Network Traffic Analyzer

A Non-Syllabus Project Report submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements of

The award of the degree of

Bachelor of Technology in CSE (Cyber Security)

by

Ishant Jha, Reg No: PCE23CY028 Harsh Paliwal, Reg No: PCE23CY026 Bhavna Solanki, Reg No: PCE23CY013 Alok Singh, Reg No: PCE23CY004

Under the guidance of

Mr. Manish Sharma
Assistant Professor
Department of Advance Computing



(Session 2024-25)

Department of Advance Computing Poornima College of Engineering

ISI-6, RIICO Institutional Area, Sitapura, Jaipur – 302022

Jan-June, 2025

DEPARTMENT CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that Ishant Jha, registration no. PCE23CY028, Harsh Paliwal registration no. PCE23CY026, Bhavna Solanki registration no. PCE23CY013 and Alok Singh registration no. PCE23CY004 of the IV semester Department of Advance Computing, has submitted this Project report entitled Network Traffic Analyzer under the supervision of Mr. Manish Sharma, Assistant Professor Department of Advance Computing, working in division of Advance Computing as per the requirements of the Bachelor of Technology program at Poornima College of Engineering, Jaipur affiliated by Rajasthan Technical University.

Dr. Amol SaxenaHead, Department of Advance Computing

Mr. Manish Sharma Guide

CANDIDATE'S DECLARATION

We hereby declare that the work which is being presented in this project report entitled Network Traffic Analyzer in the partial fulfilment for the award of the Degree of Bachelor of Technology in CSE(Cyber Security), submitted in the Department of Advance Computing, Poornima College of Engineering, Jaipur, is an authentic record of our work done during the period from Jan 2025 to June 2025 under the supervision and guidance of Mr. Manish Sharma, Assistant Professor, Department of Advance Computing.

We have not submitted the matter embodied in this project report for the award of any other degree.

Signature	Signature	
Name of Candidate: Ishant Jha	Name of Candidate: Harsh Paliwal	
Registration no: PCE23CY028	Registration No.: PCE23CY026	
Signature	Signature	
Name of Candidate: Bhavna Solanki	Name of Candidate: Alok Singh	
Registration no: PCE23CY013	Registration no: PCE23CY004	

Date: 07/05/2025

Place: Jaipur

SUPERVISOR'S CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that, to the best of my knowledge, the candidate's above statement is correct.

Date: 07/05/2025 Mr. Manish Sharma Place: Jaipur Assistant Professor

Department of Advance Computing

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We would like to convey our profound sense of reverence and admiration to my supervisor, Mr. Manish Sharma, Assistant Professor in the Department of Advance Computing at Poornima College of Engineering, for her intense concern, attention, priceless direction, guidance, and encouragement throughout this research work.

We are grateful to **Dr. Mahesh Bundele**, **Principal & Director**, and **Dr. Pankaj Dhemla**, **Vice-Principal of Poornima College of Engineering**, for providing the necessary resources and a conducive environment to carry out this project.

Our special heartfelt gratitude goes to **Dr. Amol Saxena**, **HOD**, and **Dr. Kamlesh Gautam**, **Dy. HOD**, **Department of Advance Computing**, for unvarying support, guidance, and motivation during this project work.

We would like to express our deep sense of gratitude towards the management of Poornima College of Engineering, including Shri Shashikant Singhi, Chairman, Poornima Group, Mr. M. K. M. Shah, Director General, Poornima Group, and Ar. Rahul Singhi, Director of Poornima Group, for providing all the necessary resources and facilities required to complete this project.

We would like to take the opportunity to express our thanks to all faculty members of the Department for their kind support, technical guidance, and inspiration throughout the course.

We are also thankful to the non-teaching staff of the department for their support in the preparation of this dissertation work.

We are deeply thankful to my parents and all other family members for their blessings and inspiration. Last, but not least, we would like to give special thanks to God who enabled me to complete my dissertation on time.

