

Pseudo-Newtonian potential for charged particle in Kerr–Newman geometry

Rossen I. Ivanov^{a,b}, Emil M. Prodanov^c

^a *Department of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Trinity College, University of Dublin, Ireland*

^b *Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, 72 Tsarigradsko chaussee, Sofia-1784, Bulgaria*

^c *School of Physical Sciences, Dublin City University, Glasnevin, Ireland*

Received 27 January 2005; received in revised form 21 February 2005; accepted 23 February 2005

Editor: P.V. Landshoff

Abstract

We consider the equatorial circular motion of a test particle of specific charge $q \ll m$ in the Kerr–Newman geometry of a rotating charged black hole. We find the particle's conserved energy and conserved projection of the angular momentum on the black hole's axis of rotation as corrections, in leading order of q/m , to the corresponding energy and angular momentum of a neutral particle. We determine the centripetal force acting on the test particle and, consequently, we find a classical pseudo-Newtonian potential with which one can mimic this general relativistic problem.

© 2005 Elsevier B.V. Open access under [CC BY license](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/).

In 1924 Manev [1] (see also [2]) introduced a classical potential in order to modify the celestial mechanics in accordance with the general-relativistic description. To describe the motion of a particle of mass m in the static field of universal gravitation, due to mass M , Manev replaced the mass m with $m = m_0 \exp(M/r)$, where m_0 is an invariant and units $G = c = 1$ are used. This led to the following modification of Newton's gravitational law:

$$F = \frac{Mm_0}{r^2} \left(1 + \frac{3M}{r} \right). \quad (1)$$

Potential of the type:

$$V(r) = A \frac{M}{r} + B \frac{M^2}{r^2}, \quad (2)$$

where A and B are some real constants and M is the mass of the central body, was originally introduced by Newton himself in his *Philosophiæ Naturalis Principia Mathematica* (Book I, Article IX, Proposition XLIV, Theorem XIV,

E-mail addresses: ivanovr@tcd.ie (R.I. Ivanov), prodanov@physics.dcu.ie (E.M. Prodanov).

Corollary II), in order to describe deviations in the Moon's orbit from the Keplerian laws. However, modification of Newtonian physics for mimicking general-relativistic results is attributed to Manev. We will refer to potential of the type (2) as to Manev potential.

Modeling the particle motion in the most general stationary asymptotically-flat space-time—that of Kerr and Newman [3–5]—is a fundamental problem with many astrophysical applications. This area has been under intense investigation and various pseudo-Newtonian potentials for Kerr [3,5] and Schwarzschild [5,6] geometries have been proposed [7]: interesting physical applications, for example, in satellite theory, have been studied in [8], different mathematical aspects in [9]. In this Letter we model the equatorial circular motion of a lightly charged particle ($q/m \ll 1$) in Kerr–Newman geometry by introducing a classical pseudo-Newtonian potential. An immediate area of application of a classical pseudo-Newtonian effective potential of a charged particle is the description of accretion disks of charged particles around a rotating charged massive centre. In 1989, De Rújula, Glashow and Sarid [10] proposed the idea that dark matter in our Universe is made of charged massive particles rather than neutral particles. These authors studied a galactic halo of such charged massive particles together with its cosmological implications, including galactogenesis. Gould et al. [11] have shown that if charged massive particles (with masses between 10^2 GeV and 10^{16} GeV) made up the dark halo of the Galaxy, then they would be present in large numbers in disk stars. Charged particles and their effects on galaxies, stars and planets have been studied by Dimopoulos et al. [12].

