



Big Data Management Analytics

Project 2: Google Ad Campaign Management System

Project Report

Submitted to:

Prof. Amarnath Mitra
Chair, PGDM-BDA
FORE School of Management, New Delhi

Submitted by:

Isha Gupta – 055014
Sneha Gupta – 055047

Group – 18

Section - K

PROJECT INFORMATION

Google Ad Campaign Management System

The project is about the **Google Ad Campaign Management System**, which helps businesses efficiently manage and optimize their advertising campaigns. This system is designed to store and process key data related to advertisements, targeting, performance tracking, and budget allocation.

For this purpose, we are using a **relational database management system (RDBMS)** to structure and manage campaign-related data efficiently. The system consists of multiple tables, each serving a specific role in managing ad campaigns.

Testing and Optimization

To ensure the database performs efficiently under heavy loads, **stress testing** was conducted by inserting large volumes of data into the tables. This helped identify potential performance bottlenecks and improve query execution speed.

A total of 6 stress testing queries were performed on both normalized and non-normalized database tables for comparison.

DESCRIPTION OF DATA

The **Google Ad Campaign Management System** stores structured data related to advertising campaigns, ad groups, keywords, placements, audiences, budgets, and performance metrics. The data is categorized into multiple tables to ensure efficient storage, retrieval, and analysis. Below is a description of the key datasets used in the system:

1. Campaign Data - Stores details about ad campaigns, including their objectives and duration.

- **Campaign ID** (VARCHAR) – Unique identifier for each campaign
- **Campaign Name** (VARCHAR) – Name assigned to the campaign
- **Start Date & End Date** (DATE) – Duration of the campaign
- **Objective** (VARCHAR) – Goal of the campaign (e.g., brand awareness, conversions)

2. Ad Group Data - Stores information about different ad groups, which are collections of related ads.

- **Ad Group ID** (VARCHAR) – Unique identifier for each ad group
- **Ad Group Name** (VARCHAR) – Name of the ad group
- **Associated Campaign ID** (VARCHAR) – Links ad groups to their respective campaigns

3. Keyword Data - Contains keywords used for targeting ads based on user search queries.

- **Keyword ID** (VARCHAR) – Unique identifier for each keyword
- **Keyword Text** (VARCHAR) – The actual keyword used for targeting
- **Match Type** (VARCHAR) – Type of keyword matching (e.g., broad, exact, phrase)

4. Ad Data - Stores details of individual ads, including their content and associated ad groups.

- **Ad ID** (VARCHAR) – Unique identifier for each ad
- **Ad Group ID** (VARCHAR) – Links ads to their respective ad groups

- **Ad Content** (TEXT) – Text, image, or video content of the ad
- **Ad Type** (VARCHAR) – Format of the ad (e.g., text, display, video)

5. Ad Placement Data - Contains information about different platforms and placements where ads are displayed.

- **Placement ID** (VARCHAR) – Unique identifier for each ad placement
- **Platform** (VARCHAR) – The platform where the ad is displayed (e.g., Google Search, YouTube)
- **Ad Format** (VARCHAR) – Specifies the type of placement (e.g., sidebar, in-stream video)

6. Performance Metrics Data - Tracks key performance indicators such as impressions, clicks, conversions, and CTR (Click-Through Rate).

- **Performance ID** (VARCHAR) – Unique identifier for performance records
- **Impressions** (INT) – Number of times the ad was displayed
- **Clicks** (INT) – Number of clicks received by the ad
- **Conversions** (INT) – Number of successful actions (e.g., purchases, sign-ups)
- **Click-Through Rate (CTR)** (DECIMAL) – Percentage of impressions that resulted in clicks

7. Budget Allocation Data - Stores budget-related information, including total allocated budget and spent budget for each campaign.

- **Budget ID** (VARCHAR) – Unique identifier for each budget record
- **Total Budget** (DECIMAL) – The allocated budget for a campaign
- **Spent Budget** (DECIMAL) – The amount spent so far in the campaign

8. Bridge Tables for Many-to-Many Relationships

- **AdGroupKeyword1** – Links ad groups and keywords
- **CampaignAudience1** – Links campaigns with audience segments
- **AdPlacementTracking1** – Links ads with their placements

PROJECT OBJECTIVES | PROBLEM STATEMENTS

1. **Efficient Data Management** – Structuring campaign-related data to avoid redundancy and ensure faster queries.
(**PROBLEM** : The presence of duplicated data in campaign tables leads to excessive storage consumption and maintenance complexity.)
2. **Normalization for Optimization** – Applying First Normal Form (1NF) and Second Normal Form (2NF) to improve data consistency and integrity.
(**PROBLEM** : Inefficient schema designs slow down ad campaign insights retrieval, impacting decision-making.)
3. **Performance Evaluation** – Stress testing the database before and after normalization to compare execution efficiency.

4. **Scalability Analysis** – Identifying bottlenecks and recommending improvements for handling large-scale advertising data.
(**PROBLEM** : Handling high volumes of transactions without optimized indexing and query execution causes system lag.)

ANALYSIS OF DATA

Stress testing analysis :

- ❖ To assess database performance, we conducted stress testing on two versions of our schema:
 1. **Before Normalization** (Non-NF Schema)
 2. **After Normalization** (1NF and 2NF Applied)
- ❖ For each version, we executed batch insertions, transaction simulations, and query performance measurements. Key stress testing parameters included:
 - **Query execution time** for SELECT, INSERT, UPDATE operations
 - **System performance** in terms of CPU, RAM, and disk usage
 - **Data integrity maintenance** under load
 - **Concurrency handling and transaction efficiency**

Comparison of Stress Testing Results :

Before Normalization (Non-NF Schema)	After Normalization (1NF & 2NF Schema)
Query execution times were relatively higher due to redundancy and data duplication.	Query execution was significantly faster (as seen in the profiling results).
High disk space consumption due to redundant data storage.	Lower disk space usage , making the database more scalable for large datasets.
Transactions under load led to deadlocks and slower response times.	Update operations became efficient , eliminating redundancy and reducing locking issues.
EXAMPLE : INSERT operations took an average of 0.0189 sec per row.	EXAMPLE : INSERT operations reduced to 0.0113 sec per row.
	Query execution time (SELECT AdGroupKeyword WHERE ad_group_id='AG500') improved significantly post-normalization.

OBSERVATIONS & FINDINGS

1. **Normalization drastically improves efficiency:** Eliminating redundancy and structuring data logically optimizes both query performance and storage efficiency. *(in few cases)*

Before normalization :

SQL IDE interface showing query execution results. The 'Duration' column for query 18 is circled in red.

Query_ID	Duration	Query
18	0.00927275	INSERT INTO Audience VALUES ('AU001', 'Youn...
19	0.02364425	INSERT INTO AdPlacement VALUES ('AP001', 'S...
20	0.01668425	INSERT INTO PerformanceMetrics VALUES ('PM...
21	0.01896900	INSERT INTO BudgetAllocation VALUES ('BA001'...
22	0.01392200	INSERT INTO AdGroupKeyword VALUES ('AG00...

After normalization :

SQL IDE interface showing query execution results after normalization. The 'Duration' column for query 22 is circled in red.

Query_ID	Duration	Query
22	0.01392200	INSERT INTO AdGroupKeyword VALUES ('AG00...
23	0.02088850	INSERT INTO CampaignAudience VALUES ('C00...
24	0.03613850	INSERT INTO AdPlacementTracking VALUES ('A...
25	0.00315725	INSERT INTO AdGroupKeyword (ad_group_id, k...
26	0.02280850	INSERT INTO AdGroupKeyword (AdGroupId, Ke...

