

**Professor Phil McMinn** 

### These are Testing Times!

An Introduction to Software Testing

### Test Cases

In the last lecture, we briefly mentioned test cases.

Test cases are inputs, or sequences of inputs, to a program or unit of a program (such as a method), along with expected outputs.

Test cases help us verify that a program is working correctly.


# Software Testing

Testing is the process of writing test cases and executing them.

Testing is a separate activity from debugging.

Testing is a mental discipline that helps all software professionals develop higher quality software.

# Types of Testing – The V Model



### RSpec

In this module, we're going to be using RSpec to write test cases.

RSpec can be used for all types of testing. We're going to use it predominantly to write unit tests and "end to end" tests (a type of system test).

This lecture will focus on unit tests.

Unit tests focus on trying to ensure the behaviour of individual components of a system are correct.

For example, Ruby methods and individual web pages.

Later in the module, we will look at some more advanced testing techniques, including testing sequences of web page interactions (end-to-end testing).

# RSpec in Practice

```
def string_comparison(str1, str2)
  (1..[str1.length, str2.length].min).each do |i|
    return i if str1[i] != str2[i]
  end
  -1
end
```

debugging/string\_comparison.rb

# RSpec in Practice

```
def string_comparison(str1, str2)
  (1..[str1.length, str2.length].min).each do |i|
    return i if str1[i] != str2[i]
  end
  -1
end
```

```
require "rspec"
require_relative "../debugging/string_comparison"
RSpec.describe do
  describe "#string_comparison" do
    context "when given two strings, 'aardvark' and 'aargh!'" do
      it "returns 3" do
        expect(string_comparison("aardvark", "aargh!")).to eq(3)
      end
    end
    context "when given two strings, 'sought' and 'bought'" do
      it "returns 0" do
        expect(string_comparison("sought", "bought")).to eq(0)
      end
    end
  end
end
```

unit\_testing/string\_comparison\_simple\_spec.rb

### RSpec – Behaviour-Driven

RSpec adopts a "behaviour-driven" approach to testing. It requires us to describe the behaviour of the code we're testing in plain text. These descriptions serve as useful documentation, and ensure we have useful error messages if a test should fail.

We could just write out these descriptions without any test code to begin with, planning out our testing once some code has been written.

Or, we could write the descriptions first, as a specification (hence the name RSpec) for the code we are about to write. This is an established style of software development in industry, known as test-first development.

```
RSpec.describe do
 describe "#string_comparison" do
   context "when given two strings, 'aardvark' and 'aargh!'" do
     -it "returns 3" do
        expect(string_comparison("aardvark", "aargh!")).to eq(3)
     end
   end
   context "when given two strings, 'sought' and 'bought'" do
    __it "returns 0" do
        expect(string_comparison("sought", "bought")).to eq(0)
      end
   end
  end
end
```

# Describing

RSpec tests are grouped by the aspect of the system we are testing. They begin with an RSpec.describe block. Inbetween the "RSpec.describe" and the "do" we would normally write the module or class name. Here, however, the method we're testing is standalone.

Nested within this is a describe block for each unit that we're testing. When unit testing a method, it is conventional to write the name of the method, prefixed with a hash.

```
RSpec.describe do
  describe "#string_comparison" do
    context "when given two strings, 'aardvark' and 'aargh!'" do
      it "returns 3" do
        expect(string_comparison("aardvark", "aargh!")).to eq(3)
      end
    end
    context "when given two strings, 'sought' and 'bought'" do
      it "returns 0" do
        expect(string_comparison("sought", "bought")).to eq(0)
      end
    end
  end
end
```

### The Importance of the context

We then describe the different scenarios that we're testing the unit, with context blocks. Here, we're supplying different arguments to the method, so we write about them.

It is RSpec convention to begin a context block description with the words "when", "with", or "without".

```
RSpec.describe do
  describe "#string_comparison" do
    context "when given two strings, 'aardvark' and 'aargh!'" do
      it "returns 3" do
        expect(string_comparison("aardvark", "aargh!")).to eq(3)
      end
    end
    context "when given two strings, 'sought' and 'bought'" do
      it "returns 0" do
        expect(string_comparison("sought", "bought")).to eq(0)
      end
    end
  end
end
```

### it

We then describe, given the context, how the method should behave, using an it block.

```
RSpec.describe do
  describe "#string_comparison" do
    context "when given two strings, 'aardvark' and 'aargh!'" do
    - it "returns 3" do
        expect(string_comparison("aardvark", "aargh!")).to eq(3)
      end
    end
    context "when given two strings, 'sought' and 'bought'" do

    it "returns 0" do

        expect(string_comparison("sought", "bought")).to eq(0)
      end
    end
  end
end
```

# Great expect-ations

And finally, we write these behaviours in terms of expect statements in Ruby code.