Rotating charged black holes are described by the Kerr–Newman geometry [3,4]. The Kerr–Newman metric in Boyer–Lindquist coordinates [13] (see also [5]), in units $c = G = 1$, is given by:

$$ds^2 = -\frac{\Delta}{\rho^2}(dt - a \sin^2 \theta d\phi)^2 + \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{\rho^2}[(r^2 + a^2)d\phi - a dt]^2 + \frac{\rho^2}{\Delta}dr^2 + \rho^2 d\theta^2, \quad (3)$$

where

$$\Delta = r^2 - 2Mr + a^2 + Q^2, \quad (4)$$

$$\rho^2 = r^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta. \quad (5)$$

In the above, M is the mass of the centre, $a > 0$ —the specific angular momentum of the centre (i.e., angular momentum per unit mass) and Q —the charge of the centre.

The motion of a particle of mass m and charge q in gravitational and electromagnetic fields is governed by the Lagrangian [14]:

$$L = \frac{1}{2}g_{ij}\frac{dx^i}{d\lambda}\frac{dx^j}{d\lambda} - \frac{q}{m}A_i\frac{dx^i}{d\lambda}. \quad (6)$$

In the above, λ is the proper time τ per unit mass m : $\lambda = \tau/m$ and A is the vector electromagnetic potential, determined by the black hole's charge Q and specific angular momentum a :

$$A_i dx^i = -\frac{Qr}{\rho^2}(dt - a \sin^2 \theta d\phi). \quad (7)$$

(The magnetic field is due to the dragging of the inertial reference frames into rotation around the black hole.)

The equations of motion for the particle are:

$$\frac{d^2 x^i}{d\tau^2} + \Gamma_{jk}^i \frac{dx^j}{d\tau} \frac{dx^k}{d\tau} = \frac{q}{m} F^i_j \frac{dx^j}{d\tau}, \quad (8)$$

where $F = dA$ is Maxwell's electromagnetic tensor and Γ_{jk}^i are the Christoffel symbols.

For Kerr–Newman geometry, the geodesic equations (8) can be written as [15] (see also [16]):

$$\rho^2 \frac{dt}{d\lambda} = -a^2 E \sin^2 \theta + aJ + \frac{r^2 + a^2}{\Delta} [E(r^2 + a^2) - Ja - qQr], \quad (9)$$

$$\rho^2 \frac{dr}{d\lambda} = \pm \sqrt{[E(r^2 + a^2) - Ja - qQr]^2 - \Delta[m^2 r^2 + (J - aE)^2 + K]}, \quad (10)$$

$$\rho^2 \frac{d\theta}{d\lambda} = \pm \sqrt{K - \cos^2 \theta \left[a^2(m^2 - E^2) + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} J^2 \right]}, \quad (11)$$

$$\rho^2 \frac{d\phi}{d\lambda} = -aE + \frac{J}{\sin^2 \theta} + \frac{a}{\Delta} [E(r^2 + a^2) - Ja - qQr], \quad (12)$$

where $E = \partial L / \partial \dot{t}$ is the conserved energy of the particle, $J = \partial L / \partial \dot{\phi}$ is the conserved projection of the particle's angular momentum on the axis of the black hole's rotation (dots denote differentiation with respect to λ). K is another conserved quantity given by:

$$K = p_\theta^2 + \cos^2 \theta \left[a^2(m^2 - E^2) + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} J^2 \right]. \quad (13)$$

Here p_θ is the θ -component of the particle's four-momentum: $p_\theta = \partial L / \partial \dot{\theta}$.

The radial equatorial ($\theta = \pi/2$) motion of the particle can be modelled as a one-dimensional motion of a classical particle in effective potential $V(r)$ determined from (10):

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{dr}{d\lambda} \right)^2 = V(r) = \frac{1}{2\rho^4} [[E(r^2 + a^2) - Ja - qQr]^2 - \Delta[m^2 r^2 + (J - aE)^2]]. \quad (14)$$

We now focus on circular orbits in the equatorial plane. For circular orbits, $dr/d\lambda = 0$, both instantaneously and at all subsequent times (orbit at a perpetual turning point) [17,18]. This implies:

$$V(r) = 0, \quad (15)$$

$$\frac{dV(r)}{dr} = 0. \quad (16)$$

Eqs. (15) and (16) can be viewed as a system of simultaneous equations from which one can determine the conserved energy E of the particle and the conserved projection J of the particle's angular momentum on the axis of the black hole's rotation in terms of the parameters M , Q , a , q , and m . These equations are non-linear and we will not be looking for their general solution. Instead, we will assume that the particle's specific charge is small, i.e., $q/m \ll 1$, and we will expand E and J , as functions of q/m , with m fixed, in Taylor series near $q/m = 0$:

$$E\left(\frac{q}{m}\right) = E(0) + E'(0)\left(\frac{q}{m}\right) + O\left[\left(\frac{q}{m}\right)^2\right] \equiv E_0 + qE_1 + O\left[\left(\frac{q}{m}\right)^2\right], \quad (17)$$

$$J\left(\frac{q}{m}\right) = J(0) + J'(0)\left(\frac{q}{m}\right) + O\left[\left(\frac{q}{m}\right)^2\right] \equiv J_0 + qJ_1 + O\left[\left(\frac{q}{m}\right)^2\right]. \quad (18)$$

In the above expansions, one can identify $E(0) \equiv E_0$ as the conserved energy and $J(0) \equiv J_0$ as the conserved projection of the angular momentum of a neutral particle in Kerr–Newman geometry. These are known [19]:

$$\frac{E_0}{m} = \frac{r^2 - 2Mr + Q^2 \pm a\sqrt{Mr - Q^2}}{r\sqrt{r^2 - 3Mr + 2Q^2 \pm 2a\sqrt{Mr - Q^2}}}, \quad (19)$$

$$\frac{J_0}{m} = \frac{\pm a(Q^2 - 2Mr) + (a^2 + r^2)\sqrt{Mr - Q^2}}{r\sqrt{r^2 - 3Mr + 2Q^2 \pm 2a\sqrt{Mr - Q^2}}}. \quad (20)$$

The upper sign corresponds to direct orbit ($J > 0$), while the lower sign corresponds to retrograde orbit ($J < 0$).

The above expressions are valid for $r > Q^2/M$. In addition, the condition $M^2 \geq a^2 + Q^2$ is necessary for the existence of a horizon (i.e., a black hole solution) in Kerr–Newman geometry [5]. Orbits do not exist for all values

of $r > Q^2/M$. The question of existence and stability of bound circular orbits of neutral particles in Kerr–Newman geometry, as well as the thresholds for photon, marginally stable and marginally bound orbits are analysed in [19] (see also [17] for rotating black holes). Our goal is to find a classical pseudo-Newtonian potential for modelling the circular orbital motion of a lightly charged particle ($q/m \ll 1$) in Kerr–Newman geometry by seeking expansions for large values of r (i.e., far from the black hole) which are above the threshold of a marginally stable orbit, i.e., within our approximation, all orbits are stable.

Identifying the Keplerian angular momentum distribution J/E , the centripetal force F_0 acting on the neutral particle can be expressed as [18]:

$$F_0 = \frac{J_0^2}{E_0^2 r^3} = r^{-3} \left[a^3 (Q^2 - Mr) + a(Q^4 + 4M^2 r^2 - 3Mr^3 - 4Q^2 Mr + 2Q^2 r^2) \pm r^2 \Delta \sqrt{Mr - Q^2} \right]^2 \times [a^2 (Q^2 - Mr) - (Q^2 - 2Mr + r^2)^2]^{-2}. \quad (21)$$

Returning to the case of charged particle, we substitute the expansions (17) and (18) into Eqs. (15) and (16) and we discard terms quadratic in q/m . We also use the fact that (19) and (20) are solutions to Eqs. (15) and (16) with $q/m = 0$. Thus, Eqs. (15) and (16) become:

$$(r^2 + a^2)E_1 - aJ_1 - \Delta \frac{J_0 - aE_0}{(r^2 + a^2)E_0 - aJ_0} (J_1 - aE_1) = Qr, \quad (22)$$

$$2(M - r)J_0J_1 - 2aM(J_0E_1 + E_0J_1) + 2(a^2M + a^2r + 2r^3)E_0E_1 = -aQJ_0 + Q(a^2 + 3r^2)E_0. \quad (23)$$

These are now linear equations and they can be easily solved:

$$E_1 \equiv \frac{E'(0)}{m} = \frac{Q[\pm 4a(Mr - Q^2) + (r^2 - 4Mr - a^2 + 3Q^2)\sqrt{Mr - Q^2}]}{2r[\pm 2a(Mr - Q^2) + (r^2 - 3Mr + 2Q^2)\sqrt{Mr - Q^2}]}, \quad (24)$$

$$J_1 \equiv \frac{J'(0)}{m} = \pm \frac{Q[\mp a(r^2 - 4Mr + 3Q^2 + a^2)\sqrt{Mr - Q^2}]}{2r[\pm 2a(Mr - Q^2) + (r^2 - 3Mr + 2Q^2)\sqrt{Mr - Q^2}]} \pm \frac{Q[(a^2 + r^2)(r^2 - 4Mr + Q^2) + 2Mr^3 + 3a^2Q^2]}{2r[\pm 2a(Mr - Q^2) + (r^2 - 3Mr + 2Q^2)\sqrt{Mr - Q^2}]}. \quad (25)$$

As before, upper sign corresponds to direct orbit ($J > 0$), while lower sign corresponds to retrograde orbit ($J < 0$).

The centripetal force acting on the charged particle is:

$$F = \frac{1}{r^3} \frac{J^2}{E^2} = \frac{1}{r^3} \left(\frac{J_0}{E_0} \right)^2 \left(\frac{1 + \frac{q}{m} \frac{J_1}{J_0}}{1 + \frac{q}{m} \frac{E_1}{E_0}} \right)^2 = F_0 \left[1 + 2 \frac{q}{m} \left(\frac{J_1}{J_0} - \frac{E_1}{E_0} \right) + O \left[\left(\frac{q}{m} \right)^2 \right] \right]. \quad (26)$$

The pseudo-Newtonian potential $V_M(r)$ giving rise to this force is the Manev potential,

$$V_M(r) = - \int F dr, \quad (27)$$

which, upon expansion over the powers of $1/r$, results in:

$$V_M(r) = \left(1 - \frac{q}{m} \frac{Q}{M} \right) \frac{M}{r} + \left(2 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{Q^2}{M^2} - \frac{9}{4} \frac{q}{m} \frac{Q}{M} \right) \frac{M^2}{r^2} \mp \frac{12}{5} \left(1 - \frac{q}{m} \frac{Q}{M} \right) \frac{aM^{3/2}}{r^{5/2}} + \left[4 + \frac{2}{3} \frac{a^2}{M^2} - 2 \frac{Q^2}{M^2} + \frac{q}{m} \left(-\frac{37}{8} \frac{Q}{M} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{a^2 Q}{M^3} + \frac{Q^3}{M^3} \right) \right] \frac{M^3}{r^3} \mp \left[8 - 2 \frac{Q^2}{M^2} + \frac{q}{m} \left(-\frac{60}{7} \frac{Q}{M} + \frac{4}{7} \frac{Q^3}{M^3} \right) \right] \frac{aM^{5/2}}{r^{7/2}}$$

$$+ \left[8 + \frac{23}{4} \frac{a^2}{M^2} - 6 \frac{Q^2}{M^2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{a^2 Q^2}{M^4} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{Q^4}{M^4} + \frac{q}{m} \left(-\frac{569}{64} \frac{Q}{M} - \frac{47}{8} \frac{a^2 Q}{M^3} + \frac{33}{8} \frac{Q^3}{M^3} \right) \right] \frac{M^4}{r^4} + \dots \quad (28)$$

From this expression it is evident that the leading term is reduced due to Coulomb repulsion if the two charges q and Q have the same sign. Opposite charges lead to additional attraction. By setting $a = 0$ one obtains the effective pseudo-Newtonian potential for Reissner–Nordström geometry [20], and by further setting $Q = 0$ —for Schwarzschild geometry [6] (see also [5]).