Ishant Jha, Department of Advance Computing, PCE23CY028

Harsh Paliwal, Department of Advance Computing, PCE23CY026

Bhavna Solanki, Department of Advance Computing, PCE23CY013

Alok Singh, Department of Advance Computing, PCE23CY004

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Contents

ABSTRACT	
Chapter 1: Introduction	2
Chapter 2: Literature Review	3
2.1: Review Process Adopted	3
2.2: Categorical Review	3
2.3: Issue wise Solution Approaches	3
2.4: Strengths and Weaknesses	5
Chapter 3: Theoretical Aspects	6
Chapter 4: Design and Implementation	7
4.1: Architectural Design of the work	7
4.2: Details of Inputs/ Data Used	7
4.3: Performance Evaluation:	8
Chapter 5: Experimental Results & Analysis:	9
5.1 Scenario wise result-1	9
5.2 Scenario wise result-2	10
5.3 Scenario wise result-3	10
Chapter 6: Conclusion and Future Scope	12
Reference and Appendices	14

ABSTRACT

This NSP project focuses on the development and implementation of a Network Traffic Analyzer, a tool used to monitor and evaluate the data flow within a computer network. The primary goal of the project is to provide network administrators with real-time insights into traffic patterns, bandwidth usage, and potential security threats. The analyzer captures live network packets using packet sniffing tools such as WinPcap or libpcap, identifies protocols (TCP, UDP, ICMP, etc.), and displays comprehensive statistics through a user-friendly interface. Additionally, the system includes features for detecting unusual network behaviour, which can indicate intrusions or policy violations. This project helped in understanding key networking concepts, packet structures, and traffic analysis techniques, offering valuable hands-on experience in both network security and software development. The outcome is a practical and scalable tool suitable for academic, small business, or enterprise environments.

Keywords:

Network Traffic Analyzer, Packet Sniffing, Network Monitoring, Protocol Analysis, Cybersecurity, Intrusion Detection, Scapy, pyshark, Real-time Analysis, Network Performance.

INTRODUCTION

In today's digitally connected world, the volume and complexity of network traffic are growing exponentially, making efficient monitoring and analysis essential for maintaining network health, performance, and security. A Network Traffic Analyzer is a specialized tool used to inspect, monitor, and analyze the data packets that travel across a computer network in real time. It plays a vital role in identifying traffic patterns, diagnosing network issues, ensuring policy compliance, and detecting potential threats such as malware or unauthorized access.

The increasing reliance on networked systems in organizations, educational institutions, and enterprises necessitates continuous surveillance to ensure optimal performance and safeguard sensitive data. A traffic analyzer captures packets using protocols like TCP, UDP, and ICMP, and then decodes and presents this information through structured logs and visualizations. This helps network administrators understand how bandwidth is utilized, what applications are consuming the most resources, and where security risks may lie.

This project aims to develop a user-friendly, efficient, and scalable network traffic analyzer that can monitor live data flow, categorize protocol usage, and alert users to anomalies or suspicious activity. It integrates both theoretical knowledge of networking and practical skills in software development, offering valuable learning in cybersecurity, packet inspection, and real-time data processing. The system is especially useful in environments where network uptime, speed, and data confidentiality are critical.

LITERATURE SURVEY

A literature survey provides an overview of the existing work in the domain of network traffic analysis, highlighting different approaches, tools, and challenges addressed by previous researchers and developers. It forms the foundation for understanding the scope of the problem, identifying gaps, and guiding the development of a more efficient and tailored solution.

2.1 Review Process Adopted

The literature review was conducted by examining various scholarly articles, whitepapers, technical documentation, and open-source tools. Keywords such as *network traffic monitoring, packet analysis, intrusion detection*, and *real-time network analysis* were used to gather relevant information from digital libraries like IEEE Xplore, ACM Digital Library, ScienceDirect, and online repositories like GitHub and Stack Overflow.

2.2 Categorical Review

Network traffic analyzers can be categorized into two major types:

- Passive Analyzers: Tools like *Wireshark*, which capture packets and allow users to inspect traffic without affecting the flow.
- Active Analyzers: Tools that inject test packets or interact with the network, often used for performance testing and simulation.

Several open-source and commercial tools have been studied:

- Wireshark: A widely used open-source packet analyzer that supports deep inspection of hundreds of protocols.
- NetFlow: A protocol developed by Cisco for collecting IP traffic information.
- Nagios: Primarily used for network monitoring but includes modules for traffic analysis and alerting.
- Snort: An intrusion detection system (IDS) that can analyze traffic and detect malicious activity in real time.

