Intro To ML – HW3

students:

Dor Bank - 301380416 - [dorbank@gmail.com](mailto:dorbank@gmail.com),

Avi Caciularu - 203056585 - avi.c33@gmail.com

**Theory Questions**

**Question 1:**

We will show a lower bound: . let be a unit vector from the standard basis of size , where '' appears in the place.

We will begin by showing that the set can be shattered by . In order to show that, we need to show that every label assignment for is consistent with some hypothesis in . let be the assignment for the vectors . Then define hypothesis as:

.

Furthermore, for the computation of the result at the output node we will set:

We get

Note that here we assume that . the permutation for is trivial.

**Question 2:**

In this questions we will use the following lemmas, proved in recitation 7:

Lemma 1:

Lemma 2:

Lemma 3:

1. Denote the function family of each node *j* in layer *i* as . In our case, since the node separators are independent of each other, we get that for each layer *i*, . Because there are d nodes at each layer, we can see that all are equal to our wanted . From Radon's theorem, we get that for each , . from Lemma 2 we get that
2. is simply composed from concatenating the family times. Explicitly:

. From Lemma 3 we get that

1. For every node, we have parameters for the weight vector w, and more for the bias . In total, we have parameters, layers with nodes, and layer (the final one) with one node. Combining all together we get

.

1. Assume . First notice that . otherwise we get which is surely not true for a large enough .

Now, by taking the log of each size we get:

, where the second transition is true as stated above.

1. first notice that . Now, let there be a set of size that is shattered. Then . combining this with the inequality mentioned we get . from section d we get that . Thus, we conclude that .

**Question 3:**

1. Consider the new primal problem of SVM:

The regular SGD step was:

Denote so

We will show that:

And then the new SGD with the “Subgradient Projection Method” step would be:

The definition of the projection of on ( ) is .

If then the trivial solution is , so we will assume that :

* If so and then .
* If so and we get that the normalized vector and then , because is the optimal solution for .

1. Let be a convex set, and .

Because is a convex set, there is so that .

Let . Particularly, this equality is correct for : Hence . From the Pythagoras’s theorem, we get that meaning that . Hence, we get by the triangle inequality.

1. We have the same requirements of the original proof, since the minimizer of does still satisfy the assumption that , because now .

Denote: .

The equation after equation (12) will be now: .

If then the proof in the scribes fits.

If :

and after rearrangement we get: