## **DO ALIENS EXIST? MOST COMPELLING EVIDENCE OF ALIEN EXISTENCE**



[Mysteries](https://www.history.co.uk/articles/mysteries)

Some say it’s obvious we’re not alone, others scorn the very idea and still others yet will hauntingly report their own experiences of [alien probings](https://www.history.co.uk/shows/ancient-aliens) following their casual night-time stroll through the fields of small town America. But with [everything from increasingly bizarre reportings](https://www.history.co.uk/shows/alien-files-unsealed/articles/top-ufo-spots-in-the-uk) from increasingly credible sources, to basic mathematical probability suggesting the likelihood of alien life, these days, scepticism is harder won. Whatever your opinions, you may well find that the following examples have some impact on them.

## **ANCIENT EVIDENCE**

It’s easy enough to write off much recent phenomena, quoting anything from wayward weather balloons to the perpetuation of the legend and UFO sightings in pop culture providing a cohesive image of extra terrestrials for eye witnesses to draw on should someone cry alien. But what’s slightly harder to dismiss is the [ancient evidence](https://www.history.co.uk/shows/ancient-aliens) (i.e. pre the National Enquirer). Enter the ‘The Madonna with Saint Giovannino’, otherwise known as the UFO painting.

Created in the 15th century, it depicts the Virgin Mary and in the backdrop of the painting, a man and his dog staring up at a hovering disk-like object that is suspiciously familiar. And this painting isn’t alone either, with everything from ancient cave paintings to Sanskrit Scrolls all depicting alien life. A sighting even crops up in the Bible in The Book of Ezekiel. Either this is compelling evidence, or humanity has a rich tradition of conspiracy theorists.

## **STATISTICAL DATA**

Back in 1961, astronomer Frank Drake devised an equation by which he could estimate the likelihood of the existence of alien life, taking into account a number of factors including the average number of planets able to support life and the fraction that could go on to support intelligent life. This was then implemented in 2001. The result: statistically, hundreds of thousands of such planets should technically exist.

## **UNSOLVED SIGHTINGS**

There are more registered sightings of UFOs than there is the word count here to deal with them and the majority of the time, there’s a thorough debunking accompanying them. But throughout history there have been a number that have been harder to explain away, from the to 1853 sighting by a number of students and professors at the Tennessee College campus, to the oft quoted Stephenville Lights case from 2008, with over 200 witnesses spotting the UFO including three policemen who remained anonymous. Consider these compelling cases unsolved.

**1. 1976, The Viking Mars landers detect chemical signatures indicative of life**

Tests performed on Martian soil samples by NASA’s Viking landers hinted at chemical evidence of life. One experiment mixed soil with radioactive-carbon-labelled nutrients and then tested for the production of radioactive methane gas.

The test reported a positive result. The production of radioactive methane suggested that something in the soil was metabolising the nutrients and producing radioactive gas. But other experiments on board failed to find any evidence of life, so NASA declared the result a false positive.

Despite that, one of the original scientists – and others who have since re-analysed the data – still stand by the finding. They argue that the other experiments on board were ill-equipped to search for evidence of the organic molecules – a key indicator of life.

**2. 1977, The unexplained extraterrestrial “Wow!” signal is detected by an Ohio State University radio telescope**

In August 1977 an Ohio State University radio telescope detected an unusual pulse of radiation from somewhere near the constellation Sagittarius. The 37-second-long signal was so startling that an astronomer monitoring the data scrawled “Wow!” on the telescope’s printout.

The signal was within the band of radio frequencies where transmissions are internationally banned on Earth. Furthermore, natural sources of radiation from space usually cover a wider range of frequencies.

As the nearest star in that direction is 220 million light years away, either a massive astronomical event – or intelligent aliens with a very powerful transmitter would have had to have created it. The signal remains unexplained.

**3. 1996, Martian “fossils” are discovered in meteorite ALH84001 from Antarctica**

NASA scientists controversially announced in 1996 that they had found what appeared to be fossilised microbes in a potato-shaped lump of Martian rock. The meteorite was probably blasted off the surface of Mars in a collision, and wandered the solar system for some 15 million years, before plummeting to Antarctica, where it was discovered in 1984.

Careful analysis revealed that the rock contained organic molecules and tiny specs of the mineral magnetite, sometimes found in Earth bacteria. Under the electron microscope, NASA researchers also claimed to have spotted signs of “nanobacteria”.

But since then much of the evidence has been challenged. Other experts have suggested that the particles of magnetite were not so similar to those found in bacteria after all, and that contaminants from Earth are the source of the organic molecules. A 2003 study also showed how crystals that resemble nanobacteria could be grown in the laboratory by chemical processes.

**4. 2001, More rigorous calculations connected to the 1960s “Drake equation” suggests that our galaxy may contain hundreds of thousands of life-bearing planets**

In 1961 US radio astronomer Frank Drake developed an equation to help estimate the number of planets hosting intelligent life – and capable of communicating with us – in the galaxy.

The Drake equation multiplies together seven factors including: the formation rate of stars like our Sun, the fraction of Earth-like planets and the fraction of those on which life develops. Many of these figures are open to wide debate, but Drake himself estimates the final number of communicating civilisations in the galaxy to be about 10,000.

In 2001, a more rigorous estimate of the number of life-bearing planets in the galaxy – using new data and theories – came up with a figure of hundreds of thousands. For the first time, the researchers estimated how many planets might lie in the “habitable zone” around stars, where water is liquid and photosynthesis possible. The results suggest that an inhabited Earth-like planet could be as little as a few hundred light years away.

