

# **Probability and Statistics**

Author: Isaac FEI

# **Contents**

1 Measure Theory	1
Chapter 1 Measures	2
1.1 Semi-Algebras, Algebras and Sigma-Algebras	2
1.2 Measures	3
1.3 Extension of Set Functions on Semi-Algebras	5
1.4 Carathéodory's Extension Theorem	5
1.5 Lebesgue Measure	8
II Probability Theory	13
Chapter 2 Random Variables, Expectations, and Independence	14
2.1 Random Variables	14
III Mathematical Statistics	15
<b>Chapter 3 Fundamentals of Statistics</b>	16
3.1 Populations, Samples and Models	16
3.2 Statistical Decision Theory	16
Index	17

# Part I Measure Theory

# **Chapter 1 Measures**

# 1.1 Semi-Algebras, Algebras and Sigma-Algebras

#### **Definition 1.1.1 (Semi-Algebras)**

A family of subsets S of  $\Omega$  is a semi-algebra if it

- 1. contains the empty set, i.e.,  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{S}$ ,
- 2. closed under finite Intersections, i.e.,  $A, B \in \mathcal{S} \implies A \cap B \in \mathcal{S}$ , and
- 3. the complement of each set in S can be written as a finite disjoint union of other sets in S, i.e.,  $A \in S \implies \exists E_1, \dots, E_n \in S, \ A = \biguplus_{i=1}^n E_i$ .

**Example 1.1** Consider the following semi-algebra on  $\mathbb{R}$ :

$$S = \{\emptyset\} \cup \{(a, b] \mid a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a < b\} \cup \{(a, \infty) \mid a \in \mathbb{R}\} \cup \{(-\infty, b] \mid b \in \mathbb{R}\} \cup \{\mathbb{R}\}$$

It is easy to verify that it is indeed a semi-algebra. In fact, the definition of semi-algebra arises from the study of this very example. We will construct the famous Lebesgue measure from this semi-algebra, which defines the length of a set.

#### **Definition 1.1.2** ( $\sigma$ -Algebras)

A family of subsets  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $\Omega$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra if it satisfies the following:

- 1.  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{F}$
- 2.  $\mathcal{F}$  is closed under complements, i.e.,  $A \in \mathcal{F} \implies A^{\complement} \in \mathcal{F}$
- 3.  $\mathcal{F}$  is closed under countable unions, i.e.,  $A_i \in \mathcal{F} \ \forall i \in \mathbb{N}^* \implies \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in \mathcal{F}$

#### **Proposition 1.1.1**

Let S be a semi-algebra on  $\Omega$ , and A(S) the algebra generated by S. Then A(S) consists of all finite disjoint unions of sets in S. Mathematically,

$$A \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S}) \iff \exists \{S_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset \mathcal{S}, \ A = \biguplus_{i=1}^n S_i$$

#### **Proof**

#### **Definition 1.1.3**

The Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is defined as the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by the collection of all open sets in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Mathematically,

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d) := \sigma(\tau)$$

where  $\tau$  is the Euclidean topology on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

#### **Lemma 1.1.1**

Suppose  $C_1, C_2 \subset \Omega$ . If for any  $E \in C_1$ , either one of the following holds:

- 1.  $E = F^{\complement}$  for some  $F \in \mathcal{C}_2$
- 2.  $E = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i$  where  $F_i \in \mathcal{C}_2 \ \forall i \in \mathbb{N}^*$
- 3.  $E = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i \text{ where } F_i \in \mathcal{C}_2 \ \forall i \in \mathbb{N}^*$

then we have

$$\sigma(\mathcal{C}_1) \subset \sigma(\mathcal{C}_2)$$



#### 1.2 Measures

A **set function** is a function that maps from collections of subsets of  $\Omega$  to the extended real numbers  $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm \infty\}$ .

We say a set function  $\mu$  is **finitely additive** if

$$\mu(A \uplus B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B)$$

The above equation also holds for finitely many disjoint unions of sets, that is,

$$\mu\left(\biguplus_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu(A_{i}) \tag{1.1}$$

which can be proved by the mathematical induction.

