

---

MODULE *cbccasper\_binary*

---

EXTENDS *Integers, Sequences, FiniteSets, TLC*

The first four are parameters of the protocol, and the rest are defined for purposes of model checking

- *validators*: a set specifying the names (consecutive integers) of the *validators*
- *validator\_weights*: a tuple assigning a weight (integer) to each *validator*
- *byzantine\_threshold*: a number less than the sum of the weights of all the *validators*
- *consensus\_values*: the set of values the *validators* decide on; the binary values 0 and 1 in this model
- *validators\_initial\_values*: the estimates without receiving other messages
- *message\_ids*: a number used to limit the number of messages sent in the model
- *byzantine\_fault\_nodes*: define the equivocating nodes

CONSTANTS

*validators*,  
*validator\_weights*,  
*byzantine\_threshold*,  
*consensus\_values*,  
*validators\_initial\_values*,  
*message\_ids*,  
*byzantine\_fault\_nodes*

*Even*  $\triangleq \{i \in \text{Integers} : i \bmod 2 = 0\}$

The following is written in *PlusCal*, which will be transpiled to TLA+.

The transpiled TLA+ code is appended to the *PlusCal* code, and the *PlusCal* code will appear as comments.

**--algorithm** *algo*

variables are updated as the model checker runs:

- *all\_msg*: a set of all messages ids (integers)
- *equivocating\_msg*: a set specifying all the equivocating messages  
(not all the *validators* receive that same message)
- *msg\_sender*: a tuple specifying the sender of the message with the given id
- *msg\_estimate*: a tuple specifying the estimate of the message with the given id
- *msg\_justification*: a tuple specifying the justification of the message  
(set of messages used to calculate the estimate) with the given id,
- *cur\_msg\_id*: the id of the current message being sent; incremented after every message
- *validator\_init\_done*: a tuple indicating whether the *validator* has sent the initial message  
(1 is done; all the *validators* are initialized to 0 in the beginning)
- *equiv\_msg\_receivers*: a tuple specifying a subset of all *validators* receiving a certain equivocating message;  
if the message is not equivocating append the empty set
- *cur\_subset*: a 'temp' variable used to store the set of *validators* receiving the current equivocating message

**variables**

*all\_msg* = {},  
*equivocating\_msg* = {},  
*msg\_sender* =  $\langle \rangle$ ,  
*msg\_estimate* =  $\langle \rangle$ ,  
*msg\_justification* =  $\langle \rangle$ ,

```

cur_msg_id = 1,
validator_init_done = ⟨0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0⟩,
equiv_msg_receivers = ⟨⟩,
cur_subset

```

### define

The dependencies of a message  $m1$  are the messages in the justification of  $m1$  and in the justifications of the justifications of  $m1$  and so on. The set is generated using a recursive function until the base case is reached - the only justification of a message is itself.

```

dependencies(message)  $\triangleq$ 
  LET
    RECURSIVE dep(-)
    dep(msg)  $\triangleq$ 
      IF Cardinality(msg_justification[msg]) = 1  $\wedge$  msg  $\in$  msg_justification[msg]
      THEN {msg}
      ELSE UNION {dep(msg2) : msg2  $\in$  msg_justification[msg]}
  IN dep(message)

```

Gets the set of dependencies of all the messages in a set of messages.

```

dep_set(messages)  $\triangleq$  messages  $\cup$  UNION {dependencies(m) : m  $\in$  messages}

```

The latest message of a *validator* in an observed set of messages is the message for which no other messages sent by the same *validator* justifies it.

```

latest_message(validator, messages)  $\triangleq$ 
  {msg  $\in$  messages :
     $\wedge$  msg_sender[msg] = validator
     $\wedge$   $\neg \exists$  msg2  $\in$  messages :
       $\wedge$  msg_sender[msg2] = validator
       $\wedge$  msg  $\neq$  msg2
       $\wedge$  msg  $\in$  dependencies(msg2)
  }

```

Defines the *Pick* operator used to choose an arbitrary element from a set.

```

Pick(S)  $\triangleq$  CHOOSE  $s \in S$  : TRUE

```

Defines the *Sum* operator used to find the sum of all the elements in a set.

```

RECURSIVE SetReduce(-, -, -)
SetReduce(Op(-, -), S, value)  $\triangleq$ 
  IF S = {} THEN value
  ELSE LET s  $\triangleq$  Pick(S) IN SetReduce(Op, S \ {s}, Op(s, value))

Sum(S)  $\triangleq$  LET _op(a, b)  $\triangleq$  a + b IN SetReduce(_op, S, 0)

```

The following defines the estimator used in the binary consensus protocol.

