

# Proof of Regularity of 1-, 2-, and 3-Sums of Matroids

Ivan Sergeev

March– 2025

## 1 Preliminaries

### 1.1 Total Unimodularity and Partial Unimodularity

**Definition 1.** We say that a matrix  $A$  is totally unimodular, or TU for short, if for every  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ , every  $k \times k$  submatrix  $T$  of  $A$  has  $\det T \in \{0, \pm 1\}$ .

**Definition 2.** Given  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ , we say that a matrix  $A$  is  $k$ -partially unimodular, or  $k$ -PU for short, if every  $k \times k$  submatrix  $T$  of  $A$  has  $\det T \in \{0, \pm 1\}$ .

**Lemma 3.** A matrix  $A$  is TU if and only if  $A$  is  $k$ -PU for every  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ .

*Proof.* This follows from Definitions 1 and 2. □

### 1.2 Pivoting

#### 1.2.1 Definitions

long tableau pivot, short tableau pivot

#### 1.2.2 Properties

**Lemma 4.** Let  $B = \begin{bmatrix} B_{11} & 0 \\ B_{21} & B_{22} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{Q}^{\{X_1 \cup X_2\} \times \{Y_1 \times Y_2\}}$ . Let  $B' = \begin{bmatrix} B'_{11} & B'_{12} \\ B'_{21} & B'_{22} \end{bmatrix}$  be the result of performing a short tableau pivot on  $(x, y) \in X_1 \times Y_1$  in  $B$ . Then  $B'_{12} = 0$ ,  $B'_{22} = B_{22}$ , and  $\begin{bmatrix} B'_{11} \\ B'_{21} \end{bmatrix}$  is the matrix resulting from performing a short tableau pivot on  $(x, y)$  in  $\begin{bmatrix} B_{11} \\ B_{21} \end{bmatrix}$ .

*Proof.* This follows by a direct calculation. Indeed, because of the 0 block in  $B$ ,  $B_{12}$  and  $B_{22}$  remain unchanged, and since  $\begin{bmatrix} B_{11} \\ B_{21} \end{bmatrix}$  is a submatrix of  $B$  containing the pivot element, performing a short tableau pivot in it is equivalent to performing a short tableau pivot in  $B$  and then taking the corresponding submatrix. □

**Lemma 5.** Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ , let  $A \in \mathbb{Q}^{k \times k}$ , and let  $A'$  be the result of performing a short tableau pivot in  $A$  on  $A(x, y) \neq 0$  where  $x, y \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ . Then  $A'$  contains a submatrix  $A''$  of size  $(k-1) \times (k-1)$  with  $|\det A''| = |\det A|/|A(x, y)|$ .

*Proof.* Let  $X = \{1, \dots, k\} \setminus \{x\}$  and  $Y = \{1, \dots, k\} \setminus \{y\}$ , and let  $A'' = A'(X, Y)$ . Since  $A''$  does not contain the pivot row or the pivot column,  $\forall (i, j) \in X \times Y$  we have  $A''(i, j) = A(i, j) - \frac{A(i, y) \cdot A(x, j)}{A(x, y)}$ . For  $\forall j \in Y$ , let  $B_j$  be the matrix obtained from  $A$  by removing row  $x$  and column  $j$ , and let  $B'_j$  be the matrix obtained from  $A''$  by replacing column  $j$  with  $A(X, y)$  (i.e., the pivot column without the pivot element). The cofactor expansion along row  $x$  in  $A$  yields

$$\det A = \sum_{j=1}^k (-1)^{y+j} \cdot A(x, j) \cdot \det B_j.$$

By reordering columns of every  $B_j$  to match their order in  $B_j''$ , we get

$$\det A = (-1)^{x+y} \cdot \left( A(x, y) \cdot \det A' - \sum_{j \in Y} A(x, j) \cdot \det B_j'' \right).$$

By linearity of the determinant applied to  $\det A''$ , we have

$$\det A'' = \det A' - \sum_{j \in Y} \frac{A(x, j)}{A(x, y)} \cdot \det B_j''$$

Therefore,  $|\det A''| = |\det A|/|A(x, y)|$ . □

**Lemma 6.** Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ , let  $A \in \mathbb{Q}^{k \times k}$ , and let  $A'$  be the result of performing a short tableau pivot in  $A$  on  $A(x, y) \in \{\pm 1\}$  where  $x, y \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ . Then  $A'$  contains a submatrix  $A''$  of size  $(k-1) \times (k-1)$  with  $|\det A''| = |\det A|$ .

*Proof.* Apply Lemma 5 to  $A$  and use that  $A(x, y) \in \{\pm 1\}$ . □

### 1.3 Vector Matroids

#### 1.3.1 Full Matrix Representation

definition, properties

#### 1.3.2 Standard Matrix Representation

definition, properties

#### 1.3.3 Conversion From Full to Standard Matrix Representation

match lean implementation

**Lemma 7.** Let  $M$  be a matroid represented by a matrix  $A \in \mathbb{Q}^{X \times Y}$  and let  $B$  be a base of  $M$ . Then there exists a matrix  $S \in \mathbb{Q}^{B \times (Y \setminus B)}$  that is a standard representation matrix of  $M$ .

