A$
 $\mu_{CDCL}' \text{ cdcl}_{NOT}$
 $\lambda S. \text{inv } S \wedge \text{no-dup } (\text{trail } S)$
 $\mu_{CDCL}'\text{-bound}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_{NOT}-restart-all-decomposition-implies*:
assumes *cdcl_{NOT}-restart* *S T* **and**
 $\text{inv } (\text{fst } S)$ **and**
 $\text{no-dup } (\text{trail } (\text{fst } S))$
 $\text{all-decomposition-implies-m } (\text{clauses } (\text{fst } S)) (\text{get-all-decided-decomposition } (\text{trail } (\text{fst } S)))$
shows
 $\text{all-decomposition-implies-m } (\text{clauses } (\text{fst } T)) (\text{get-all-decided-decomposition } (\text{trail } (\text{fst } T)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_{NOT}-restart-all-decomposition-implies*:
assumes *cdcl_{NOT}-restart*** *S T* **and**
 $\text{inv: inv } (\text{fst } S)$ **and**
 $\text{n-d: no-dup } (\text{trail } (\text{fst } S))$ **and**
 decomp:
 $\text{all-decomposition-implies-m } (\text{clauses } (\text{fst } S)) (\text{get-all-decided-decomposition } (\text{trail } (\text{fst } S)))$
shows
 $\text{all-decomposition-implies-m } (\text{clauses } (\text{fst } T)) (\text{get-all-decided-decomposition } (\text{trail } (\text{fst } T)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_{NOT}-restart-sat-ext-iff*:
assumes
 $\text{st: cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-restart } S T$ **and**
 $\text{n-d: no-dup } (\text{trail } (\text{fst } S))$ **and**
 $\text{inv: inv } (\text{fst } S)$
shows $I \models_{\text{sextm}} \text{clauses } (\text{fst } S) \longleftrightarrow I \models_{\text{sextm}} \text{clauses}(\text{fst } T)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_{NOT}-restart-sat-ext-iff*:
assumes
 $\text{st: cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-restart** } S T$ **and**
 $\text{n-d: no-dup } (\text{trail } (\text{fst } S))$ **and**
 $\text{inv: inv } (\text{fst } S)$
shows $I \models_{\text{sextm}} \text{clauses } (\text{fst } S) \longleftrightarrow I \models_{\text{sextm}} \text{clauses}(\text{fst } T)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *full-cdcl_{NOT}-restart-backjump-final-state*:
fixes *A :: 'v literal multiset set* **and** *S T :: 'st*
assumes
 $\text{full: full cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-restart } (S, n) (T, m)$ **and**
 $\text{atms-S: atms-of-msu } (\text{clauses } S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A$ **and**
 $\text{atms-trail: atm-of } ' \text{ lits-of } (\text{trail } S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A$ **and**
 $\text{n-d: no-dup } (\text{trail } S)$ **and**
 $\text{fin-A[simp]: finite } A$ **and**
 $\text{inv: inv } S$ **and**
 $\text{decomp: all-decomposition-implies-m } (\text{clauses } S) (\text{get-all-decided-decomposition } (\text{trail } S))$

shows *unsatisfiable* (*set-mset* (*clauses* *S*))
 \vee (*lits-of* (*trail* *T*) \models_{sextm} *clauses* *S* \wedge *satisfiable* (*set-mset* (*clauses* *S*)))
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$
end — end of *cdcl_{NOT}-with-backtrack-and-restarts* locale

locale *most-general-cdcl_{NOT}* =
dp_{ll}-state *trail* *clauses* *prepend-trail* *tl-trail* *add-cl_{sNOT}* *remove-cl_{sNOT}* +
propagate-ops *trail* *clauses* *prepend-trail* *tl-trail* *add-cl_{sNOT}* *remove-cl_{sNOT}* *propagate-conds* +
backjumping-ops *trail* *clauses* *prepend-trail* *tl-trail* *add-cl_{sNOT}* *remove-cl_{sNOT}* λ - - - -. *True*
for
trail :: '*st* \Rightarrow ('*v*, *unit*, *unit*) *ann-literals* **and**
clauses :: '*st* \Rightarrow '*v* *clauses* **and**
prepend-trail :: ('*v*, *unit*, *unit*) *ann-literal* \Rightarrow '*st* \Rightarrow '*st* **and**
tl-trail :: '*st* \Rightarrow '*st* **and**
add-cl_{sNOT} *remove-cl_{sNOT}*:: '*v* *clause* \Rightarrow '*st* \Rightarrow '*st* **and**
propagate-conds :: ('*v*, *unit*, *unit*) *ann-literal* \Rightarrow '*st* \Rightarrow *bool* **and**
inv :: '*st* \Rightarrow *bool*
begin
lemma *backjump-bj-can-jump*:
assumes
tr-S: *trail* *S* = *F'* @ *Decided* *K* () # *F* **and**
C: *C* \in # *clauses* *S* **and**
tr-S-C: *trail* *S* \models_{as} *CNot* *C* **and**
undef: *undefined-lit* *F* *L* **and**
atm-L: *atm-of* *L* \in *atms-of-msu* (*clauses* *S*) \cup *atm-of* ' (*lits-of* (*F'* @ *Decided* *K* () # *F*)) **and**
cls-S-C': *clauses* *S* \models_{pm} *C'* + {#*L*#} **and**
F-C': *F* \models_{as} *CNot* *C'*
shows \neg *no-step* *backjump* *S*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$
sublocale *dp_{ll}-with-backjumping-ops* - - - - - *inv* λ - - - - -. *True*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$
end

The restart does only reset the trail, contrary to Weidenbach's version. But there is a forget rule.

locale *cdcl_{NOT}-merge-bj-learn-with-backtrack-restarts* =
cdcl_{NOT}-merge-bj-learn *trail* *clauses* *prepend-trail* *tl-trail* *add-cl_{sNOT}* *remove-cl_{sNOT}*
propagate-conds *inv* *forget-conds*
 λC *C'* *L'* *S*. *distinct-mset* (*C'* + {#*L'*#}) \wedge *backjump-l-cond* *C* *C'* *L'* *S*
for
trail :: '*st* \Rightarrow ('*v*, *unit*, *unit*) *ann-literals* **and**
clauses :: '*st* \Rightarrow '*v* *clauses* **and**
prepend-trail :: ('*v*, *unit*, *unit*) *ann-literal* \Rightarrow '*st* \Rightarrow '*st* **and**
tl-trail :: '*st* \Rightarrow '*st* **and**
add-cl_{sNOT} *remove-cl_{sNOT}*:: '*v* *clause* \Rightarrow '*st* \Rightarrow '*st* **and**
propagate-conds :: ('*v*, *unit*, *unit*) *ann-literal* \Rightarrow '*st* \Rightarrow *bool* **and**
inv :: '*st* \Rightarrow *bool* **and**
forget-conds :: '*v* *clause* \Rightarrow '*st* \Rightarrow *bool* **and**
backjump-l-cond :: '*v* *clause* \Rightarrow '*v* *clause* \Rightarrow '*v* *literal* \Rightarrow '*st* \Rightarrow *bool*
+
fixes *f* :: *nat* \Rightarrow *nat*
assumes
unbounded: *unbounded* *f* **and** *f-ge-1*: $\bigwedge n. n \geq 1 \Rightarrow f\ n \geq 1$ **and**
inv-restart: $\bigwedge S\ T. \text{inv } S \Rightarrow T \sim \text{reduce-trail-to}_{\text{NOT}} \ \square\ S \Rightarrow \text{inv } T$

begin

interpretation $cdcl_{NOT}$:

*conflict-driven-clause-learning-ops trail clauses prepend-trail tl-trail add-cl_{NOT} remove-cl_{NOT}
propagate-conds inv backjump-conds ($\lambda C \neg$. distinct-mset $C \wedge \neg$ tautology C) forget-conds
<proof>*

interpretation $cdcl_{NOT}$:

*conflict-driven-clause-learning trail clauses prepend-trail tl-trail add-cl_{NOT} remove-cl_{NOT}
propagate-conds inv backjump-conds ($\lambda C \neg$. distinct-mset $C \wedge \neg$ tautology C) forget-conds
<proof>*

definition $not-simplified-cl$ $A = \{\#C \in \# A. \text{tautology } C \vee \neg \text{distinct-mset } C\}$

lemma $simple-clss-or-not-simplified-cl$:

assumes $atms-of-msu \text{ (clauses } S) \subseteq atms-of-ms A$ **and**
 $x \in \# \text{ clauses } S$ **and** $finite A$
shows $x \in simple-clss (atms-of-ms A) \vee x \in \# not-simplified-cl (clauses S)$
 <proof>

lemma $cdcl_{NOT}$ -merged-bj-learn-clauses-bound:

assumes
 $cdcl_{NOT}$ -merged-bj-learn $S T$ **and**
 $inv: inv S$ **and**
 $atms-clss: atms-of-msu (clauses S) \subseteq atms-of-ms A$ **and**
 $atms-trail: atm-of \text{ '(lits-of (trail } S)) \subseteq atms-of-ms A$ **and**
 $n-d: no-dup (trail S)$ **and**
 $fin-A[simp]: finite A$
shows $set-mset (clauses T) \subseteq set-mset (not-simplified-cl (clauses S))$
 $\cup simple-clss (atms-of-ms A)$
 <proof>

lemma $cdcl_{NOT}$ -merged-bj-learn-not-simplified-decreasing:

assumes $cdcl_{NOT}$ -merged-bj-learn $S T$
shows $(not-simplified-cl (clauses T)) \subseteq \# (not-simplified-cl (clauses S))$
 <proof>

lemma $rtranclp-cdcl_{NOT}$ -merged-bj-learn-not-simplified-decreasing:

assumes $cdcl_{NOT}$ -merged-bj-learn** $S T$
shows $(not-simplified-cl (clauses T)) \subseteq \# (not-simplified-cl (clauses S))$
 <proof>

lemma $rtranclp-cdcl_{NOT}$ -merged-bj-learn-clauses-bound:

assumes
 $cdcl_{NOT}$ -merged-bj-learn** $S T$ **and**
 $inv S$ **and**
 $atms-of-msu (clauses S) \subseteq atms-of-ms A$ **and**
 $atm-of \text{ '(lits-of (trail } S)) \subseteq atms-of-ms A$ **and**
 $n-d: no-dup (trail S)$ **and**
 $finite[simp]: finite A$
shows $set-mset (clauses T) \subseteq set-mset (not-simplified-cl (clauses S))$
 $\cup simple-clss (atms-of-ms A)$
 <proof>

abbreviation $\mu_{CDCL}'\text{-bound}$ **where**

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{CDCL}'\text{-bound } A \ T == & ((2 + \text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A)) \wedge (1 + \text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A))) * 2 \\ & + \text{card } (\text{set-mset } (\text{not-simplified-clauses } (\text{clauses } T))) \\ & + 3 \wedge \text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A) \end{aligned}$$

lemma $\text{rtrancpl-cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-merged-bj-learn-clauses-bound-card}$:

assumes

$\text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-merged-bj-learn}^{**} \ S \ T$ **and**

$\text{inv } S$ **and**

$\text{atms-of-msu } (\text{clauses } S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A$ **and**

$\text{atm-of } ' \text{ lits-of } (\text{trail } S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A$ **and**

$\text{n-d: no-dup } (\text{trail } S)$ **and**

$\text{finite: finite } A$

shows $\mu_{CDCL}'\text{-merged } A \ T \leq \mu_{CDCL}'\text{-bound } A \ S$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

sublocale $\text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-increasing-restarts-ops } \lambda S \ T. \ T \sim \text{reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} ([::'a \ \text{list}]) \ S$

$\text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-merged-bj-learn } f$

$\lambda A \ S. \ \text{atms-of-msu } (\text{clauses } S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A$

$\wedge \text{atm-of } ' \text{ lits-of } (\text{trail } S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A \wedge \text{finite } A$

$\mu_{CDCL}'\text{-merged}$

$\lambda S. \ \text{inv } S \wedge \text{no-dup } (\text{trail } S)$

$\mu_{CDCL}'\text{-bound}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-restart-}\mu_{CDCL}'\text{-merged-le-}\mu_{CDCL}'\text{-bound}$:

assumes

$\text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-restart } T \ V$

$\text{inv } (\text{fst } T)$ **and**

$\text{no-dup } (\text{trail } (\text{fst } T))$ **and**

$\text{atms-of-msu } (\text{clauses } (\text{fst } T)) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A$ **and**

$\text{atm-of } ' \text{ lits-of } (\text{trail } (\text{fst } T)) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A$ **and**

$\text{finite } A$

shows $\mu_{CDCL}'\text{-merged } A \ (\text{fst } V) \leq \mu_{CDCL}'\text{-bound } A \ (\text{fst } T)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-restart-}\mu_{CDCL}'\text{-bound-le-}\mu_{CDCL}'\text{-bound}$:

assumes

$\text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-restart } T \ V$ **and**

$\text{no-dup } (\text{trail } (\text{fst } T))$ **and**

$\text{inv } (\text{fst } T)$ **and**

$\text{fin: finite } A$

shows $\mu_{CDCL}'\text{-bound } A \ (\text{fst } V) \leq \mu_{CDCL}'\text{-bound } A \ (\text{fst } T)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

sublocale $\text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-increasing-restarts } - - - - f \ \lambda S \ T. \ T \sim \text{reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} ([::'a \ \text{list}]) \ S$

$\lambda A \ S. \ \text{atms-of-msu } (\text{clauses } S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A$

$\wedge \text{atm-of } ' \text{ lits-of } (\text{trail } S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A \wedge \text{finite } A$

$\mu_{CDCL}'\text{-merged } \text{cdcl}_{NOT}\text{-merged-bj-learn}$

$\lambda S. \ \text{inv } S \wedge \text{no-dup } (\text{trail } S)$

$\lambda A \ T. \ ((2 + \text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A)) \wedge (1 + \text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A))) * 2$

$+ \text{card } (\text{set-mset } (\text{not-simplified-clauses } (\text{clauses } T)))$

$+ 3 \wedge \text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A)$

<proof>

lemma *cdcl_{NOT}-restart-eq-sat-iff*:

assumes

cdcl_{NOT}-restart *S T* **and**

no-dup (*trail* (*fst S*))

inv (*fst S*)

shows $I \models_{\text{sextm}} \text{clauses } (fst\ S) \longleftrightarrow I \models_{\text{sextm}} \text{clauses } (fst\ T)$

<proof>

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_{NOT}-restart-eq-sat-iff*:

assumes

*cdcl_{NOT}-restart*** *S T* **and**

inv: *inv* (*fst S*) **and** *n-d*: *no-dup*(*trail* (*fst S*))

shows $I \models_{\text{sextm}} \text{clauses } (fst\ S) \longleftrightarrow I \models_{\text{sextm}} \text{clauses } (fst\ T)$

<proof>

lemma *cdcl_{NOT}-restart-all-decomposition-implies-m*:

assumes

cdcl_{NOT}-restart *S T* **and**

inv: *inv* (*fst S*) **and** *n-d*: *no-dup*(*trail* (*fst S*)) **and**

all-decomposition-implies-m (*clauses* (*fst S*))

(*get-all-decided-decomposition* (*trail* (*fst S*)))

shows *all-decomposition-implies-m* (*clauses* (*fst T*))

(*get-all-decided-decomposition* (*trail* (*fst T*)))

<proof>

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_{NOT}-restart-all-decomposition-implies-m*:

assumes

*cdcl_{NOT}-restart*** *S T* **and**

inv: *inv* (*fst S*) **and** *n-d*: *no-dup*(*trail* (*fst S*)) **and**

decomp: *all-decomposition-implies-m* (*clauses* (*fst S*))

(*get-all-decided-decomposition* (*trail* (*fst S*)))

shows *all-decomposition-implies-m* (*clauses* (*fst T*))

(*get-all-decided-decomposition* (*trail* (*fst T*)))

<proof>

lemma *full-cdcl_{NOT}-restart-normal-form*:

assumes

full: *full cdcl_{NOT}-restart* *S T* **and**

inv: *inv* (*fst S*) **and** *n-d*: *no-dup*(*trail* (*fst S*)) **and**

decomp: *all-decomposition-implies-m* (*clauses* (*fst S*))

(*get-all-decided-decomposition* (*trail* (*fst S*))) **and**

atms-cl: *atms-of-msu* (*clauses* (*fst S*)) \subseteq *atms-of-ms* *A* **and**

atms-trail: *atm-of* 'lits-of' (*trail* (*fst S*)) \subseteq *atms-of-ms* *A* **and**

fin: *finite* *A*

shows *unsatisfiable* (*set-mset* (*clauses* (*fst S*)))

\vee *lits-of* (*trail* (*fst T*)) $\models_{\text{sextm}} \text{clauses } (fst\ S) \wedge$ *satisfiable* (*set-mset* (*clauses* (*fst S*)))

<proof>

corollary *full-cdcl_{NOT}-restart-normal-form-init-state*:

assumes

init-state: *trail* *S* = [] *clauses* *S* = *N* **and**

full: *full cdcl_{NOT}-restart* (*S*, 0) *T* **and**

inv: *inv* *S*

shows *unsatisfiable* (*set-mset* *N*)
 \vee *lits-of* (*trail* (*fst* *T*)) \models_{sextm} *N* \wedge *satisfiable* (*set-mset* *N*)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

end

theory *DPLL-NOT*

imports *CDCL-NOT*

begin

3 DPLL as an instance of NOT

3.1 DPLL with simple backtrack

locale *dpll-with-backtrack*

begin

inductive *backtrack* :: ('v, unit, unit) ann-literal list \times 'v clauses

\Rightarrow ('v, unit, unit) ann-literal list \times 'v clauses \Rightarrow bool **where**

backtrack-split (*fst* *S*) = (*M'*, *L* # *M*) \Longrightarrow *is-decided* *L* \Longrightarrow *D* $\in \#$ *snd* *S*

\Longrightarrow *fst* *S* \models_{as} *CNot* *D* \Longrightarrow *backtrack* *S* (*Propagated* ($-$ (*lit-of* *L*)) () # *M*, *snd* *S*)

inductive-cases *backtrackE*[*elim*]: *backtrack* (*M*, *N*) (*M'*, *N'*)

lemma *backtrack-is-backjump*:

fixes *M* *M'* :: ('v, unit, unit) ann-literal list

assumes

backtrack: *backtrack* (*M*, *N*) (*M'*, *N'*) **and**

no-dup: (*no-dup* \circ *fst*) (*M*, *N*) **and**

decomp: *all-decomposition-implies-m* *N* (*get-all-decided-decomposition* *M*)

shows

$\exists C F' K F L l C'$.

$M = F' @ \text{Decided } K () \# F \wedge$

$M' = \text{Propagated } L l \# F \wedge N = N' \wedge C \in \# N \wedge F' @ \text{Decided } K d \# F \models_{\text{as}} \text{CNot } C \wedge$

$\text{undefined-lit } F L \wedge \text{atm-of } L \in \text{atms-of-msu } N \cup \text{atm-of ' lits-of } (F' @ \text{Decided } K d \# F) \wedge$

$N \models_{\text{pm}} C' + \{\#L\} \wedge F \models_{\text{as}} \text{CNot } C'$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *backtrack-is-backjump'*:

fixes *M* *M'* :: ('v, unit, unit) ann-literal list

assumes

backtrack: *backtrack* *S* *T* **and**

no-dup: (*no-dup* \circ *fst*) *S* **and**

decomp: *all-decomposition-implies-m* (*snd* *S*) (*get-all-decided-decomposition* (*fst* *S*))

shows

$\exists C F' K F L l C'$.

fst *S* = $F' @ \text{Decided } K () \# F \wedge$

$T = (\text{Propagated } L l \# F, \text{snd } S) \wedge C \in \# \text{snd } S \wedge \text{fst } S \models_{\text{as}} \text{CNot } C$

$\wedge \text{undefined-lit } F L \wedge \text{atm-of } L \in \text{atms-of-msu } (\text{snd } S) \cup \text{atm-of ' lits-of } (\text{fst } S) \wedge$

$\text{snd } S \models_{\text{pm}} C' + \{\#L\} \wedge F \models_{\text{as}} \text{CNot } C'$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

sublocale *dpll-state* *fst* *snd* $\lambda L (M, N). (L \# M, N) \lambda (M, N). (tl M, N)$

$\lambda C (M, N). (M, \{\#C\} + N) \lambda C (M, N). (M, \text{remove-mset } C N)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

sublocale *backjumping-ops fst snd* $\lambda L (M, N). (L \# M, N) \lambda (M, N). (tl\ M, N)$
 $\lambda C (M, N). (M, \{\#C\# \} + N) \lambda C (M, N). (M, remove-mset\ C\ N) \lambda - - S\ T. backtrack\ S\ T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *backtrack-is-backjump''*:

fixes $M\ M' :: ('v, unit, unit)\ ann-literal\ list$

assumes

backtrack: *backtrack* $S\ T$ **and**

no-dup: $(no-dup \circ fst)\ S$ **and**

decomp: *all-decomposition-implies-m* $(snd\ S)\ (get-all-decided-decomposition\ (fst\ S))$

shows *backjump* $S\ T$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *can-do-bt-step*:

assumes

$M: fst\ S = F' @ Decided\ K\ d \# F$ **and**

$C \in \#\ snd\ S$ **and**

$C: fst\ S \models_{as}\ CNot\ C$

shows $\neg no-step\ backtrack\ S$

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

sublocale *dpll-with-backtrack* $\subseteq dpll-with-backjumping-ops\ fst\ snd\ \lambda L (M, N). (L \# M, N)$
 $\lambda (M, N). (tl\ M, N) \lambda C (M, N). (M, \{\#C\# \} + N) \lambda C (M, N). (M, remove-mset\ C\ N) \lambda - -. True$
 $\lambda (M, N). no-dup\ M \wedge all-decomposition-implies-m\ N\ (get-all-decided-decomposition\ M)$
 $\lambda - - S\ T. backtrack\ S\ T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

sublocale *dpll-with-backtrack* $\subseteq dpll-with-backjumping\ fst\ snd\ \lambda L (M, N). (L \# M, N)$
 $\lambda (M, N). (tl\ M, N) \lambda C (M, N). (M, \{\#C\# \} + N) \lambda C (M, N). (M, remove-mset\ C\ N) \lambda - -. True$
 $\lambda (M, N). no-dup\ M \wedge all-decomposition-implies-m\ N\ (get-all-decided-decomposition\ M)$
 $\lambda - - S\ T. backtrack\ S\ T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

sublocale *dpll-with-backtrack* $\subseteq conflict-driven-clause-learning-ops$

fst snd $\lambda L (M, N). (L \# M, N)$

$\lambda (M, N). (tl\ M, N) \lambda C (M, N). (M, \{\#C\# \} + N) \lambda C (M, N). (M, remove-mset\ C\ N) \lambda - -. True$

$\lambda (M, N). no-dup\ M \wedge all-decomposition-implies-m\ N\ (get-all-decided-decomposition\ M)$

$\lambda - - S\ T. backtrack\ S\ T\ \lambda - -. False\ \lambda - -. False$

$\langle proof \rangle$

sublocale *dpll-with-backtrack* $\subseteq conflict-driven-clause-learning$

fst snd $\lambda L (M, N). (L \# M, N)$

$\lambda (M, N). (tl\ M, N) \lambda C (M, N). (M, \{\#C\# \} + N) \lambda C (M, N). (M, remove-mset\ C\ N) \lambda - -. True$

$\lambda (M, N). no-dup\ M \wedge all-decomposition-implies-m\ N\ (get-all-decided-decomposition\ M)$

$\lambda - - S\ T. backtrack\ S\ T\ \lambda - -. False\ \lambda - -. False$

$\langle proof \rangle$

context *dpll-with-backtrack*

begin

lemma *wf-tranclp-dpll-inital-state*:

assumes *fin*: *finite* A

shows *wf* $\{((M'::('v, unit, unit)\ ann-literals, N'::'v\ clauses), ([], N)) | M'\ N'\ N.$

$dpll-bj^{++} ([], N)\ (M', N') \wedge atms-of-msu\ N \subseteq atms-of-ms\ A\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *full-dpll-final-state-conclusive:*

fixes $M M' :: ('v, \text{unit}, \text{unit}) \text{ ann-literal list}$

assumes

$\text{full: full dpll-bj } ([], N) (M', N')$

shows $\text{unsatisfiable } (\text{set-mset } N) \vee (M' \models_{\text{asm}} N \wedge \text{satisfiable } (\text{set-mset } N))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *full-dpll-normal-form-from-init-state:*

fixes $M M' :: ('v, \text{unit}, \text{unit}) \text{ ann-literal list}$

assumes

$\text{full: full dpll-bj } ([], N) (M', N')$

shows $M' \models_{\text{asm}} N \longleftrightarrow \text{satisfiable } (\text{set-mset } N)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_{NOT}-is-dpll:*

$\text{cdcl}_{\text{NOT}} S T \longleftrightarrow \text{dpll-bj } S T$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Another proof of termination:

lemma $\text{wf } \{(T, S). \text{dpll-bj } S T \wedge \text{cdcl}_{\text{NOT}}\text{-NOT-all-inv } A S\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

3.2 Adding restarts

locale *dpll-withbacktrack-and-restarts =*

dpll-with-backtrack +

fixes $f :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$

assumes *unbounded: unbounded f and f-ge-1: $\bigwedge n. n \geq 1 \implies f n \geq 1$*

begin

sublocale *cdcl_{NOT}-increasing-restarts* $\text{fst snd } \lambda L (M, N). (L \# M, N) \lambda (M, N). (\text{tl } M, N)$

$\lambda C (M, N). (M, \{\# C \# \} + N) \lambda C (M, N). (M, \text{remove-mset } C N) f \lambda (-, N) S. S = ([], N)$

$\lambda A (M, N). \text{atms-of-msu } N \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A \wedge \text{atm-of } \text{' lits-of } M \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } A \wedge \text{finite } A$

$\wedge \text{all-decomposition-implies-m } N (\text{get-all-decided-decomposition } M)$

$\lambda A T. (2 + \text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A)) \wedge (1 + \text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A))$

$- \mu_C (1 + \text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A)) (2 + \text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A)) (\text{trail-weight } T) \text{dpll-bj}$

$\lambda (M, N). \text{no-dup } M \wedge \text{all-decomposition-implies-m } N (\text{get-all-decided-decomposition } M)$

$\lambda A -. (2 + \text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A)) \wedge (1 + \text{card } (\text{atms-of-ms } A))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

end

theory *DPLL-W*

imports *Main Partial-Clausal-Logic Partial-Annotated-Clausal-Logic List-More Wellfounded-More*

DPLL-NOT

begin

4 DPLL

4.1 Rules

type-synonym $'a \text{ dpll}_W\text{-ann-literal} = ('a, \text{unit}, \text{unit}) \text{ ann-literal}$

type-synonym $'a \text{ dpll}_W\text{-ann-literals} = ('a, \text{unit}, \text{unit}) \text{ ann-literals}$

type-synonym $'v \text{ dpll}_W\text{-state} = 'v \text{ dpll}_W\text{-ann-literals} \times 'v \text{ clauses}$

abbreviation $\text{trail} :: 'v \text{ dpll}_W\text{-state} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ dpll}_W\text{-ann-literals}$ **where**
 $\text{trail} \equiv \text{fst}$

abbreviation $\text{clauses} :: 'v \text{ dpll}_W\text{-state} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clauses}$ **where**
 $\text{clauses} \equiv \text{snd}$

The definition of DPLL is given in figure 2.13 page 70 of CW.

inductive $\text{dpll}_W :: 'v \text{ dpll}_W\text{-state} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ dpll}_W\text{-state} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{propagate: } C + \{\#L\# \} \in \# \text{ clauses } S \Longrightarrow \text{trail } S \models_{\text{as}} \text{CNot } C \Longrightarrow \text{undefined-lit } (\text{trail } S) \ L$
 $\Longrightarrow \text{dpll}_W \ S \ (\text{Propagated } L \ () \ \# \ \text{trail } S, \text{ clauses } S) \mid$
 $\text{decided: } \text{undefined-lit } (\text{trail } S) \ L \Longrightarrow \text{atm-of } L \in \text{atms-of-msu } (\text{clauses } S)$
 $\Longrightarrow \text{dpll}_W \ S \ (\text{Decided } L \ () \ \# \ \text{trail } S, \text{ clauses } S) \mid$
 $\text{backtrack: } \text{backtrack-split } (\text{trail } S) = (M', L \# M) \Longrightarrow \text{is-decided } L \Longrightarrow D \in \# \text{ clauses } S$
 $\Longrightarrow \text{trail } S \models_{\text{as}} \text{CNot } D \Longrightarrow \text{dpll}_W \ S \ (\text{Propagated } (- \ (\text{lit-of } L)) \ () \ \# \ M, \text{ clauses } S)$

4.2 Invariants

lemma $\text{dpll}_W\text{-distinct-inv:}$

assumes $\text{dpll}_W \ S \ S'$
and $\text{no-dup } (\text{trail } S)$
shows $\text{no-dup } (\text{trail } S')$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{dpll}_W\text{-consistent-interp-inv:}$

assumes $\text{dpll}_W \ S \ S'$
and $\text{consistent-interp } (\text{lits-of } (\text{trail } S))$
and $\text{no-dup } (\text{trail } S)$
shows $\text{consistent-interp } (\text{lits-of } (\text{trail } S'))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{dpll}_W\text{-vars-in-snd-inv:}$

assumes $\text{dpll}_W \ S \ S'$
and $\text{atm-of } ' (\text{lits-of } (\text{trail } S)) \subseteq \text{atms-of-msu } (\text{clauses } S)$
shows $\text{atm-of } ' (\text{lits-of } (\text{trail } S')) \subseteq \text{atms-of-msu } (\text{clauses } S')$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{atms-of-ms-lit-of-atms-of: } \text{atms-of-ms } ((\lambda a. \{\#\text{lit-of } a\# \}) \ ' c) = \text{atm-of } ' \text{lit-of } ' c$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Lemma theorem 2.8.2 page 71 of CW

lemma $\text{dpll}_W\text{-propagate-is-conclusion:}$

assumes $\text{dpll}_W \ S \ S'$
and $\text{all-decomposition-implies-m } (\text{clauses } S) \ (\text{get-all-decided-decomposition } (\text{trail } S))$
and $\text{atm-of } ' \text{lits-of } (\text{trail } S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-msu } (\text{clauses } S)$
shows $\text{all-decomposition-implies-m } (\text{clauses } S') \ (\text{get-all-decided-decomposition } (\text{trail } S'))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Lemma theorem 2.8.3 page 72 of CW

theorem $\text{dpll}_W\text{-propagate-is-conclusion-of-decided:}$

assumes $\text{dpll}_W \ S \ S'$
and $\text{all-decomposition-implies-m } (\text{clauses } S) \ (\text{get-all-decided-decomposition } (\text{trail } S))$
and $\text{atm-of } ' \text{lits-of } (\text{trail } S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-msu } (\text{clauses } S)$
shows $\text{set-mset } (\text{clauses } S') \cup \{\{\#\text{lit-of } L\# \} \mid L. \text{is-decided } L \wedge L \in \text{set } (\text{trail } S')\}$
 $\models_{\text{ps}} (\lambda a. \{\#\text{lit-of } a\# \}) \ ' \bigcup (\text{set } ' \text{snd } ' \text{set } (\text{get-all-decided-decomposition } (\text{trail } S')))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Lemma theorem 2.8.4 page 72 of CW

lemma *only-propagated-vars-unsat*:

assumes *decided*: $\forall x \in \text{set } M. \neg \text{is-decided } x$

and *DN*: $D \in N$ **and** *D*: $M \models_{\text{as}} \text{CNot } D$

and *inv*: *all-decomposition-implies* N (*get-all-decided-decomposition* M)

and *atm-incl*: *atm-of* ' *lits-of* $M \subseteq \text{atms-of-ms } N$

shows *unsatisfiable* N

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *dpll_W-same-clauses*:

assumes *dpll_W* $S S'$

shows *clauses* $S = \text{clauses } S'$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancpl-dpll_W-inv*:

assumes *rtrancpl* *dpll_W* $S S'$

and *inv*: *all-decomposition-implies-m* (*clauses* S) (*get-all-decided-decomposition* (*trail* S))

and *atm-incl*: *atm-of* ' *lits-of* (*trail* S) $\subseteq \text{atms-of-msu}$ (*clauses* S)

and *consistent-interp* (*lits-of* (*trail* S))

and *no-dup* (*trail* S)

shows *all-decomposition-implies-m* (*clauses* S') (*get-all-decided-decomposition* (*trail* S'))

and *atm-of* ' *lits-of* (*trail* S') $\subseteq \text{atms-of-msu}$ (*clauses* S')

and *clauses* $S = \text{clauses } S'$

and *consistent-interp* (*lits-of* (*trail* S'))

and *no-dup* (*trail* S')

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *dpll_W-all-inv* $S \equiv$

(*all-decomposition-implies-m* (*clauses* S) (*get-all-decided-decomposition* (*trail* S))

\wedge *atm-of* ' *lits-of* (*trail* S) $\subseteq \text{atms-of-msu}$ (*clauses* S)

\wedge *consistent-interp* (*lits-of* (*trail* S))

\wedge *no-dup* (*trail* S))

lemma *dpll_W-all-inv-dest*[*dest*]:

assumes *dpll_W-all-inv* S

shows *all-decomposition-implies-m* (*clauses* S) (*get-all-decided-decomposition* (*trail* S))

and *atm-of* ' *lits-of* (*trail* S) $\subseteq \text{atms-of-msu}$ (*clauses* S)

and *consistent-interp* (*lits-of* (*trail* S)) \wedge *no-dup* (*trail* S)

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancpl-dpll_W-all-inv*:

assumes *rtrancpl* *dpll_W* $S S'$

and *dpll_W-all-inv* S

shows *dpll_W-all-inv* S'

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *dpll_W-all-inv*:

assumes *dpll_W* $S S'$

and *dpll_W-all-inv* S

shows *dpll_W-all-inv* S'

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancpl-dpll_W-inv-starting-from-0*:

assumes $rtrancpl\ dpll_W\ S\ S'$
and $inv: trail\ S = []$
shows $dpll_W\text{-all-inv}\ S'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $dpll_W\text{-can-do-step}$:
assumes $consistent\text{-interp}\ (set\ M)$
and $distinct\ M$
and $atm\text{-of}\ ' (set\ M) \subseteq atms\text{-of}\ msu\ N$
shows $rtrancpl\ dpll_W\ ([], N)\ (map\ (\lambda M. Decided\ M\ ())\ M,\ N)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $conclusive\text{-}dpll_W\text{-state}\ (S:: 'v\ dpll_W\text{-state}) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(trail\ S \models_{asm}\ clauses\ S \vee ((\forall L \in set\ (trail\ S). \neg is\text{-decided}\ L)$
 $\wedge (\exists C \in \# clauses\ S. trail\ S \models_{as}\ CNot\ C)))$

lemma $dpll_W\text{-strong-completeness}$:
assumes $set\ M \models_{sm}\ N$
and $consistent\text{-interp}\ (set\ M)$
and $distinct\ M$
and $atm\text{-of}\ ' (set\ M) \subseteq atms\text{-of}\ msu\ N$
shows $dpll_W^{**}\ ([], N)\ (map\ (\lambda M. Decided\ M\ ())\ M,\ N)$
and $conclusive\text{-}dpll_W\text{-state}\ (map\ (\lambda M. Decided\ M\ ())\ M,\ N)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $dpll_W\text{-sound}$:
assumes
 $rtrancpl\ dpll_W\ ([], N)\ (M,\ N)$ **and**
 $\forall S. \neg dpll_W\ (M,\ N)\ S$
shows $M \models_{asm}\ N \longleftrightarrow satisfiable\ (set\text{-mset}\ N)\ (is\ ?A \longleftrightarrow ?B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

4.3 Termination

definition $dpll_W\text{-mes}\ M\ n =$
 $map\ (\lambda l. if\ is\text{-decided}\ l\ then\ 2\ else\ (1::nat))\ (rev\ M)\ @\ replicate\ (n - length\ M)\ 3$

lemma $length\text{-}dpll_W\text{-mes}$:
assumes $length\ M \leq n$
shows $length\ (dpll_W\text{-mes}\ M\ n) = n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $distinctcard\text{-}atm\text{-of}\text{-lit}\text{-of}\text{-eq}\text{-length}$:
assumes $no\text{-dup}\ S$
shows $card\ (atm\text{-of}\ ' lits\text{-of}\ S) = length\ S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $dpll_W\text{-card-decrease}$:
assumes $dpll: dpll_W\ S\ S'$ **and** $length\ (trail\ S') \leq card\ vars$
and $length\ (trail\ S) \leq card\ vars$
shows $(dpll_W\text{-mes}\ (trail\ S')\ (card\ vars), dpll_W\text{-mes}\ (trail\ S)\ (card\ vars))$
 $\in lexn\ \{(a, b). a < b\}\ (card\ vars)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Proposition theorem 2.8.7 page 73 of CW

lemma *dpll_W-card-decrease'*:

assumes *dpll*: *dpll_W S S'*

and *atm-incl*: *atm-of ' lits-of (trail S) ⊆ atms-of-msu (clauses S)*

and *no-dup*: *no-dup (trail S)*

shows (*dpll_W-mes (trail S') (card (atms-of-msu (clauses S')))*,
dpll_W-mes (trail S) (card (atms-of-msu (clauses S)))) ∈ *lex {(a, b). a < b}*

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *wf-lexn*: *wf (lexn {(a, b). (a::nat) < b} (card (atms-of-msu (clauses S))))*

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *dpll_W-wf*:

wf {(S', S). dpll_W-all-inv S ∧ dpll_W S S'}

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *dpll_W-tranclp-star-commute*:

{(S', S). dpll_W-all-inv S ∧ dpll_W S S'}⁺ = {(S', S). dpll_W-all-inv S ∧ tranclp dpll_W S S'}
(is ?A = ?B)

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *dpll_W-wf-tranclp*: *wf {(S', S). dpll_W-all-inv S ∧ dpll_W⁺⁺ S S'}*

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *dpll_W-wf-plus*:

shows *wf {(S', ([], N)) | S'. dpll_W⁺⁺ ([], N) S'}* **(is wf ?P)**

⟨*proof*⟩

4.4 Final States

lemma *dpll_W-no-more-step-is-a-conclusive-state*:

assumes *∀ S'. ¬dpll_W S S'*

shows *conclusive-dpll_W-state S*

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *dpll_W-conclusive-state-correct*:

assumes *dpll_W** ([], N) (M, N)* **and** *conclusive-dpll_W-state (M, N)*

shows *M ⊨_{asm} N ⟷ satisfiable (set-mset N)* **(is ?A ⟷ ?B)**

⟨*proof*⟩

4.5 Link with NOT's DPLL

interpretation *dpll_W-NOT*: *dpll-with-backtrack* ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *state-eq_{NOT}-iff-eq[iff, simp]*: *dpll_W-NOT.state-eq_{NOT} S T ⟷ S = T*

⟨*proof*⟩

declare *dpll_W-NOT.state-simp_{NOT}[simp del]*

lemma *dpll_W-dpll_W-bj*:

assumes *inv: dpll_W-all-inv S* **and** *dpll: dpll_W S T*

shows *dpll_W-NOT.dpll-bj S T*

⟨*proof*⟩

```

lemma dpllW-bj-dpll:
  assumes inv: dpllW-all-inv S and dpll: dpllW-NOT.dpll-bj S T
  shows dpllW S T
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma rtrancp-dpllW-rtrancp-dpllW-NOT:
  assumes dpllW** S T and dpllW-all-inv S
  shows dpllW-NOT.dpll-bj** S T
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma rtrancp-dpll-rtrancp-dpllW:
  assumes dpllW-NOT.dpll-bj** S T and dpllW-all-inv S
  shows dpllW** S T
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma dpll-conclusive-state-correctness:
  assumes dpllW-NOT.dpll-bj**  $([], N)$   $(M, N)$  and conclusive-dpllW-state  $(M, N)$ 
  shows  $M \models_{asm} N \longleftrightarrow \text{satisfiable } (\text{set-mset } N)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end
theory CDCL-W-Level
imports Partial-Annotated-Clausal-Logic
begin

```

4.5.1 Level of literals and clauses

Getting the level of a variable, implies that the list has to be reversed. Here is the funtion after reversing.

```

fun get-rev-level :: ('v, nat, 'a) ann-literals  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'v literal  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
  get-rev-level [] - - = 0 |
  get-rev-level (Decided l level # Ls) n L =
    (if atm-of l = atm-of L then level else get-rev-level Ls level L) |
  get-rev-level (Propagated l - # Ls) n L =
    (if atm-of l = atm-of L then n else get-rev-level Ls n L)

```

abbreviation *get-level* *M L* \equiv *get-rev-level* (*rev* *M*) 0 *L*

```

lemma get-rev-level-uminus[simp]: get-rev-level M n  $(-L)$  = get-rev-level M n L
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma atm-of-notin-get-rev-level-eq-0[simp]:
  assumes atm-of L  $\notin$  atm-of ' lits-of M
  shows get-rev-level M n L = 0
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma get-rev-level-ge-0-atm-of-in:
  assumes get-rev-level M n L > n
  shows atm-of L  $\in$  atm-of ' lits-of M
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

In *get-rev-level* (resp. *get-level*), the beginning (resp. the end) can be skipped if the literal is not in the beginning (resp. the end).