The score of an estimate is the sum of the weights of all the *validators* having the given estimate in its latest message in a set of observed messages.

The estimator returns the estimate with the larger score. If there's a tie, in this example, the value 0 is used.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{score}(\text{estimate}, \text{messages}) \triangleq \\
& \text{LET } ss \triangleq \\
& \quad \{v \in \text{validators} : \\
& \quad \quad \wedge \text{Cardinality}(\text{latest\_message}(v, \text{messages})) = 1 \\
& \quad \quad \wedge \exists m \in \text{latest\_message}(v, \text{messages}) : \\
& \quad \quad \quad \text{msg\_estimate}[m] = \text{estimate}\} \\
& \quad ss2 \triangleq \{\text{validator\_weights}[v] : v \in ss\} \\
& \text{IN } \text{Sum}(ss2) \\
& \text{binary\_estimator}(\text{messages}) \triangleq \\
& \quad \text{IF } \text{score}(1, \text{messages}) > \text{score}(0, \text{messages}) \\
& \quad \quad \text{THEN } 1 \\
& \quad \quad \text{ELSE } 0
\end{aligned}$$

Two messages are equivocating if they have the same sender but do not justify each other.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{equivocation}(m1, m2) \triangleq \\
& \quad \wedge m1 \neq m2 \\
& \quad \wedge \text{msg\_sender}[m1] = \text{msg\_sender}[m2] \\
& \quad \wedge m1 \notin \text{dependencies}(m2) \\
& \quad \wedge m2 \notin \text{dependencies}(m1)
\end{aligned}$$

A *validator* is byzantine if it sends equivocating messages.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{faulty\_node}(\text{validator}, \text{messages}) \triangleq \\
& \quad \wedge \exists m1 \in \text{dep\_set}(\text{messages}) : \\
& \quad \quad \wedge \exists m2 \in \text{dep\_set}(\text{messages}) : \\
& \quad \quad \quad \wedge \text{validator} = \text{msg\_sender}[m1] \\
& \quad \quad \quad \wedge \text{equivocation}(m1, m2)
\end{aligned}$$

Set of byzantine *validators* in an observed set of messages.

$$\text{faulty\_nodes}(\text{messages}) \triangleq \{v \in \text{validators} : \text{faulty\_node}(v, \text{messages})\}$$

Returns the total weight of all byzantine *validators*.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{fault\_weight}(\text{messages}) \triangleq \\
& \quad \text{LET } \text{byz} \triangleq \text{faulty\_nodes}(\text{messages}) \\
& \quad \text{IN } \text{Sum}(\{\text{validator\_weights}[v] : v \in \text{byz}\})
\end{aligned}$$

For a given fault tolerance threshold  $t$ , a protocol state is the set of messages with fault weight less than  $t$ .

A state transition is possible if one state is a subset of another.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{protocol\_states}(\text{messages}, t) \triangleq \{s \in \text{SUBSET}(\text{messages}) : \text{fault\_weight}(s) < t\} \\
& \text{protocol\_executions}(\text{state1}, \text{state2}) \triangleq \text{state1} \subseteq \text{state2}
\end{aligned}$$

Two *validators*  $v1$  and  $v2$  are agreeing with each other if:

- $v1$  has exactly one latest message in messages
- $v2$  has exactly one latest message in the justification of  $v1$ 's latest message
- the latest messages have estimates that agree with each other

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{validators\_agreeing}(v1, v2, \text{estimate}, \text{messages}) \triangleq \\
& \quad \wedge \text{Cardinality}(\text{latest\_message}(v1, \text{messages})) = 1 \\
& \quad \wedge \text{LET } v1\_latest\_msg \triangleq \text{Pick}(\text{latest\_message}(v1, \text{messages})) \\
& \quad \quad \text{IN } \text{Cardinality}(\text{latest\_message}(v2, \text{msg\_justification}[v1\_latest\_msg])) = 1 \\
& \quad \quad \wedge \text{LET } v2\_latest\_msg \triangleq \text{Pick}(\text{latest\_message}(v2, \text{msg\_justification}[v1\_latest\_msg])) \\
& \quad \quad \quad \text{IN } \text{estimate} = \text{msg\_estimate}[v2\_latest\_msg]
\end{aligned}$$

