

Lecture 6: Saddle point problems

The Brezzi theorem

Pr. Ismail Merabet

Univ. of K-M-Ouargla

December 1, 2024

Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Examples of saddle point problems
- 3 Energy minimisation
- 4 Well-posedness of saddle point problems
- 5 Discretisation of saddle point problems

Introduction

We have now seen the general **necessary and sufficient** Babuška conditions for the well-posedness of find $u \in V$ such that $a(u, v) = F(v)$ for all $v \in V$.

Many noncoercive problems arise via mixed formulations (solving for more than one variable), and in this lecture we will rephrase the well-posedness conditions for saddle point problems:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{find } (u, p) \in V \times Q \text{ such that} \\ a(u, v) + b(v, p) = F(v), \quad \forall v \in V \\ b(u, q) = G(q), \quad \forall q \in Q \end{array} \right. \quad (1)$$

These are the Brezzi conditions. **The Brezzi conditions are easier to understand and verify than the Babuška conditions if you have a saddle point problem.**

Note that the problem:

$$\begin{cases} \text{find } (u, p) \in V \times Q \text{ such that} \\ a(u, v) + b(v, p) = F(v), \quad \forall v \in V \\ b(u, q) = G(q), \quad \forall q \in Q \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

is equivalent to:

$$\begin{cases} \text{find } (u, p) \in V \times Q \text{ such that} \\ a(u, v) + b(v, p) + b(u, q) = F(v) + G(q), \quad \forall (v, q) \in V \times Q \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

- Set $v = 0$ and vary $q \in Q$,
- set $q = 0$ and vary $v \in V$.

We've already seen one example: Mixed Poisson (lecture 5)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Find } (\sigma, u) \in H(\operatorname{div}, \Omega) \times L^2(\Omega) \text{ such that} \\ \int_{\Omega} \sigma \cdot v \, dx - \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}(v)u - \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}(\sigma)w \, dx = - \int_{\Omega} fw \, dx \\ \text{for all } (v, w) \in H(\operatorname{div}, \Omega) \times L^2(\Omega). \end{array} \right. \quad (4)$$

Here

$$a(\sigma, v) = \int_{\Omega} \sigma \cdot v \, dx, \quad b(v, u) = - \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}(v)u \, dx$$

The Stokes problem

Let's consider one more example. The Stokes equations are an elementary model in fluid mechanics. They describe the motion of a steady, incompressible, viscous, Newtonian, isothermal, slow-moving fluid.

$$\begin{aligned}-\Delta u + \nabla p &= f \text{ in } \Omega \\ \operatorname{div} u &= 0 \text{ in } \Omega \\ u &= 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega\end{aligned}$$

Here $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is the flow velocity and $p : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the pressure.

The Stokes problem

Multiply the momentum equation by a vector-valued test function $v \in V$, and the continuity equation by a scalar-valued test function $q \in Q$:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} -\operatorname{div}(\nabla u) \cdot v \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \nabla p \cdot v \, dx &= \int_{\Omega} f \cdot v \, dx \\ \int_{\Omega} q \operatorname{div}(u) \, dx &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Integrate the vector Laplacian by parts:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \nabla u : \nabla v \, dx - \int_{\partial\Omega} n \cdot \nabla u \cdot v \, ds + \int_{\Omega} \nabla p \cdot v \, dx &= \int_{\Omega} f \cdot v \, dx \\ \int_{\Omega} q \operatorname{div} u \, dx &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

The Stokes problem

Multiply the momentum equation by a vector-valued test function $v \in V$, and the continuity equation by a scalar-valued test function $q \in Q$:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} -\operatorname{div} \nabla u \cdot v \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \nabla p \cdot v \, dx &= \int_{\Omega} f \cdot v \, dx \\ \int_{\Omega} q \operatorname{div} u \, dx &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Integrate the vector Laplacian by parts:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \nabla u : \nabla v \, dx - \int_{\partial\Omega} n \cdot \nabla u \cdot v \, ds + \int_{\Omega} \nabla p \cdot v \, dx &= \int_{\Omega} f \cdot v \, dx, \\ \int_{\Omega} q \operatorname{div} u \, dx &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

We have nowhere to weakly enforce $u = 0$, so take $V = H_0^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$.

The Stokes problem

The formulation

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\Omega} \nabla u : \nabla v \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \nabla p \cdot v \, dx &= \int_{\Omega} f \cdot v \, dx \\ \int_{\Omega} q \operatorname{div} u \, dx &= 0\end{aligned}$$

requires $u \in H_0^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $p \in H^1(\Omega)$. We can weaken the regularity requirement to $p \in L^2(\Omega)$ by integrating by parts, and then negating the second equation for symmetry:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\Omega} \nabla u : \nabla v \, dx - \int_{\Omega} p \operatorname{div} v \, dx &= \int_{\Omega} f \cdot v \, dx \\ - \int_{\Omega} q \operatorname{div} u \, dx &= 0\end{aligned}$$

Here

$$a(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} \nabla u : \nabla v \, dx, \quad b(v, p) = - \int_{\Omega} p \operatorname{div} v \, dx$$

The Stokes problem

In the strong form of the problem, p only appears via ∇p . So if (u, p) is a solution, so is $(u, p + c)$ for $c \in \mathbb{R}$. We can see this variationally:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\Omega} (p + c) \operatorname{div} v \, dx &= \int_{\Omega} p \operatorname{div} v \, dx + c \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div} v \, dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} p \operatorname{div} v \, dx + c \int_{\partial\Omega} v \cdot n \, ds \\ &= \int_{\Omega} p \operatorname{div} v \, dx.\end{aligned}$$

To fix a unique pressure we choose

$$Q = L_0^2(\Omega) = \left\{ q \in L^2(\Omega) : \int_{\Omega} q \, dx = 0 \right\}.$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} u = \operatorname{argmin}_{v \in H_0^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)} \quad & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \nabla v : \nabla v \, dx - \int_{\Omega} f \cdot v \, dx, \\ \text{subject to} \quad & \operatorname{div} v = 0 \end{aligned}$$

We introduce a Lagrange multiplier p and write the Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned} L : H_0^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n) \times L_0^2(\Omega) &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} : \\ L(u, p) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \nabla u : \nabla u \, dx - \int_{\Omega} f \cdot u \, dx - \int_{\Omega} p \operatorname{div} u \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

$$L(u, p) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \nabla u : \nabla u \, dx - \int_{\Omega} p \operatorname{div} u \, dx - \int_{\Omega} f \cdot u \, dx.$$

Calculating the Euler-Lagrange equations, we have

$$L_u(u, p; v) = \int_{\Omega} \nabla u : \nabla v \, dx - \int_{\Omega} p \operatorname{div} v \, dx - \int_{\Omega} f \cdot v \, dx = 0,$$

$$L_p(u, p; q) = - \int_{\Omega} q \operatorname{div} u \, dx = 0,$$

the Stokes equations in weak form.

In general constrained optimisation problems give you saddle point problems, because the constraint equation does not involve the Lagrange multiplier.

Well-posedness of saddle point problems

We now state the Brezzi conditions for the well-posedness of the abstract saddle point problem.

Theorem

Let V and Q be Hilbert spaces. Given $F \in V'$ and $G \in Q'$, we consider the problem:

$$\begin{cases} \text{find } (u, p) \in V \times Q \text{ such that} \\ a(u, v) + b(v, p) = F(v), & \forall v \in V \\ b(u, q) = G(q), & \forall q \in Q. \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

Let

$$\ker b = \{v \in V : b(v, q) = 0 \text{ for all } q \in Q\}$$

Well-posedness of saddle point problems

Theorem

Suppose that:

- 1 $a : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $b : V \times Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are bounded bilinear forms;
- 2 The variational problem:

$$\begin{cases} \text{find } u \in K \text{ such that} \\ a(u, v) = F(v), \quad \forall v \in \ker b \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

is well-posed;

- 3 b satisfies the following inf-sup condition: there exists $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$0 < \gamma \leq \inf_{\substack{q \in Q \\ q \neq 0}} \sup_{\substack{v \in V \\ v \neq 0}} \frac{b(v, q)}{\|v\|_V \|q\|_Q}.$$

Then there exists a unique pair $(u, p) \in V \times Q$ that solves the variational problem, and the solution is stable with respect to the data F and G .

Take $V_h \times Q_h \subset V \times Q$, and consider:

$$\begin{cases} \text{find } (u_h, p_h) \in V_h \times Q_h \text{ such that} \\ a(u_h, v_h) + b(v_h, p_h) = F(v_h), \quad \forall v_h \in V_h \\ b(u_h, q_h) = G(q_h), \quad \forall q_h \in Q_h \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

For this to be well-posed, Brezzi's conditions require that the LVP involving a is well-posed on the discrete kernel

$$\ker_h = \{v_h \in V_h : b(v_h, q_h) = 0 \text{ for all } q_h \in Q_h\}.$$

Compare with

$$\ker b \cap V_h = \{v_h \in V_h : b(v_h, q) = 0 \text{ for all } q \in Q\}.$$

In general, for $v_h \in V_h$, the property

$$b(v_h, q_h) = 0 \text{ for all } q_h \in Q_h$$

will not imply

$$b(v_h, q) = 0 \text{ for all } q \in Q$$

(It will sometimes, but not always.) So in general $\ker_h \not\subset \ker b$. This means that well-posedness of a on the discrete kernel \ker_h does not necessarily follow automatically from well-posedness of a on the full kernel $\ker b$. One way to look at it: we have a non-conforming discretisation of the kernel problem.

That's one way a discretisation might fail. Any others? Given that b satisfies the inf-sup condition over V and Q , it does not follow that b satisfies the inf-sup condition: there exists $\tilde{\gamma} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$0 < \tilde{\gamma} \leq \inf_{\substack{q_h \in Q_h \\ q_h \neq 0}} \sup_{\substack{v_h \in V_h \\ v_h \neq 0}} \frac{b(v_h, q_h)}{\|v_h\| \|q_h\|}$$

We will see this by counterexample (later). So to analyse our discretisation error, we must additionally assume the Brezzi conditions hold for our discrete problem. This is a compatibility condition on the elements we choose for V_h and Q_h : they must work together.

Many interesting problems are of saddle point form:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{find } (u, p) \in V \times Q \text{ such that} \\ a(u, v) + b(v, p) = F(v), \quad \forall v \in V \\ b(u, q) = G(q), \quad \forall q \in Q \end{array} \right. \quad (8)$$

For this to be well-posed, we needed continuity of a and b , and

- 1 The variational problem:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{find } u \in \ker b \text{ such that} \\ a(u, v) = F(v), \quad \forall v \in \ker b \end{array} \right. \quad (9)$$

over $\ker b := \{v \in V : b(v, q) = 0 \text{ for all } q \in Q\}$ is well-posed;

- 2 b satisfies the following inf-sup condition: there exists $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$0 < \gamma \leq \inf_{\substack{q \in Q \\ q \neq 0}} \sup_{\substack{v \in V \\ v \neq 0}} \frac{b(v, q)}{\|v\|_V \|q\|_Q}$$

Consider a Galerkin approximation: find $(u_h, p_h) \in V_h \times Q_h$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}a(u_h, v_h) + b(v_h, p_h) &= F(v_h) \\ b(u_h, q_h) &= G(q_h)\end{aligned}$$

for all $(v_h, q_h) \in V_h \times Q_h$. We similarly require:

- 1 The variational problem find $u_h \in \ker_h$ such that $a(u_h, v_h) = F(v_h)$ for all $v_h \in \ker_h$ over $\ker_h := \{v_h \in V_h : b(v_h, q_h) = 0 \text{ for all } q_h \in Q_h\}$ is well-posed;
- 2 $V_h \times Q_h$ satisfies the following inf-sup condition: there exists $\tilde{\gamma} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$0 < \tilde{\gamma} \leq \inf_{\substack{q_h \in Q_h \\ q_h \neq 0}} \sup_{\substack{v_h \in V_h \\ v_h \neq 0}} \frac{b(v_h, q_h)}{\|v_h\|_V \|q_h\|_Q}$$

In this lecture we apply this theory to the mixed Poisson equation.