*Proof.* Let  $C = \{A(\bullet, b) \mid b \in B\}$ . Since  $B$  is a base of  $M$ , we can show that  $C$  is a basis in the column space  $\text{span}\{A(\bullet, y) \mid y \in Y\}$ . For every  $y \in Y \setminus B$ , let  $S(\bullet, y)$  be the coordinates of  $A(\bullet, y)$  in basis  $C$ . We can show that  $[I \mid S]$  represents the same matroid as  $A$ , so  $S$  is a standard representation matrix of  $M$ . □

**Lemma 8.** Let  $M$  be a matroid represented by a TU matrix  $A \in \mathbb{Q}^{X \times Y}$  and let  $B$  be a base of  $M$ . Then there exists a matrix  $S \in \mathbb{Q}^{B \times (Y \setminus B)}$  such that  $S$  is TU and  $S$  is a standard representation matrix of  $M$ .

*Proof sketch.* Apply the procedure described in the proof of Lemma 7 to  $A$ . This procedure can be represented as a sequence of elementary row operations, all of which preserve TUness. Dropping the identity matrix at the end also preserves TUness.

write up new proof using general pivoting

see details in implementation

#### 1.3.4 Support Matrices

**Definition 9.** Let  $F$  be a field. The support of matrix  $A \in F^{X \times Y}$  is  $A^\# \in \{0, 1\}^{X \times Y}$  given by

$$\forall i \in X, \forall j \in Y, A^\#(i, j) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } A(i, j) = 0, \\ 1, & \text{if } A(i, j) \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

**Definition 10.** Let  $M$  be a matroid, let  $B$  be a base of  $M$ , and let  $e \in E \setminus B$  be an element. The fundamental circuit  $C(e, B)$  of  $e$  with respect to  $B$  is the unique circuit contained in  $B \cup \{e\}$ .

**Lemma 11.** Let  $M$  be a matroid and let  $S \in F^{X \times Y}$  be a standard representation matrix of  $M$  over a field  $F$ . Then  $\forall y \in Y$ , the fundamental circuit of  $y$  w.r.t.  $X$  is  $C(y, X) = \{y\} \cup \{x \in X \mid S(x, y) \neq 0\}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $y \in Y$ . Our goal is to show that  $C'(y, X) = \{y\} \cup \{x \in X \mid D(x, y) \neq 0\}$  is a fundamental circuit of  $y$  with respect to  $X$ .

- $C'(y, X) \subseteq X \cup \{y\}$  by construction.
- $C'(y, X)$  is dependent, since columns of  $[I \mid S]$  indexed by elements of  $C(y, X)$  are linearly dependent.
- If  $C \subsetneq C'(y, X)$ , then  $C$  is independent. To show this, let  $V$  be the set of columns of  $[I \mid S]$  indexed by elements of  $C$  and consider two cases.
  1. Suppose that  $y \notin C$ . Then vectors in  $V$  are linearly independent (as columns of  $I$ ). Thus,  $C$  is independent.
  2. Suppose  $\exists x \in X \setminus C$  such that  $S(x, y) \neq 0$ . Then any nontrivial linear combination of vectors in  $V$  has a non-zero entry in row  $x$ . Thus, these vectors are linearly independent, so  $C$  is independent.

□

**Lemma 12.** Let  $M$  be a matroid and let  $S \in F^{X \times Y}$  be a standard representation matrix of  $M$  over a field  $F$ . Then  $\forall y \in Y$ , column  $S^\#(\bullet, y)$  is the characteristic vector of  $C(y, X) \setminus \{y\}$ .

*Proof.* This directly follows from Lemma 11. □

**Lemma 13.** Let  $A$  be a TU matrix.

1. If a matroid is represented by  $A$ , then it is also represented by  $A^\#$ .
2. If a matroid is represented by  $A^\#$ , then it is also represented by  $A$ .

*Proof.* See Lean implementation.

add details

□

## 1.4 Regular Matroids

**Definition 14.** A matroid  $M$  is regular if there exists  $A \in \mathbb{Q}^{X \times Y}$  such that  $M = M[A]$  and  $A$  is TU.

**Definition 15.** We say that  $A' \in \mathbb{Q}^{X \times Y}$  is a TU signing of  $A \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{X \times Y}$  if  $A'$  is TU and

$$\forall i \in X, \forall j \in Y, |A'(i, j)| = A(i, j).$$

**Lemma 16.** Let  $M$  be a matroid given by a standard representation matrix  $B \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{X \times Y}$ . Then  $M$  is regular if and only if  $B$  has a TU signing.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $M$  is regular. By Definition 14, there exists  $A \in \mathbb{Q}^{X \times Y}$  such that  $M = M[A]$  and  $A$  is TU. Recall that  $X$  (the row set of  $B$ ) is a base of  $M$ . By Lemma 8,  $A$  can be converted into a standard representation matrix  $B' \in \mathbb{Q}^{X \times Y}$  of  $M$  such that  $B'$  is also TU. Since  $B'$  and  $B$  are both standard representations of  $M$ , by Lemma 12 the support matrices  $(B')^\#$  and  $B^\#$  are the same. Moreover,  $B^\# = B$ , since  $B$  has entries in  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ . Thus,  $B'$  is TU and  $(B')^\# = B$ , so  $B'$  is a TU signing of  $B$ .

