

Report on
Assignment 4:
Expectation-Maximization Algorithm
For Gaussian Mixture Model

Name:Ishita Haque

Student id-1205069

Machine Learning Lab

1. Why should you use a Gaussian mixture model (GMM) in the above scenario?

Ans. In the above scenario, there are several ships nearby, as a result sound signals cause interference. We do not know from which ship actually was the sonar data generated. Data points are not generated from a single model, so the distribution cannot be expressed by a single function. So we need a mixture model.

Also, sonar data takes a normal distribution where mean corresponds to the estimated location of ship and response from surrounding objects is due to the variance of the distribution. For this reason we chose a Gaussian mixture model.

2. How will you model your data for GMM?

Ans. Each sonar data with dimension D has been considered as x vector in our GMM model. This data can be generated from any one of the K Gaussian distribution. In this case $K = \text{number of ships} = \text{number of Gaussian distributions}$. The probability of selection of x from Gaussian distribution j is given by $N(x_i; \mu_m, \Sigma_m)$, where mean and variance of m^{th} Gaussian distribution is expressed as μ_m and Σ_m .

Each data point is generated according to the following algorithm:

- 1: for $i = 1$ to N do
- 2: $m \leftarrow$ index of one of the M models randomly selected according to the prior probability vector $\underline{\pi}$
- 3: Randomly generate x_i according to the distribution $N(x_i; \mu_m, \Sigma_m)$
- 4: end for

3. Derive the update equations in M step. (To make the derivations short you can use formulas from matrix calculus)

Ans. The steps of derivation of update equations in M step are given below:

$$\langle l_c(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \rangle_{Q(\mathbf{Z})} = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{m=1}^M \langle z_{im} \rangle \log p(\mathbf{x}_i | z_{im} = 1; \boldsymbol{\theta}) + \langle z_{im} \rangle \log \pi_m \quad (2)$$

2.1 The M step

The “M” step in EM takes the expected complete log-likelihood as defined in eq. (2) and maximizes it w.r.t. the parameters that are to be estimated; in this case π_m , $\boldsymbol{\mu}_m$, and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_m$.

Differentiating eq. (2) w.r.t. $\boldsymbol{\mu}_m$ we get:

$$\frac{\partial \langle l_c(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \rangle_{Q(\mathbf{Z})}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}_m} = \sum_{i=1}^N \langle z_{im} \rangle \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}_m} \log p(\mathbf{x}_i | z_{im} = 1; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathbf{0} \quad (3)$$

We can compute $\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}_m} \log p(\mathbf{x}_i | z_{im} = 1; \boldsymbol{\theta})$ using eq. (1) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}_m} \log p(\mathbf{x}_i | z_{im} = 1; \boldsymbol{\theta}) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}_m} \log \left\{ \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2} |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_m|^{1/2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_m)^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_m^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_m) \right\} \right\} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}_m} (\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_m)^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_m^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_m) \\ &= (\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_m)^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_m^{-1 \dagger} \end{aligned}$$

Substituting this result into eq. (3), we get:

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \langle z_{im} \rangle (\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_m)^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_m^{-1} = \mathbf{0}$$

giving us the update equation:

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_m = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N \langle z_{im} \rangle \mathbf{x}_i}{\sum_{i=1}^N \langle z_{im} \rangle} \quad (4)$$

Differentiating eq. (2) w.r.t. $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_m^{-1}$ we get:

$$\frac{\partial \langle l_c(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \rangle_{Q(\mathbf{Z})}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_m^{-1}} = \sum_{i=1}^N \langle z_{im} \rangle \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_m^{-1}} \log p(\mathbf{x}_i | z_{im} = 1; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathbf{0} \quad (5)$$

We can compute $\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_m^{-1}} \log p(\mathbf{x}_i | z_{im} = 1; \boldsymbol{\theta})$ using eq. (1) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_m^{-1}} \log p(\mathbf{x}_i | z_{im} = 1; \boldsymbol{\theta}) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_m^{-1}} \log \left\{ \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2} |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_m|^{1/2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_m)^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_m^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_m) \right\} \right\} \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_m^{-1}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \log |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_m^{-1}| - \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_m)^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_m^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_m) \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_m - \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_m) (\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_m)^T \dagger \end{aligned}$$

Substituting this result into eq. (5), we get:

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \langle z_{im} \rangle \left(\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_m - \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_m) (\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_m)^T \right) = \mathbf{0}$$

giving us the update equation:

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_m = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N \langle z_{im} \rangle (\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_m) (\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_m)^T}{\sum_{i=1}^N \langle z_{im} \rangle} \quad (6)$$

In order to maximize the expected log-likelihood in eq. (2) w.r.t. π_m , we have to keep in mind that the maximization has the constraint that $\sum_m^M \pi_m = 1$. In order to enforce this constraint we use the Lagrange multiplier λ , and augment eq. (2) as follows:

$$L'(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \langle l_c(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \rangle_{Q(\mathbf{Z})} - \lambda \left(\sum_m^M \pi_m - 1 \right) \quad (7)$$

We now differentiate this new expression w.r.t. each π_m giving us:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \pi_m} \langle l_c(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \rangle_{Q(\mathbf{Z})} - \lambda = 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq m \leq M$$

Using eq. (2) we get:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\pi_m} \sum_{i=1}^N \langle z_{im} \rangle - \lambda = 0 \\ \text{or equivalently } & \sum_{i=1}^N \langle z_{im} \rangle - \lambda \pi_m = 0 \end{aligned} \right\} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq m \leq M \quad (8)$$

[‡]Where we have used the relation $\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{X}} \log |\mathbf{X}| = (\mathbf{X}^{-1})^T$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{A}} \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^T$

Summing eq. (8) over all M models we get:

$$\sum_m^M \sum_{i=1}^N \langle z_{im} \rangle - \lambda \sum_m^M \pi_m = 0$$

But since $\sum_m^M \pi_m = 1$ we get:

$$\lambda = \sum_m^M \sum_{i=1}^N \langle z_{im} \rangle = N \quad (9)$$

Substituting this result back into eq. (8) we get the following update equation:

$$\pi_m = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N \langle z_{im} \rangle}{N} \quad (10)$$

which preserves the constraint that $\sum_m^M \pi_m = 1$.

4. Derive the log-likelihood function in step 4.

Ans: Log-likelihood function in step 4 is derived below-

$$\begin{aligned}
 p(x|\mu, \Sigma, \theta) &= \prod_{i=1}^N p(x_i|\mu, \Sigma, \theta) \\
 &= \prod_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^K p(x_i, z_i=j|\mu, \Sigma, \theta) \\
 &= \prod_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^K p(x_i|z_i=j, \mu, \Sigma, \theta) p(z_i=j|\mu, \Sigma, \theta) \\
 &= \prod_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^K p(z_i=j|\mu, \Sigma, \theta) p(x_i|z_i=j, \mu, \Sigma, \theta) \\
 &= \prod_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^K p(z_i=j|\theta) p(x_i|z_i=j, \mu, \Sigma) \\
 &= \prod_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^K \theta_j N(x_i|\mu_j, \Sigma_j)
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking \ln in both sides -

$$\begin{aligned}
 \ln p(x|\mu, \Sigma, \theta) &= \ln \left(\prod_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^K \theta_j N(x_i|\mu_j, \Sigma_j) \right) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^N \ln \left(\sum_{j=1}^K \theta_j N(x_i|\mu_j, \Sigma_j) \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