If (1.1) holds for countably infinite disjoint unions of sets, i.e.,

$$\mu\left(\biguplus_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_{i})$$

Then we say  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -additive.

#### **Definition 1.2.1**

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  be a measurable space. A set function  $\mu : \mathcal{F} \to [0, \infty]$  is called a **measure** if

- 1.  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ , and
- 2.  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -additive.

The triplet  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  is then called a **measure space**.



If  $\mu$  is only finitely additive, we say that  $\mu$  is a **finitely additive measure**.

**Remark** If we assume  $\mu: \mathcal{F} \to [0, \infty]$  is  $\sigma$ -additive, and there exists some set  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $\mu(A) < \infty$ , then  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$  holds naturally and hence condition 1 is redundant. To see this, we note that  $\mu(A) = \mu(A \uplus \emptyset) = \mu(A) + \mu(\emptyset)$ , which implies  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$  provided that  $\mu(A)$  is finite.

The following proposition shows the monotonicity of a measure.

#### **Proposition 1.2.1**

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  be a measure space. For  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ , we have

$$A \subset B \implies \mu(A) \le \mu(B)$$
 (1.2)

Moreover, if  $\mu(A) < \infty$  in (1.2), we have

$$\mu(B \setminus A) = \mu(B) - \mu(A)$$

**Proof** Suppose  $A \subset B$ . We have

$$B = A \uplus (B \setminus A)$$

By the  $\sigma$ -additivity (or weaker, the finite additivity), it follows that

$$\mu(B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B \setminus A)$$

(1.2) follows since  $\mu(B \setminus A) \ge 0$ . If  $\mu(A) < \infty$ , by subtracting  $\mu(A)$  from both sides of the above equation, we obtain

$$\mu(B) - \mu(A) = \mu(B \setminus A)$$

Given a sequence of sets  $\{A_k\}$ , we need this sequence of sets to be mutually disjoint in order to apply the  $\sigma$ -additivity of a measure. However, it is not the case in general. But we can easily construct another sequence of mutually disjoint sets from  $\{A_k\}$  while keeping the union of first n sets unchanged. The procedure is illustrated in the following proposition.

#### **Proposition 1.2.2 (Construction of Mutually Disjoint Sets)**

Let  $\{A_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}^*}$  be sequence of subsets of  $\Omega$ . Let  $B_k$  be given by

$$B_k = A_k \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} A_i$$

where  $A_0 := \emptyset$ . Then  $\{B_k\}$  is a family of mutually disjoint sets, and

$$\biguplus_{k=1}^{n} B_k = \bigcup_{k=1}^{n} A_k \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*$$

Specially,

$$\biguplus_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k$$

Remark This technique will be frequently used in the proofs of upcoming propositions and theorem.

Proof

#### **Proposition 1.2.3**

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  be a measure space, and  $\{A_k\}$  a sequence of sets in  $\mathcal{F}$ . We have

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k\right) \le \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_k) \tag{1.3}$$

**Remark** If a set function satisfies (1.3), we say that it is  $\sigma$ -subadditive.

**Proof** Let  $B_k$  be given by

$$B_k = A_k \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} A_i$$

as in Proposition 1.2.2. Then we have

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k\right) = \mu\left(\biguplus_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(B_k) \le \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_k)$$

The last inequality follows from Proposition 1.2.1.

#### **Proposition 1.2.4**



# 1.3 Extension of Set Functions on Semi-Algebras

#### Theorem 1.3.1

Let S be a semi-algebra on  $\Omega$ , and  $\mu: S \to [0, \infty]$  a nonnegative additive (resp.  $\sigma$ -additive) set function. Then  $\mu$  can be extended uniquely to an additive (resp.  $\sigma$ -additive) function  $\nu$  on  $\mathcal{A}(S)$ . That is,  $\exists ! \nu: \mathcal{A}(S) \to [0, \infty]$  such that

- 1.  $\nu$  is additive (resp.  $\sigma$ -additive), and
- 2.  $\nu|_{S} = \mu$ .

