

# Inspection

Angelo Gargantini

April 26, 2023

- Examine representation of a software system with the aim of discovering anomalies and defects
  - “Check software artifacts for constructs that are known to be problematic from past experience”
- Systematic & detailed review technique
  - Peer review (not author or his boss but inspection team)
- Applicable to all kinds of software artifacts
  - Requirement specification, design documents, source code, ...
- Defined in the 70's by Fagan (IBM)
  - Several alternatives & extensions proposed that vary in rigorousness of the review and focus on particular goals
  - Pair programming can be seen as a light & informal instance

- Can be applied to essentially any document
  - requirements statements
  - architectural and design documents
  - test plans and test cases
  - source code
- May also have secondary benefits
  - spreading good practices, shared standards of quality
  - pair-programming
- Limitations
  - takes a considerable amount of time
  - re-inspecting a changed component can be expensive
- Used primarily in areas
  - where other techniques are inapplicable or ineffective
  - where other techniques do not provide sufficient coverage

- A **formal code review** is the process under which **inspection** is performed.
- Can be a simple one-on-one meeting or a detailed rigorous code inspection.
- May be organized by the programming or the testing team.

# Why have Code Inspections

- Several eyes are better than one pair
- Not all problems are detected by automated tools
- Tools cannot decide whether the problem is real.
- Tools cannot decide whether the problem is serious. [Worth fixing.]

# Code Inspection

- Code Inspection is the most formal type of review, which is a kind of static analysis to avoid the defect multiplication at a later stage.
- The main purpose of code inspection is to find defects and it can also spot any process improvement if any.
- An inspection report lists the findings, which include metrics that can be used to aid improvements to the process as well as correcting defects in the document under review.
- Preparation before the meeting is essential, which includes reading of any source documents to ensure consistency.
- Inspections are often led by a trained moderator, who is not the author of the code.

- The inspection process is the most formal type of review based on rules and **checklists** and makes use of entry and exit criteria.
- It usually involves peer examination of the code and each one has a defined set of roles.
- After the meeting, a formal follow-up process is used to ensure that corrective action is completed in a timely manner.

# Code review checklist

- Design and Architecture errors
- Computation errors
- Comparison errors
- Control flow errors
- Subroutine parameter errors
- Input/Output errors
- Memory allocation errors
- Error discovered from previous code reviews
- Other checks
  - Does your code pass the lint test? E.g., How about gcc compiler warnings?
  - Is your code portable to other OS platforms?
  - Does the code handle ASCII and Unicode?



# Inspections vs. Testing

- What attributes are well-handled by inspections but not testing?
- “Fuzzy” non-functional properties
  - Maintainability, evolvability, reusability
- Other properties tough to test
  - Scalability, efficiency Security, integrity Robustness, reliability, exception handling Time sensitive, real-time actions
- Requirements, architecture, design documents
  - Cannot “execute” these as a test

- Inspections are characterized by roles, process, and reading techniques
- Inspection is not a full-time job. inspectors are usually borrowed from other roles: junior and senior software and test engineers, project and quality managers, software analysts, software architects, and technical writers.
- The same studies highlight the delicate relation between inspectors and developers: The efficacy of inspection can vanish if developers feel they are being **evaluated**.

- Checklists are a core element of classic inspection.
- A checklist contains a set of questions that help identify defects in the inspected artifact, and verify that the artifact complies with company standards.
- A good checklist should be updated regularly to remove obsolete elements and to add new checks suggested by the experience accumulated in new projects.
- Modern checklists are structured hierarchically and are used incrementally.