Suppose that  $B$  has a TU signing  $B' \in \mathbb{Q}^{X \times Y}$ . Then  $A = [I \mid B']$  is TU, as it is obtained from  $B'$  by adjoining the identity matrix. Moreover, by Lemma 13,  $A$  represents the same matroid as  $A^\# = [I \mid B]$ , which is  $M$ . Thus,  $A$  is a TU matrix representing  $M$ , so  $M$  is regular. □

add lemma

## 2 Regularity of 1-Sum

Write up based on Lean implementation

### 3 Regularity of 2-Sum

**Definition 17.** Let  $R$  be a semiring (we will use  $R = \mathbb{Z}_2$  and  $R = \mathbb{Q}$ ). Let  $B_\ell \in R^{(X_\ell \cup \{x\}) \times Y_\ell}$  and  $B_r \in R^{X_r \times (Y_r \cup \{y\})}$  be matrices of the form

$$B_\ell = \begin{bmatrix} A_\ell \\ r \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_r = \begin{bmatrix} c & A_r \end{bmatrix}.$$

The 2-sum  $B = B_\ell \oplus_{2,x,y} B_r$  of  $B_\ell$  and  $B_r$  is defined as

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} A_\ell & 0 \\ D & A_r \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{where} \quad D = c \otimes r.$$

Here  $A_\ell \in R^{X_\ell \times Y_\ell}$ ,  $A_r \in R^{X_r \times Y_r}$ ,  $r \in R^{Y_\ell}$ ,  $c \in R^{X_r}$ ,  $D \in R^{X_\ell \times Y_\ell}$ , and the indexing is consistent everywhere.

**Definition 18.** A matroid  $M$  is a 2-sum of matroids  $M_\ell$  and  $M_r$  if there exist standard  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  representation matrices  $B$ ,  $B_\ell$ , and  $B_r$  (for  $M$ ,  $M_\ell$ , and  $M_r$ , respectively) of the form given in Definition 17.

**Lemma 19.** Let  $B_\ell$  and  $B_r$  from Definition 17 be TU matrices (over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ). Then  $C = \begin{bmatrix} D & A_r \end{bmatrix}$  is TU.

*Proof.* Since  $B_\ell$  is TU, all its entries are in  $\{0, \pm 1\}$ . In particular,  $r$  is a  $\{0, \pm 1\}$  vector. Therefore, every column of  $D$  is a copy of  $y$ ,  $-y$ , or the zero column. Thus,  $C$  can be obtained from  $B_r$  by adjoining zero columns, duplicating the  $y$  column, and multiplying some columns by  $-1$ . Since all these operations preserve TUness and since  $B_r$  is TU,  $C$  is also TU.  $\square$

**Lemma 20.** Let  $B_\ell$  and  $B_r$  be matrices from Definition 17. Let  $B'_\ell$  and  $B'$  be the matrices obtained by performing a short tableau pivot on  $(x_\ell, y_\ell) \in X_\ell \times Y_\ell$  in  $B_\ell$  and  $B$ , respectively. Then  $B' = B'_\ell \oplus_{2,x,y} B_r$ .

*Proof.* Let

$$B'_\ell = \begin{bmatrix} A'_\ell \\ r' \end{bmatrix}, \quad B' = \begin{bmatrix} B'_{11} & B'_{12} \\ B'_{21} & B'_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

where the blocks have the same dimensions as in  $B_\ell$  and  $B$ , respectively. By Lemma 4,  $B'_{11} = A'_\ell$ ,  $B'_{12} = 0$ , and  $B'_{22} = A_r$ . Equality  $B'_{21} = c \otimes r'$  can be verified via a direct calculation. Thus,  $B' = B'_\ell \oplus_{2,x,y} B_r$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 21.** Let  $B_\ell$  and  $B_r$  from Definition 17 be TU matrices (over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ). Then  $B_\ell \oplus_{2,x,y} B_r$  is TU.

*Proof.* By Lemma 3, it suffices to show that  $B_\ell \oplus_{2,x,y} B_r$  is  $k$ -PU for every  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ . We prove this claim by induction on  $k$ . The base case with  $k = 1$  holds, since all entries of  $B_\ell \oplus_{2,x,y} B_r$  are in  $\{0, \pm 1\}$  by construction.

Suppose that for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$  we know that for any TU matrices  $B'_\ell$  and  $B'_r$  (from Definition 17) their 2-sum  $B'_\ell \oplus_{2,x,y} B'_r$  is  $k$ -PU. Now, given TU matrices  $B_\ell$  and  $B_r$  (from Definition 17), our goal is to show that  $B = B_\ell \oplus_{2,x,y} B_r$  is  $(k+1)$ -PU, i.e., that every  $(k+1) \times (k+1)$  submatrix  $T$  of  $B$  has  $\det T \in \{0, \pm 1\}$ .