**5. 2001, The red tinge of Jupiter’s moon Europa proposed to be due to frozen bits of bacteria, which also helps explain the mysterious infrared signal it gives off**

Alien microbes might be behind Europa’s red tinge, suggested NASA researchers in 2001. Though the surface is mostly ice, data shows it reflects infrared radiation in an odd manner. That suggests that something – magnesium salts perhaps – are binding it together. But no one has been able to come up with the right combination of compounds to make sense of the data.

Intriguingly, the infrared spectra of some Earthly bacteria – those that thrive in extreme conditions – fits the data at least as well as magnesium salts. Plus, some are red and brown in colour, perhaps explaining the moon’s ruddy complexion. Though bacteria might find it difficult to survive in the scant atmosphere and -170°C surface temperature of Europa, they might survive in the warmer liquid interior. Geological activity could then spew them out periodically to be flash frozen on the surface.

**6. 2002, Russian scientists argue that a mysterious radiation-proof species of microbe may have evolved on Mars**

In 2002 Russian astrobiologists claimed that super-hardy *Deinococcus radiourans* evolved on Mars. The microbe can survive several thousand times the radiation dose that would kill a human.

The Russians zapped a population of the bacteria with enough radiation to kill 99.9%, allowed the survivors to repopulate, before repeating the cycle. After 44 rounds it took 50 times the original dose of radiation. They calculated that it would take many thousands of these cycles to make common microbe *E.coli* as resilient as *Deinococcus*. And on Earth it takes between a million and 100 million years to encounter each dose of radiation. Therefore there just has not been enough time in life’s 3.8 billion year history on Earth for such resistance to have evolved, they claim.

By contrast, the surface of Mars, unprotected by a dense atmosphere, is bombarded with so much radiation that the bugs could receive the same dose in just a few hundred thousand years. The researchers argue that *Deinococcus’s* ancestors were flung off of Mars by an asteroid and fell to Earth on meteorites. Other experts remain sceptical.

**7. 2002, Chemical hints of life are found in old data from Venus probes and landers. Could microbes exist in Venusian clouds?**

Life in Venus’ clouds may be the best way to explain some curious anomalies in the composition of its atmosphere, claimed University of Texas astrobiologists in 2002. They scoured data from NASA’s Pioneer and Magellan space probes and from Russia’s Venera Venus-lander missions of the 1970s.

Solar radiation and lightning should be generating masses of carbon monoxide on Venus, yet it is rare, as though something is removing it. Hydrogen sulphide and sulphur dioxide are both present too. These readily react together, and are not usually found co-existing, unless some process constantly is churning them out. Most mysterious is the presence of carbonyl sulphide. This is only produced by microbes or catalysts on Earth, and not by any other known inorganic process.

The researchers’ suggested solution to this conundrum is that microbes live in the Venusian atmosphere. Venus’s searing hot, acidic surface may be prohibitive to life, but conditions 50 kilometres up in the atmosphere are more hospitable and moist, with a temperature of 70°C and a pressure similar to Earth.

**8. 2003, Sulphur traces on Jupiter’s moon Europa may be the waste products of underground bacterial colonies**

In 2003, Italian scientists hypothesised that sulphur traces on Europa might be a sign of alien life. The compounds were first detected by the Galileo space probe, along with evidence for a volcanically-warmed ocean beneath the moon’s icy crust.

The sulphur signatures look similar to the waste-products of bacteria, which get locked into the surface ice of lakes in Antarctica on Earth. The bacteria survive in the water below, and similar bacteria might also thrive below Europa’s surface, the researchers suggest. Others experts rejected the idea, suggesting that the sulphur somehow originates from the neighbouring moon Io, where it is found in abundance.

**9. 2004, Methane in the Martian atmosphere hints at microbial metabolism**

In 2004 three groups – using telescopes on Earth and the European Space Agency’s Mars Express orbiting space probe – independently turned up evidence of methane in the atmosphere. Nearly all methane in our own atmosphere is produced by bacteria and other life.

Methane could also be generated by volcanism, the thawing of frozen underground deposits, or delivered by comet impacts. However, the source has to be recent, as the gas is rapidly destroyed on Mars or escapes into space.

In January 2005, an ESA scientist controversially announced that he had also found evidence of formaldehyde, produced by the oxidation of methane. If this is proved it will strengthen the case for microbes, as a whopping 2.5 million tonnes of methane per year would be required to create the quantity of formaldehyde postulated to exist.

There are ways to confirm the presence of the gas, but scientists will need to get the equipment to Mars first.

**10. 2004, A mysterious radio signal is received by the SETI project on three occasions – from the same region of space**

In February 2003, astronomers with the search for extraterrestrial intelligence (SETI) project, used a massive telescope in Puerto Rico to re-examine 200 sections of the sky which had all previously yielded unexplained radio signals. These signals had all disappeared, except for one which had become stronger.

The signal – widely thought to be the best candidate yet for an alien contact – comes from a spot between the constellations Pisces and Aries, where there are no obvious stars or planets. Curiously, the signal is at one of the frequencies that hydrogen, the most common element, absorbs and emits energy. Some astronomers believe that this is a very likely frequency at which aliens wishing to be noticed would transmit.

Nevertheless, there is also a good chance the signal is from a never-seen-before natural phenomenon. For example, an unexplained pulsed radio signal, thought to be artificial in 1967, turned out to be the first ever sighting of a pulsar.
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# Life probably exists beyond Earth. So how do we find it?

## With next-generation telescopes, tiny space probes, and more, scientists aim to search for life beyond our solar system—and make contact.

Propelled to a fifth the speed of light by a laser beam more powerful than a million suns, tiny spacecraft envisioned by the Breakthrough Starshot initiative are depicted around Proxima Centauri b, four light-years from Earth.
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**In her office**on the 17th floor of MIT’s Building 54, [Sara Seager](https://www.saraseager.com/) is about as close to space as you can get in Cambridge, Massachusetts. From her window, she can see across the Charles River to downtown Boston in one direction and past Fenway Park in the other. Inside, her view extends to the Milky Way and beyond.

Seager, 47, is an astrophysicist. Her specialty is [exoplanets](https://www.nationalgeographic.com/science/space/universe/exoplanets), namely all the planets in the universe except the ones you already know about revolving around our sun. On a blackboard, she has sketched an equation she thought up to estimate the chances of detecting life on such a planet. Beneath another blackboard filled with more equations is a clutter of memorabilia, including a vial containing some glossy black shards.

“It’s a rock that we melted.”

Seager speaks in brisk, uninflected phrases, and she has penetrating hazel eyes that hold on to whomever she is talking to. She explains that there are planets known as [hot super-Earths](https://www.nationalgeographic.com/science/2018/11/possible-super-earth-found-nearby-barnards-star-space) whizzing about so close to their stars that a year lasts less than a day. “These planets are so hot, they probably have giant lava lakes,” she says. Hence, the melted rock.

“We wanted to test the brightness of lava.”

Laser beams streak from the European Southern Observatory’s Very Large Telescope array in Chile’s Atacama Desert. The lasers create artificial guide stars that help astronomers correct for distortions caused by atmospheric turbulence. The telescope is one of only a few able to directly capture images of giant exoplanets.
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When Seager entered graduate school in the mid-1990s, we didn’t know about planets that circle their stars in hours or others that take almost a million years. We didn’t know about planets that revolve around two stars, or rogue planets that don’t orbit any star but just wander about in space. In fact, we didn’t know for sure that any planets at all existed beyond our [solar system](https://www.nationalgeographic.com/science/space/solar-system), and a lot of the assumptions we made about planet-ness have turned out to be wrong. The very first exoplanet found—51 Pegasi b, discovered in 1995—was itself a surprise: A giant planet crammed up against its star, winging around it in just four days.

“51 Peg should have let everyone know it was going to be a crazy ride,” Seager says. “That planet shouldn’t be there.”

Today we have confirmed about 4,000 exoplanets. The majority were discovered by the [Kepler space telescope](https://www.nationalgeographic.com/news/news/2015/01/150106-kepler-goldilocks-exoplanets-universe-space-science), launched in 2009. Kepler’s mission was to see how many planets it could find orbiting some 150,000 stars in one tiny patch of sky—about as much as you can cover with your hand with your arm outstretched. But its ultimate purpose was to resolve a much more freighted question: Are places where life might evolve common in the universe or vanishingly rare, leaving us effectively without hope of ever knowing whether another living world exists?

Kepler’s answer was unequivocal. There are more planets than there are stars, and at least a quarter are Earth-size planets in their star’s so-called habitable zone, where conditions are neither too hot nor too cold for life. With a minimum of 100 billion stars in the [Milky Way](https://www.nationalgeographic.com/science/2018/10/news-milky-way-galaxy-ate-dwarf-backward-stars-astronomy), that means there are at least 25 billion places where life could conceivably take hold in our galaxy alone—and our galaxy is one among trillions.

Using a model, MIT astrophysicist Sara Seager demonstrates Starshade, under development at NASA’s Jet Propulsion Lab in Pasadena, California. Deployed in space, the device, more than 100 feet in diameter, would block the light from a star. A space telescope would capture an image of a planet when it’s between Starshade’s petals, seeking evidence that life may exist on the planet.

It’s no wonder that Kepler, which [ran out of fuel last October](https://www.nationalgeographic.com/science/2018/10/news-kepler-nasa-exoplanets-tess-solar-system-space), is regarded almost with reverence by astronomers. (“Kepler was the greatest step forward in the Copernican revolution since Copernicus,” University of California, Berkeley astrophysicist Andrew Siemion told me.) It’s changed the way we approach one of the great mysteries of existence. The question is no longer, is there life beyond Earth? It’s a pretty sure bet there is. The question now is, how do we find it?

The revelation that the galaxy is teeming with planets has reenergized the search for life. A surge in private funding has created a much more nimble, risk-friendly research agenda. NASA too is intensifying its efforts in astrobiology. Most of the research is focused on finding signs of any sort of life on other worlds. But the prospect of new targets, new money, and ever increasing computational power has also galvanized the decades-long search for intelligent aliens.

**To Seager,** a MacArthur “genius award” winner, participating on the Kepler team was one more step toward a lifelong goal: to find an Earth-like planet orbiting a sunlike star. Her current focus is the [Transiting Exoplanet Survey Satellite](https://www.nationalgeographic.com/news/2018/04/nasa-tess-exoplanets-how-mission-works-earth-space-science) (TESS), an MIT-led NASA space telescope launched last year. Like Kepler, TESS looks for a slight dimming in the luminosity of a star when a planet passes—transits—in front of it. TESS is scanning nearly the whole sky, with the goal of identifying about 50 exoplanets with rocky surfaces like Earth’s that could be investigated by more powerful telescopes coming on line, beginning with the James Webb Space Telescope, which NASA hopes to launch in 2021.