To be specific, this extension  $\nu$  is given by

$$\nu(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu(E_i)$$

where  $\{E_1, \ldots, E_n\}$  is a family of mutually disjoint sets in S satisfying  $A = \biguplus_{i=1}^n E_i$ .

# 1.4 Carathéodory's Extension Theorem

#### **Definition 1.4.1**

An outer measure on  $\Omega$  is a set function  $\mu^* : \mathcal{P}(\Omega) \to [0, \infty]$  such that it

- 1. assumes zero at empty set, i.e.,  $\mu^*(\emptyset) = 0$ , and
- 2. is  $\sigma$ -subadditive, i.e.,  $E \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i$ ,  $\mu^*(E) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(E_i)$

#### •

#### **Definition 1.4.2**

Suppose that  $\mu^*$  is an outer measure on  $\Omega$ . The collection of **measurable sets** with respect to  $\mu^*$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{M} = \left\{ A \subset \Omega \mid \forall E \subset \Omega, \ \mu^*(E) = \mu^*(E \cap A) + \mu^*(E \cap A^{\complement}) \right\}$$

Sometimes, we also say the sets in  $\mathcal{M}$  are  $\mu^*$ -measurable.



#### Theorem 1.4.1

Let  $\mu^*$  be an outer measure on  $\Omega$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  the collection of  $\mu^*$ -measurable sets. We claim that

- 1.  $\mathcal{M}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra, and
- 2.  $\mu^*|_{\mathcal{M}}$  is  $\sigma$ -additive.



Consider the equality

$$\mu^*(E) = \mu^*(E \cap A) + \mu^*(E \cap A^{\complement})$$

in the definition of  $\mathcal{M}$ . Note that it always holds that

$$\mu^*(E) \le \mu^*(E \cap A) + \mu^*(E \cap A^{\complement})$$

because  $E \subset (E \cap A) \cup (E \cap A^{\complement})$  and  $\mu^*$  is an outer measure and hence  $\sigma$ -subadditive. Therefore, in the following proofs, we only need to show

$$\mu^*(E) \ge \mu^*(E \cap A) + \mu^*(E \cap A^{\complement})$$

in order to prove the equality.

Before proving that  $\mathcal{M}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra, we first show that it is an algebra.

**Proof** We shall check each condition in the definition of an algebra.

(Containment of the Empty Set) Note that

$$\mu^*(E \cap \Omega) + \mu^*(E \cap \Omega^{\complement}) = \mu^*(E) + 0 = \mu^*(E)$$

Therefore, clearly  $\Omega \in \mathcal{M}$ .

(Closure under Complements) Suppose  $A \in \mathcal{M}$ , then  $\forall E \subset \Omega$ , we have

$$\mu^*(E) = \mu^*(E \cap A) + \mu^*(E \cap A^{\complement}) = \mu^*(E \cap (A^{\complement})^{\complement}) + \mu^*(E \cap A^{\complement})$$

The last equality above implies that  $A^{\complement} \in \mathcal{M}$ .

(Closure under Finite Intersections) Suppose  $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{M}$ . Let  $E \subset \Omega$  be arbitrary, then

$$\mu^{*}(E) = \mu^{*}(E \cap A_{1}) + \mu^{*}(E \cap A_{1}^{\complement}) \qquad \text{since } A_{1} \in \mathcal{M}$$

$$= \mu^{*}(E \cap A_{1} \cap A_{2}) + \mu^{*}(E \cap A_{1} \cap A_{2}^{\complement}) + \mu^{*}(E \cap A_{1}^{\complement}) \quad \text{since } A_{2} \in \mathcal{M}$$
(1.4)

On the other hand, consider  $\mu^*(E \cap (A_1 \cap A_2)^{\complement})$ . We have

$$\mu^*(E \cap (A_1 \cap A_2)^{\complement}) = \mu^*((E \cap A_1^{\complement}) \cup (E \cap A_2^{\complement}))$$

$$= \mu^*(E \cap A_1 \cap A_2^{\complement}) + \mu^*(E \cap A_1^{\complement}) \quad \text{since } A_1 \in \mathcal{M}$$
(1.5)

Combining equations (1.4) and (1.5), we obtain

$$\mu^*(E) = \mu^*(E \cap A_1 \cap A_2) + \mu^*(E \cap (A_1 \cap A_2)^{\complement})$$

Therefore,  $A_1 \cap A_2 \in \mathcal{M}$ .