First, suppose that  $T$  has no rows in  $X_\ell$ . Then  $T$  is a submatrix of  $\begin{bmatrix} D & A_r \end{bmatrix}$ , which is TU by Lemma 19, so  $\det T \in \{0, \pm 1\}$ . Thus, we may assume that  $T$  contains a row  $x_\ell \in X_\ell$ .

Next, note that without loss of generality we may assume that there exists  $y_\ell \in Y_\ell$  such that  $T(x_\ell, y_\ell) \neq 0$ . Indeed, if  $T(x_\ell, y) = 0$  for all  $y$ , then  $\det T = 0$  and we are done, and  $T(x_\ell, y) = 0$  holds whenever  $y \in Y_r$ .

Since  $B$  is 1-PU, all entries of  $T$  are in  $\{0, \pm 1\}$ , and hence  $T(x_\ell, y_\ell) \in \{\pm 1\}$ . Thus, by Lemma 6, performing a short tableau pivot in  $T$  on  $(x_\ell, y_\ell)$  yields a matrix that contains a  $k \times k$  submatrix  $T''$  such that  $|\det T| = |\det T''|$ . Since  $T$  is a submatrix of  $B$ , matrix  $T''$  is a submatrix of the matrix  $B'$  resulting from performing a short tableau pivot in  $B$  on the same entry  $(x_\ell, y_\ell)$ . By Lemma 20, we have  $B' = B'_\ell \oplus_{2,x,y} B_r$  where  $B'_\ell$  is the result of performing a short tableau pivot in  $B_\ell$  on  $(x_\ell, y_\ell)$ . Since TUness is preserved by pivoting and  $B_\ell$  is TU,  $B'_\ell$  is also TU. Thus, by the inductive hypothesis applied to  $T''$  and  $B'_\ell \oplus_{2,x,y} B_r$ , we have  $\det T'' \in \{0, \pm 1\}$ . Since  $|\det T| = |\det T''|$ , we conclude that  $\det T \in \{0, \pm 1\}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 22.** Let  $M$  be a 2-sum of regular matroids  $M_\ell$  and  $M_r$ . Then  $M$  is also regular.

*Proof.* Let  $B$ ,  $B_\ell$ , and  $B_r$  be standard  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  representation matrices from Definition 18. Since  $M_\ell$  and  $M_r$  are regular, by Lemma 16,  $B_\ell$  and  $B_r$  have TU signings  $B'_\ell$  and  $B'_r$ , respectively. Then  $B' = B'_\ell \oplus_{2,x,y} B'_r$  is a TU signing of  $B$ . Indeed,  $B'$  is TU by Lemma 21, and a direct calculation verifies that  $B'$  is a signing of  $B$ . Thus,  $M$  is regular by Lemma 16.  $\square$

## 4 Regularity of 3-Sum

### 4.1 Definition

**Definition 23.** Let  $B_l \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{(X_l \cup \{x_0, x_1\}) \times (Y_l \cup \{y_2\})}$ ,  $B_r \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{(X_r \cup \{x_2\}) \times (Y_r \cup \{y_0, y_1\})}$  be matrices of the form

$$B_l = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline & A_l & 0 \\ \hline & 1 & 1 \\ \hline D_l & D_0 & \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 \\ \hline 1 \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad B_r = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline D_0 & \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 \\ \hline 1 \end{array} & & \\ \hline D_r & & A_r & \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \text{where} \quad D_0 = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 1 \end{array} \quad \text{or} \quad D_0 = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 1 \end{array}.$$

The 3-sum  $B = B_l \oplus_3 B_r \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{(X_l \cup X_r) \times (Y_l \cup Y_r)}$  of  $B_l$  and  $B_r$  is defined as

$$B = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline & A_l & 0 \\ \hline & 1 & 1 \\ \hline D_l & D_0 & \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 \\ \hline 1 \end{array} \\ \hline D_{lr} & D_r & A_r \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \text{where} \quad D_{lr} = D_r \cdot (D_0)^{-1} \cdot D_l.$$

Here  $x_2 \in X_l$ ,  $x_0, x_1 \in X_r$ ,  $y_0, y_1 \in Y_l$ ,  $y_2 \in Y_r$ ,  $A_l \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{X_l \times Y_l}$ ,  $A_r \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{X_r \times Y_r}$ ,  $D_l \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{\{x_0, x_1\} \times (Y_l \setminus \{y_0, y_1\})}$ ,  $D_r \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{(X_r \setminus \{x_0, x_1\}) \times \{y_0, y_1\}}$ ,  $D_{lr} \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{(X_r \setminus \{x_0, x_1\}) \times (Y_l \setminus \{y_0, y_1\})}$ ,  $D_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{\{x_0, x_1\} \times \{y_0, y_1\}}$ . The indexing is consistent everywhere.

**Remark 24.** In Definition 23,  $D_0$  is non-singular by construction, so  $D_{lr}$  and  $B$  are well-defined. Moreover, a non-singular  $\mathbb{Z}_2^{2 \times 2}$  matrix is either  $\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 1 \end{array}$  or  $\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 1 \end{array}$  up to re-indexing. Thus, Definition 23 can be equivalently restated with  $D_0$  required to be non-singular and  $B_l$ ,  $B_r$ , and  $B$  re-indexed appropriately.