2.3 Issue-wise Solution Approaches

Researchers have approached network traffic analysis with varying objectives:

- Security: Using deep packet inspection to identify threats and prevent data breaches.
- Performance Monitoring: Analyzing latency, throughput, and jitter to ensure optimal network operation.
- Bandwidth Management: Detecting heavy bandwidth consumers and balancing traffic loads.
- Anomaly Detection: Leveraging machine learning to flag irregular behavior.

Some studies proposed hybrid systems that combine traffic analysis with machine learning to enhance detection accuracy. Others emphasized lightweight models for resource-constrained environments like IoT networks.

2.4 Strengths and Weaknesses

- Strengths:
 - o Rich visualization and filtering capabilities in tools like Wireshark.
 - o Real-time monitoring features in systems like Snort.
 - o Scalability and automation offered by cloud-based analyzers.

Weaknesses:

- High resource consumption and steep learning curve for tools like Wireshark.
- o Limited protocol support in some open-source tools.
- o Lack of user-friendly interfaces in many command-line-based systems.

This survey helped identify the need for a lightweight, real-time analyzer with an intuitive UI and basic anomaly detection—objectives that guided the implementation of our project.

THEORETICAL ASPECTS

This chapter discusses the key theoretical concepts and technologies that form the foundation of a network traffic analyzer. Understanding these aspects is crucial for designing and implementing a system capable of capturing, interpreting, and displaying network data in real time.

3.1 Computer Networks

A computer network is a group of interconnected devices that communicate using standard protocols. Networks can be categorized into types such as LAN, WAN, and MAN. The key function of a network is to enable data exchange, which is structured into packets and transferred across various layers using the OSI model.

3.2 OSI Model

The **Open Systems Interconnection (OSI)** model is a conceptual framework used to understand network interactions in seven layers:

- 1. **Physical Layer** Hardware transmission.
- 2. **Data Link Layer** MAC addressing and framing.
- 3. **Network Layer** IP addressing and routing.
- 4. Transport Layer End-to-end connections (TCP, UDP).
- 5. **Session Layer** Managing sessions.
- 6. **Presentation Layer** Data translation and encryption.
- 7. **Application Layer** Network services for applications.

A traffic analyzer focuses primarily on layers 3 and 4 (Network and Transport) to capture and interpret IP packets.

3.3 Protocols

- TCP (Transmission Control Protocol): A connection-oriented protocol ensuring reliable data transmission.
- **UDP** (**User Datagram Protocol**): A connectionless, faster protocol used where speed is preferred over reliability.
- ICMP (Internet Control Message Protocol): Used for sending error and control messages.

These protocols define the format and handling of packets. Analyzing these helps in understanding the type and intent of traffic.

3.4 Packet Sniffing

Packet sniffing is the process of capturing packets traveling across a network. Tools use libraries like **libpcap** (**Linux**) and **WinPcap** (**Windows**) to access raw packet data. Each packet contains headers (IP, TCP/UDP) and payload data that can be decoded and analyzed.

3.5 Traffic Analysis

Traffic analysis involves:

- Counting packets and bytes per IP/protocol.
- Identifying source and destination ports.
- Monitoring traffic volume over time.
- Detecting patterns or anomalies (e.g., DDoS attempts).

3.6 Security Considerations

Monitoring tools must be secure themselves, as they operate with elevated privileges. Traffic data must be handled with care to avoid violating privacy or data protection regulations.

DESIGN AND IMPLEMENTATION

This chapter details the architecture, components, and development process of the Network Traffic Analyzer. The system was designed to be lightweight, efficient, and capable of real-time traffic monitoring with an intuitive user interface.