Two *validators* are disagreeing with each other if:

- *v1* has exactly one latest message in messages
- *v2* has exactly one latest message in the justification of *v1*'s latest message
- *v2* has a new latest message that doesn't agree with the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{validators\_disagreeing}(v1, v2, \text{estimate}, \text{messages}) \triangleq \\
& \quad \wedge \text{Cardinality}(\text{latest\_message}(v1, \text{messages})) = 1 \\
& \quad \wedge \text{LET } v1\_latest\_msg \triangleq \text{CHOOSE } x \in \text{latest\_message}(v1, \text{messages}) : \text{TRUE} \\
& \quad \quad \text{IN } \text{Cardinality}(\text{latest\_message}(v2, \text{msg\_justification}[v1\_latest\_msg])) = 1 \\
& \quad \quad \wedge \text{LET } v2\_latest\_msg \triangleq \text{CHOOSE } x \in \text{latest\_message}(v2, \text{msg\_justification}[v1\_latest\_msg]) : \\
& \quad \quad \quad \text{IN } \exists m \in \text{messages} : v2\_latest\_msg \in \text{dependencies}(m) \\
& \quad \quad \quad \quad \wedge \text{estimate} \neq \text{msg\_estimate}[m]
\end{aligned}$$

An “e-clique” is a group of non-byzantine nodes in a set of observed messages such that :

- they mutually see each other agreeing with estimate in messages
- they mutually cannot see each other disagreeing with estimate in messages

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{e\_clique}(\text{estimate}, \text{messages}) \triangleq \{ \\
& \quad ss \in \text{SUBSET}(\text{validators}) : \\
& \quad \quad \wedge \forall v1 \in ss : \\
& \quad \quad \quad \wedge \forall v2 \in ss : \\
& \quad \quad \quad \quad \text{IF } v1 = v2 \\
& \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \text{THEN TRUE} \\
& \quad \quad \quad \quad \text{ELSE} \\
& \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \wedge \text{validators\_agreeing}(v1, v2, \text{estimate}, \text{messages}) \\
& \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \wedge \neg \text{validators\_disagreeing}(v1, v2, \text{estimate}, \text{messages}) \\
& \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \text{isn't this just } \vee ? \\
& \quad \}
\end{aligned}$$

Finds the existence of an e-clique

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{e\_clique\_estimate\_safety}(\text{estimate}, \text{messages}) \triangleq \\
& \quad \wedge \exists ss \in \text{e\_clique}(\text{estimate}, \text{messages}) : \\
& \quad \quad \wedge 2 * \text{Sum}(\{\text{validator\_weights}[v] : v \in ss\}) > \text{Sum}(\{\text{validator\_weights}[v] : v \in \text{validators}\}) + b
\end{aligned}$$

Gets the set of messges received by a particular valiadtor

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{validator\_received\_msg}(\text{validator}) \triangleq \\
& \quad (\text{all\_msg} \setminus \text{equivocating\_msg}) \cup \\
& \quad \{x \in \text{equivocating\_msg} : \text{validator} \in \text{equiv\_msg\_receivers}[x]\}
\end{aligned}$$

Returns a subset of received messages for an equivocating *validator* to generate potentially equivocating messages

$$\text{get\_equivocation\_subset\_msg}(\text{validator}) \triangleq \text{CHOOSE } x \in \text{SUBSET}(\text{validator\_received\_msg}(\text{validator})) :$$

Returns a subset of *validators* receiving a particular equivocating message

$get\_equiv\_receivers validator \triangleq \text{CHOOSE } x \in (\text{SUBSET } (validators \setminus \{validator\})) : x \neq \{\}$

A temporal property checking that finality can eventually be reached in a binary consensus protocol

$check\_safety\_with\_oracle \triangleq$

LET  $v \triangleq \text{CHOOSE } v \in (validators \setminus byzantine\_fault\_nodes) : \text{TRUE}$

IN  $\Diamond(e\_clique\_estimate\_safety(0, validator\_received\_msg(v)) \vee e\_clique\_estimate\_safety(1, validator\_received\_msg(v)))$

