**Why the Updated Count is More Accurate:**

1. It removes duplicate award entries caused by unnecessary modification types.
2. It reports the **correct incremental obligated amounts**, rather than the previously reported cumulative sums, which misrepresented the actual values.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Proposal Count (Ismail)** | **Award Count  (Ismail)** | **Old Proposal Count (Ashlee)** | **Old Award Count (Ashlee)** |
| July-2024 | 73 | 111 (26 New Added)  85+26 = 111 | 73 | 94 (9 Duplicates)  94-9 = 85 |
| Aug-2024 | 52 | 73 (34 New Added) | 52 | 46 (7 Duplicates) |
| Sep-2024 | 64 | 56+17 = 73 | 65-1 = 64 | 63-8 = 55 |
| Oct-2024 | 77 | 40+22 = 62 | 78-1 = 77 | 44-4 = 40 |
| Nov-2024 | 74-1 = 73 | 8+8 = 16 | 73 | 8 |
| Dec-2024 | 38-4 = 34 | 6+19 = 25 | 34 | 6 |
| Jan-2025 | 98 | 30+3 = 33 | 98 | 30 |
| Feb-2025 | 79 | 10+12 = 22 | 79 | 10 |
| Mar-2025 | 73 | 7+17 = 24 | 73 | 8-1 = 7 |
| Apr-2025 | 64 | 34 | 64 | NA |

In this table, the proposal and award counts are compared between two sources: the most recent counts done by Md Ismail Hossain and the earlier counts done by Ashlee Marie Kupilik based on monthly data snapshots.

Ismail’s counts include both **Original Awards** and **Incremental Awards** using the **latest available data**, providing a more complete picture. In contrast, the previous monthly counts by Ashlee included some **duplicate awards**, which have now been identified and removed. These duplicates are noted and subtracted in each monthly summary. For example, in **July 2024**, there were **9 duplicate awards**, so the corrected total was **85**. With updated data, **26 new awards** have since been added, bringing the total to **111 (85 + 26)**. These **newly added awards are highlighted in green** in the summary.

The **proposal counts** largely match between the two reports, with only minor adjustments. These adjustments are explained in the later sections of the report.

**Note:**

* Duplicates are highlighted in **yellow** in the previous report prepared by Ashlee.
* Newly identified IDs are highlighted in **green** in the updated report prepared by Ismail.
* In the previous report, **Economics awards were incorrectly counted under Agriculture instead of Business**.

**Proposal Count Matching:**

**Sep-2024:**

* 25-0126-P0001 - Actual Submission date is 10/3/2024. (Status was changed from: Submitted to Sponsor to Closed. Resolution set to: PI Abandoned) This is the new submission date. Should subtract from old count (65-1 = 64)

**Oct-2024:**

* 25-0076-P0001 NIH reinstated the application. New Submission Date is   
  3/27/2025 (Should remove this from old count, 78-1 = 77)
* 25-0254-P0001 Economics Under business not Agriculture.

**Nov-2024:**

* 25-0333-P0001 (Re-submitted on 12/10/2024 P-CESU-2025-006779)
* 25-0228-P0001 in the comment it’s mentioned that “NOT A PROPOSAL we need to capture and report. This is State Appropriation that Administration would like routed and setup through PPM in WyoCloud.”. We are using the history action date to get the submission date, that’s why it’s showing the proposal here! I marked that yellow in my report. Should not count this in updated summary. (New summary 74-1 = 73)

**Dec-2024:**

* 23-1695-P0001: Originally submitted on 7/15/23
* 25-0365-P0001: Not a proposal
* 24-1093-P0001: Not a proposal
* 25-0348-P0001: Submitted Under 24-0619  
    
  New summary 38-4 = 34!

**Jan-Mar (2025)**: Proposal Count Perfectly Matched.   
  
  
To address the “Not a Proposal” issue in the latest summary, I have **highlighted the relevant proposal details based on the information provided in the History Action Comment**.

**Next Action:** Create a PowerBI report for better representation and general solution of this reporting.