```

lemma get-rev-level-skip[simp]:
  assumes atm-of L  $\notin$  atm-of ' lits-of M

```

shows $\text{get-rev-level } (M @ \text{Decided } K \text{ } i \# M') \text{ } n \text{ } L = \text{get-rev-level } (\text{Decided } K \text{ } i \# M') \text{ } i \text{ } L$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *get-rev-level-notin-end[simp]*:
assumes $\text{atm-of } L \notin \text{atm-of ' lits-of } M'$
shows $\text{get-rev-level } (M @ M') \text{ } n \text{ } L = \text{get-rev-level } M \text{ } n \text{ } L$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

If the literal is at the beginning, then the end can be skipped

lemma *get-rev-level-skip-end[simp]*:
assumes $\text{atm-of } L \in \text{atm-of ' lits-of } M$
shows $\text{get-rev-level } (M @ M') \text{ } n \text{ } L = \text{get-rev-level } M \text{ } n \text{ } L$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *get-level-skip-beginning*:
assumes $\text{atm-of } L' \neq \text{atm-of (lit-of } K)$
shows $\text{get-level } (K \# M) \text{ } L' = \text{get-level } M \text{ } L'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *get-level-skip-beginning-not-decided-rev*:
assumes $\text{atm-of } L \notin \text{atm-of ' lit-of ' (set } S)$
and $\forall s \in \text{set } S. \neg \text{is-decided } s$
shows $\text{get-level } (M @ \text{rev } S) \text{ } L = \text{get-level } M \text{ } L$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *get-level-skip-beginning-not-decided[simp]*:
assumes $\text{atm-of } L \notin \text{atm-of ' lit-of ' (set } S)$
and $\forall s \in \text{set } S. \neg \text{is-decided } s$
shows $\text{get-level } (M @ S) \text{ } L = \text{get-level } M \text{ } L$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *get-rev-level-skip-beginning-not-decided[simp]*:
assumes $\text{atm-of } L \notin \text{atm-of ' lit-of ' (set } S)$
and $\forall s \in \text{set } S. \neg \text{is-decided } s$
shows $\text{get-rev-level } (\text{rev } S @ \text{rev } M) \text{ } 0 \text{ } L = \text{get-level } M \text{ } L$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *get-level-skip-in-all-not-decided*:
fixes $M :: ('a, \text{nat}, 'b) \text{ ann-literal list}$ **and** $L :: 'a \text{ literal}$
assumes $\forall m \in \text{set } M. \neg \text{is-decided } m$
and $\text{atm-of } L \in \text{atm-of ' lit-of ' (set } M)$
shows $\text{get-rev-level } M \text{ } n \text{ } L = n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *get-level-skip-all-not-decided[simp]*:
fixes M
defines $M' \equiv \text{rev } M$
assumes $\forall m \in \text{set } M. \neg \text{is-decided } m$
shows $\text{get-level } M \text{ } L = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

abbreviation $M\text{Max } M \equiv \text{Max } (\text{set-mset } M)$

the $\{\#0 :: 'a\# \}$ is there to ensures that the set is not empty.

definition *get-maximum-level* $:: ('a, \text{nat}, 'b) \text{ ann-literal list} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ literal multiset} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$

where
 $get_maximum_level\ M\ D = MMax\ (\{\#0\# \} + image_mset\ (get_level\ M)\ D)$

lemma *get-maximum-level-ge-get-level*:
 $L \in \# D \implies get_maximum_level\ M\ D \geq get_level\ M\ L$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *get-maximum-level-empty[simp]*:
 $get_maximum_level\ M\ \{\#\} = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *get-maximum-level-exists-lit-of-max-level*:
 $D \neq \{\#\} \implies \exists L \in \# D. get_level\ M\ L = get_maximum_level\ M\ D$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *get-maximum-level-empty-list[simp]*:
 $get_maximum_level\ []\ D = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *get-maximum-level-single[simp]*:
 $get_maximum_level\ M\ \{\#L\# \} = get_level\ M\ L$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *get-maximum-level-plus*:
 $get_maximum_level\ M\ (D + D') = max\ (get_maximum_level\ M\ D)\ (get_maximum_level\ M\ D')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *get-maximum-level-exists-lit*:
assumes $n: n > 0$
and $max: get_maximum_level\ M\ D = n$
shows $\exists L \in \# D. get_level\ M\ L = n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *get-maximum-level-skip-first[simp]*:
assumes $atm_of\ L \notin atm_of\ D$
shows $get_maximum_level\ (Propagated\ L\ C\ \# M)\ D = get_maximum_level\ M\ D$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *get-maximum-level-skip-beginning*:
assumes $DH: atm_of\ D \subseteq atm_of\ 'lits_of\ H$
shows $get_maximum_level\ (c\ @\ Decided\ Kh\ i\ \# H)\ D = get_maximum_level\ H\ D$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *get-maximum-level-D-single-propagated*:
 $get_maximum_level\ [Propagated\ x21\ x22]\ D = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *get-maximum-level-skip-notin*:
assumes $D: \forall L \in \# D. atm_of\ L \in atm_of\ 'lits_of\ M$
shows $get_maximum_level\ M\ D = get_maximum_level\ (Propagated\ x21\ x22\ \# M)\ D$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *get-maximum-level-skip-un-decided-not-present*:
assumes $\forall L \in \# D. atm_of\ L \in atm_of\ 'lits_of\ aa$ **and**

$\forall m \in \text{set } M. \neg \text{is-decided } m$
shows $\text{get-maximum-level } aa \ D = \text{get-maximum-level } (M \ @ \ aa) \ D$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

fun $\text{get-maximum-possible-level} :: ('b, \text{nat}, 'c) \text{ ann-literal list} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ **where**
 $\text{get-maximum-possible-level } [] = 0 \mid$
 $\text{get-maximum-possible-level } (\text{Decided } K \ i \ \# \ l) = \max i \ (\text{get-maximum-possible-level } l) \mid$
 $\text{get-maximum-possible-level } (\text{Propagated } - \ - \ \# \ l) = \text{get-maximum-possible-level } l$

lemma $\text{get-maximum-possible-level-append}[\text{simp}]$:
 $\text{get-maximum-possible-level } (M @ M') = \max (\text{get-maximum-possible-level } M) (\text{get-maximum-possible-level } M')$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{get-maximum-possible-level-rev}[\text{simp}]$:
 $\text{get-maximum-possible-level } (\text{rev } M) = \text{get-maximum-possible-level } M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{get-maximum-possible-level-ge-get-rev-level}$:
 $\max (\text{get-maximum-possible-level } M) \ i \geq \text{get-rev-level } M \ i \ L$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{get-maximum-possible-level-ge-get-level}[\text{simp}]$:
 $\text{get-maximum-possible-level } M \geq \text{get-level } M \ L$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{get-maximum-possible-level-ge-get-maximum-level}[\text{simp}]$:
 $\text{get-maximum-possible-level } M \geq \text{get-maximum-level } M \ D$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

fun $\text{get-all-mark-of-propagated}$ **where**
 $\text{get-all-mark-of-propagated } [] = [] \mid$
 $\text{get-all-mark-of-propagated } (\text{Decided } - \ - \ \# \ L) = \text{get-all-mark-of-propagated } L \mid$
 $\text{get-all-mark-of-propagated } (\text{Propagated } - \ \text{mark} \ \# \ L) = \text{mark} \ \# \ \text{get-all-mark-of-propagated } L$

lemma $\text{get-all-mark-of-propagated-append}[\text{simp}]$:
 $\text{get-all-mark-of-propagated } (A @ B) = \text{get-all-mark-of-propagated } A @ \text{get-all-mark-of-propagated } B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

4.5.2 Properties about the levels

fun $\text{get-all-levels-of-decided} :: ('b, 'a, 'c) \text{ ann-literal list} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list}$ **where**
 $\text{get-all-levels-of-decided } [] = [] \mid$
 $\text{get-all-levels-of-decided } (\text{Decided } l \ \text{level} \ \# \ Ls) = \text{level} \ \# \ \text{get-all-levels-of-decided } Ls \mid$
 $\text{get-all-levels-of-decided } (\text{Propagated } - \ - \ \# \ Ls) = \text{get-all-levels-of-decided } Ls$

lemma $\text{get-all-levels-of-decided-nil-iff-not-is-decided}$:
 $\text{get-all-levels-of-decided } xs = [] \iff (\forall x \in \text{set } xs. \neg \text{is-decided } x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{get-all-levels-of-decided-cons}$:
 $\text{get-all-levels-of-decided } (a \ \# \ b) =$
 $(\text{if is-decided } a \text{ then } [\text{level-of } a] \text{ else } []) @ \text{get-all-levels-of-decided } b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{get-all-levels-of-decided-append}[\text{simp}]$:

get-all-levels-of-decided ($a @ b$) = *get-all-levels-of-decided* $a @$ *get-all-levels-of-decided* b
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *in-get-all-levels-of-decided-iff-decomp*:

$i \in \text{set } (\text{get-all-levels-of-decided } M) \longleftrightarrow (\exists c K c'. M = c @ \text{Decided } K i \# c') \text{ (is } ?A \longleftrightarrow ?B)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *get-rev-level-less-max-get-all-levels-of-decided*:

get-rev-level $M n L \leq \text{Max } (\text{set } (n \# \text{get-all-levels-of-decided } M))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *get-rev-level-ge-min-get-all-levels-of-decided*:

assumes *atm-of* $L \in \text{atm-of ' lits-of } M$
shows *get-rev-level* $M n L \geq \text{Min } (\text{set } (n \# \text{get-all-levels-of-decided } M))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *get-all-levels-of-decided-rev-eq-rev-get-all-levels-of-decided[simp]*:

get-all-levels-of-decided (*rev* M) = *rev* (*get-all-levels-of-decided* M)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *get-maximum-possible-level-max-get-all-levels-of-decided*:

get-maximum-possible-level $M = \text{Max } (\text{insert } 0 (\text{set } (\text{get-all-levels-of-decided } M)))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *get-rev-level-in-levels-of-decided*:

get-rev-level $M n L \in \{0, n\} \cup \text{set } (\text{get-all-levels-of-decided } M)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *get-rev-level-in-atms-in-levels-of-decided*:

atm-of $L \in \text{atm-of ' (lits-of } M) \implies \text{get-rev-level } M n L \in \{n\} \cup \text{set } (\text{get-all-levels-of-decided } M)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *get-all-levels-of-decided-no-decided*:

$(\forall l \in \text{set } Ls. \neg \text{is-decided } l) \longleftrightarrow \text{get-all-levels-of-decided } Ls = []$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *get-level-in-levels-of-decided*:

get-level $M L \in \{0\} \cup \text{set } (\text{get-all-levels-of-decided } M)$
 ⟨proof⟩

The zero is here to avoid empty-list issues with *last*:

lemma *get-level-get-rev-level-get-all-levels-of-decided*:

assumes *atm-of* $L \notin \text{atm-of ' (lits-of } M)$
shows *get-level* ($K @ M$) $L = \text{get-rev-level } (\text{rev } K) (\text{last } (0 \# \text{get-all-levels-of-decided } (\text{rev } M)))$
 L
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *get-rev-level-can-skip-correctly-ordered*:

assumes
no-dup M **and**
atm-of $L \notin \text{atm-of ' (lits-of } M)$ **and**
get-all-levels-of-decided $M = \text{rev } [\text{Suc } 0..<\text{Suc } (\text{length } (\text{get-all-levels-of-decided } M))]$
shows *get-rev-level* (*rev* $M @ K$) $0 L = \text{get-rev-level } K (\text{length } (\text{get-all-levels-of-decided } M)) L$
 ⟨proof⟩

```

lemma get-level-skip-beginning-hd-get-all-levels-of-decided:
  assumes atm-of  $L \notin \text{atm-of } \text{'lits-of } S$ 
  and get-all-levels-of-decided  $S \neq []$ 
  shows get-level ( $M @ S$ )  $L = \text{get-rev-level } (\text{rev } M) (\text{hd } (\text{get-all-levels-of-decided } S)) L$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

end
theory CDCL-W
imports Partial-Annotated-Clausal-Logic List-More CDCL-W-Level Wellfounded-More

begin
declare set-mset-minus-replicate-mset[simp]

lemma Bex-set-set-Bex-set[iff]:  $(\exists x \in \text{set-mset } C. P) \longleftrightarrow (\exists x \in \#C. P)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

5 Weidenbach's CDCL

```

declare upt.simps(2)[simp del]

```

5.1 The State

```

locale stateW =
  fixes
    trail :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  ('v, nat, 'v clause) ann-literals and
    init-clss :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  'v clauses and
    learned-clss :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  'v clauses and
    backtrack-lvl :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  nat and
    conflicting :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  'v clause option and

    cons-trail :: ('v, nat, 'v clause) ann-literal  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st and
    tl-trail :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st and
    add-init-cls :: 'v clause  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st and
    add-learned-cls :: 'v clause  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st and
    remove-cls :: 'v clause  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st and
    update-backtrack-lvl :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st and
    update-conflicting :: 'v clause option  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st and

    init-state :: 'v clauses  $\Rightarrow$  'st and
    restart-state :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st

  assumes
    trail-cons-trail[simp]:
       $\bigwedge L \text{ st. } \text{undefined-lit } (\text{trail } \text{st}) (\text{lit-of } L) \Longrightarrow \text{trail } (\text{cons-trail } L \text{ st}) = L \# \text{trail } \text{st}$  and
    trail-tl-trail[simp]:  $\bigwedge \text{st. trail } (\text{tl-trail } \text{st}) = \text{tl } (\text{trail } \text{st})$  and
    trail-add-init-cls[simp]:
       $\bigwedge \text{st } C. \text{no-dup } (\text{trail } \text{st}) \Longrightarrow \text{trail } (\text{add-init-cls } C \text{ st}) = \text{trail } \text{st}$  and
    trail-add-learned-cls[simp]:
       $\bigwedge C \text{ st. no-dup } (\text{trail } \text{st}) \Longrightarrow \text{trail } (\text{add-learned-cls } C \text{ st}) = \text{trail } \text{st}$  and
    trail-remove-cls[simp]:
       $\bigwedge C \text{ st. trail } (\text{remove-cls } C \text{ st}) = \text{trail } \text{st}$  and
    trail-update-backtrack-lvl[simp]:  $\bigwedge C \text{ st. trail } (\text{update-backtrack-lvl } C \text{ st}) = \text{trail } \text{st}$  and
    trail-update-conflicting[simp]:  $\bigwedge C \text{ st. trail } (\text{update-conflicting } C \text{ st}) = \text{trail } \text{st}$  and

    init-clss-cons-trail[simp]:

```


$\bigwedge M \text{ st. undefined-lit } (trail \text{ st}) (lit\text{-of } M) \implies init\text{-clss } (cons\text{-trail } M \text{ st}) = init\text{-clss } st$
and
 $init\text{-clss-tl-trail}[simp]:$
 $\bigwedge st. init\text{-clss } (tl\text{-trail } st) = init\text{-clss } st$ **and**
 $init\text{-clss-add-init-cls}[simp]:$
 $\bigwedge st \ C. no\text{-dup } (trail \text{ st}) \implies init\text{-clss } (add\text{-init-cls } C \text{ st}) = \{\#C\#\} + init\text{-clss } st$ **and**
 $init\text{-clss-add-learned-cls}[simp]:$
 $\bigwedge C \text{ st. no-dup } (trail \text{ st}) \implies init\text{-clss } (add\text{-learned-cls } C \text{ st}) = init\text{-clss } st$ **and**
 $init\text{-clss-remove-cls}[simp]:$
 $\bigwedge C \text{ st. init-clss } (remove\text{-cls } C \text{ st}) = remove\text{-mset } C (init\text{-clss } st)$ **and**
 $init\text{-clss-update-backtrack-lvl}[simp]:$
 $\bigwedge st \ C. init\text{-clss } (update\text{-backtrack-lvl } C \text{ st}) = init\text{-clss } st$ **and**
 $init\text{-clss-update-conflicting}[simp]:$
 $\bigwedge C \text{ st. init-clss } (update\text{-conflicting } C \text{ st}) = init\text{-clss } st$ **and**

$learned\text{-clss-cons-trail}[simp]:$
 $\bigwedge M \text{ st. undefined-lit } (trail \text{ st}) (lit\text{-of } M) \implies$
 $learned\text{-clss } (cons\text{-trail } M \text{ st}) = learned\text{-clss } st$ **and**
 $learned\text{-clss-tl-trail}[simp]:$
 $\bigwedge st. learned\text{-clss } (tl\text{-trail } st) = learned\text{-clss } st$ **and**
 $learned\text{-clss-add-init-cls}[simp]:$
 $\bigwedge st \ C. no\text{-dup } (trail \text{ st}) \implies learned\text{-clss } (add\text{-init-cls } C \text{ st}) = learned\text{-clss } st$ **and**
 $learned\text{-clss-add-learned-cls}[simp]:$
 $\bigwedge C \text{ st. no-dup } (trail \text{ st}) \implies learned\text{-clss } (add\text{-learned-cls } C \text{ st}) = \{\#C\#\} + learned\text{-clss } st$
and
 $learned\text{-clss-remove-cls}[simp]:$
 $\bigwedge C \text{ st. learned-clss } (remove\text{-cls } C \text{ st}) = remove\text{-mset } C (learned\text{-clss } st)$ **and**
 $learned\text{-clss-update-backtrack-lvl}[simp]:$
 $\bigwedge st \ C. learned\text{-clss } (update\text{-backtrack-lvl } C \text{ st}) = learned\text{-clss } st$ **and**
 $learned\text{-clss-update-conflicting}[simp]:$
 $\bigwedge C \text{ st. learned-clss } (update\text{-conflicting } C \text{ st}) = learned\text{-clss } st$ **and**

$backtrack\text{-lvl-cons-trail}[simp]:$
 $\bigwedge M \text{ st. undefined-lit } (trail \text{ st}) (lit\text{-of } M) \implies$
 $backtrack\text{-lvl } (cons\text{-trail } M \text{ st}) = backtrack\text{-lvl } st$ **and**
 $backtrack\text{-lvl-tl-trail}[simp]:$
 $\bigwedge st. backtrack\text{-lvl } (tl\text{-trail } st) = backtrack\text{-lvl } st$ **and**
 $backtrack\text{-lvl-add-init-cls}[simp]:$
 $\bigwedge st \ C. no\text{-dup } (trail \text{ st}) \implies backtrack\text{-lvl } (add\text{-init-cls } C \text{ st}) = backtrack\text{-lvl } st$ **and**
 $backtrack\text{-lvl-add-learned-cls}[simp]:$
 $\bigwedge C \text{ st. no-dup } (trail \text{ st}) \implies backtrack\text{-lvl } (add\text{-learned-cls } C \text{ st}) = backtrack\text{-lvl } st$ **and**
 $backtrack\text{-lvl-remove-cls}[simp]:$
 $\bigwedge C \text{ st. backtrack-lvl } (remove\text{-cls } C \text{ st}) = backtrack\text{-lvl } st$ **and**
 $backtrack\text{-lvl-update-backtrack-lvl}[simp]:$
 $\bigwedge st \ k. backtrack\text{-lvl } (update\text{-backtrack-lvl } k \text{ st}) = k$ **and**
 $backtrack\text{-lvl-update-conflicting}[simp]:$
 $\bigwedge C \text{ st. backtrack-lvl } (update\text{-conflicting } C \text{ st}) = backtrack\text{-lvl } st$ **and**

$conflicting\text{-cons-trail}[simp]:$
 $\bigwedge M \text{ st. undefined-lit } (trail \text{ st}) (lit\text{-of } M) \implies$
 $conflicting (cons\text{-trail } M \text{ st}) = conflicting \text{ st}$ **and**
 $conflicting\text{-tl-trail}[simp]:$
 $\bigwedge st. conflicting (tl\text{-trail } st) = conflicting \text{ st}$ **and**
 $conflicting\text{-add-init-cls}[simp]:$
 $\bigwedge st \ C. no\text{-dup } (trail \text{ st}) \implies conflicting (add\text{-init-cls } C \text{ st}) = conflicting \text{ st}$ **and**

conflicting-add-learned-cls[simp]:
 $\bigwedge C \text{ st. } \text{no-dup } (\text{trail } st) \implies \text{conflicting } (\text{add-learned-cls } C \text{ st}) = \text{conflicting } st \text{ and}$
conflicting-remove-cls[simp]:
 $\bigwedge C \text{ st. } \text{conflicting } (\text{remove-cls } C \text{ st}) = \text{conflicting } st \text{ and}$
conflicting-update-backtrack-lvl[simp]:
 $\bigwedge st \ C. \text{conflicting } (\text{update-backtrack-lvl } C \text{ st}) = \text{conflicting } st \text{ and}$
conflicting-update-conflicting[simp]:
 $\bigwedge C \text{ st. } \text{conflicting } (\text{update-conflicting } C \text{ st}) = C \text{ and}$

init-state-trail[simp]: $\bigwedge N. \text{trail } (\text{init-state } N) = [] \text{ and}$
init-state-clss[simp]: $\bigwedge N. \text{init-clss } (\text{init-state } N) = N \text{ and}$
init-state-learned-clss[simp]: $\bigwedge N. \text{learned-clss } (\text{init-state } N) = \{\#\} \text{ and}$
init-state-backtrack-lvl[simp]: $\bigwedge N. \text{backtrack-lvl } (\text{init-state } N) = 0 \text{ and}$
init-state-conflicting[simp]: $\bigwedge N. \text{conflicting } (\text{init-state } N) = \text{None} \text{ and}$

trail-restart-state[simp]: $\text{trail } (\text{restart-state } S) = [] \text{ and}$
init-clss-restart-state[simp]: $\text{init-clss } (\text{restart-state } S) = \text{init-clss } S \text{ and}$
learned-clss-restart-state[intro]: $\text{learned-clss } (\text{restart-state } S) \subseteq \# \text{ learned-clss } S \text{ and}$
backtrack-lvl-restart-state[simp]: $\text{backtrack-lvl } (\text{restart-state } S) = 0 \text{ and}$
conflicting-restart-state[simp]: $\text{conflicting } (\text{restart-state } S) = \text{None}$

begin

definition *clauses* :: 'st \Rightarrow 'v clauses **where**
clauses $S = \text{init-clss } S + \text{learned-clss } S$

lemma
shows

clauses-cons-trail[simp]:
 $\text{undefined-lit } (\text{trail } S) \ (\text{lit-of } M) \implies \text{clauses } (\text{cons-trail } M \ S) = \text{clauses } S \text{ and}$

clss-tl-trail[simp]: $\text{clauses } (\text{tl-trail } S) = \text{clauses } S \text{ and}$
clauses-add-learned-clss-unfolded:
 $\text{no-dup } (\text{trail } S) \implies \text{clauses } (\text{add-learned-clss } U \ S) = \{\#U\# \} + \text{learned-clss } S + \text{init-clss } S$
and
clauses-add-init-clss[simp]:
 $\text{no-dup } (\text{trail } S) \implies \text{clauses } (\text{add-init-clss } N \ S) = \{\#N\# \} + \text{init-clss } S + \text{learned-clss } S \text{ and}$
clauses-update-backtrack-lvl[simp]: $\text{clauses } (\text{update-backtrack-lvl } k \ S) = \text{clauses } S \text{ and}$
clauses-update-conflicting[simp]: $\text{clauses } (\text{update-conflicting } D \ S) = \text{clauses } S \text{ and}$
clauses-remove-clss[simp]:
 $\text{clauses } (\text{remove-clss } C \ S) = \text{clauses } S - \text{replicate-mset } (\text{count } (\text{clauses } S) \ C) \ C \text{ and}$
clauses-add-learned-clss[simp]:
 $\text{no-dup } (\text{trail } S) \implies \text{clauses } (\text{add-learned-clss } C \ S) = \{\#C\# \} + \text{clauses } S \text{ and}$
clauses-restart[simp]: $\text{clauses } (\text{restart-state } S) \subseteq \# \text{ clauses } S \text{ and}$
clauses-init-state[simp]: $\bigwedge N. \text{clauses } (\text{init-state } N) = N$
 <proof>

abbreviation *state* :: 'st \Rightarrow ('v, nat, 'v clause) ann-literal list \times 'v clauses \times 'v clauses
 \times nat \times 'v clause option **where**
state $S \equiv (\text{trail } S, \text{init-clss } S, \text{learned-clss } S, \text{backtrack-lvl } S, \text{conflicting } S)$

abbreviation *incr-lvl* :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st **where**
incr-lvl $S \equiv \text{update-backtrack-lvl } (\text{backtrack-lvl } S + 1) \ S$

definition *state-eq* :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool (infix \sim 50) **where**
 $S \sim T \iff \text{state } S = \text{state } T$

lemma *state-eq-ref*[*simp*, *intro*]:

$S \sim S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *state-eq-sym*:

$S \sim T \longleftrightarrow T \sim S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *state-eq-trans*:

$S \sim T \implies T \sim U \implies S \sim U$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma

shows

state-eq-trail: $S \sim T \implies \text{trail } S = \text{trail } T$ **and**
state-eq-init-clss: $S \sim T \implies \text{init-clss } S = \text{init-clss } T$ **and**
state-eq-learned-clss: $S \sim T \implies \text{learned-clss } S = \text{learned-clss } T$ **and**
state-eq-backtrack-lvl: $S \sim T \implies \text{backtrack-lvl } S = \text{backtrack-lvl } T$ **and**
state-eq-conflicting: $S \sim T \implies \text{conflicting } S = \text{conflicting } T$ **and**
state-eq-clauses: $S \sim T \implies \text{clauses } S = \text{clauses } T$ **and**
state-eq-undefined-lit: $S \sim T \implies \text{undefined-lit } (\text{trail } S) L = \text{undefined-lit } (\text{trail } T) L$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *state-simp*[*simp*] = *state-eq-trail* *state-eq-init-clss* *state-eq-learned-clss*

state-eq-backtrack-lvl *state-eq-conflicting* *state-eq-clauses* *state-eq-undefined-lit*

lemma *atms-of-ms-learned-clss-restart-state-in-atms-of-ms-learned-clssI*[*intro*]:

$x \in \text{atms-of-msu } (\text{learned-clss } (\text{restart-state } S)) \implies x \in \text{atms-of-msu } (\text{learned-clss } S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

function *reduce-trail-to* :: 'a list \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st **where**

reduce-trail-to *F* *S* =

(if *length* (*trail* *S*) = *length* *F* \vee *trail* *S* = [] then *S* else *reduce-trail-to* *F* (*tl-trail* *S*))

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

termination

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

declare *reduce-trail-to.simps*[*simp* *del*]

lemma

shows

reduce-trail-to-nil[*simp*]: *trail* *S* = [] \implies *reduce-trail-to* *F* *S* = *S* **and**
reduce-trail-to-eq-length[*simp*]: *length* (*trail* *S*) = *length* *F* \implies *reduce-trail-to* *F* *S* = *S*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *reduce-trail-to-length-ne*:

length (*trail* *S*) \neq *length* *F* \implies *trail* *S* \neq [] \implies
reduce-trail-to *F* *S* = *reduce-trail-to* *F* (*tl-trail* *S*)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *trail-reduce-trail-to-length-le*:

assumes *length* *F* > *length* (*trail* *S*)

shows *trail* (*reduce-trail-to* *F* *S*) = []

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *trail-reduce-trail-to-nil[simp]*:
 $trail\ (reduce-trail-to\ []\ S) = []$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *clauses-reduce-trail-to-nil*:
 $clauses\ (reduce-trail-to\ []\ S) = clauses\ S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *reduce-trail-to-skip-beginning*:
assumes $trail\ S = F' @ F$
shows $trail\ (reduce-trail-to\ F\ S) = F$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *clauses-reduce-trail-to[simp]*:
 $clauses\ (reduce-trail-to\ F\ S) = clauses\ S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conflicting-update-trial[simp]*:
 $conflicting\ (reduce-trail-to\ F\ S) = conflicting\ S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *backtrack-lvl-update-trial[simp]*:
 $backtrack-lvl\ (reduce-trail-to\ F\ S) = backtrack-lvl\ S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *init-clss-update-trial[simp]*:
 $init-clss\ (reduce-trail-to\ F\ S) = init-clss\ S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *learned-clss-update-trial[simp]*:
 $learned-clss\ (reduce-trail-to\ F\ S) = learned-clss\ S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *trail-eq-reduce-trail-to-eq*:
 $trail\ S = trail\ T \implies trail\ (reduce-trail-to\ F\ S) = trail\ (reduce-trail-to\ F\ T)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *reduce-trail-to-state-eq_{NOT}-compatible*:
assumes $ST: S \sim T$
shows $reduce-trail-to\ F\ S \sim reduce-trail-to\ F\ T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *reduce-trail-to-trail-tl-trail-decomp[simp]*:
 $trail\ S = F' @ Decided\ K\ d \# F \implies (trail\ (reduce-trail-to\ F\ S)) = F$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *reduce-trail-to-add-learned-cls[simp]*:
 $no-dup\ (trail\ S) \implies$
 $trail\ (reduce-trail-to\ F\ (add-learned-cls\ C\ S)) = trail\ (reduce-trail-to\ F\ S)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *reduce-trail-to-add-init-cls[simp]*:
 $no-dup\ (trail\ S) \implies$
 $trail\ (reduce-trail-to\ F\ (add-init-cls\ C\ S)) = trail\ (reduce-trail-to\ F\ S)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *reduce-trail-to-remove-learned-cls[simp]*:

$\text{trail } (\text{reduce-trail-to } F \ (\text{remove-cls } C \ S)) = \text{trail } (\text{reduce-trail-to } F \ S)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *reduce-trail-to-update-conflicting[simp]*:

$\text{trail } (\text{reduce-trail-to } F \ (\text{update-conflicting } C \ S)) = \text{trail } (\text{reduce-trail-to } F \ S)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *reduce-trail-to-update-backtrack-lvl[simp]*:

$\text{trail } (\text{reduce-trail-to } F \ (\text{update-backtrack-lvl } C \ S)) = \text{trail } (\text{reduce-trail-to } F \ S)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *in-get-all-decided-decomposition-decided-or-empty*:

assumes $(a, b) \in \text{set } (\text{get-all-decided-decomposition } M)$

shows $a = [] \vee (\text{is-decided } (\text{hd } a))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *in-get-all-decided-decomposition-trail-update-trail[simp]*:

assumes $H: (L \# M1, M2) \in \text{set } (\text{get-all-decided-decomposition } (\text{trail } S))$

shows $\text{trail } (\text{reduce-trail-to } M1 \ S) = M1$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

fun *append-trail* **where**

append-trail $[] \ S = S \mid$

append-trail $(L \# M) \ S = \text{append-trail } M \ (\text{cons-trail } L \ S)$

lemma *trail-append-trail*:

$\text{no-dup } (M @ \text{trail } S) \implies \text{trail } (\text{append-trail } M \ S) = \text{rev } M @ \text{trail } S$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *init-clss-append-trail*:

$\text{no-dup } (M @ \text{trail } S) \implies \text{init-clss } (\text{append-trail } M \ S) = \text{init-clss } S$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *learned-clss-append-trail*:

$\text{no-dup } (M @ \text{trail } S) \implies \text{learned-clss } (\text{append-trail } M \ S) = \text{learned-clss } S$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *conflicting-append-trail*:

$\text{no-dup } (M @ \text{trail } S) \implies \text{conflicting } (\text{append-trail } M \ S) = \text{conflicting } S$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *backtrack-lvl-append-trail*:

$\text{no-dup } (M @ \text{trail } S) \implies \text{backtrack-lvl } (\text{append-trail } M \ S) = \text{backtrack-lvl } S$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *clauses-append-trail*:

$\text{no-dup } (M @ \text{trail } S) \implies \text{clauses } (\text{append-trail } M \ S) = \text{clauses } S$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *state-access-simp* =

trail-append-trail init-clss-append-trail learned-clss-append-trail backtrack-lvl-append-trail

clauses-append-trail conflicting-append-trail

This function is useful for proofs to speak of a global trail change, but is a bad for programs and code in general.

```
fun delete-trail-and-rebuild where
  delete-trail-and-rebuild  $M\ S = \text{append-trail } (\text{rev } M) (\text{reduce-trail-to } ([:: 'v\ \text{list}]\ S))$ 

end
```

5.2 Special Instantiation: using Triples as State

5.3 CDCL Rules

Because of the strategy we will later use, we distinguish propagate, conflict from the other rules

locale

```
  cdclW =
    stateW trail init-clss learned-clss backtrack-lvl conflicting cons-trail tl-trail add-init-cls
    add-learned-cls remove-cls update-backtrack-lvl update-conflicting init-state
    restart-state
```

for

```
  trail :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  ('v, nat, 'v clause) ann-literals and
  init-clss :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  'v clauses and
  learned-clss :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  'v clauses and
  backtrack-lvl :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  nat and
  conflicting :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  'v clause option and

  cons-trail :: ('v, nat, 'v clause) ann-literal  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st and
  tl-trail :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st and
  add-init-cls :: 'v clause  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st and
  add-learned-cls :: 'v clause  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st and
  remove-cls :: 'v clause  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st and
  update-backtrack-lvl :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st and
  update-conflicting :: 'v clause option  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st and

  init-state :: 'v clauses  $\Rightarrow$  'st and
  restart-state :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st
```

begin

inductive propagate :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool **where**

propagate-rule[*intro*]:

```
  state  $S = (M, N, U, k, \text{None}) \Rightarrow C + \{\#L\# \} \in \# \text{ clauses } S \Rightarrow M \models_{\text{as}} C \text{Not } C$ 
 $\Rightarrow \text{undefined-lit } (\text{trail } S) L$ 
 $\Rightarrow T \sim \text{cons-trail } (\text{Propagated } L (C + \{\#L\# \})) S$ 
 $\Rightarrow \text{propagate } S T$ 
```

inductive-cases propagateE[*elim*]: propagate $S\ T$

thm propagateE

inductive conflict :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool **where**

```
conflict-rule[intro]: state  $S = (M, N, U, k, \text{None}) \Rightarrow D \in \# \text{ clauses } S \Rightarrow M \models_{\text{as}} C \text{Not } D$ 
 $\Rightarrow T \sim \text{update-conflicting } (\text{Some } D) S$ 
 $\Rightarrow \text{conflict } S T$ 
```

inductive-cases conflictE[*elim*]: conflict $S\ S'$

inductive backtrack :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool **where**

```
backtrack-rule[intro]: state  $S = (M, N, U, k, \text{Some } (D + \{\#L\# \}))$ 
 $\Rightarrow (\text{Decided } K\ (i+1) \# M1, M2) \in \text{set } (\text{get-all-decided-decomposition } M)$ 
```

$\Rightarrow \text{get-level } M \ L = k$
 $\Rightarrow \text{get-level } M \ L = \text{get-maximum-level } M \ (D + \{\#L\# \})$
 $\Rightarrow \text{get-maximum-level } M \ D = i$
 $\Rightarrow T \sim \text{cons-trail } (\text{Propagated } L \ (D + \{\#L\# \}))$
 $\quad (\text{reduce-trail-to } M1$
 $\quad \quad (\text{add-learned-cls } (D + \{\#L\# \}))$
 $\quad \quad (\text{update-backtrack-lvl } i$
 $\quad \quad \quad (\text{update-conflicting } \text{None } S)))$
 $\Rightarrow \text{backtrack } S \ T$
inductive-cases $\text{backtrackE}[\text{elim}]: \text{backtrack } S \ S'$
thm backtrackE

inductive $\text{decide} :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{decide-rule}[\text{intro}]: \text{state } S = (M, N, U, k, \text{None})$
 $\Rightarrow \text{undefined-lit } M \ L \Rightarrow \text{atm-of } L \in \text{atms-of-msu } (\text{init-clss } S)$
 $\Rightarrow T \sim \text{cons-trail } (\text{Decided } L \ (k+1)) \ (\text{incr-lvl } S)$
 $\Rightarrow \text{decide } S \ T$
inductive-cases $\text{decideE}[\text{elim}]: \text{decide } S \ S'$
thm decideE

inductive $\text{skip} :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{skip-rule}[\text{intro}]: \text{state } S = (\text{Propagated } L \ C' \ \# \ M, N, U, k, \text{Some } D) \Rightarrow -L \notin \# \ D \Rightarrow D \neq \{\#\}$
 $\Rightarrow T \sim \text{tl-trail } S$
 $\Rightarrow \text{skip } S \ T$
inductive-cases $\text{skipE}[\text{elim}]: \text{skip } S \ S'$
thm skipE

$\text{get-maximum-level } (\text{Propagated } L \ (C + \{\#L\# \}) \ \# \ M) \ D = k \vee k = 0$ is equivalent to
 $\text{get-maximum-level } (\text{Propagated } L \ (C + \{\#L\# \}) \ \# \ M) \ D = k$

inductive $\text{resolve} :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{resolve-rule}[\text{intro}]:$
 $\quad \text{state } S = (\text{Propagated } L \ (C + \{\#L\# \}) \ \# \ M, N, U, k, \text{Some } (D + \{\#-L\# \}))$
 $\Rightarrow \text{get-maximum-level } (\text{Propagated } L \ (C + \{\#L\# \}) \ \# \ M) \ D = k$
 $\Rightarrow T \sim \text{update-conflicting } (\text{Some } (D \ \# \cup \ C)) \ (\text{tl-trail } S)$
 $\Rightarrow \text{resolve } S \ T$
inductive-cases $\text{resolveE}[\text{elim}]: \text{resolve } S \ S'$
thm resolveE

inductive $\text{restart} :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{restart}: \text{state } S = (M, N, U, k, \text{None}) \Rightarrow \neg M \models_{\text{asm}} \text{clauses } S$
 $\Rightarrow T \sim \text{restart-state } S$
 $\Rightarrow \text{restart } S \ T$
inductive-cases $\text{restartE}[\text{elim}]: \text{restart } S \ T$
thm restartE

We add the condition $C \notin \# \ \text{init-clss } S$, to maintain consistency even without the strategy.

inductive $\text{forget} :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{forget-rule}: \text{state } S = (M, N, \{\#C\# \} + U, k, \text{None})$
 $\Rightarrow \neg M \models_{\text{asm}} \text{clauses } S$
 $\Rightarrow C \notin \text{set } (\text{get-all-mark-of-propagated } (\text{trail } S))$
 $\Rightarrow C \notin \# \ \text{init-clss } S$
 $\Rightarrow C \in \# \ \text{learned-clss } S$
 $\Rightarrow T \sim \text{remove-cls } C \ S$
 $\Rightarrow \text{forget } S \ T$
inductive-cases $\text{forgetE}[\text{elim}]: \text{forget } S \ T$

inductive $cdcl_W\text{-rf} :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool$ **for** $S :: 'st$ **where**
restart: $restart\ S\ T \Longrightarrow cdcl_W\text{-rf}\ S\ T \mid$
forget: $forget\ S\ T \Longrightarrow cdcl_W\text{-rf}\ S\ T$

inductive $cdcl_W\text{-bj} :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
skip[intro]: $skip\ S\ S' \Longrightarrow cdcl_W\text{-bj}\ S\ S' \mid$
resolve[intro]: $resolve\ S\ S' \Longrightarrow cdcl_W\text{-bj}\ S\ S' \mid$
backtrack[intro]: $backtrack\ S\ S' \Longrightarrow cdcl_W\text{-bj}\ S\ S'$

inductive-cases $cdcl_W\text{-bjE}$: $cdcl_W\text{-bj}\ S\ T$

inductive $cdcl_W\text{-o} :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool$ **for** $S :: 'st$ **where**
decide[intro]: $decide\ S\ S' \Longrightarrow cdcl_W\text{-o}\ S\ S' \mid$
bj[intro]: $cdcl_W\text{-bj}\ S\ S' \Longrightarrow cdcl_W\text{-o}\ S\ S'$

inductive $cdcl_W :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool$ **for** $S :: 'st$ **where**
propagate: $propagate\ S\ S' \Longrightarrow cdcl_W\ S\ S' \mid$
conflict: $conflict\ S\ S' \Longrightarrow cdcl_W\ S\ S' \mid$
other: $cdcl_W\text{-o}\ S\ S' \Longrightarrow cdcl_W\ S\ S' \mid$
rf: $cdcl_W\text{-rf}\ S\ S' \Longrightarrow cdcl_W\ S\ S'$

lemma *rtrancplp-propagate-is-rtrancplp-cdcl_W*:
 $propagate^{**}\ S\ S' \Longrightarrow cdcl_W^{**}\ S\ S'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-all-rules-induct*[consumes 1, case-names propagate conflict forget restart decide skip resolve backtrack]:

fixes $S :: 'st$

assumes

$cdcl_W$: $cdcl_W\ S\ S'$ **and**

propagate: $\bigwedge T. propagate\ S\ T \Longrightarrow P\ S\ T$ **and**

conflict: $\bigwedge T. conflict\ S\ T \Longrightarrow P\ S\ T$ **and**

forget: $\bigwedge T. forget\ S\ T \Longrightarrow P\ S\ T$ **and**

restart: $\bigwedge T. restart\ S\ T \Longrightarrow P\ S\ T$ **and**

decide: $\bigwedge T. decide\ S\ T \Longrightarrow P\ S\ T$ **and**

skip: $\bigwedge T. skip\ S\ T \Longrightarrow P\ S\ T$ **and**

resolve: $\bigwedge T. resolve\ S\ T \Longrightarrow P\ S\ T$ **and**

backtrack: $\bigwedge T. backtrack\ S\ T \Longrightarrow P\ S\ T$

shows $P\ S\ S'$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-all-induct*[consumes 1, case-names propagate conflict forget restart decide skip resolve backtrack]:

fixes $S :: 'st$

assumes

$cdcl_W$: $cdcl_W\ S\ S'$ **and**

propagateH: $\bigwedge C\ L\ T. C + \{\#L\# \} \in \# \text{ clauses } S \Longrightarrow trail\ S \models_{as} CNot\ C$

$\Longrightarrow undefined\text{-lit}\ (trail\ S)\ L \Longrightarrow conflicting\ S = None$

$\Longrightarrow T \sim cons\text{-trail}\ (Propagated\ L\ (C + \{\#L\# \}))\ S$

$\Longrightarrow P\ S\ T$ **and**

conflictH: $\bigwedge D\ T. D \in \# \text{ clauses } S \Longrightarrow conflicting\ S = None \Longrightarrow trail\ S \models_{as} CNot\ D$

$\Longrightarrow T \sim update\text{-conflicting}\ (Some\ D)\ S$

$\Longrightarrow P\ S\ T$ **and**

forgetH: $\bigwedge C\ T. \neg trail\ S \models_{asm} clauses\ S$

$\Rightarrow C \notin \text{set } (\text{get-all-mark-of-propagated } (\text{trail } S))$
 $\Rightarrow C \notin \# \text{ init-clss } S$
 $\Rightarrow C \in \# \text{ learned-clss } S$
 $\Rightarrow \text{conflicting } S = \text{None}$
 $\Rightarrow T \sim \text{remove-clss } C S$
 $\Rightarrow P S T \text{ and}$
restartH: $\bigwedge T. \neg \text{trail } S \models_{\text{asm}} \text{clauses } S$
 $\Rightarrow \text{conflicting } S = \text{None}$
 $\Rightarrow T \sim \text{restart-state } S$
 $\Rightarrow P S T \text{ and}$
decideH: $\bigwedge L T. \text{conflicting } S = \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{undefined-lit } (\text{trail } S) L$
 $\Rightarrow \text{atm-of } L \in \text{atms-of-msu } (\text{init-clss } S)$
 $\Rightarrow T \sim \text{cons-trail } (\text{Decided } L (\text{backtrack-lvl } S + 1)) (\text{incr-lvl } S)$
 $\Rightarrow P S T \text{ and}$
skipH: $\bigwedge L C' M D T. \text{trail } S = \text{Propagated } L C' \# M$
 $\Rightarrow \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } D \Rightarrow -L \notin \# D \Rightarrow D \neq \{\#\}$
 $\Rightarrow T \sim \text{tl-trail } S$
 $\Rightarrow P S T \text{ and}$
resolveH: $\bigwedge L C M D T.$
 $\text{trail } S = \text{Propagated } L ((C + \{\#L\# \}) \# M$
 $\Rightarrow \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } (D + \{\#-L\# \})$
 $\Rightarrow \text{get-maximum-level } (\text{Propagated } L (C + \{\#L\# \}) \# M) D = \text{backtrack-lvl } S$
 $\Rightarrow T \sim (\text{update-conflicting } (\text{Some } (D \# \cup C)) (\text{tl-trail } S))$
 $\Rightarrow P S T \text{ and}$
backtrackH: $\bigwedge K i M1 M2 L D T.$
 $(\text{Decided } K (\text{Suc } i) \# M1, M2) \in \text{set } (\text{get-all-decided-decomposition } (\text{trail } S))$
 $\Rightarrow \text{get-level } (\text{trail } S) L = \text{backtrack-lvl } S$
 $\Rightarrow \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } (D + \{\#L\# \})$
 $\Rightarrow \text{get-maximum-level } (\text{trail } S) (D + \{\#L\# \}) = \text{get-level } (\text{trail } S) L$
 $\Rightarrow \text{get-maximum-level } (\text{trail } S) D \equiv i$
 $\Rightarrow T \sim \text{cons-trail } (\text{Propagated } L (D + \{\#L\# \}))$
 $\quad (\text{reduce-trail-to } M1$
 $\quad \quad (\text{add-learned-clss } (D + \{\#L\# \})$
 $\quad \quad \quad (\text{update-backtrack-lvl } i$
 $\quad \quad \quad \quad (\text{update-conflicting } \text{None } S))))$
 $\Rightarrow P S T$
shows $P S S'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-o-induct*[consumes 1, case-names decide skip resolve backtrack]:

fixes $S :: 'st$

assumes *cdcl_W*: *cdcl_W-o* $S T \text{ and}$

decideH: $\bigwedge L T. \text{conflicting } S = \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{undefined-lit } (\text{trail } S) L$

