Implementation in GCP

DDL Commands

```
CREATE TABLE Video (
 VideoId CHAR(11) PRIMARY KEY,
 Title VARCHAR(100),
 PublishedDate VARCHAR(50),
 CategoryName VARCHAR(100),
 ChannelId CHAR(24),
  FOREIGN KEY (ChannelId) REFERENCES Channel(ChannelId)
);
CREATE TABLE VideoStats (
   VideoId CHAR(11) NOT NULL,
   TrendingDate VARCHAR(50) NOT NULL,
   ViewCount INT,
   Likes INT,
   Dislikes INT,
   PRIMARY KEY (VideoId, TrendingDate),
    FOREIGN KEY (VideoId) REFERENCES Video(VideoId)
```

```
);
CREATE TABLE Channel (
    ChannelId CHAR(24) PRIMARY KEY,
    ChannelTitle VARCHAR(255)
);
CREATE TABLE Favorites (
  UserId CHAR(6),
 VideoId CHAR(11),
 WatchListName VARCHAR(255),
 VideoAddedDate VARCHAR(50),
 PRIMARY KEY (UserId, VideoId, WatchListName)
);
CREATE TABLE User (
 UserId CHAR(6) PRIMARY KEY,
 Username VARCHAR(100),
 Password VARCHAR(255),
 Email VARCHAR(255)
);
CREATE TABLE HotVideo(
 VideoId CHAR(11) PRIMARY KEY,
 SavedCount INT
);
```

Table Counts

```
mysql> SELECT COUNT(*) FROM Channel;
| COUNT(*) |
    1049 |
1 row in set (0.00 sec)
mysql> SELECT COUNT(*) FROM Favorites;
| COUNT(*) |
1001
1 row in set (0.00 sec)
mysql> SELECT COUNT(*) FROM Video;
| COUNT(*) |
 1654
1 row in set (0.00 sec)
mysql> SELECT COUNT(*) FROM VideoStats;
| COUNT(*) |
     7922 |
1 row in set (0.01 sec)
```

Advanced Query

Query 1

This SQL query is used to count the number of favorite videos for each video category and sort the result by the number of favorite videos in descending order. As the dataset only contains 13 categories, we did not use the LIMIT clause to select the top 15 rows.

```
SELECT v.CategoryName, COUNT(*) AS num_favorites
FROM Video v JOIN Favorites f ON v.VideoId = f.VideoId
GROUP BY v.CategoryName
ORDER BY num_favorites DESC;
```

```
mysql> SELECT v.CategoryName, COUNT(*) AS num favorites
   -> FROM Video v JOIN Favorites f ON v.VideoId = f.VideoId
   -> GROUP BY v.CategoryName
   -> ORDER BY num favorites DESC;
| CategoryName | num_favorites |
+-----+
| Music
                               125 I
| Entertainment
                              121 |
| Sports
                              68 I
| Gaming
                              53 |
| People & Blogs |
                              53 |
                               31 |
| Comedy
| News & Politics |
                               30 |
| Howto & Style
                              29 |
| Science & Technology |
                               27 |
| Film & Animation
                               25 |
| Education
                               6 |
| Autos & Vehicles
| Travel & Events |
13 rows in set (0.10 sec)
```

Query 2

This query is finding the 15 most popular videos with at least 10,000 views that have a high proportion of likes relative to their total reactions based on the most recent record of each video information. Since there are many records for each video on different trending dates, this approach helps to ensure that the query results only contain the most recent information.

Indexing

Query 1

Initial result of EXPLAIN ANALYZE without using indexing

Index Design 1 - CREATE INDEX add categoryname idx ON Video(CategoryName)

After using the index, the query still performs a table scan on the temporary table and applies the same aggregate function to it. The performance improved slightly as it took a little less time but overall this change might be negligible. The reason this may not have helped is that we have very few categories to begin with so indexing on them doesn't really make a difference. Also we are not filtering any categories out.

Index Design 2 - CREATE INDEX add videoid idx ON Video(VideoId)

After using the index, the query plan remained the same, but the overall query performance improved a little, as evidenced by the reduced actual time for the "Aggregate using temporary table".

```
ORNEY ON, OF ONE Affected (0.0% sec)

Records: 0 Duplicates: 0 Warnings: 0

sysql> EXPLAIN AMALYZE SELECT v.CategoryName, COUNT(*) AS num_favorites FROM Video v JDIN Favorites f ON v.Videoid = f.Videoid GROUP BY v.CategoryName ORDER BY num_favorites DESC;

| EXPLAIN |
| EXPLAIN |
| -> Sort: num_favorites DESC (actual time=3.412.3.412 rows=13 loops=1)
| -> Fable scan on f (cont-444).65 rows=992 (actual time=0.068.2.985 rows=101 loops=1)
| -> Fable scan on f (cont-444).65 rows=992 (actual time=0.068.2.985 rows=101 loops=1)
| -> Table scan on f (cont-101.45 rows=992) (actual time=0.068.2.985 rows=101 loops=1)
| -> Table scan on f (cont-101.45 rows=992) (actual time=0.068.2.985 rows=101 loops=1)
| -> Table scan on f (cont-101.45 rows=992) (actual time=0.068.2.085 rows=101 loops=1)
| -> Table scan on f (cont-101.45 rows=992) (actual time=0.068.2.085 rows=101 loops=1)
| -> Table scan on f (cont-101.45 rows=992) (actual time=0.068.2.085 rows=101 (actual time=0.002..0.002 rows=1 loops=1001)
| -> Table scan on f (cont-101.45 rows=992) (actual time=0.068.2.085 rows=101 (actual time=0.002..0.002 rows=1 loops=1001)
| -> Table scan on f (cont-101.45 rows=992) (actual time=0.068.2.085 rows=101 (actual time=0.002..0.002 rows=1 loops=1001)
```

Index Design 3 - CREATE INDEX add videoid idx ON Favorites(VideoId)

After using the index, the query was able to utilize an index scan on the table being joined, then the query was able to use index scans to quickly locate the relevant data rows, which reduced the number of times the table needed to be scanned. This resulted in an improvement in query performance, as evidenced by the decrease in actual time from **3.469** to **2.827** milliseconds

Query 2

Initial result of EXPLAIN ANALYZE without using indexing

Index Design 1 - CREATE INDEX add viewcount idx ON VideoStats(ViewCount)

We created an index on column **ViewCount** of table **VideoStats**. The original query performs a table scan on VideoStats and uses a nested loop join to join it with the Video table. After adding an index on the ViewCount column, the optimizer changes the query plan to use the index range scan instead of a table scan, which significantly reduces the number of rows being scanned. This results in a much lower cost and faster execution time. Additionally, the filter condition is optimized to use the index on ViewCount and is applied earlier in the query execution, resulting in a further reduction in the number of rows being processed.

```
Separation of Separation (Control of Control of Control
```

Index Design 2 - CREATE INDEX add likes idx ON VideoStats(Likes)

We then created an index on column **Likes** of table **VideoStats**. From the EXPLAIN ANALYZE output, we can see that adding the **add_likes_idx** did not have any noticeable impact on the cost or the actual execution time of the query. This may be because the query mostly looks for the videos and then checks their like to dislike ratio, the likes aren't what we are searching through though so adding an index on them doesn't make a difference.

```
Apply CRATE INEX and [line jak ON Videotidas (items)

Accorded 6 Deplication of Surplings 0

Accorded 6 Deplication of Surplings 0

Accorded 7 Deplication of Surplings 0

Acc
```

Index Design 3 - CREATE INDEX add dislikes idx ON VideoStats(Dislikes)

After that, we tried to use **add_dislikes_idx**. Comparing the two EXPLAIN ANALYZE results for the query after and before adding this index on VideoStats. VideoId, there is no noticeable change in the execution plan, and the cost and actual time for each step remain almost the same. This is for the same reasons as Index Design 2.

```
Section (Section and Control of Viscottate (Usilve))

Records () Explain (Record (Control of Records (Cont
```

Index Design 4 - CREATE INDEX add videoid idx ON Video(VideoId)

Based on the result provided, it seems that adding this index did not help to speed up the query, and actually made it slower. This can be seen from the fact that the execution time increased from 32.763 to 40.926 milliseconds after adding the index. The longer time might be attributed to a lot of factors but the reason this index didn't help is because our query wasn't looking for a specific video id, so indexing makes no improvements because the id itself doesn't matter.

```
Secretary County Internation (1979 most)

Secretary County (1982) Secretary Secretary County (1982) Se
```

Overall, we see that the overall query time is reduced and the query can return the results much faster after using **add_viewcount_idx**. This may be because this index could filter out rows that do not meet the condition (VideoStats.ViewCount >= 10000) before joining with other tables, which significantly reduces the number of rows being joined and thus improves the query performance.