**Definition 25.** A matroid  $M$  is a 3-sum of matroids  $M_\ell$  and  $M_r$  if there exist standard  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  representation matrices  $B$ ,  $B_\ell$ , and  $B_r$  (for  $M$ ,  $M_\ell$ , and  $M_r$ , respectively) of the form given in Definition 23.

### 4.2 Canonical Signing

**Definition 26.** We call  $D'_0 \in \mathbb{Q}^{\{x_0, x_1\} \times \{y_0, y_1\}}$  the canonical signing of  $D_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{\{x_0, x_1\} \times \{y_0, y_1\}}$  if

$$D_0 = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 1 \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad D'_0 = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & -1 \end{array}, \quad \text{or} \quad D_0 = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 1 \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad D'_0 = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 1 \end{array}.$$

Similarly, we call  $S' \in \mathbb{Q}^{\{x_0, x_1, x_2\} \times \{y_0, y_1, y_2\}}$  the canonical signing of  $S \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{\{x_0, x_1, x_2\} \times \{y_0, y_1, y_2\}}$  if

$$S = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 1 & 0 \\ \hline D_0 & \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 \\ \hline 1 \end{array} & \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad S' = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 1 & 0 \\ \hline D'_0 & \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 \\ \hline 1 \end{array} & \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

To simplify notation, going forward we use  $D_0$ ,  $D'_0$ ,  $S$ , and  $S'$  to refer to the matrices of the form above.

**Lemma 27.** The canonical signing  $S'$  of  $S$  (from Definition 26) is TU.

*Proof.* Verified via a direct calculation. □

**Lemma 28.** Let  $Q$  be a TU signing of  $S$  (from Definition 26). Let  $u \in \{0, \pm 1\}^{\{x_0, x_1, x_2\}}$ ,  $v \in \{0, \pm 1\}^{\{y_0, y_1, y_2\}}$ , and  $Q'$  be defined as follows:

$$u(i) = \begin{cases} Q(x_2, y_0) \cdot Q(x_0, y_0), & i = x_0, \\ Q(x_2, y_0) \cdot Q(x_0, y_0) \cdot Q(x_0, y_2) \cdot Q(x_1, y_2), & i = x_1, \\ 1, & i = x_2, \end{cases}$$

$$v(j) = \begin{cases} Q(x_2, y_0), & j = y_0, \\ Q(x_2, y_1), & j = y_1, \\ Q(x_2, y_0) \cdot Q(x_0, y_0) \cdot Q(x_0, y_2), & j = y_2, \end{cases}$$

$$Q'(i, j) = Q(i, j) \cdot u(i) \cdot v(j) \quad \forall i \in \{x_0, x_1, x_2\}, \forall j \in \{y_0, y_1, y_2\}.$$

Then  $Q' = S'$  (from Definition 26).

*Proof.* Since  $Q$  is a TU signing of  $S$  and  $Q'$  is obtained from  $Q$  by multiplying rows and columns by  $\pm 1$  factors,  $Q'$  is also a TU signing of  $S$ . By construction, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q'(x_2, y_0) &= Q(x_2, y_0) \cdot 1 \cdot Q(x_2, y_0) = 1, \\ Q'(x_2, y_1) &= Q(x_2, y_1) \cdot 1 \cdot Q(x_2, y_1) = 1, \\ Q'(x_2, y_2) &= 0, \\ Q'(x_0, y_0) &= Q(x_0, y_0) \cdot (Q(x_2, y_0) \cdot Q(x_0, y_0)) \cdot Q(x_2, y_0) = 1, \\ Q'(x_0, y_1) &= Q(x_0, y_1) \cdot (Q(x_2, y_0) \cdot Q(x_0, y_0)) \cdot Q(x_2, y_1), \\ Q'(x_0, y_2) &= Q(x_0, y_2) \cdot (Q(x_2, y_0) \cdot Q(x_0, y_0)) \cdot (Q(x_2, y_0) \cdot Q(x_0, y_0) \cdot Q(x_0, y_2)) = 1, \\ Q'(x_1, y_0) &= 0, \\ Q'(x_1, y_1) &= Q(x_1, y_1) \cdot (Q(x_2, y_0) \cdot Q(x_0, y_0) \cdot Q(x_0, y_2) \cdot Q(x_1, y_2)) \cdot (Q(x_2, y_1)), \\ Q'(x_1, y_2) &= Q(x_1, y_2) \cdot (Q(x_2, y_0) \cdot Q(x_0, y_0) \cdot Q(x_0, y_2) \cdot Q(x_1, y_2)) \cdot (Q(x_2, y_0) \cdot Q(x_0, y_0) \cdot Q(x_0, y_2)) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, it remains to show that  $Q'(x_0, y_1) = S'(x_0, y_1)$  and  $Q'(x_1, y_1) = S'(x_1, y_1)$ .

Consider the entry  $Q'(x_0, y_1)$ . If  $D_0(x_0, y_1) = 0$ , then  $Q'(x_0, y_1) = 0 = S'(x_0, y_1)$ . Otherwise, we have  $D_0(x_0, y_1) = 1$ , and so  $Q'(x_0, y_1) \in \{\pm 1\}$ , as  $Q'$  is a signing of  $S$ . If  $Q'(x_0, y_1) = -1$ , then

$$\det Q'(\{x_0, x_2\}, \{y_0, y_1\}) = \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = 2 \notin \{0, \pm 1\},$$

which contradicts TUness of  $Q'$ . Thus,  $Q'(x_0, y_1) = 1 = S'(x_0, y_1)$ .