$\Rightarrow \text{atm-of } L \in \text{atms-of-msu } (\text{init-clss } S)$

$\Rightarrow T \sim \text{cons-trail } (\text{Decided } L (\text{backtrack-lvl } S + 1)) (\text{incr-lvl } S)$

$\Rightarrow P S T \text{ and}$

skipH: $\bigwedge L C' M D T. \text{trail } S = \text{Propagated } L C' \# M$

$\Rightarrow \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } D \Rightarrow -L \notin \# D \Rightarrow D \neq \{\#\}$

$\Rightarrow T \sim \text{tl-trail } S$

$\Rightarrow P S T \text{ and}$

resolveH: $\bigwedge L C M D T.$

$\text{trail } S = \text{Propagated } L ((C + \{\#L\# \}) \# M$

$\Rightarrow \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } (D + \{\#-L\# \})$

$\Rightarrow \text{get-maximum-level } (\text{Propagated } L (C + \{\#L\# \}) \# M) D = \text{backtrack-lvl } S$

$\Rightarrow T \sim \text{update-conflicting } (\text{Some } (D \# \cup C)) (\text{tl-trail } S)$

$\Rightarrow P S T$ **and**
 $\text{backtrackH}: \bigwedge K i M1 M2 L D T.$
 $(\text{Decided } K (\text{Suc } i) \# M1, M2) \in \text{set } (\text{get-all-decided-decomposition } (\text{trail } S))$
 $\Rightarrow \text{get-level } (\text{trail } S) L = \text{backtrack-lvl } S$
 $\Rightarrow \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } (D + \{\#L\# \})$
 $\Rightarrow \text{get-level } (\text{trail } S) L = \text{get-maximum-level } (\text{trail } S) (D + \{\#L\# \})$
 $\Rightarrow \text{get-maximum-level } (\text{trail } S) D \equiv i$
 $\Rightarrow T \sim \text{cons-trail } (\text{Propagated } L (D + \{\#L\# \}))$
 $(\text{reduce-trail-to } M1$
 $(\text{add-learned-cls } (D + \{\#L\# \})$
 $(\text{update-backtrack-lvl } i$
 $(\text{update-conflicting } \text{None } S))))$
 $\Rightarrow P S T$
shows $P S T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

thm $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-o.induct}$

lemma $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-o.all-rules-induct}[\text{consumes } 1, \text{case-names decide backtrack skip resolve}]$:

fixes $S T :: 'st$

assumes

$\text{cdcl}_W\text{-o } S T$ **and**

$\bigwedge T. \text{decide } S T \Rightarrow P S T$ **and**

$\bigwedge T. \text{backtrack } S T \Rightarrow P S T$ **and**

$\bigwedge T. \text{skip } S T \Rightarrow P S T$ **and**

$\bigwedge T. \text{resolve } S T \Rightarrow P S T$

shows $P S T$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-o.rule-cases}[\text{consumes } 1, \text{case-names decide backtrack skip resolve}]$:

fixes $S T :: 'st$

assumes

$\text{cdcl}_W\text{-o } S T$ **and**

$\text{decide } S T \Rightarrow P$ **and**

$\text{backtrack } S T \Rightarrow P$ **and**

$\text{skip } S T \Rightarrow P$ **and**

$\text{resolve } S T \Rightarrow P$

shows P

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

5.4 Invariants

5.4.1 Properties of the trail

We here establish that: * the marks are exactly 1..k where k is the level * the consistency of the trail * the fact that there is no duplicate in the trail.

lemma $\text{backtrack-lit-skipped}$:

assumes $L: \text{get-level } (\text{trail } S) L = \text{backtrack-lvl } S$

and $M1: (\text{Decided } K (i + 1) \# M1, M2) \in \text{set } (\text{get-all-decided-decomposition } (\text{trail } S))$

and $\text{no-dup}: \text{no-dup } (\text{trail } S)$

and $\text{bt-l}: \text{backtrack-lvl } S = \text{length } (\text{get-all-levels-of-decided } (\text{trail } S))$

and $\text{order}: \text{get-all-levels-of-decided } (\text{trail } S)$

$= \text{rev } ([1..<(1 + \text{length } (\text{get-all-levels-of-decided } (\text{trail } S)))]])$

shows $\text{atm-of } L \notin \text{atm-of ' lits-of } M1$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-distinctinv-1*:

assumes

cdcl_W S S' **and**

no-dup (trail S) **and**

backtrack-lvl S = length (get-all-levels-of-decided (trail S)) **and**

get-all-levels-of-decided (trail S) = rev [1.. $1 + \text{length (get-all-levels-of-decided (trail S))}$]

shows *no-dup (trail S')*

<proof>

lemma *cdcl_W-consistent-inv-2*:

assumes

cdcl_W S S' **and**

no-dup (trail S) **and**

backtrack-lvl S = length (get-all-levels-of-decided (trail S)) **and**

get-all-levels-of-decided (trail S) = rev [1.. $1 + \text{length (get-all-levels-of-decided (trail S))}$]

shows *consistent-interp (lits-of (trail S'))*

<proof>

lemma *cdcl_W-o-bt*:

assumes

cdcl_{W-o} S S' **and**

backtrack-lvl S = length (get-all-levels-of-decided (trail S)) **and**

get-all-levels-of-decided (trail S) =

rev ([1.. $1 + \text{length (get-all-levels-of-decided (trail S))}$]) **and**

n-d[simp]: no-dup (trail S)

shows *backtrack-lvl S' = length (get-all-levels-of-decided (trail S'))*

<proof>

lemma *cdcl_W-rf-bt*:

assumes

cdcl_{W-rf} S S' **and**

backtrack-lvl S = length (get-all-levels-of-decided (trail S)) **and**

get-all-levels-of-decided (trail S) = rev [1.. $1 + \text{length (get-all-levels-of-decided (trail S))}$]

shows *backtrack-lvl S' = length (get-all-levels-of-decided (trail S'))*

<proof>

lemma *cdcl_W-bt*:

assumes

cdcl_W S S' **and**

backtrack-lvl S = length (get-all-levels-of-decided (trail S)) **and**

get-all-levels-of-decided (trail S)

= rev ([1.. $1 + \text{length (get-all-levels-of-decided (trail S))}$]) **and**

no-dup (trail S)

shows *backtrack-lvl S' = length (get-all-levels-of-decided (trail S'))*

<proof>

lemma *cdcl_W-bt-level'*:

assumes

cdcl_W S S' **and**

backtrack-lvl S = length (get-all-levels-of-decided (trail S)) **and**

get-all-levels-of-decided (trail S)

= rev ([1.. $1 + \text{length (get-all-levels-of-decided (trail S))}$]) **and**

n-d: no-dup (trail S)

shows *get-all-levels-of-decided (trail S')*

= rev ([1.. $1 + \text{length (get-all-levels-of-decided (trail S'))}$])

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

We write $1 + \text{length } (\text{get-all-levels-of-decided } (\text{trail } S))$ instead of $\text{backtrack-lvl } S$ to avoid non termination of rewriting.

definition $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-}M\text{-level-inv } (S :: 'st) \longleftrightarrow$
 $\text{consistent-interp } (\text{lits-of } (\text{trail } S))$
 $\wedge \text{no-dup } (\text{trail } S)$
 $\wedge \text{backtrack-lvl } S = \text{length } (\text{get-all-levels-of-decided } (\text{trail } S))$
 $\wedge \text{get-all-levels-of-decided } (\text{trail } S)$
 $= \text{rev } ([1..<1+\text{length } (\text{get-all-levels-of-decided } (\text{trail } S))])$

lemma $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-}M\text{-level-inv-decomp}$:
assumes $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-}M\text{-level-inv } S$
shows $\text{consistent-interp } (\text{lits-of } (\text{trail } S))$
and $\text{no-dup } (\text{trail } S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-consistent-inv}$:
fixes $S S' :: 'st$
assumes
 $\text{cdcl}_W S S'$ **and**
 $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-}M\text{-level-inv } S$
shows $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-}M\text{-level-inv } S'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{rtrancpl-cdcl}_W\text{-consistent-inv}$:
assumes $\text{cdcl}_W^{**} S S'$
and $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-}M\text{-level-inv } S$
shows $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-}M\text{-level-inv } S'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{trancpl-cdcl}_W\text{-consistent-inv}$:
assumes $\text{cdcl}_W^{++} S S'$
and $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-}M\text{-level-inv } S$
shows $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-}M\text{-level-inv } S'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-}M\text{-level-inv-S0-cdcl}_W[\text{simp}]$:
 $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-}M\text{-level-inv } (\text{init-state } N)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-}M\text{-level-inv-get-level-le-backtrack-lvl}$:
assumes $\text{inv: cdcl}_W\text{-}M\text{-level-inv } S$
shows $\text{get-level } (\text{trail } S) L \leq \text{backtrack-lvl } S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{backtrack-ex-decomp}$:
assumes $M\text{-l: cdcl}_W\text{-}M\text{-level-inv } S$
and $i\text{-S: } i < \text{backtrack-lvl } S$
shows $\exists K M1 M2. (\text{Decided } K (i + 1) \# M1, M2) \in \text{set } (\text{get-all-decided-decomposition } (\text{trail } S))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

5.4.2 Better-Suited Induction Principle

We generalise the induction principle defined previously: the induction case for *backtrack* now includes the assumption that *undefined-lit* $M1\ L$. This helps the simplifier and thus the automation.

lemma *backtrack-induction-lev*[*consumes 1, case-names M-devel-inv backtrack*]:

assumes

bt: *backtrack* $S\ T$ **and**

inv: *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* S **and**

backtrackH: $\bigwedge K\ i\ M1\ M2\ L\ D\ T.$

$(Decided\ K\ (Suc\ i)\ \# \ M1, M2) \in set\ (get-all-decided-decomposition\ (trail\ S))$

$\implies get-level\ (trail\ S)\ L = backtrack-lvl\ S$

$\implies conflicting\ S = Some\ (D + \{\#L\# \})$

$\implies get-level\ (trail\ S)\ L = get-maximum-level\ (trail\ S)\ (D + \{\#L\# \})$

$\implies get-maximum-level\ (trail\ S)\ D \equiv i$

$\implies undefined-lit\ M1\ L$

$\implies T \sim cons-trail\ (Propagated\ L\ (D + \{\#L\# \}))$

$(reduce-trail-to\ M1$

$(add-learned-cls\ (D + \{\#L\# \})$

$(update-backtrack-lvl\ i$

$(update-conflicting\ None\ S))))$

$\implies P\ S\ T$

shows $P\ S\ T$

<proof>

lemmas *backtrack-induction-lev2* = *backtrack-induction-lev*[*consumes 2, case-names backtrack*]

lemma *cdcl_W-all-induct-lev-full*:

fixes $S :: 'st$

assumes

cdcl_W: *cdcl_W* $S\ S'$ **and**

inv[simp]: *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* S **and**

propagateH: $\bigwedge C\ L\ T. C + \{\#L\# \} \in \# \ clauses\ S \implies trail\ S \models_{as} CNot\ C$

$\implies undefined-lit\ (trail\ S)\ L \implies conflicting\ S = None$

$\implies T \sim cons-trail\ (Propagated\ L\ (C + \{\#L\# \}))\ S$

$\implies cdcl_W-M-level-inv\ S$

$\implies P\ S\ T$ **and**

conflictH: $\bigwedge D\ T. D \in \# \ clauses\ S \implies conflicting\ S = None \implies trail\ S \models_{as} CNot\ D$

$\implies T \sim update-conflicting\ (Some\ D)\ S$

$\implies P\ S\ T$ **and**

forgetH: $\bigwedge C\ T. \neg trail\ S \models_{asm} clauses\ S$

$\implies C \notin set\ (get-all-mark-of-propagated\ (trail\ S))$

$\implies C \notin \# \ init-clss\ S$

$\implies C \in \# \ learned-clss\ S$

$\implies conflicting\ S = None$

$\implies T \sim remove-clc\ C\ S$

$\implies cdcl_W-M-level-inv\ S$

$\implies P\ S\ T$ **and**

restartH: $\bigwedge T. \neg trail\ S \models_{asm} clauses\ S$

$\implies conflicting\ S = None$

$\implies T \sim restart-state\ S$

$\implies cdcl_W-M-level-inv\ S$

$\implies P\ S\ T$ **and**

decideH: $\bigwedge L\ T. conflicting\ S = None \implies undefined-lit\ (trail\ S)\ L$

$\implies atm-of\ L \in atms-of-msu\ (init-clss\ S)$

$\Rightarrow T \sim \text{cons-trail } (\text{Decided } L \text{ (backtrack-lvl } S + 1)) \text{ (incr-lvl } S)$
 $\Rightarrow \text{cdcl}_W\text{-M-level-inv } S$
 $\Rightarrow P \ S \ T \text{ and}$
skipH: $\bigwedge L \ C' \ M \ D \ T. \text{ trail } S = \text{Propagated } L \ C' \ \# \ M$
 $\Rightarrow \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } D \Rightarrow -L \notin \# \ D \Rightarrow D \neq \{\#\}$
 $\Rightarrow T \sim \text{tl-trail } S$
 $\Rightarrow \text{cdcl}_W\text{-M-level-inv } S$
 $\Rightarrow P \ S \ T \text{ and}$
resolveH: $\bigwedge L \ C \ M \ D \ T.$
 $\text{trail } S = \text{Propagated } L \ ((C + \{\#L\# \}) \ \# \ M$
 $\Rightarrow \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } (D + \{\#-L\# \})$
 $\Rightarrow \text{get-maximum-level } (\text{Propagated } L \ (C + \{\#L\# \}) \ \# \ M) \ D = \text{backtrack-lvl } S$
 $\Rightarrow T \sim (\text{update-conflicting } (\text{Some } (D \ \# \cup \ C)) \ (\text{tl-trail } S))$
 $\Rightarrow \text{cdcl}_W\text{-M-level-inv } S$
 $\Rightarrow P \ S \ T \text{ and}$
backtrackH: $\bigwedge K \ i \ M1 \ M2 \ L \ D \ T.$
 $(\text{Decided } K \ (\text{Suc } i) \ \# \ M1, \ M2) \in \text{set } (\text{get-all-decided-decomposition } (\text{trail } S))$
 $\Rightarrow \text{get-level } (\text{trail } S) \ L = \text{backtrack-lvl } S$
 $\Rightarrow \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } (D + \{\#L\# \})$
 $\Rightarrow \text{get-maximum-level } (\text{trail } S) \ (D + \{\#L\# \}) = \text{get-level } (\text{trail } S) \ L$
 $\Rightarrow \text{get-maximum-level } (\text{trail } S) \ D \equiv i$
 $\Rightarrow \text{undefined-lit } M1 \ L$
 $\Rightarrow T \sim \text{cons-trail } (\text{Propagated } L \ (D + \{\#L\# \}))$
 $\quad (\text{reduce-trail-to } M1$
 $\quad \quad (\text{add-learned-cls } (D + \{\#L\# \})$
 $\quad \quad \quad (\text{update-backtrack-lvl } i$
 $\quad \quad \quad \quad (\text{update-conflicting } \text{None } S))))$
 $\Rightarrow \text{cdcl}_W\text{-M-level-inv } S$
 $\Rightarrow P \ S \ T$
shows $P \ S \ S'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-induct-lev2} = \text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-induct-lev-full}[\text{consumes } 2, \text{ case-names propagate conflict forget restart decide skip resolve backtrack}]$

lemmas $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-induct-lev} = \text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-induct-lev-full}[\text{consumes } 1, \text{ case-names lev-inv propagate conflict forget restart decide skip resolve backtrack}]$

thm $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-o-induct}$

lemma $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-o-induct-lev}[\text{consumes } 1, \text{ case-names M-lev decide skip resolve backtrack}]$:
fixes $S :: 'st$
assumes
 cdcl_W : $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-o } S \ T \text{ and}$
 $\text{inv}[\text{simp}]$: $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-M-level-inv } S \text{ and}$
decideH: $\bigwedge L \ T. \text{ conflicting } S = \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{undefined-lit } (\text{trail } S) \ L$
 $\Rightarrow \text{atm-of } L \in \text{atms-of-msu } (\text{init-clss } S)$
 $\Rightarrow T \sim \text{cons-trail } (\text{Decided } L \text{ (backtrack-lvl } S + 1)) \text{ (incr-lvl } S)$
 $\Rightarrow \text{cdcl}_W\text{-M-level-inv } S$
 $\Rightarrow P \ S \ T \text{ and}$
skipH: $\bigwedge L \ C' \ M \ D \ T. \text{ trail } S = \text{Propagated } L \ C' \ \# \ M$
 $\Rightarrow \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } D \Rightarrow -L \notin \# \ D \Rightarrow D \neq \{\#\}$
 $\Rightarrow T \sim \text{tl-trail } S$
 $\Rightarrow \text{cdcl}_W\text{-M-level-inv } S$
 $\Rightarrow P \ S \ T \text{ and}$
resolveH: $\bigwedge L \ C \ M \ D \ T.$

$trail\ S = Propagated\ L\ (C + \{\#L\}) \# M$
 $\implies conflicting\ S = Some\ (D + \{\#L\})$
 $\implies get_maximum_level\ (Propagated\ L\ (C + \{\#L\}) \# M)\ D = backtrack_lvl\ S$
 $\implies T \sim update_conflicting\ (Some\ (D \# \cup C))\ (tl_trail\ S)$
 $\implies cdcl_W\text{-}M\text{-level-inv}\ S$
 $\implies P\ S\ T\ \text{and}$
 $backtrackH: \bigwedge K\ i\ M1\ M2\ L\ D\ T.$
 $(Decided\ K\ (Suc\ i) \# M1, M2) \in set\ (get_all_decided_decomposition\ (trail\ S))$
 $\implies get_level\ (trail\ S)\ L = backtrack_lvl\ S$
 $\implies conflicting\ S = Some\ (D + \{\#L\})$
 $\implies get_level\ (trail\ S)\ L = get_maximum_level\ (trail\ S)\ (D + \{\#L\})$
 $\implies get_maximum_level\ (trail\ S)\ D \equiv i$
 $\implies undefined_lit\ M1\ L$
 $\implies T \sim cons_trail\ (Propagated\ L\ (D + \{\#L\}))$
 $\quad (reduce_trail_to\ M1$
 $\quad \quad (add_learned_cls\ (D + \{\#L\})$
 $\quad \quad \quad (update_backtrack_lvl\ i$
 $\quad \quad \quad \quad (update_conflicting\ None\ S))))$
 $\implies cdcl_W\text{-}M\text{-level-inv}\ S$
 $\implies P\ S\ T$
shows $P\ S\ T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas $cdcl_W\text{-}o\text{-induct-lev2} = cdcl_W\text{-}o\text{-induct-lev}[consumes\ 2, case\text{-}names\ decide\ skip\ resolve\ backtrack]$

5.4.3 Compatibility with $op \sim$

lemma *propagate-state-eq-compatible:*

assumes
 $propagate\ S\ T\ \text{and}$
 $S \sim S'\ \text{and}$
 $T \sim T'$
shows $propagate\ S'\ T'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conflict-state-eq-compatible:*

assumes
 $conflict\ S\ T\ \text{and}$
 $S \sim S'\ \text{and}$
 $T \sim T'$
shows $conflict\ S'\ T'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *backtrack-state-eq-compatible:*

assumes
 $backtrack\ S\ T\ \text{and}$
 $S \sim S'\ \text{and}$
 $T \sim T'\ \text{and}$
 $inv: cdcl_W\text{-}M\text{-level-inv}\ S$
shows $backtrack\ S'\ T'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *decide-state-eq-compatible:*

assumes
 $decide\ S\ T\ \text{and}$

$S \sim S'$ and
 $T \sim T'$
shows *decide* $S' T'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *skip-state-eq-compatible:*

assumes
 $\text{skip } S T$ and
 $S \sim S'$ and
 $T \sim T'$
shows *skip* $S' T'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *resolve-state-eq-compatible:*

assumes
 $\text{resolve } S T$ and
 $S \sim S'$ and
 $T \sim T'$
shows *resolve* $S' T'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *forget-state-eq-compatible:*

assumes
 $\text{forget } S T$ and
 $S \sim S'$ and
 $T \sim T'$
shows *forget* $S' T'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-state-eq-compatible:*

assumes
 $\text{cdcl}_W S T$ and $\neg \text{restart } S T$ and
 $S \sim S'$ and
 $T \sim T'$ and
 $\text{inv: cdcl}_W\text{-M-level-inv } S$
shows $\text{cdcl}_W S' T'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-bj-state-eq-compatible:*

assumes
 $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-bj } S T$ and $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-M-level-inv } S$
 $S \sim S'$ and
 $T \sim T'$
shows $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-bj } S' T'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *trancpl-cdcl_W-bj-state-eq-compatible:*

assumes
 $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-bj}^{++} S T$ and $\text{inv: cdcl}_W\text{-M-level-inv } S$ and
 $S \sim S'$ and
 $T \sim T'$
shows $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-bj}^{++} S' T'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

5.4.4 Conservation of some Properties

lemma *level-of-decided-ge-1*:

assumes
 $cdcl_W \ S \ S'$ **and**
 $inv: cdcl_W\text{-}M\text{-level-inv} \ S$ **and**
 $\forall L \ l. Decided \ L \ l \in set \ (trail \ S) \longrightarrow l > 0$
shows $\forall L \ l. Decided \ L \ l \in set \ (trail \ S') \longrightarrow l > 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-o-no-more-init-clss*:

assumes
 $cdcl_W\text{-}o \ S \ S'$ **and**
 $inv: cdcl_W\text{-}M\text{-level-inv} \ S$
shows $init\text{-}clss \ S = init\text{-}clss \ S'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *trancpl-cdcl_W-o-no-more-init-clss*:

assumes
 $cdcl_W\text{-}o^{++} \ S \ S'$ **and**
 $inv: cdcl_W\text{-}M\text{-level-inv} \ S$
shows $init\text{-}clss \ S = init\text{-}clss \ S'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_W-o-no-more-init-clss*:

assumes
 $cdcl_W\text{-}o^{**} \ S \ S'$ **and**
 $inv: cdcl_W\text{-}M\text{-level-inv} \ S$
shows $init\text{-}clss \ S = init\text{-}clss \ S'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-init-clss*:

$cdcl_W \ S \ T \Longrightarrow cdcl_W\text{-}M\text{-level-inv} \ S \Longrightarrow init\text{-}clss \ S = init\text{-}clss \ T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_W-init-clss*:

$cdcl_W^{**} \ S \ T \Longrightarrow cdcl_W\text{-}M\text{-level-inv} \ S \Longrightarrow init\text{-}clss \ S = init\text{-}clss \ T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *trancpl-cdcl_W-init-clss*:

$cdcl_W^{++} \ S \ T \Longrightarrow cdcl_W\text{-}M\text{-level-inv} \ S \Longrightarrow init\text{-}clss \ S = init\text{-}clss \ T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

5.4.5 Learned Clause

This invariant shows that:

- the learned clauses are entailed by the initial set of clauses.
- the conflicting clause is entailed by the initial set of clauses.
- the marks are entailed by the clauses. A more precise version would be to show that either these decided are learned or are in the set of clauses

definition *cdcl_W-learned-clause* ($S:: 'st$) \longleftrightarrow

$(init-clss\ S \models_{psm} learned-clss\ S$
 $\wedge (\forall T. \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } T \longrightarrow init-clss\ S \models_{pm} T)$
 $\wedge \text{set } (get-all-mark-of-propagated\ (trail\ S)) \subseteq \text{set-mset } (clauses\ S))$

lemma *cdcl_W-learned-clause-S0-cdcl_W[simp]*:
 $cdcl_W\text{-learned-clause } (init-state\ N)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-learned-clss*:
assumes
 $cdcl_W\ S\ S'$ **and**
 $learned: cdcl_W\text{-learned-clause } S$ **and**
 $lev-inv: cdcl_W\text{-M-level-inv } S$
shows $cdcl_W\text{-learned-clause } S'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtrancp-cdcl_W-learned-clss*:
assumes
 $cdcl_W^{**}\ S\ S'$ **and**
 $cdcl_W\text{-M-level-inv } S$
 $cdcl_W\text{-learned-clause } S$
shows $cdcl_W\text{-learned-clause } S'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

5.4.6 No alien atom in the state

This invariant means that all the literals are in the set of clauses.

definition *no-strange-atm* $S' \longleftrightarrow$ (
 $(\forall T. \text{conflicting } S' = \text{Some } T \longrightarrow \text{atms-of } T \subseteq \text{atms-of-msu } (init-clss\ S'))$
 $\wedge (\forall L\ mark. \text{Propagated } L\ mark \in \text{set } (trail\ S') \longrightarrow \text{atms-of } (mark) \subseteq \text{atms-of-msu } (init-clss\ S'))$
 $\wedge \text{atms-of-msu } (learned-clss\ S') \subseteq \text{atms-of-msu } (init-clss\ S')$
 $\wedge \text{atm-of } ' (lits-of\ (trail\ S')) \subseteq \text{atms-of-msu } (init-clss\ S'))$

lemma *no-strange-atm-decomp*:
assumes *no-strange-atm* S
shows $\text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } T \implies \text{atms-of } T \subseteq \text{atms-of-msu } (init-clss\ S)$
and $(\forall L\ mark. \text{Propagated } L\ mark \in \text{set } (trail\ S) \longrightarrow \text{atms-of } (mark) \subseteq \text{atms-of-msu } (init-clss\ S))$
and $\text{atms-of-msu } (learned-clss\ S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-msu } (init-clss\ S)$
and $\text{atm-of } ' (lits-of\ (trail\ S)) \subseteq \text{atms-of-msu } (init-clss\ S)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *no-strange-atm-S0 [simp]*: *no-strange-atm* $(init-state\ N)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-no-strange-atm-explicit*:
assumes
 $cdcl_W\ S\ S'$ **and**
 $lev: cdcl_W\text{-M-level-inv } S$ **and**
 $conf: \forall T. \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } T \longrightarrow \text{atms-of } T \subseteq \text{atms-of-msu } (init-clss\ S)$ **and**
 $decided: \forall L\ mark. \text{Propagated } L\ mark \in \text{set } (trail\ S) \longrightarrow \text{atms-of } mark \subseteq \text{atms-of-msu } (init-clss\ S)$ **and**
 $learned: \text{atms-of-msu } (learned-clss\ S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-msu } (init-clss\ S)$ **and**

$trail: atm-of \text{ ' } (lits-of (trail S)) \subseteq atms-of-msu (init-clss S)$
shows $(\forall T. \text{ conflicting } S' = Some\ T \longrightarrow atms-of\ T \subseteq atms-of-msu (init-clss S')) \wedge$
 $(\forall L\ mark. \text{ Propagated } L\ mark \in set (trail S'))$
 $\longrightarrow atms-of\ (mark) \subseteq atms-of-msu (init-clss S')) \wedge$
 $atms-of-msu (learned-clss S') \subseteq atms-of-msu (init-clss S') \wedge$
 $atm-of \text{ ' } (lits-of (trail S')) \subseteq atms-of-msu (init-clss S') \text{ (is } ?C\ S' \wedge ?M\ S' \wedge ?U\ S' \wedge ?V\ S')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-no-strange-atm-inv:*

assumes *cdcl_W S S' and no-strange-atm S and cdcl_W-M-level-inv S*
shows *no-strange-atm S'*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtranclp-cdcl_W-no-strange-atm-inv:*

assumes *cdcl_W** S S' and no-strange-atm S and cdcl_W-M-level-inv S*
shows *no-strange-atm S'*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

5.4.7 No duplicates all around

This invariant shows that there is no duplicate (no literal appearing twice in the formula). The last part could be proven using the previous invariant moreover.

definition *distinct-cdcl_W-state (S::'st)*

$\longleftrightarrow ((\forall T. \text{ conflicting } S = Some\ T \longrightarrow \text{ distinct-mset } T)$
 $\wedge \text{ distinct-mset-mset } (learned-clss\ S)$
 $\wedge \text{ distinct-mset-mset } (init-clss\ S)$
 $\wedge (\forall L\ mark. (\text{ Propagated } L\ mark \in set (trail\ S) \longrightarrow \text{ distinct-mset } (mark))))$

lemma *distinct-cdcl_W-state-decomp:*

assumes *distinct-cdcl_W-state (S::'st)*
shows $\forall T. \text{ conflicting } S = Some\ T \longrightarrow \text{ distinct-mset } T$
and *distinct-mset-mset (learned-clss S)*
and *distinct-mset-mset (init-clss S)*
and $\forall L\ mark. (\text{ Propagated } L\ mark \in set (trail\ S) \longrightarrow \text{ distinct-mset } (mark))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *distinct-cdcl_W-state-decomp-2:*

assumes *distinct-cdcl_W-state (S::'st)*
shows $\text{ conflicting } S = Some\ T \implies \text{ distinct-mset } T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *distinct-cdcl_W-state-S0-cdcl_W[simp]:*

$\text{ distinct-mset-mset } N \implies \text{ distinct-cdcl}_W\text{-state } (init\text{-state } N)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *distinct-cdcl_W-state-inv:*

assumes
 $cdcl_W\ S\ S'$ **and**
 $cdcl_W\text{-M-level-inv } S$ **and**
 $\text{ distinct-cdcl}_W\text{-state } S$
shows $\text{ distinct-cdcl}_W\text{-state } S'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtanclp-distinct-cdcl_W-state-inv:*

assumes

$cdcl_W^{**} S S'$ **and**
 $cdcl_W$ - M -level-inv S **and**
distinct- $cdcl_W$ -state S
shows distinct- $cdcl_W$ -state S'
 $\langle proof \rangle$

5.4.8 Conflicts and co

This invariant shows that each mark contains a contradiction only related to the previously defined variable.

abbreviation *every-mark-is-a-conflict* :: $'st \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
every-mark-is-a-conflict $S \equiv$
 $\forall L \text{ mark } a \ b. \ a \ @ \ \text{Propagated } L \text{ mark } \# \ b = (\text{trail } S)$
 $\longrightarrow (b \models_{as} CNot \ (\text{mark} - \{\#L\# \}) \wedge L \in \# \ \text{mark})$

definition $cdcl_W$ -conflicting $S \equiv$
 $(\forall T. \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } T \longrightarrow \text{trail } S \models_{as} CNot \ T)$
 $\wedge \text{every-mark-is-a-conflict } S$

lemma *backtrack-atms-of-D-in-M1*:
fixes $M1 :: ('v, nat, 'v \text{ clause}) \text{ ann-literals}$
assumes
 $inv: cdcl_W$ - M -level-inv S **and**
 $undef: \text{undefined-lit } M1 \ L$ **and**
 $i: \text{get-maximum-level } (\text{trail } S) \ D = i$ **and**
 $decomp: (\text{Decided } K \ (\text{Suc } i) \ \# \ M1, M2)$
 $\in \text{set } (\text{get-all-decided-decomposition } (\text{trail } S))$ **and**
 $S\text{-lvl}: \text{backtrack-lvl } S = \text{get-maximum-level } (\text{trail } S) \ (D + \{\#L\# \})$ **and**
 $S\text{-confl}: \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } (D + \{\#L\# \})$ **and**
 $undef: \text{undefined-lit } M1 \ L$ **and**
 $T: T \sim (\text{cons-trail } (\text{Propagated } L \ (D + \{\#L\# \})))$
 $(\text{reduce-trail-to } M1$
 $(\text{add-learned-cls } (D + \{\#L\# \})$
 $(\text{update-backtrack-lvl } i$
 $(\text{update-conflicting } None \ S))))$ **and**
 $confl: \forall T. \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } T \longrightarrow \text{trail } S \models_{as} CNot \ T$
shows $\text{atms-of } D \subseteq \text{atm-of } ' \text{ lits-of } (tl \ (\text{trail } T))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *distinct-atms-of-incl-not-in-other*:
assumes
 $a1: \text{no-dup } (M \ @ \ M')$ **and** $a2:$
 $\text{atms-of } D \subseteq \text{atm-of } ' \text{ lits-of } M'$
shows $\forall x \in \text{atms-of } D. \ x \notin \text{atm-of } ' \text{ lits-of } M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cdcl_W$ -propagate-is-conclusion:
assumes
 $cdcl_W \ S \ S'$ **and**
 $inv: cdcl_W$ - M -level-inv S **and**
 $decomp: \text{all-decomposition-implies-m } (\text{init-clss } S) \ (\text{get-all-decided-decomposition } (\text{trail } S))$ **and**
 $\text{learned}: cdcl_W$ -learned-clause S **and**
 $confl: \forall T. \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } T \longrightarrow \text{trail } S \models_{as} CNot \ T$ **and**
 $\text{alien}: \text{no-strange-atm } S$
shows $\text{all-decomposition-implies-m } (\text{init-clss } S') \ (\text{get-all-decided-decomposition } (\text{trail } S'))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-propagate-is-false*:

assumes

cdcl_W S S' and

lev: cdcl_W-M-level-inv S and

learned: cdcl_W-learned-clause S and

decomp: all-decomposition-implies-m (init-cls S) (get-all-decided-decomposition (trail S)) and

confl: $\forall T. \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } T \longrightarrow \text{trail } S \models_{as} CNot \ T$ and

alien: no-strange-atm S and

mark-confl: every-mark-is-a-conflict S

shows *every-mark-is-a-conflict S'*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-conflicting-is-false*:

assumes

cdcl_W S S' and

M-lev: cdcl_W-M-level-inv S and

confl-inv: $\forall T. \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } T \longrightarrow \text{trail } S \models_{as} CNot \ T$ and

decided-confl: $\forall L \text{ mark } a \ b. a @ \text{Propagated } L \text{ mark } \# \ b = (\text{trail } S)$

$\longrightarrow (b \models_{as} CNot \ (\text{mark} - \{\#L\# \}) \wedge L \in \# \ \text{mark})$ and

dist: distinct-cdcl_W-state S

shows $\forall T. \text{conflicting } S' = \text{Some } T \longrightarrow \text{trail } S' \models_{as} CNot \ T$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-conflicting-decomp*:

assumes *cdcl_W-conflicting S*

shows $\forall T. \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } T \longrightarrow \text{trail } S \models_{as} CNot \ T$

and $\forall L \text{ mark } a \ b. a @ \text{Propagated } L \text{ mark } \# \ b = (\text{trail } S)$

$\longrightarrow (b \models_{as} CNot \ (\text{mark} - \{\#L\# \}) \wedge L \in \# \ \text{mark})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-conflicting-decomp2*:

assumes *cdcl_W-conflicting S and conflicting S = Some T*

shows $\text{trail } S \models_{as} CNot \ T$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-conflicting-decomp2'*:

assumes

cdcl_W-conflicting S and

conflicting S = Some D

shows $\text{trail } S \models_{as} CNot \ D$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-conflicting-S0-cdcl_W[simp]*:

cdcl_W-conflicting (init-state N)

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

5.4.9 Putting all the invariants together

lemma *cdcl_W-all-inv*:

assumes *cdcl_W: cdcl_W S S' and*

1: all-decomposition-implies-m (init-cls S) (get-all-decided-decomposition (trail S)) and

2: cdcl_W-learned-clause S and

4: cdcl_W-M-level-inv S and

5: no-strange-atm S and

7: *distinct-cdcl_W-state S* **and**
 8: *cdcl_W-conflicting S*
shows *all-decomposition-implies-m (init-clss S') (get-all-decided-decomposition (trail S'))*
and *cdcl_W-learned-clause S'*
and *cdcl_W-M-level-inv S'*
and *no-strange-atm S'*
and *distinct-cdcl_W-state S'*
and *cdcl_W-conflicting S'*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *rtrancp-cdcl_W-all-inv:*

assumes

cdcl_W: rtrancp cdcl_W S S' and

1: *all-decomposition-implies-m (init-clss S) (get-all-decided-decomposition (trail S)) and*

2: *cdcl_W-learned-clause S and*

4: *cdcl_W-M-level-inv S and*

5: *no-strange-atm S and*

7: *distinct-cdcl_W-state S and*

8: *cdcl_W-conflicting S*

shows

all-decomposition-implies-m (init-clss S') (get-all-decided-decomposition (trail S')) and

cdcl_W-learned-clause S' and

cdcl_W-M-level-inv S' and

no-strange-atm S' and

distinct-cdcl_W-state S' and

cdcl_W-conflicting S'

⟨proof⟩

lemma *all-invariant-S0-cdcl_W:*

assumes *distinct-mset-mset N*

shows *all-decomposition-implies-m (init-clss (init-state N))*

(get-all-decided-decomposition (trail (init-state N)))

and *cdcl_W-learned-clause (init-state N)*

and $\forall T. \text{conflicting } (\text{init-state } N) = \text{Some } T \longrightarrow (\text{trail } (\text{init-state } N)) \models_{as} CNot \ T$

and *no-strange-atm (init-state N)*

and *consistent-interp (lits-of (trail (init-state N)))*

and $\forall L \text{ mark } a \ b. \ a \ @ \ \text{Propagated } L \text{ mark } \# \ b = \text{trail } (\text{init-state } N) \longrightarrow$

$(b \models_{as} CNot \ (\text{mark} - \{\#L\}) \wedge L \in \# \text{ mark})$

and *distinct-cdcl_W-state (init-state N)*

⟨proof⟩

lemma *cdcl_W-only-propagated-vars-unsat:*

assumes

decided: $\forall x \in \text{set } M. \neg \text{is-decided } x$ and

DN: $D \in \# \text{ clauses } S$ and

D: $M \models_{as} CNot \ D$ and

inv: all-decomposition-implies-m N (get-all-decided-decomposition M) and

state: state S = (M, N, U, k, C) and

learned-cl: cdcl_W-learned-clause S and

atm-incl: no-strange-atm S

shows *unsatisfiable (set-mset N)*

⟨proof⟩

We have actually a much stronger theorem, namely *all-decomposition-implies ?N (get-all-decided-decomposition ?M) \implies ?N \cup $\{\{\#lit\text{-of } L\} \mid L. \text{is-decided } L \wedge L \in \text{set } ?M\} \models_{ps} \text{unmark } ?M$* , that show that

the only choices we made are decided in the formula

lemma

assumes *all-decomposition-implies-m* N (*get-all-decided-decomposition* M)
and $\forall m \in \text{set } M. \neg \text{is-decided } m$
shows $\text{set-mset } N \models_{ps} \text{unmark } M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *conflict-with-false-implies-unsat*:

assumes
 $\text{cdcl}_W: \text{cdcl}_W \ S \ S'$ **and**
 $\text{lev}: \text{cdcl}_W\text{-}M\text{-level-inv } S$ **and**
 $[\text{simp}]: \text{conflicting } S' = \text{Some } \{\#\}$ **and**
 $\text{learned}: \text{cdcl}_W\text{-learned-clause } S$
shows $\text{unsatisfiable } (\text{set-mset } (\text{init-clss } S))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *conflict-with-false-implies-terminated*:

assumes $\text{cdcl}_W \ S \ S'$
and $\text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } \{\#\}$
shows False
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

5.4.10 No tautology is learned

This is a simple consequence of all we have shown previously. It is not strictly necessary, but helps finding a better bound on the number of learned clauses.

lemma *learned-clss-are-not-tautologies*:

assumes
 $\text{cdcl}_W \ S \ S'$ **and**
 $\text{lev}: \text{cdcl}_W\text{-}M\text{-level-inv } S$ **and**
 $\text{conflicting}: \text{cdcl}_W\text{-conflicting } S$ **and**
 $\text{no-tauto}: \forall s \in \# \text{ learned-clss } S. \neg \text{tautology } s$
shows $\forall s \in \# \text{ learned-clss } S'. \neg \text{tautology } s$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *final-cdcl_W-state* ($S:: 'st$)

$\longleftrightarrow (\text{trail } S \models_{asm} \text{init-clss } S$
 $\vee ((\forall L \in \text{set } (\text{trail } S). \neg \text{is-decided } L) \wedge$
 $(\exists C \in \# \text{ init-clss } S. \text{trail } S \models_{as} \text{CNot } C)))$

definition *termination-cdcl_W-state* ($S:: 'st$)

$\longleftrightarrow (\text{trail } S \models_{asm} \text{init-clss } S$
 $\vee ((\forall L \in \text{atms-of-msu } (\text{init-clss } S). L \in \text{atm-of ' lits-of } (\text{trail } S))$
 $\wedge (\exists C \in \# \text{ init-clss } S. \text{trail } S \models_{as} \text{CNot } C)))$

5.5 CDCL Strong Completeness

fun *mapi* :: ($'a \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'b \text{ list}$ **where**
 $\text{mapi } - \ - \ [] = [] \mid$
 $\text{mapi } f \ n \ (x \# xs) = f \ x \ n \ \# \ \text{mapi } f \ (n - 1) \ xs$

lemma *mark-not-in-set-mapi*[*simp*]: $L \notin \text{set } M \implies \text{Decided } L \ k \notin \text{set } (\text{mapi } \text{Decided } i \ M)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *propagated-not-in-set-mapi[simp]*: $L \notin \text{set } M \implies \text{Propagated } L \notin \text{set } (\text{mapi Decided } i \ M)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *image-set-mapi*:
 $f \text{ ' set } (\text{mapi } g \ i \ M) = \text{set } (\text{mapi } (\lambda x \ i. \ f \ (g \ x \ i)) \ i \ M)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *mapi-map-convert*:
 $\forall x \ i \ j. \ f \ x \ i = f \ x \ j \implies \text{mapi } f \ i \ M = \text{map } (\lambda x. \ f \ x \ 0) \ M$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *defined-lit-mapi*: $\text{defined-lit } (\text{mapi Decided } i \ M) \ L \longleftrightarrow \text{atm-of } L \in \text{atm-of ' set } M$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *cdcl_W-can-do-step*:
assumes
 consistent-interp (set M) **and**
 distinct M **and**
 atm-of ' (set M) ⊆ atms-of-msu N
shows $\exists S. \text{rtrancpl } \text{cdcl}_W \ (\text{init-state } N) \ S$
 $\wedge \text{state } S = (\text{mapi Decided } (\text{length } M) \ M, N, \{\#\}, \text{length } M, \text{None})$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *cdcl_W-strong-completeness*:
assumes
 set M ⊨_s set-mset N **and**
 consistent-interp (set M) **and**
 distinct M **and**
 atm-of ' (set M) ⊆ atms-of-msu N
obtains *S* **where**
 state S = (mapi Decided (length M) M, N, {#}, length M, None) **and**
 rtrancpl cdcl_W (init-state N) S **and**
 final-cdcl_W-state S
 ⟨proof⟩

5.6 Higher level strategy

The rules described previously do not lead to a conclusive state. We have to add a strategy.