Action Output:

#	Time	Action	Message
66	01:15:36	SET profiling = 1	0 row(s) affected, 1 warning(s): 128

2. Not necessary that deadlocks always get reduced and concurrency handling gets better after normalization, for example :

Before normalization (0.031 sec)

The screenshot shows the SQL Server Enterprise Manager interface. The query editor displays the following SQL code:

```
-- 2. Running Concurrent Queries
SELECT BENCHMARK(1000000, (SELECT COUNT(*) FROM AdGroupKeyword));

-- 3. Measuring Query Performance
-- Query execution time :
EXPLAIN ANALYZE
SELECT * FROM AdGroupKeyword WHERE AdGroupId = 'AG500';
```

The Results pane shows the execution plan for the benchmark query. The output table has one row with the value 0. The Action Output pane shows the following details:

#	Time	Action	Message	Duration / Fetch
67	01:15:36	SELECT * FROM AdGroupKeyword1 WHERE keyword_id = 'K500' LIMIT 0, 1000	0 row(s) returned	0.000 sec / 0.000 sec
68	01:15:36	SHOW PROFILES	15 row(s) returned	0.000 sec / 0.000 sec
69	01:27:09	SELECT BENCHMARK(1000000, (SELECT COUNT(*) FROM AdGroupKeyword)) LIMIT 0, 1000	1 row(s) returned	0.031 sec / 0.000 sec

The duration for the benchmark query is 0.031 seconds, which is highlighted with a red box.

After normalization (0.063 sec)

The screenshot shows the SQL Server Enterprise Manager interface. The query editor displays the following SQL code:

```
-- 2. Running Concurrent Queries
SELECT BENCHMARK(1000000, (SELECT COUNT(*) FROM AdGroupKeyword1));

-- 3. Measuring Query Performance
-- Query execution time :
EXPLAIN ANALYZE
SELECT * FROM AdGroupKeyword1 WHERE ad_group_id = 'AG500';

-- Enable query profiling :
SET profiling = 1;
SELECT * FROM AdGroupKeyword1 WHERE keyword_id = 'K500';
SHOW PROFILES;
```

The Results pane shows the execution plan for the benchmark query. The output table has one row with the value 0. The Action Output pane shows the following details:

#	Time	Action	Message	Duration / Fetch
84	02:33:16	SELECT BENCHMARK(1000000, (SELECT COUNT(*) FROM AdGroupKeyword1)) LIMIT 0, 1000	1 row(s) returned	0.063 sec / 0.000 sec

The duration for the benchmark query is 0.063 seconds, which is highlighted with a red box. A note on the right side of the screenshot states: "Automatic context help is disabled. Use the toolbar to manually get help for the current caret position or to toggle automatic help."

3. System's performance improved a lot after normalization.

Before normalization :

```
739 • USE ig14_AdCampaignDB;
740
741 -- Checking system performance (CPU, RAM, Disk Usage)
742 • SELECT * FROM performance_schema.events_statements_summary_by_digest ORDER BY SUM_TIMER_WAIT DESC LIMIT 10;
743
744 -----
745
746 -- Applying 1NF and 2NF Normalization to Database Schema
747 -- Creating the tables again with 1NF and 2NF normalization and then will drop the tables created above
748 -- Normalized Campaign Table
749 • CREATE TABLE Campaign1 (
750     campaign_id VARCHAR(10) PRIMARY KEY,
751     name VARCHAR(255) NOT NULL,
```

SCHEMA_NAME	DIGEST	DIGEST_TEXT	COUNT_STAR	SUM_TIMER_WAIT	MIN_TIMER_WAIT	AVG_TIMER_WAIT	MAX_TIMER_WAIT
ig14_adcampaigndb	385df3e21c1ba8e7641a3391988294c75212f9f...	DROP TABLE 'Ad', 'adgroup', 'adgroupkey...	1	886252000000	886252000000	886252000000	886252000000
ig14_adcampaigndb	c22ec24cf3bd448c86b0be4557f1e224ed3ae9...	INSERT INTO 'AdGroupKeyword1' ('ad_grou...	2	3856933000000	1276392000000	1928466000000	2580541000000
ig14_adcampaigndb	6935f3922a42d8845977364d3d83d2ad4e11a5...	SHOW SESSION VARIABLES LIKE ?	7	254104000000	20638000000	363005000000	1181454000000
ig14_adcampaigndb	707c2caa9af15b532ad83a4c479124ff89c43278...	SELECT * FROM 'performance_schema'. 'eve...	2	2294392000000	1141856000000	1147196000000	1152536000000

