

1. Problem 1: Linear program with unique optimal solution

For a given LP, suppose that there exists a feasible short tableau of the form

	x_1	\dots	x_n	1
z	c_1	\dots	c_n	q
x_{n+1}	A_{11}	\dots	A_{1n}	b
\vdots	\vdots		\vdots	\vdots
x_{n+m}	A_{m1}	\dots	A_{mn}	b_m

such that $c_i > 0$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, i.e., all tableau entries that are objective function coefficients (excluding the current basic solution value q) are strictly positive. Prove that in this case, the linear program has a unique optimal solution.

1.a Proof

We already know by Theorem 1.68 that a feasible tableau with $c_k \geq 0 \quad \forall k \in [n]$ is an optimal solution to the corresponding lp. So we only need to prove it is the unique solution and there exists no other solution with the same objective value. If there were another solution with the same objective value, there would be a legal pivot from one solution to the other. But by the definition given, there is no $c_k < 0$, so there is no legal pivot.

2. Problem 2 Reading simplex tableaus

Consider the short tableaus (a)–(d) given below. For each of them, answer the following questions and argue why your answer is correct.

- Mark all entries that are legal pivots according to the rules of phase II of the Simplex Method.
- What is the basic solution corresponding to the tableau? Is it feasible? If yes, can you determine if it is optimal by looking at the tableau only?
- Can you decide if the objective function of the linear program corresponding to the tableau is unbounded by looking at the tableau only? If it is unbounded, provide a feasible ray along which the objective function is strictly increasing.

(a)

	x_1	x_0	x_3	1
z	$\frac{3}{8}$	0	1	-12
x_4	1	0	-2	6
x_2	$-\frac{3}{4}$	7	-3	1
x_5	$\frac{125}{8}$	10	-3	0

(c)

	J	A	B	G	D	1
z	-4	6	0	-1	$-\frac{1}{2}$	0
C	6	-2	-5	0	-7	0
F	-3	$-\frac{17}{2}$	3	2	0	4
H	3	91	-3	4	-20	12
E	0	14	$\frac{7}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	2	1

(b)

	y_1	y_2	x_s^+	x_s^-	x_b	x_t	1
z	0	$-\frac{4}{19}$	0	5	-3	-1	12
y_3	1	2	$-\frac{5}{2}$	7	0	-2	2
x_a	-3	-1	$\frac{3}{2}$	0	-3	0	0
y_4	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$-\frac{1}{10}$	-1	5

(d)

	y_1	y_2	x_3	1
z	3	-5	0	4
y_3	2	0	-2	2
x_1	3	7	3	1
x_2	1	-1	-3	$\frac{9}{2}$
y_4	0	10	3	5

2.a What is the basic solution corresponding to the tableau?

- (a) $x^T = (0, 0, 1, 0, 6, 0)$
- (b) $y_3 = 2, y_4 = 5$ rest is 0
- (c) $F = 4, H = 12, E = 1$ rest is 0
- (d) $y_3 = 2, x_1 = 1, x_2 = \frac{9}{2}, y_4 = 5$ rest is 0

2.b Is it feasible? If yes, can you determine if it is optimal by looking at the tableau only?

- (a) it is feasible ($\text{rhs} \geq 0$) and optimal ($c_k \geq 0 \quad \forall k \in [n]$)
- (b) it is feasible. it is not optimal.
- (c) it is feasible, Unclear if optimal, there are negative coefficients. May be an optimal solution but certainly not the unique optimal solution.
- (d) Same as in (c)

2.c Can you decide if the objective function of the linear program corresponding to the tableau is unbounded by looking at the tableau only? If it is unbounded, provide a feasible ray along which the objective function is strictly increasing.

Yes, if there is a column k where $c_k < 0$ and $A_{jk} \leq 0 \forall j \in [m]$ like the column x_b in tableau (b).

The feasible ray with variables

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \\ y_4 \\ x_a \\ x_b \\ x_s^+ \\ x_s^- \\ x_t \end{pmatrix} \text{ is } \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 5 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \lambda \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \frac{1}{10} \\ 3 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

3. Problem 3: Short questions on the Simplex Method

For each of the following statements, decide if it is true or false and argue why (i.e., give a proof or find a counterexample)

3.a If in a legal pivoting step of the Simplex Method, a variable leaves the basis, there is no legal pivoting step that makes the same variable re-enter the basis in the very next step.

Assumption: Entering the basis can only be done via pivoting steps, not re-interpreting the tableau.

true, for a variable to re-enter the basis in the very next step, the pivot-element has to have the same i, k as before. In a legal pivoting step the corresponding c_k will change to a positive value ($c'_k = -\frac{c_k}{A_{ik}}$ with $c_k < 0$ by definition of a legal pivot and $A_{ik} > 0$ by definition of a legal pivot $\Rightarrow c'_k > 0$) and can therefore not be used for the next pivoting step.

3.b *If in every potential pivot column of a feasible simplex tableau, there is a legal pivot, then the objective function is bounded.*

false, sometimes it requires more than 1 step to get to the unbounded vertex. **PROOF FOLLOWS**

3.c *If there exists a legal pivot in a feasible simplex tableau, the current basic solution is not optimal.*

false, in a degenerated tableau there are infinite legal pivots (cycling problem)

3.d *Consider a feasible simplex tableau whose corresponding basic solution is optimal. Then, the entries of the tableau that correspond to coefficients of the objective function are all non-negative.*

maybe, i think no in degenerated

3.e *The number of basic feasible solutions of a system of linear equalities is finite.*

true