5.6.1 Definition

lemma *trancpl-conflict-iff[iff]*:
 $\text{full1 conflict } S \ S' \longleftrightarrow \text{conflict } S \ S'$
 ⟨proof⟩

inductive *cdcl_W-cp* :: 'st ⇒ 'st ⇒ bool **where**
conflict'[intro]: conflict S S' ⇒ cdcl_W-cp S S' |
propagate': propagate S S' ⇒ cdcl_W-cp S S'

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_W-cp-rtrancpl-cdcl_W*:
 $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-cp}^{**} \ S \ T \implies \text{cdcl}_W^{**} \ S \ T$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *cdcl_W-cp-state-eq-compatible*:
assumes

$cdcl_W\text{-}cp\ S\ T$ and
 $S \sim S'$ and
 $T \sim T'$
shows $cdcl_W\text{-}cp\ S'\ T'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *tranclp-cdcl_W-cp-state-eq-compatible:*

assumes
 $cdcl_W\text{-}cp^{++}\ S\ T$ and
 $S \sim S'$ and
 $T \sim T'$
shows $cdcl_W\text{-}cp^{++}\ S'\ T'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *option-full-cdcl_W-cp:*

$conflicting\ S \neq None \implies full\ cdcl_W\text{-}cp\ S\ S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *skip-unique:*

$skip\ S\ T \implies skip\ S\ T' \implies T \sim T'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *resolve-unique:*

$resolve\ S\ T \implies resolve\ S\ T' \implies T \sim T'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-cp-no-more-clauses:*

assumes $cdcl_W\text{-}cp\ S\ S'$
shows $clauses\ S = clauses\ S'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *tranclp-cdcl_W-cp-no-more-clauses:*

assumes $cdcl_W\text{-}cp^{++}\ S\ S'$
shows $clauses\ S = clauses\ S'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtranclp-cdcl_W-cp-no-more-clauses:*

assumes $cdcl_W\text{-}cp^{**}\ S\ S'$
shows $clauses\ S = clauses\ S'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *no-conflict-after-conflict:*

$conflict\ S\ T \implies \neg conflict\ T\ U$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *no-propagate-after-conflict:*

$conflict\ S\ T \implies \neg propagate\ T\ U$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *tranclp-cdcl_W-cp-propagate-with-conflict-or-not:*

assumes $cdcl_W\text{-}cp^{++}\ S\ U$
shows $(propagate^{++}\ S\ U \wedge conflicting\ U = None)$
 $\vee (\exists T\ D. propagate^{**}\ S\ T \wedge conflict\ T\ U \wedge conflicting\ U = Some\ D)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-cp-conflicting-not-empty[simp]*: *conflicting S = Some D $\implies \neg$ cdcl_W-cp S S'*
 <proof>

lemma *no-step-cdcl_W-cp-no-conflict-no-propagate*:
assumes *no-step cdcl_W-cp S*
shows *no-step conflict S and no-step propagate S*
 <proof>

CDCL with the reasonable strategy: we fully propagate the conflict and propagate, then we apply any other possible rule *cdcl_W-o S S'* and re-apply conflict and propagate *cdcl_W-cp[↓] S' S''*

inductive *cdcl_W-stgy* :: *'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool* **for** *S :: 'st* **where**
conflict': *full1 cdcl_W-cp S S' \implies cdcl_W-stgy S S' |*
other': *cdcl_W-o S S' \implies no-step cdcl_W-cp S \implies full cdcl_W-cp S' S'' \implies cdcl_W-stgy S S''*

5.6.2 Invariants

These are the same invariants as before, but lifted

lemma *cdcl_W-cp-learned-clause-inv*:
assumes *cdcl_W-cp S S'*
shows *learned-clss S = learned-clss S'*
 <proof>

lemma *rtrancplp-cdcl_W-cp-learned-clause-inv*:
assumes *cdcl_W-cp** S S'*
shows *learned-clss S = learned-clss S'*
 <proof>

lemma *trancplp-cdcl_W-cp-learned-clause-inv*:
assumes *cdcl_W-cp++ S S'*
shows *learned-clss S = learned-clss S'*
 <proof>

lemma *cdcl_W-cp-backtrack-lvl*:
assumes *cdcl_W-cp S S'*
shows *backtrack-lvl S = backtrack-lvl S'*
 <proof>

lemma *rtrancplp-cdcl_W-cp-backtrack-lvl*:
assumes *cdcl_W-cp** S S'*
shows *backtrack-lvl S = backtrack-lvl S'*
 <proof>

lemma *cdcl_W-cp-consistent-inv*:
assumes *cdcl_W-cp S S'*
and *cdcl_W-M-level-inv S*
shows *cdcl_W-M-level-inv S'*
 <proof>

lemma *full1-cdcl_W-cp-consistent-inv*:
assumes *full1 cdcl_W-cp S S'*
and *cdcl_W-M-level-inv S*
shows *cdcl_W-M-level-inv S'*
 <proof>

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_W-cp-consistent-inv*:
assumes *rtrancpl cdcl_W-cp S S'*
and *cdcl_W-M-level-inv S*
shows *cdcl_W-M-level-inv S'*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-stgy-consistent-inv*:
assumes *cdcl_W-stgy S S'*
and *cdcl_W-M-level-inv S*
shows *cdcl_W-M-level-inv S'*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_W-stgy-consistent-inv*:
assumes *cdcl_W-stgy** S S'*
and *cdcl_W-M-level-inv S*
shows *cdcl_W-M-level-inv S'*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-cp-no-more-init-clss*:
assumes *cdcl_W-cp S S'*
shows *init-clss S = init-clss S'*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *trancpl-cdcl_W-cp-no-more-init-clss*:
assumes *cdcl_W-cp⁺⁺ S S'*
shows *init-clss S = init-clss S'*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-stgy-no-more-init-clss*:
assumes *cdcl_W-stgy S S'* **and** *cdcl_W-M-level-inv S*
shows *init-clss S = init-clss S'*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_W-stgy-no-more-init-clss*:
assumes *cdcl_W-stgy** S S'* **and** *cdcl_W-M-level-inv S*
shows *init-clss S = init-clss S'*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-cp-dropWhile-trail'*:
assumes *cdcl_W-cp S S'*
obtains *M* **where** *trail S' = M @ trail S* **and** $(\forall l \in \text{set } M. \neg \text{is-decided } l)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_W-cp-dropWhile-trail'*:
assumes *cdcl_W-cp** S S'*
obtains *M :: ('v, nat, 'v clause) ann-literal list* **where**
trail S' = M @ trail S **and** $\forall l \in \text{set } M. \neg \text{is-decided } l$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-cp-dropWhile-trail*:
assumes *cdcl_W-cp S S'*
shows $\exists M. \text{trail } S' = M @ \text{trail } S \wedge (\forall l \in \text{set } M. \neg \text{is-decided } l)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_W-cp-dropWhile-trail*:

assumes $cdcl_W\text{-cp}^{**} S S'$
shows $\exists M. \text{trail } S' = M @ \text{trail } S \wedge (\forall l \in \text{set } M. \neg \text{is-decided } l)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

This theorem can be seen as a termination theorem for $cdcl_W\text{-cp}$.

lemma *length-model-le-vars*:

assumes
 $\text{no-strange-atm } S$ **and**
 $\text{no-d: no-dup } (\text{trail } S)$ **and**
 $\text{finite } (\text{atms-of-msu } (\text{init-clss } S))$
shows $\text{length } (\text{trail } S) \leq \text{card } (\text{atms-of-msu } (\text{init-clss } S))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-cp-decreasing-measure*:

assumes
 $cdcl_W: cdcl_W\text{-cp } S T$ **and**
 $M\text{-lev: } cdcl_W\text{-M-level-inv } S$ **and**
 $\text{alien: no-strange-atm } S$
shows $(\lambda S. \text{card } (\text{atms-of-msu } (\text{init-clss } S)) - \text{length } (\text{trail } S))$
 $+ (\text{if conflicting } S = \text{None then } 1 \text{ else } 0)) S$
 $> (\lambda S. \text{card } (\text{atms-of-msu } (\text{init-clss } S)) - \text{length } (\text{trail } S))$
 $+ (\text{if conflicting } S = \text{None then } 1 \text{ else } 0)) T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-cp-wf*: $\text{wf } \{(b, a). (cdcl_W\text{-M-level-inv } a \wedge \text{no-strange-atm } a) \wedge cdcl_W\text{-cp } a b\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_W-all-struct-inv-cdcl_W-cp-iff-rtrancpl-cdcl_W-cp*:

assumes
 $\text{lev: } cdcl_W\text{-M-level-inv } S$ **and**
 $\text{alien: no-strange-atm } S$
shows $(\lambda a b. (cdcl_W\text{-M-level-inv } a \wedge \text{no-strange-atm } a) \wedge cdcl_W\text{-cp } a b)^{**} S T$
 $\longleftrightarrow cdcl_W\text{-cp}^{**} S T$
 $(\text{is ?I } S T \longleftrightarrow \text{?C } S T)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-cp-normalized-element*:

assumes
 $\text{lev: } cdcl_W\text{-M-level-inv } S$ **and**
 $\text{no-strange-atm } S$
obtains T **where** $\text{full } cdcl_W\text{-cp } S T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *in-atms-of-implies-atm-of-on-atms-of-ms*:

$C + \{\#L\# \} \in \# A \implies x \in \text{atms-of } C \implies x \in \text{atms-of-msu } A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *propagate-no-strange-atm*:

assumes
 $\text{propagate } S S'$ **and**
 $\text{no-strange-atm } S$
shows $\text{no-strange-atm } S'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *always-exists-full-cdcl_W-cp-step*:

assumes *no-strange-atm* S

shows $\exists S''. \text{full cdcl}_W\text{-cp } S S''$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

5.6.3 Literal of highest level in conflicting clauses

One important property of the *local.cdcl_W* with strategy is that, whenever a conflict takes place, there is at least a literal of level k involved (except if we have derived the false clause). The reason is that we apply conflicts before a decision is taken.

abbreviation *no-clause-is-false* :: $'st \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

no-clause-is-false \equiv

$\lambda S. (\text{conflicting } S = \text{None} \longrightarrow (\forall D \in \# \text{ clauses } S. \neg \text{trail } S \models_{\text{as}} \text{CNot } D))$

abbreviation *conflict-is-false-with-level* :: $'st \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

conflict-is-false-with-level $S \equiv \forall D. \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } D \longrightarrow D \neq \{\#\}$

$\longrightarrow (\exists L \in \# D. \text{get-level } (\text{trail } S) L = \text{backtrack-lvl } S)$

lemma *not-conflict-not-any-negated-init-clss*:

assumes $\forall S'. \neg \text{conflict } S S'$

shows *no-clause-is-false* S

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *full-cdcl_W-cp-not-any-negated-init-clss*:

assumes *full cdcl_W-cp* $S S'$

shows *no-clause-is-false* S'

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *full1-cdcl_W-cp-not-any-negated-init-clss*:

assumes *full1 cdcl_W-cp* $S S'$

shows *no-clause-is-false* S'

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-stgy-not-non-negated-init-clss*:

assumes *cdcl_W-stgy* $S S'$

shows *no-clause-is-false* S'

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancp-cdcl_W-stgy-not-non-negated-init-clss*:

assumes *cdcl_W-stgy*** $S S'$ **and** *no-clause-is-false* S

shows *no-clause-is-false* S'

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-stgy-conflict-ex-lit-of-max-level*:

assumes *cdcl_W-cp* $S S'$

and *no-clause-is-false* S

and *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* S

shows *conflict-is-false-with-level* S'

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *no-chained-conflict*:

assumes *conflict* $S S'$

and *conflict* $S' S''$

shows *False*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancp-cdcl_W-cp-propa-or-propa-conf*:
assumes *cdcl_W-cp^{**} S U*
shows *propagate^{**} S U \vee ($\exists T. \text{propagate^{**} S T} \wedge \text{conflict T U}$)*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancp-cdcl_W-co-conflict-ex-lit-of-max-level*:
assumes *full: full cdcl_W-cp S U*
and *cls-f: no-clause-is-false S*
and *conflict-is-false-with-level S*
and *lev: cdcl_W-M-level-inv S*
shows *conflict-is-false-with-level U*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

5.6.4 Literal of highest level in decided literals

definition *mark-is-false-with-level* :: *'st \Rightarrow bool* **where**
mark-is-false-with-level S' \equiv
 $\forall D M1 M2 L. M1 @ \text{Propagated } L D \# M2 = \text{trail } S' \longrightarrow D - \{\#L\} \neq \{\#\}$
 $\longrightarrow (\exists L. L \in \# D \wedge \text{get-level } (\text{trail } S') L = \text{get-maximum-possible-level } M1)$

definition *no-more-propagation-to-do*:: *'st \Rightarrow bool* **where**
no-more-propagation-to-do S \equiv
 $\forall D M M' L. D + \{\#L\} \in \# \text{ clauses } S \longrightarrow \text{trail } S = M' @ M \longrightarrow M \models_{as} CNot D$
 $\longrightarrow \text{undefined-lit } M L \longrightarrow \text{get-maximum-possible-level } M < \text{backtrack-lvl } S$
 $\longrightarrow (\exists L. L \in \# D \wedge \text{get-level } (\text{trail } S) L = \text{get-maximum-possible-level } M)$

lemma *propagate-no-more-propagation-to-do*:
assumes *propagate: propagate S S'*
and *H: no-more-propagation-to-do S*
and *M: cdcl_W-M-level-inv S*
shows *no-more-propagation-to-do S'*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *conflict-no-more-propagation-to-do*:
assumes *conflict: conflict S S'*
and *H: no-more-propagation-to-do S*
and *M: cdcl_W-M-level-inv S*
shows *no-more-propagation-to-do S'*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-cp-no-more-propagation-to-do*:
assumes *conflict: cdcl_W-cp S S'*
and *H: no-more-propagation-to-do S*
and *M: cdcl_W-M-level-inv S*
shows *no-more-propagation-to-do S'*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-then-exists-cdcl_W-stgy-step*:
assumes
o: cdcl_W-o S S' **and**
alien: no-strange-atm S **and**
lev: cdcl_W-M-level-inv S
shows $\exists S'. \text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy } S S'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *backtrack-no-decomp*:

assumes S : *state* $S = (M, N, U, k, \text{Some } (D + \{\#L\#}))$
and L : *get-level* $M L = k$
and D : *get-maximum-level* $M D < k$
and $M-L$: *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* S
shows $\exists S'. \text{cdcl}_W\text{-o } S S'$

<proof>

lemma *cdcl_W-stgy-final-state-conclusive*:

assumes *termi*: $\forall S'. \neg \text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy } S S'$
and *decomp*: *all-decomposition-implies-m* (*init-clss* S) (*get-all-decided-decomposition* (*trail* S))
and *learned*: *cdcl_W-learned-clause* S
and *level-inv*: *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* S
and *alien*: *no-strange-atm* S
and *no-dup*: *distinct-cdcl_W-state* S
and *confl*: *cdcl_W-conflicting* S
and *confl-k*: *conflict-is-false-with-level* S
shows (*conflicting* $S = \text{Some } \{\#\} \wedge \text{unsatisfiable } (\text{set-mset } (\text{init-clss } S))$)
 \vee (*conflicting* $S = \text{None} \wedge \text{trail } S \models_{\text{as set-mset}} (\text{init-clss } S)$)

<proof>

lemma *cdcl_W-cp-tranclp-cdcl_W*:

cdcl_W-cp $S S' \implies \text{cdcl}_W^{++} S S'$
<proof>

lemma *tranclp-cdcl_W-cp-tranclp-cdcl_W*:

cdcl_W-cp⁺⁺ $S S' \implies \text{cdcl}_W^{++} S S'$
<proof>

lemma *cdcl_W-stgy-tranclp-cdcl_W*:

cdcl_W-stgy $S S' \implies \text{cdcl}_W^{++} S S'$
<proof>

lemma *tranclp-cdcl_W-stgy-tranclp-cdcl_W*:

cdcl_W-stgy⁺⁺ $S S' \implies \text{cdcl}_W^{++} S S'$
<proof>

lemma *rtranclp-cdcl_W-stgy-rtranclp-cdcl_W*:

cdcl_W-stgy^{**} $S S' \implies \text{cdcl}_W^{**} S S'$
<proof>

lemma *cdcl_W-o-conflict-is-false-with-level-inv*:

assumes
cdcl_W-o $S S'$ **and**
lev: *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* S **and**
confl-inv: *conflict-is-false-with-level* S **and**
n-d: *distinct-cdcl_W-state* S **and**
conflicting: *cdcl_W-conflicting* S
shows *conflict-is-false-with-level* S'
<proof>

5.6.5 Strong completeness

lemma *cdcl_W-cp-propagate-confl*:

assumes *cdcl_W-cp* $S T$
shows *propagate*^{**} $S T \vee (\exists S'. \text{propagate}^{**} S S' \wedge \text{conflict } S' T)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancp-cdcl_W-cp-propagate-conf:*

assumes *cdcl_W-cp^{**} S T*

shows *propagate^{**} S T \vee ($\exists S'. \text{propagate^{**} S S'} \wedge \text{conflict S'} T$)*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-cp-propagate-completeness:*

assumes *MN: set M \models_s set-mset N and*

cons: consistent-interp (set M) and

tot: total-over-m (set M) (set-mset N) and

lits-of (trail S) \subseteq set M and

init-clss S = N and

*propagate^{**} S S' and*

learned-clss S = {#}

shows *length (trail S) \leq length (trail S') \wedge lits-of (trail S') \subseteq set M*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *completeness-is-a-full1-propagation:*

fixes *S :: 'st and M :: 'v literal list*

assumes *MN: set M \models_s set-mset N*

and *cons: consistent-interp (set M)*

and *tot: total-over-m (set M) (set-mset N)*

and *alien: no-strange-atm S*

and *learned: learned-clss S = {#}*

and *clsS[simp]: init-clss S = N*

and *lits: lits-of (trail S) \subseteq set M*

shows $\exists S'. \text{propagate^{**} S S'} \wedge \text{full cdcl}_W\text{-cp S S'}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

See also $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-cp^{**} ?S ?S'} \implies \exists M. \text{trail ?S'} = M @ \text{trail ?S} \wedge (\forall l \in \text{set } M. \neg \text{is-decided } l)$

lemma *rtrancp-propagate-is-trail-append:*

*propagate^{**} S T $\implies \exists c. \text{trail T} = c @ \text{trail S}$*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancp-propagate-is-update-trail:*

*propagate^{**} S T $\implies \text{cdcl}_W\text{-M-level-inv S} \implies T \sim \text{delete-trail-and-rebuild (trail T) S}$*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-stgy-strong-completeness-n:*

assumes

MN: set M \models_s set-mset N and

cons: consistent-interp (set M) and

tot: total-over-m (set M) (set-mset N) and

atm-incl: atm-of ' (set M) \subseteq atms-of-msu N and

distM: distinct M and

length: n \leq length M

shows

$\exists M' k S. \text{length } M' \geq n \wedge$

$\text{lits-of } M' \subseteq \text{set } M \wedge$

$\text{no-dup } M' \wedge$

$S \sim \text{update-backtrack-lvl } k (\text{append-trail (rev } M') (\text{init-state } N)) \wedge$

$\text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy^{**} (init-state } N) S$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-stgy-strong-completeness*:
assumes *MN*: *set M* \models_s *set-mset N*
and *cons*: *consistent-interp* (*set M*)
and *tot*: *total-over-m* (*set M*) (*set-mset N*)
and *atm-incl*: *atm-of* ' (*set M*) \subseteq *atms-of-msu N*
and *distM*: *distinct M*
shows
 $\exists M' k S.$
lits-of M' = set M \wedge
S \sim *update-backtrack-lvl k* (*append-trail* (*rev M'*) (*init-state N*)) \wedge
*cdcl_W-stgy*** (*init-state N*) *S* \wedge
final-cdcl_W-state S
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

5.6.6 No conflict with only variables of level less than backtrack level

This invariant is stronger than the previous argument in the sense that it is a property about all possible conflicts.

definition *no-smaller-conf* (*S::'st*) \equiv
 $(\forall M K i M' D. M' @ \text{Decided } K i \# M = \text{trail } S \longrightarrow D \in \# \text{ clauses } S$
 $\longrightarrow \neg M \models_{as} CNot D)$

lemma *no-smaller-conf-init-sate*[*simp*]:
no-smaller-conf (*init-state N*) $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-o-no-smaller-conf-inv*:
fixes *S S' :: 'st*
assumes
cdcl_W-o S S' **and**
lev: *cdcl_W-M-level-inv S* **and**
max-lev: *conflict-is-false-with-level S* **and**
smaller: *no-smaller-conf S* **and**
no-f: *no-clause-is-false S*
shows *no-smaller-conf S'*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *conflict-no-smaller-conf-inv*:
assumes *conflict S S'*
and *no-smaller-conf S*
shows *no-smaller-conf S'*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *propagate-no-smaller-conf-inv*:
assumes *propagate: propagate S S'*
and *n-l*: *no-smaller-conf S*
shows *no-smaller-conf S'*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-cp-no-smaller-conf-inv*:
assumes *propagate: cdcl_W-cp S S'*
and *n-l*: *no-smaller-conf S*
shows *no-smaller-conf S'*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancp-cdcl_W-cp-no-smaller-conf-inv*:

assumes *propagate: cdcl_W-cp** S S'*
and *n-l: no-smaller-conflict S*
shows *no-smaller-conflict S'*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *trancp-cdcl_W-cp-no-smaller-conflict-inv:*
assumes *propagate: cdcl_W-cp⁺⁺ S S'*
and *n-l: no-smaller-conflict S*
shows *no-smaller-conflict S'*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *full-cdcl_W-cp-no-smaller-conflict-inv:*
assumes *full cdcl_W-cp S S'*
and *n-l: no-smaller-conflict S*
shows *no-smaller-conflict S'*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *full1-cdcl_W-cp-no-smaller-conflict-inv:*
assumes *full1 cdcl_W-cp S S'*
and *n-l: no-smaller-conflict S*
shows *no-smaller-conflict S'*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-stgy-no-smaller-conflict-inv:*
assumes *cdcl_W-stgy S S'*
and *n-l: no-smaller-conflict S*
and *conflict-is-false-with-level S*
and *cdcl_W-M-level-inv S*
shows *no-smaller-conflict S'*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *conflict-conflict-is-no-clause-is-false-test:*
assumes *conflict S S'*
and $(\forall D \in \# \text{init-clss } S + \text{learned-clss } S. \text{trail } S \models_{\text{as}} \text{CNot } D$
 $\longrightarrow (\exists L. L \in \# D \wedge \text{get-level } (\text{trail } S) L = \text{backtrack-lvl } S))$
shows $\forall D \in \# \text{init-clss } S' + \text{learned-clss } S'. \text{trail } S' \models_{\text{as}} \text{CNot } D$
 $\longrightarrow (\exists L. L \in \# D \wedge \text{get-level } (\text{trail } S') L = \text{backtrack-lvl } S')$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *is-conflicting-exists-conflict:*
assumes $\neg(\forall D \in \# \text{init-clss } S' + \text{learned-clss } S'. \neg \text{trail } S' \models_{\text{as}} \text{CNot } D)$
and *conflicting S' = None*
shows $\exists S''. \text{conflict } S' S''$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-o-conflict-is-no-clause-is-false:*
fixes *S S' :: 'st*
assumes
cdcl_W-o S S' and
lev: cdcl_W-M-level-inv S and
max-lev: conflict-is-false-with-level S and
no-f: no-clause-is-false S and
no-l: no-smaller-conflict S
shows *no-clause-is-false S'*

$\vee (\text{conflicting } S' = \text{None}$
 $\rightarrow (\forall D \in \# \text{ clauses } S'. \text{trail } S' \models_{\text{as}} \text{CNot } D$
 $\rightarrow (\exists L. L \in \# D \wedge \text{get-level } (\text{trail } S') L = \text{backtrack-lvl } S'))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *full1-cdcl_W-cp-exists-conflict-decompose:*
assumes *conf1:* $\exists D \in \# \text{ clauses } S. \text{trail } S \models_{\text{as}} \text{CNot } D$
and full: *full cdcl_W-cp* $S \ U$
and no-conf1: *conflicting* $S = \text{None}$
shows $\exists T. \text{propagate}^{**} S \ T \wedge \text{conflict } T \ U$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *full1-cdcl_W-cp-exists-conflict-full1-decompose:*
assumes *conf1:* $\exists D \in \# \text{ clauses } S. \text{trail } S \models_{\text{as}} \text{CNot } D$
and full: *full cdcl_W-cp* $S \ U$
and no-conf1: *conflicting* $S = \text{None}$
shows $\exists T \ D. \text{propagate}^{**} S \ T \wedge \text{conflict } T \ U$
 $\wedge \text{trail } T \models_{\text{as}} \text{CNot } D \wedge \text{conflicting } U = \text{Some } D \wedge D \in \# \text{ clauses } S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-stgy-no-smaller-conf1:*
assumes *cdcl_W-stgy* $S \ S'$
and n-l: *no-smaller-conf1* S
and conflict-is-false-with-level S
and cdcl_W-M-level-inv S
and no-clause-is-false S
and distinct-cdcl_W-state S
and cdcl_W-conflicting S
shows *no-smaller-conf1* S'
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-stgy-ex-lit-of-max-level:*
assumes *cdcl_W-stgy* $S \ S'$
and n-l: *no-smaller-conf1* S
and conflict-is-false-with-level S
and cdcl_W-M-level-inv S
and no-clause-is-false S
and distinct-cdcl_W-state S
and cdcl_W-conflicting S
shows *conflict-is-false-with-level* S'
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtranc1p-cdcl_W-stgy-no-smaller-conf1-inv:*
assumes
cdcl_W-stgy^{**} $S \ S'$ **and**
n-l: *no-smaller-conf1* S **and**
cls-false: *conflict-is-false-with-level* S **and**
lev: *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* S **and**
no-f: *no-clause-is-false* S **and**
dist: *distinct-cdcl_W-state* S **and**
conflicting: *cdcl_W-conflicting* S **and**
decomp: *all-decomposition-implies-m* (*init-clss* S) (*get-all-decided-decomposition* (*trail* S)) **and**
learned: *cdcl_W-learned-clause* S **and**
alien: *no-strange-atm* S
shows *no-smaller-conf1* $S' \wedge \text{conflict-is-false-with-level } S'$

$\langle proof \rangle$

5.6.7 Final States are Conclusive

lemma *full-cdcl_W-stgy-final-state-conclusive-non-false:*

fixes $S' :: 'st$

assumes *full*: *full cdcl_W-stgy (init-state N) S'*

and *no-d*: *distinct-mset-mset N*

and *no-empty*: $\forall D \in \#N. D \neq \{\#\}$

shows $(\text{conflicting } S' = \text{Some } \{\#\} \wedge \text{unsatisfiable } (\text{set-mset } (\text{init-clss } S')))$
 $\vee (\text{conflicting } S' = \text{None} \wedge \text{trail } S' \models_{asm} \text{init-clss } S')$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conflict-is-full1-cdcl_W-cp:*

assumes *cp*: *conflict S S'*

shows *full1 cdcl_W-cp S S'*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-cp-fst-empty-conflicting-false:*

assumes *cdcl_W-cp S S'*

and *trail S* = []

and *conflicting S* \neq None

shows *False*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-o-fst-empty-conflicting-false:*

assumes *cdcl_W-o S S'*

and *trail S* = []

and *conflicting S* \neq None

shows *False*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-stgy-fst-empty-conflicting-false:*

assumes *cdcl_W-stgy S S'*

and *trail S* = []

and *conflicting S* \neq None

shows *False*

$\langle proof \rangle$

thm *cdcl_W-cp.induct[split-format(complete)]*

lemma *cdcl_W-cp-conflicting-is-false:*

cdcl_W-cp S S' \implies conflicting S = Some {#} \implies False

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtrancp-cdcl_W-cp-conflicting-is-false:*

cdcl_W-cp⁺⁺ S S' \implies conflicting S = Some {#} \implies False

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-o-conflicting-is-false:*

cdcl_W-o S S' \implies conflicting S = Some {#} \implies False

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-stgy-conflicting-is-false:*

cdcl_W-stgy S S' \implies conflicting S = Some {#} \implies False

<proof>

lemma *rtrancp-cdcl_W-stgy-conflicting-is-false:*

*cdcl_W-stgy^{**} S S' \implies conflicting S = Some {#} \implies S' = S*

<proof>

lemma *full-cdcl_W-init-clss-with-false-normal-form:*

assumes

$\forall m \in \text{set } M. \neg \text{is-decided } m$ **and**

$E = \text{Some } D$ **and**

state S = (M, N, U, 0, E)

full cdcl_W-stgy S S' and

all-decomposition-implies-m (init-clss S) (get-all-decided-decomposition (trail S))

cdcl_W-learned-clause S

cdcl_W-M-level-inv S

no-strange-atm S

distinct-cdcl_W-state S

cdcl_W-conflicting S

shows $\exists M''. \text{state } S' = (M'', N, U, 0, \text{Some } \{ \# \})$

<proof>

lemma *full-cdcl_W-stgy-final-state-conclusive-is-one-false:*

fixes $S' :: 'st$

assumes *full: full cdcl_W-stgy (init-state N) S'*

and *no-d: distinct-mset-mset N*

and *empty: {#} $\in \#$ N*

shows *conflicting S' = Some {#} \wedge unsatisfiable (set-mset (init-clss S'))*

<proof>

lemma *full-cdcl_W-stgy-final-state-conclusive:*

fixes $S' :: 'st$

assumes *full: full cdcl_W-stgy (init-state N) S' and no-d: distinct-mset-mset N*

shows *(conflicting S' = Some {#} \wedge unsatisfiable (set-mset (init-clss S')))*

$\vee (\text{conflicting } S' = \text{None} \wedge \text{trail } S' \models_{\text{asm}} \text{init-clss } S')$

<proof>

lemma *full-cdcl_W-stgy-final-state-conclusive-from-init-state:*

fixes $S' :: 'st$

assumes *full: full cdcl_W-stgy (init-state N) S'*

and *no-d: distinct-mset-mset N*

shows *(conflicting S' = Some {#} \wedge unsatisfiable (set-mset N))*

$\vee (\text{conflicting } S' = \text{None} \wedge \text{trail } S' \models_{\text{asm}} N \wedge \text{satisfiable (set-mset N)})$

<proof>

end

end

theory *CDCL-W-Termination*

imports *CDCL-W*

begin

context *cdcl_W*

begin

5.7 Termination

The condition that no learned clause is a tautology is overkill (in the sense that the no-duplicate condition is enough), but we can reuse *simple-clss*.

The invariant contains all the structural invariants that holds,

definition *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv* where

cdcl_W-all-struct-inv $S =$
 $(\text{no-strange-atm } S \wedge \text{cdcl}_W\text{-M-level-inv } S$
 $\wedge (\forall s \in \# \text{ learned-clss } S. \neg \text{tautology } s)$
 $\wedge \text{distinct-cdcl}_W\text{-state } S \wedge \text{cdcl}_W\text{-conflicting } S$
 $\wedge \text{all-decomposition-implies-m } (\text{init-clss } S) (\text{get-all-decided-decomposition } (\text{trail } S))$
 $\wedge \text{cdcl}_W\text{-learned-clause } S)$

lemma *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv-inv*:

assumes *cdcl_W* S S' **and** *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv* S
shows *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv* S'
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_W-all-struct-inv-inv*:

assumes *cdcl_W*** S S' **and** *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv* S
shows *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv* S'
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-stgy-cdcl_W-all-struct-inv*:

cdcl_W-stgy S $T \implies \text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } S \implies \text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_W-stgy-cdcl_W-all-struct-inv*:

*cdcl_W-stgy*** S $T \implies \text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } S \implies \text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

5.8 No Relearning of a clause

lemma *cdcl_W-o-new-clause-learned-is-backtrack-step*:

assumes *learned*: $D \in \# \text{ learned-clss } T$ **and**
new: $D \notin \# \text{ learned-clss } S$ **and**
cdcl_W: *cdcl_W-o* S T **and**
lev: *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* S
shows *backtrack* S $T \wedge \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } D$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-cp-new-clause-learned-has-backtrack-step*:

assumes *learned*: $D \in \# \text{ learned-clss } T$ **and**
new: $D \notin \# \text{ learned-clss } S$ **and**
cdcl_W: *cdcl_W-stgy* S T **and**
lev: *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* S
shows $\exists S'. \text{backtrack } S$ $S' \wedge \text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy** } S' T \wedge \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } D$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_W-cp-new-clause-learned-has-backtrack-step*:

assumes *learned*: $D \in \# \text{ learned-clss } T$ **and**
new: $D \notin \# \text{ learned-clss } S$ **and**
cdcl_W: *cdcl_W-stgy*** S T **and**
lev: *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* S
shows $\exists S' S''. \text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy** } S$ $S' \wedge \text{backtrack } S' S'' \wedge \text{conflicting } S' = \text{Some } D \wedge$

$cdcl_W\text{-stgy}^{**} S'' T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *propagate-no-more-Decided-lit*:

assumes *propagate* $S S'$
shows $\text{Decided } K i \in \text{set } (\text{trail } S) \longleftrightarrow \text{Decided } K i \in \text{set } (\text{trail } S')$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *conflict-no-more-Decided-lit*:

assumes *conflict* $S S'$
shows $\text{Decided } K i \in \text{set } (\text{trail } S) \longleftrightarrow \text{Decided } K i \in \text{set } (\text{trail } S')$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-cp-no-more-Decided-lit*:

assumes *cdcl_W-cp* $S S'$
shows $\text{Decided } K i \in \text{set } (\text{trail } S) \longleftrightarrow \text{Decided } K i \in \text{set } (\text{trail } S')$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_W-cp-no-more-Decided-lit*:

assumes *cdcl_W-cp*^{**} $S S'$
shows $\text{Decided } K i \in \text{set } (\text{trail } S) \longleftrightarrow \text{Decided } K i \in \text{set } (\text{trail } S')$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-o-no-more-Decided-lit*:

assumes *cdcl_W-o* $S S'$ **and** *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* S **and** $\neg \text{decide } S S'$
shows $\text{Decided } K i \in \text{set } (\text{trail } S') \longrightarrow \text{Decided } K i \in \text{set } (\text{trail } S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-new-decided-at-beginning-is-decide*:

assumes *cdcl_W-stgy* $S S'$ **and**
lev: *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* S **and**
 $\text{trail } S' = M' @ \text{Decided } L i \# M$ **and**
 $\text{trail } S = M$
shows $\exists T. \text{decide } S T \wedge \text{no-step } cdcl_W\text{-cp } S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-o-is-decide*:

assumes *cdcl_W-o* $S' T$ **and** *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* S'
 $\text{trail } T = \text{drop } (\text{length } M_0) M' @ \text{Decided } L i \# H @ M$ **and**
 $\neg (\exists M'. \text{trail } S' = M' @ \text{Decided } L i \# H @ M)$
shows $\text{decide } S' T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_W-new-decided-at-beginning-is-decide*:

assumes *cdcl_W-stgy*^{**} $R U$ **and**
 $\text{trail } U = M' @ \text{Decided } L i \# H @ M$ **and**
 $\text{trail } R = M$ **and**
cdcl_W-M-level-inv R
shows
 $\exists S T T'. cdcl_W\text{-stgy}^{**} R S \wedge \text{decide } S T \wedge cdcl_W\text{-stgy}^{**} T U \wedge cdcl_W\text{-stgy}^{**} S U \wedge$
 $\text{no-step } cdcl_W\text{-cp } S \wedge \text{trail } T = \text{Decided } L i \# H @ M \wedge \text{trail } S = H @ M \wedge cdcl_W\text{-stgy } S T' \wedge$
 $cdcl_W\text{-stgy}^{**} T' U$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_W-new-decided-at-beginning-is-decide'*:

assumes $cdcl_W\text{-stgy}^{**} R U$ **and**
 $trail U = M' @ Decided L i \# H @ M$ **and**
 $trail R = M$ **and**
 $cdcl_W\text{-}M\text{-level-inv } R$
shows $\exists y y'. cdcl_W\text{-stgy}^{**} R y \wedge cdcl_W\text{-stgy } y y' \wedge \neg (\exists c. trail y = c @ Decided L i \# H @ M)$
 $\wedge (\lambda a b. cdcl_W\text{-stgy } a b \wedge (\exists c. trail a = c @ Decided L i \# H @ M))^{**} y' U$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *beginning-not-decided-invert*:
assumes $A: M @ A = M' @ Decided K i \# H$ **and**
 $nm: \forall m \in set M. \neg is\text{-decided } m$
shows $\exists M. A = M @ Decided K i \# H$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-stgy-trail-has-new-decided-is-decide-step*:
assumes $cdcl_W\text{-stgy } S T$
 $\neg (\exists c. trail S = c @ Decided L i \# H @ M)$ **and**
 $(\lambda a b. cdcl_W\text{-stgy } a b \wedge (\exists c. trail a = c @ Decided L i \# H @ M))^{**} T U$ **and**
 $\exists M'. trail U = M' @ Decided L i \# H @ M$ **and**
 $lev: cdcl_W\text{-}M\text{-level-inv } S$
shows $\exists S'. decide S S' \wedge full\ cdcl_W\text{-cp } S' T \wedge no\text{-step } cdcl_W\text{-cp } S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtrancp-cdcl_W-stgy-with-trail-end-has-trail-end*:
assumes $(\lambda a b. cdcl_W\text{-stgy } a b \wedge (\exists c. trail a = c @ Decided L i \# H @ M))^{**} T U$ **and**
 $\exists M'. trail U = M' @ Decided L i \# H @ M$
shows $\exists M'. trail T = M' @ Decided L i \# H @ M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-o-cannot-learn*:
assumes
 $cdcl_W\text{-o } y z$ **and**
 $lev: cdcl_W\text{-}M\text{-level-inv } y$ **and**
 $trM: trail y = c @ Decided Kh i \# H$ **and**
 $DL: D + \{\#L\# \} \notin \# learned\text{-clss } y$ **and**
 $DH: atms\text{-of } D \subseteq atm\text{-of 'lits-of } H$ **and**
 $LH: atm\text{-of } L \notin atm\text{-of 'lits-of } H$ **and**
 $learned: \forall T. conflicting y = Some T \longrightarrow trail y \models_{as} CNot T$ **and**
 $z: trail z = c' @ Decided Kh i \# H$
shows $D + \{\#L\# \} \notin \# learned\text{-clss } z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-stgy-with-trail-end-has-not-been-learned*:
assumes $cdcl_W\text{-stgy } y z$ **and**
 $cdcl_W\text{-}M\text{-level-inv } y$ **and**
 $trail y = c @ Decided Kh i \# H$ **and**
 $D + \{\#L\# \} \notin \# learned\text{-clss } y$ **and**
 $DH: atms\text{-of } D \subseteq atm\text{-of 'lits-of } H$ **and**
 $LH: atm\text{-of } L \notin atm\text{-of 'lits-of } H$ **and**
 $\forall T. conflicting y = Some T \longrightarrow trail y \models_{as} CNot T$ **and**
 $trail z = c' @ Decided Kh i \# H$
shows $D + \{\#L\# \} \notin \# learned\text{-clss } z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtrancp-cdcl_W-stgy-with-trail-end-has-not-been-learned*:

assumes $(\lambda a b. \text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy } a \ b \wedge (\exists c. \text{trail } a = c \ @ \ \text{Decided } K \ i \ \# \ H \ @ \ []))^{**} \ S \ z$ **and**
 $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } S$ **and**
 $\text{trail } S = c \ @ \ \text{Decided } K \ i \ \# \ H$ **and**
 $D + \{\#L\# \} \notin \# \text{ learned-clss } S$ **and**
 $DH: \text{atms-of } D \subseteq \text{atm-of 'lits-of } H$ **and**
 $LH: \text{atm-of } L \notin \text{atm-of 'lits-of } H$ **and**
 $\exists c'. \text{trail } z = c' \ @ \ \text{Decided } K \ i \ \# \ H$
shows $D + \{\#L\# \} \notin \# \text{ learned-clss } z$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy-new-learned-clause}$:

assumes $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy } S \ T$ **and**
 $\text{lev: cdcl}_W\text{-M-level-inv } S$ **and**
 $E \notin \# \text{ learned-clss } S$ **and**
 $E \in \# \text{ learned-clss } T$
shows $\exists S'. \text{backtrack } S \ S' \wedge \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } E \wedge \text{full cdcl}_W\text{-cp } S' \ T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy-no-relearned-clause}$:

assumes
 $\text{invR: cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } R$ **and**
 $\text{st': cdcl}_W\text{-stgy}^{**} \ R \ S$ **and**
 $\text{bt: backtrack } S \ T$ **and**
 $\text{confl: conflicting } S = \text{Some } E$ **and**
 $\text{already-learned: } E \in \# \text{ clauses } S$ **and**
 $R: \text{trail } R = []$
shows False
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{rtrancpl-cdcl}_W\text{-stgy-distinct-mset-clauses}$:

assumes
 $\text{invR: cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } R$ **and**
 $\text{st: cdcl}_W\text{-stgy}^{**} \ R \ S$ **and**
 $\text{dist: distinct-mset (clauses } R)$ **and**
 $R: \text{trail } R = []$
shows $\text{distinct-mset (clauses } S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy-distinct-mset-clauses}$:

assumes
 $\text{st: cdcl}_W\text{-stgy}^{**} \ (\text{init-state } N) \ S$ **and**
 $\text{no-duplicate-clause: distinct-mset } N$ **and**
 $\text{no-duplicate-in-clause: distinct-mset-mset } N$
shows $\text{distinct-mset (clauses } S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

