Project 2 – Perceptron Classifier

Team Members: Isabella, Hudson, Jacob, Hayat

Student Certification

Team Member 1

• Print Name: Isabella Darko

• Date: 9/30/2025

• I have contributed by doing the following: I did part 1 data set B and set up the pdf where we put everything

• Signed: Isabella Darko

Team Member 2

- Print Name:
- Date:
- I have contributed by doing the following:
- Signed:

Team Member 3

- Print Name:
- Date:
- I have contributed by doing the following:
- Signed:

Team Member 4

- Print Name:
- Date:
- I have contributed by doing the following:
- Signed:

Part 1 – Dataset Experiments

Dataset A

place holder

Dataset B

Hard Unipolar Activation

Training Split 75/25

• Training Plot: B_train_hard_75.png

• Testing Plot: B_test_hard_25.png

• Training Total Error: [value]

Confusion Matrix (Testing Data)

	Predicted Positive	Predicted Negative
Actual Positive Actual Negative		FN = [] TN = []

Rates

- Accuracy: []
- True Positive Rate (Recall): []
- False Positive Rate: []
- Precision: []
- F1 Score: []

Training Split 25/75

- Training Plot: B_train_hard_25.png
- Testing Plot: B_test_hard_75.png
- Training Total Error: [value]

Confusion Matrix (Testing Data)

	Predicted Positive	Predicted Negative
Actual Positive Actual Negative		FN = [] TN = []

Rates

• Accuracy: []

• True Positive Rate (Recall): []

• False Positive Rate: []

• F1 Score: []

Comparison of 75/25 vs 25/75

• a. Are error rates different, and if so, why?

• b. What is the effect of different datasets and the effect of different training/testing distributions of TEs on the accuracy, confusion matrices, and rates?

• c. When would you go with step 1 (75/25) and when with step 2 (25/75)?

• d. Comment and discuss.

Soft Unipolar Activation

Training Split 75/25

• Training Plot: B_train_hard_75.png

• Testing Plot: B_test_hard_25.png

• Training Total Error: [value]

Confusion Matrix (Testing Data)

	Predicted Positive	Predicted Negative
Actual Positive Actual Negative		FN = [] TN = []

Rates

• Accuracy: []

• True Positive Rate (Recall): []

• False Positive Rate: []

• Precision: []

• F1 Score: []

Training Split 25/75

• Training Plot: B_train_hard_25.png

• Testing Plot: B_test_hard_75.png

• Training Total Error: [value]

Confusion Matrix (Testing Data)

	Predicted Positive	Predicted Negative
Actual Positive Actual Negative		FN = [] TN = []

Rates

• Accuracy: []

• True Positive Rate (Recall): []

• False Positive Rate: []

 \bullet Precision: []

• F1 Score: []

Comparison of 75/25 vs 25/75

- a. Are error rates different, and if so, why?
- b. What is the effect of different datasets and the effect of different training/testing distributions of TEs on the accuracy, confusion matrices, and rates?
- c. When would you go with step 1 (75/25) and when with step 2 (25/75)?
- d. Comment and discuss.

Dataset C

Hard Unipolar Activation

(Same structure as Dataset A and B)

Soft Unipolar Activation

(Same structure as Dataset A and B)

Part 2 - Soft vs Hard Comparison

• Placeholder text.

Extra Credit

• Placeholder text.

Conclusion

- Key takeaways from Dataset A, B, and C experiments.
- Overall differences between hard vs soft unipolar activation.
- When to prefer larger training split vs smaller one.
- Might not need this section can include it if we want to.