Team SuperWoman

SuperWoman Test Plan

Version 1.1

SuperWoman	Version:	1.1
<iteration master=""> Test Plan</iteration>	Date:	20.06.2018

Revision History

Date	Version	Description	Author
02.05.2018	1.0	First Version	Team SuperWoman
20.06.2018	1.1	Reviewed Document	Team SuperWoman

SuperWoman	Version:	1.1
<iteration master=""> Test Plan</iteration>	Date:	20.06.2018

Table of Contents

1.	Intro	duction	5
	1.1	Purpose	5
	1.2	Scope	5
	1.3	Intended Audience	5
	1.4	Document Terminology and Acronyms	5
	1.5	References	5
	1.5	References	J
2.	Eval	uation Mission and Test Motivation	5
	2.1	Background	5
	2.2	Evaluation Mission	5
	2.3	Test Motivators	5
3.	Targ	et Test Items	5
4.	Outli	ine of Planned Tests	6
т.	4.1	Outline of Test Inclusions	6
	4.1	Outline of Other Candidates for Potential Inclusion	
	4.2	Outline of Test Exclusions	6
	4.3	Outline of Test Exclusions	6
5.	Test	Approach	6
	5.1	Initial Test-Idea Catalogs and Other Reference Sources	6
	5.2	Testing Techniques and Types	6
		5.2.1 Data and Database Integrity Testing	6
		5.2.2 Function Testing	6
		5.2.3 Business Cycle Testing	7
		5.2.4 User Interface Testing	7
		5.2.5 Performance Profiling	7
		5.2.6 Load Testing	7
		5.2.7 Stress Testing	7
		5.2.8 Volume Testing	8
		5.2.9 Security and Access Control Testing	8
		5.2.10 Failover and Recovery Testing	8
		5.2.11 Configuration Testing	8
		5.2.12 Installation Testing	8
6.	Entry	y and Exit Criteria	8
	6.1	Test Plan	8
	0.1	6.1.1 Test Plan Entry Criteria	8
		6.1.2 Test Plan Exit Criteria	8
		6.1.3 Suspension and Resumption Criteria	8
	6.2	Test Cycles	8
	0.2	6.2.1 Test Cycle Entry Criteria	8
		6.2.2 Test Cycle Exit Criteria	8
		6.2.3 Test Cycle Abnormal Termination	8
7.	Dalis	verables	8
<i>,</i> .			
	7.1	Test Evaluation Summaries	8
	7.2	Reporting on Test Coverage	Echlant Tarremanka night definient
	7.3	Perceived Quality Reports	Fehler! Textmarke nicht definiert.

	perWoman	Version: 1.1
<iteration master=""> Test Plan</iteration>		Date: 20.06.2018
	 7.4 Incident Logs and Change Requests 7.5 Smoke Test Suite and Supporting Test Scripts 7.6 Additional Work Product 7.6.1 Detailed Test Results 7.6.2 Additional Automated Functional Test Scripts 7.6.3 Traceability Matrices 	Fehler! Textmarke nicht definiert. Fehler! Textmarke nicht definiert.
8.	Testing Workflow	8
9.	Environmental Needs 9.1 Base System Hardware 9.2 Base Software Elements in the Test Environment 9.3 Productivity and Support Tools 9.4 Test Environment Configurations	8 8 9 9
10.	Responsibilities, Staffing, and Training Needs 10.1 People and Roles	9
11.	Iteration Milestones	10
12.	Risks, Dependencies, Assumptions, and Constraints	10
13.	Management Process and Procedures 13.1 Measuring and Assessing the Extent of Testing 13.2 Assessing the Deliverables of this Test Plan 13.3 Problem Reporting, Escalation, and Issue Resolution 13.4 Managing Test Cycles 13.5 Traceability Strategies 13.6 Approval and Signoff	Fehler! Textmarke nicht definiert.

SuperWoman	Version:	1.1
<iteration master=""> Test Plan</iteration>	Date:	20.06.2018

Test Plan

1. Introduction

1.1 Purpose

The purpose of the Iteration Test Plan is to gather all of the information necessary to plan and control the test effort for a given iteration. It describes the approach to testing the software, and is the top-level plan generated and used by managers to direct the test effort.

This *Test Plan* for the SuperWoman project supports the following objectives:

- Outlines the testing approach that will be used
- Identifies the items that should be targeted by the tests
- Identifies the required resources.

1.2 Scope

This document addresses the following types and levels of testing:

- Unit-Tests
- Functionality Testing.

1.3 Intended Audience

This document addresses the project members of SuperWoman and everybody who is interested in the SuperWoman-Project.

1.4 Document Terminology and Acronyms

n/a

1.5 References

- GitHub
- <u>Blog</u>

2. Evaluation Mission and Test Motivation

2.1 Background

By testing our project, we make sure that all changes to the sourcecode do not break the functionality. Furthermore, by integrating the test process in our deployment process, we make sure that only working versions of our project get deployed. This renders possible that the web application is always available.

2.2 Evaluation Mission

Our mission for the evaluation effort of the current iteration is following:

- Find as many bugs as possible as early as possible
- Validation of our sourcecode.

2.3 Test Motivators

Using tests makes possible to validate the correctness of the methods and the functionalities in our sourcecode. Therewith, bugs can be found as early as possible and major effects can be prevented.

3. Target Test Items

The listing below identifies those test items—software, hardware, and supporting product elements —that have been identified as targets for testing. This list represents what items will be tested:

- Navigation within the website
- UI
- Functionality Testing.

SuperWoman	Version:	1.1
<iteration master=""> Test Plan</iteration>	Date:	20.06.2018

4. Outline of Planned Tests

4.1 Outline of Test Inclusions

- JUnit-Testing
- Cucumber UI-Testing.