On her “vision table,” which runs along one wall of her office, Seager has collected some objects that express “where I am now and where I’m going, so I can remind myself why I’m working so hard.” Among them are some polished stone orbs representing a red dwarf star and its covey of planets, and a model of ASTERIA, a low-cost planet-finding satellite she developed.

NASA’s James Webb Space Telescope is tested in a giant cryogenic chamber at Johnson Space Center in Houston, Texas, that simulates the frigid conditions of space. Far more powerful than the Hubble Space Telescope, it will probe the formation of stars, galaxies, and solar systems that could support life.PHOTOGRAPH BY CHRIS GUNN, NASA

“I haven’t gotten around to putting this up,” Seager says, unrolling a poster that’s a fitting expression of where her career began. It’s a chart showing the spectral signatures of the elements, like colored bar codes. Every chemical compound absorbs a unique set of wavelengths of light. (We see leaves as green, for instance, because chlorophyll is a light-hungry molecule that absorbs red and blue, so the only light reflected is green.) While still in her 20s, Seager came up with the idea that compounds in a transiting planet’s upper atmosphere might leave their spectral fingerprints in starlight passing through. Theoretically, if there are gases in a planet’s atmosphere from living creatures, we could see the evidence in the light that reaches us.

“It’s going to be really hard,” she tells me. “Think of a rocky planet’s atmosphere as the skin of an onion, and the whole thing is in front of, like, an IMAX screen.”

There’s an outside chance a rocky planet orbits a star close enough for the Webb telescope to capture sufficient light to investigate it for signs of life. But most scientists, including Seager, think we’ll need to wait for the next generation of space telescopes. Covering most of the wall over her vision table is a panel of micro-thin black plastic shaped like the petal of a giant flower. It’s a reminder of where she’s going: a space mission, still in development, that she believes can lead her to another living Earth.
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**From an early age,** Olivier Guyon has had a problem with sleep: namely, that it’s supposed to happen at night, when it’s so much better to be awake. Guyon grew up in France, in the countryside of Champagne. When he was 11, his parents bought him a small telescope, which he says they later regretted. He spent many nights peering into it, only to fall asleep the next day in class. When he outgrew that telescope, he built a bigger one. But while he could magnify his view of heavenly objects, Guyon could do nothing to enlarge the number of hours in the night. Something had to give, so one day when he was a teenager, he decided to do away with sleep almost entirely. At first he felt great, but after a week or so, he became seriously ill. Recalling it now, he still shudders.

At 43 years old, Guyon today has a very big telescope to work with. [The Subaru observatory](https://subarutelescope.org/), along with 12 others, sits atop the summit of Mauna Kea, on Hawaii’s Big Island. The Subaru’s 8.2-meter (27 feet) reflector is among the largest single-piece mirrors in the world. (Operated by the National Astronomical Observatory of Japan, the telescope has no affiliation with the car company—Subaru is the Japanese name for the Pleiades star cluster.) At 13,796 feet above sea level, Mauna Kea affords one of the highest, clearest views of the universe, yet it’s only an hour and a half drive from Guyon’s home in Hilo. The proximity allows him to make frequent trips to test and improve the instrument he built and attached to the telescope, often working through the night. He carries around a thermos of espresso, and for a while he took to spiking it with shots of liquid caffeine, until a friend pointed out that his daily intake was more than half the lethal dose.

“We can spend a couple weeks up here, and we start to forget about life on Earth,” he tells me. “First you forget the day of the week. Then you start forgetting to call your family.”

is a MacArthur winner. His particular genius is in the mastery of light: how to massage and manipulate it to catch a glimpse of things that even the Subaru’s huge mirror would be blind to without Guyon’s legerdemain.

“The big question is whether there is biological activity up there,” he says, pointing at the sky. “If yes, what is it like? Are there continents? Oceans and clouds? All these questions can be answered, if you can extract the light of a planet from the light of its star.”

In other words, if you can *see* the planet. Trying to separate the light of a rocky, Earth-size planet from that of its star is like squinting hard enough to make out a fruit fly hovering inches in front of a floodlight. It doesn’t seem possible, and with today’s telescopes, it isn’t. But Guyon has his sights set on what the next generation of ground-based telescopes might be able to do, if they can be fashioned to squint very, very hard.

That is precisely what his instrument is designed to do. The apparatus is called—brace yourself—the Subaru Coronagraphic Extreme Adaptive Optics (SCExAO, pronounced “skex-a-o”). Guyon wanted me to see it in action, but a power outage had shut down the Subaru. Instead he offers to give me a tour of the 141-foot dome enclosing the telescope. There is 40 percent less oxygen here than at sea level. Visitors have the option of strapping on some bottled oxygen, but he decides that I don’t need any, and off we go.

“I was giving a tour the other day to some scientists, and all of a sudden, one of them fainted!” he says, with a mixture of surprise and regret. “I should have known she was not doing well. She had gotten very quiet.” I clutch the railings and make sure to keep asking questions.

Ground telescopes like the Subaru are much more powerful light-gatherers than space telescopes like the [Hubble](https://www.nationalgeographic.com/science/space/space-exploration/hubble), chiefly because nobody has yet figured out how to squeeze a 27-foot mirror into a rocket and blast it into space. But ground telescopes have a serious drawback: They sit under miles of our atmosphere. Fluctuations in the air’s temperature cause light to bend erratically—think of a twinkling star, or the wavy air above an asphalt road in the summertime.