In the following, we show that  $\mu^*|_{\mathcal{M}}$  is  $\sigma$ -additive.

**Proof** We prove this by first showing  $\mu^*$  is additive on  $\mathcal{M}$  and then applying Proposition 1.2.4 to conclude that  $\mu^*$  is actually  $\sigma$ -additive on  $\mathcal{M}$ .

(Additivity) First, clearly  $\mu^*(\emptyset) = 0$  since  $\mu^*$  is an outer measure. Suppose  $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{M}$  and

 $A = A_1 \uplus A_2$ . Note that  $A \in \mathcal{M}$  since we have shown that  $\mathcal{M}$  is an algebra. It follows that

$$\mu^*(A) = \mu^*(A \cap A_1) + \mu^*(A \cap A_1^{\complement})$$

Note that  $A \cap A_1 = A_1$  and  $A \cap A_1^{\complement} = A_2$ . Therefore,

$$\mu^*(A) = \mu^*(A_1) + \mu^*(A_2)$$

Then by induction, we can show that for  $A_i \in \mathcal{M}$ ,

$$\mu^* \left( \biguplus_{i=1}^n A_i \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu^*(A_i)$$

 $(\sigma$ -Additivity) Note that  $\mu^*$  is  $\sigma$ -subadditive on  $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$  (and of course it is also  $\sigma$ -subadditive on  $\mathcal{M}$ ) because  $\mu^*$  is an outer measure. Moreover, we have already shown that  $\mu^*$  is also additive on  $\mathcal{M}$ . Then Proposition 1.2.4 immediately implies that  $\mu^*$  is  $\sigma$ -additive.

Finally, we show that  $\mathcal{M}$  is actually a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

**Proof** Recall that we have already shown  $\mathcal{M}$  is an algebra. Hence, we only need to show that  $\mathcal{M}$  is closed under countable unions. Suppose that  $A_i \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$ . Fix a set  $E \subset \Omega$ . Note that  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} A_i \in \mathcal{M}$  since  $\mathcal{M}$  is an algebra. It then follows that

$$\mu^*(E) = \mu^* \left( E \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i \right) + \mu^* \left( E \cap \left( \bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i \right)^{\complement} \right)$$
$$= \mu^* \left( E \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i \right) + \mu^* \left( E \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i^{\complement} \right)$$

And since  $E \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i \supset E \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^\infty A_i = E \cap A$ , we have

$$\mu^*(E) \ge \mu^*(E \cap A) + \mu^* \left( E \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i^{\mathfrak{C}} \right)$$
(1.6)

For the convenience of the notations, we denote

$$F_i = E \cap \bigcup_{j=1}^i A_j^{\complement}$$

Define sets  $G_i$  as follows.

$$G_1 = F_1 \qquad G_i = F_i \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} F_j \quad i \ge 2$$

One can show that all  $G_i$ 's are mutually disjoint and  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n G_i = \bigcup_{i=1}^n F_i$ . It is also true that  $\bigcup_{i=1}^\infty G_i = \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty F_i$ . In summary,

$$\biguplus_{i=1}^n G_i = \bigcup_{i=1}^n F_i \qquad \qquad \biguplus_{i=1}^\infty G_i = \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty F_i = E \cap A^{\complement}$$

Applying the additivity of  $\mu^*$  (this is valid because  $G_i \in \mathcal{M}$ ) to inequality (1.6), we obtain

$$\mu^*(E) \ge \mu^*(E \cap A) + \mu^*\left(\biguplus_{i=1}^n G_i\right) = \mu^*(E \cap A) + \sum_{i=1}^n \mu^*(G_i)$$

Letting  $n \to \infty$ ,

$$\mu^*(E) \ge \mu^*(E \cap A) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(G_i)$$