Consider the entry  $Q'(x_1, y_1)$ . Since  $Q'$  is a signing of  $S$ , we have  $Q'(x_1, y_1) \in \{\pm 1\}$ . Consider two cases.

1. Suppose that  $D_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . If  $Q'(x_1, y_1) = 1$ , then  $\det Q = \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = -2 \notin \{0, \pm 1\}$ , which contradicts TUness of  $Q'$ . Thus,  $Q'(x_1, y_1) = -1 = S'(x_1, y_1)$ .
2. Suppose that  $D_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . If  $Q'(x_1, y_1) = -1$ , then  $\det Q(\{x_0, x_1\}, \{y_1, y_2\}) = \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = 2 \notin \{0, \pm 1\}$ , which contradicts TUness of  $Q'$ . Thus,  $Q'(x_1, y_1) = 1 = S'(x_1, y_1)$ .

□

**Definition 29.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be sets with  $\{x_0, x_1, x_2\} \subseteq X$  and  $\{y_0, y_1, y_2\} \subseteq Y$ . Let  $Q \in \mathbb{Q}^{X \times Y}$  be a TU

matrix. Define  $u \in \{0, \pm 1\}^X$ ,  $v \in \{0, \pm 1\}^Y$ , and  $Q'$  as follows:

$$u(i) = \begin{cases} Q(x_2, y_0) \cdot Q(x_0, y_0), & i = x_0, \\ Q(x_2, y_0) \cdot Q(x_0, y_0) \cdot Q(x_0, y_2) \cdot Q(x_1, y_2), & i = x_1, \\ 1, & i = x_2, \\ 1, & i \in X \setminus \{x_0, x_1, x_2\}, \end{cases}$$

$$v(j) = \begin{cases} Q(x_2, y_0), & j = y_0, \\ Q(x_2, y_1), & j = y_1, \\ Q(x_2, y_0) \cdot Q(x_0, y_0) \cdot Q(x_0, y_2), & j = y_2, \\ 1, & j \in Y \setminus \{y_0, y_1, y_2\}, \end{cases}$$

$$Q'(i, j) = Q(i, j) \cdot u(i) \cdot v(j) \quad \forall i \in X, \forall j \in Y.$$

We call  $Q'$  the canonical re-signing of  $Q$ .

**Lemma 30.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be sets with  $\{x_0, x_1, x_2\} \subseteq X$  and  $\{y_0, y_1, y_2\} \subseteq Y$ . Let  $Q \in \mathbb{Q}^{X \times Y}$  be a TU signing of  $Q_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{X \times Y}$  such that  $Q_0(\{x_0, x_1, x_2\}, \{y_0, y_1, y_2\}) = S$  (from Definition 26). Then the canonical re-signing  $Q'$  of  $Q$  is a TU signing of  $Q_0$  and  $Q'(\{x_0, x_1, x_2\}, \{y_0, y_1, y_2\}) = S'$  (from Definition 26).

*Proof.* Since  $Q$  is a TU signing of  $Q_0$  and  $Q'$  is obtained from  $Q$  by multiplying some rows and columns by  $\pm 1$  factors,  $Q'$  is also a TU signing of  $Q_0$ . Equality  $Q'(\{x_0, x_1, x_2\}, \{y_0, y_1, y_2\}) = S'$  follows from Lemma 28.  $\square$

**Definition 31.** Suppose that  $B_l$  and  $B_r$  from Definition 23 have TU signings  $B'_l$  and  $B'_r$ , respectively. Let  $B''_l$  and  $B''_r$  be the canonical re-signings (from Definition 29) of  $B'_l$  and  $B'_r$ , respectively. Let  $A''_l, A''_r, D''_l, D''_r$ , and  $D''_0$  be blocks of  $B''_l$  and  $B''_r$  analogous to blocks  $A_l, A_r, D_l, D_r$ , and  $D_0$  of  $B_l$  and  $B_r$ . The canonical signing  $B''$  of  $B$  is defined as

$$B'' = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline & A''_l & & 0 \\ \hline & \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 1 & 0 \\ \hline \end{array} & & \\ \hline D''_l & D''_0 & \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 \\ \hline 1 \\ \hline \end{array} & \\ \hline D''_{lr} & D''_r & & A''_r \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \text{where } D''_{lr} = D''_r \cdot (D''_0)^{-1} \cdot D''_l.$$

**Remark 32.** In Definition 31,  $D''_0$  is non-singular by construction, so  $D''_{lr}$  and hence  $B''$  are well-defined.

### 4.3 Properties of Canonical Signing

**Lemma 33.**  $B''$  from Definition 31 is a signing of  $B$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 30,  $B''_l$  and  $B''_r$  are TU signings of  $B_l$  and  $B_r$ , respectively. As a result, blocks  $A''_l, A''_r, D''_l, D''_r$ , and  $D''_0$  in  $B''$  are signings of the corresponding blocks in  $B$ . Thus, it remains to show that  $D''_{lr}$  is a signing of  $D_{lr}$ . This can be verified via a direct calculation.  $\square$

need details?