5.9 Decrease of a measure

fun $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-measure}$ **where**

$\text{cdcl}_W\text{-measure } S =$
 $[(\mathcal{I}::\text{nat}) \wedge (\text{card } (\text{atms-of-msu } (\text{init-clss } S))) - \text{card } (\text{set-mset } (\text{learned-clss } S)),$
 $\text{if conflicting } S = \text{None then } 1 \text{ else } 0,$
 $\text{if conflicting } S = \text{None then } \text{card } (\text{atms-of-msu } (\text{init-clss } S)) - \text{length } (\text{trail } S)$
 $\text{else length } (\text{trail } S)$
 $]$

```

lemma length-model-le-vars-all-inv:
  assumes cdclW-all-struct-inv S
  shows length (trail S) ≤ card (atms-of-msu (init-clss S))
  ⟨proof⟩
end

context cdclW
begin

lemma learned-clss-less-upper-bound:
  fixes S :: 'st
  assumes
    distinct-cdclW-state S and
     $\forall s \in \# \text{learned-clss } S. \neg \text{tautology } s$ 
  shows card(set-mset (learned-clss S)) ≤ 3 ^ card (atms-of-msu (learned-clss S))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lexn3[intro!, simp]:
   $a < a' \vee (a = a' \wedge b < b') \vee (a = a' \wedge b = b' \wedge c < c')$ 
   $\implies ([a::\text{nat}, b, c], [a', b', c']) \in \text{lexn } \{(x, y). x < y\} \ 3$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma cdclW-measure-decreasing:
  fixes S :: 'st
  assumes
    cdclW S S' and
    no-restart:
       $\neg(\text{learned-clss } S \subseteq \# \text{learned-clss } S' \wedge [] = \text{trail } S' \wedge \text{conflicting } S' = \text{None})$ 
    and
    learned-clss S ⊆# learned-clss S' and
    no-relearn:  $\bigwedge S'. \text{backtrack } S S' \implies \forall T. \text{conflicting } S = \text{Some } T \longrightarrow T \notin \# \text{learned-clss } S$ 
    and
    alien: no-strange-atm S and
    M-level: cdclW-M-level-inv S and
    no-taut:  $\forall s \in \# \text{learned-clss } S. \neg \text{tautology } s$  and
    no-dup: distinct-cdclW-state S and
    confl: cdclW-conflicting S
  shows (cdclW-measure S', cdclW-measure S) ∈ lexn {(a, b). a < b} 3
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma propagate-measure-decreasing:
  fixes S :: 'st
  assumes propagate S S' and cdclW-all-struct-inv S
  shows (cdclW-measure S', cdclW-measure S) ∈ lexn {(a, b). a < b} 3
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma conflict-measure-decreasing:
  fixes S :: 'st
  assumes conflict S S' and cdclW-all-struct-inv S
  shows (cdclW-measure S', cdclW-measure S) ∈ lexn {(a, b). a < b} 3
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma decide-measure-decreasing:
  fixes S :: 'st
  assumes decide S S' and cdclW-all-struct-inv S

```

```

shows ( $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-measure } S', \text{cdcl}_W\text{-measure } S) \in \text{lexn } \{(a, b). a < b\} \text{ } 3$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma trans-le:
   $\text{trans } \{(a, (b::\text{nat})). a < b\}$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma cdclW-cp-measure-decreasing:
  fixes  $S :: 'st$ 
  assumes  $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-cp } S \text{ } S'$  and  $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } S$ 
  shows ( $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-measure } S', \text{cdcl}_W\text{-measure } S) \in \text{lexn } \{(a, b). a < b\} \text{ } 3$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma tranclp-cdclW-cp-measure-decreasing:
  fixes  $S :: 'st$ 
  assumes  $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-cp}^{++} S \text{ } S'$  and  $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } S$ 
  shows ( $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-measure } S', \text{cdcl}_W\text{-measure } S) \in \text{lexn } \{(a, b). a < b\} \text{ } 3$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma cdclW-stgy-step-decreasing:
  fixes  $R \text{ } S \text{ } T :: 'st$ 
  assumes  $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy } S \text{ } T$  and
   $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy}^{**} R \text{ } S$ 
   $\text{trail } R = []$  and
   $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } R$ 
  shows ( $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-measure } T, \text{cdcl}_W\text{-measure } S) \in \text{lexn } \{(a, b). a < b\} \text{ } 3$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma tranclp-cdclW-stgy-decreasing:
  fixes  $R \text{ } S \text{ } T :: 'st$ 
  assumes  $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy}^{++} R \text{ } S$ 
   $\text{trail } R = []$  and
   $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } R$ 
  shows ( $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-measure } S, \text{cdcl}_W\text{-measure } R) \in \text{lexn } \{(a, b). a < b\} \text{ } 3$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma tranclp-cdclW-stgy-S0-decreasing:
  fixes  $R \text{ } S \text{ } T :: 'st$ 
  assumes  $\text{pl: } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy}^{++} (\text{init-state } N) \text{ } S$  and
   $\text{no-dup: } \text{distinct-mset-mset } N$ 
  shows ( $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-measure } S, \text{cdcl}_W\text{-measure } (\text{init-state } N)) \in \text{lexn } \{(a, b). a < b\} \text{ } 3$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma wf-tranclp-cdclW-stgy:
   $\text{wf } \{(S::'st, \text{init-state } N) \mid S \text{ } N. \text{distinct-mset-mset } N \wedge \text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy}^{++} (\text{init-state } N) \text{ } S\}$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
end

end
theory DPLL-CDCL-W-Implementation
imports Partial-Annotated-Clausal-Logic
begin

```

6 Simple Implementation of the DPLL and CDCL

6.1 Common Rules

6.1.1 Propagation

The following theorem holds:

lemma *lits-of-unfold[iff]*:
 $(\forall c \in \text{set } C. -c \in \text{lits-of } Ms) \longleftrightarrow Ms \models_{as} CNot (\text{mset } C)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The right-hand version is written at a high-level, but only the left-hand side is executable.

definition *is-unit-clause* :: 'a literal list \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) ann-literal list \Rightarrow 'a literal option

where

is-unit-clause l M =
 (case List.filter ($\lambda a. \text{atm-of } a \notin \text{atm-of ' lits-of } M$) l of
 a # [] \Rightarrow if M $\models_{as} CNot (\text{mset } l - \{\#a\})$ then Some a else None
 | - \Rightarrow None)

definition *is-unit-clause-code* :: 'a literal list \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) ann-literal list
 \Rightarrow 'a literal option **where**

is-unit-clause-code l M =
 (case List.filter ($\lambda a. \text{atm-of } a \notin \text{atm-of ' lits-of } M$) l of
 a # [] \Rightarrow if ($\forall c \in \text{set } (\text{remove1 } a \text{ l}). -c \in \text{lits-of } M$) then Some a else None
 | - \Rightarrow None)

lemma *is-unit-clause-is-unit-clause-code[code]*:

is-unit-clause l M = *is-unit-clause-code* l M

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *is-unit-clause-some-undef*:

assumes *is-unit-clause* l M = Some a

shows undefined-lit M a

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *is-unit-clause-some-CNot*: *is-unit-clause* l M = Some a $\implies M \models_{as} CNot (\text{mset } l - \{\#a\})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *is-unit-clause-some-in*: *is-unit-clause* l M = Some a $\implies a \in \text{set } l$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *is-unit-clause-nil[simp]*: *is-unit-clause* [] M = None

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

6.1.2 Unit propagation for all clauses

Finding the first clause to propagate

fun *find-first-unit-clause* :: 'a literal list list \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) ann-literal list

\Rightarrow ('a literal \times 'a literal list) option **where**

find-first-unit-clause (a # l) M =

(case *is-unit-clause* a M of
 None \Rightarrow *find-first-unit-clause* l M
 | Some L \Rightarrow Some (L, a)) |

find-first-unit-clause [] - = None

lemma *find-first-unit-clause-some*:

find-first-unit-clause $l\ M = \text{Some } (a, c)$
 $\implies c \in \text{set } l \wedge M \models_{\text{as}} \text{CNot } (\text{mset } c - \{\#a\# \}) \wedge \text{undefined-lit } M\ a \wedge a \in \text{set } c$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *propagate-is-unit-clause-not-None*:

assumes *dist*: *distinct* c **and**
 $M: M \models_{\text{as}} \text{CNot } (\text{mset } c - \{\#a\# \})$ **and**
undef: *undefined-lit* $M\ a$ **and**
 $ac: a \in \text{set } c$
shows *is-unit-clause* $c\ M \neq \text{None}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *find-first-unit-clause-none*:

distinct $c \implies c \in \text{set } l \implies M \models_{\text{as}} \text{CNot } (\text{mset } c - \{\#a\# \}) \implies \text{undefined-lit } M\ a \implies a \in \text{set } c$
 $\implies \text{find-first-unit-clause } l\ M \neq \text{None}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

6.1.3 Decide

fun *find-first-unused-var* :: 'a literal list list \Rightarrow 'a literal set \Rightarrow 'a literal option **where**

find-first-unused-var $(a \# l)\ M =$
 $(\text{case } \text{List.find } (\lambda \text{lit}. \text{lit} \notin M \wedge \neg \text{lit} \notin M)\ a \text{ of}$
 $\quad \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{find-first-unused-var } l\ M$
 $\quad | \text{Some } a \Rightarrow \text{Some } a) |$
find-first-unused-var $[]\ - = \text{None}$

lemma *find-none*[*iff*]:

$\text{List.find } (\lambda \text{lit}. \text{lit} \notin M \wedge \neg \text{lit} \notin M)\ a = \text{None} \longleftrightarrow \text{atm-of } ' \text{set } a \subseteq \text{atm-of } ' M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *find-some*: $\text{List.find } (\lambda \text{lit}. \text{lit} \notin M \wedge \neg \text{lit} \notin M)\ a = \text{Some } b \implies b \in \text{set } a \wedge b \notin M \wedge \neg b \notin M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *find-first-unused-var-None*[*iff*]:

$\text{find-first-unused-var } l\ M = \text{None} \longleftrightarrow (\forall a \in \text{set } l. \text{atm-of } ' \text{set } a \subseteq \text{atm-of } ' M)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *find-first-unused-var-Some-not-all-incl*:

assumes *find-first-unused-var* $l\ M = \text{Some } c$
shows $\neg(\forall a \in \text{set } l. \text{atm-of } ' \text{set } a \subseteq \text{atm-of } ' M)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *find-first-unused-var-Some*:

$\text{find-first-unused-var } l\ M = \text{Some } a \implies (\exists m \in \text{set } l. a \in \text{set } m \wedge a \notin M \wedge \neg a \notin M)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *find-first-unused-var-undefined*:

$\text{find-first-unused-var } l\ (\text{lits-of } Ms) = \text{Some } a \implies \text{undefined-lit } Ms\ a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

theory *DPLL-W-Implementation*

imports *DPLL-CDCL-W-Implementation* *DPLL-W* $\sim\sim$ /src/HOL/Library/Code-Target-Numeral

begin

6.2 Simple Implementation of DPLL

6.2.1 Combining the propagate and decide: a DPLL step

definition $DPLL\text{-}step :: int \text{ dpll}_W\text{-ann-literals} \times int \text{ literal list list}$

$\Rightarrow int \text{ dpll}_W\text{-ann-literals} \times int \text{ literal list list}$ **where**

$DPLL\text{-}step = (\lambda(Ms, N).$

(case find-first-unit-clause N Ms of
 Some $(L, -) \Rightarrow (Propagated\ L\ () \# Ms, N)$
 | - \Rightarrow
 if $\exists C \in set\ N. (\forall c \in set\ C. -c \in lits\text{-}of\ Ms)$
 then
 (case backtrack-split Ms of
 (-, $L \# M$) $\Rightarrow (Propagated\ (-\ (lits\text{-}of\ L))\ () \# M, N)$
 | (-, -) $\Rightarrow (Ms, N)$
)
 else
 (case find-first-unused-var N ($lits\text{-}of\ Ms$) of
 Some $a \Rightarrow (Decided\ a\ () \# Ms, N)$
 | None $\Rightarrow (Ms, N))))$

Example of propagation:

value $DPLL\text{-}step\ ([Decided\ (Neg\ 1)\ ()], [[Pos\ (1::int), Neg\ 2]])$

We define the conversion function between the states as defined in *Prop-DPLL* (with multisets) and here (with lists).

abbreviation $toS \equiv \lambda(Ms::(int, unit, unit) \text{ ann-literal list})$
 $(N::int \text{ literal list list}). (Ms, mset\ (map\ mset\ N))$

abbreviation $toS' \equiv \lambda(Ms::(int, unit, unit) \text{ ann-literal list},$
 $N::int \text{ literal list list}). (Ms, mset\ (map\ mset\ N))$

Proof of correctness of $DPLL\text{-}step$

lemma $DPLL\text{-}step\text{-}is\text{-}a\text{-}dpll_W\text{-}step:$

assumes $step: (Ms', N') = DPLL\text{-}step\ (Ms, N)$

and $neg: (Ms, N) \neq (Ms', N')$

shows $dpll_W\ (toS\ Ms\ N)\ (toS\ Ms'\ N')$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $DPLL\text{-}step\text{-}stuck\text{-}final\text{-}state:$

assumes $step: (Ms, N) = DPLL\text{-}step\ (Ms, N)$

shows $conclusive\text{-}dpll_W\text{-}state\ (toS\ Ms\ N)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

6.2.2 Adding invariants

Invariant tested in the function **function** $DPLL\text{-}ci :: int \text{ dpll}_W\text{-ann-literals} \Rightarrow int \text{ literal list list}$

$\Rightarrow int \text{ dpll}_W\text{-ann-literals} \times int \text{ literal list list}$ **where**

$DPLL\text{-}ci\ Ms\ N =$

(if $\neg dpll_W\text{-all-inv}\ (Ms, mset\ (map\ mset\ N))$

then (Ms, N)

else

let $(Ms', N') = DPLL\text{-}step\ (Ms, N)$ in

if $(Ms', N') = (Ms, N)$ then (Ms, N) else $DPLL\text{-}ci\ Ms'\ N$

$\langle proof \rangle$

termination

$\langle proof \rangle$

No invariant tested **function** (*domintros*) *DPLL-part*:: *int dpll_W-ann-literals* \Rightarrow *int literal list list*
 \Rightarrow

int dpll_W-ann-literals \times *int literal list list* **where**
DPLL-part *Ms N* =
 (*let* (*Ms'*, *N'*) = *DPLL-step* (*Ms*, *N*) *in*
 if (*Ms'*, *N'*) = (*Ms*, *N*) *then* (*Ms*, *N*) *else* *DPLL-part* *Ms' N*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *snd-DPLL-step[simp]*:
 snd (*DPLL-step* (*Ms*, *N*)) = *N*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dpll_W-all-inv-implieS-2-eq3-and-dom*:
 assumes *dpll_W-all-inv* (*Ms*, *mset* (*map mset N*))
 shows *DPLL-ci* *Ms N* = *DPLL-part* *Ms N* \wedge *DPLL-part-dom* (*Ms*, *N*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *DPLL-ci-dpll_W-rtrancp*:
 assumes *DPLL-ci* *Ms N* = (*Ms'*, *N'*)
 shows *dpll_W*** (*toS* *Ms N*) (*toS* *Ms' N*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dpll_W-all-inv-dpll_W-trancp-irrefl*:
 assumes *dpll_W-all-inv* (*Ms*, *N*)
 and *dpll_W⁺⁺* (*Ms*, *N*) (*Ms*, *N*)
 shows *False*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *DPLL-ci-final-state*:
 assumes *step*: *DPLL-ci* *Ms N* = (*Ms*, *N*)
 and *inv*: *dpll_W-all-inv* (*toS* *Ms N*)
 shows *conclusive-dpll_W-state* (*toS* *Ms N*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *DPLL-step-obtains*:
 obtains *Ms'* **where** (*Ms'*, *N*) = *DPLL-step* (*Ms*, *N*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *DPLL-ci-obtains*:
 obtains *Ms'* **where** (*Ms'*, *N*) = *DPLL-ci* *Ms N*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *DPLL-ci-no-more-step*:
 assumes *step*: *DPLL-ci* *Ms N* = (*Ms'*, *N'*)
 shows *DPLL-ci* *Ms' N'* = (*Ms'*, *N'*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *DPLL-part-dpll_W-all-inv-final*:
 fixes *M Ms'*:: (*int*, *unit*, *unit*) *ann-literal list* **and**
 N :: *int literal list list*
 assumes *inv*: *dpll_W-all-inv* (*Ms*, *mset* (*map mset N*))

and MsN : $DPLL\text{-}part\ Ms\ N = (Ms', N)$
shows $conclusive\text{-}dpll_W\text{-}state\ (toS\ Ms'\ N) \wedge dpll_W^{**}\ (toS\ Ms\ N)\ (toS\ Ms'\ N)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Embedding the invariant into the type

Defining the type **typedef** $dpll_W\text{-}state =$
 $\{(M::(int, unit, unit)\ \text{ann-literal list}, N::int\ \text{literal list list}).$
 $\quad dpll_W\text{-}all\text{-}inv\ (toS\ M\ N)\}$
morphisms $rough\text{-}state\text{-}of\ state\text{-}of$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma
 $DPLL\text{-}part\text{-}dom\ ([], N)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Some type classes **instantiation** $dpll_W\text{-}state :: equal$
begin
definition $equal\text{-}dpll_W\text{-}state :: dpll_W\text{-}state \Rightarrow dpll_W\text{-}state \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $equal\text{-}dpll_W\text{-}state\ S\ S' = (rough\text{-}state\text{-}of\ S = rough\text{-}state\text{-}of\ S')$
instance
 $\langle proof \rangle$
end

DPLL **definition** $DPLL\text{-}step' :: dpll_W\text{-}state \Rightarrow dpll_W\text{-}state$ **where**
 $DPLL\text{-}step'\ S = state\text{-}of\ (DPLL\text{-}step\ (rough\text{-}state\text{-}of\ S))$

declare $rough\text{-}state\text{-}of\text{-}inverse[simp]$

lemma $DPLL\text{-}step\text{-}dpll_W\text{-}conc\text{-}inv$:
 $DPLL\text{-}step\ (rough\text{-}state\text{-}of\ S) \in \{(M, N). dpll_W\text{-}all\text{-}inv\ (toS\ M\ N)\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rough\text{-}state\text{-}of\text{-}DPLL\text{-}step'\text{-}DPLL\text{-}step[simp]$:
 $rough\text{-}state\text{-}of\ (DPLL\text{-}step'\ S) = DPLL\text{-}step\ (rough\text{-}state\text{-}of\ S)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

function $DPLL\text{-}tot :: dpll_W\text{-}state \Rightarrow dpll_W\text{-}state$ **where**
 $DPLL\text{-}tot\ S =$
 $(let\ S' = DPLL\text{-}step'\ S\ in$
 $\quad if\ S' = S\ then\ S\ else\ DPLL\text{-}tot\ S')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

termination
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $[code]$:
 $DPLL\text{-}tot\ S =$
 $(let\ S' = DPLL\text{-}step'\ S\ in$
 $\quad if\ S' = S\ then\ S\ else\ DPLL\text{-}tot\ S')\ \langle proof \rangle$

lemma $DPLL\text{-}tot\text{-}DPLL\text{-}step\text{-}DPLL\text{-}tot[simp]$: $DPLL\text{-}tot\ (DPLL\text{-}step'\ S) = DPLL\text{-}tot\ S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $DOPLL\text{-}step'\text{-}DPLL\text{-}tot[simp]$:
 $DPLL\text{-}step'\ (DPLL\text{-}tot\ S) = DPLL\text{-}tot\ S$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *DPLL-tot-final-state*:
assumes *DPLL-tot* $S = S$
shows *conclusive-dpll_W-state* (*toS'* (*rough-state-of* S))
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *DPLL-tot-star*:
assumes *rough-state-of* (*DPLL-tot* S) = S'
shows *dpll_W*** (*toS'* (*rough-state-of* S)) (*toS'* S')
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rough-state-of-rough-state-of-nil[simp]*:
rough-state-of (*state-of* (\square , N)) = (\square , N)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Theorem of correctness

lemma *DPLL-tot-correct*:
assumes *rough-state-of* (*DPLL-tot* (*state-of* (\square , N)))) = (M , N')
and (M' , N'') = *toS'* (M , N')
shows $M' \models_{asm} N'' \longleftrightarrow \text{satisfiable } (\text{set-mset } N'')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

6.2.3 Code export

A conversion to DPLL-W-Implementation. *dpll_W-state* **definition** *Con* :: (*int*, *unit*, *unit*) *ann-literal*
 $list \times int \text{ literal } list \text{ list}$

\Rightarrow *dpll_W-state* **where**

Con $xs = \text{state-of } (\text{if } \text{dpll}_W\text{-all-inv } (\text{toS } (\text{fst } xs) (\text{snd } xs)) \text{ then } xs \text{ else } (\square, \square))$

lemma [*code abstype*]:
 Con (*rough-state-of* S) = S
 $\langle proof \rangle$

declare *rough-state-of-DPLL-step'-DPLL-step*[*code abstract*]

lemma *Con-DPLL-step-rough-state-of-state-of[simp]*:
 Con (*DPLL-step* (*rough-state-of* s)) = *state-of* (*DPLL-step* (*rough-state-of* s))
 $\langle proof \rangle$

A slightly different version of *DPLL-tot* where the returned boolean indicates the result.

definition *DPLL-tot-rep* **where**

DPLL-tot-rep $S =$

(*let* (M , N) = (*rough-state-of* (*DPLL-tot* S)) *in* ($\forall A \in \text{set } N. (\exists a \in \text{set } A. a \in \text{lits-of } (M)), M$))

One version of the generated SML code is here, but not included in the generated document.
The only differences are:

- export '*a literal* from the SML Module *Clausal-Logic*;
- export the constructor *Con* from *DPLL-W-Implementation*;
- export the *int* constructor from *Arith*.

All these allows to test on the code on some examples.

end
theory *CDCL-W-Implementation*
imports *DPLL-CDCL-W-Implementation CDCL-W-Termination*
begin

notation *image-mset* (**infixr** ‘# 90)

type-synonym ‘*a* *cdcl_W-mark* = ‘*a* *clause*
type-synonym *cdcl_W-decided-level* = *nat*

type-synonym ‘*v* *cdcl_W-ann-literal* = (‘*v*, *cdcl_W-decided-level*, ‘*v* *cdcl_W-mark*) *ann-literal*
type-synonym ‘*v* *cdcl_W-ann-literals* = (‘*v*, *cdcl_W-decided-level*, ‘*v* *cdcl_W-mark*) *ann-literals*
type-synonym ‘*v* *cdcl_W-state* =
‘*v* *cdcl_W-ann-literals* × ‘*v* *clauses* × ‘*v* *clauses* × *nat* × ‘*v* *clause option*

abbreviation *trail* :: ‘*a* × ‘*b* × ‘*c* × ‘*d* × ‘*e* ⇒ ‘*a* **where**
trail ≡ (λ(*M*, -). *M*)

abbreviation *cons-trail* :: ‘*a* ⇒ ‘*a* *list* × ‘*b* × ‘*c* × ‘*d* × ‘*e* ⇒ ‘*a* *list* × ‘*b* × ‘*c* × ‘*d* × ‘*e*
where
cons-trail ≡ (λ L (*M*, *S*). (*L*#*M*, *S*))

abbreviation *tl-trail* :: ‘*a* *list* × ‘*b* × ‘*c* × ‘*d* × ‘*e* ⇒ ‘*a* *list* × ‘*b* × ‘*c* × ‘*d* × ‘*e* **where**
tl-trail ≡ (λ(*M*, *S*). (*tl* *M*, *S*))

abbreviation *clss* :: ‘*a* × ‘*b* × ‘*c* × ‘*d* × ‘*e* ⇒ ‘*b* **where**
clss ≡ λ(*M*, *N*, -). *N*

abbreviation *learned-clss* :: ‘*a* × ‘*b* × ‘*c* × ‘*d* × ‘*e* ⇒ ‘*c* **where**
learned-clss ≡ λ(*M*, *N*, *U*, -). *U*

abbreviation *backtrack-lvl* :: ‘*a* × ‘*b* × ‘*c* × ‘*d* × ‘*e* ⇒ ‘*d* **where**
backtrack-lvl ≡ λ(*M*, *N*, *U*, *k*, -). *k*

abbreviation *update-backtrack-lvl* :: ‘*d* ⇒ ‘*a* × ‘*b* × ‘*c* × ‘*d* × ‘*e* ⇒ ‘*a* × ‘*b* × ‘*c* × ‘*d* × ‘*e*
where
update-backtrack-lvl ≡ λ k (*M*, *N*, *U*, -, *S*). (*M*, *N*, *U*, *k*, *S*)

abbreviation *conflicting* :: ‘*a* × ‘*b* × ‘*c* × ‘*d* × ‘*e* ⇒ ‘*e* **where**
conflicting ≡ λ(*M*, *N*, *U*, *k*, *D*). *D*

abbreviation *update-conflicting* :: ‘*e* ⇒ ‘*a* × ‘*b* × ‘*c* × ‘*d* × ‘*e* ⇒ ‘*a* × ‘*b* × ‘*c* × ‘*d* × ‘*e*
where
update-conflicting ≡ λ S (*M*, *N*, *U*, *k*, -). (*M*, *N*, *U*, *k*, *S*)

abbreviation *S0-cdcl_W* *N* ≡ (([], *N*, {#}, 0, *None*):: ‘*v* *cdcl_W-state*)

abbreviation *add-learned-cls* **where**
add-learned-cls ≡ λ C (*M*, *N*, *U*, *S*). (*M*, *N*, {#*C*#} + *U*, *S*)

abbreviation *remove-cls* **where**
remove-cls ≡ λ C (*M*, *N*, *U*, *S*). (*M*, *remove-mset* *C* *N*, *remove-mset* *C* *U*, *S*)

lemma *trail-conv*: *trail* (*M*, *N*, *U*, *k*, *D*) = *M* **and**
clauses-conv: *clss* (*M*, *N*, *U*, *k*, *D*) = *N* **and**

learned-clss-conv: $\text{learned-clss } (M, N, U, k, D) = U$ **and**
conflicting-conv: $\text{conflicting } (M, N, U, k, D) = D$ **and**
backtrack-lvl-conv: $\text{backtrack-lvl } (M, N, U, k, D) = k$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *state-conv*:

$S = (\text{trail } S, \text{clss } S, \text{learned-clss } S, \text{backtrack-lvl } S, \text{conflicting } S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

interpretation *state_W trail clss learned-clss backtrack-lvl conflicting*

$\lambda L (M, S). (L \# M, S)$
 $\lambda (M, S). (tl \ M, S)$
 $\lambda C (M, N, S). (M, \{\#C\# \} + N, S)$
 $\lambda C (M, N, U, S). (M, N, \{\#C\# \} + U, S)$
 $\lambda C (M, N, U, S). (M, \text{remove-mset } C \ N, \text{remove-mset } C \ U, S)$
 $\lambda (k::nat) (M, N, U, -, D). (M, N, U, k, D)$
 $\lambda D (M, N, U, k, -). (M, N, U, k, D)$
 $\lambda N. ([], N, \{\# \}, 0, None)$
 $\lambda (-, N, U, -). ([], N, U, 0, None)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

interpretation *cdcl_W trail clss learned-clss backtrack-lvl conflicting*

$\lambda L (M, S). (L \# M, S)$
 $\lambda (M, S). (tl \ M, S)$
 $\lambda C (M, N, S). (M, \{\#C\# \} + N, S)$
 $\lambda C (M, N, U, S). (M, N, \{\#C\# \} + U, S)$
 $\lambda C (M, N, U, S). (M, \text{remove-mset } C \ N, \text{remove-mset } C \ U, S)$
 $\lambda (k::nat) (M, N, U, -, D). (M, N, U, k, D)$
 $\lambda D (M, N, U, k, -). (M, N, U, k, D)$
 $\lambda N. ([], N, \{\# \}, 0, None)$
 $\lambda (-, N, U, -). ([], N, U, 0, None)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

declare *clauses-def*[simp]

lemma *cdcl_W-state-eq-equality*[iff]: $\text{state-eq } S \ T \longleftrightarrow S = T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

declare *state-simp*[simp del]

6.3 CDCL Implementation

6.3.1 Definition of the rules

Types **lemma** *true-clss-remdups*[simp]:

$I \models_s (\text{mset} \circ \text{remdups}) \text{ ' } N \longleftrightarrow I \models_s \text{mset ' } N$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *satisfiable-mset-remdups*[simp]:

$\text{satisfiable } ((\text{mset} \circ \text{remdups}) \text{ ' } N) \longleftrightarrow \text{satisfiable } (\text{mset ' } N)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

value *backtrack-split* [Decided (Pos (Suc 0)) ()]

value $\exists C \in \text{set } [[\text{Pos } (Suc \ 0), \text{Neg } (Suc \ 0)]] . (\forall c \in \text{set } C. -c \in \text{lits-of } [\text{Decided } (\text{Pos } (Suc \ 0)) \ ()])$

type-synonym *cdcl_W-state-inv-st* = (nat, nat, nat literal list) ann-literal list \times

nat literal list list \times *nat literal list list* \times *nat* \times *nat literal list option*

We need some functions to convert between our abstract state *nat cdcl_W-state* and the concrete state *cdcl_W-state-inv-st*.

fun *convert* :: ('a, 'b, 'c list) *ann-literal* \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c multiset) *ann-literal* **where**
convert (*Propagated L C*) = *Propagated L (mset C)* |
convert (*Decided K i*) = *Decided K i*

abbreviation *convertC* :: 'a list option \Rightarrow 'a multiset option **where**
convertC \equiv *map-option mset*

lemma *convert-Propagated[elim!]*:
convert z = Propagated L C \implies ($\exists C'. z = Propagated L C' \wedge C = mset C'$)
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *get-rev-level-map-convert*:
get-rev-level (map convert M) n x = get-rev-level M n x
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *get-level-map-convert[simp]*:
get-level (map convert M) = get-level M
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *get-maximum-level-map-convert[simp]*:
get-maximum-level (map convert M) D = get-maximum-level M D
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *get-all-levels-of-decided-map-convert[simp]*:
get-all-levels-of-decided (map convert M) = (get-all-levels-of-decided M)
 \langle *proof* \rangle

Conversion function

fun *toS* :: *cdcl_W-state-inv-st* \Rightarrow *nat cdcl_W-state* **where**
toS (*M, N, U, k, C*) = (*map convert M, mset (map mset N), mset (map mset U), k, convertC C*)

Definition an abstract type

typedef *cdcl_W-state-inv* = {*S*::*cdcl_W-state-inv-st. cdcl_W-all-struct-inv (toS S)*}
morphisms *rough-state-of state-of*
 \langle *proof* \rangle

instantiation *cdcl_W-state-inv* :: *equal*

begin

definition *equal-cdcl_W-state-inv* :: *cdcl_W-state-inv* \Rightarrow *cdcl_W-state-inv* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
equal-cdcl_W-state-inv S S' = (*rough-state-of S = rough-state-of S'*)

instance

\langle *proof* \rangle

end

lemma *lits-of-map-convert[simp]*: *lits-of (map convert M) = lits-of M*
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *undefined-lit-map-convert[iff]*:
undefined-lit (map convert M) L \longleftrightarrow undefined-lit M L
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *true-annot-map-convert[simp]*: $\text{map convert } M \models_a N \longleftrightarrow M \models_a N$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *true-annots-map-convert[simp]*: $\text{map convert } M \models_{as} N \longleftrightarrow M \models_{as} N$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *propagateE*

lemma *find-first-unit-clause-some-is-propagate*:

assumes *H*: *find-first-unit-clause* ($N @ U$) $M = \text{Some } (L, C)$

shows *propagate* ($\text{toS } (M, N, U, k, \text{None})$) ($\text{toS } (\text{Propagated } L \ C \ \# \ M, N, U, k, \text{None})$)

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

6.3.2 The Transitions

Propagate definition *do-propagate-step* **where**

do-propagate-step $S =$

(*case* S of

(M, N, U, k, None) \Rightarrow

(*case* *find-first-unit-clause* ($N @ U$) M of

$\text{Some } (L, C) \Rightarrow (\text{Propagated } L \ C \ \# \ M, N, U, k, \text{None})$

| $\text{None} \Rightarrow (M, N, U, k, \text{None})$)

| $S \Rightarrow S$)

lemma *do-propagate-step*:

do-propagate-step $S \neq S \implies \text{propagate } (\text{toS } S) (\text{toS } (\text{do-propagate-step } S))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-propagate-step-option[simp]*:

conflicting $S \neq \text{None} \implies \text{do-propagate-step } S = S$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-propagate-step-no-step*:

assumes *dist*: $\forall c \in \text{set } (\text{class } S @ \text{learned-clss } S). \text{distinct } c$ **and**

prop-step: *do-propagate-step* $S = S$

shows *no-step propagate* ($\text{toS } S$)

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Conflict fun *find-conflict* **where**

find-conflict $M [] = \text{None}$ |

find-conflict $M (N \# Ns) = (\text{if } (\forall c \in \text{set } N. \neg c \in \text{lits-of } M) \text{ then } \text{Some } N \text{ else } \text{find-conflict } M \ Ns)$

lemma *find-conflict-Some*:

find-conflict $M \ Ns = \text{Some } N \implies N \in \text{set } Ns \wedge M \models_{as} \text{CNot } (\text{mset } N)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *find-conflict-None*:

find-conflict $M \ Ns = \text{None} \longleftrightarrow (\forall N \in \text{set } Ns. \neg M \models_{as} \text{CNot } (\text{mset } N))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *find-conflict-None-no-confl*:

find-conflict $M (N @ U) = \text{None} \longleftrightarrow \text{no-step conflict } (\text{toS } (M, N, U, k, \text{None}))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *do-conflict-step* **where**

do-conflict-step $S =$

(*case* S of

$(M, N, U, k, None) \Rightarrow$
 $(\text{case find-conflict } M (N @ U) \text{ of}$
 $\quad \text{Some } a \Rightarrow (M, N, U, k, \text{Some } a)$
 $\quad | \text{None} \Rightarrow (M, N, U, k, None))$
 $| S \Rightarrow S)$

lemma *do-conflict-step*:
 $\text{do-conflict-step } S \neq S \implies \text{conflict } (\text{toS } S) (\text{toS } (\text{do-conflict-step } S))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-conflict-step-no-step*:
 $\text{do-conflict-step } S = S \implies \text{no-step conflict } (\text{toS } S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-conflict-step-option[simp]*:
 $\text{conflicting } S \neq \text{None} \implies \text{do-conflict-step } S = S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-conflict-step-conflicting[dest]*:
 $\text{do-conflict-step } S \neq S \implies \text{conflicting } (\text{do-conflict-step } S) \neq \text{None}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *do-cp-step where*
 $\text{do-cp-step } S =$
 $(\text{do-propagate-step } o \text{ do-conflict-step}) S$

lemma *cp-step-is-cdcl_W-cp*:
assumes $H: \text{do-cp-step } S \neq S$
shows $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-cp } (\text{toS } S) (\text{toS } (\text{do-cp-step } S))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-cp-step-eq-no-prop-no-conf*:
 $\text{do-cp-step } S = S \implies \text{do-conflict-step } S = S \wedge \text{do-propagate-step } S = S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *no-cdcl_W-cp-iff-no-propagate-no-conflict*:
 $\text{no-step cdcl}_W\text{-cp } S \iff \text{no-step propagate } S \wedge \text{no-step conflict } S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-cp-step-eq-no-step*:
assumes $H: \text{do-cp-step } S = S$ **and** $\forall c \in \text{set } (\text{clss } S @ \text{learned-clss } S). \text{ distinct } c$
shows $\text{no-step cdcl}_W\text{-cp } (\text{toS } S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-cp-cdcl_W-st*: $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-cp } S S' \implies \text{cdcl}_W^{**} S S'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-cp-wf-all-inv*:
 $\text{wf } \{(S', S::'v::\text{linorder cdcl}_W\text{-state}). \text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } S \wedge \text{cdcl}_W\text{-cp } S S'\}$
 $(\text{is wf } ?R)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv-rough-state[simp]*: $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } (\text{toS } (\text{rough-state-of } S))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma [simp]: $cdcl_W\text{-all-struct-inv } (toS\ S) \implies \text{rough-state-of } (state-of\ S) = S$
 <proof>

lemma *rough-state-of-state-of-do-cp-step*[simp]:
 $\text{rough-state-of } (state-of\ (do\text{-cp-step } (\text{rough-state-of } S))) = do\text{-cp-step } (\text{rough-state-of } S)$
 <proof>

Skip fun *do-skip-step* :: $cdcl_W\text{-state-inv-st} \Rightarrow cdcl_W\text{-state-inv-st}$ **where**
do-skip-step (Propagated $L\ C \# Ls, N, U, k, Some\ D$) =
 (if $-L \notin \text{set } D \wedge D \neq []$
 then $(Ls, N, U, k, Some\ D)$
 else (Propagated $L\ C \# Ls, N, U, k, Some\ D$)) |
do-skip-step $S = S$

lemma *do-skip-step*:
 $do\text{-skip-step } S \neq S \implies \text{skip } (toS\ S) (toS\ (do\text{-skip-step } S))$
 <proof>

lemma *do-skip-step-no*:
 $do\text{-skip-step } S = S \implies \text{no-step skip } (toS\ S)$
 <proof>

lemma *do-skip-step-trail-is-None*[iff]:
 $do\text{-skip-step } S = (a, b, c, d, None) \longleftrightarrow S = (a, b, c, d, None)$
 <proof>

Resolve fun *maximum-level-code*:: $'a\ \text{literal list} \Rightarrow ('a, \text{nat}, 'a\ \text{literal list})\ \text{ann-literal list} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$
where
maximum-level-code [] = 0 |
maximum-level-code ($L \# Ls$) $M = \max\ (\text{get-level } M\ L) (\text{maximum-level-code } Ls\ M)$

lemma *maximum-level-code-eq-get-maximum-level*[code, simp]:
 $\text{maximum-level-code } D\ M = \text{get-maximum-level } M\ (\text{mset } D)$
 <proof>

fun *do-resolve-step* :: $cdcl_W\text{-state-inv-st} \Rightarrow cdcl_W\text{-state-inv-st}$ **where**
do-resolve-step (Propagated $L\ C \# Ls, N, U, k, Some\ D$) =
 (if $-L \in \text{set } D \wedge \text{maximum-level-code } (\text{remove1 } (-L)\ D) (\text{Propagated } L\ C \# Ls) = k$
 then $(Ls, N, U, k, Some\ (\text{remdups } (\text{remove1 } L\ C\ @\ \text{remove1 } (-L)\ D)))$
 else (Propagated $L\ C \# Ls, N, U, k, Some\ D$)) |
do-resolve-step $S = S$

lemma *do-resolve-step*:
 $cdcl_W\text{-all-struct-inv } (toS\ S) \implies do\text{-resolve-step } S \neq S$
 $\implies \text{resolve } (toS\ S) (toS\ (do\text{-resolve-step } S))$
 <proof>

lemma *do-resolve-step-no*:
 $do\text{-resolve-step } S = S \implies \text{no-step resolve } (toS\ S)$
 <proof>

lemma *rough-state-of-state-of-resolve*[simp]:
 $cdcl_W\text{-all-struct-inv } (toS\ S) \implies \text{rough-state-of } (state-of\ (do\text{-resolve-step } S)) = do\text{-resolve-step } S$
 <proof>

lemma *do-resolve-step-trail-is-None*[iff]:
do-resolve-step $S = (a, b, c, d, \text{None}) \longleftrightarrow S = (a, b, c, d, \text{None})$
 <proof>

Backjumping **fun** *find-level-decomp* **where**
find-level-decomp $M \ [] \ D \ k = \text{None} \mid$
find-level-decomp $M \ (L \ \# \ Ls) \ D \ k =$
 (case (*get-level* $M \ L$, *maximum-level-code* ($D \ @ \ Ls$) M) of
 (i, j) \Rightarrow if $i = k \wedge j < i$ then *Some* (L, j) else *find-level-decomp* $M \ Ls \ (L \ \# \ D) \ k$
)

lemma *find-level-decomp-some*:
assumes *find-level-decomp* $M \ Ls \ D \ k = \text{Some} \ (L, j)$
shows $L \in \text{set } Ls \wedge \text{get-maximum-level } M \ (\text{mset } (\text{remove1 } L \ (Ls \ @ \ D))) = j \wedge \text{get-level } M \ L = k$
 <proof>

lemma *find-level-decomp-none*:
assumes *find-level-decomp* $M \ Ls \ E \ k = \text{None}$ **and** $\text{mset } (L \ \# \ D) = \text{mset } (Ls \ @ \ E)$
shows $\neg(L \in \text{set } Ls \wedge \text{get-maximum-level } M \ (\text{mset } D) < k \wedge k = \text{get-level } M \ L)$
 <proof>

fun *bt-cut* **where**
bt-cut $i \ (\text{Propagated } - \ - \ \# \ Ls) = \text{bt-cut } i \ Ls \mid$
bt-cut $i \ (\text{Decided } K \ k \ \# \ Ls) = (\text{if } k = \text{Suc } i \text{ then } \text{Some} \ (\text{Decided } K \ k \ \# \ Ls) \text{ else } \text{bt-cut } i \ Ls) \mid$
bt-cut $i \ [] = \text{None}$

lemma *bt-cut-some-decomp*:
bt-cut $i \ M = \text{Some } M' \Longrightarrow \exists K \ M2 \ M1. M = M2 \ @ \ M' \wedge M' = \text{Decided } K \ (i+1) \ \# \ M1$
 <proof>

lemma *bt-cut-not-none*: $M = M2 \ @ \ \text{Decided } K \ (\text{Suc } i) \ \# \ M' \Longrightarrow \text{bt-cut } i \ M \neq \text{None}$
 <proof>

lemma *get-all-decided-decomposition-ex*:
 $\exists N. (\text{Decided } K \ (\text{Suc } i) \ \# \ M', N) \in \text{set } (\text{get-all-decided-decomposition } (M2 \ @ \ \text{Decided } K \ (\text{Suc } i) \ \# \ M'))$
 <proof>

lemma *bt-cut-in-get-all-decided-decomposition*:
bt-cut $i \ M = \text{Some } M' \Longrightarrow \exists M2. (M', M2) \in \text{set } (\text{get-all-decided-decomposition } M)$
 <proof>

fun *do-backtrack-step* **where**
do-backtrack-step $(M, N, U, k, \text{Some } D) =$
 (case *find-level-decomp* $M \ D \ [] \ k$ of
None $\Rightarrow (M, N, U, k, \text{Some } D)$
 $\mid \text{Some } (L, j) \Rightarrow$
 (case *bt-cut* $j \ M$ of
Some (*Decided* $- \ - \ \# \ Ls$) $\Rightarrow (\text{Propagated } L \ D \ \# \ Ls, N, D \ \# \ U, j, \text{None})$
 $\mid - \Rightarrow (M, N, U, k, \text{Some } D)$
) \mid
do-backtrack-step $S = S$

lemma *get-all-decided-decomposition-map-convert*:

$(\text{get-all-decided-decomposition } (\text{map convert } M)) =$
 $\text{map } (\lambda(a, b). (\text{map convert } a, \text{map convert } b)) (\text{get-all-decided-decomposition } M)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-backtrack-step*:

assumes
 $db: \text{do-backtrack-step } S \neq S$ **and**
 $inv: \text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } (toS S)$
shows $\text{backtrack } (toS S) (toS (\text{do-backtrack-step } S))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-backtrack-step-no*:

assumes $db: \text{do-backtrack-step } S = S$
and $inv: \text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } (toS S)$
shows $\text{no-step backtrack } (toS S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rough-state-of-state-of-backtrack[simp]*:

assumes $inv: \text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } (toS S)$
shows $\text{rough-state-of } (\text{state-of } (\text{do-backtrack-step } S)) = \text{do-backtrack-step } S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Decide fun *do-decide-step where*