Then we apply the  $\sigma$ -subadditivity of  $\mu^*$ ,

$$\mu^*(E) \ge \mu^*(E \cap A) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(G_i) \ge \mu^*(E \cap A) + \mu^*\left(\biguplus_{i=1}^{\infty} G_i\right) = \mu^*(E \cap A) + \mu^*(E \cap A^{\complement})$$

Therefore, we have shown that

$$\mu^*(E) \ge \mu^*(E \cap A) + \mu^*(E \cap A^{\complement})$$

In fact, the inequality above can be replaced by equality as we have explained before. Therefore,  $A \in \mathcal{M}$  and hence  $\mathcal{M}$  is indeed a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

The following theorem extends a pre-measure, i.e., a  $\sigma$ -additive nonnegative set function on an algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  to a measure on the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\mathcal{A}$ .

#### Theorem 1.4.2 (Carathéodory's Extension Theorem)

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an algebra on  $\Omega$ , and  $\mu_0$  a pre-measure on  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then  $\mu_0$  can be extended to a measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{F} = \sigma(\mathcal{A})$ , i.e., there exists a measure  $\mu : \mathcal{F} \to [0, \infty]$  such that  $\mu|_{\mathcal{A}} = \mu_0$ . Furthermore, if  $\mu_0$  is  $\sigma$ -fintie, then the extension is unique.

**Proof** 

#### Theorem 1.4.3 (Extension of Set Funcitons on Semi-Algebras)

Let S be a semi-algebra on  $\Omega$ , and  $\nu : S \to [0, \infty]$  a  $\sigma$ -additive set function. Then,  $\nu$  can be first uniquely extended to a pre-measure  $\mu_0$  on  $\mathcal{A}(S)$ . After that, if  $\mu_0$  is  $\sigma$ -fintie, it can be extended uniquely to a measure  $\mu$  on  $\sigma(S)$ . (Note that  $\sigma(\mathcal{A}(S)) = \sigma(S)$ ).

# 1.5 Lebesgue Measure

In this section, we shall construct the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb R$  mainly with the Carathéodory's Extension Theorem.

We start by defining an additive nonnegative set function  $\ell$  on the semi-algebra  $\mathcal S$  in Example 1.1. Recall  $\mathcal S$  consists of the following five kinds of subsets in  $\mathbb R$ :

- 1. Ø
- 2. (a, b]
- 3.  $(a,\infty)$
- 4.  $(-\infty, b]$
- **5**. ℝ

Define

- 1.  $\ell(\emptyset) := 0$
- 2.  $\ell(a,b] := b a$
- 3.  $\ell(a,\infty) := \infty$

4. 
$$\ell(-\infty, b] := \infty$$

5. 
$$\ell(\mathbb{R}) := \infty$$

As we can see, the function  $\ell$  is simply the length of the intervals, and it is clearly *finitely* additive. We wish to extend this measurement of length to a larger collection of subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ , which gives rise to the Lebesgue measure. As a custom, we use  $\lambda$  to denote the Lebesgue measure.

It is temping to apply Theorem 1.4.3 to extend  $\ell$ . But then, as required in this theorem, we need to show that  $\ell$  is  $\sigma$ -additive on  $\mathcal{S}$ , which is somehow difficult to prove *directly* even though it may seem to hold naturally.

Our strategy is to first apply Theorem 1.3.1 to extend  $\ell$  to a *finitely* additive set function  $\lambda_0$  on the algebra  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$  generated by  $\mathcal{S}$ . And then we prove  $\lambda_0$  is  $\sigma$ -additive on  $\mathcal{S}$ . In other words, we prove that  $\ell$  is  $\sigma$ -additive by proving the restricted function  $\lambda_0|_{\mathcal{S}} = \ell$ , which itself is defined on an algebra, is  $\sigma$ -additive. The reason why it is easier to prove  $\lambda$  is  $\sigma$ -additive on  $\mathcal{S}$  is simply that  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$  is a larger collection of sets than  $\mathcal{S}$ .

