

Assignment #2
Due: 8th of April, 2021

NOTE: Read this please

- 1 - Take a print of this assignment (If there is no nearby printer then write it again in the same format along with the spaces provided for answer.
- 2 - some questions may need you to do some rough work. Do it on a blank sheet and write on the top of the sheet 'Rough Work'
- 3 - Solve it using pen
- 4 - Take snaps
- 5 - Make a pdf
- 6 - Submit

Q1: Use the principle of resolution to show that the hypothesis "Chohan works hard", "If Chohan works hard then he is a dull boy", "if Chohan is a dull boy, he will not get a job" imply the conclusion "Chohan will not get the job". (Marks 3)

Solution:

P1: P

P2: $P \rightarrow Q$

P3: $Q \rightarrow \neg R$

C: $\neg R$

C1: P

C2: $\neg P \vee Q$

C3: $\neg Q \vee \neg R$

C4: $\neg R$

C5: Q

C6: $\neg R$

C7: \square

From C1 and C2

From C3 and C5

From C4 and C6

Q2: Write the negation of the following statements in English using the logical equivalence of $\neg \forall x P(x) = \exists x \neg P(x)$ and $\neg \exists x P(x) = \forall x \neg P(x)$. No credit will be given if you didn't use these logical equivalences. (6 marks)

a. $\forall x \forall y (P(x,y) \rightarrow \neg Q(x,y))$

Solution:

$$\exists x \exists y (P(x,y) \wedge Q(x,y))$$

b. $\exists x \forall y (P(x,y) \vee \neg Q(x,y))$

Solution:

$$\forall x \exists y (\neg P(x,y) \wedge Q(x,y))$$

Note: When you are done with simplification of the quantifiers then also use the equivalences of $P \rightarrow Q = \neg P \vee Q$ and Demorgan law to simplify further your answer. I will deduct marks if you ignore this.

Q3: Write a logical expression corresponding to the following using only the predicates, logical conjunctions, disjunction and nothing else. Assume the domain of $x, y = \{1, 2, 3\}$ (Marks 9)

$$\neg \forall x \forall y P(x, y) = \exists x \neg \forall y P(x, y) = \exists x \exists y \neg P(x, y)$$

$$\Rightarrow [\neg P(1,1) \vee \neg P(1,2) \vee \neg P(1,3) \vee \neg P(2,1) \vee \neg P(2,2) \vee \neg P(2,3)] \vee [\neg P(3,1) \vee \neg P(3,2) \vee \neg P(3,3)]$$

$$\exists x \neg \forall y P(x, y) = \exists x \exists y \neg P(x, y)$$

$$\Rightarrow [\neg P(1,1) \vee \neg P(1,2) \vee \neg P(1,3)] \vee [\neg P(2,1) \vee \neg P(2,2) \vee \neg P(2,3)] \vee [\neg P(3,1) \vee \neg P(3,2) \vee \neg P(3,3)]$$

$$\forall x \exists y \neg P(x, y) =$$

$$\Rightarrow [\neg P(1,1) \vee \neg P(1,2) \vee \neg P(1,3)] \wedge [\neg P(2,1) \vee \neg P(2,2) \vee \neg P(2,3)] \wedge [\neg P(3,1) \vee \neg P(3,2) \vee \neg P(3,3)]$$

Q4: The following question relates to the inhabitants of the island of knights and knaves created by Smullyan. The knights only speak the truth when they are happy. The knaves always speak lie regardless they are happy or sad. You encounter two people A and B. Determine if possible what A and B are if they address you in the way. If you cannot determine what these two people are, can you draw any conclusions? (Marks 20)

A says "The two of us are both knights" and

B says "A is a knave"

Solution:

P = A is a knight i) $P \wedge \neg$

$\neg P$ = A is a knave

$P = T \quad \neg P = F$

Q = B is a knight ii) $\neg P$

$\neg Q$ = B is a knave

$Q = T \quad \neg Q = F$

Scenario 1: Assume all knights to be happy

CASE 1: Knight, Knight

1) $P \wedge \neg = T$

$T \wedge T = T$

2) $\neg Q = T$

$\neg P \neq T$ Hence, case does not hold.

CASE 2: Knight, Knave

1) $P \wedge \neg = T$

$T \wedge F \neq T$

2) $\neg P = F$

Hence, the case doesn't hold.