$\text{do-decide-step } (M, N, U, k, \text{None}) =$
 $(\text{case find-first-unused-var } N (\text{lits-of } M) \text{ of}$
 $\text{None} \Rightarrow (M, N, U, k, \text{None})$
 $| \text{Some } L \Rightarrow (\text{Decided } L (\text{Suc } k) \# M, N, U, k+1, \text{None})) |$
 $\text{do-decide-step } S = S$

lemma *do-decide-step*:

$\text{do-decide-step } S \neq S \implies \text{decide } (toS S) (toS (\text{do-decide-step } S))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-decide-step-no*:

$\text{do-decide-step } S = S \implies \text{no-step decide } (toS S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rough-state-of-state-of-do-decide-step[simp]*:

$\text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } (toS S) \implies \text{rough-state-of } (\text{state-of } (\text{do-decide-step } S)) = \text{do-decide-step } S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rough-state-of-state-of-do-skip-step[simp]*:

$\text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } (toS S) \implies \text{rough-state-of } (\text{state-of } (\text{do-skip-step } S)) = \text{do-skip-step } S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

6.3.3 Code generation

Type definition There are two invariants: one while applying conflict and propagate and one for the other rules

declare *rough-state-of-inverse[simp add]*

definition *Con where*

$\text{Con } xs = \text{state-of } (\text{if } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } (toS (\text{fst } xs, \text{snd } xs)) \text{ then } xs$
 $\text{else } ([], [], [], 0, \text{None}))$

lemma *[code abstype]*:

Con (*rough-state-of* *S*) = *S*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

definition *do-cp-step'* **where**

do-cp-step' *S* = *state-of* (*do-cp-step* (*rough-state-of* *S*))

typedef *cdcl_W-state-inv-from-init-state* = {*S*::*cdcl_W-state-inv-st.* *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv* (*toS* *S*)
 ∧ *cdcl_W-stgy*** (*S0-cdcl_W* (*clss* (*toS* *S*))) (*toS* *S*)}

morphisms *rough-state-from-init-state-of* *state-from-init-state-of*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

instantiation *cdcl_W-state-inv-from-init-state* :: *equal*

begin

definition *equal-cdcl_W-state-inv-from-init-state* :: *cdcl_W-state-inv-from-init-state* ⇒
cdcl_W-state-inv-from-init-state ⇒ *bool* **where**
equal-cdcl_W-state-inv-from-init-state *S S'* ⇔
 (*rough-state-from-init-state-of* *S* = *rough-state-from-init-state-of* *S'*)

instance

⟨*proof*⟩

end

definition *ConI* **where**

ConI *S* = *state-from-init-state-of* (*if* *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv* (*toS* (*fst* *S*, *snd* *S*))
 ∧ *cdcl_W-stgy*** (*S0-cdcl_W* (*clss* (*toS* *S*))) (*toS* *S*) then *S* else ([], [], [], 0, None))

lemma [*code abstype*]:

ConI (*rough-state-from-init-state-of* *S*) = *S*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

definition *id-of-I-to*:: *cdcl_W-state-inv-from-init-state* ⇒ *cdcl_W-state-inv* **where**

id-of-I-to *S* = *state-of* (*rough-state-from-init-state-of* *S*)

lemma [*code abstract*]:

rough-state-of (*id-of-I-to* *S*) = *rough-state-from-init-state-of* *S*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

Conflict and Propagate **function** *do-full1-cp-step* :: *cdcl_W-state-inv* ⇒ *cdcl_W-state-inv* **where**

do-full1-cp-step *S* =

(*let* *S'* = *do-cp-step'* *S* *in*
if *S* = *S'* *then* *S* *else* *do-full1-cp-step* *S'*)

⟨*proof*⟩

termination

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *do-full1-cp-step-fix-point-of-do-full1-cp-step*:

do-cp-step(*rough-state-of* (*do-full1-cp-step* *S*)) = (*rough-state-of* (*do-full1-cp-step* *S*))
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *in-clauses-rough-state-of-is-distinct*:

c ∈ *set* (*clss* (*rough-state-of* *S*) @ *learned-clss* (*rough-state-of* *S*)) ⇒ *distinct* *c*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *do-full1-cp-step-full*:

full *cdcl_W-cp* (*toS* (*rough-state-of* *S*))
 (*toS* (*rough-state-of* (*do-full1-cp-step* *S*)))

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *[code abstract]:*
rough-state-of (do-cp-step' S) = do-cp-step (rough-state-of S)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The other rules **fun** *do-other-step* **where**

do-other-step S =
 (*let T = do-skip-step S in*
 if T ≠ S
 then T
 else
 (*let U = do-resolve-step T in*
 if U ≠ T
 then U else
 (*let V = do-backtrack-step U in*
 if V ≠ U then V else do-decide-step V)))

lemma *do-other-step:*
 assumes *inv: cdcl_W-all-struct-inv (toS S) and*
 st: do-other-step S ≠ S
 shows *cdcl_W-o (toS S) (toS (do-other-step S))*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-other-step-no:*
 assumes *inv: cdcl_W-all-struct-inv (toS S) and*
 st: do-other-step S = S
 shows *no-step cdcl_W-o (toS S)*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rough-state-of-state-of-do-other-step[simp]:*
 rough-state-of (state-of (do-other-step (rough-state-of S))) = do-other-step (rough-state-of S)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *do-other-step'* **where**

do-other-step' S =
 state-of (do-other-step (rough-state-of S))

lemma *rough-state-of-do-other-step'[code abstract]:*
rough-state-of (do-other-step' S) = do-other-step (rough-state-of S)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *do-cdcl_W-stgy-step* **where**

do-cdcl_W-stgy-step S =
 (*let T = do-full1-cp-step S in*
 if T ≠ S
 then T
 else
 (*let U = (do-other-step' T) in*
 (*do-full1-cp-step U*)))

definition *do-cdcl_W-stgy-step'* **where**

do-cdcl_W-stgy-step' S = state-from-init-state-of (rough-state-of (do-cdcl_W-stgy-step (id-of-I-to S)))

lemma *toS-do-full1-cp-step-not-eq: do-full1-cp-step S ≠ S ⇒*

$toS \text{ (rough-state-of } S) \neq toS \text{ (rough-state-of (do-full1-cp-step } S))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

do-full1-cp-step should not be unfolded anymore:

declare *do-full1-cp-step.simps*[simp del]

Correction of the transformation lemma *do-cdcl_W-stgy-step*:

assumes *do-cdcl_W-stgy-step* $S \neq S$
shows *cdcl_W-stgy* ($toS \text{ (rough-state-of } S)$) ($toS \text{ (rough-state-of (do-cdcl_W-stgy-step } S))$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *length-trail-toS*[simp]:
 $length \text{ (trail (toS } S)) = length \text{ (trail } S)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conflicting-noTrue-iff-toS*[simp]:
 $conflicting \text{ (toS } S) \neq None \longleftrightarrow conflicting \text{ } S \neq None$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *trail-toS-neq-imp-trail-neq*:
 $trail \text{ (toS } S) \neq trail \text{ (toS } S') \implies trail \text{ } S \neq trail \text{ } S'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *do-skip-step-trail-changed-or-conflict*:
assumes *d*: *do-other-step* $S \neq S$
and *inv*: *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv* ($toS \text{ } S$)
shows $trail \text{ } S \neq trail \text{ (do-other-step } S)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *do-full1-cp-step-induct*:
 $(\bigwedge S. (S \neq do-cp-step' \text{ } S \implies P \text{ (do-cp-step' } S)) \implies P \text{ } S) \implies P \text{ } a0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *do-cp-step-neq-trail-increase*:
 $\exists c. trail \text{ (do-cp-step } S) = c @ trail \text{ } S \wedge (\forall m \in set \text{ } c. \neg is-decided \text{ } m)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *do-full1-cp-step-neq-trail-increase*:
 $\exists c. trail \text{ (rough-state-of (do-full1-cp-step } S)) = c @ trail \text{ (rough-state-of } S)$
 $\wedge (\forall m \in set \text{ } c. \neg is-decided \text{ } m)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *do-cp-step-conflicting*:
 $conflicting \text{ (rough-state-of } S) \neq None \implies do-cp-step' \text{ } S = S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *do-full1-cp-step-conflicting*:
 $conflicting \text{ (rough-state-of } S) \neq None \implies do-full1-cp-step \text{ } S = S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *do-decide-step-not-conflicting-one-more-decide*:
assumes
 $conflicting \text{ } S = None$ **and**
 $do-decide-step \text{ } S \neq S$
shows $Suc \text{ (length (filter is-decided (trail } S))}$

$= \text{length } (\text{filter is-decided } (\text{trail } (\text{do-decide-step } S)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-decide-step-not-conflicting-one-more-decide-bt:*

assumes *conflicting* $S \neq \text{None}$ **and**
do-decide-step $S \neq S$
shows $\text{length } (\text{filter is-decided } (\text{trail } S)) < \text{length } (\text{filter is-decided } (\text{trail } (\text{do-decide-step } S)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-other-step-not-conflicting-one-more-decide-bt:*

assumes
conflicting (*rough-state-of* S) $\neq \text{None}$ **and**
conflicting (*rough-state-of* (*do-other-step'* S)) = None **and**
do-other-step' $S \neq S$
shows $\text{length } (\text{filter is-decided } (\text{trail } (\text{rough-state-of } S)))$
 $> \text{length } (\text{filter is-decided } (\text{trail } (\text{rough-state-of } (\text{do-other-step'} S))))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-other-step-not-conflicting-one-more-decide:*

assumes *conflicting* (*rough-state-of* S) = None **and**
do-other-step' $S \neq S$
shows $1 + \text{length } (\text{filter is-decided } (\text{trail } (\text{rough-state-of } S)))$
 $= \text{length } (\text{filter is-decided } (\text{trail } (\text{rough-state-of } (\text{do-other-step'} S))))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rough-state-of-state-of-do-skip-step-rough-state-of[simp]:*

rough-state-of (*state-of* (*do-skip-step* (*rough-state-of* S))) = *do-skip-step* (*rough-state-of* S)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *conflicting-do-resolve-step-iff[iff]:*

conflicting (*do-resolve-step* S) = $\text{None} \longleftrightarrow \text{conflicting } S = \text{None}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *conflicting-do-skip-step-iff[iff]:*

conflicting (*do-skip-step* S) = $\text{None} \longleftrightarrow \text{conflicting } S = \text{None}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *conflicting-do-decide-step-iff[iff]:*

conflicting (*do-decide-step* S) = $\text{None} \longleftrightarrow \text{conflicting } S = \text{None}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *conflicting-do-backtrack-step-imp[simp]:*

do-backtrack-step $S \neq S \implies \text{conflicting } (\text{do-backtrack-step } S) = \text{None}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-skip-step-eq-iff-trail-eq:*

do-skip-step $S = S \longleftrightarrow \text{trail } (\text{do-skip-step } S) = \text{trail } S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-decide-step-eq-iff-trail-eq:*

do-decide-step $S = S \longleftrightarrow \text{trail } (\text{do-decide-step } S) = \text{trail } S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-backtrack-step-eq-iff-trail-eq:*

do-backtrack-step $S = S \longleftrightarrow \text{trail } (\text{do-backtrack-step } S) = \text{trail } S$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-resolve-step-eq-iff-trail-eq*:

do-resolve-step $S = S \longleftrightarrow \text{trail } (\text{do-resolve-step } S) = \text{trail } S$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-other-step-eq-iff-trail-eq*:

trail (*do-other-step* S) = *trail* $S \longleftrightarrow \text{do-other-step } S = S$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-full1-cp-step-do-other-step'-normal-form[dest!]*:

assumes H : *do-full1-cp-step* (*do-other-step'* S) = S

shows *do-other-step'* $S = S \wedge \text{do-full1-cp-step } S = S$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *do-cdcl_W-stgy-step-no*:

assumes S : *do-cdcl_W-stgy-step* $S = S$

shows *no-step cdcl_W-stgy* (*toS* (*rough-state-of* S))

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *toS-rough-state-of-state-of-rough-state-from-init-state-of[simp]*:

toS (*rough-state-of* (*state-of* (*rough-state-from-init-state-of* S))))

= *toS* (*rough-state-from-init-state-of* S)

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-cp-is-rtranclp-cdcl_W*: *cdcl_W-cp* $S T \Longrightarrow \text{cdcl}_W^{**} S T$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtranclp-cdcl_W-cp-is-rtranclp-cdcl_W*: *cdcl_W-cp*^{**} $S T \Longrightarrow \text{cdcl}_W^{**} S T$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-stgy-is-rtranclp-cdcl_W*:

cdcl_W-stgy $S T \Longrightarrow \text{cdcl}_W^{**} S T$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-stgy-init-clss*: *cdcl_W-stgy* $S T \Longrightarrow \text{cdcl}_W\text{-M-level-inv } S \Longrightarrow \text{clss } S = \text{clss } T$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *clauses-toS-rough-state-of-do-cdcl_W-stgy-step[simp]*:

clss (*toS* (*rough-state-of* (*do-cdcl_W-stgy-step* (*state-of* (*rough-state-from-init-state-of* S))))))

= *clss* (*toS* (*rough-state-from-init-state-of* S)) (**is** - = *clss* (*toS* ? S))

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rough-state-from-init-state-of-do-cdcl_W-stgy-step'[code abstract]*:

rough-state-from-init-state-of (*do-cdcl_W-stgy-step'* S) =

rough-state-of (*do-cdcl_W-stgy-step* (*id-of-I-to* S))

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

All rules together **function** *do-all-cdcl_W-stgy* **where**

do-all-cdcl_W-stgy $S =$

(*let* $T = \text{do-cdcl}_W\text{-stgy-step}' S$ *in*

if $T = S$ *then* S *else* *do-all-cdcl_W-stgy* T)

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

termination

<proof>

thm *do-all-cdcl_W-stgy.induct*

lemma *do-all-cdcl_W-stgy-induct:*

$(\bigwedge S. (do-cdcl_W-stgy-step' S \neq S \implies P (do-cdcl_W-stgy-step' S)) \implies P S) \implies P a0$

<proof>

lemma *no-step-cdcl_W-stgy-cdcl_W-all:*

no-step cdcl_W-stgy (toS (rough-state-from-init-state-of (do-all-cdcl_W-stgy S)))

<proof>

lemma *do-all-cdcl_W-stgy-is-rtrancpl-cdcl_W-stgy:*

*cdcl_W-stgy** (toS (rough-state-from-init-state-of S))*

(toS (rough-state-from-init-state-of (do-all-cdcl_W-stgy S)))

<proof>

Final theorem:

lemma *DPLL-tot-correct:*

assumes

r: rough-state-from-init-state-of (do-all-cdcl_W-stgy (state-from-init-state-of (([], map remdups N, [], 0, None)))) = S and

S: (M', N', U', k, E) = toS S

shows $(E \neq \text{Some } \{\#\} \wedge \text{satisfiable (set (map mset N)))}$

$\vee (E = \text{Some } \{\#\} \wedge \text{unsatisfiable (set (map mset N)))}$

<proof>

The Code The SML code is skipped in the documentation, but stays to ensure that some version of the exported code is working. The only difference between the generated code and the one used here is the export of the constructor `ConI`.

end

theory *CDCL-WNOT*

imports *CDCL-W-Termination CDCL-NOT*

begin

7 Link between Weidenbach's and NOT's CDCL

7.1 Inclusion of the states

declare *upt.simps(2)[simp del]*

sledgehammer-params*[verbose]*

context *cdcl_W*

begin

lemma *backtrack-levE:*

backtrack S S' \implies cdcl_W-M-level-inv S \implies

($\bigwedge D L K M1 M2.$

(Decided K (Suc (get-maximum-level (trail S) D)) $\#$ M1, M2)

\in set (get-all-decided-decomposition (trail S)) \implies

get-level (trail S) L = get-maximum-level (trail S) (D + $\{\#L\# \}$) \implies

undefined-lit M1 L \implies

S' \sim cons-trail (Propagated L (D + $\{\#L\# \}$))

(reduce-trail-to M1 (add-learned-cls (D + $\{\#L\# \}$))

(update-backtrack-lvl (get-maximum-level (trail S) D) (update-conflicting None S)))) \implies

$backtrack\text{-}lvl\ S = get\text{-}maximum\text{-}level\ (trail\ S)\ (D + \{\#L\#\}) \implies$
 $conflicting\ S = Some\ (D + \{\#L\#\}) \implies P \implies$
 P
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *backtrack-no-cdcl_W-bj*:
assumes *cdcl*: *cdcl_W-bj* *T U* **and** *inv*: *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* *V*
shows $\neg backtrack\ V\ T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation *skip-or-resolve* :: *'st* \Rightarrow *'st* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
skip-or-resolve $\equiv (\lambda S\ T.\ skip\ S\ T \vee resolve\ S\ T)$

lemma *rtranclp-cdcl_W-bj-skip-or-resolve-backtrack*:
assumes *cdcl_W-bj*** *S U* **and** *inv*: *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* *S*
shows *skip-or-resolve*** *S U* $\vee (\exists T.\ skip\text{-or}\text{-resolve**}\ S\ T \wedge backtrack\ T\ U)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtranclp-skip-or-resolve-rtranclp-cdcl_W*:
*skip-or-resolve*** *S T* $\implies cdcl_W^{**}\ S\ T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *backjump-l-cond* :: *'v clause* \Rightarrow *'v clause* \Rightarrow *'v literal* \Rightarrow *'st* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
backjump-l-cond $\equiv \lambda C\ C'\ L'\ S.\ True$

definition *inv_{NOT}* :: *'st* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
inv_{NOT} $\equiv \lambda S.\ no\text{-}dup\ (trail\ S)$

declare *inv_{NOT}-def*[*simp*]
end

fun *convert-ann-literal-from-W* **where**
convert-ann-literal-from-W (*Propagated* *L* *-*) = *Propagated* *L* () |
convert-ann-literal-from-W (*Decided* *L* *-*) = *Decided* *L* ()

abbreviation *convert-trail-from-W* ::
('v, 'lvl, 'a) ann-literal list
 \Rightarrow (*'v, unit, unit*) *ann-literal list* **where**
convert-trail-from-W $\equiv map\ convert\text{-}ann\text{-}literal\text{-}from\text{-}W$

lemma *lits-of-convert-trail-from-W*[*simp*]:
lits-of (*convert-trail-from-W* *M*) = *lits-of* *M*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lit-of-convert-trail-from-W*[*simp*]:
lit-of (*convert-ann-literal-from-W* *L*) = *lit-of* *L*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *no-dup-convert-from-W*[*simp*]:
 $no\text{-}dup\ (convert\text{-}trail\text{-}from\text{-}W\ M) \longleftrightarrow no\text{-}dup\ M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *convert-trail-from-W-true-annots*[*simp*]:
 $convert\text{-}trail\text{-}from\text{-}W\ M \models_{as} C \longleftrightarrow M \models_{as} C$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *defined-lit-convert-trail-from-W*[simp]:
defined-lit (convert-trail-from-W S) L \longleftrightarrow defined-lit S L
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The values 0 and {#} are dummy values.

fun convert-ann-literal-from-NOT
:: ('a, 'e, 'b) ann-literal \Rightarrow ('a, nat, 'a literal multiset) ann-literal **where**
convert-ann-literal-from-NOT (Propagated L -) = Propagated L {#} |
convert-ann-literal-from-NOT (Decided L -) = Decided L 0

abbreviation convert-trail-from-NOT **where**
convert-trail-from-NOT \equiv map convert-ann-literal-from-NOT

lemma *undefined-lit-convert-trail-from-NOT*[simp]:
undefined-lit (convert-trail-from-NOT F) L \longleftrightarrow undefined-lit F L
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lits-of-convert-trail-from-NOT*:
lits-of (convert-trail-from-NOT F) = lits-of F
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *convert-trail-from-W-from-NOT*[simp]:
convert-trail-from-W (convert-trail-from-NOT M) = M
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *convert-trail-from-W-convert-lit-from-NOT*[simp]:
convert-ann-literal-from-W (convert-ann-literal-from-NOT L) = L
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

abbreviation trail_{NOT} **where**
trail_{NOT} S \equiv convert-trail-from-W (fst S)

lemma *undefined-lit-convert-trail-from-W*[iff]:
undefined-lit (convert-trail-from-W M) L \longleftrightarrow undefined-lit M L
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lit-of-convert-ann-literal-from-NOT*[iff]:
lit-of (convert-ann-literal-from-NOT L) = lit-of L
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

sublocale state_W \subseteq dpll-state
 $\lambda S.$ convert-trail-from-W (trail S)
clauses
 $\lambda L S.$ cons-trail (convert-ann-literal-from-NOT L) S
 $\lambda S.$ tl-trail S
 $\lambda C S.$ add-learned-cls C S
 $\lambda C S.$ remove-cls C S
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

context state_W
begin
declare state-simp_{NOT}[simp del]
end

sublocale $cdcl_W \subseteq cdcl_{NOT\text{-merge-bj-learn-ops}}$
 $\lambda S. \text{convert-trail-from-}W \text{ (trail } S)$
clauses
 $\lambda L S. \text{cons-trail (convert-ann-literal-from-NOT } L) S$
 $\lambda S. \text{tl-trail } S$
 $\lambda C S. \text{add-learned-cls } C S$
 $\lambda C S. \text{remove-cls } C S$
 $\lambda - -. \text{True}$
 $\lambda - S. \text{conflicting } S = \text{None}$
 $\lambda C C' L' S. \text{backjump-l-cond } C C' L' S \wedge \text{distinct-mset } (C' + \{\#L'\#\}) \wedge \neg \text{tautology } (C' + \{\#L'\#\})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

sublocale $cdcl_W \subseteq cdcl_{NOT\text{-merge-bj-learn-proxy}}$
 $\lambda S. \text{convert-trail-from-}W \text{ (trail } S)$
clauses
 $\lambda L S. \text{cons-trail (convert-ann-literal-from-NOT } L) S$
 $\lambda S. \text{tl-trail } S$
 $\lambda C S. \text{add-learned-cls } C S$
 $\lambda C S. \text{remove-cls } C S$
 $\lambda - -. \text{True}$
 $\lambda - S. \text{conflicting } S = \text{None backjump-l-cond inv}_{NOT}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

sublocale $cdcl_W \subseteq cdcl_{NOT\text{-merge-bj-learn-proxy2}}$
 $\lambda S. \text{convert-trail-from-}W \text{ (trail } S)$
clauses
 $\lambda L S. \text{cons-trail (convert-ann-literal-from-NOT } L) S$
 $\lambda S. \text{tl-trail } S$
 $\lambda C S. \text{add-learned-cls } C S$
 $\lambda C S. \text{remove-cls } C S \lambda - -. \text{True inv}_{NOT}$
 $\lambda - S. \text{conflicting } S = \text{None backjump-l-cond}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

sublocale $cdcl_W \subseteq cdcl_{NOT\text{-merge-bj-learn}}$
 $\lambda S. \text{convert-trail-from-}W \text{ (trail } S)$
clauses
 $\lambda L S. \text{cons-trail (convert-ann-literal-from-NOT } L) S$
 $\lambda S. \text{tl-trail } S$
 $\lambda C S. \text{add-learned-cls } C S$
 $\lambda C S. \text{remove-cls } C S \lambda - -. \text{True inv}_{NOT}$
 $\lambda - S. \text{conflicting } S = \text{None backjump-l-cond}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

context $cdcl_W$
begin

Notations are lost while proving locale inclusion:

notation $\text{state-eq}_{NOT} \text{ (infix } \sim_{NOT} 50)$

7.2 Additional Lemmas between NOT and W states

lemma $\text{trail}_W\text{-eq-reduce-trail-to}_{NOT\text{-eq}}$:
 $\text{trail } S = \text{trail } T \implies \text{trail (reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} F S) = \text{trail (reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} F T)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *trail-reduce-trail-to_{NOT}-add-learned-cls*:

no-dup (trail S) \implies

trail (reduce-trail-to_{NOT} M (add-learned-cls D S)) = trail (reduce-trail-to_{NOT} M S)

\langle proof \rangle

lemma *reduce-trail-to_{NOT}-reduce-trail-convert*:

reduce-trail-to_{NOT} C S = reduce-trail-to (convert-trail-from-NOT C) S

\langle proof \rangle

lemma *reduce-trail-to-length*:

length M = length M' \implies reduce-trail-to M S = reduce-trail-to M' S

\langle proof \rangle

7.3 More lemmas conflict-propagate and backjumping

7.3.1 Termination

lemma *cdcl_W-cp-normalized-element-all-inv*:

assumes *inv*: cdcl_W-all-struct-inv S

obtains T **where** full cdcl_W-cp S T

\langle proof \rangle

thm *backtrackE*

lemma *cdcl_W-bj-measure*:

assumes cdcl_W-bj S T **and** cdcl_W-M-level-inv S

shows length (trail S) + (if conflicting S = None then 0 else 1)

> length (trail T) + (if conflicting T = None then 0 else 1)

\langle proof \rangle

lemma *wf-cdcl_W-bj*:

wf {(b,a). cdcl_W-bj a b \wedge cdcl_W-M-level-inv a }

\langle proof \rangle

lemma *cdcl_W-bj-exists-normal-form*:

assumes *lev*: cdcl_W-M-level-inv S

shows $\exists T$. full cdcl_W-bj S T

\langle proof \rangle

lemma *rtrancpl-skip-state-decomp*:

assumes skip** S T **and** no-dup (trail S)

shows

$\exists M$. trail S = M @ trail T \wedge ($\forall m \in \text{set } M$. \neg is-decided m) **and**

$T \sim$ delete-trail-and-rebuild (trail T) S

\langle proof \rangle

7.3.2 More backjumping

Backjumping after skipping or jump directly **lemma** *rtrancpl-skip-backtrack-backtrack*:

assumes

skip** S T **and**

backtrack T W **and**

cdcl_W-all-struct-inv S

shows backtrack S W

\langle proof \rangle

lemma *fst-get-all-decided-decomposition-prepend-not-decided*:

assumes $\forall m \in \text{set } MS. \neg \text{is-decided } m$
shows $\text{set } (\text{map fst } (\text{get-all-decided-decomposition } M))$
 $= \text{set } (\text{map fst } (\text{get-all-decided-decomposition } (MS @ M)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

See also $\llbracket \text{skip}^{**} ?S ?T; \text{backtrack} ?T ?W; \text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } ?S \rrbracket \implies \text{backtrack} ?S ?W$

lemma *rtrancpl-skip-backtrack-backtrack-end:*

assumes
 $\text{skip}: \text{skip}^{**} S T$ **and**
 $\text{bt}: \text{backtrack} S W$ **and**
 $\text{inv}: \text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } S$
shows $\text{backtrack} T W$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-bj-decomp-resolve-skip-and-bj:*

assumes $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-bj}^{**} S T$ **and** $\text{inv}: \text{cdcl}_W\text{-M-level-inv } S$
shows $(\text{skip-or-resolve}^{**} S T$
 $\vee (\exists U. \text{skip-or-resolve}^{**} S U \wedge \text{backtrack } U T))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *resolve-skip-deterministic:*

$\text{resolve } S T \implies \text{skip } S U \implies \text{False}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *backtrack-unique:*

assumes
 $\text{bt-T}: \text{backtrack} S T$ **and**
 $\text{bt-U}: \text{backtrack} S U$ **and**
 $\text{inv}: \text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } S$
shows $T \sim U$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *if-can-apply-backtrack-no-more-resolve:*

assumes
 $\text{skip}: \text{skip}^{**} S U$ **and**
 $\text{bt}: \text{backtrack} S T$ **and**
 $\text{inv}: \text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } S$
shows $\neg \text{resolve } U V$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *if-can-apply-resolve-no-more-backtrack:*

assumes
 $\text{skip}: \text{skip}^{**} S U$ **and**
 $\text{resolve}: \text{resolve } S T$ **and**
 $\text{inv}: \text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } S$
shows $\neg \text{backtrack } U V$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *if-can-apply-backtrack-skip-or-resolve-is-skip:*

assumes
 $\text{bt}: \text{backtrack} S T$ **and**
 $\text{skip}: \text{skip-or-resolve}^{**} S U$ **and**
 $\text{inv}: \text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } S$
shows $\text{skip}^{**} S U$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-bj-bj-decomp*:

assumes *cdcl_W-bj** S W* **and** *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv S*

shows

$(\exists T U V. (\lambda S T. \text{skip-or-resolve } S T \wedge \text{no-step backtrack } S)^{**} S T$
 $\wedge (\lambda T U. \text{resolve } T U \wedge \text{no-step backtrack } T) T U$
 $\wedge \text{skip}^{**} U V \wedge \text{backtrack } V W)$
 $\vee (\exists T U. (\lambda S T. \text{skip-or-resolve } S T \wedge \text{no-step backtrack } S)^{**} S T$
 $\wedge (\lambda T U. \text{resolve } T U \wedge \text{no-step backtrack } T) T U \wedge \text{skip}^{**} U W)$
 $\vee (\exists T. \text{skip}^{**} S T \wedge \text{backtrack } T W)$
 $\vee \text{skip}^{**} S W$ (**is** $?RB S W \vee ?R S W \vee ?SB S W \vee ?S S W$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The case distinction is needed, since $T \sim V$ does not imply that $R^{**} T V$.

lemma *cdcl_W-bj-strongly-confluent*:

assumes

*cdcl_W-bj** S V* **and**

*cdcl_W-bj** S T* **and**

n-s: no-step cdcl_W-bj V **and**

inv: cdcl_W-all-struct-inv S

shows $T \sim V \vee \text{cdcl}_W\text{-bj}^{**} T V$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-bj-unique-normal-form*:

assumes

*ST: cdcl_W-bj** S T* **and** *SU: cdcl_W-bj** S U* **and**

n-s-U: no-step cdcl_W-bj U **and**

n-s-T: no-step cdcl_W-bj T **and**

inv: cdcl_W-all-struct-inv S

shows $T \sim U$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *full-cdcl_W-bj-unique-normal-form*:

assumes *full cdcl_W-bj S T* **and** *full cdcl_W-bj S U* **and**

inv: cdcl_W-all-struct-inv S

shows $T \sim U$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

7.4 CDCL FW

inductive *cdcl_W-merge-restart* :: $'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

fw-r-propagate: $\text{propagate } S S' \Longrightarrow \text{cdcl}_W\text{-merge-restart } S S' \mid$

fw-r-conflict: $\text{conflict } S T \Longrightarrow \text{full cdcl}_W\text{-bj } T U \Longrightarrow \text{cdcl}_W\text{-merge-restart } S U \mid$

fw-r-decide: $\text{decide } S S' \Longrightarrow \text{cdcl}_W\text{-merge-restart } S S' \mid$

fw-r-rf: $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-rf } S S' \Longrightarrow \text{cdcl}_W\text{-merge-restart } S S'$

lemma *cdcl_W-merge-restart-cdcl_W*:

assumes *cdcl_W-merge-restart S T*

shows $\text{cdcl}_W^{**} S T$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-merge-restart-conflicting-true-or-no-step*:

assumes *cdcl_W-merge-restart S T*

shows $\text{conflicting } T = \text{None} \vee \text{no-step cdcl}_W T$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

inductive $cdcl_W\text{-merge} :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
fw-propagate: $propagate\ S\ S' \Longrightarrow cdcl_W\text{-merge}\ S\ S' \mid$
fw-conflict: $conflict\ S\ T \Longrightarrow full\ cdcl_W\text{-bj}\ T\ U \Longrightarrow cdcl_W\text{-merge}\ S\ U \mid$
fw-decide: $decide\ S\ S' \Longrightarrow cdcl_W\text{-merge}\ S\ S' \mid$
fw-forget: $forget\ S\ S' \Longrightarrow cdcl_W\text{-merge}\ S\ S'$

lemma $cdcl_W\text{-merge-cdcl_W\text{-merge-restart}$:
 $cdcl_W\text{-merge}\ S\ T \Longrightarrow cdcl_W\text{-merge-restart}\ S\ T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rtrancp\text{-cdcl_W\text{-merge-rtrancp-cdcl_W\text{-merge-restart}$:
 $cdcl_W\text{-merge}^{**}\ S\ T \Longrightarrow cdcl_W\text{-merge-restart}^{**}\ S\ T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cdcl_W\text{-merge-rtrancp-cdcl_W}$:
 $cdcl_W\text{-merge}\ S\ T \Longrightarrow cdcl_W^{**}\ S\ T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rtrancp\text{-cdcl_W\text{-merge-rtrancp-cdcl_W}$:
 $cdcl_W\text{-merge}^{**}\ S\ T \Longrightarrow cdcl_W^{**}\ S\ T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cdcl_W\text{-merge-is-cdcl_{NOT}\text{-merged-bj-learn}$:
assumes
 $inv: cdcl_W\text{-all-struct-inv}\ S$ **and**
 $cdcl_W: cdcl_W\text{-merge}\ S\ T$
shows $cdcl_{NOT}\text{-merged-bj-learn}\ S\ T$
 $\vee (no\text{-step}\ cdcl_W\text{-merge}\ T \wedge conflicting\ T \neq None)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation $cdcl_{NOT}\text{-restart}$ **where**
 $cdcl_{NOT}\text{-restart} \equiv restart\text{-ops}.cdcl_{NOT}\text{-raw-restart}\ cdcl_{NOT}\ restart$

lemma $cdcl_W\text{-merge-restart-is-cdcl_{NOT}\text{-merged-bj-learn-restart-no-step}$:
assumes
 $inv: cdcl_W\text{-all-struct-inv}\ S$ **and**
 $cdcl_W: cdcl_W\text{-merge-restart}\ S\ T$
shows $cdcl_{NOT}\text{-restart}^{**}\ S\ T \vee (no\text{-step}\ cdcl_W\text{-merge}\ T \wedge conflicting\ T \neq None)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation $\mu_{FW} :: 'st \Rightarrow nat$ **where**
 $\mu_{FW}\ S \equiv (if\ no\text{-step}\ cdcl_W\text{-merge}\ S\ then\ 0\ else\ 1 + \mu_{CDCL}'\text{-merged}\ (set\text{-mset}\ (init\text{-class}\ S))\ S)$

lemma $cdcl_W\text{-merge-}\mu_{FW}\text{-decreasing}$:
assumes
 $inv: cdcl_W\text{-all-struct-inv}\ S$ **and**
 $fw: cdcl_W\text{-merge}\ S\ T$
shows $\mu_{FW}\ T < \mu_{FW}\ S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $wf\text{-cdcl_W\text{-merge}}$: $wf\ \{(T, S). cdcl_W\text{-all-struct-inv}\ S \wedge cdcl_W\text{-merge}\ S\ T\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cdcl_W\text{-all-struct-inv-trancp-cdcl_W\text{-merge-trancp-cdcl_W\text{-merge-cdcl_W\text{-all-struct-inv}}$:

assumes
inv: $cdcl_W$ -all-struct-inv b
 $cdcl_W$ -merge⁺⁺ b a
shows $(\lambda S T. cdcl_W$ -all-struct-inv $S \wedge cdcl_W$ -merge $S T$)⁺⁺ b a
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wf-tranclp-cdcl_W-merge*: wf $\{(T, S). cdcl_W$ -all-struct-inv $S \wedge cdcl_W$ -merge⁺⁺ $S T\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *backtrack-is-full1-cdcl_W-bj*:
assumes *bt*: backtrack $S T$ **and** *inv*: $cdcl_W$ -M-level-inv S
shows full1 $cdcl_W$ -bj $S T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtrancl-cdcl_W-conflicting-true-cdcl_W-merge-restart*:
assumes $cdcl_W^{**}$ $S V$ **and** *inv*: $cdcl_W$ -M-level-inv S **and** *conflicting* $S = None$
shows $(cdcl_W$ -merge-restart^{**} $S V \wedge conflicting V = None$)
 $\vee (\exists T U. cdcl_W$ -merge-restart^{**} $S T \wedge conflicting V \neq None \wedge conflict T U \wedge cdcl_W$ -bj^{**} $U V$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *no-step-cdcl_W-no-step-cdcl_W-merge-restart*: no-step $cdcl_W S \implies no$ -step $cdcl_W$ -merge-restart S
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *no-step-cdcl_W-merge-restart-no-step-cdcl_W*:
assumes
conflicting $S = None$ **and**
 $cdcl_W$ -M-level-inv S **and**
no-step $cdcl_W$ -merge-restart S
shows no-step $cdcl_W S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtranclp-cdcl_W-merge-restart-no-step-cdcl_W-bj*:
assumes
 $cdcl_W$ -merge-restart^{**} $S T$ **and**
conflicting $S = None$
shows no-step $cdcl_W$ -bj T
 $\langle proof \rangle$

If *conflicting* $S \neq None$, we cannot say anything.

Remark that this theorem does not say anything about well-foundedness: even if you know that one relation is well-founded, it only states that the normal forms are shared.

lemma *conflicting-true-full-cdcl_W-iff-full-cdcl_W-merge*:
assumes *confl*: *conflicting* $S = None$ **and** *lev*: $cdcl_W$ -M-level-inv S
shows full $cdcl_W S V \longleftrightarrow full$ $cdcl_W$ -merge-restart $S V$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *init-state-true-full-cdcl_W-iff-full-cdcl_W-merge*:
shows full $cdcl_W (init$ -state $N) V \longleftrightarrow full$ $cdcl_W$ -merge-restart $(init$ -state $N) V$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

7.5 FW with strategy

7.5.1 The intermediate step

inductive $cdcl_W$ -s' :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool **where**

$\text{conflict}': \text{full1 } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-cp } S \ S' \implies \text{cdcl}_W\text{-s}' S \ S' \mid$
 $\text{decide}': \text{decide } S \ S' \implies \text{no-step } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-cp } S \implies \text{full } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-cp } S' \ S'' \implies \text{cdcl}_W\text{-s}' S \ S'' \mid$
 $\text{bj}': \text{full1 } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-bj } S \ S' \implies \text{no-step } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-cp } S \implies \text{full } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-cp } S' \ S'' \implies \text{cdcl}_W\text{-s}' S \ S''$

inductive-cases $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-s}'E$: $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-s}' S \ T$

lemma $\text{rtrancpl-cdcl}_W\text{-bj-full1-cdclp-cdcl}_W\text{-stgy}$:

$\text{cdcl}_W\text{-bj}^{**} S \ S' \implies \text{full } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-cp } S' \ S'' \implies \text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy}^{**} S \ S''$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-s}'\text{-is-rtrancpl-cdcl}_W\text{-stgy}$:

$\text{cdcl}_W\text{-s}' S \ T \implies \text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy}^{**} S \ T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-cp-cdcl}_W\text{-bj-bissimulation}$:

assumes

$\text{full } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-cp } T \ U$ **and**
 $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-bj}^{**} T \ T'$ **and**
 $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } T$ **and**
 $\text{no-step } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-bj } T'$

shows $\text{full } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-cp } T' \ U$

$\vee (\exists U' \ U''. \text{full } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-cp } T' \ U'' \wedge \text{full1 } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-bj } U \ U' \wedge \text{full } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-cp } U' \ U'' \wedge \text{cdcl}_W\text{-s}^{**} U \ U'')$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-cp-cdcl}_W\text{-bj-bissimulation}'$:

assumes

$\text{full } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-cp } T \ U$ **and**
 $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-bj}^{**} T \ T'$ **and**
 $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } T$ **and**
 $\text{no-step } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-bj } T'$

shows $\text{full } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-cp } T' \ U$

$\vee (\exists U'. \text{full1 } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-bj } U \ U' \wedge (\forall U''. \text{full } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-cp } U' \ U'' \longrightarrow \text{full } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-cp } T' \ U'' \wedge \text{cdcl}_W\text{-s}^{**} U \ U''))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy-cdcl}_W\text{-s}'\text{-connected}$:

assumes $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy } S \ U$ **and** $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } S$

shows $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-s}' S \ U$

$\vee (\exists U'. \text{full1 } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-bj } U \ U' \wedge (\forall U''. \text{full } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-cp } U' \ U'' \longrightarrow \text{cdcl}_W\text{-s}' S \ U''))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy-cdcl}_W\text{-s}'\text{-connected}'$:

assumes $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy } S \ U$ **and** $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } S$

shows $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-s}' S \ U$

$\vee (\exists U' \ U''. \text{cdcl}_W\text{-s}' S \ U'' \wedge \text{full1 } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-bj } U \ U' \wedge \text{full } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-cp } U' \ U'')$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy-cdcl}_W\text{-s}'\text{-no-step}$:

assumes $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy } S \ U$ **and** $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } S$ **and** $\text{no-step } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-bj } U$

shows $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-s}' S \ U$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{rtrancpl-cdcl}_W\text{-stgy-connected-to-rtrancpl-cdcl}_W\text{-s}'$:

assumes $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy}^{**} S \ U$ **and** $\text{inv: } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-M-level-inv } S$

shows $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-s}^{**} S \ U \vee (\exists T. \text{cdcl}_W\text{-s}^{**} S \ T \wedge \text{cdcl}_W\text{-bj}^{++} T \ U \wedge \text{conflicting } U \neq \text{None})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *n-step-cdcl_W-stgy-iff-no-step-cdcl_W-cl-cdcl_W-o:*

assumes *inv: cdcl_W-all-struct-inv S*

shows *no-step cdcl_W-s' S \longleftrightarrow no-step cdcl_W-cp S \wedge no-step cdcl_W-o S (is ?S' S \longleftrightarrow ?C S \wedge ?O S)*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-s'-trancpl-cdcl_W:*

cdcl_W-s' S S' \implies cdcl_W⁺⁺ S S'

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *trancpl-cdcl_W-s'-trancpl-cdcl_W:*

cdcl_W-s⁺⁺ S S' \implies cdcl_W⁺⁺ S S'

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_W-s'-rtrancpl-cdcl_W:*

*cdcl_W-s^{***} S S' \implies cdcl_W^{**} S S'*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *full-cdcl_W-stgy-iff-full-cdcl_W-s':*

assumes *inv: cdcl_W-all-struct-inv S*

shows *full cdcl_W-stgy S T \longleftrightarrow full cdcl_W-s' S T (is ?S \longleftrightarrow ?S')*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *conflict-step-cdcl_W-stgy-step:*

assumes

conflict S T

cdcl_W-all-struct-inv S

shows $\exists T. \text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy } S \ T$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *decide-step-cdcl_W-stgy-step:*

assumes

decide S T

cdcl_W-all-struct-inv S

shows $\exists T. \text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy } S \ T$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancpl-cdcl_W-cp-conflicting-Some:*

*cdcl_W-cp^{**} S T \implies conflicting S = Some D \implies S = T*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

inductive *cdcl_W-merge-cp :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool where*

conflict'[intro]: conflict S T \implies full cdcl_W-bj T U \implies cdcl_W-merge-cp S U |

propagate'[intro]: propagate⁺⁺ S S' \implies cdcl_W-merge-cp S S'

lemma *cdcl_W-merge-restart-cases[consumes 1, case-names conflict propagate]:*

assumes

cdcl_W-merge-cp S U and

$\bigwedge T. \text{conflict } S \ T \implies \text{full cdcl}_W\text{-bj } T \ U \implies P$ **and**

propagate⁺⁺ S U \implies P

shows *P*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl_W-merge-cp-trancpl-cdcl_W-merge:*