The first task of the SCExAO is to iron out those wrinkles. This is accomplished by directing the light from a star onto a shape-shifting mirror, smaller than a quarter, activated by 2,000 tiny motors. Using information from a camera, the motors deform the mirror 3,000 times a second to precisely counter the atmospheric aberrations, and *voilà,* a beam of starlight can be viewed that is as close as possible to what it was before our atmosphere messed it up. Next comes the squinting part. To Guyon, a star’s luminosity is “a boiling blob of light that we’re trying to get rid of.” His instrument includes an intricate system of apertures, mirrors, and masks called a coronagraph, which allows only the light reflected off the planet to slip through.

There’s a great deal more to the apparatus; staring at a schematic of the device is enough to cause vertigo, even at sea level. But the eventual result, once the next-gen telescopes are built, will be a visible dot of light that is actually a rocky planet. Shunt this image to a spectrometer, a device that can parse light into its wavelengths, and you can start dusting it for those fingerprints of life, called biosignatures.

There’s one biosignature that Seager, Guyon, and just about everyone else agree would be as near a slam dunk for life as scientific caution allows. We already have a planet to prove it. On Earth, plants and certain bacteria produce oxygen as a by-product of photosynthesis. Oxygen is a flagrantly promiscuous molecule—it’ll react and bond with just about everything on a planet’s surface. So if we can find evidence of it accumulating in an atmosphere, it will raise some eyebrows. Even more telling would be a biosignature composed of oxygen and other compounds related to life on Earth. Most convincing of all would be to find oxygen along with methane, because those two gases from living organisms destroy each other. Finding them both would mean there must be constant replenishment.

It would be grossly geocentric, however, to limit the search for extraterrestrial life to oxygen and methane. Life could take forms other than photosynthesizing plants, and indeed even here on Earth, anaerobic life existed for billions of years before oxygen began to accumulate in the atmosphere. As long as some basic requirements are met—energy, nutrients, and a liquid medium—life could evolve in ways that would produce any number of different gases. The key is finding gases in excess of what should be there.
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VIEW SLIDESHOW

The solar sail for NASA’s Near-Earth Asteroid (NEA) Scout, a robotic reconnaissance mission designed to fly by asteroids, easily fits into a CubeSat (left) that’s just 12 inches long. Principal investigator Les Johnson (right) floats a scrap of the aluminized plastic sail material, which is much thinner than a human hair.

Much as conventional sails catch the wind, solar sails are propelled by pressure from sunlight, minimizing the need for fuel. At a facility in Huntsville, Alabama, NASA Scout team member Alex Few prepares to test the sail.

Few makes some last-minute checks before unfurling the sail, which is two-and-a-half-microns thick and weighs about a pound. A sail pushed by lasers instead of solar pressure could be made of graphene, which weighs far less.

The team prepares to repack the sail by attaching balloons to float it off its frame.

There are other sorts of biosignatures we can look for too. The chlorophyll in vegetation reflects near-infrared light—the so-called red edge, invisible to human eyes but easily observable with infrared telescopes. Find it in a planet’s biosignature, and you may well have found an extraterrestrial forest. But the vegetation on other planets might absorb different wavelengths of light—there could be planets with Black Forests that are truly black, or planets where roses are red, and so is everything else.

And why stick to plants? Lisa Kaltenegger, who directs the [Carl Sagan Institute at Cornell University](http://carlsaganinstitute.org/), and her colleagues have published the spectral characteristics of 137 microorganisms, including ones in extreme Earth environments that, on another planet, might be the norm. It’s no wonder the next generation of telescopes is so eagerly anticipated.

“For the first time, we’ll be able to collect enough light,” says Kaltenegger. “We’ll be able to figure things out.”

The first and most powerful of the next-gen ground telescopes, the European Southern Observatory’s eponymous Extremely Large Telescope (ELT) in the [Atacama Desert of Chile](https://www.nationalgeographic.com/travel/destinations/south-america/chile/atacama-desert-volcano-salt-flat-stargazing), is scheduled to start operation in 2024. The light-gathering capacity of its 39-meter (128 feet) mirror will exceed all existing Subaru-size telescopes combined. Outfitted with a souped-up version of Guyon’s instrument, the ELT will be fully capable of imaging rocky planets in the habitable zone of red dwarf stars, the most common stars in the galaxy. They are smaller and dimmer than our sun, a yellow dwarf, so their habitable zones are closer to the star. The nearer a planet is to its star, the more light it reflects.

Alas, the habitable zone of a red dwarf star is not the coziest place in the galaxy. Red dwarfs are highly energetic, frequently hurtling flares out into space as they progress through what Seager calls a period of “very long, bad, teenage behavior.” There might be ways an atmosphere could evolve that would protect nascent life from being fried by these solar tantrums. But planets around red dwarfs are also likely to be “tidally locked”—always presenting one side to the star, in the same way our moon shows only one face to the Earth. This would render half the planet too hot for life, the other half too cold. The midline, though, might be temperate enough for life.

As it happens, there’s a rocky planet, called [Proxima Centauri b](https://www.nationalgeographic.com/news/2016/08/earth-mass-planet-proxima-centauri-habitable-space-science), orbiting in the habitable zone of Proxima Centauri, a red dwarf that’s the nearest star to our own, about 4.2 light-years, or 25 trillion miles, away. “It’s a terribly exciting target,” Guyon says. But he agrees with Seager that the best chance of finding life will be on an Earth-like planet orbiting a sunlike star. The ELT and its ilk will be fantastic at gathering light, but even those behemoth ground telescopes won’t be able to separate the light of a planet from that of a star 10 billion times brighter.