References

- [1] G. Maneff, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 178 (1924) 2159;
G. Maneff, Z. Phys. 31 (1925) 786;
G. Maneff, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 190 (1930) 963;
G. Maneff, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 190 (1930) 1374.
- [2] Y. Hagihara, Celestial Mechanics, MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 1972.
- [3] R.P. Kerr, Phys. Rev. Lett. 11 (1963) 237.
- [4] E.T. Newman, R. Couch, K. Chinnapared, A. Exton, A. Prakash, R. Torrence, J. Math. Phys. 6 (1965) 918.
- [5] C.W. Misner, K.S. Thorne, J. Wheeler, Gravitation, Freeman, New York, 1973.
- [6] K. Schwarzschild, Sitzber. Deutch. Akad. Wiss. Berlin, Kl. Math.-Phys. Tech. 189–196 (1916) 424.
- [7] B. Paczyński, P.J. Wiita, Astron. Astrophys. 88 (1980) 23;
A.M. Nowak, R.V. Wagoner, Astrophys. J. 378 (1991) 656;
I.V. Artemova, G. Björnsson, I.D. Novikov, Astrophys. J. 461 (1996) 565;
J.A. Caballero, A. Elipe, Astron. Astrophys. Trans. 19 (2001) 869.
- [8] A. Deprit, Celestial Mech. 24 (1981) 111;
I. Aparicio, L. Floría, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 323 (1996) 71.
- [9] F. Diacu, J. Math. Phys. 34 (12) (1993) 5671;
F. Diacu, A. Mingarelli, V. Mioc, C. Stoica, in: R.J. Agarwal (Ed.), Dynamical Systems and Applications, World Scientific, Singapore, 1995, pp. 213–227;
J. Delgado, F. Diacu, F.N. Lacombe, A. Mingarelli, V. Mioc, E. Perez, C. Stoica, J. Math. Phys. 37 (1996) 2748;
F. Diacu, V. Mioc, C. Stoica, Nonlinear Anal. 41 (2000) 1029;
F. Diacu, J. Phys. A 33 (2000) 6573;
F. Diacu, M. Santoprete, Physica D 156 (2001) 39;
F. Diacu, M. Santoprete, Physica D 194 (2004) 75;
M. Arribas, A. Elipe, A. Riaguas, Mech. Res. Commun. 30 (2003) 209.
- [10] A. De Rújula, S.L. Glashow, U. Sarid, Nucl. Phys. B 333 (1990) 173.
- [11] A. Gould, B.T. Draine, R.W. Romani, S. Nussinov, Phys. Lett. B 238 (2–4) (1990) 337.
- [12] S. Dimopoulos, D. Eichler, R. Esmailzadeh, G.D. Starkman, Phys. Rev. D 41 (1990) 2388.
- [13] R.H. Boyer, R.W. Lindquist, J. Math. Phys. 8 (2) (1967) 265.
- [14] L.D. Landau, E.M. Lifshitz, The Classical Theory of Fields, Butterworth–Heinemann, Oxford, 2000.
- [15] B. Carter, Phys. Rev. 174 (1968) 1559.
- [16] V. Frolov, I. Novikov, Black Hole Physics: Basic Concepts and New Developments, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1998.
- [17] J.M. Bardeen, W.H. Press, S.A. Teukolsky, Astrophys. J. 178 (1972) 247.
- [18] B. Mukhopadhyay, Astrophys. J. 581 (2002) 427, astro-ph/0205475.
- [19] N. Dadhich, P.P. Kale, J. Math. Phys. 18 (9) (1977) 1727.
- [20] H. Reissner, Ann. Phys. (Berlin) 50 (1916) 106;
G. Nordström, Proc. Kon. Ned. Akad. Wet. 20 (1918) 1238.