Chapter 3
Transport Layer

A note on the use of these PowerPoint slides:
We're making these slides freely available to all (faculty, students, readers). They're in PowerPoint form so you see the animations; and can add, modify, and delete slides (including this one) and slide content to suit your needs. They obviously represent a *lot* of work on our part. In return for use, we only ask the following:

- If you use these slides (e.g., in a class) that you mention their source (after all, we'd like people to use our book!)
- If you post any slides on a www site, that you note that they are adapted from (or perhaps identical to) our slides, and note our copyright of this material.

For a revision history, see the slide note for this page.

Thanks and enjoy! JFK/KWR

All material copyright 1996-2020
J.F Kurose and K.W. Ross, All Rights Reserved

Computer Networking: A Top-Down Approach
8th edition
Jim Kurose, Keith Ross
Pearson, 2020

Transport layer: roadmap

- Transport-layer services
- Multiplexing and demultiplexing
- Connectionless transport: UDP
- Principles of reliable data transfer
- Connection-oriented transport: TCP
- ~~Principles of congestion control~~
- TCP congestion control

Transport services and protocols

- provide *logical communication* between application processes running on different hosts
- transport protocols actions in end systems:
  - sender: breaks application messages into *segments*, passes to network layer
  - receiver: reassembles segments into messages, passes to application layer
- two transport protocols available to Internet applications
  - TCP, UDP

Transport vs. network layer services and protocols

household analogy:

12 kids in Ann's house sending letters to 12 kids in Bill's house:

- hosts = houses
- processes = kids
- app messages = letters in envelopes

Transport vs. network layer services and protocols

- network layer: logical communication between *hosts*
- transport layer: logical communication between *processes*
  - relies on, enhances, network layer services

household analogy:

12 kids in Ann's house sending letters to 12 kids in Bill's house:

- hosts = houses
- processes = kids
- app messages = letters in envelopes

Transport Layer Actions

Sender:

- is passed an application-layer message
- determines segment header fields values
- creates segment
- passes segment to IP

Transport Layer Actions

Receiver:

- receives segment from IP
- checks header values
- extracts application-layer message
- demultiplexes message up to application via socket

Two principal Internet transport protocols

- **TCP:** Transmission Control Protocol
  - reliable, in-order delivery
  - congestion control
  - flow control
  - connection setup
- **UDP:** User Datagram Protocol
  - unreliable, unordered delivery
  - no-frills extension of “best-effort” IP
- services not available:
  - delay guarantees
  - bandwidth guarantees

Chapter 3: roadmap

- Transport-layer services
- Multiplexing and demultiplexing
- Connectionless transport: UDP
- Principles of reliable data transfer
- Connection-oriented transport: TCP
- Principles of congestion control
- TCP congestion control
- Evolution of transport-layer functionality

Why Multiplexing & demultiplexing……

HTTP server
client
application
NETFLIX
transport
network
link
physical
APACHE
HTTP SERVER
HTTP msg
H_t HTTP msg
network
link
physical
application
transport
network
link
physical

HTTP server
client
application
NETFLIX
transport
network
link
physical
APACHE
HTTP SERVER
HTTP msg
H_t HTTP msg
$H_n H_t$ HTTP msg
link
physical
application
transport
network
link
physical

HTTP server
client
application
NETFLIX
transport
network
link
physical
APACHE
HTTP SERVER
transport
network
link
physical
application
transport
network
link
physical
H_n H_t HTTP msg

client1
application
NETFLIX
transport
network
link
physical
HTTP server
P-client1
P-client2
transport
network
link
physical
client2
application
transport
network
link
physical

Multiplexing/demultiplexing

multiplexing at sender:

handle data from multiple sockets, add transport header (later used for demultiplexing)

demultiplexing at receiver:

use header info to deliver received segments to correct socket

How demultiplexing works

- host receives IP datagrams
  - each datagram has source IP address, destination IP address
  - each datagram carries one transport-layer segment
  - each segment has source, destination port number
- host uses *IP addresses & port numbers* to direct segment to appropriate socket

TCP/UDP segment format

Connectionless demultiplexing

Recall:

- when creating socket, must specify *host-local* port #:

```
DatagramSocket mySocket1
 = new
 DatagramSocket(12534);
```