NEW WAYS OF SEEING

PROPELLED BY LIGHT

Breakthrough Starshot is an ambitious plan in development to send tiny probes on a 20-year

journey to the exoplanet Proxima Centauri b. But even a featherweight spacecraft needs fuel.

The farther it goes, the more it needs. The proposed solution? Forget fuel: Launch it from an

orbiting satellite and propel it with Earth-based lasers.
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The mother ship

Situated in low Earth orbit, a satellite houses thousands of probes. When the individual probes are released, their sails automatically unfurl.

Phased lasers

On Earth, nearly a billion laser beams are directed at a probe to create a pulse with the power of 100 gigawatts, lasting several minutes.

Going interstellar

Those few minutes are just enough to acceler-

ate the probe to one-fifth the speed of light and into the vacuum of space, where it is able to glide.

First contact

The probe reaches

Proxima b after a voyage of more than 20 years. During its high-speed flyby, it takes images and records a range of data.

Proxima b

4.2 light-years

away

5

Phoning home

The probe beams the information back using a laser embedded in its chip. Each transmission takes about four years to reach the Earth.

Each probe has a quarter-inch chip weighing five grams or less that performs the roles of a camera, computers, and

navigational equipment.

Images and data

are beamed to

Earth via laser.
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**Left:**To reach Alpha Centauri, our nearest neighboring star system, the Breakthrough Starshot initiative proposes to launch a fleet of tiny spacecraft, each weighing a gram or less, and accelerate them to a fifth the speed of light by a blast from a gigantic ground-based laser array… Read More

Breakthrough Starshot team member and Stanford University researcher Zac Manchester developed the Sprite at NASA Ames in Mountain View, California. If all of the components of the Breakthrough Starshot project can be realized, the space fleet would reach Alpha Centauri in 20 years after launch. “It’s not science fiction,” Manchester says. “it’s just engineering.”

That’s going to take a little more time and even more exotic—one might even say dreamlike—technology. Remember that flower petal–shaped panel on Seager’s wall? It’s a piece of a space instrument called Starshade. Its design consists of 28 panels arranged around a center hub like a giant sunflower, more than 100 feet across. The petals are precisely shaped and rippled to deflect the light from a star, leaving a super-dark shadow trailing behind. If a telescope is positioned far back in that tunnel of darkness, it will be able to capture the glimmer from an Earth-like planet visible just beyond the Starshade’s edge.

Starshade’s earliest likely partner is called the Wide Field Infrared Survey Telescope (WFIRST), scheduled to be finished by the mid-2020s. The two spacecraft will work together in a sort of celestial *pas de deux:* Starshade will amble into position to block the light from a star so WFIRST can detect any planets around it and potentially sample their spectra for signs of life. Then, while WFIRST busies itself with other tasks, Starshade will fly off into position to block the light of the next star on its list of targets. Though the dancers will be tens of thousands of miles apart, they must be aligned to within a single meter for the choreography to work.

Starshade, under development at NASA’s Jet Propulsion Laboratory in Pasadena, California, is still a decade or so away, and indeed there’s no guarantee that it will be funded. Seager, who hopes to lead the project, is confident. One can only hope. There’s something uniquely uplifting about the prospect of a giant flower in space unfurling its petals to parry the light from a distant sun to see if its orbiting worlds are alive.

A laser transmitter, like this one developed by II-VI, Inc. and the University of Dayton, presages the technology that Breakthrough Starshot needs to propel spacecraft to the nearest star. Laser beams from the device’s 21 lenses converge on a remote target. Starshot’s laser array will combine close to a billion similar beams.

**When Jon Richards** answered an ad in 2008 on Craigslist for a software programmer, he couldn’t have imagined he would spend much of the next 10 years in a remote valley in Northern California, looking for aliens. The search for extraterrestrial intelligence, or SETI, refers to both a research endeavor and a nonprofit organization, the SETI Institute, which employs Richards to run the Allen Telescope Array (ATA), a 340-mile drive from the institute’s headquarters in Silicon Valley. The ATA is the only facility on the planet built expressly for detecting signals from alien civilizations. Funded largely by the late Microsoft co-founder Paul Allen, it was envisioned as an assembly of 350 radio telescopes, with dishes six meters (20 feet) in diameter. But owing to funding difficulties—a regrettable leitmotif in SETI history—only 42 have been built. At one time seven scientists helped run the ATA, but due to attrition, Richards is “the last man standing,” as he gamely puts it.

I’ve come to see Richards on a hot day in August, soon after a rash of wildfires in the area. Smoke veils the view of the surrounding mountains, and in the haze the dishes seem primordially still, like Easter Island statues, each one staring implacably at the same spot in a featureless sky. Richards takes me to one of the dishes, opening the bay doors beneath it to reveal its newly installed antenna feed: a crenellated taper of shiny copper housed in a thick glass cone. “Looks kinda like a death ray,” he says.