4.2 Outline of Other Candidates for Potential Inclusion

n/a

4.3 Outline of Test Exclusions

n/a

5. Test Approach

5.1 Initial Test-Idea Catalogs and Other Reference Sources

n/a

5.2 Testing Techniques and Types

5.2.1 Data and Database Integrity Testing

We are still working on implementing a database, thus we are unable to test this section presently.

5.2.2 Function Testing

Technique Objective:	Testing if the UI fits the expectations.
Technique:	We try to cover all use cases within one test by executing them one after another.
Oracles:	We are relying on the outcome of our testing tool.
Required Tools:	Jenkins
Success Criteria:	The tests pass without error messages.
Special Considerations:	-

SuperWoman	Version:	1.1
<iteration master=""> Test Plan</iteration>	Date:	20.06.2018

5.2.3 Business Cycle Testing

n/a

5.2.4 User Interface Testing

Technique Objective:	Testing of the User Interface (test of the usability of our website)
Technique:	Usability Tests: Users should navigate through the website and play the game
Oracles:	The user likes the website. The website is easy to use and the menu navigation is simple and intuitive.
Required Tools:	User, Laptop with internet access
Success Criteria:	Website has a good usability and the users like our game.

5.2.5 Performance Profiling

n/a

5.2.6 Load Testing

n/a

5.2.7 Stress Testing

n/a

SuperWoman	Version:	1.1
<iteration master=""> Test Plan</iteration>	Date:	20.06.2018

5.2.8 Volume Testing

n/a

5.2.9 Security and Access Control Testing

n/a

5.2.10 Failover and Recovery Testing

n/a

5.2.11 Configuration Testing

n/a

5.2.12 Installation Testing

n/a

6. Entry and Exit Criteria

6.1 Test Plan

6.1.1 Test Plan Entry Criteria

The execution of the test plan can begin as soon as the first unit test is written.

6.1.2 Test Plan Exit Criteria

The execution of the test plan is completed when the project is finished.

6.1.3 Suspension and Resumption Criteria

n/a

6.2 Test Cycles

6.2.1 Test Cycle Entry Criteria

A test cycle begins when the build of a new version is initiated.

6.2.2 Test Cycle Exit Criteria

A test cycle ends when the build of a new version fails.

6.2.3 Test Cycle Abnormal Termination

A test cycle ends abnormally if an error occurs during the build.

7. Deliverables

7.1 Test Evaluation Summaries

Test evaluation is done by hand directly after the test has been executed.

7.2 Reporting on Test Coverage

Element	Coverage	Covered Instru	Missed Instruct	Total Instructio
> 🐸 SuperWoman	39,4 %	282	434	716
bd				

8. Testing Workflow

Unit tests run automatically in the IDE, we start the functional tests after every commit.

9. Environmental Needs

9.1 Base System Hardware

The following table sets forth the system resources for the test effort presented in this Test Plan.

SuperWoman	Version:	1.1
<iteration master=""> Test Plan</iteration>	Date:	20.06.2018

System Resources			
Resource	Quantity Name and Type		
Database Server			
Network or Subnet		Internet	
Server Name		Postgres Server	
Database Name		PostgreSQL	
Client Test PCs			
Include special configuration requirements		Özen, Lea, Isabella	
Test Development PCs		Özen, Lea, Isabella	

9.2 Base Software Elements in the Test Environment

The following base software elements are required in the test environment for this *Test Plan*.

Software Element Name	Version	Type and Other Notes
Windows	Win 8 or higher	Operating System
Google Chrome		Internet Browser
Eclipse		IDE
JUnit	latest	
Selenium	latest	

9.3 Productivity and Support Tools

The following tools will be employed to support the test process for this *Test Plan*.

Tool Category or Type	Tool Brand Name	Vendor or In-house	Version
Project Management	JIRA	Atlassian	7.0

9.4 Test Environment Configurations

The following Test Environment Configurations needs to be provided and supported for this project.

Configuration Name	Description	Implemented in Physical
_		Configuration

n/a

10. Responsibilities, Staffing, and Training Needs

10.1 People and Roles

This table shows the staffing assumptions for the test effort.

• Requirement Specifier: Özen, Lea & Isabella

• Designer: Özen

• Implementer: Özen, Lea & Isabella

• Tester: Özen, Lea & Isabella

SuperWoman	Version:	1.1
<iteration master=""> Test Plan</iteration>	Date:	20.06.2018

- Project Manager [Deadline Manager]: Isabella
- Implementer [Code Cleaner]: Lea

11. Iteration Milestones

[Identify the key schedule milestones that set the context for the Testing effort. Avoid repeating too much detail that is documented elsewhere in plans that address the entire project.]

Milestone	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned End Date	Actual End Date
>20% Test Coverage	09.05.2018	16.05.2018	13.06.2018	20.06.2018
Have functional tests	09.11.2017	12.11.2017	13.11.2017	13.11.2017
Have Junit tests	20.04.2018	02.05.2018	10.06.2018	20.06.2018
Have end user test	13.06.2018	13.06.2018	19.06.2018	19.06.2018

12. Risks, Dependencies, Assumptions, and Constraints

The risk for our project are outlined in our Risk Management Table.

There are no additional risks for testing.

13. Metrics

We used the online tools Codacy, SonarQube and CodeClimate.

The first metric that has been tested is code duplication. Duplicated code can lead to software that is hard to understand and difficult to change.

The second one we tested is cognitive complexity. Cognitive Complexity is a measure of how difficult a unit of code is to intuitively understand. Unlike Cyclomatic Complexity, which determines how difficult your code will be to test, Cognitive Complexity tells you how difficult your code will be to read and comprehend.