- when creating datagram to send into UDP socket, must specify
  - destination IP address
  - destination port #

when receiving host receives *UDP* segment:

- checks destination port # in segment
- directs UDP segment to socket with that port #

IP/UDP datagrams with *same dest. port #,* but different source IP addresses and/or source port numbers will be directed to *same socket* at receiving host

Connectionless demultiplexing: an example

```
DatagramSocket
 serverSocket = new
 DatagramSocket
 (6428);
```

```
DatagramSocket mySocket2 =
 new DatagramSocket
 (9157);
```

```
DatagramSocket mySocket1 =
 new DatagramSocket (5775);
```

Connection-oriented demultiplexing

- TCP socket identified by 4-tuple:
  - source IP address
  - source port number
  - dest IP address
  - dest port number
- demux: receiver uses *all four values (4-tuple)* to direct segment to appropriate socket
- server may support many simultaneous TCP sockets:
  - each socket identified by its own 4-tuple
  - each socket associated with a different connecting client

Connection-oriented demultiplexing: example

Three segments, all destined to IP address: B, dest port: 80 are demultiplexed to *different* sockets

Summary

- Multiplexing, demultiplexing: based on segment, datagram header field values
- **UDP:** demultiplexing using destination port number (only)
- **TCP:** demultiplexing using 4-tuple: source and destination IP addresses, and port numbers
- Multiplexing/demultiplexing happen at *all* layers

Chapter 3: roadmap

- Transport-layer services
- Multiplexing and demultiplexing
- Connectionless transport: UDP
- Principles of reliable data transfer
- Connection-oriented transport: TCP
- Principles of congestion control
- TCP congestion control
- Evolution of transport-layer functionality

UDP: User Datagram Protocol

- "no frills," "bare bones" Internet transport protocol
- "best effort" service, UDP segments may be:
  - lost
  - delivered out-of-order to app
- *connectionless:*
  - no handshaking between UDP sender, receiver
  - each UDP segment handled independently of others

Why is there a UDP?

- no connection establishment (which can add RTT delay)
- simple: no connection state at sender, receiver [Do not handle Buffer size, congestion control parameters, Seq and Ack numbers]
- small header size
- no congestion control
  - UDP can blast away as fast as desired!
  - can function in the face of congestion

UDP: User Datagram Protocol

- UDP use:
  - streaming multimedia apps (loss tolerant, rate sensitive)
  - DNS
  - SNMP
  - HTTP/3
- if reliable transfer needed over UDP (e.g., HTTP/3):
  - add needed reliability at application layer
  - add congestion control at application layer

UDP: User Datagram Protocol [RFC 768]

INTERNET STANDARD

RFC 768

J. Postel
ISI
28 August 1980

User Datagram Protocol

Introduction

This User Datagram Protocol (UDP) is defined to make available a datagram mode of packet-switched computer communication in the environment of an interconnected set of computer networks. This protocol assumes that the Internet Protocol (IP) [1] is used as the underlying protocol.

This protocol provides a procedure for application programs to send messages to other programs with a minimum of protocol mechanism. The protocol is transaction oriented, and delivery and duplicate protection are not guaranteed. Applications requiring ordered reliable delivery of streams of data should use the Transmission Control Protocol (TCP) [2].

Format

```
 0      7 8     15 16    23 24    31
+--------+--------+--------+--------+
|     Source      |   Destination   |
|      Port       |      Port       |
+--------+--------+--------+--------+
|                 |                 |
|     Length      |    Checksum     |
+--------+--------+--------+--------+
|
|          data octets ...
+---------------- ...
```

UDP segment header

UDP checksum

Goal: detect errors (*i.e.*, flipped bits) in transmitted segment

	1st number	2nd number	sum
Transmitted:	5	6	11
Received:	4	6	11

receiver-computed checksum ≠ sender-computed checksum (as received)

UDP checksum

Goal: detect errors (*i.e.,* flipped bits) in transmitted segment

sender:

- treat contents of UDP segment (including UDP header fields and IP addresses) as sequence of 16-bit integers
- checksum: addition (one's complement sum) of segment content
- checksum value put into UDP checksum field

receiver:

- compute checksum of received segment
- check the checksum value calculated by receiver:
  - **checksum of receiver □ all zero bits - no error**
  - **checksum of receiver □ any bit non-zero – error present**

Internet checksum: an example

example: add two 16-bit integers

	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0
	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1
wraparound	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	1
sum	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	0
checksum	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1

Note: when adding numbers, a carryout from the most significant bit needs to be added to the result

* Check out the online interactive exercises for more examples: http://gaia.cs.umass.edu/kurose_ross/interactive/

Internet checksum: weak protection!

example: add two 16-bit integers

	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0
	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1
wraparound	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	1
sum	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	0
checksum	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1

0 1

1 0

Even though numbers have changed (bit flips), *no* change in checksum!

Summary: UDP

- “no frills” protocol:
  - segments may be lost, delivered out of order
  - best effort service: “send and hope for the best”
- UDP has its plusses:
  - no setup/handshaking needed (no RTT incurred)
  - can function when network service is compromised
  - helps with reliability (checksum)
- build additional functionality on top of UDP in application layer (e.g., HTTP/3)

Chapter 3: roadmap

- Transport-layer services
- Multiplexing and demultiplexing
- Connectionless transport: UDP
- Principles of reliable data transfer
- Connection-oriented transport: TCP
- Principles of congestion control
- TCP congestion control
- Evolution of transport-layer functionality

Principles of reliable data transfer

reliable service *abstraction*

Principles of reliable data transfer

reliable service *abstraction*

reliable service *implementation*

Principles of reliable data transfer

Complexity of reliable data transfer protocol will depend (strongly) on characteristics of unreliable channel (lose, corrupt, reorder data?)

reliable service *implementation*

Principles of reliable data transfer

Sender, receiver do *not* know the "state" of each other, e.g., was a message received?

- unless communicated via a message

reliable service *implementation*

Reliable data transfer protocol (rdt): interfaces

rdt_send(): called from above, (e.g., by app.). Passed data to deliver to receiver upper layer

deliver_data(): called by `rdt` to deliver data to upper layer

udt_send(): called by `rdt` to transfer packet over unreliable channel to receiver

Bi-directional communication over unreliable channel

rdt_rcv(): called when packet arrives on receiver side of channel

Reliable data transfer: getting started

We will:

- incrementally develop sender, receiver sides of reliable data transfer protocol (`rdt`)
- consider only unidirectional data transfer
  - but control info will flow in both directions!
- use finite state machines (FSM) to specify sender, receiver

state: when in this "state" next state uniquely determined by next event

rdt1.0: reliable transfer over a reliable channel

- underlying channel perfectly reliable
  - no bit errors
  - no loss of packets
- *separate* FSMs for sender, receiver:
  - sender sends data into underlying channel
  - receiver reads data from underlying channel

rdt2.0: channel with bit errors

- underlying channel may flip bits in packet
  - checksum (e.g., Internet checksum) to detect bit errors
- *the* question: how to recover from errors?

How do humans recover from "errors" during conversation?

rdt2.0: channel with bit errors

- underlying channel may flip bits in packet
  - checksum to detect bit errors
- *the* question: how to recover from errors?
  - *acknowledgements (ACKs):* receiver explicitly tells sender that pkt received OK
  - *negative acknowledgements (NAKs):* receiver explicitly tells sender that pkt had errors
  - sender *retransmits* pkt on receipt of NAK

stop and wait

sender sends one packet, then waits for receiver response

rdt2.0: FSM specifications

rdt2.0: FSM specification

Note: "state" of receiver (did the receiver get my message correctly?) isn't known to sender unless somehow communicated from receiver to sender

- that's why we need a protocol!

rdt2.0: operation with no errors

rdt2.0: corrupted packet scenario

rdt2.0 has a fatal flaw!

what happens if ACK/NAK corrupted?

- sender doesn't know what happened at receiver!
- can't just retransmit: possible duplicate

handling duplicates:

- sender retransmits current pkt if ACK/NAK corrupted
- sender adds *sequence number* to each pkt
- receiver discards (doesn't deliver up) duplicate pkt

stop and wait

sender sends one packet, then waits for receiver response

(EXTRA for interest)

rdt2.1: sender, handling garbled ACK/NAKs

(EXTRA for interest)

rdt2.1: receiver, handling garbled ACK/NAKs

rdt2.1: discussion

sender:

- seq # added to pkt
- two seq. #s (0,1) will suffice. Why?
- must check if received ACK/NAK corrupted
- twice as many states
  - state must "remember" whether "expected" pkt should have seq # of 0 or 1

receiver:

- must check if received packet is duplicate
  - state indicates whether 0 or 1 is expected pkt seq #
- note: receiver can *not* know if its last ACK/NAK received OK at sender

rdt2.2: a NAK-free protocol

- same functionality as rdt2.1, using ACKs only
- instead of NAK, receiver sends ACK for last pkt received OK
  - receiver must *explicitly* include seq # of pkt being ACKed
- duplicate ACK at sender results in same action as NAK: *retransmit current pkt*

As we will see, TCP uses this approach to be NAK-free

(EXTRA for interest)

rdt2.2: sender, receiver fragments

rdt3.0: channels with errors *and* loss

New channel assumption: underlying channel can also *lose* packets (data, ACKs)

- checksum, sequence #s, ACKs, retransmissions will be of help … but not quite enough

Q: How do *humans* handle lost sender-to-receiver words in conversation?

rdt3.0: channels with errors *and* loss

Approach: sender waits "reasonable" amount of time for ACK

- retransmits if no ACK received in this time
- if pkt (or ACK) just delayed (not lost):
  - retransmission will be duplicate, but seq #s already handles this!
  - receiver must specify seq # of packet being ACKed
- use countdown timer to interrupt after "reasonable" amount of time

timeout

(EXTRA for interest)

rdt3.0 sender

(EXTRA for interest)

rdt3.0 sender

rdt3.0 in action

(a) no loss

(b) packet loss

rdt3.0 in action

(c) ACK loss

(d) premature timeout/ delayed ACK

rdt3.0: stop-and-wait operation

$$U_{sender} = \frac{L / R}{RTT + L / R}$$

$$= \frac{.008}{30.008}$$

$$= 0.00027$$

- rdt 3.0 protocol performance stinks!
- Protocol limits performance of underlying infrastructure (channel)

rdt3.0: pipelined protocols operation

pipelining: sender allows multiple, “in-flight”, yet-to-be-acknowledged packets

- range of sequence numbers must be increased
- buffering at sender and/or receiver

(a) a stop-and-wait protocol in operation

Pipelining: increased utilization

3-packet pipelining increases utilization by a factor of 3!

$$U_{sender} = \frac{3L/R}{RTT + L/R} = \frac{.0024}{30.008} = 0.00081$$

Go-Back-N: sender

- sender: "window" of up to N, consecutive transmitted but unACKed pkts
  - k-bit seq # in pkt header

- *cumulative ACK:* ACK(*n*): ACKs all packets up to, including seq # *n*
  - on receiving ACK(*n*): move window forward to begin at *n+1*
- timer for oldest in-flight packet
- *timeout(n):* retransmit packet n and all higher seq # packets in window

Go-Back-N: receiver

- ACK-only: always send ACK for correctly-received packet so far, with highest *in-order* seq #
  - may generate duplicate ACKs
  - need only remember `rcv_base`
- on receipt of out-of-order packet:
  - can discard (don't buffer) or buffer: an implementation decision
  - re-ACK pkt with highest in-order seq #

Receiver view of sequence number space:

Go-Back-N in action

Selective repeat

- A single packet error can cause GBN to retransmit a large number of packets when the packet size is very large.
- Receiver *individually* acknowledges all correctly received packets
  - Buffers packets, as needed, for eventual in-order delivery to the upper layer
- Sender times-out/retransmits individually for unACKed packets
  - Sender maintains a timer for each unACKed pkt
- sender window
  - *N* consecutive seq #s
  - limits seq #s of sent, unACKed packets

Selective repeat: sender, receiver windows

(a) sender view of sequence numbers

Selective Repeat in action

Selective repeat: dilemma

example:

- seq #'s: 0, 1, 2, 3
- window size=3
- receiver sees no difference in two scenarios!
- duplicate data accepted as new in (b)

Q: what relationship between seq # size and window size to avoid problem in (b)?

less than or equal to the half of the sequence number

(a) no problem

receiver can't see sender side.
receiver behavior identical in both cases!
something's (very) wrong!

(b) oops!

Chapter 3: roadmap

- Transport-layer services
- Multiplexing and demultiplexing
- Connectionless transport: UDP
- Principles of reliable data transfer
- Connection-oriented transport: TCP
  - segment structure
  - reliable data transfer
  - flow control
  - connection management
- Principles of congestion control
- TCP congestion control

TCP: overview RFCs: 793,1122, 2018, 5681, 7323

- point-to-point:
  - one sender, one receiver
- reliable, in-order *byte stream:*
  - no “message boundaries"
- full duplex data:
  - bi-directional data flow in same connection
  - MSS: maximum segment size

MSS: maximum amount of application layer data in the segment.

- cumulative ACKs
- pipelining:
  - TCP congestion and flow control set window size
- connection-oriented:
  - handshaking (exchange of control messages) initializes sender, receiver state before data exchange
- flow controlled:
  - sender will not overwhelm receiver

TCP Seq numbers and Acks

- Suppose Host A wants to send a stream of data to a process in Host B over a TCP connection. Assume that, the data stream consists of a file consisting of 500,000 bytes, and that the MSS is 1,000 bytes. Then the segment looks like this:

TCP segment structure

TCP sequence numbers, ACKs

Sequence numbers:

- byte stream "number" of first byte in segment's data

Acknowledgements:

- seq # of next byte expected from other side
- cumulative ACK

Q: how the receiver handles out-of-order segments

- *A:* Discards out-of-order segments
- *B:* Keeps the out-of-order bytes in the buffer
- *C:* TCP spec doesn't say, - up to the implementor

TCP sequence numbers, ACKs (Some Scenarios)

- Host A has received all data from 0 to 535 and Host A is expecting data 536 and all subsequent byte streams from B.
- Host A received one segment from 0 through 535 and another segment from 900 to 1000.
  - It has not received any segment from 536 to 899. Therefore, there has a gap
  - Cumulative acknowledgments.
- Host A received the segment from 900 to 1000 before receiving bytes 536 to 899. Therefore, out of order.

TCP sequence numbers, ACKs

simple telnet scenario

TCP round trip time, timeout

Q: how to set TCP timeout value?

- longer than RTT, but RTT varies!
- *too short:* premature timeout, unnecessary retransmissions
- *too long:* slow reaction to segment loss

Q: how to estimate RTT?

- `SampleRTT`: measured time from segment transmission until ACK receipt
  - ignore retransmissions
- `SampleRTT` will vary, want estimated RTT "smoother"
  - average several *recent* measurements, not just current `SampleRTT`

SampleRTT values will fluctuate from segment to segment due to congestion and load on the end systems.

TCP round trip time, timeout

```
EstimatedRTT = (1- α)*EstimatedRTT + α*SampleRTT
```

- exponential weighted moving average (EWMA)
- influence of past sample decreases exponentially fast
- typical value: α = 0.125

TCP round trip time, timeout

- **`DevRTT`**: EWMA of **`SampleRTT`** deviation from **`EstimatedRTT`**:

```
DevRTT = (1-β)*DevRTT + β*|SampleRTT-EstimatedRTT|
```

(typically, β = 0.25)

- timeout interval: **`EstimatedRTT`** plus "safety margin"
  - large variation in **`EstimatedRTT`**: want a larger safety margin

```
TimeoutInterval = EstimatedRTT + 4*DevRTT
```

estimated RTT

"safety margin"

* Check out the online interactive exercises for more examples: http://gaia.cs.umass.edu/kurose_ross/interactive/

TCP: retransmission scenarios

lost ACK scenario

premature timeout

TCP: retransmission scenarios

TCP fast retransmit

TCP fast retransmit

if sender receives 3 additional ACKs for same data ("triple duplicate ACKs"), resend unACKed segment with smallest seq #

- likely that unACKed segment lost, so don't wait for timeout

Receipt of three duplicate ACKs indicates 3 segments received after a missing segment – lost segment is likely. So retransmit!

Chapter 3: roadmap

- Transport-layer services
- Multiplexing and demultiplexing
- Connectionless transport: UDP
- Principles of reliable data transfer
- Connection-oriented transport: TCP
  - segment structure
  - reliable data transfer
  - flow control
  - connection management
- Principles of congestion control
- TCP congestion control

TCP flow control

Q: What happens if network layer delivers data faster than application layer removes data from socket buffers?

TCP flow control

Q: What happens if network layer delivers data faster than application layer removes data from socket buffers?

TCP flow control

Q: What happens if network layer delivers data faster than application layer removes data from socket buffers?

flow control: # bytes receiver willing to accept

Application removing data from TCP socket buffers

receiver protocol stack

TCP flow control

Q: What happens if network layer delivers data faster than application layer removes data from socket buffers?

flow control

receiver controls sender, so sender won't overflow receiver's buffer by transmitting too much, too fast

TCP flow control

- TCP receiver "advertises" free buffer space in **`rwnd`** field in TCP header
  - **`RcvBuffer`** size set via socket options (typical default is 4096 bytes)
  - many operating systems autoadjust **`RcvBuffer`**
- sender limits amount of unACKed ("in-flight") data to received **`rwnd`**
- guarantees receive buffer will not overflow

```
LastByteSent - LastByteAcked <= rwnd
```

TCP receiver-side buffering

TCP flow control

- LastByteRead: data stream read from the buffer
- LastByteRcvd: the data stream that has arrived from the network
- LastByteRcvd – LastByteRead <= RcvBuffer
- `rwnd = RcvBuffer – [LastByteRcvd – LastByteRead]`
- Initially rwnd = RcvBuffer
- `LastByteSent – LastByteAcked ≤ rwnd`
- Host A to continue to send segments with one data byte when B's receive window is zero. These segments will be acknowledged by the receiver

TCP connection management

before exchanging data, sender/receiver "handshake":

- agree to establish connection (each knowing the other willing to establish connection)
- agree on connection parameters (e.g., starting seq #s)

```
Socket clientSocket =
  newSocket("hostname","port number");
```

```
Socket connectionSocket =
  welcomeSocket.accept();
```

TCP 3-way handshake

Client state

```
clientSocket = socket(AF_INET, SOCK_STREAM)
```

Server state

```
serverSocket = socket(AF_INET,SOCK_STREAM)
serverSocket.bind(('',serverPort))
serverSocket.listen(1)
connectionSocket, addr = serverSocket.accept()
```

A human 3-way handshake protocol

TCP 3-way handshake: FSM

Closing a TCP connection

- client, server each close their side of connection
  - send TCP segment with FIN bit = 1
- respond to received FIN with ACK
  - on receiving FIN, ACK can be combined with own FIN
- simultaneous FIN exchanges can be handled

TCP: closing a connection

TCP: closing a connection

Fig: A typical sequence of TCP states visited by a client TCP

Fig: A typical sequence of TCP states visited by a server TCP

Chapter 3: roadmap

- Transport-layer services
- Multiplexing and demultiplexing
- Connectionless transport: UDP
- Principles of reliable data transfer
- Connection-oriented transport: TCP
- ~~Principles of congestion control~~
- TCP congestion control
- Evolution of transport-layer functionality

TCP congestion control: AIMD

- *approach:* senders can increase sending rate until packet loss (congestion) occurs, then decrease sending rate on loss event

Additive Increase

increase sending rate by 1 maximum segment size every RTT until loss detected

Multiplicative Decrease

cut sending rate in half at each loss event

AIMD sawtooth behavior: *probing* for bandwidth

TCP AIMD: more

Multiplicative decrease detail: sending rate is

- Cut in half on loss detected by triple duplicate ACK (TCP Reno)
- Cut to 1 MSS (maximum segment size) when loss detected by timeout (TCP Tahoe)

Why AIMD?

- AIMD – a distributed, asynchronous algorithm – has been shown to:
  - optimize congested flow rates network wide!
  - have desirable stability properties

TCP congestion control: details

TCP sending behavior:

- *roughly:* send `cwnd` bytes, wait RTT for ACKS, then send more bytes

$$\text{TCP rate} \approx \frac{\texttt{cwnd}}{\text{RTT}} \text{ bytes/sec}$$

- TCP sender limits transmission: `LastByteSent- LastByteAcked ≤ cwnd`
- `cwnd` is dynamically adjusted in response to observed network congestion (implementing TCP congestion control)

TCP Slow Start

- when connection begins, increase rate exponentially until first loss event:
  - initially **`cwnd`** = 1 MSS
  - double **`cwnd`** every RTT
  - done by incrementing **`cwnd`** for every ACK received
- *summary:* initial rate is slow, but ramps up exponentially fast

TCP Slow Start

- loss indicated by timeout:
  - **`cwnd`** set to 1 MSS;
  - window then grows exponentially (as in slow start) to threshold, then grows linearly
  - Set the threshold value ssthresh is equal to cwnd/2
- When the value of cwnd >= ssthresh, Slow Start ends and Congestion Avoidance (CA) starts.
- loss indicated by 3 duplicate ACKs: TCP enters in the fast recovery mode.

TCP: Congestion Avoidance (CA)

- Rather than doubling the cwnd value, cwnd is increased by just a single MSS every RTT.
- TCP sender increase cwnd by MSS bytes (MSS/cwnd)
- **When the congestion avoidance ends?**
  - Depends on the timeout events and triple duplicates
  - dup ACKs indicate network capable of delivering some segments
- Fast Recovery: 3 dup ACKs
  - TCP Tahoe always sets **`cwnd`** to 1 then grows exponentially (timeout or 3 duplicate acks) [Earlier Style]
  - **`TCP Reno cut the cwnd`** in half window then grows linearly [New Version]

TCP: from slow start to congestion avoidance

Q: when should the exponential increase switch to linear?

A: when **`cwnd`** gets to 1/2 of its value before timeout.

Implementation:

- variable **`ssthresh`**
- on loss event, **`ssthresh`** is set to 1/2 of **`cwnd`** just before loss event

* Check out the online interactive exercises for more examples: http://gaia.cs.umass.edu/kurose_ross/interactive/

Summary: TCP congestion control

Summary: TCP congestion control

- When CongWin is below Threshold, sender in **slow start** phase, window grows exponentially.
- When CongWin is above Threshold, sender is in **congestion avoidance** phase, window grows linearly.
- When a triple duplicate ACK occurs, Threshold set to CongWin/2 and CongWin set to Threshold + 3.
- When timeout occurs, Threshold set to CongWin/2 and CongWin is set to 1 MSS.

Summary: TCP Congestion Control

- When CongWin is below Threshold, sender in **slow start** phase, window grows exponentially.
- When CongWin is above Threshold, sender is in **congestion avoidance** phase, window grows linearly.
- When a triple duplicate ACK occurs, Threshold set to CongWin/2 and CongWin set to Threshold + 3.
- When timeout occurs, Threshold set to CongWin/2 and CongWin is set to 1 MSS.

Summary: TCP Congestion Control

TCP sender congestion control

State	Event	TCP Sender Action	Commentary
Slow Start (SS)	ACK receipt for previously unacked data	CongWin = CongWin + MSS, If (CongWin > Threshold) set state to "Congestion Avoidance"	Resulting in a doubling of CongWin every RTT
Congestion Avoidance (CA)	ACK receipt for previously unacked data	CongWin = CongWin+MSS * (MSS/CongWin)	Additive increase, resulting in increase of CongWin by 1 MSS every RTT
SS or CA	Loss event detected by triple duplicate ACK	Threshold = CongWin/2, CongWin = Threshold, Set state to "Congestion Avoidance"	Fast recovery, implementing multiplicative decrease. CongWin will not drop below 1 MSS.
SS or CA	Timeout	Threshold = CongWin/2, CongWin = 1 MSS, Set state to "Slow Start"	Enter slow start
SS or CA	Duplicate ACK	Increment duplicate ACK count for segment being acked	CongWin and Threshold not changed

Chapter 3: summary

- principles behind transport layer services:
  - multiplexing, demultiplexing
  - reliable data transfer
  - flow control
  - congestion control
- instantiation, implementation in the Internet
  - UDP
  - TCP

Up next:

- leaving the network "edge" (application, transport layers)
- into the network "core"
- two network-layer chapters:
  - data plane
  - control plane