After normalization :

```
1412 • SELECT * FROM AdGroupKeyword1 WHERE keyword_id = 'K500';
1413 • SHOW PROFILES;
1414
1415 -- 4. Simulating transactions under load
1416
1417 START TRANSACTION;
1418 UPDATE BudgetAllocation1 SET spent_budget = spent_budget + 100 WHERE campaign_id = 'C001';
1419 SELECT * FROM BudgetAllocation1 WHERE campaign_id = 'C001' FOR UPDATE;
1420 COMMIT;
1421
1422
1423 -- 5. Checking system performance (CPU, RAM, Disk Usage)
1424 • SELECT * FROM performance_schema.events_statements_summary_by_digest ORDER BY SUM_TIMER_WAIT DESC LIMIT 10;
```

SCHEMA_NAME	DIGEST	DIGEST_TEXT	COUNT_STAR	SUM_TIMER_WAIT	MIN_TIMER_WAIT	AVG_TIMER_WAIT	MAX_TIMER_WAIT
ig14_adcampaigndb	385df3e21c1ba8e7641a3391988294c75212f9f...	DROP TABLE 'Ad', 'adgroup', 'adgroupkey...	2	9011425000000	148905000000	4505712000000	8862520000000
ig14_adcampaigndb	c22ec24cf3bd448c86b0be4557f1e224ed3ae9...	INSERT INTO 'AdGroupKeyword1' ('ad_grou...	2	3856933000000	1276392000000	1928466000000	2580541000000
ig14_adcampaigndb	6935f3922a42d8845977364d3d83d2ad4e11a5...	SHOW SESSION VARIABLES LIKE ?	7	254104000000	20638000000	363005000000	1181454000000
ig14_adcampaigndb	707c2caa9af15b532ad83a4c479124ff89c43278...	SELECT * FROM 'performance_schema'. 'eve...	4	2519298000000	96743000000	629824000000	1152536000000

Output

#	Time	Action	Message	Duration / Fetch
29	01:07:44	drop table Ad, adgroup, adgroupkeyword, adplacement, adplacementtracking, audience, budgetallocation, campaign, camp...	Error Code: 1051. Unknown table 'ig14_adcampaigndb.audiencecriteria.ig14_adcampaigndb.keywordmatchtype'	0.015 sec
30	01:08:30	drop table Ad, adgroup, adgroupkeyword, adplacement, adplacementtracking, audience, budgetallocation, campaign, camp...	0 row(s) affected	0.171 sec
31	01:08:36	SELECT * FROM performance_schema.events_statements_summary_by_digest ORDER BY SUM_TIMER_WAIT DESC LI...	10 row(s) returned	0.016 sec / 0.000 sec

MANAGERIAL INSIGHTS & RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Adopt a fully normalized schema (at least up to 2NF or 3NF):

- Reduces redundancy and ensures efficient data storage.
- Speeds up transactional operations and improves consistency.

2. Optimize indexing strategies:

- Use primary keys and foreign keys efficiently.
- Implement composite indexes for frequently used joins.

3. Monitor system performance regularly:

- Use query profiling tools to measure execution times.
- Keep track of CPU, RAM, and disk usage to prevent bottlenecks.

4. Improve concurrency handling mechanisms:

- Implement proper transaction isolation levels to avoid deadlocks.
- Use optimized queries to prevent long-running locks.

5. Implement batch processing for large insertions:

- Large datasets should be inserted in chunks to optimize memory use and execution time.

6. Optimize query execution plans:

- Avoid full table scans by implementing efficient indexing.
- Use EXPLAIN ANALYZE to debug slow queries and optimize them.

***** **END OF REPORT** *****