

Lecture 3: Finite element approximation of variational inequalities

Pr. Ismail Merabet

Univ. of K-M-Ouargla

February 23, 2025

Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Problem Statement
- 3 Stampacchia's Theorem
- 4 Obstacle Problem for the Laplacian

Introduction

In the first semester, we considered the finite element approximation for **variational equations**, i.e., problems of the form:

$$\begin{cases} \text{Find } u \in V \text{ such that} \\ a(u, v) = (f, v), \forall v \in V. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

When the bilinear form a is **symmetric**, this problem can also be formulated as a **minimization** problem. Indeed, we define the functional

$$J(v) = \frac{1}{2}a(v, v) - (f, v). \quad (2)$$

Then, problem (1) is equivalent to the following minimization problem:

$$\begin{cases} \text{Find } u \in V \text{ such that} \\ J(u) = \min_{v \in V} J(v) \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

In this first section of the second semester, we study minimization problems of the form (3) **but**, the solution u is sought in a **closed convex** set \mathcal{K} instead of the Hilbert vector space V .

Thus, we are interested in problems of the form:

$$\begin{cases} \text{Find } u \in \mathcal{K} \text{ such that} \\ J(u) = \min_{v \in \mathcal{K}} J(v) \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

Theorem

If u is a solution of problem (4), then

$$a(u, v - u) \geq (f, v - u), \forall v \in \mathcal{K}.$$

Proof.

Let u be the solution of the minimization problem and $v \in \mathcal{K}$. Then,

$$J(u) \leq J((1 - t)u + tv) \iff J(u) \leq J(u + t(v - u))$$

Expanding the terms, we obtain for all $v \in \mathcal{K}$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}a(u, u) - (f, u) &= J(u) \leq J(u + t(v - u)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}a(u + t(v - u), u + t(v - u)) - (f, u + t(v - u)) \end{aligned}$$

Proof

Since the inequality holds for all $0 < t < 1$, we can divide by t to obtain

$$0 \leq a(u, v - u) - (f, v - u) + \frac{t}{2}a(v - u, v - u).$$

Taking the limit as $t \rightarrow 0$, we find that u must satisfy

$$a(u, v - u) \geq (f, v - u), \forall v \in \mathcal{K}.$$

Theorem (Stampacchia)

Let V be a Hilbert space and \mathcal{K} a non-empty closed convex subset of V . Suppose that $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a continuous and coercive bilinear form on V . Given $f \in V'$, there exists a unique $u \in \mathcal{K}$ such that

$$a(u, v - u) \geq \langle f, v - u \rangle, \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{K}. \quad (5)$$

Proof.

By the Riesz-Frechét representation theorem, there exists a unique $\varphi \in V$ such that:

$$\langle f, v \rangle = (\varphi, v)_V, \quad \forall v \in V.$$

On the other hand, for any fixed $u \in V$, the mapping $v \mapsto a(u, v)$ is a continuous linear form on V . By the Riesz-Frechét representation theorem, there exists an element $Au \in V$ such that

$$a(u, v) = (Au, v), \quad \forall v \in V.$$

It is clear that A is a linear operator from V to V and satisfies

$$\|Aw\| \leq C\|w\|, \quad \forall w \in V, \quad (6)$$

$$(Aw, w) \geq \alpha\|w\|^2, \forall w \in V. \quad (7)$$

The problem thus reduces to finding $u \in \mathcal{K}$ such that

$$(Au, v - u) \geq (\varphi, v - u), \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{K}. \quad (8)$$

For some constant $\rho > 0$ to be determined, the inequality (8) is equivalent to

$$(\rho\varphi - \rho Au + u - u, v - u) \leq 0, \quad (9)$$

$$u = P_{\mathcal{K}}(\rho\varphi - \rho Au + u).$$

For any $v \in \mathcal{K}$, define $Tv = P_{\mathcal{K}}(\rho\varphi - \rho Av + v)$. We show that if $\rho > 0$ is suitably chosen, then T is a strict contraction, i.e.,

$$\|Tv_1 - Tv_2\| \leq k\|v_1 - v_2\|, \forall v_1, v_2 \in \mathcal{K}.$$

Since P_K is a projection, we have

$$\|Tv_1 - Tv_2\| \leq \|(v_1 - v_2) - \rho(Av_1 - Av_2)\|$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned}\|Tv_1 - Tv_2\|^2 &\leq \|(v_1 - v_2)\|^2 - 2\rho((Av_1 - Av_2), v_1 - v_2) + \rho^2\|Av_1 - Av_2\|^2 \\ &\leq \|v_1 - v_2\|^2(1 - 2\rho\alpha + \rho^2C^2).\end{aligned}$$

Choosing $\rho > 0$ such that

$$k^2 = 1 - 2\rho\alpha + \rho^2C^2 < 1 \quad (0 < \rho < \frac{2\alpha}{C^2}),$$

then for this choice, T has a unique fixed point.

Let Ω be a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^2 with boundary $\partial\Omega$. Given $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $\psi \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$, we define:

$$\begin{aligned} V &:= H_0^1(\Omega) := \{v \in H^1(\Omega) \mid v = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega\}, \\ \mathcal{K} &:= \{v \in V; \quad v \geq \psi \text{ a.e. in } \Omega\}. \end{aligned}$$

We are interested in analyzing the following variational inequality:

$$\begin{cases} \text{Find } u \in \mathcal{K} \text{ such that} \\ \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla (v - u) dx \geq \int_{\Omega} f(v - u) dx, \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{K}. \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

The search for the vertical displacement consists of finding u in the set:

$$\mathcal{K} = \{v \in H_0^1(\Omega) \mid v \geq \psi\}$$

Proposition

The set \mathcal{K} is convex and closed in $H_0^1(\Omega)$.

Proof

To prove that \mathcal{K} is convex, it is necessary and sufficient to show that:

$$v_1, v_2 \in \mathcal{K} \implies tv_1 + (1-t)v_2 \geq \psi, \quad \forall t \in (0, 1)$$

Indeed, let $t \in (0, 1)$, then we have $tv_1 \geq t\psi$ and $(1-t)v_2 \geq (1-t)\psi$, hence:

$$tv_1 + (1-t)v_2 \geq (t + 1 - t)\psi = \psi$$

Let $v_n \in \mathcal{K}$ such that $\|v - v_n\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

$$\psi(x) \leq v_n(x) - v(x) + v(x) \leq \|v_n - v\| + v(x) \implies v(x) \geq \psi$$

Proposition

The form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ defined by $a(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx$ is bilinear, continuous, and coercive on $H_0^1(\Omega)$.

Theorem

The solution u of (10) satisfies the complementarity system:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u - f \geq 0, & \text{in } \Omega \\ u - \psi \geq 0, & \text{in } \Omega \\ (u - \psi, -\Delta u - f) = 0, & \text{in } \Omega \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

Proof

Let $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$, $\varphi \geq 0$, then $v = u + \varphi \in \mathcal{K}$, and (10) implies

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi \, dx \geq \int_{\Omega} f \varphi \, dx$$

By integration by parts, we obtain:

$$-\int_{\Omega} \Delta u \, \varphi \geq \int_{\Omega} f \varphi, \quad \forall \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega), \varphi \geq 0$$

which leads to $-\Delta u - f \geq 0$, in Ω .

The second inequality and the fourth equation follow from the definition of \mathcal{K} .

It remains to prove the third equation.

Indeed, we decompose $\Omega = \mathcal{O} \cup \mathcal{C}$ with \mathcal{C} being the contact set, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{C} = \{x \in \Omega \mid u = \psi\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{O} = \{x \in \Omega \mid u > \psi\}$$

It is not difficult to see that \mathcal{O} is open and \mathcal{C} is closed.

It is clear that if $x \in \mathcal{C}$, then $u - \psi = 0$ and thus

$$(u - \psi)(-\Delta u - f) = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{C}.$$

Now, if $x \in \mathcal{O}$, which is an open set, we take $v = u \pm \varepsilon \varphi$,

$\forall \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathcal{O}), \varphi \geq 0$ and integrate by parts over \mathcal{O} , then we obtain

$$-\Delta u = f \quad \text{in } \mathcal{O}$$

and thus

$$(u - \psi)(-\Delta u - f) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

Conversely, if u is a solution of the complementarity system, then u is the solution of the variational inequality. Indeed, for all $v \in \mathcal{K}$, we have,

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta u - f)(v - \psi) \geq 0 \\ (-\Delta u - f)(u - \psi) = 0 \end{cases} \implies (-\Delta u - f, v - \psi - u + \psi) \geq 0 \quad (12)$$

which leads to

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla (v - u) \geq (f, v - u), \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{K}.$$

Theorem

If $\partial\Omega$ is sufficiently regular ($C^{1,1}$), then $u \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$.

Theorem (Stampacchia-Brezis)

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded regular open set ($C^{1,1}$), $f \in L^2(\Omega)$, and $\psi \in H^2(\Omega)$. Then the solution u of (10) belongs to $H^2(\Omega)$.

The corresponding minimization problem is:

$$u = \arg \min_{\mathcal{K}} J \quad \text{with} \quad J(v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 - \langle f, v \rangle$$

$$\mathcal{K} = \{v \in H_0^1(\Omega) \mid v \geq \psi\}$$

The formulation as a variational inequality takes the form:

$$\begin{cases} \text{Find } u \in \mathcal{K} = \{v \in H_0^1(\Omega) \mid v \geq \psi \text{ in } \Omega\} \text{ such that} \\ \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla (v - u) dx \geq \int_{\Omega} f(v - u) dx, \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{K} \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

Define $\tilde{u} = u - \psi$, then

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla (v - u) dx \geq \int_{\Omega} f(v - u) dx, \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{K}$$

implies that $\forall v \in \mathcal{K}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \nabla (u - \psi) \cdot \nabla (v - \psi - (u - \psi)) dx &\geq \int_{\Omega} f(v - \psi - (u - \psi)) dx - \int_{\Omega} \nabla \psi \cdot \nabla (v - \psi - (u - \psi)) dx \\ &= \langle f + \Delta \psi, v - \psi - (u - \psi) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

thus

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla (\tilde{v} - \tilde{u}) dx \geq \int_{\Omega} (f + \Delta \psi)(\tilde{v} - \tilde{u}) dx, \quad \forall \tilde{v} \in \tilde{\mathcal{K}}$$

$$\tilde{u} = \arg \min_{\tilde{\mathcal{K}}} \tilde{J} \quad \text{with} \quad \tilde{J}(v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 - \langle \tilde{f}, v \rangle$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{K}} = \{v \in H_0^1(\Omega) \mid v \geq 0\}, \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{f} = f + \Delta \psi$$

We have already seen (see the complementarity system)

$$\Delta \tilde{u} \leq -\tilde{f} \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega$$

Let $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$, $v \geq 0$ and define $v_\varepsilon(x) = v(x)\varphi(\frac{\tilde{u}(x)}{\varepsilon})$ where

$$\varphi(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } x \geq 2. \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

Since we have

$$v_\varepsilon(x) \neq 0 \implies \tilde{u}(x) > \varepsilon$$

Then

$$v_\varepsilon \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{supp } v_\varepsilon \subset \mathcal{O} = \{x \in \Omega \mid \tilde{u}(x) > 0\}$$

$$\int \nabla \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla v_\varepsilon + \int \tilde{f} v_\varepsilon = 0 \quad (15)$$

Using the chain rule for differentiation, we find

$$\nabla v_\varepsilon = \nabla v \varphi(\tilde{u}/\varepsilon) + v \varphi'(\tilde{u}/\varepsilon) \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \nabla \tilde{u}$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \nabla \tilde{u} \cdot (\nabla v \varphi(\tilde{u}/\varepsilon) + v \varphi'(\tilde{u}/\varepsilon) \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \nabla \tilde{u}) + \int \tilde{f} v \varphi(\tilde{u}/\varepsilon) \\ &= \int \nabla \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla v \varphi(\tilde{u}/\varepsilon) + \underbrace{\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int v \varphi'(\tilde{u}/\varepsilon) |\nabla \tilde{u}|^2}_{\geq 0} + \int \tilde{f} v \varphi(\tilde{u}/\varepsilon) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\int \nabla \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla v \varphi(\tilde{u}/\varepsilon) + \int \tilde{f} v \varphi(\tilde{u}/\varepsilon) \leq 0$$

Taking the limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ gives

$$\int \nabla \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla v \chi_{\{\tilde{u} > 0\}} + \int \tilde{f} v \chi_{\{\tilde{u} > 0\}} \leq 0$$

which means

$$\Delta \tilde{u} \geq \tilde{f} \chi_{\{\tilde{u} > 0\}}$$

Finally, we obtain $\tilde{f} \chi_{\{\tilde{u} > 0\}} \leq \Delta \tilde{u} \leq -\tilde{f} \implies \Delta \tilde{u} \in L^2(\Omega)$. By the elliptic regularity theorem, we have $\tilde{u} \in H^2(\Omega)$.

Let V_h be a finite-dimensional space $V_h \subset V$, and we construct a convex and closed subset $\mathcal{K}_h \subset V_h$:

$$\mathcal{K}_h = \{v_h \in V_h, \quad v_h \geq \psi_h\}$$

where ψ_h is the interpolant of ψ in V_h . We consider the following problem:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Find } u_h \in \mathcal{K}_h \text{ such that} \\ \int_{\Omega} \nabla u_h \cdot \nabla (v_h - u_h) dx \geq \int_{\Omega} f(v_h - u_h) dx, \quad \forall v_h \in \mathcal{K}_h \end{array} \right. \quad (16)$$

Remark: The major difficulty in error analysis for variational inequalities lies in the fact that even if $V_h \subset V$, the set \mathcal{K}_h is not necessarily included in \mathcal{K} . Indeed, if $\psi_h \leq \psi$, then $v_h \geq \psi_h$ does not necessarily imply that $v_h \geq \psi$.

Theorem (Brezzi-Hager-Raviart)

Let $u \in H^2(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{K}$ be the solution of (10) and $u_h \in \mathcal{K}_h$ (with $V_h = V_h^1$) be the solution of (16). Then, we have:

$$|u - u_h|_{H^1(\Omega)} = \|\nabla(u - u_h)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C h (|u|_{H^2(\Omega)} + \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + |\psi|_{H^2(\Omega)})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla(u - u_h)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 &= a(u - u_h, u - u_h) \\ &= \underbrace{a(u - u_h, u - \mathcal{I}_h u)}_I + \underbrace{a(u - u_h, \mathcal{I}_h u - u_h)}_{II} \end{aligned}$$

For term I, using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and $ab \leq \frac{a^2}{2} + \frac{b^2}{2}$, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} a(u - u_h, u - \mathcal{I}_h u) &\leq \frac{\|\nabla(u - u_h)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2}{2} + \frac{\|\nabla(u - \mathcal{I}_h u)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2}{2} \\ &\leq \frac{\|\nabla(u - u_h)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2}{2} + C h^2 |u|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 \end{aligned}$$

For term II, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} a(u - u_h, \mathcal{I}_h u - u_h) &= a(u, \mathcal{I}_h u - u_h) - a(u_h, \mathcal{I}_h u - u_h) \\ &\leq a(u, \mathcal{I}_h u - u_h) - (f, \mathcal{I}_h u - u_h) \\ &= (\nabla u, \nabla(\mathcal{I}_h u - u_h)) - (f, \mathcal{I}_h u - u_h) \\ &= (-\Delta u - f, \mathcal{I}_h u - u_h) \\ &= (\lambda, \mathcal{I}_h u - u_h) \end{aligned}$$

By further estimations, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\|\nabla(u - u_h)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2}{2} &\leq C \left(h^2 |u|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + h \|\lambda\|_{L^2(\Omega)} h |u - \psi|_{H^2(\Omega)} \right) \\ &\leq C \left(h^2 |u|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{h^2 \|\lambda\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2}{2} + \frac{h^2 |u - \psi|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2}{2} \right) \end{aligned}$$