$cdcl_W\text{-merge-cp } S \ T \Longrightarrow cdcl_W\text{-merge}^{++} \ S \ T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $rtrancp\text{-}cdcl_W\text{-merge-cp-rtrancp-cdcl}_W$:
 $cdcl_W\text{-merge-cp}^{**} \ S \ T \Longrightarrow cdcl_W^{**} \ S \ T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $full1\text{-}cdcl_W\text{-bj-no-step-cdcl}_W\text{-bj}$:
 $full1 \ cdcl_W\text{-bj} \ S \ T \Longrightarrow no\text{-step} \ cdcl_W\text{-cp} \ S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

inductive $cdcl_W\text{-s'without-decide}$ **where**
 $conflict'\text{-without-decide}[\text{intro}]: full1 \ cdcl_W\text{-cp} \ S \ S' \Longrightarrow cdcl_W\text{-s'without-decide} \ S \ S' \mid$
 $bj'\text{-without-decide}[\text{intro}]: full1 \ cdcl_W\text{-bj} \ S \ S' \Longrightarrow no\text{-step} \ cdcl_W\text{-cp} \ S \Longrightarrow full \ cdcl_W\text{-cp} \ S' \ S''$
 $\Longrightarrow cdcl_W\text{-s'without-decide} \ S \ S''$

lemma $rtrancp\text{-}cdcl_W\text{-s'without-decide-rtrancp-cdcl}_W$:
 $cdcl_W\text{-s'without-decide}^{**} \ S \ T \Longrightarrow cdcl_W^{**} \ S \ T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $rtrancp\text{-}cdcl_W\text{-s'without-decide-rtrancp-cdcl}_W\text{-s'}$:
 $cdcl_W\text{-s'without-decide}^{**} \ S \ T \Longrightarrow cdcl_W\text{-s'}^{**} \ S \ T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $rtrancp\text{-}cdcl_W\text{-merge-cp-is-rtrancp-cdcl}_W\text{-s'without-decide}$:
assumes
 $cdcl_W\text{-merge-cp}^{**} \ S \ V$
 $conflicting \ S = None$
shows
 $(cdcl_W\text{-s'without-decide}^{**} \ S \ V)$
 $\vee (\exists T. \ cdcl_W\text{-s'without-decide}^{**} \ S \ T \wedge propagate^{++} \ T \ V)$
 $\vee (\exists T \ U. \ cdcl_W\text{-s'without-decide}^{**} \ S \ T \wedge full1 \ cdcl_W\text{-bj} \ T \ U \wedge propagate^{**} \ U \ V)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $rtrancp\text{-}cdcl_W\text{-s'without-decide-is-rtrancp-cdcl}_W\text{-merge-cp}$:
assumes
 $cdcl_W\text{-s'without-decide}^{**} \ S \ V$ **and**
 $confl: conflicting \ S = None$
shows
 $(cdcl_W\text{-merge-cp}^{**} \ S \ V \wedge conflicting \ V = None)$
 $\vee (cdcl_W\text{-merge-cp}^{**} \ S \ V \wedge conflicting \ V \neq None \wedge no\text{-step} \ cdcl_W\text{-cp} \ V \wedge no\text{-step} \ cdcl_W\text{-bj} \ V)$
 $\vee (\exists T. \ cdcl_W\text{-merge-cp}^{**} \ S \ T \wedge conflict \ T \ V)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $no\text{-step-cdcl}_W\text{-s'no-ste-cdcl}_W\text{-merge-cp}$:
assumes
 $cdcl_W\text{-all-struct-inv} \ S$
 $conflicting \ S = None$
 $no\text{-step} \ cdcl_W\text{-s'} \ S$
shows $no\text{-step} \ cdcl_W\text{-merge-cp} \ S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The $no\text{-step} \ decide \ S$ is needed, since $cdcl_W\text{-merge-cp}$ is $cdcl_W\text{-s'}$ without $decide$.

lemma $conflicting\text{-true-no-step-cdcl}_W\text{-merge-cp-no-step-s'without-decide}$:

assumes
confl: *conflicting* $S = \text{None}$ **and**
inv: *cdcl_W-M-level-inv* S **and**
n-s: *no-step cdcl_W-merge-cp* S
shows *no-step cdcl_W-s'-without-decide* S
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *conflicting-true-no-step-s'-without-decide-no-step-cdcl_W-merge-cp*:
assumes
inv: *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv* S **and**
n-s: *no-step cdcl_W-s'-without-decide* S
shows *no-step cdcl_W-merge-cp* S
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *no-step-cdcl_W-merge-cp-no-step-cdcl_W-cp*:
no-step cdcl_W-merge-cp $S \implies \text{cdcl}_W\text{-M-level-inv } S \implies \text{no-step cdcl}_W\text{-cp } S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *conflicting-not-true-rtrancp-cdcl_W-merge-cp-no-step-cdcl_W-bj*:
assumes
conflicting $S = \text{None}$ **and**
*cdcl_W-merge-cp*** S T
shows *no-step cdcl_W-bj* T
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *conflicting-true-full-cdcl_W-merge-cp-iff-full-cdcl_W-s'-without-decode*:
assumes
confl: *conflicting* $S = \text{None}$ **and**
inv: *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv* S
shows
full cdcl_W-merge-cp S $V \longleftrightarrow \text{full cdcl}_W\text{-s'-without-decide } S$ V (**is** $?fw \longleftrightarrow ?s'$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *conflicting-true-full1-cdcl_W-merge-cp-iff-full1-cdcl_W-s'-without-decode*:
assumes
confl: *conflicting* $S = \text{None}$ **and**
inv: *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv* S
shows
full1 cdcl_W-merge-cp S $V \longleftrightarrow \text{full1 cdcl}_W\text{-s'-without-decide } S$ V
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *conflicting-true-full1-cdcl_W-merge-cp-imp-full1-cdcl_W-s'-without-decode*:
assumes
fw: *full1 cdcl_W-merge-cp* S V **and**
inv: *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv* S
shows
full1 cdcl_W-s'-without-decide S V
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

inductive *cdcl_W-merge-stgy* **where**
fw-s-cp[intro]: *full1 cdcl_W-merge-cp* S $T \implies \text{cdcl}_W\text{-merge-stgy } S$ T |
fw-s-decide[intro]: *decide* S $T \implies \text{no-step cdcl}_W\text{-merge-cp } S \implies \text{full cdcl}_W\text{-merge-cp } T$ U
 $\implies \text{cdcl}_W\text{-merge-stgy } S$ U

lemma *cdcl_W-merge-stgy-trancp-cdcl_W-merge*:

assumes $fw: cdcl_W\text{-merge-stgy } S \ T$
shows $cdcl_W\text{-merge}^{++} S \ T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rtrancpl\text{-}cdcl_W\text{-merge-stgy-rtrancpl-cdcl}_W\text{-merge}$:
assumes $fw: cdcl_W\text{-merge-stgy}^{**} S \ T$
shows $cdcl_W\text{-merge}^{**} S \ T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cdcl_W\text{-merge-stgy-rtrancpl-cdcl}_W$:
 $cdcl_W\text{-merge-stgy } S \ T \implies cdcl_W^{**} S \ T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rtrancpl\text{-}cdcl_W\text{-merge-stgy-rtrancpl-cdcl}_W$:
 $cdcl_W\text{-merge-stgy}^{**} S \ T \implies cdcl_W^{**} S \ T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cdcl_W\text{-merge-stgy-cases}[consumes \ 1, \ case\text{-names } fw\text{-s-cp } fw\text{-s-decide}]$:
assumes
 $cdcl_W\text{-merge-stgy } S \ U$
 $full1 \ cdcl_W\text{-merge-cp } S \ U \implies P$
 $\bigwedge T. \ decide \ S \ T \implies no\text{-step } cdcl_W\text{-merge-cp } S \implies full \ cdcl_W\text{-merge-cp } T \ U \implies P$
shows P
 $\langle proof \rangle$

inductive $cdcl_W\text{-s}'\text{-w} :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $conflict': full1 \ cdcl_W\text{-s}'\text{-without-decide } S \ S' \implies cdcl_W\text{-s}'\text{-w } S \ S' \mid$
 $decide': decide \ S \ S' \implies no\text{-step } cdcl_W\text{-s}'\text{-without-decide } S \implies full \ cdcl_W\text{-s}'\text{-without-decide } S' \ S''$
 $\implies cdcl_W\text{-s}'\text{-w } S \ S''$

lemma $cdcl_W\text{-s}'\text{-w-rtrancpl-cdcl}_W$:
 $cdcl_W\text{-s}'\text{-w } S \ T \implies cdcl_W^{**} S \ T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rtrancpl\text{-}cdcl_W\text{-s}'\text{-w-rtrancpl-cdcl}_W$:
 $cdcl_W\text{-s}'\text{-w}^{**} S \ T \implies cdcl_W^{**} S \ T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $no\text{-step-cdcl}_W\text{-cp-no-step-cdcl}_W\text{-s}'\text{-without-decide}$:
assumes $no\text{-step } cdcl_W\text{-cp } S$ **and** $conflicting \ S = None$ **and** $inv: cdcl_W\text{-M-level-inv } S$
shows $no\text{-step } cdcl_W\text{-s}'\text{-without-decide } S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $no\text{-step-cdcl}_W\text{-cp-no-step-cdcl}_W\text{-merge-restart}$:
assumes $no\text{-step } cdcl_W\text{-cp } S$ **and** $conflicting \ S = None$
shows $no\text{-step } cdcl_W\text{-merge-cp } S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $after\text{-}cdcl_W\text{-s}'\text{-without-decide-no-step-cdcl}_W\text{-cp}$:
assumes $cdcl_W\text{-s}'\text{-without-decide } S \ T$
shows $no\text{-step } cdcl_W\text{-cp } T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $no\text{-step-cdcl}_W\text{-s}'\text{-without-decide-no-step-cdcl}_W\text{-cp}$:
 $cdcl_W\text{-all-struct-inv } S \implies no\text{-step } cdcl_W\text{-s}'\text{-without-decide } S \implies no\text{-step } cdcl_W\text{-cp } S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *after-cdcl_W-s'-w-no-step-cdcl_W-cp:*

assumes *cdcl_W-s'-w S T and cdcl_W-all-struct-inv S*

shows *no-step cdcl_W-cp T*

<proof>

lemma *rtrancp-cdcl_W-s'-w-no-step-cdcl_W-cp-or-eq:*

assumes *cdcl_W-s'-w** S T and cdcl_W-all-struct-inv S*

shows *S = T ∨ no-step cdcl_W-cp T*

<proof>

lemma *rtrancp-cdcl_W-merge-stgy'-no-step-cdcl_W-cp-or-eq:*

assumes *cdcl_W-merge-stgy** S T and inv: cdcl_W-all-struct-inv S*

shows *S = T ∨ no-step cdcl_W-cp T*

<proof>

lemma *no-step-cdcl_W-s'-without-decide-no-step-cdcl_W-bj:*

assumes *no-step cdcl_W-s'-without-decide S and inv: cdcl_W-all-struct-inv S*

shows *no-step cdcl_W-bj S*

<proof>

lemma *cdcl_W-s'-w-no-step-cdcl_W-bj:*

assumes *cdcl_W-s'-w S T and cdcl_W-all-struct-inv S*

shows *no-step cdcl_W-bj T*

<proof>

lemma *rtrancp-cdcl_W-s'-w-no-step-cdcl_W-bj-or-eq:*

assumes *cdcl_W-s'-w** S T and cdcl_W-all-struct-inv S*

shows *S = T ∨ no-step cdcl_W-bj T*

<proof>

lemma *rtrancp-cdcl_W-s'-no-step-cdcl_W-s'-without-decide-decomp-into-cdcl_W-merge:*

assumes

*cdcl_W-s'*** R V and*

conflicting R = None and

inv: cdcl_W-all-struct-inv R

shows *(cdcl_W-merge-stgy** R V ∧ conflicting V = None)*

*∨ (cdcl_W-merge-stgy** R V ∧ conflicting V ≠ None ∧ no-step cdcl_W-bj V)*

*∨ (∃ S T U. cdcl_W-merge-stgy** R S ∧ no-step cdcl_W-merge-cp S ∧ decide S T*

*∧ cdcl_W-merge-cp** T U ∧ conflict U V)*

*∨ (∃ S T. cdcl_W-merge-stgy** R S ∧ no-step cdcl_W-merge-cp S ∧ decide S T*

*∧ cdcl_W-merge-cp** T V*

∧ conflicting V = None)

*∨ (cdcl_W-merge-cp** R V ∧ conflicting V = None)*

*∨ (∃ U. cdcl_W-merge-cp** R U ∧ conflict U V)*

<proof>

lemma *decide-rtrancp-cdcl_W-s'-rtrancp-cdcl_W-s':*

assumes

dec: decide S T and

*cdcl_W-s'*** T U and*

n-s-S: no-step cdcl_W-cp S and

no-step cdcl_W-cp U

shows *cdcl_W-s'*** S U*

<proof>

lemma *rtrancp-cdcl_W-merge-stgy-rtrancp-cdcl_W-s'*:

assumes

cdcl_W-merge-stgy^{**} *R V* **and**

inv: *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv R*

shows *cdcl_W-s'*^{**} *R V*

<proof>

lemma *rtrancp-cdcl_W-merge-stgy-distinct-mset-clauses*:

assumes *invR*: *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv R* **and**

st: *cdcl_W-merge-stgy*^{**} *R S* **and**

dist: *distinct-mset (clauses R)* **and**

R: *trail R = []*

shows *distinct-mset (clauses S)*

<proof>

lemma *no-step-cdcl_W-s'-no-step-cdcl_W-merge-stgy*:

assumes

inv: *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv R* **and** *s'*: *no-step cdcl_W-s' R*

shows *no-step cdcl_W-merge-stgy R*

<proof>

lemma *wf-cdcl_W-merge-cp*:

wf{(*T, S*). *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv S* \wedge *cdcl_W-merge-cp S T*}

<proof>

lemma *wf-cdcl_W-merge-stgy*:

wf{(*T, S*). *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv S* \wedge *cdcl_W-merge-stgy S T*}

<proof>

lemma *cdcl_W-merge-cp-obtain-normal-form*:

assumes *inv*: *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv R*

obtains *S* **where** *full cdcl_W-merge-cp R S*

<proof>

lemma *no-step-cdcl_W-merge-stgy-no-step-cdcl_W-s'*:

assumes

inv: *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv R* **and**

confl: *conflicting R = None* **and**

n-s: *no-step cdcl_W-merge-stgy R*

shows *no-step cdcl_W-s' R*

<proof>

lemma *rtrancp-cdcl_W-merge-cp-no-step-cdcl_W-bj*:

assumes *conflicting R = None* **and** *cdcl_W-merge-cp*^{**} *R S*

shows *no-step cdcl_W-bj S*

<proof>

lemma *rtrancp-cdcl_W-merge-stgy-no-step-cdcl_W-bj*:

assumes *confl*: *conflicting R = None* **and** *cdcl_W-merge-stgy*^{**} *R S*

shows *no-step cdcl_W-bj S*

<proof>

lemma *full-cdcl_W-s'-full-cdcl_W-merge-restart*:

assumes

$\text{conflicting } R = \text{None}$ **and**
 $\text{inv: } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } R$
shows $\text{full } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-s' } R \ V \longleftrightarrow \text{full } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-merge-stgy } R \ V$ (**is** $?s' \longleftrightarrow ?fw$)
 <proof>

lemma $\text{full-cdcl}_W\text{-stgy-full-cdcl}_W\text{-merge}$:
assumes
 $\text{conflicting } R = \text{None}$ **and**
 $\text{inv: } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } R$
shows $\text{full } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy } R \ V \longleftrightarrow \text{full } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-merge-stgy } R \ V$
 <proof>

lemma $\text{full-cdcl}_W\text{-merge-stgy-final-state-conclusive'}$:
fixes $S' :: 'st$
assumes $\text{full: full } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-merge-stgy } (\text{init-state } N) \ S'$
and $\text{no-d: distinct-mset-mset } N$
shows $(\text{conflicting } S' = \text{Some } \{\#\} \wedge \text{unsatisfiable } (\text{set-mset } N))$
 $\vee (\text{conflicting } S' = \text{None} \wedge \text{trail } S' \models_{\text{asm}} N \wedge \text{satisfiable } (\text{set-mset } N))$
 <proof>

end

7.6 Adding Restarts

locale $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-restart} =$
 $\text{cdcl}_W \ \text{trail} \ \text{init-clss} \ \text{learned-clss} \ \text{backtrack-lvl} \ \text{conflicting} \ \text{cons-trail} \ \text{tl-trail}$
 add-init-clss
 $\text{add-learned-clss} \ \text{remove-clss} \ \text{update-backtrack-lvl} \ \text{update-conflicting} \ \text{init-state}$
 restart-state
for
 $\text{trail} :: 'st \Rightarrow ('v, \text{nat}, 'v \text{ clause}) \text{ ann-literals}$ **and**
 $\text{init-clss} :: 'st \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clauses}$ **and**
 $\text{learned-clss} :: 'st \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clauses}$ **and**
 $\text{backtrack-lvl} :: 'st \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ **and**
 $\text{conflicting} :: 'st \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clause option}$ **and**

 $\text{cons-trail} :: ('v, \text{nat}, 'v \text{ clause}) \text{ ann-literal} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st$ **and**
 $\text{tl-trail} :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st$ **and**
 $\text{add-init-clss} :: 'v \text{ clause} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st$ **and**
 $\text{add-learned-clss} \ \text{remove-clss} :: 'v \text{ clause} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st$ **and**
 $\text{update-backtrack-lvl} :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st$ **and**
 $\text{update-conflicting} :: 'v \text{ clause option} \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st$ **and**

 $\text{init-state} :: 'v \text{ clauses} \Rightarrow 'st$ **and**
 $\text{restart-state} :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st +$
fixes $f :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$
assumes $f: \text{unbounded } f$
begin

The condition of the differences of cardinality has to be strict. Otherwise, you could be in a strange state, where nothing remains to do, but a restart is done. See the proof of well-foundedness.

inductive $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-merge-with-restart}$ **where**
 restart-step:
 $(\text{cdcl}_W\text{-merge-stgy} \sim (\text{card } (\text{set-mset } (\text{learned-clss } T)) - \text{card } (\text{set-mset } (\text{learned-clss } S)))) \ S \ T$

$\implies \text{card } (\text{set-mset } (\text{learned-clss } T)) - \text{card } (\text{set-mset } (\text{learned-clss } S)) > f \ n$
 $\implies \text{restart } T \ U \implies \text{cdcl}_W\text{-merge-with-restart } (S, n) \ (U, \text{Suc } n) \mid$
 $\text{restart-full: full1 } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-merge-stgy } S \ T \implies \text{cdcl}_W\text{-merge-with-restart } (S, n) \ (T, \text{Suc } n)$

lemma $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-merge-with-restart } S \ T \implies \text{cdcl}_W\text{-merge-restart}^{**} \ (fst \ S) \ (fst \ T)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-merge-with-restart-rtrancpl-cdcl}_W$:
 $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-merge-with-restart } S \ T \implies \text{cdcl}_W^{**} \ (fst \ S) \ (fst \ T)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-merge-with-restart-increasing-number}$:
 $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-merge-with-restart } S \ T \implies \text{snd } T = 1 + \text{snd } S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{full1 } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-merge-stgy } S \ T \implies \text{cdcl}_W\text{-merge-with-restart } (S, n) \ (T, \text{Suc } n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv-learned-clss-bound}$:
assumes $\text{inv: } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } S$
shows $\text{set-mset } (\text{learned-clss } S) \subseteq \text{simple-clss } (\text{atms-of-msu } (\text{init-clss } S))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-merge-with-restart-init-clss}$:
 $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-merge-with-restart } S \ T \implies \text{cdcl}_W\text{-M-level-inv } (fst \ S) \implies$
 $\text{init-clss } (fst \ S) = \text{init-clss } (fst \ T)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma
 $wf \ \{ (T, S). \text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } (fst \ S) \wedge \text{cdcl}_W\text{-merge-with-restart } S \ T \}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-merge-with-restart-distinct-mset-clauses}$:
assumes $\text{invR: } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } (fst \ R)$ **and**
 $\text{st: } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-merge-with-restart } R \ S$ **and**
 $\text{dist: } \text{distinct-mset } (\text{clauses } (fst \ R))$ **and**
 $R: \text{trail } (fst \ R) = []$
shows $\text{distinct-mset } (\text{clauses } (fst \ S))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

inductive $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-with-restart}$ **where**

restart-step:

$(\text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy } \sim (\text{card } (\text{set-mset } (\text{learned-clss } T)) - \text{card } (\text{set-mset } (\text{learned-clss } S)))) \ S \ T \implies$
 $\text{card } (\text{set-mset } (\text{learned-clss } T)) - \text{card } (\text{set-mset } (\text{learned-clss } S)) > f \ n \implies$
 $\text{restart } T \ U \implies$
 $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-with-restart } (S, n) \ (U, \text{Suc } n) \mid$

restart-full: $\text{full1 } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy } S \ T \implies \text{cdcl}_W\text{-with-restart } (S, n) \ (T, \text{Suc } n)$

lemma $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-with-restart-rtrancpl-cdcl}_W$:
 $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-with-restart } S \ T \implies \text{cdcl}_W^{**} \ (fst \ S) \ (fst \ T)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-with-restart-increasing-number}$:
 $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-with-restart } S \ T \implies \text{snd } T = 1 + \text{snd } S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *full1 cdcl_W-stgy S T \implies cdcl_W-with-restart (S, n) (T, Suc n)*
 <proof>

lemma *cdcl_W-with-restart-init-clss:*
cdcl_W-with-restart S T \implies cdcl_W-M-level-inv (fst S) \implies init-clss (fst S) = init-clss (fst T)
 <proof>

lemma
wf {(T, S). cdcl_W-all-struct-inv (fst S) \wedge cdcl_W-with-restart S T}
 <proof>

lemma *cdcl_W-with-restart-distinct-mset-clauses:*
assumes *invR: cdcl_W-all-struct-inv (fst R) and*
st: cdcl_W-with-restart R S and
dist: distinct-mset (clauses (fst R)) and
R: trail (fst R) = []
shows *distinct-mset (clauses (fst S))*
 <proof>
end

locale *luby-sequence =*
fixes *ur :: nat*
assumes *ur > 0*
begin

lemma *exists-luby-decomp:*
fixes *i :: nat*
shows $\exists k :: nat. (2^{\wedge} (k - 1) \leq i \wedge i < 2^{\wedge} k - 1) \vee i = 2^{\wedge} k - 1$
 <proof>

Luby sequences are defined by:

- $2^k - 1$, if $i = (2 :: 'a)^k - (1 :: 'a)$
- *luby-sequence-core* $(i - 2^{k-1} + 1)$, if $(2 :: 'a)^{k-1} \leq i$ and $i \leq (2 :: 'a)^k - (1 :: 'a)$

Then the sequence is then scaled by a constant unit run (called *ur* here), strictly positive.

function *luby-sequence-core :: nat \Rightarrow nat where*
luby-sequence-core i =
(if $\exists k. i = 2^{\wedge} k - 1$
then $2^{\wedge} ((\text{SOME } k. i = 2^{\wedge} k - 1) - 1)$
else luby-sequence-core (i - $2^{\wedge} ((\text{SOME } k. 2^{\wedge} (k-1) \leq i \wedge i < 2^{\wedge} k - 1) - 1) + 1)$)
 <proof>
termination
 <proof>

declare *luby-sequence-core.simps[simp del]*

lemma *two-pover-n-eq-two-power-n'-eq:*
assumes *H: $(2 :: nat)^{\wedge} (k :: nat) - 1 = 2^{\wedge} k' - 1$*
shows $k' = k$
 <proof>

lemma *luby-sequence-core-two-power-minus-one:*

luby-sequence-core $(2^k - 1) = 2^{(k-1)}$ (is ?L = ?K)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *different-luby-decomposition-false*:

assumes

$H: 2^k - \text{Suc } 0 \leq i$ **and**

$k': i < 2^{k'} - \text{Suc } 0$ **and**

$k-k': k > k'$

shows *False*

⟨proof⟩

lemma *luby-sequence-core-not-two-power-minus-one*:

assumes

$k-i: 2^k - 1 \leq i$ **and**

$i-k: i < 2^k - 1$

shows *luby-sequence-core i = luby-sequence-core (i - 2^k - 1) + 1*

⟨proof⟩

lemma *unbounded-luby-sequence-core: unbounded luby-sequence-core*

⟨proof⟩

abbreviation *luby-sequence* :: nat \Rightarrow nat **where**

luby-sequence n \equiv ur * *luby-sequence-core* n

lemma *bounded-luby-sequence: unbounded luby-sequence*

⟨proof⟩

lemma *luby-sequence-core-0: luby-sequence-core 0 = 1*

⟨proof⟩

lemma *luby-sequence-core n \geq 1*

⟨proof⟩

end

locale *luby-sequence-restart* =

luby-sequence ur +

cdcl_W *trail* *init-clss* *learned-clss* *backtrack-lvl* *conflicting* *cons-trail* *tl-trail*

add-init-cls

add-learned-cls *remove-cls* *update-backtrack-lvl* *update-conflicting* *init-state*

restart-state

for

ur :: nat **and**

trail :: 'st \Rightarrow ('v, nat, 'v clause) ann-literals **and**

init-clss :: 'st \Rightarrow 'v clauses **and**

learned-clss :: 'st \Rightarrow 'v clauses **and**

backtrack-lvl :: 'st \Rightarrow nat **and**

conflicting :: 'st \Rightarrow 'v clause option **and**

cons-trail :: ('v, nat, 'v clause) ann-literal \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**

tl-trail :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**

add-init-cls :: 'v clause \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**

add-learned-cls *remove-cls* :: 'v clause \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**

update-backtrack-lvl :: nat \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**

update-conflicting :: 'v clause option \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st **and**

init-state :: 'v clauses \Rightarrow 'st **and**

```

    restart-state :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st
begin

sublocale cdclW-restart - - - - - luby-sequence
  <proof>

end

end
theory CDCL-W-Incremental
imports CDCL-W-Termination
begin

```

8 Incremental SAT solving

```

context cdclW
begin

```

This invariant holds all the invariant related to the strategy. See the structural invariant in *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv*

definition *cdcl_W-stgy-invariant* **where**
cdcl_W-stgy-invariant $S \longleftrightarrow$
 $\text{conflict-is-false-with-level } S$
 $\wedge \text{no-clause-is-false } S$
 $\wedge \text{no-smaller-confl } S$
 $\wedge \text{no-clause-is-false } S$

lemma *cdcl_W-stgy-cdcl_W-stgy-invariant*:
assumes
 $\text{cdcl}_W: \text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy } S \ T$ **and**
 $\text{inv-s}: \text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy-invariant } S$ **and**
 $\text{inv}: \text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } S$
shows
 $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy-invariant } T$
 <proof>

lemma *rtrancp-cdcl_W-stgy-cdcl_W-stgy-invariant*:
assumes
 $\text{cdcl}_W: \text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy}^{**} S \ T$ **and**
 $\text{inv-s}: \text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy-invariant } S$ **and**
 $\text{inv}: \text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } S$
shows
 $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy-invariant } T$
 <proof>

abbreviation *decr-bt-lvl* **where**
 $\text{decr-bt-lvl } S \equiv \text{update-backtrack-lvl } (\text{backtrack-lvl } S - 1) \ S$

When we add a new clause, we reduce the trail until we get to the first literal included in C. Then we can mark the conflict.

fun *cut-trail-wrt-clause* **where**
 $\text{cut-trail-wrt-clause } C \ [] \ S = S \ |$
 $\text{cut-trail-wrt-clause } C \ (\text{Decided } L - \# \ M) \ S =$
 (if $-L \in \# \ C$ then S

$\text{else cut-trail-wrt-clause } C \ M \ (\text{decr-bt-lvl } (\text{tl-trail } S))) \mid$
 $\text{cut-trail-wrt-clause } C \ (\text{Propagated } L - \# \ M) \ S =$
 $(\text{if } -L \in \# \ C \ \text{then } S$
 $\text{else cut-trail-wrt-clause } C \ M \ (\text{tl-trail } S))$

definition *add-new-clause-and-update* :: 'v literal multiset \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st **where**

$\text{add-new-clause-and-update } C \ S =$
 $(\text{if trail } S \models_{\text{as}} C \text{Not } C$
 $\text{then update-conflicting } (\text{Some } C) \ (\text{add-init-cls } C \ (\text{cut-trail-wrt-clause } C \ (\text{trail } S) \ S))$
 $\text{else add-init-cls } C \ S)$

thm *cut-trail-wrt-clause.induct*

lemma *init-clss-cut-trail-wrt-clause[simp]*:
 $\text{init-clss } (\text{cut-trail-wrt-clause } C \ M \ S) = \text{init-clss } S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *learned-clss-cut-trail-wrt-clause[simp]*:
 $\text{learned-clss } (\text{cut-trail-wrt-clause } C \ M \ S) = \text{learned-clss } S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *conflicting-clss-cut-trail-wrt-clause[simp]*:
 $\text{conflicting } (\text{cut-trail-wrt-clause } C \ M \ S) = \text{conflicting } S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *trail-cut-trail-wrt-clause*:
 $\exists M. \text{ trail } S = M \ @ \ \text{trail } (\text{cut-trail-wrt-clause } C \ (\text{trail } S) \ S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *n-dup-no-dup-trail-cut-trail-wrt-clause[simp]*:
assumes *n-d*: $\text{no-dup } (\text{trail } T)$
shows $\text{no-dup } (\text{trail } (\text{cut-trail-wrt-clause } C \ (\text{trail } T) \ T))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cut-trail-wrt-clause-backtrack-lvl-length-decided*:
assumes
 $\text{backtrack-lvl } T = \text{length } (\text{get-all-levels-of-decided } (\text{trail } T))$
shows
 $\text{backtrack-lvl } (\text{cut-trail-wrt-clause } C \ (\text{trail } T) \ T) =$
 $\text{length } (\text{get-all-levels-of-decided } (\text{trail } (\text{cut-trail-wrt-clause } C \ (\text{trail } T) \ T)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cut-trail-wrt-clause-get-all-levels-of-decided*:
assumes $\text{get-all-levels-of-decided } (\text{trail } T) = \text{rev } [\text{Suc } 0 .. <$
 $\text{Suc } (\text{length } (\text{get-all-levels-of-decided } (\text{trail } T)))]$
shows
 $\text{get-all-levels-of-decided } (\text{trail } ((\text{cut-trail-wrt-clause } C \ (\text{trail } T) \ T))) = \text{rev } [\text{Suc } 0 .. <$
 $\text{Suc } (\text{length } (\text{get-all-levels-of-decided } (\text{trail } ((\text{cut-trail-wrt-clause } C \ (\text{trail } T) \ T)))))]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cut-trail-wrt-clause-CNot-trail*:
assumes $\text{trail } T \models_{\text{as}} C \text{Not } C$
shows
 $(\text{trail } ((\text{cut-trail-wrt-clause } C \ (\text{trail } T) \ T))) \models_{\text{as}} C \text{Not } C$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cut-trail-wrt-clause-hd-trail-in-or-empty-trail*:

$((\forall L \in \#C. -L \notin \text{ lits-of } (\text{trail } T)) \wedge \text{trail } (\text{cut-trail-wrt-clause } C (\text{trail } T) T) = [])$
 $\vee (-\text{lit-of } (\text{hd } (\text{trail } (\text{cut-trail-wrt-clause } C (\text{trail } T) T))) \in \#C$
 $\wedge \text{length } (\text{trail } (\text{cut-trail-wrt-clause } C (\text{trail } T) T)) \geq 1)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

We can fully run *cdcl_W*-s or add a clause. Remark that we use *cdcl_W*-s to avoid an explicit *skip*, *resolve*, and *backtrack* normalisation to get rid of the conflict *C* if possible.

inductive *incremental-cdcl_W* :: 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool **for** *S* **where**

add-conf:

trail *S* $\models_{\text{asm}} \text{init-clss } S \Rightarrow \text{distinct-mset } C \Rightarrow \text{conflicting } S = \text{None} \Rightarrow$
 $\text{trail } S \models_{\text{as}} C \text{Not } C \Rightarrow$
 $\text{full } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy } (\text{update-conflicting } (\text{Some } C) (\text{add-init-clss } C (\text{cut-trail-wrt-clause } C (\text{trail } S) S))) T \Rightarrow$
 $\text{incremental-cdcl}_W S T \mid$

add-no-conf:

$\text{trail } S \models_{\text{asm}} \text{init-clss } S \Rightarrow \text{distinct-mset } C \Rightarrow \text{conflicting } S = \text{None} \Rightarrow$
 $\neg \text{trail } S \models_{\text{as}} C \text{Not } C \Rightarrow$
 $\text{full } \text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy } (\text{add-init-clss } C S) T \Rightarrow$
 $\text{incremental-cdcl}_W S T$

inductive *add-learned-clss* :: 'st \Rightarrow 'v clauses \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool **for** *S* :: 'st **where**

add-learned-clss-nil: *add-learned-clss* *S* {#} *S* |

add-learned-clss-plus:

add-learned-clss *S* *A* *T* \Rightarrow *add-learned-clss* *S* ({#*x*#} + *A*) (*add-learned-clss* *x* *T*)

declare *add-learned-clss.intros*[intro]

lemma *Ex-add-learned-clss*:

$\exists T. \text{add-learned-clss } S A T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *add-learned-clss-trail*:

assumes *add-learned-clss* *S* *U* *T* **and** *no-dup* (*trail* *S*)
shows *trail* *T* = *trail* *S*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *add-learned-clss-learned-clss*:

assumes *add-learned-clss* *S* *U* *T* **and** *no-dup* (*trail* *S*)
shows *learned-clss* *T* = *U* + *learned-clss* *S*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *add-learned-clss-init-clss*:

assumes *add-learned-clss* *S* *U* *T* **and** *no-dup* (*trail* *S*)
shows *init-clss* *T* = *init-clss* *S*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *add-learned-clss-conflicting*:

assumes *add-learned-clss* *S* *U* *T* **and** *no-dup* (*trail* *S*)
shows *conflicting* *T* = *conflicting* *S*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *add-learned-clss-backtrack-lvl*:

assumes *add-learned-clss* *S* *U* *T* **and** *no-dup* (*trail* *S*)
shows *backtrack-lvl* *T* = *backtrack-lvl* *S*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *add-learned-cls-init-state-mempty*[*dest!*]:
add-learned-cls (init-state N) {#} T \implies T = init-state N
 ⟨*proof*⟩

For multiset larger than 1 element, there is no way to know in which order the clauses are added.
 But contrary to a definition *fold-mset*, there is an element.

lemma *add-learned-cls-init-state-single*[*dest!*]:
add-learned-cls (init-state N) {#C#} T \implies T = add-learned-cls C (init-state N)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

thm *rtrancpl-cdcl_W-stgy-no-smaller-confl-inv cdcl_W-stgy-final-state-conclusive*

lemma *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv-add-new-clause-and-update-cdcl_W-all-struct-inv*:

assumes

inv-T: cdcl_W-all-struct-inv T and
tr-T-N[simp]: trail T \models_{asm} N and
tr-C[simp]: trail T \models_{as} CNot C and
[simp]: distinct-mset C

shows *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv (add-new-clause-and-update C T) (is cdcl_W-all-struct-inv ?T')*

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *cdcl_W-all-struct-inv-add-new-clause-and-update-cdcl_W-stgy-inv*:

assumes

inv-s: cdcl_W-stgy-invariant T and
inv: cdcl_W-all-struct-inv T and
tr-T-N[simp]: trail T \models_{asm} N and
tr-C[simp]: trail T \models_{as} CNot C and
[simp]: distinct-mset C

shows *cdcl_W-stgy-invariant (add-new-clause-and-update C T) (is cdcl_W-stgy-invariant ?T')*

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *full-cdcl_W-stgy-inv-normal-form*:

assumes

full: full cdcl_W-stgy S T and
inv-s: cdcl_W-stgy-invariant S and
inv: cdcl_W-all-struct-inv S

shows *conflicting T = Some {#} \wedge unsatisfiable (set-mset (init-cls S))*

\vee conflicting T = None \wedge trail T \models_{asm} init-cls S \wedge satisfiable (set-mset (init-cls S))

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *incremental-cdcl_W-inv*:

assumes

inc: incremental-cdcl_W S T and
inv: cdcl_W-all-struct-inv S and
s-inv: cdcl_W-stgy-invariant S

shows

cdcl_W-all-struct-inv T and
cdcl_W-stgy-invariant T

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *rtrancpl-incremental-cdcl_W-inv*:

assumes

*inc: incremental-cdcl_W** S T and*
inv: cdcl_W-all-struct-inv S and
s-inv: cdcl_W-stgy-invariant S

shows

cdcl_W-all-struct-inv T **and**
cdcl_W-stgy-invariant T
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *incremental-conclusive-state:*

assumes

inc: incremental-cdcl_W S T **and**
inv: cdcl_W-all-struct-inv S **and**
s-inv: cdcl_W-stgy-invariant S

shows *conflicting* $T = \text{Some } \{\#\} \wedge \text{unsatisfiable } (\text{set-mset } (\text{init-clss } T))$

\vee *conflicting* $T = \text{None} \wedge \text{trail } T \models_{\text{asm}} \text{init-clss } T \wedge \text{satisfiable } (\text{set-mset } (\text{init-clss } T))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tranclp-incremental-correct:*

assumes

inc: incremental-cdcl_W⁺⁺ S T **and**
inv: cdcl_W-all-struct-inv S **and**
s-inv: cdcl_W-stgy-invariant S

shows *conflicting* $T = \text{Some } \{\#\} \wedge \text{unsatisfiable } (\text{set-mset } (\text{init-clss } T))$

\vee *conflicting* $T = \text{None} \wedge \text{trail } T \models_{\text{asm}} \text{init-clss } T \wedge \text{satisfiable } (\text{set-mset } (\text{init-clss } T))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *blocked-induction-with-decided:*

assumes

n-d: no-dup $(L \# M)$ **and**

nil: P \square **and**

append: $\bigwedge M L M'. P M \implies \text{is-decided } L \implies \forall m \in \text{set } M'. \neg \text{is-decided } m \implies \text{no-dup } (L \# M' @ M) \implies$

P $(L \# M' @ M)$ **and**

L: is-decided L

shows

P $(L \# M)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *trail-bloc-induction:*

assumes

n-d: no-dup M **and**

nil: P \square **and**

append: $\bigwedge M L M'. P M \implies \text{is-decided } L \implies \forall m \in \text{set } M'. \neg \text{is-decided } m \implies \text{no-dup } (L \# M' @ M) \implies$

P $(L \# M' @ M)$ **and**

append-nm: $\bigwedge M' M''. P M' \implies M = M'' @ M' \implies \forall m \in \text{set } M''. \neg \text{is-decided } m \implies P M$

shows

P M

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

inductive *Tcons* :: $('v, \text{nat}, 'v \text{ clause}) \text{ ann-literals} \Rightarrow ('v, \text{nat}, 'v \text{ clause}) \text{ ann-literals} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

for $M :: ('v, \text{nat}, 'v \text{ clause}) \text{ ann-literals}$ **where**

Tcons $M \square \mid$

Tcons $M M' \implies M = M'' @ M' \implies (\forall m \in \text{set } M''. \neg \text{is-decided } m) \implies \text{Tcons } M (M'' @ M') \mid$

Tcons $M M' \implies \text{is-decided } L \implies M = M''' @ L \# M'' @ M' \implies (\forall m \in \text{set } M''. \neg \text{is-decided } m) \implies$

Tcons $M (L \# M'' @ M')$

lemma *Tcons-same-end: Tcons* $M M' \implies \exists M''. M = M'' @ M'$

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

end

9 2-Watched-Literal

theory *CDCL-Two-Watched-Literals*
imports *CDCL-WNOT*
begin

9.1 Datastructure and Access Functions

Only the 2-watched literals have to be verified here: the backtrack level and the trail that appear in the state are not related to the 2-watched algorithm.

datatype *'v twl-clause* =
 TWL-Clause (*watched: 'v*) (*unwatched: 'v*)

abbreviation *raw-clause* :: *'v clause twl-clause* \Rightarrow *'v clause* **where**
 raw-clause C \equiv *watched C* + *unwatched C*

datatype (*'a, 'b, 'c, 'd*) *twl-state* =
 TWL-State (*trail: 'a list*) (*init-clss: 'b*)
 (*learned-clss: 'b*) (*backtrack-lvl: 'c*)
 (*conflicting: 'd option*)

type-synonym (*'v, 'lvl, 'mark*) *twl-state-abs* =
 (*'v, 'lvl, 'mark*) *ann-literal, 'v clause twl-clause multiset, 'lvl, 'v clause*) *twl-state*

abbreviation *raw-init-clss* **where**
 raw-init-clss S \equiv *image-mset raw-clause (init-clss S)*

abbreviation *raw-learned-clss* **where**
 raw-learned-clss S \equiv *image-mset raw-clause (learned-clss S)*

abbreviation *clauses* **where**
 clauses S \equiv *init-clss S* + *learned-clss S*

abbreviation *raw-clauses* **where**
 raw-clauses S \equiv *image-mset raw-clause (clauses S)*

definition
 candidates-propagate :: (*'v, 'lvl, 'mark*) *twl-state-abs* \Rightarrow (*'v literal* \times *'v clause*) *set*
where
 candidates-propagate S =
 {(*L, raw-clause C*) | *L C*.
 C \in # *clauses S* \wedge *watched C* - *mset-set (uminus ' lits-of (trail S))* = {#*L*#} \wedge
 undefined-lit (trail S) L}

definition *candidates-conflict* :: (*'v, 'lvl, 'mark*) *twl-state-abs* \Rightarrow *'v clause set* **where**
 candidates-conflict S =
 {*raw-clause C* | *C*. *C* \in # *clauses S* \wedge *watched C* \subseteq # *mset-set (uminus ' lits-of (trail S))*}

primrec (*nonexhaustive*) *index* :: 'a list \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow nat **where**
index (a # l) c = (if a = c then 0 else 1 + *index* l c)

lemma *index-nth*:

a \in set l \implies l ! (index l a) = a
 <proof>

9.2 Invariants

We need the following property about updates: if there is a literal L with $-L$ in the trail, and L is not watched, then it stays unwatched; i.e., while updating with *rewatch* it does not get swapped with a watched literal L' such that $-L'$ is in the trail.

primrec *watched-decided-most-recently* :: ('v, 'vl, 'mark) ann-literal list \Rightarrow 'v clause twl-clause \Rightarrow bool
where
watched-decided-most-recently M (TWL-Clause W UW) \longleftrightarrow
 ($\forall L' \in \# W. \forall L \in \# UW. -L' \in \text{ lits-of } M \longrightarrow -L \in \text{ lits-of } M \longrightarrow L \notin \# W \longrightarrow$
index (map lit-of M) (-L') \leq *index* (map lit-of M) (-L))

Here are the invariant strictly related to the 2-WL data structure.

primrec *wf-twl-cl* :: ('v, 'vl, 'mark) ann-literal list \Rightarrow 'v clause twl-clause \Rightarrow bool **where**
wf-twl-cl M (TWL-Clause W UW) \longleftrightarrow
 distinct-mset W \wedge size W \leq 2 \wedge (size W < 2 \longrightarrow set-mset UW \subseteq set-mset W) \wedge
 ($\forall L \in \# W. -L \in \text{ lits-of } M \longrightarrow (\forall L' \in \# UW. L' \notin \# W \longrightarrow -L' \in \text{ lits-of } M)) \wedge$
watched-decided-most-recently M (TWL-Clause W UW)

lemma $-L \in \text{ lits-of } M \implies \{i. \text{ map lit-of } M!i = -L\} \neq \{\}$
 <proof>

lemma *size-mset-2*: size x1 = 2 $\longleftrightarrow (\exists a b. x1 = \{\#a, b\#})$
 <proof>

lemma *distinct-mset-size-2*: distinct-mset $\{\#a, b\# \} \longleftrightarrow a \neq b$
 <proof>

lemma *wf-twl-cl-annotation-indepndant*:

assumes M: map lit-of M = map lit-of M'

shows *wf-twl-cl* M (TWL-Clause W UW) \longleftrightarrow *wf-twl-cl* M' (TWL-Clause W UW)

<proof>

lemma *wf-twl-cl-wf-twl-cl-tl*:

assumes wf: *wf-twl-cl* M C **and** n-d: no-dup M

shows *wf-twl-cl* (tl M) C

<proof>

definition *wf-twl-state* :: ('v, 'vl, 'mark) twl-state-abs \Rightarrow bool **where**

wf-twl-state S $\longleftrightarrow (\forall C \in \# \text{ clauses } S. \text{ wf-twl-cl } (\text{trail } S) C) \wedge \text{ no-dup } (\text{trail } S)$

lemma *wf-candidates-propagate-sound*:

assumes wf: *wf-twl-state* S **and**

cand: (L, C) \in candidates-propagate S

shows trail S $\models_{\text{as}} C \text{Not } (\text{mset-set } (\text{set-mset } C - \{L\})) \wedge \text{ undefined-lit } (\text{trail } S) L$

<proof>

lemma *wf-candidates-propagate-complete*:

assumes *wf*: *wf-twl-state S* **and**
c-mem: $C \in \# \text{ raw-clauses } S$ **and**
l-mem: $L \in \# C$ **and**
unsat: $\text{trail } S \models_{\text{as}} \text{CNot } (\text{mset-set } (\text{set-mset } C - \{L\}))$ **and**
undef: *undefined-lit (trail S) L*
shows $(L, C) \in \text{candidates-propagate } S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *wf-candidates-conflict-sound*:

assumes *wf*: *wf-twl-state S* **and**
cand: $C \in \text{candidates-conflict } S$
shows $\text{trail } S \models_{\text{as}} \text{CNot } C \wedge C \in \# \text{ image-mset raw-clause } (\text{clauses } S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *wf-candidates-conflict-complete*:

assumes *wf*: *wf-twl-state S* **and**
c-mem: $C \in \# \text{ raw-clauses } S$ **and**
unsat: $\text{trail } S \models_{\text{as}} \text{CNot } C$
shows $C \in \text{candidates-conflict } S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

typedef $'v \text{ wf-twl} = \{S :: ('v, \text{nat}, 'v \text{ clause}) \text{ twl-state-abs. wf-twl-state } S\}$
morphisms *rough-state-of-twl twl-of-rough-state*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma [*code abstype*]:

twl-of-rough-state (rough-state-of-twl S) = S
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *wf-twl-state-rough-state-of-twl[simp]*: *wf-twl-state (rough-state-of-twl S)*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

abbreviation *candidates-conflict-twl* :: $'v \text{ wf-twl} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ literal multiset set}$ **where**
candidates-conflict-twl S $\equiv \text{candidates-conflict } (\text{rough-state-of-twl } S)$

abbreviation *candidates-propagate-twl* :: $'v \text{ wf-twl} \Rightarrow ('v \text{ literal} \times 'v \text{ clause}) \text{ set}$ **where**
candidates-propagate-twl S $\equiv \text{candidates-propagate } (\text{rough-state-of-twl } S)$

abbreviation *trail-twl* :: $'a \text{ wf-twl} \Rightarrow ('a, \text{nat}, 'a \text{ literal multiset}) \text{ ann-literal list}$ **where**
trail-twl S $\equiv \text{trail } (\text{rough-state-of-twl } S)$

abbreviation *clauses-twl* :: $'a \text{ wf-twl} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ literal multiset multiset}$ **where**
clauses-twl S $\equiv \text{raw-clauses } (\text{rough-state-of-twl } S)$

abbreviation *init-clss-twl* :: $'a \text{ wf-twl} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ literal multiset multiset}$ **where**
init-clss-twl S $\equiv \text{raw-init-clss } (\text{rough-state-of-twl } S)$

abbreviation *learned-clss-twl* :: $'a \text{ wf-twl} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ literal multiset multiset}$ **where**
learned-clss-twl S $\equiv \text{raw-learned-clss } (\text{rough-state-of-twl } S)$

abbreviation *backtrack-lvl-twl* **where**
backtrack-lvl-twl S $\equiv \text{backtrack-lvl } (\text{rough-state-of-twl } S)$

abbreviation *conflicting-twl* **where**

conflicting-twl $S \equiv \text{conflicting } (\text{rough-state-of-twl } S)$

lemma *wf-candidates-twl-conflict-complete*:

assumes

c-mem: $C \in \# \text{ clauses-twl } S$ **and**

unsat: $\text{trail-twl } S \models_{\text{as}} C \text{Not } C$

shows $C \in \text{candidates-conflict-twl } S$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

abbreviation *update-backtrack-lvl* **where**

update-backtrack-lvl $k \ S \equiv$

$\text{TWL-State } (\text{trail } S) (\text{init-clss } S) (\text{learned-clss } S) \ k \ (\text{conflicting } S)$

abbreviation *update-conflicting* **where**

update-conflicting $C \ S \equiv \text{TWL-State } (\text{trail } S) (\text{init-clss } S) (\text{learned-clss } S) (\text{backtrack-lvl } S) \ C$

9.3 Abstract 2-WL

definition *tl-trail* **where**

tl-trail $S =$

$\text{TWL-State } (\text{tl } (\text{trail } S)) (\text{init-clss } S) (\text{learned-clss } S) (\text{backtrack-lvl } S) (\text{conflicting } S)$

locale *abstract-twl* =

fixes

watch :: $('v, \text{nat}, 'v \text{ clause}) \text{ twl-state-abs} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clause} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clause twl-clause}$ **and**

rewatch :: $('v, \text{nat}, 'v \text{ literal multiset}) \text{ ann-literal} \Rightarrow ('v, \text{nat}, 'v \text{ clause}) \text{ twl-state-abs} \Rightarrow$

$'v \text{ clause twl-clause} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clause twl-clause}$ **and**

linearize :: $'v \text{ clauses} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clause list}$ **and**

restart-learned :: $('v, \text{nat}, 'v \text{ clause}) \text{ twl-state-abs} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clause twl-clause multiset}$

assumes

clause-watch: $\text{no-dup } (\text{trail } S) \Longrightarrow \text{raw-clause } (\text{watch } S \ C) = C$ **and**

wf-watch: $\text{no-dup } (\text{trail } S) \Longrightarrow \text{wf-twl-cls } (\text{trail } S) (\text{watch } S \ C)$ **and**

clause-rewatch: $\text{raw-clause } (\text{rewatch } L \ S \ C') = \text{raw-clause } C'$ **and**

wf-rewatch:

$\text{no-dup } (\text{trail } S) \Longrightarrow \text{undefined-lit } (\text{trail } S) (\text{lit-of } L) \Longrightarrow \text{wf-twl-cls } (\text{trail } S) \ C' \Longrightarrow$

$\text{wf-twl-cls } (L \ \# \ \text{trail } S) (\text{rewatch } L \ S \ C')$

and

linearize: $\text{mset } (\text{linearize } N) = N$ **and**

restart-learned: $\text{restart-learned } S \subseteq \# \text{ learned-clss } S$

begin

lemma *linearize-mempty[simp]*: $\text{linearize } \{\#\} = []$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition

cons-trail :: $('v, \text{nat}, 'v \text{ clause}) \text{ ann-literal} \Rightarrow ('v, \text{nat}, 'v \text{ clause}) \text{ twl-state-abs} \Rightarrow$

$('v, \text{nat}, 'v \text{ clause}) \text{ twl-state-abs}$

where

cons-trail $L \ S =$

$\text{TWL-State } (L \ \# \ \text{trail } S) (\text{image-mset } (\text{rewatch } L \ S) (\text{init-clss } S))$

$(\text{image-mset } (\text{rewatch } L \ S) (\text{learned-clss } S)) (\text{backtrack-lvl } S) (\text{conflicting } S)$

definition

add-init-cl :: $'v \text{ clause} \Rightarrow ('v, \text{nat}, 'v \text{ clause}) \text{ twl-state-abs} \Rightarrow$

$('v, \text{nat}, 'v \text{ clause}) \text{ twl-state-abs}$

where

add-init-cls $C\ S =$
 $TWL\text{-}State\ (trail\ S)\ (\{\#watch\ S\ C\#\} + init\text{-}clss\ S)\ (learned\text{-}clss\ S)\ (backtrack\text{-}lvl\ S)$
 $(conflicting\ S)$

definition

add-learned-cls $:: 'v\ clause \Rightarrow ('v, nat, 'v\ clause)\ twl\text{-}state\text{-}abs \Rightarrow$
 $('v, nat, 'v\ clause)\ twl\text{-}state\text{-}abs$

where

add-learned-cls $C\ S =$
 $TWL\text{-}State\ (trail\ S)\ (init\text{-}clss\ S)\ (\{\#watch\ S\ C\#\} + learned\text{-}clss\ S)\ (backtrack\text{-}lvl\ S)$
 $(conflicting\ S)$

definition

remove-cls $:: 'v\ clause \Rightarrow ('v, nat, 'v\ clause)\ twl\text{-}state\text{-}abs \Rightarrow$
 $('v, nat, 'v\ clause)\ twl\text{-}state\text{-}abs$

where

remove-cls $C\ S =$
 $TWL\text{-}State\ (trail\ S)\ (filter\text{-}mset\ (\lambda D. raw\text{-}clause\ D \neq C)\ (init\text{-}clss\ S))$
 $(filter\text{-}mset\ (\lambda D. raw\text{-}clause\ D \neq C)\ (learned\text{-}clss\ S))\ (backtrack\text{-}lvl\ S)$
 $(conflicting\ S)$

definition *init-state* $:: 'v\ clauses \Rightarrow ('v, nat, 'v\ clause)\ twl\text{-}state\text{-}abs$ **where**

init-state $N = fold\ add\text{-}init\text{-}cls\ (linearize\ N)\ (TWL\text{-}State\ []\ \{\#\}\ \{\#\}\ 0\ None)$

lemma *unchanged-fold-add-init-cls*:

trail $(fold\ add\text{-}init\text{-}cls\ Cs\ (TWL\text{-}State\ M\ N\ U\ k\ C)) = M$
learned-clss $(fold\ add\text{-}init\text{-}cls\ Cs\ (TWL\text{-}State\ M\ N\ U\ k\ C)) = U$
backtrack-lvl $(fold\ add\text{-}init\text{-}cls\ Cs\ (TWL\text{-}State\ M\ N\ U\ k\ C)) = k$
conflicting $(fold\ add\text{-}init\text{-}cls\ Cs\ (TWL\text{-}State\ M\ N\ U\ k\ C)) = C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *unchanged-init-state[simp]*:

trail $(init\text{-}state\ N) = []$
learned-clss $(init\text{-}state\ N) = \{\#\}$
backtrack-lvl $(init\text{-}state\ N) = 0$
conflicting $(init\text{-}state\ N) = None$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *clauses-init-fold-add-init*:

no-dup $M \implies$
image-mset *raw-clause* $(init\text{-}clss\ (fold\ add\text{-}init\text{-}cls\ Cs\ (TWL\text{-}State\ M\ N\ U\ k\ C))) =$
 $mset\ Cs + image\text{-}mset\ raw\text{-}clause\ N$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *init-clss-init-state[simp]*: *image-mset* *raw-clause* $(init\text{-}clss\ (init\text{-}state\ N)) = N$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition *restart'* **where**

restart' $S = TWL\text{-}State\ []\ (init\text{-}clss\ S)\ (restart\text{-}learned\ S)\ 0\ None$

end

9.4 Instantiation of the previous locale

definition *watch-nat* $:: (nat, nat, nat\ clause)\ twl\text{-}state\text{-}abs \Rightarrow nat\ clause \Rightarrow$

nat\ clause\ twl-clause **where**

watch-nat $S\ C =$

```

(let
   $C' = \text{remdups } (\text{sorted-list-of-set } (\text{set-mset } C));$ 
   $\text{negation-not-assigned} = \text{filter } (\lambda L. -L \notin \text{lits-of } (\text{trail } S)) \ C';$ 
   $\text{negation-assigned-sorted-by-trail} = \text{filter } (\lambda L. L \in \# \ C) \ (\text{map } (\lambda L. -\text{lit-of } L) \ (\text{trail } S));$ 
   $W = \text{take } 2 \ (\text{negation-not-assigned } @ \ \text{negation-assigned-sorted-by-trail});$ 
   $UW = \text{sorted-list-of-multiset } (C - \text{mset } W)$ 
in TWL-Clause (mset W) (mset UW))

```

lemma *list-cases2*:

```

fixes  $l :: 'a \text{ list}$ 
assumes
   $l = [] \implies P$  and
   $\bigwedge x. l = [x] \implies P$  and
   $\bigwedge x \ y \ xs. l = x \# \ y \# \ xs \implies P$ 
shows  $P$ 
<proof>

```

lemma *filter-in-list-prop-verifiedD*:

```

assumes  $[L \leftarrow P \ . \ Q \ L] = l$ 
shows  $\forall x \in \text{set } l. x \in \text{set } P \wedge Q \ x$ 
<proof>

```

lemma *no-dup-filter-diff*:

```

assumes  $n\text{-d: no-dup } M$  and  $H: [L \leftarrow \text{map } (\lambda L. - \text{lit-of } L) \ M. L \in \# \ C] = l$ 
shows distinct  $l$ 
<proof>

```

lemma *watch-nat-lists-disjointD*:

```

assumes
   $l: [L \leftarrow \text{remdups } (\text{sorted-list-of-set } (\text{set-mset } C)) \ . \ - \ L \notin \text{lits-of } (\text{trail } S)] = l$  and
   $l': [L \leftarrow \text{map } (\lambda L. - \text{lit-of } L) \ (\text{trail } S) \ . \ L \in \# \ C] = l'$ 
shows  $\forall x \in \text{set } l. \forall y \in \text{set } l'. x \neq y$ 
<proof>

```

lemma *watch-nat-list-cases-witness*[*consumes* 2, *case-names* *nil-nil nil-single nil-other single-nil single-other other*]:

```

fixes
   $C :: 'v \text{ literal multiset}$  and
   $C' :: 'v \text{ literal list}$  and
   $S :: (('v, 'b, 'c) \text{ ann-literal}, 'd, 'e, 'f) \text{ twl-state}$ 
defines
   $xs \equiv [L \leftarrow \text{remdups } C'. - \ L \notin \text{lits-of } (\text{trail } S)]$  and
   $ys \equiv [L \leftarrow \text{map } (\lambda L. - \text{lit-of } L) \ (\text{trail } S) \ . \ L \in \# \ C]$ 
assumes
   $n\text{-d: no-dup } (\text{trail } S)$  and
   $C': \text{set } C' = \text{set-mset } C$  and
  nil-nil:  $xs = [] \implies ys = [] \implies P$  and
  nil-single:
     $\bigwedge a. xs = [] \implies ys = [a] \implies a \in \# \ C \implies P$  and
  nil-other:  $\bigwedge a \ b \ ys'. xs = [] \implies ys = a \# \ b \# \ ys' \implies a \neq b \implies P$  and
  single-nil:  $\bigwedge a. xs = [a] \implies ys = [] \implies P$  and
  single-other:  $\bigwedge a \ b \ ys'. xs = [a] \implies ys = b \# \ ys' \implies a \neq b \implies P$  and
  other:  $\bigwedge a \ b \ xs'. xs = a \# \ b \# \ xs' \implies a \neq b \implies P$ 
shows  $P$ 

```

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *watch-nat-list-cases* [consumes 1, case-names nil-nil nil-single nil-other single-nil single-other other]:

fixes

$C :: 'v::\text{linorder literal multiset}$ **and**
 $S :: (('v, 'b, 'c) \text{ ann-literal}, 'd, 'e, 'f) \text{ twl-state}$

defines

$xs \equiv [L \leftarrow \text{remdups } (\text{sorted-list-of-set } (\text{set-mset } C)) . - L \notin \text{lits-of } (\text{trail } S)]$ **and**
 $ys \equiv [L \leftarrow \text{map } (\lambda L. - \text{lit-of } L) (\text{trail } S) . L \in \# C]$

assumes

$n\text{-d: no-dup } (\text{trail } S)$ **and**
 $\text{nil-nil: } xs = [] \implies ys = [] \implies P$ **and**
 nil-single:
 $\bigwedge a. xs = [] \implies ys = [a] \implies a \in \# C \implies P$ **and**
 $\text{nil-other: } \bigwedge a b ys'. xs = [] \implies ys = a \# b \# ys' \implies a \neq b \implies P$ **and**
 $\text{single-nil: } \bigwedge a. xs = [a] \implies ys = [] \implies P$ **and**
 $\text{single-other: } \bigwedge a b ys'. xs = [a] \implies ys = b \# ys' \implies a \neq b \implies P$ **and**
 $\text{other: } \bigwedge a b xs'. xs = a \# b \# xs' \implies a \neq b \implies P$

shows P

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *watch-nat-lists-set-union-witness:*

fixes

$C :: 'v \text{ literal multiset}$ **and**
 $C' :: 'v \text{ literal list}$ **and**
 $S :: (('v, 'b, 'c) \text{ ann-literal}, 'd, 'e, 'f) \text{ twl-state}$

defines

$xs \equiv [L \leftarrow \text{remdups } C'. - L \notin \text{lits-of } (\text{trail } S)]$ **and**
 $ys \equiv [L \leftarrow \text{map } (\lambda L. - \text{lit-of } L) (\text{trail } S) . L \in \# C]$

assumes $n\text{-d: no-dup } (\text{trail } S)$ **and** $C': \text{set } C' = \text{set-mset } C$

shows $\text{set-mset } C = \text{set } xs \cup \text{set } ys$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *watch-nat-lists-set-union:*

fixes

$C :: 'v::\text{linorder literal multiset}$ **and**
 $S :: (('v, 'b, 'c) \text{ ann-literal}, 'd, 'e, 'f) \text{ twl-state}$

defines

$xs \equiv [L \leftarrow \text{remdups } (\text{sorted-list-of-set } (\text{set-mset } C)). - L \notin \text{lits-of } (\text{trail } S)]$ **and**
 $ys \equiv [L \leftarrow \text{map } (\lambda L. - \text{lit-of } L) (\text{trail } S) . L \in \# C]$

assumes $n\text{-d: no-dup } (\text{trail } S)$

shows $\text{set-mset } C = \text{set } xs \cup \text{set } ys$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mset-intersection-inclusion:* $A + (B - A) = B \longleftrightarrow A \subseteq \# B$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *clause-watch-nat:*

assumes $\text{no-dup } (\text{trail } S)$

shows $\text{raw-clause } (\text{watch-nat } S C) = C$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *set-mset-is-single-in-mset-is-single:*

$set\text{-}mset\ C = \{a\} \implies x \in\# C \implies x = a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *index-uminus-index-map-uminus:*

$-a \in set\ L \implies index\ L\ (-a) = index\ (map\ uminus\ L)\ (a::'a\ literal)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *index-filter:*

$a \in set\ L \implies b \in set\ L \implies P\ a \implies P\ b \implies$
 $index\ L\ a \leq index\ L\ b \longleftrightarrow index\ (filter\ P\ L)\ a \leq index\ (filter\ P\ L)\ b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wf-watch-witness:*

fixes $C :: 'a\ literal\ multiset$ **and** $C' :: 'a\ literal\ list$ **and**

$S :: (('a, 'b, 'c)\ ann\ literal, 'd, 'e, 'f)\ twl\ state$

defines

$ass: negation\text{-}not\text{-}assigned \equiv filter\ (\lambda L. -L \notin lits\text{-}of\ (trail\ S))\ (remdups\ C')$ **and**

$tr: negation\text{-}assigned\text{-}sorted\text{-}by\text{-}trail \equiv filter\ (\lambda L. L \in\# C)\ (map\ (\lambda L. -lit\text{-}of\ L)\ (trail\ S))$

defines

$W: W \equiv take\ 2\ (negation\text{-}not\text{-}assigned\ @\ negation\text{-}assigned\text{-}sorted\text{-}by\text{-}trail)$

assumes

$n\text{-}d[simp]: no\text{-}dup\ (trail\ S)$ **and**

$C': set\ C' = set\text{-}mset\ C$

shows $wf\text{-}twl\text{-}cls\ (trail\ S)\ (TWL\text{-}Clause\ (mset\ W)\ (C - mset\ W))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wf-watch-nat:* $no\text{-}dup\ (trail\ S) \implies wf\text{-}twl\text{-}cls\ (trail\ S)\ (watch\text{-}nat\ S\ C)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition

rewatch-nat ::

$(nat, nat, nat\ literal\ multiset)\ ann\ literal \Rightarrow (nat, nat, nat\ clause)\ twl\ state\text{-}abs \Rightarrow$

$nat\ clause\ twl\ clause \Rightarrow nat\ clause\ twl\ clause$

where

$rewatch\text{-}nat\ L\ S\ C =$

$(if\ -\ lit\text{-}of\ L \in\# watched\ C\ then$

$case\ filter\ (\lambda L'. L' \notin\# watched\ C \wedge -L' \notin lits\text{-}of\ (L\ \# trail\ S))$

$(sorted\text{-}list\text{-}of\text{-}multiset\ (unwatched\ C))\ of$

$\square \Rightarrow C$

$| L' \# - \Rightarrow$

$TWL\text{-}Clause\ (watched\ C - \{\# - lit\text{-}of\ L\# \} + \{\# L'\#\})\ (unwatched\ C - \{\# L'\#\} + \{\# - lit\text{-}of$

$L\#\})$

$else$

$C)$

lemma *clause-rewatch-witness:*

fixes $UW :: 'a\ literal\ list$ **and**

$S :: (('a, 'b, 'c)\ ann\ literal, 'd, 'e, 'f)\ twl\ state$ **and**

$L :: ('a, 'b, 'c)\ ann\ literal$ **and** $C :: 'a\ literal\ multiset\ twl\ clause$

defines $C' \equiv (if\ -\ lit\text{-}of\ L \in\# watched\ C\ then$

$case\ filter\ (\lambda L'. L' \notin\# watched\ C \wedge -L' \notin lits\text{-}of\ (L\ \# trail\ S))\ UW\ of$

$\square \Rightarrow C$

$| L' \# - \Rightarrow$

$TWL\text{-}Clause\ (watched\ C - \{\# - lit\text{-}of\ L\# \} + \{\# L'\#\})\ (unwatched\ C - \{\# L'\#\} + \{\# - lit\text{-}of$

$L\#\})$

```

    else
      C)
assumes
  UW: set UW = set-mset (unwatched C)
shows raw-clause C' = raw-clause C
⟨proof⟩

lemma clause-rewatch-nat: raw-clause (rewatch-nat L S C) = raw-clause C
⟨proof⟩

lemma filter-sorted-list-of-multiset-Nil:
  [x ← sorted-list-of-multiset M. p x] = [] ⟷ (∀ x ∈# M. ¬ p x)
⟨proof⟩

lemma filter-sorted-list-of-multiset-ConsD:
  [x ← sorted-list-of-multiset M. p x] = x # xs ⟹ p x
⟨proof⟩

lemma mset-minus-single-eq-mempty:
  a - {#b#} = {#} ⟷ a = {#b#} ∨ a = {#}
⟨proof⟩

lemma size-mset-le-2-cases:
assumes size W ≤ 2
shows W = {#} ∨ (∃ a. W = {#a#}) ∨ (∃ a b. W = {#a,b#})
⟨proof⟩

lemma filter-sorted-list-of-multiset-eqD:
assumes [x ← sorted-list-of-multiset A. p x] = x # xs (is ?comp = -)
shows x ∈# A
⟨proof⟩

lemma clause-rewatch-witness':
fixes UWC :: 'a literal list and
  S :: (('a, 'b, 'c) ann-literal, 'd, 'e, 'f) twl-state and
  L :: ('a, 'b, 'c) ann-literal and C :: 'a literal multiset twl-clause
defines C' ≡ (if - lit-of L ∈# watched C then
  case filter (λL'. L' ∉# watched C ∧ - L' ∉ lits-of (L # trail S)) UWC of
    [] ⇒ C
  | L' # - ⇒
    TWL-Clause (watched C - {#- lit-of L#} + {#L'#}) (unwatched C - {#L'#} + {#- lit-of
L#})
  else
    C)
assumes
  UWC: set UWC = set-mset (unwatched C) and
  wf: wf-tw-cls (trail S) C and
  n-d: no-dup (trail S) and
  undef: undefined-lit (trail S) (lit-of L)
shows wf-tw-cls (L # trail S) C'
⟨proof⟩

lemma wf-rewatch-nat':
assumes
  wf: wf-tw-cls (trail S) C and

```


n-d: no-dup (trail S) and
undef: undefined-lit (trail S) (lit-of L)
shows *wf-twl-cl*s (L # trail S) (rewatch-nat L S C)
 ⟨proof⟩

interpretation *twl: abstract-twl watch-nat rewatch-nat sorted-list-of-multiset learned-clss*
 ⟨proof⟩

9.5 Interpretation for $cdcl_W.cdcl_W$

context *abstract-twl*
begin

9.5.1 Direct Interpretation

interpretation *rough-cdcl: state_W trail raw-init-clss raw-learned-clss backtrack-lvl conflicting*
cons-trail tl-trail add-init-cls add-learned-cls remove-cls update-backtrack-lvl
update-conflicting init-state restart'
 ⟨proof⟩

interpretation *rough-cdcl: cdcl_W trail raw-init-clss raw-learned-clss backtrack-lvl conflicting*
cons-trail tl-trail add-init-cls add-learned-cls remove-cls update-backtrack-lvl
update-conflicting init-state restart'
 ⟨proof⟩

9.5.2 Opaque Type with Invariant

declare *rough-cdcl.state-simp[simp del]*

definition *cons-trail-twl :: ('v, nat, 'v literal multiset) ann-literal \Rightarrow 'v wf-twl \Rightarrow 'v wf-twl*
where
cons-trail-twl L S \equiv twl-of-rough-state (cons-trail L (rough-state-of-twl S))

lemma *wf-twl-state-cons-trail:*
undefined-lit (trail S) (lit-of L) \implies wf-twl-state S \implies wf-twl-state (cons-trail L S)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *rough-state-of-twl-cons-trail:*
undefined-lit (trail-twl S) (lit-of L) \implies
rough-state-of-twl (cons-trail-twl L S) = cons-trail L (rough-state-of-twl S)
 ⟨proof⟩

abbreviation *add-init-cls-twl where*
add-init-cls-twl C S \equiv twl-of-rough-state (add-init-cls C (rough-state-of-twl S))

lemma *wf-twl-add-init-cls: wf-twl-state S \implies wf-twl-state (add-init-cls L S)*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *rough-state-of-twl-add-init-cls:*
rough-state-of-twl (add-init-cls-twl L S) = add-init-cls L (rough-state-of-twl S)
 ⟨proof⟩

abbreviation *add-learned-cls-twl where*
add-learned-cls-twl C S \equiv twl-of-rough-state (add-learned-cls C (rough-state-of-twl S))

lemma *wf-twl-add-learned-cls*: $wf\text{-}twl\text{-}state\ S \implies wf\text{-}twl\text{-}state\ (add\text{-}learned\text{-}cls\ L\ S)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rough-state-of-twl-add-learned-cls*:
 $rough\text{-}state\text{-}of\text{-}twl\ (add\text{-}learned\text{-}cls\text{-}twl\ L\ S) = add\text{-}learned\text{-}cls\ L\ (rough\text{-}state\text{-}of\text{-}twl\ S)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation *remove-cls-twl* **where**
 $remove\text{-}cls\text{-}twl\ C\ S \equiv twl\text{-}of\text{-}rough\text{-}state\ (remove\text{-}cls\ C\ (rough\text{-}state\text{-}of\text{-}twl\ S))$

lemma *wf-twl-remove-cls*: $wf\text{-}twl\text{-}state\ S \implies wf\text{-}twl\text{-}state\ (remove\text{-}cls\ L\ S)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rough-state-of-twl-remove-cls*:
 $rough\text{-}state\text{-}of\text{-}twl\ (remove\text{-}cls\text{-}twl\ L\ S) = remove\text{-}cls\ L\ (rough\text{-}state\text{-}of\text{-}twl\ S)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation *init-state-twl* **where**
 $init\text{-}state\text{-}twl\ N \equiv twl\text{-}of\text{-}rough\text{-}state\ (init\text{-}state\ N)$

lemma *wf-twl-state-wf-twl-state-fold-add-init-cls*:
assumes $wf\text{-}twl\text{-}state\ S$
shows $wf\text{-}twl\text{-}state\ (fold\ add\text{-}init\text{-}cls\ N\ S)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wf-twl-state-epsilon-state[simp]*:
 $wf\text{-}twl\text{-}state\ (TWL\text{-}State\ []\ \{\#\}\ \{\#\}\ 0\ None)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wf-twl-init-state*: $wf\text{-}twl\text{-}state\ (init\text{-}state\ N)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rough-state-of-twl-init-state*:
 $rough\text{-}state\text{-}of\text{-}twl\ (init\text{-}state\text{-}twl\ N) = init\text{-}state\ N$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation *tl-trail-twl* **where**
 $tl\text{-}trail\text{-}twl\ S \equiv twl\text{-}of\text{-}rough\text{-}state\ (tl\text{-}trail\ (rough\text{-}state\text{-}of\text{-}twl\ S))$

lemma *wf-twl-state-tl-trail*: $wf\text{-}twl\text{-}state\ S \implies wf\text{-}twl\text{-}state\ (tl\text{-}trail\ S)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rough-state-of-twl-tl-trail*:
 $rough\text{-}state\text{-}of\text{-}twl\ (tl\text{-}trail\text{-}twl\ S) = tl\text{-}trail\ (rough\text{-}state\text{-}of\text{-}twl\ S)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation *update-backtrack-lvl-twl* **where**
 $update\text{-}backtrack\text{-}lvl\text{-}twl\ k\ S \equiv twl\text{-}of\text{-}rough\text{-}state\ (update\text{-}backtrack\text{-}lvl\ k\ (rough\text{-}state\text{-}of\text{-}twl\ S))$

lemma *wf-twl-state-update-backtrack-lvl*:
 $wf\text{-}twl\text{-}state\ S \implies wf\text{-}twl\text{-}state\ (update\text{-}backtrack\text{-}lvl\ k\ S)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rough-state-of-twl-update-backtrack-lvl*:

rough-state-of-twl (*update-backtrack-lvl-twl* *k S*) = *update-backtrack-lvl* *k*
 (*rough-state-of-twl S*)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

abbreviation *update-conflicting-twl* **where**

update-conflicting-twl *k S* \equiv *twl-of-rough-state* (*update-conflicting* *k* (*rough-state-of-twl S*))

lemma *wf-twl-state-update-conflicting*:

wf-twl-state S \implies *wf-twl-state* (*update-conflicting* *k S*)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *rough-state-of-twl-update-conflicting*:

rough-state-of-twl (*update-conflicting-twl* *k S*) = *update-conflicting* *k*
 (*rough-state-of-twl S*)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

abbreviation *raw-clauses-twl* **where**

raw-clauses-twl S \equiv *raw-clauses* (*rough-state-of-twl S*)

abbreviation *restart-twl* **where**

restart-twl S \equiv *twl-of-rough-state* (*restart'* (*rough-state-of-twl S*))

lemma *wf-wf-restart'*: *wf-twl-state S* \implies *wf-twl-state* (*restart' S*)

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *rough-state-of-twl-restart-twl*:

rough-state-of-twl (*restart-twl S*) = *restart'* (*rough-state-of-twl S*)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

interpretation *cdcl_W-twl-NOT*: *dpll-state*

λS. convert-trail-from-W (*trail-twl S*)
raw-clauses-twl
λL S. cons-trail-twl (*convert-ann-literal-from-NOT L*) *S*
λS. tl-trail-twl S
λC S. add-learned-cls-twl C S
λC S. remove-cls-twl C S
 ⟨*proof*⟩

interpretation *cdcl_W-twl*: *state_W*

trail-twl
init-clss-twl
learned-clss-twl
backtrack-lvl-twl
conflicting-twl
cons-trail-twl
tl-trail-twl
add-init-cls-twl
add-learned-cls-twl
remove-cls-twl
update-backtrack-lvl-twl
update-conflicting-twl
init-state-twl
restart-twl
 ⟨*proof*⟩

interpretation $cdcl_W\text{-twl}$: $cdcl_W$

trail-tw
init-clss-tw
learned-clss-tw
backtrack-lvl-tw
conflicting-tw
cons-trail-tw
tl-trail-tw
add-init-clss-tw
add-learned-clss-tw
remove-clss-tw
update-backtrack-lvl-tw
update-conflicting-tw
init-state-tw
restart-tw
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

sublocale $cdcl_W$

trail-tw
init-clss-tw
learned-clss-tw
backtrack-lvl-tw
conflicting-tw
cons-trail-tw
tl-trail-tw
add-init-clss-tw
add-learned-clss-tw
remove-clss-tw
update-backtrack-lvl-tw
update-conflicting-tw
init-state-tw
restart-tw
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

abbreviation $state\text{-}eq\text{-}tw$ (**infix** \sim_{TWL} 51) **where**

$state\text{-}eq\text{-}tw\ S\ S' \equiv \text{rough-}cdcl.state\text{-}eq\ (\text{rough-state-of-tw}\ S)\ (\text{rough-state-of-tw}\ S')$

notation $cdcl_W\text{-twl.state}\text{-}eq$ (**infix** \sim 51)

declare $cdcl_W\text{-twl.state}\text{-}simp[simp\ del]$
 $cdcl_W\text{-twl}\text{-}NOT.state\text{-}simp_{NOT}[simp\ del]$

To avoid ambiguities:

no-notation $state\text{-}eq\text{-}tw$ (**infix** \sim 51)

definition $propagate\text{-}tw$ **where**

$propagate\text{-}tw\ S\ S' \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists L\ C. (L, C) \in \text{candidates-propagate-tw}\ S$
 $\wedge S' \sim \text{cons-trail-tw}\ (\text{Propagated}\ L\ C)\ S$
 $\wedge \text{conflicting-tw}\ S = \text{None})$

lemma $propagate\text{-}tw\text{-}iff\text{-}propagate$:

assumes $inv: cdcl_W\text{-twl.cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv}\ S$

shows $cdcl_W\text{-twl.propagate}\ S\ T \longleftrightarrow propagate\text{-}tw\ S\ T$ (**is** $?P \longleftrightarrow ?T$)

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

no-notation $CDCL\text{-Two-Watched-Literals.twl.state}\text{-}eq\text{-}tw$ (**infix** \sim_{TWL} 51)

definition *conflict-tw* **where**

conflict-tw $S S' \longleftrightarrow$

$(\exists C. C \in \text{candidates-conflict-tw } S$
 $\wedge S' \sim \text{update-conflicting-tw } (\text{Some } C) S$
 $\wedge \text{conflicting-tw } S = \text{None})$

lemma *conflict-tw-iff-conflict*:

shows $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-twl.conflict } S T \longleftrightarrow \text{conflict-tw } S T$ (**is** $?C \longleftrightarrow ?T$)

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

inductive $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-twl} :: 'v \text{ wf-tw} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ wf-tw} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **for** $S :: 'v \text{ wf-tw}$ **where**

propagate: $\text{propagate-tw } S S' \Longrightarrow \text{cdcl}_W\text{-twl } S S' \mid$

conflict: $\text{conflict-tw } S S' \Longrightarrow \text{cdcl}_W\text{-twl } S S' \mid$

other: $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-twl.cdcl}_W\text{-o } S S' \Longrightarrow \text{cdcl}_W\text{-twl } S S' \mid$

rf: $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-twl.cdcl}_W\text{-rf } S S' \Longrightarrow \text{cdcl}_W\text{-twl } S S'$

lemma *cdcl_W-twl-iff-cdcl_W*:

assumes $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-twl.cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } S$

shows $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-twl } S T \longleftrightarrow \text{cdcl}_W\text{-twl.cdcl}_W S T$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancp-cdcl_W-twl-all-struct-inv-inv*:

assumes $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-twl}^{**} S T$ **and** $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-twl.cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } S$

shows $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-twl.cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } T$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancp-cdcl_W-twl-iff-rtrancp-cdcl_W*:

assumes $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-twl.cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } S$

shows $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-twl}^{**} S T \longleftrightarrow \text{cdcl}_W\text{-twl.cdcl}_W^{**} S T$ (**is** $?T \longleftrightarrow ?W$)

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

interpretation *cdcl_{NOT}-twl: backjumping-ops*

$\lambda S. \text{convert-trail-from-}W (\text{trail-tw } S)$

abstract-tw.*raw-clauses-tw*

$\lambda L (S :: 'v \text{ wf-tw}).$

cons-trail-tw

$(\text{convert-ann-literal-from-NOT } L) (S :: 'v \text{ wf-tw})$

tl-trail-tw

add-learned-cl.*tw*

remove-cl.*tw*

$\lambda C \text{ - - } (S :: 'v \text{ wf-tw}) \text{ - } C \in \text{candidates-conflict-tw } S$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *reduce-trail-to_{NOT}-skip-beginning-tw*:

assumes $\text{trail-tw } S = \text{convert-trail-from-NOT } (F' @ F)$

shows $\text{trail-tw } (\text{cdcl}_W\text{-twl.reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} F S) = \text{convert-trail-from-NOT } F$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *reduce-trail-to_{NOT}-trail-tl-trail-tw-decomp[simp]*:

$\text{trail-tw } S = \text{convert-trail-from-NOT } (F' @ \text{Decided } K () \# F) \Longrightarrow$

$\text{trail-tw } (\text{cdcl}_W\text{-twl.reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} F (\text{tl-trail-tw } S)) = \text{convert-trail-from-NOT } F$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *trail-tw-reduce-trail-to_{NOT}-drop*:

$\text{trail-tw } (\text{cdcl}_W\text{-twl.reduce-trail-to}_{NOT} F S) =$

(if length (trail-twl S) ≥ length F
 then drop (length (trail-twl S) - length F) (trail-twl S)
 else [])
 ⟨proof⟩

interpretation *cdcl_{NOT}-twl: dpll-with-backjumping-ops*

λS. convert-trail-from-W (trail-twl S)
 abstract-twl.raw-clauses-twl
 λL S.
 cons-trail-twl
 (convert-ann-literal-from-NOT L) S
 tl-trail-twl
 add-learned-cls-twl
 remove-cls-twl
 λL S. lit-of L ∈ fst ‘ candidates-propagate-twl S
 λS. no-dup (trail-twl S)
 λC - - S -. C ∈ candidates-conflict-twl S
 ⟨proof⟩

interpretation *cdcl_{NOT}-twl: dpll-with-backjumping*

λS. convert-trail-from-W (trail-twl S)
 abstract-twl.raw-clauses-twl
 λL (S:: 'v wf-twl).
 cons-trail-twl
 (convert-ann-literal-from-NOT L) (S:: 'v wf-twl)
 tl-trail-twl
 add-learned-cls-twl
 remove-cls-twl
 λL S. lit-of L ∈ fst ‘ candidates-propagate-twl S
 λS. no-dup (trail-twl S)
 λC - - (S:: 'v wf-twl) -. C ∈ candidates-conflict-twl S
 ⟨proof⟩

end

end

10 Implementation for 2 Watched-Literals

theory *CDCL-Two-Watched-Literals-Implementation*

imports *CDCL-Two-Watched-Literals DPLL-CDCL-W-Implementation*

begin

type-synonym 'v conc-twl-state =

(('v, nat, 'v literal list) ann-literal, 'v literal list twl-clause list, nat, 'v literal list)
 twl-state

fun convert :: ('a, 'b, 'c list) ann-literal ⇒ ('a, 'b, 'c multiset) ann-literal **where**

convert (Propagated L C) = Propagated L (mset C) |

convert (Decided K i) = Decided K i

abbreviation convert-tr :: ('a, 'b, 'c list) ann-literals ⇒ ('a, 'b, 'c multiset) ann-literals

where

convert-tr ≡ map convert

abbreviation convertC :: 'a literal list option ⇒ 'a clause option **where**

convertC \equiv *map-option mset*

fun *raw-clause-l* :: '*v* list twl-clause \Rightarrow '*v* multiset twl-clause **where**
raw-clause-l (*TWL-Clause* *UW* *W*) = *TWL-Clause* (*mset* *W*) (*mset* *UW*)

abbreviation *convert-clss* :: '*v* literal list twl-clause list \Rightarrow '*v* clause twl-clause multiset
where

convert-clss *S* \equiv *mset* (*map* *raw-clause-l* *S*)

fun *raw-state-of-conc* :: '*v* conc-twl-state \Rightarrow ('*v*, nat, '*v* clause) twl-state-abs **where**
raw-state-of-conc (*TWL-State* *M* *N* *U* *k* *C*) =
TWL-State (*convert-tr* *M*) (*convert-clss* *N*) (*convert-clss* *U*) *k* (*map-option mset* *C*)

lemma

raw-state-of-conc (*tl-trail* *S*) = *tl-trail* (*raw-state-of-conc* *S*)
 \langle *proof* \rangle

typedef '*v* conv-twl-state = {*S*:: '*v* conc-twl-state. *wf-twl-state* (*raw-state-of-conc* *S*)}

morphisms *list-twl-state-of* *cls-twl-state*

\langle *proof* \rangle

term *list-twl-state-of*

definition *watch-list* :: '*v* conv-twl-state \Rightarrow '*v* literal list \Rightarrow '*v* literal list twl-clause **where**

watch-list *S'* *C* =

(*let*

M = *trail* (*list-twl-state-of* *S'*);

C' = *remdups* *C*;

negation-not-assigned = *filter* ($\lambda L. -L \notin \text{ lits-of } M$) *C'*;

negation-assigned-sorted-by-trail = *filter* ($\lambda L. L \in \text{ set } C$) (*map* ($\lambda L. -\text{lit-of } L$) *M*);

W = *take* 2 (*negation-not-assigned* @ *negation-assigned-sorted-by-trail*);

UW = *foldl* ($\lambda a l. \text{ remove1 } l a$) *C* *W*

in *TWL-Clause* *W* *UW*)

lemma *wf-watch-nat*: *no-dup* (*trail* (*list-twl-state-of* *S*)) \Rightarrow

wf-twl-cls (*trail* (*list-twl-state-of* *S*)) (*raw-clause-l* (*watch-list* *S* *C*))

\langle *proof* \rangle

end