**end define ;**

A message from a non-byzantine *validator* is received by all the *validators*

**macro** *make\_message*(*validator*, *estimate*, *justification*)**begin**

*equiv\_msg\_receivers* := *Append*(*equiv\_msg\_receivers*,  $\{\}$ );

*msg\_sender* := *Append*(*msg\_sender*, *validator*);

*msg\_estimate* := *Append*(*msg\_estimate*, *estimate*);

*msg\_justification* := *Append*(*msg\_justification*, *justification*);

*all\_msg* := *all\_msg*  $\cup \{cur\_msg\_id\}$ ;

*cur\_msg\_id* := *cur\_msg\_id* + 1;

**end macro ;**

A *validators* sends an initial message without receiving information from other *validators*

An initial message is only justified by itself

**macro** *init\_validator*(*validator*)**begin**

*make\_message*(*validator*, *validators\_initial\_values*[*validator*],  $\{cur\_msg\_id\}$ );

*validator\_init\_done*[*validator*] := 1;

**end macro ;**

An equivocating *validator* takes different subsets of its received messages to generate

different estimates and sends the different messages to different subsets of *validators*.

**macro** *make\_equivocating\_messages*(*validator*)**begin**

*equiv\_msg\_receivers* := *Append*(*equiv\_msg\_receivers*, *get\_equiv\_receivers*(*validator*));

*cur\_subset* := *get\_equivocation\_subset\_msg*(*validator*);

*equivocating\_msg* := *equivocating\_msg*  $\cup \{cur\_msg\_id\}$ ;

*msg\_sender* := *Append*(*msg\_sender*, *validator*);

*msg\_estimate* := *Append*(*msg\_estimate*, *binary\_estimator*(*cur\_subset*));

*msg\_justification* := *Append*(*msg\_justification*, *cur\_subset*);

*all\_msg* := *all\_msg*  $\cup \{cur\_msg\_id\}$ ;

*cur\_msg\_id* := *cur\_msg\_id* + 1;

**end macro ;**

A general macro for sending messages

Non-equivocating and equivocating *validators* behave differently

No honest *validator* will send the same message multiple times consecutively

Equivocating *validators* may send different messages to different subsets of *validators* consecutively.

**macro** *send\_message*(*validator*)**begin**

**if** (*cur\_msg\_id* > 1  $\wedge$  *msg\_sender*[*cur\_msg\_id* - 1]  $\neq$  *validator*)  $\vee$  (*validator*  $\in$  *byzantine\_fault\_nodes*)

**if** *validator*  $\notin$  *byzantine\_fault\_nodes* **then**

*make\_message*(*validator*, *binary\_estimator*(*validator\_received\_msg*(*validator*)), *validator\_received\_msg*(*validator*));

```

        else
            make_equivocating_messages(validator) ;
        end if ;
    else
        skip ;
    end if ;
end macro ;

Each process is an individual validator
Validators send messages in random orders
Validators keep on sending messages until the maximum limit is reached
fair process  $v \in \text{validators}$  begin
    Validate:
    while  $\text{cur\_msg\_id} \leq \text{message\_ids}$  do
        if  $\text{validator\_init\_done}[\text{self}] = 0 \wedge \text{self} \notin \text{byzantine\_fault\_nodes}$  then
            init_validator(self) ;
        else
            send_message(self) ;
        end if ;
    end while ;
end process ;

end algorithm ; ***

```

#### BEGIN TRANSLATION

CONSTANT *defaultInitValue*

VARIABLES *all\_msg*, *equivocating\_msg*, *msg\_sender*, *msg\_estimate*,  
*msg\_justification*, *cur\_msg\_id*, *validator\_init\_done*,  
*equiv\_msg\_receivers*, *cur\_subset*, *pc*

#### define statement

$\text{dependencies}(\text{message}) \triangleq$

LET

RECURSIVE  $\text{dep}(-)$

$\text{dep}(\text{msg}) \triangleq$

IF  $\text{Cardinality}(\text{msg\_justification}[\text{msg}]) = 1 \wedge \text{msg} \in \text{msg\_justification}[\text{msg}]$

THEN  $\{\text{msg}\}$

ELSE UNION  $\{\text{dep}(\text{msg2}) : \text{msg2} \in \text{msg\_justification}[\text{msg}]\}$

IN  $\text{dep}(\text{message})$

$\text{dep\_set}(\text{messages}) \triangleq$

$\text{messages} \cup \text{UNION } \{\text{dependencies}(m) : m \in \text{messages}\}$