CASE 3: Knave, Knight

1) $P \wedge \neg = F$

$F \wedge T = F$

2) $\neg P = T$

$T = T$ Hence, it holds.

CASE 4: Knave, Knave

1) $P \wedge \neg = F$

$F \wedge F = F$

2) $\neg P = F$

$T \neq F$ Hence, case does not hold.

Conclusion: A is Knave, B is Knight

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 p = T & q = T \\
 \neg p = F & \neg q = F
 \end{array}$$

Scenario 2: Assume all knights to be sad (means the knights will speak lies now)

CASE 1: Knight, Knight

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 1) p \wedge q = F & T \wedge T = F \\
 2) \neg p = F & T \neq F
 \end{array}$$

Hence, Case does not hold

CASE 2: Knight, Knave

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 1) p \wedge q = F & T \wedge F = F \\
 2) \neg p = F & F = F
 \end{array}$$

Hence holds

CASE 3: Knave, Knight

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 1) p \wedge q = F & F \wedge T = F \\
 2) \neg p = F & T \neq F
 \end{array}$$

Hence, does not hold.

CASE 4: Knave, Knave

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 1) p \wedge q = F & F \wedge F = F \\
 2) \neg p = F & T \neq F
 \end{array}$$

Hence, does not hold

Conclusion: A is Knight, B is Knave

Q5: Assume that the statement "if it is sunny day then I will not go to beach" is in contrapositive form. Make the following forms of this statement using English sentences (3 marks)

Converse: If it is not a sunny day then I will go to the beach

Contrapositive: If I will go to the beach then it is not a sunny day.

Inverse:

If I will not go to the beach then it is a sunny day.

Rough Work

1/ $P = \text{Chohan works hard}$

$\neg P = \text{Chohan does not work hard}$

$Q = \text{Chohan is a dull boy.}$

$\neg Q = \text{Chohan is not a dull boy.}$

$r = \text{Chohan will get a job}$

$\neg r = \text{Chohan will not get a job.}$

2/ a) $\forall x \forall y (P(x,y) \rightarrow \neg Q(x,y))$

$\neg \forall x \forall y (P(x,y) \rightarrow \neg Q(x,y))$

$\exists x \exists y \neg (P(x,y) \rightarrow \neg Q(x,y))$

$\exists x \exists y (P(x,y) \wedge Q(x,y))$

b) $\exists x \forall y (P(x,y) \vee \neg Q(x,y))$

$\neg \exists x \forall y (P(x,y) \vee \neg Q(x,y))$

$\forall x \exists y \neg (P(x,y) \vee \neg Q(x,y))$

~~$\forall x \exists y (\neg P(x,y) \wedge Q(x,y))$~~

3//

$$= \neg \forall x \forall y P(x, y)$$

$$\exists x \neg \forall y P(x, y)$$

$$\exists x \exists y \neg P(x, y)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \exists x [\neg P(x, 1) \vee \neg P(x, 2) \vee \neg P(x, 3)] \\ & [\neg P(1, 1) \vee \neg P(1, 2) \vee \neg P(1, 3)] \vee [\neg P(2, 1) \vee \neg P(2, 2) \vee \neg P(2, 3)] \vee \\ & [\neg P(3, 1) \vee \neg P(3, 2) \vee \neg P(3, 3)] \end{aligned}$$

$$c) \forall x [\neg P(x, 1) \vee \neg P(x, 2) \vee \neg P(x, 3)]$$

$$[\neg P(1, 1) \vee \neg P(1, 2) \vee \neg P(1, 3)] \wedge [\neg P(2, 1) \vee \neg P(2, 2) \vee \neg P(2, 3)] \wedge [\neg P(3, 1) \vee \neg P(3, 2) \vee \neg P(3, 3)]$$

4// $P =$ it is a sunny day

$Q =$ I will go to the beach

$$\text{converse} = P \rightarrow Q$$

\Rightarrow If it is not a sunny day then I will go to the beach.

$$\text{contrapositive: } Q \rightarrow P$$

\Rightarrow If I will go to the beach then it is not a sunny day.

$$\text{inverse} = \neg Q \rightarrow \neg P$$

\Rightarrow I will not go to the beach then it is a sunny day.