Here we're saying that we expect the result of the string\_comparison method to be equal to the value in brackets

Each expect statement involves a particular instance of a matcher.

For example, in this statement we **expect** the **actual result** (result) to **match** (be equal to) the **expected result** (0).

```
RSpec.describe do
  describe "#string_comparison" do
    context "when given two strings, 'aardvark' and 'aargh!'" do
      it "returns 3" do
      —expect(string_comparison("aardvark", "aargh!")).to eq(3)
      end
    end
    context "when given two strings, 'sought' and 'bought'" do
      it "returns 0" do
       - expect(string_comparison("sought", "bought")).to eq(0)
      end
    end
  end
end
```

### Matchers

Expectations are expressed in the form:

```
expect(actual_result).to/not_to matcher(expected_result)
e.g., expect(result).to eq(0)
```

Other examples of matchers include:

Matcher	Passes if
be true	actual_result == true
be false	actual_result == false
be_nil	actual_result.nil?
<pre>be &lt; expected_result</pre>	<pre>actual_result &lt; expected_result</pre>
<pre>be &gt; expected_result</pre>	<pre>actual_result &gt; expected_result</pre>
<pre>be &lt;= expected_result</pre>	<pre>actual_result &lt;= expected_result</pre>
<pre>be &gt;= expected_result</pre>	<pre>actual_result &gt;= expected_result</pre>
<pre>be_between(x, y).inclusive</pre>	actual_result >= x && actual_result <= y
start_with(x)	<pre>actual_result.start_with?(x)</pre>
include(x)	<pre>actual_result.include?(x)</pre>

For more information, see the RSpec documentation at https://rspec.info/documentation

# Running RSpec

RSpec needs the rspec gem to be installed.

**RSpec** tests are then run using the rspec command. We can run the rspec command with a specific file, or point it at a directory.

When given a directory instead of a file, RSpec with run all the tests it finds in files ending with "\_spec.rb"

```
codio@north-mister:~/workspace/com1001-code/unit_testing$ rspec string_comparison_simple_spec.rb
...
Finished in 0.00306 seconds (files took 0.08153 seconds to load)
2 examples, 0 failures
```

When tested with the corrected version of string\_comparison, both tests pass.

```
codio@north-mister:~/workspace/com1001-code/unit_testing$ rspec string_comparison_simple_spec.rb
Failures:
     #string_comparison when given two strings, 'sought' and 'bought' returns 0
     Failure/Error: expect(string_comparison("sought", "bought")).to eq(0)
      expected: 0
           got: -1
       (compared using ==)
     # ./string_comparison_simple_spec.rb:14:in `block (4 levels) in <top (required)>'
Finished in 0.02429 seconds (files took 0.08043 seconds to load)
 examples, 1 failure
Failed examples:
rspec ./string_comparison_simple_spec.rb:13 # #string_comparison when given two strings, 'sought' and 'bought' returns 0
```

Here's what happens when they're run on the original buggy version of string\_comparison

### Why Test?

Testing helps us think, in advance of releasing our software, where it might fail.

Some of these failures could be quite costly.

Testing is quite challenging and sometimes requires more skill than development.

### Why use a Testing Tool Like RSpec?

#### It helps automate aspects of the testing process

- We can keep re-running the tests after we small changes to our code, to ensure it is still working as we intended.
- This is called Regression Testing.

#### It helps with debugging

- It automates execution of the failing test case
- It tells us when the bug is fixed (the failing test case passes)
- It tells us whether we've broken anything else while fixing the bug (our other test cases pass too)

### What Should We Test?

How do we go about testing?

How do we know what to test?

There are many strategies and techniques

Here are some general examples of test cases...

### The Positive Test Case

#### **Key Idea**

Establish some intended behaviour of the code happens as expected

#### **General Examples**

- Testing the system accepts correct, well-formed inputs
- Testing the system produces the right outputs given those inputs

# The Negative Test Case

#### **Key Idea**

Establish some unintended behaviour of the code does not happen

#### **General Examples**

- Testing the system does not accept incorrect inputs
- Testing the system handles exceptional situations correctly, by failing gracefully, reporting problems to the user etc.
- Testing the system is secure for example, it does not reveal sensitive information inadvertently

### The State Change Test Case

#### **Key Idea**

Establish the system changes state correctly.

#### **Example**

Testing the system logs in and logs out correctly

### The Boundary Test Case

#### **Key Idea**

Programmers typically make mistakes around the boundaries of conditions in a program.

Thoroughly test the system around boundaries involved in inputs or key conditions.

#### **Example**

Test the following method with x=0 and x=1

```
def positive?(x)
  return true if x >= 0

  false
end
```

Did the programmer mean to use ">" instead of ">="?

# Equivalence Partitioning

#### **Key Idea**

Systematically divide up the input space according to the expected behaviours of the system.

Group different inputs that result in the same or "equivalent" behaviours.

Choose one value from each group to test the program.

#### **Example**

Test the following method with day = -10, day = 10, day = 40 as examples of inputs that are **too small**, **in range**, and **too large** respectively.

```
def valid_day?(day, month, year)
  if (day < 1 || day > 31)
  # ...
```

### The Magic Test Case

#### **Key Idea**

Test using domain knowledge about the program and certain inputs/ scenarios that may cause it to fail

#### **Example**

Test the following method with day=29, month=2, year=2000

```
def valid_day?(day, month, year)
# ...
```

# A Better Test Suite for string\_comparison

Note the different test case types – e.g., positive and boundary (on the length of the string) etc.

Take a look it in the com1001 code examples repository.

Which test might have caught the original bug discussed in the debugging lecture?

What test cases do you think are missing, or could be added?

```
RSpec.describe do
 describe "#string_comparison" do
   context "when given two empty strings" do
     it "returns -1" do
       expect(string_comparison("", "")).to eq(-1)
   end
   context "when given two strings that are different single characters" do
     it "returns 0" do
       expect(string_comparison("a", "b")).to eq(0)
     end
   end
   context "when given two strings of the same single character" do
     it "returns -1" do
       expect(string_comparison("a", "a")).to eq(-1)
     end
   end
   context "when given two strings that the same, of length > 1" do
     it "returns -1" do
       expect(string_comparison("ab", "ab")).to eq(-1)
     end
   end
   context "when given two strings of the same length, differing by the last character" do
     it "returns the length of the string minus 1" do
       expect(string_comparison("abc", "abz")).to eq(2)
     end
   end
   context "when given two strings of different length, first shorter than the second" do
     it "returns the length of the shorter string" do
       expect(string_comparison("abc", "abcdef")).to eq(3)
     end
   end
   context "when given two strings of different length, second shorter than the first" do
     it "returns the length of the shorter string" do
       expect(string_comparison("abcdef", "abc")).to eq(3)
   end
end
```

unit\_testing/string\_comparison\_spec.rb

### Unit Testing a Route

### We can write automated RSpec tests to test Sinatra code too!

In order to do this we need to use the rack-test gem. This makes functionality available to us that we need to test web pages in addition to just methods.

First note that there's a lot of setup code we need to write to get this to work. This code tends to be repeated each time we write an RSpec test suite for a Sinatra web application. We will discuss ways to hide this away later in the module

We then request the page, — check the HTTP status code – 200 0K, and check the contents of the body of the page are as expected

```
require "rspec"
require "rack/test"
require_relative "hello_world"
RSpec.describe "Hello World Sinatra Example" do
  include Rack::Test::Methods
  def app
    Sinatra::Application
 end
  describe "GET /hello-world" do
    it "has a status code of 200 (OK)" do
      get "/hello-world"
     expect(last_response.status).to eq(200)
    end
    it "says 'Hello, World!'" do
      get "/hello-world"
      expect(last_response.body).to eq("Hello, World!")
    end
  end
end
```

basics/hello\_world\_spec.rb

```
describe "GET /first-route" do
  it "has a status code of 200 (OK)" do
    get "/first-route"
    expect(last_response).to be_ok
  end
  it "outputs the correct message for first-route" do
    get "/first-route"
    expect(last_response.body).to eq("This code is run when first-route is invoked")
  end
end
describe "GET /second-route" do
  it "has a status code of 200 (OK)" do
    get "/second-route"
    expect(last_response).to be_ok —
  end
  it "outputs the correct message for second-route" do
    get "/second-route"
    expect(last_response.body).to eq("This code is run when second-route is invoked")
  end
end
```

Checking and re-checking each route after each change is time consuming.

RSpecs allow us to do this checking automatically from the terminal.

- An alternative to checking the HTTP status code explicitly is to use the matcher be\_ok

In general, it is not feasible to check the entire body of the web page matches our expectations. Typically we only check a part of it, or check that particular specifics are present.

```
describe "GET /times-table" do
  it "Says '1 times 3 = 3'" do
    get "/times-table"
    expect(last_response.body).to include("1 times 3 = 3")
  end
  it "Says '2 times 3 = 6'" do
    get "/times-table"
    expect(last_response.body).to include("2 times 3 = 6")
  end
end
```

basics/times\_table\_spec.rb