Richards’s job is to manage the hardware and software, including algorithms developed to sift through the several hundred thousand radio signals streaming into the telescopes every night, in search of a “signal of interest.” Radio frequencies have been the favored hunting ground of SETI since the search for alien transmissions began 60 years ago, largely because they travel most efficiently through space. SETI scientists have focused in particular on a quiet zone in the radio spectrum, free of background noise from the galaxy. It made sense to search in this relatively undisturbed range of frequencies, since that would be where sensible aliens would be most likely to transmit.
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VIEW SLIDESHOW

SETI Institute Senior Software Engineer Jon Richards works on one of the units in the Allen Telescope Array, the only facility on Earth built specifically to look for signs of extraterrestrial intelligence.

The telescope array, located in the Cascade mountains in Northern California, was supposed to have 350 radio telescopes, but because of funding difficulties, only 42 have been built.

Richards inspects an antenna feed in one of the ATA’s units. The hope is to find an anomalous signal: one emanating neither from a natural source in the cosmos, nor from earthly interference, such as a satellite or airplane.

Radio emissions captured by the ATA’s dishes are focused onto the feed, which then amplifies the signals, digitizes them, and sends them via a fiber optic cable to the facilities’ signal-processing room.

Richards tells me that the ATA is working through a target list of 20,000 red dwarfs. In the evening, he makes sure everything is working properly, and while he sleeps, the dishes point, the antennas rouse, photons scuttle through fiber optic cables, and the radio music of the cosmos streams to enormous processors. If a signal passes tests that suggest it stems from neither a natural source nor some quotidian terrestrial one—a satellite, a plane, somebody’s key fob—the computer kicks out an email alert. This being an email he wouldn’t want to miss, Richards has set up his cell service to forward the message to his phone. Conceivably, then, our first contact from an alien civilization could come as a text rattling Richards’s phone on his night table.

So far, however, all the signals of interest have been false alarms. Unlike other experiments, where progress can be made incrementally, SETI is binary: Either extraterrestrials make contact on your watch, or they don’t. Even if they’re out there, the chances that you’re looking in just the right place at just the right time and at just the right radio frequency are remote. Jill Tarter, the retired head of research at SETI, likens the search to dipping a cup in the ocean: The chance you’ll find a fish is exceedingly small, but that doesn’t mean the ocean isn’t full of fish. Unfortunately, Congress long ago lost interest in dipping the cup, abruptly terminating support in 1993.

**The good news**is that SETI the research endeavor, if not SETI the institute, has recently received a remarkable boost in funding, sending ripples of excitement through the field. In 2015 Yuri Milner, a Russian-born venture capitalist, established the Breakthrough Initiatives, committing at least $200 million to look for life in the universe, including $100 million specifically to search for alien civilizations. Milner was an early investor in Facebook, Twitter, and many other internet companies you wish you’d been an early investor in. Before that, he founded a highly successful internet company in Russia. His philanthropic vision might be summed up as, if we agree that finding evidence for alien intelligence is worth $100 million, why shouldn’t it be his $100 million? “If you look at it that way, it makes sense,” he says, when I meet him in a glitzy watering hole in Silicon Valley. “If it was a billion a year—we should talk.”

Laurance Doyle of Principia College and the SETI Institute communes with some “extraterrestrial” intelligence at Six Flags Discovery Kingdom in Vallejo, California. Doyle’s studies of the communication systems of dolphins and whales could help scientists decode patterns in alien languages.

Milner is soft-spoken and unobtrusive; I hadn’t noticed him arrive until he was standing right next to my chair. He tells me about his background—a degree in physics, a lifelong passion for astronomy, and parents who named him after the cosmonaut Yuri Gagarin, who became the first human in outer space seven months before Milner was born. That was in 1961, which he points out is the same year SETI began. “Everything is interrelated,” he says.

Through one of his initiatives, Breakthrough Listen, he intends to spend $100 million over 10 years, most of it through the SETI Research Center at UC Berkeley. Another project, Breakthrough Watch, is underwriting new technology to search for biosignatures with the European Southern Observatory’s Very Large Telescope in Chile.

Most far out of all—in both senses—is Milner’s Breakthrough Starshot, which is investing $100 million to explore the feasibility of actually going to the nearest star system, Alpha Centauri, which includes the rocky planet Proxima b. Appreciating the magnitude of this challenge requires some perspective. The first Voyager spacecraft, launched in 1977, took 35 years to enter interstellar space. Traveling at that speed, Voyager would need some 75,000 years to reach Alpha Centauri. In the current vision for Starshot, a fleet of pebble-size spaceships hurtling through space at one-fifth the speed of light could reach Alpha Centauri in a mere 20 years. Working from a road map originally proposed by physicist Philip Lubin at UC Santa Barbara, these tiny *Niñas, Pintas,*and *Santa Marías* would be propelled by a ground-based laser array, more powerful than a million suns. It may not be possible. But that’s the advantage of private money: Unlike a government program, you’re allowed—expected—to take a big gamble.

“Let’s see in five or 10 years whether it will work,” Milner says, with a shrug. “I’m not an enthusiast in the sense I believe for sure any of this will happen. I’m an enthusiast because it makes sense now to try.”

SETI Institute scientists, funded by NASA, gather data in the Chilean desert that will inform the search for life on Mars. Domes dotting the seemingly lifeless landscape host microbes that thrive in the harsh climate. “It is full of life, absolutely everywhere,” says team leader Nathalie Cabrol.

The Salar de Pajonales, a dry gypsum lake bed in the Chilean Altiplano, is one of the most inhospitable places on Earth—and an excellent analogue for an ancient Martian lake. The SETI Institute’s NASA Astrobiology Institute Team is developing methods, including drilling, to look for signs of ancient life on Mars.