4.1 System Architecture

The system follows a modular architecture comprising the following components:

- 1. **Packet Capturing Module**: Uses packet sniffing libraries such as **WinPcap** or **libpcap** to intercept live packets from the network interface.
- 2. **Packet Decoder**: Extracts and parses header information such as source/destination IP, port, protocol, and payload.
- 3. **Traffic Analyzer**: Aggregates data to compute statistics like packet counts, bandwidth usage, and protocol distribution.
- 4. **Alert Generator**: Identifies anomalies such as unusual traffic spikes or repeated port scanning attempts.
- 5. **User Interface**: Displays live data and visualizations using graphs, tables, and logs.

4.2 Design Methodology

The system was designed using a **bottom-up approach**, starting from low-level packet capture and decoding, followed by data processing, and then building the user interface layer.

Technologies Used:

- **Programming Language:** Python / C++ / Java (select based on what you used)
- Packet Capture: WinPcap (Windows) / libpcap (Linux)
- GUI Framework: Tkinter / PyQt / JavaFX (depending on your implementation)
- Visualization: Matplotlib / Java Swing Charts / HTML (optional)

4.3 Implementation Steps

1. **Initialization**: The application initializes the capture device and sets filters (e.g., only TCP or UDP).

- 2. **Packet Capture Loop**: Continuously listens for packets and sends them to the decoder.
- 3. **Parsing**: Extracts relevant fields from IP and transport layer headers.
- 4. **Data Storage**: Stores packet metadata in temporary structures (e.g., dictionaries or databases).
- 5. **Display**: Updates the UI with traffic summaries, protocol usage charts, and logs.
- 6. **Alerting**: Triggers alerts for events like high bandwidth usage or unknown protocols.

EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS & ANALYSIS

This chapter presents the findings from various experimental scenarios conducted to test the functionality and performance of the Network Traffic Analyzer. The experiments were designed to evaluate key metrics such as packet capture accuracy, protocol distribution, bandwidth usage, and anomaly detection capabilities under diverse network conditions.

5.1 Experimental Scenarios

Several scenarios were considered to validate the system:

• Normal Traffic Condition

The analyzer was run on a typical office network during routine operations. This scenario focused on measuring baseline performance, protocol distribution, and average bandwidth utilization. The results confirmed that the analyzer accurately captured standard traffic with a balanced distribution across HTTP, HTTPS, email protocols, and internal communication protocols.

• High Traffic Load:

To stress-test the system, packet captures were performed during peak hours when network activity was significantly higher. The analyzer maintained real-time performance and correctly handled increased packet volume without noticeable degradation in capture efficiency or delays in data processing.

• Anomaly_Detection_Test:

A simulated attack scenario was created using tools that generated unusual traffic patterns such as port scanning and high-frequency UDP packets. The analyzer successfully flagged these anomalies, triggering alerts based on predefined thresholds. This confirmed the system's capability to detect potential security incidents in real time.

• Protocol-specific Analysis:

In this test, traffic was filtered based on protocol-specific behavior. For example, during a controlled file transfer scenario, the system isolated TCP traffic and calculated packet loss and retransmission rates. Similar analyses were conducted for UDP and ICMP traffic, verifying that the packet parsing and categorization functionalities were accurate.

5.2 Data Collection and Metrics

For each scenario, the following key metrics were collected and analyzed:

• Packet_Countand_Throughput:

The total number of packets captured per minute was logged, along with the overall throughput in bytes/second. Graphs plotted from this data illustrated the fluctuation in traffic load during different periods of the day.

• Protocol Distribution:

The analyzer provided a visual breakdown (pie charts and bar graphs) of protocol usage, which was used to understand the relative traffic share of different protocols. Under normal conditions, HTTP/HTTPS comprised the majority of traffic, while in testing scenarios, elevated levels of UDP activity highlighted potential issues.

• Latency and Processing Time:

The time taken to capture and analyze each packet was measured. This ensured that even under high load, the average processing time remained within acceptable limits, supporting near real-time monitoring.

• Alert_Accuracy:

The experiment involving simulated attacks focused on assessing the accuracy of the alerting mechanism. By comparing the detected events with the known injection of abnormal traffic, the system demonstrated a high detection rate with minimal false positives.

5.3 Analysis of Results

The experimental results demonstrate that the Network Traffic Analyzer is effective in real-time monitoring and analysis:

• Efficiency Under Load:

The system sustained high performance under variable traffic loads, efficiently capturing and processing thousands of packets per minute without bottlenecks.