**Lemma 34.** Suppose that  $B_r$  from Definition 23 has a TU signing  $B'_r$ . Let  $B''_r$  be the canonical re-signing (from Definition 29) of  $B'_r$ . Let  $c''_0 = B''_r(X_r, y_0)$ ,  $c''_1 = B''_r(X_r, y_1)$ , and  $c''_2 = c''_0 - c''_1$ . Then the following statements hold.

1. For every  $i \in X_r$ ,  $\begin{bmatrix} c''_0(i) & c''_1(i) \end{bmatrix} \in \{0, \pm 1\}^{\{y_0, y_1\}} \setminus \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ .
2. For every  $i \in X_r$ ,  $c''_2(i) \in \{0, \pm 1\}$ .
3.  $\begin{bmatrix} c''_0 & c''_2 & A''_r \end{bmatrix}$  is TU.
4.  $\begin{bmatrix} c''_1 & c''_2 & A''_r \end{bmatrix}$  is TU.



5.  $\begin{bmatrix} c_0'' & c_1'' & c_2'' & A_r'' \end{bmatrix}$  is TU.

*Proof.* Throughout the proof we use that  $B_r''$  is TU, which holds by Lemma 30.

1. Since  $B_r''$  is TU, all its entries are in  $\{0, \pm 1\}$ , and in particular  $\begin{bmatrix} c_0''(i) & c_1''(i) \end{bmatrix} \in \{0, \pm 1\}^{\{y_0, y_1\}}$ . If  $\begin{bmatrix} c_0''(i) & c_1''(i) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ , then

$$\det B_r''(\{x_2, i\}, \{y_0, y_1\}) = \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} = -2 \notin \{0, \pm 1\},$$

which contradicts TUness of  $B_r''$ . Similarly, if  $\begin{bmatrix} c_0''(i) & c_1''(i) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ , then

$$\det B_r''(\{x_2, i\}, \{y_0, y_1\}) = \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = 2 \notin \{0, \pm 1\},$$

which contradicts TUness of  $B_r''$ . Thus, the desired statement holds.

2. Follows from item 1 and a direct calculation.  
 3. Performing a short tableau pivot in  $B_r''$  on  $(x_2, y_0)$  yields:

$$B_r'' = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \textcircled{1} & 1 & 0 \\ \hline c_0'' & c_1'' & A_r'' \\ \hline \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 1 & 0 \\ \hline -c_0'' & c_1'' - c_0'' & A_r'' \\ \hline \end{array}$$

The resulting matrix can be transformed into  $\begin{bmatrix} c_0'' & c_2'' & A_r'' \end{bmatrix}$  by removing row  $x_2$  and multiplying columns  $y_0$  and  $y_1$  by  $-1$ . Since  $B_r''$  is TU and since TUness is preserved under pivoting, taking submatrices, multiplying columns by  $\pm 1$  factors, we conclude that  $\begin{bmatrix} c_0'' & c_2'' & A_r'' \end{bmatrix}$  is TU.

4. Similar to item 4, performing a short tableau pivot in  $B_r''$  on  $(x_2, y_1)$  yields:

$$B_r'' = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & \textcircled{1} & 0 \\ \hline c_0'' & c_1'' & A_r'' \\ \hline \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 1 & 0 \\ \hline c_0'' - c_1'' & -c_1'' & A_r'' \\ \hline \end{array}$$

The resulting matrix can be transformed into  $\begin{bmatrix} c_1'' & c_2'' & A_r'' \end{bmatrix}$  by removing row  $x_2$ , multiplying column  $y_1$  by  $-1$ , and swapping the order of columns  $y_0$  and  $y_1$ . Since  $B_r''$  is TU and since TUness is preserved under pivoting, taking submatrices, multiplying columns by  $\pm 1$  factors, and re-ordering columns, we conclude that  $\begin{bmatrix} c_1'' & c_2'' & A_r'' \end{bmatrix}$  is TU.

5. Let  $V$  be a square submatrix of  $\begin{bmatrix} c_0'' & c_1'' & c_2'' & A_r'' \end{bmatrix}$ . Our goal is to show that  $\det V \in \{0, \pm 1\}$ .

Suppose that column  $c_2''$  is not in  $V$ . Then  $V$  is a submatrix of  $B_r''$ , which is TU. Thus,  $\det V \in \{0, \pm 1\}$ . Going forward we assume that column  $z$  is in  $V$ .

Suppose that columns  $c_0''$  and  $c_1''$  are both in  $V$ . Then  $V$  contains columns  $c_0''$ ,  $c_1''$ , and  $c_2'' = c_0'' - c_1''$ , which are linearly. Thus,  $\det V = 0$ . Going forward we assume that at least one of the columns  $c_0''$  and  $c_1''$  is not in  $V$ .