After that, we are allowed to extend  $\ell$  to the Lebesgue measure  $\lambda$  on  $\sigma(S)$  as soon as we prove that  $\lambda_0$  is  $\sigma$ -finite, which is easy to prove, to guarantee the uniqueness of the extension.

Therefore, our major goal is to prove

$$\lambda_0(S) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda(S_k) \tag{1.7}$$

where  $S = \biguplus_{k=1}^{\infty} S_k$ .

To prove the equation (1.7), we need to show that the left-hand side is less than or equal to the right-hand side as well as that the right-hand side is less than or equal to the left-hand side. We note that one of these two inequalities is easy to show, which is stated in the following lemma.

#### Lemma 1.5.1

*If* 

$$A = \biguplus_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k$$

where  $A, A_k \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$ , then we have the following inequality:

$$\lambda_0(A) \ge \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_0(A_k) \tag{1.8}$$

**Proof** By the finite additivity and monotonicity of  $\lambda_0$ , for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , we have

$$\lambda_0(A) = \lambda_0 \left( \biguplus_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k \right) \ge \lambda_0 \left( \biguplus_{k=1}^n A_k \right) = \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_0(A_k)$$

Hence, (1.8) follows by letting  $n \to \infty$ .

As we can see, there are several forms of set S, which makes the proof of (1.7) rather complicated. We shall first consider the finite intervals.

#### Lemma 1.5.2

Let S = (a, b]. If

$$S = \biguplus_{k=1}^{\infty} S_k$$

where  $S_k \in \mathcal{S}$ , then

$$\lambda_0(S) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_0(S_k) \tag{1.9}$$

**Proof** Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $S_k \neq \emptyset \ \forall k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . We observe that each  $S_k$  must have the form  $(a_k, b_k]$  since S = (a, b]. Otherwise, S cannot be a finite interval.

By Lemma 1.5.1, we have

$$\lambda_0(S) \ge \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_0(S_k) \tag{1.10}$$

On the other hand, for an arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have

$$[a+\varepsilon,b]\subset(a,b]=S=\biguplus_{k=1}^{\infty}S_k=\biguplus_{k=1}^{\infty}(a_k,b_k]\subset\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}(a_k,b_k+\varepsilon/2^k)$$

Hence,

$$[a+\varepsilon,b]\subset\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}(a_k,b_k+\varepsilon/2^k)$$

Note that  $[a+\varepsilon,b]$  is a compact set in  $\mathbb{R}$ , and  $\{(a_k,b_k+\varepsilon/2^k)\}$  forms an open cover. Therefore, there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$  such that

$$[a+\varepsilon,b]\subset\bigcup_{k=1}^n(a_k,b_k+\varepsilon/2^k)$$

Then by the monotonicity and finite subadditivity of  $\lambda_0$ , we have

$$b - a - \varepsilon = \lambda_0(a + \varepsilon, b] \le \lambda_0[a + \varepsilon/2, b]$$

$$\le \lambda_0 \left( \bigcup_{k=1}^n (a_k, b_k + \varepsilon/2^k) \right)$$

$$\le \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_0(a_k, b_k + \varepsilon/2^k)$$

$$\le \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_0(a_k, b_k + \varepsilon/2^k)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^n (b_k - a_k) + \sum_{k=1}^n \varepsilon/2^k$$

$$< \varepsilon + \sum_{k=1}^\infty (b_k - a_k)$$

 $\Diamond$ 

In summary, we have obtained

$$\lambda_0(a,b] = b - a < 2\varepsilon + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (b_k - a_k) = 2\varepsilon + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_0(a_k, b_k) \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0$$

Therefore, we have

$$\lambda_0(S) \le \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_0(S_k) \tag{1.11}$$

by letting  $\varepsilon \to 0$ .

Finally, (1.10) follows from (1.8) and (1.11).

Before extending the Lemma 1.5.2 to general S, we need the following limit formula.

#### Lemma 1.5.3

Let  $E_n = (-n, n]$  where  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . Then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \lambda_0(S \cap E_n) = \lambda_0(S) \tag{1.12}$$

where  $S \in \mathcal{S}$ .