$\text{latest\_message}(\text{validator}, \text{messages}) \triangleq$

$\{\text{msg} \in \text{messages} :$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \wedge \text{msg\_sender}[\text{msg}] = \text{validator} \\
& \wedge \neg \exists \text{msg2} \in \text{messages} : \\
& \quad \wedge \text{msg\_sender}[\text{msg2}] = \text{validator} \\
& \quad \wedge \text{msg} \neq \text{msg2} \\
& \quad \wedge \text{msg} \in \text{dependencies}(\text{msg2}) \\
& \} \\
\\
\text{Pick}(S) & \triangleq \text{CHOOSE } s \in S : \text{TRUE} \\
\text{RECURSIVE } \text{SetReduce}(-, -, -) & \\
\text{SetReduce}(\text{Op}(-, -), S, \text{value}) & \triangleq \\
\text{IF } S = \{\} & \text{ THEN } \text{value} \\
\text{ELSE LET } s & \triangleq \text{Pick}(S) \text{ IN } \text{SetReduce}(\text{Op}, S \setminus \{s\}, \text{Op}(s, \text{value})) \\
\\
\text{Sum}(S) & \triangleq \text{LET } \_op(a, b) \triangleq a + b \text{ IN } \text{SetReduce}(\_op, S, 0) \\
\\
\text{score}(\text{estimate}, \text{messages}) & \triangleq \\
\text{LET } ss & \triangleq \\
\{v \in \text{validators} : & \\
& \wedge \text{Cardinality}(\text{latest\_message}(v, \text{messages})) = 1 \\
& \wedge \exists m \in \text{latest\_message}(v, \text{messages}) : \\
& \quad \text{msg\_estimate}[m] = \text{estimate}\} \\
ss2 & \triangleq \{\text{validator\_weights}[v] : v \in ss\} \\
\text{IN } \text{Sum}(ss2) & \\
\\
\text{binary\_estimator}(\text{messages}) & \triangleq \\
\text{IF } \text{score}(1, \text{messages}) > \text{score}(0, \text{messages}) & \\
\text{THEN } 1 & \\
\text{ELSE } 0 & \\
\\
\text{equivocation}(m1, m2) & \triangleq \\
& \wedge m1 \neq m2 \\
& \wedge \text{msg\_sender}[m1] = \text{msg\_sender}[m2] \\
& \wedge m1 \notin \text{dependencies}(m2) \\
& \wedge m2 \notin \text{dependencies}(m1) \\
\\
\text{faulty\_node}(\text{validator}, \text{messages}) & \triangleq \\
& \wedge \exists m1 \in \text{dep\_set}(\text{messages}) : \\
& \quad \wedge \exists m2 \in \text{dep\_set}(\text{messages}) : \\
& \quad \quad \wedge \text{validator} = \text{msg\_sender}[m1] \\
& \quad \quad \wedge \text{equivocation}(m1, m2) \\
\\
\text{faulty\_nodes}(\text{messages}) & \triangleq \\
\{v \in \text{validators} : \text{faulty\_node}(v, \text{messages})\} &
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{fault\_weight}(\text{messages}) \triangleq \\
& \quad \text{LET } \text{byz} \triangleq \text{faulty\_nodes}(\text{messages}) \\
& \quad \text{IN } \text{Sum}(\{\text{validator\_weights}[v] : v \in \text{byz}\})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{protocol\_states}(\text{messages}, t) \triangleq \{ss \in \text{SUBSET}(\text{messages}) : \text{fault\_weight}(ss) < t\} \\
& \text{protocol\_executions}(\text{state1}, \text{state2}) \triangleq \text{state1} \subseteq \text{state2}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{validators\_agreeing}(v1, v2, \text{estimate}, \text{messages}) \triangleq \\
& \quad \wedge \text{Cardinality}(\text{latest\_message}(v1, \text{messages})) = 1 \\
