1. Team Details

• Team Name: Viksit

- Team Members:
 - Arnav Majithia
 - o Ishan Rai
 - o Ritika Sinha
 - Prince Agrahari

2. Problem Understanding and Scope

Problem Statement:

In today's digital landscape, users frequently encounter complex privacy policies written in legal jargon. This makes it difficult to:

- Understand what personal data is being collected,
- Know how long it's stored,
- Determine whether it is being shared, and
- Exercise rights like opt-out or data deletion
- With increased focus on privacy (e.g., GDPR, HIPAA, PDPB), transparency and user education are crucial.

Target Documents & Formats:

- Medical Documents: Patient intake forms, fictional medical records, and health insurance forms.
- Financial Documents: Financial statements and related forms.
- o **Identification:** ID cards and other official identification documents.
- Specialized Data: EDI X12 data streams for health transactions¹.
- Types of Identifiable Data (PII) to Detect & Redact:
 - Personal Details: Names (e.g., Carlos E. Rodriguez) ²²²², Dates of Birth (e.g., June 22, 1967) ³³³³, Gender ⁴⁴⁴⁴, Ethnicity ⁵⁵⁵⁵.
 - Contact Information: Addresses (e.g., 1442 Palm Tree Blvd, Miami, FL 33176) 6666, Phone numbers 7777, Email addresses 8888.
 - Sensitive Identifiers: Social Security Numbers (SSN) ⁹⁹⁹⁹, Member IDs ¹⁰¹⁰¹⁰¹⁰, Group Numbers ¹¹¹¹¹¹¹¹, National Provider Identifiers (NPI) ¹²¹²¹²¹², and barcodes.
 - Medical Information: Diagnoses ¹³¹³¹³¹³, ICD-10 Codes ¹⁴¹⁴¹⁴¹⁴, and Physician names¹⁵¹⁵¹⁵¹⁵.
 - Visual Data: Handwritten notes and signatures.

User Personas / End Users:

 Hospitals & Healthcare Providers: To anonymize patient records for research or sharing while ensuring HIPAA compliance.

- Legal Teams: To redact sensitive information from documents during the discovery phase of litigation.
- Government Offices: To protect citizen data when processing public records or forms.
- Insurance Companies: To secure client data in claims processing and internal analysis.

3. Proposed Solution & Approach

• High-Level Architecture (with Diagram):

Our solution will follow a sequential pipeline designed for accuracy and efficiency.

- 1. **Input:** The user uploads a document (PDF, JPG, PNG).
- 2. **OCR Engine:** An Optical Character Recognition (OCR) model extracts both the text and its coordinates from the document.
- 3. Hybrid Detection:
 - **NER Model:** A Named Entity Recognition (NER) model scans the extracted text for PII/PHI.
 - **Object Detection Model:** A computer vision model (e.g., YOLO) simultaneously scans the document image to find non-textual elements like signatures and barcodes.
- 4. **Redaction:** The system uses the coordinates of the detected sensitive data to draw redaction boxes over them.
- 5. **Output:** The system generates a redacted PDF/image and an accompanying log file.

• AI/ML Models Considered:

- OCR: Tesseract for a baseline, with consideration for more advanced models like LayoutLMv3 or Donut, which understand document layout.
- PII/PHI Detection: Transformer-based models (e.g., spaCy with custom rules or a fine-tuned BERT model) for NER.
- Signature/Object Detection: A YOLO (You Only Look Once) model fine-tuned on the SignverOD dataset for detecting signatures and other visual elements.
- Data Strategy:

The primary dataset for training our visual element detector will be the SignverOD dataset, which is specifically designed for signature object detection. For PII/PHI text detection, we will use a combination of publicly available NER datasets and the provided sample documents (e.g., Carlos_E_Rodriguez.pdf, Fake Test Data.xlsx - X12 - 278.csv) to create a custom, annotated evaluation set. This will ensure our model performs well on the target document types.

• Innovation / Unique Selling Point (USP):

Our key innovation is a hybrid detection model. Unlike solutions that run OCR and NER sequentially, our approach runs textual NER and visual object detection in parallel. This allows the system to cross-reference findings—for example, confirming that a detected handwritten element is indeed a signature located in a designated signature box—which significantly reduces false positives and improves redaction accuracy.

4. User Experience & Accessibility

• Intended UI/UX Design (if applicable):

We will develop a simple and intuitive drag-and-drop web interface. Users can upload their files directly in the browser, see a preview of the redactions, and download the final secure document. For power users and integration, a Command-Line Interface (CLI) will also be offered for batch processing.

• Input & Output Format Expectations:

- o Input Formats: PDF (single and multi-page), PNG, JPEG, TIFF.
- Output Formats: Redacted PDF or image files. A JSON log file detailing the type and location of each redacted element will be generated for auditing purposes.

Accessibility / Ease of Use Considerations:

- Non-Technical Users: The UI will be designed with simplicity in mind, requiring no prior technical knowledge.
- **Multilingual Support:** While the initial model will focus on English, the architecture will be flexible to incorporate multilingual models in the future.
- Low Resource Settings: The solution will be offered as a cloud service to ensure that users do not need powerful local hardware to perform redactions.