• Accurate Protocol_Identification:

Protocol extraction and categorization were consistent across scenarios, allowing clear visualization and detailed analysis of network usage patterns.

• Effective Anomaly Detection:

In scenarios with simulated attacks, the analyzer consistently triggered alerts for abnormal traffic, confirming its utility in proactive security monitoring.

 For instance, during the port scanning tests, the detection algorithm identified and flagged unusual connection attempts, demonstrating strong potential for early threat identification.

• User Interface Feedback:

The real-time updates on the user interface, including charts and logs, provided immediate visual feedback that proved helpful for network administrators in quickly assessing network health.

• Limitations and Improvements:

While the system performed well in controlled environments, certain limitations were observed. Under extremely high traffic conditions, there were minor delays in updating the visual interface. Future improvements could involve further optimizing data processing algorithms and introducing multi-threaded processing to enhance scalability.

CONCLUSION AND FUTURE SCOPE

6.1 Conclusion

This project successfully developed a functional and efficient **Network Traffic Analyzer** capable of capturing, decoding, analyzing, and visualizing real-time network traffic. The system demonstrated its ability to monitor protocol distribution, bandwidth usage, and detect anomalies with minimal delay. Key features like live packet capturing, protocol-based filtering, and alert generation proved valuable for administrators and users interested in maintaining a secure and optimized network environment.

Throughout the implementation, the system was evaluated under various conditions, and experimental results validated its effectiveness and reliability. The modular design ensured flexibility, while the user-friendly interface allowed for easy interpretation of complex data. Overall, the analyzer serves as a robust foundation for both academic learning and practical application in real-world networks.

6.2 Future Scope

While the current version of the Network Traffic Analyzer fulfills basic monitoring requirements, there is significant potential for future enhancements:

- Integration with Machine Learning: Implementing AI-based models for intelligent threat detection, anomaly classification, and predictive analysis of network behavior.
- **Web-based Dashboard**: Extending the analyzer to a web-based interface for remote monitoring and multi-user access.
- Cloud Integration: Enabling traffic analysis across distributed systems or cloud-hosted environments.
- **Mobile Application Support**: Developing a lightweight companion app to receive alerts and summaries on mobile devices.
- Advanced Filtering & Custom Rules: Adding support for customizable filtering rules and regular expression-based packet inspection.
- Log Management and Export: Supporting log export in formats like CSV or JSON for use in external SIEM (Security Information and Event Management) tools.

The evolving nature of cybersecurity and the increasing reliance on robust network infrastructure highlight the continued relevance of tools like this. With the right enhancements, this analyzer can evolve into a comprehensive network monitoring and defense solution.

REFERENCES

- 1. Comer, D. E. (2018). *Computer Networks and Internets* .Pearson Education(6th ed.) Book.
- 2. Kurose, J. F., & Ross, K. W. (2021). *Computer Networking: A Top-Down Approach* (8th ed.). Pearson Book.
- 3. Paxson, V. (1999). Bro: A system for detecting network intruders in real-time. *Computer Networks*, 31(23-24), 2435–2463.
- 4. Liao, H. J., Lin, C. H. R., Lin, Y. C., & Tung, K. Y. (2013). Intrusion detection system: A comprehensive review. *Journal of Network and Computer Applications*, 36(1), 16–24.
- 5. Roesch, M. (1999). Snort Lightweight Intrusion Detection for Networks. Proceedings of the 13th USENIX Conference on System Administration.
- 6. Wireshark Foundation. (2023). *Wireshark Network Protocol Analyzer*. Retrieved from https://www.wireshark.org/
- 7. Cisco Systems. (2023). *NetFlow Services and Applications*. Retrieved from https://www.cisco.com/
- 8. Scapy Project. (2023). *Scapy: Packet Manipulation Tool*. Retrieved from https://scapy.net/
- 9. Nmap Project. (2023). *Network Mapper (Nmap)*. Retrieved from https://nmap.org/
- 10. RFC 791: Internet Protocol. (1981). IETF. Retrieved from https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc791
- 11. RFC 793: Transmission Control Protocol. (1981). IETF. Retrieved from https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc793