

- (b) **Algorithm Implementation** Write a computer code to solve the discretised problem with the indicated method.
- Provide a print-out of your code in your report.
- (c) **Code Verification** Carry out a verification of your implementation by comparing computed approximations with the indicated exact solution:
- Plot a sufficiently-coarse (but not too coarse) approximation and the exact solution in one figure. (The difference between both should be visible.) In case of a 2D domain, plot the approximation and solution versus x for $y = 0.25, 0.5$ and 0.75 . In case of a time-dependent problem, plot the approximation and solution versus x for $t = 0, T/2$ and T .
 - Study the convergence of the method: Define an appropriate norm. Provide a table with the norm of errors for a sequence of appropriate mesh-sizes (and corresponding time-step sizes in case of a time-dependent PDE). Plot the errors versus the mesh-widths (using double-log axes). Comment on the observed rates of convergence.

Choice FML (Finance and Machine Learning choice)

FML-1 1-D Elliptic PDE (See Lecture 6)

[15 marks]

First consider the 1D elliptic PDE for $u : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ subject to Dirichlet BCs (boundary conditions):

$$-u'' = f \quad \text{for } x \in (0, 1) \quad (1a)$$

$$u(0) = \alpha \quad (1b)$$

$$u(1) = \beta \quad (1c)$$

with $f : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$.

(a) **PDE Discretisation** [See [Q-0\(a\)](#)] Obtain the discretisation for a second-order difference scheme as explained in Chapter 2 in [\[LeVeque, 2007\]](#).

(b) **Algorithm Implementation** [See [Q-0\(b\)](#)]

(c) **Code Verification** [See [Q-0\(c\)](#)] Verify against the exact solution

$$u_{\text{exact}}(x) = x - \sin(\pi x), \quad (2)$$

using the data:¹

	α	β	$f(x)$
Case 1:	0	1	$-u''_{\text{exact}}(x)$

¹The verification technique, where a given exact solution is used to generate the data in the problem, is referred to as the *manufactured-solution technique*.

FML-2 Heat Equation (See Lecture 7)**[15 marks]**

Consider the parabolic PDE (heat equation) for $u(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}$ subject to Dirichlet BCs and an initial condition:

$$u_t - au_{xx} = 0 \quad \text{for } t \in (0, T], x \in (0, 1) \quad (3a)$$

$$u(t, 0) = g_0 \quad (3b)$$

$$u(t, 1) = g_1 \quad (3c)$$

$$u(0, x) = u_0(x) \quad (3d)$$

with $a > 0$ (heat-conduction coefficient), $T > 0$ and $u_0 : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

The solution $u(t, x)$ represents the temperature, at time t and position x , in a one-dimensional piece of conductive material.

(a) PDE Discretisation [See [Q-0\(a\)](#)] Obtain the discretisation for the implicit method (backward difference in time, centred in space) as explained in Chapter 9 in [\[Epperson, 2013\]](#).

(b) Algorithm Implementation [See [Q-0\(b\)](#)]

(c) Code Verification [See [Q-0\(c\)](#)] Verify against the exact solution

$$u_{\text{exact}}(t, x) = e^{-4t} \sin(2\pi x) + x, \quad (4)$$

using the data:

	a	T	g_0	g_1	$u_0(x)$
Case 2:	π^{-2}	1	0	1	$\sin(2\pi x) + x$

Hint: In studying the convergence, take $\Delta t = Ch^2$ for some chosen C (with h the mesh width and Δt the time-step size). Measure errors in the max-norm at the final time T , in other words,

$$\max_i |e_i^N|, \quad (5)$$

with N such that $N\Delta t = T$.

FML-3 Black–Scholes Equation (European option) (Cf. Lecture 7, 8)**[20 marks]**

Consider the parabolic PDE (Black–Scholes equation) for $v(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}$ subject to time-dependent Dirichlet BCs and an initial condition:

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 x^2 \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} - r x \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + r v = 0 \quad \text{for } t \in (0, T], x \in (0, R) \quad (6a)$$

$$v(t, 0) = f_0(t) \quad (6b)$$

$$v(t, R) = f_R(t) \quad (6c)$$

$$v(0, x) = g(x) \quad (6d)$$

with $\sigma > 0$ (constant volatility), $r > 0$ (interest rate), $T > 0$, $R > 0$, $f_0 : (0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $f_R : (0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (pay-off function).

The solution $v(t, x)$ represents the value of a European option with maturity time T , at time-to-maturity t and spot price x .²

(a★) PDE Discretisation [See Q-0(a)] Use the following *implicit* finite-difference scheme for (6a)–(6d): Consider the PDE (6a) at an arbitrary point $(x, t) = (x_i, t_{n+1})$, then replace $\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}$ by $\frac{v_i^{n+1} - v_i^n}{\Delta t}$, replace $\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2}$ by the standard second-order central difference approximation at t_{n+1} , replace $\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}$ by $\frac{v_{i+1}^{n+1} - v_{i-1}^{n+1}}{h}$, and replace v by v_i^{n+1} .

(b★) Algorithm Implementation [See Q-0(b)]

(c★) Code Verification I [See Q-0(c)] Verify against the exact solution

$$v_{\text{exact}}(t, x) = K e^{-rt} \Phi(-d_-(t, x)) - x \Phi(-d_+(t, x)), \quad (10)$$

where

$$d_{\pm}(t, x) := \frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{t}} \left(\ln(x/K) + (r \pm \frac{\sigma^2}{2})t \right) \quad (11)$$

and $\Phi(\cdot)$ is the cumulative distribution function³ of the standard normal distribution:

$$\Phi(d) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^d e^{-z^2/2} dz, \quad (12)$$

using the data:

²In particular, v is related to V by $v(T-t, x) = V(t, x)$, where $V(t, x)$ is the value of the European option in *forward* time t , and which satisfies

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 x^2 \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2} + r x \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} - r V = 0, \quad (7)$$

subject to the *terminal* condition $V(T, x) = g(x)$. The value of the European option is given by the (discounted) expected pay-off at maturity:

$$V(t, x) = e^{-r(T-t)} \mathbb{E}[g(S(T)) \mid S(t) = x], \quad (8)$$

where $S(t) = S_0 e^{(r-\sigma^2/2)t + \sigma W(t)}$ is the stock price according to the stochastic differential equation:

$$dS = rS dt + \sigma S dW. \quad (9)$$

The connection between (8) and (7) is given by the Feynman–Kac formula.

³It is recommended to use a built-in function for this Gaussian cumulative distribution function. For example,

	r	σ	T	R	$f_0(t)$	$f_R(t)$	K
Case 3(i):	0	0.5	5	300	$K e^{-rt}$	$v_{\text{exact}}(t, R)$	100

and⁴

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} K - x & \text{if } x < K, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

(d★) Code Verification II Repeat Question (c) but now for the data:

	r	σ	T	R	$f_0(t)$	$f_R(t)$	K
Case 3(ii):	0.1	0.1	5	300	$K e^{-rt}$	$v_{\text{exact}}(t, R)$	100

$\Phi(x)$ is related to built-in functions `erf` and `erfc`:

$$\Phi(x) = \frac{1}{2} \text{erfc}\left(-\frac{x}{\sqrt{2}}\right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \text{erf}\left(-\frac{x}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\right)$$

⁴The pay-off in (13) is typical for a *put* option with strike price K .

FML-4 Gradient Methods (See Lecture 5)**[25 marks]**

Consider the 1D-input-1D-output classification problem, where the goal is to construct the map $F : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that minimizes

$$\text{Cost} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} (y(x_i) - F(x_i))^2}_{= C_{x_i}} \quad (14)$$

where $y(x_i)$ is a given output for the input data x_i , $i = 1, \dots, N$. For this Problem Set, let $F(\cdot)$ be given by a simple linear polynomial, i.e.,

$$F(x) = w x + b,$$

where the parameters w and b are to be trained.

- (a) **Algorithm Implementation I** Write a computer code that implements for this problem the *Gradient Descent Method* with learning-rate parameter η , initial parameter guess $\underline{p}^{(0)} = (w^{(0)}, b^{(0)})$, and maximum number of iterations MaxIter .
- Provide a print-out of your code in your report.

- (b) **Code Verification I** Consider the following data

	N	η	$w^{(0)}$	$b^{(0)}$	MaxIter	i	1	2	3
Case 4	3	0.75	0.5	0.5	64	x_i	0	0.5	1
						$y(x_i)$	0	1	1

(For this simple F , one can compute the (LSQ) minimizer: $(w_{\min}, b_{\min}) = (1, \frac{1}{6})$.)

- Generate a table with the first 16 values of the parameters $(w^{(j)}, b^{(j)})$ and the first 16 values of the corresponding Cost.
 - Plot in a figure the Cost versus the iteration number $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \text{MaxIter}$.
- (c) **Algorithm Implementation II** Write now a computer code that implements the *Stochastic Gradient Method* with learning-rate parameter η , initial parameter guess $\underline{p}^{(0)} = (w^{(0)}, b^{(0)})$, and maximum number of iterations MaxIter .
- Provide a print-out of your code in your report.
- (d) **Code Verification II** Consider the same data as before, but do some numerical experiments yourself to find proper values for η and MaxIter .
- Which values work well?
 - Generate a table with the first 16 values of the parameters $(w^{(j)}, b^{(j)})$ and the first 16 values of the corresponding Cost.
 - Plot in a figure the Cost versus the iteration number $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \text{MaxIter}$.

FML-5 Deep Learning Problem (See Lecture 5)**[25 marks]**

Consider finally the problem discussed at the beginning of Section 2 and in Section 6 of [Higham, Higham, 2019]. This is a 2D-input-2D-output classification problem with map $\underline{F} : (0, 1) \times (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ given by an Artificial Neural Network, which minimizes

$$\text{Cost} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \left\| \underline{y}(\underline{x}^{\{i\}}) - \underline{F}(\underline{x}^{\{i\}}) \right\|^2}_{= C_{\underline{x}^{\{i\}}}} \quad (15)$$

Note that the data is given by:

i :	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
$x_1^{\{i\}}$:	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.6	0.4	0.6	0.5	0.9	0.4	0.7
$x_2^{\{i\}}$:	0.1	0.4	0.5	0.9	0.2	0.3	0.6	0.2	0.4	0.6
$y_1(\underline{x}^{\{i\}})$:	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
$y_2(\underline{x}^{\{i\}})$:	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1

(a★) Algorithm Implementation

- **If not using Matlab:** Write code that implements the same neural network and the same stochastic gradient method with backpropagation step, as described in Section 6 of [Higham, Higham, 2019]. In other words, you can simply convert the Matlab code in Section 6 into your own language. Provide a print-out of your code in your report.
- **If using Matlab:** Since Section 6 of [Higham, Higham, 2019] already has working Matlab code, you are asked to extend that code by implementing a generalization of the neural network. For example, your network has more layers and/or more units per layer. Provide a print-out of your code in your report.

- (b★) Code Verification** Come up with a verification of your code, and give a brief description. Mention all the parameters that you have set. Think about important outputs such as a plot of the Cost versus iterations during training, and the classification of example input values, etc.