While the landscape appears lifeless, microbes thrive in pockets in the gypsum where water is trapped. “In the desert you realize that all the global information we have about planet Earth and its climate gives us very few clues about where these microbial habitats are located, and why,” Cabrol says. “You have to become the microbe, start thinking in the scale of the microbe.”

The day after meeting with Milner, I went to the Berkeley campus to meet the beneficiaries of his Breakthrough Listen largesse. Andrew Siemion, the director of the Berkeley SETI Research Center, is ideally positioned to take the search for intelligent aliens to a new level. In addition to his Berkeley appointment, he has been named to head up SETI investigations at the SETI Institute itself, including operations at the ATA.

Siemion, 38, looks the part of a next-gen SETI master; he has a shaved head, a compact build, and a thin gold chain discreetly visible above the buttons of his fitted shirt. While careful to credit the decades of research by Tarter and her colleagues at the SETI Institute, he’s keen to distinguish where SETI is going from where it has been. The initial search was inspired by the possibility of a connection—reaching out in hope of finding someone reaching back. SETI 2.0 is trying to determine whether technological civilization is part of the cosmic landscape, like black holes, gravitational waves, or any other astronomical phenomenon.

“We’re not looking for a signal,” Siemion says. “We’re looking for a property of the universe.”

Breakthrough Listen is by no means abandoning the conventional search for radio transmissions, he tells me; on the contrary, it’s doubling down on it, dedicating to SETI roughly a quarter of the viewing time on two huge single-dish radio telescopes in West Virginia and Australia. Siemion is even more excited about a partnership with the new MeerKAT telescope in South Africa, an array of 64 radio dishes, each more than twice the size of the ATA’s. By piggybacking on observations conducted by other scientists, Breakthrough Listen will conduct a 24/7 stakeout of a million stars, dwarfing previous SETI radio searches. Powerful as it is, MeerKAT is just a precursor to radio astronomy’s dream machine: the Square Kilometre Array, which sometime in the next decade will link hundreds of dishes in South Africa with thousands of antennas in Australia, creating the collecting area of a single dish more than a square kilometer, or about 247 acres.

TODAY’SPOPULAR STORIES

[SCIENCE](https://www.nationalgeographic.com/science)

Giant predatory worms lurked beneath the ancient seafloor, fossils reveal

[SCIENCE](https://www.nationalgeographic.com/science)

Did the 'river monster' Spinosaurus hunt like a stork?

[ANIMALS](https://www.nationalgeographic.com/animals)

Spotted and oddly striped zebras may be a warning for species’ future

There are other SETI approaches Siemion tells me about—Breakthrough Listen partnerships with telescopes in China, Australia, and the Netherlands, and new technologies in development at Berkeley, the SETI Institute, and elsewhere to look for optical and infrared signals. The gist, echoed by other scientists I talk with, is that SETI is undergoing a transformation from cottage industry to global enterprise.

Most important, empowered and inspired by the accelerating rate of technological development in our own civilization, we are coming to see the target of the quest in a different light. For 60 years we’ve been waiting for ET to phone Earth. But the stark truth is that ET probably has no compelling reason to try to communicate with us, any more than we feel a heartfelt need to extend a greeting to a colony of ants. We may feel technologically mature compared with our past, but compared with what may be out there in the universe, we’re still in diapers. Any civilization that we would be able to detect will likely be millions, perhaps billions, of years ahead of us.

“We’re like trilobites, looking for more trilobites,” says Seth Shostak, a senior astronomer at the SETI Institute.

What we should be looking for is not a message from ET, but signs of ET just going about the business of being ET, alien and intelligent in ways that we may not yet comprehend but may still be able to perceive, by looking for evidence of technology—so-called technosignatures.

The most obvious technosignatures would be ones we’ve produced, or can imagine producing, ourselves. Avi Loeb of Harvard University, who chairs the Breakthrough Starshot advisory board, has noted that if another civilization were using similar laser propulsion to sail through space, its Starshot-like beacons would be visible to the edge of the universe. Loeb also has suggested looking for the spectral signatures of chlorofluorocarbons soiling the atmosphere of aliens who failed to live past the technological diaper stage.

“Based on our own behavior, there must be many civilizations that killed themselves by harnessing technologies that led to their own destruction,” he tells me when I visit him. “If we find them before we destroy our own planet, that would be very informative, something we could learn from.”

On a cheerier note, we could learn a great deal more from civilizations that have solved their energy problem. At a NASA conference on technosignatures (yes, after a quarter century, NASA too is getting back into the SETI game), there was talk about looking for the waste heat from megastructures that we have imagined creating in the future. A Dyson sphere—solar arrays surrounding a star and capturing all of its energy—around our own sun would generate enough power in a second to supply our current demand for a million years. Learning that other civilizations have already accomplished such feats might provide us some hope.

Still, space is vast, and so is time. Even with our ever more powerful computers and telescopes, SETI’s expanded agenda, and the gravity assist of a hundred Yuri Milners, we may never encounter an alien intelligence. On the other hand, the first intimation of life from a distant planet feels thrillingly close.

“You never know what’s going to happen,” Seager says. “But I know that something great is around those stars.”

Contributing writer Jamie Shreeve bets we’ll find hints of extraterrestrial life before 2030. Spencer Lowell has constellations tattooed on one arm. Dana Berry has [imagined unseen scenes in space](https://news.nationalgeographic.com/news/2013/06/130627-moon-birth-impact-science-space-national-geographic-cover-behind-the-cover/) for National Geographic and other publications.
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