**Proof** First, we observe that indeed  $S \cap E_n \in \mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S}) \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . We then prove (1.12) by considering each form of S.

 $(S = \emptyset)$  (1.12) holds because

$$\lambda_0(S \cap E_n) = \lambda_0(\emptyset) = 0$$

(S=(a,b]) There exists a large enough  $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$  such that  $N > \max\{-a,b\}$ . It follows that

$$S \cap E_n = (a, b] \cap (-n, n] = (a, b] = S \quad \forall n \ge N$$

Thus,

$$\lambda_0(S \cap E_n) = \lambda_0(S) \quad \forall n \ge N$$

which implies (1.12).

 $(S=(a,\infty))$  There exists  $N\in\mathbb{N}^*$  such that N>-a. Then, we have

$$S \cap E_n = (a, \infty) \cap (-n, n] = (a, n] \quad \forall n \ge N$$

It then follows that

$$\lambda_0(S \cap E_n) = \lambda_0(a, n] = n - a \quad \forall n \ge N$$

By letting  $n \to \infty$ , we obtain

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \lambda_0(S \cap E_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} (n - a) = \infty = \lambda_0(a, \infty) = \lambda_0(S)$$

which is exactly (1.12).

 $(S = (-\infty, b])$  Similar to the proof of the preceding case, we have

$$S \cap E_n = (-n, b] \quad \forall n > N$$

where N is a constant integer larger than b. It follows that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \lambda_0(S \cap E_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} (n+b) = \infty = \lambda_0(-\infty, b] = \lambda_0(S)$$

 $(S = \mathbb{R})$  In this case, we have

$$S \cap E_n = \mathbb{R} \cap (-n, n] = (-n, n] \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*$$

It follows that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \lambda_0(S \cap E_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} 2n = \infty = \lambda_0(\mathbb{R}) = \lambda_0(S)$$

We are now ready to prove (1.7) for all possible forms of S.

#### Lemma 1.5.4

Let  $S \in \mathcal{S}$ . If

$$S = \biguplus_{k=1}^{\infty} S_k$$

where  $S_k \in \mathcal{S}$ , then

$$\lambda_0(S) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_0(S_k) \tag{1.13}$$

**Proof** Firstly, by Lemma 1.5.1, we have

$$\lambda_0(S) \ge \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_0(S_k) \tag{1.14}$$

What is left to prove is

$$\lambda_0(S) \le \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_0(S_k) \tag{1.15}$$

If  $S = \emptyset$ , then (1.15) holds trivially, since all  $S_k$ 's must also be empty sets. In the rest of the proof, we assume that  $S \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $E_n = (-n, n]$ . It is clear that  $S \cap E_n \in \mathcal{S}$  since  $S, E_n \in \mathcal{S}$ . Moreover, we observe that the set  $S \cap E_n$  has the form (a, b] for  $n \geq N$  where  $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$  is some constant large enough integer. It then follows from Lemma 1.5.2 that

$$\lambda_0(S \cap E_n) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_0(S_k \cap E_n) \le \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_0 S_k$$
(1.16)

The last inequality follows from the monotonicity of  $\lambda_0$ . We then send  $n \to \infty$  on both sides of (1.16). It follows from Lemma 1.5.3 that

$$\lambda_0(S) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \lambda_0(S \cap E_n) \le \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_0(S_k)$$

which is exactly (1.15). This completes the proof.

# Part II Probability Theory

# Chapter 2 Random Variables, Expectations, and Independence

### 2.1 Random Variables

We define random variables formally.

# Part III Mathematical Statistics

# **Chapter 3 Fundamentals of Statistics**

# 3.1 Populations, Samples and Models

We shall introduce basic concepts.

# **3.2 Statistical Decision Theory**

We shall discuss decision theory.

# **Index**

Symbols		M	
$\sigma$ -additive	3	measurable sets	5
$\sigma$ -algebra	2	measure	3
$\sigma$ -subadditive	4	measure space	3
		0	
		outer measure	5
finitely additive	3	S	
finitely additive measure	3	set function	3