& \quad \wedge \text{LET } v1\_latest\_msg \triangleq \text{CHOOSE } x \in \text{latest\_message}(v1, \text{messages}) : \text{TRUE} \\
& \quad \quad \text{IN } \text{Cardinality}(\text{latest\_message}(v2, \text{msg\_justification}[v1\_latest\_msg])) = 1 \\
& \quad \quad \wedge \text{LET } v2\_latest\_msg \triangleq \text{CHOOSE } x \in \text{latest\_message}(v2, \text{msg\_justification}[v1\_latest\_msg]) : \text{TRUE} \\
& \quad \quad \quad \text{IN } \text{estimate} = \text{msg\_estimate}[v2\_latest\_msg]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{validators\_disagreeing}(v1, v2, \text{estimate}, \text{messages}) \triangleq \\
& \quad \wedge \text{Cardinality}(\text{latest\_message}(v1, \text{messages})) = 1 \\
& \quad \wedge \text{LET } v1\_latest\_msg \triangleq \text{CHOOSE } x \in \text{latest\_message}(v1, \text{messages}) : \text{TRUE} \\
& \quad \quad \text{IN } \text{Cardinality}(\text{latest\_message}(v2, \text{msg\_justification}[v1\_latest\_msg])) = 1 \\
& \quad \quad \wedge \text{LET } v2\_latest\_msg \triangleq \text{CHOOSE } x \in \text{latest\_message}(v2, \text{msg\_justification}[v1\_latest\_msg]) : \text{TRUE} \\
& \quad \quad \quad \text{IN } \exists m \in \text{messages} : v2\_latest\_msg \in \text{dependencies}(m) \\
& \quad \quad \quad \wedge \text{estimate} \neq \text{msg\_estimate}[m]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& e\_clique(\text{estimate}, \text{messages}) \triangleq \{ \\
& \quad ss \in \text{SUBSET}(\text{validators}) : \\
& \quad \quad \wedge \forall v1 \in ss : \\
& \quad \quad \quad \wedge \forall v2 \in ss : \\
& \quad \quad \quad \quad \text{IF } v1 = v2 \\
& \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \text{THEN TRUE} \\
& \quad \quad \quad \quad \text{ELSE} \\
& \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \wedge \text{validators\_agreeing}(v1, v2, \text{estimate}, \text{messages}) \\
& \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \wedge \neg \text{validators\_disagreeing}(v1, v2, \text{estimate}, \text{messages}) \\
& \quad \}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& e\_clique\_estimate\_safety(\text{estimate}, \text{messages}) \triangleq \\
& \quad \wedge \exists ss \in e\_clique(\text{estimate}, \text{messages}) : \\
& \quad \quad \wedge 2 * \text{Sum}(\{\text{validator\_weights}[v] : v \in ss\}) > \text{Sum}(\{\text{validator\_weights}[v] : v \in \text{validators}\}) + \text{byzantine}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{validator\_received\_msg}(\text{validator}) \triangleq \\
& \quad (\text{all\_msg} \setminus \text{equivocating\_msg}) \cup \\
& \quad \{x \in \text{equivocating\_msg} : \text{validator} \in \text{equiv\_msg\_receivers}[x]\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{get\_equivocation\_subset\_msg}(\text{validator}) \triangleq \text{CHOOSE } x \in \text{SUBSET}(\text{validator\_received\_msg}(\text{validator})) : \text{TRUE}$$



$get\_equiv\_receivers(validator) \triangleq \text{CHOOSE } x \in (\text{SUBSET } (validators \setminus \{validator\})) : x \neq \{\}$

$check\_safety\_with\_oracle \triangleq$

LET  $v \triangleq \text{CHOOSE } v \in (validators \setminus byzantine\_fault\_nodes) : \text{TRUE}$

IN  $\Diamond(e\_clique\_estimate\_safety(0, validator\_received\_msg(v)) \vee e\_clique\_estimate\_safety(1, validator\_received\_msg(v)))$

$vars \triangleq \langle all\_msg, equivocating\_msg, msg\_sender, msg\_estimate, msg\_justification, cur\_msg\_id, validator\_init\_done, equiv\_msg\_receivers, cur\_subset, pc \rangle$

$ProcSet \triangleq (validators)$

$Init \triangleq$

Global variables

$\wedge all\_msg = \{\}$

$\wedge equivocating\_msg = \{\}$

$\wedge msg\_sender = \langle \rangle$

$\wedge msg\_estimate = \langle \rangle$

$\wedge msg\_justification = \langle \rangle$

$\wedge cur\_msg\_id = 1$

$\wedge validator\_init\_done = \langle 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 \rangle$

$\wedge equiv\_msg\_receivers = \langle \rangle$

$\wedge cur\_subset = defaultInitValue$

$\wedge pc = [self \in ProcSet \mapsto \text{"Validate"}]$

$Validate(self) \triangleq \wedge pc[self] = \text{"Validate"}$

$\wedge \text{IF } cur\_msg\_id \leq message\_ids$

THEN  $\wedge \text{IF } validator\_init\_done[self] = 0 \wedge self \notin byzantine\_fault\_nodes$

THEN  $\wedge equiv\_msg\_receivers' = Append(equiv\_msg\_receivers, \{\})$

$\wedge msg\_sender' = Append(msg\_sender, self)$

$\wedge msg\_estimate' = Append(msg\_estimate, (validators\_initial\_value))$

$\wedge msg\_justification' = Append(msg\_justification, (\{cur\_msg\_id\}))$

$\wedge all\_msg' = (all\_msg \cup \{cur\_msg\_id\})$

$\wedge cur\_msg\_id' = cur\_msg\_id + 1$

$\wedge validator\_init\_done' = [validator\_init\_done \text{ EXCEPT } ![self] = 1]$

$\wedge \text{UNCHANGED } \langle equivocating\_msg, cur\_subset \rangle$

ELSE  $\wedge \text{IF } (cur\_msg\_id > 1 \wedge msg\_sender[cur\_msg\_id - 1] \neq self) \vee (self \in byzantine\_fault\_nodes)$

THEN  $\wedge \text{IF } self \notin byzantine\_fault\_nodes$

THEN  $\wedge equiv\_msg\_receivers' = Append(equiv\_msg\_receivers, \{\})$

$\wedge msg\_sender' = Append(msg\_sender, self)$

$\wedge msg\_estimate' = Append(msg\_estimate, (validators\_initial\_value))$

$\wedge msg\_justification' = Append(msg\_justification, (\{cur\_msg\_id\}))$

$\wedge all\_msg' = (all\_msg \cup \{cur\_msg\_id\})$

$\wedge cur\_msg\_id' = cur\_msg\_id + 1$

$\wedge \text{UNCHANGED } \langle equivocating\_msg, cur\_subset \rangle$

```

                                cur_subset)
ELSE  ∧ equiv_msg_receivers' = Append(equiv_msg_receivers,
                                cur_subset)
      ∧ cur_subset' = get_equivocation_subset(cur_subset)
      ∧ equivocating_msg' = (equivocating_msg, cur_msg_id)
      ∧ msg_sender' = Append(msg_sender, cur_msg_id)
      ∧ msg_estimate' = Append(msg_estimate, cur_msg_id)
      ∧ msg_justification' = Append(msg_justification, cur_msg_id)
      ∧ all_msg' = (all_msg ∪ {cur_msg_id})
      ∧ cur_msg_id' = cur_msg_id + 1
ELSE  ∧ TRUE
      ∧ UNCHANGED ⟨all_msg,
                    equivocating_msg,
                    msg_sender,
                    msg_estimate,
                    msg_justification,
                    cur_msg_id,
                    equiv_msg_receivers,
                    cur_subset⟩
      ∧ UNCHANGED validator_init_done
      ∧ pc' = [pc EXCEPT ![self] = "Validate"]
ELSE  ∧ pc' = [pc EXCEPT ![self] = "Done"]
      ∧ UNCHANGED ⟨all_msg, equivocating_msg,
                    msg_sender, msg_estimate,
                    msg_justification, cur_msg_id,
                    validator_init_done,
                    equiv_msg_receivers, cur_subset⟩

```

$v(self) \triangleq \text{Validate}(self)$

$Next \triangleq (\exists self \in \text{validators} : v(self))$   
 $\vee$  Disjunct to prevent deadlock on termination  
 $((\forall self \in \text{ProcSet} : pc[self] = \text{"Done"}) \wedge \text{UNCHANGED } vars)$

$Spec \triangleq \wedge Init$   
 $\wedge \square[Next]_{vars} \triangleq \square(Next \vee \text{UNCHANGED } vars)$   
 $\wedge \forall self \in \text{validators} : \text{WF}_{vars}(v(self))$

$Termination \triangleq \Diamond(\forall self \in \text{ProcSet} : pc[self] = \text{"Done"})$

END TRANSLATION

---

\ \* Modification History  
 \ \* Last modified *Fri Nov 22 11:21:27 EST 2019* by *isaac*  
 \ \* Created *Tue Nov 19 11:24:16 EST 2019* by *isaac*