Suppose that column  $c_1''$  is not in  $V$ . Then  $V$  is a submatrix of  $\begin{bmatrix} c_0'' & c_2'' & A_r'' \end{bmatrix}$ , which is TU by item 3. Thus,  $\det V \in \{0, \pm 1\}$ . Similarly, if column  $c_0''$  is not in  $V$ , then  $V$  is a submatrix of  $\begin{bmatrix} c_1'' & c_2'' & A_r'' \end{bmatrix}$ , which is TU by item 4. Thus,  $\det V \in \{0, \pm 1\}$ .

□

**Lemma 35.** Suppose that  $B_l$  from Definition 23 has a TU signing  $B'_l$ . Let  $B''_l$  be the canonical re-signing (from Definition 29) of  $B'_l$ . Let  $d''_0 = B''_l(x_0, Y_l)$ ,  $d''_1 = B''_l(x_1, Y_l)$ , and  $d''_2 = d''_0 - d''_1$ . Then the following statements hold.

$$1. \text{ For every } j \in Y_l, \frac{d''_0(j)}{d''_1(j)} \in \{0, \pm 1\}^{\{x_0, x_1\}} \setminus \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

$$2. \text{ For every } j \in Y_l, d''_2(j) \in \{0, \pm 1\}.$$

$$3. \begin{bmatrix} A''_l \\ d''_0 \\ d''_2 \end{bmatrix} \text{ is TU.}$$

$$4. \begin{bmatrix} A''_l \\ d''_1 \\ d''_2 \end{bmatrix} \text{ is TU.}$$

$$5. \begin{bmatrix} A''_l \\ d''_0 \\ d''_1 \\ d''_2 \end{bmatrix} \text{ is TU.}$$

*Proof.* Apply Lemma 34 to  $B_l^\top$ , or repeat the same arguments up to transposition.  $\square$

**Lemma 36.** Let  $B''$  be from Definition 31. Let  $c''_0 = B''(X_r, y_0)$ ,  $c''_1 = B''(X_r, y_1)$ , and  $c''_2 = c''_0 - c''_1$ . Similarly, let  $d''_0 = B''(x_0, Y_l)$ ,  $d''_1 = B''(x_1, Y_l)$ , and  $d''_2 = d''_0 - d''_1$ . Then the following statements hold.

$$1. \text{ For every } i \in X_r, c''_2(i) \in \{0, \pm 1\}.$$

$$2. \text{ If } D''_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ then } D'' = c''_0 \otimes d''_0 - c''_1 \otimes d''_1. \text{ If } D''_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ then } D'' = c''_0 \otimes d''_0 - c''_0 \otimes d''_1 + c''_1 \otimes d''_1.$$

$$3. \text{ For every } j \in Y_l, D''(X_r, j) \in \{0, \pm c''_0, \pm c''_1, \pm c''_2\}.$$

$$4. \text{ For every } i \in X_r, D''(i, Y_l) \in \{0, \pm d''_0, \pm d''_1, \pm d''_2\}.$$

$$5. \begin{bmatrix} D'' & A''_r \end{bmatrix} \text{ is TU.}$$

$$6. \begin{bmatrix} A''_l \\ D'' \end{bmatrix} \text{ is TU.}$$

*Proof.* 1. Holds by Lemma 34.2.

2. Note that

$$\begin{bmatrix} D''_l \\ D''_{lr} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} D''_0 \\ D''_r \end{bmatrix} \cdot (D''_0)^{-1} \cdot D''_l, \quad \begin{bmatrix} D''_0 \\ D''_r \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} D''_0 \\ D''_r \end{bmatrix} \cdot (D''_0)^{-1} \cdot D''_0, \quad \begin{bmatrix} D''_0 \\ D''_r \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} c''_0 & c''_1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} D''_l & D''_0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} d''_0 \\ d''_1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus,

$$D'' = \begin{bmatrix} D''_l & D''_0 \\ D''_{lr} & D''_r \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} D''_0 \\ D''_r \end{bmatrix} \cdot (D''_0)^{-1} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} D''_l & D''_0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} c''_0 & c''_1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot (D''_0)^{-1} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} d''_0 \\ d''_1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Considering the two cases for  $D''_0$  and performing the calculations yields the desired results.

$$3. \text{ Let } j \in Y_l. \text{ By Lemma 35.1, } \frac{d''_0(j)}{d''_1(j)} \in \{0, \pm 1\}^{\{x_0, x_1\}} \setminus \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}. \text{ Consider two cases.}$$

$$(a) \text{ If } D''_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ then by item 2 we have } D''(X_r, j) = d''_0(j) \cdot c''_0 + (-d''_1(j)) \cdot c''_1. \text{ By considering all possible cases for } d''_0(j) \text{ and } d''_1(j), \text{ we conclude that } D''(X_r, j) \in \{0, \pm c''_0, \pm c''_1, \pm(c''_0 - c''_1)\}.$$

- (b) If  $D''_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ , then by item 2 we have  $D''(X_r, j) = (d''_0(j) - d''_1(j)) \cdot c''_0 + d''_1(j) \cdot c''_1$ . By considering all possible cases for  $d''_0(j)$  and  $d''_1(j)$ , we conclude that  $D''(X_r, j) \in \{0, \pm c''_0, \pm c''_1, \pm(c''_0 - c''_1)\}$ .
4. Let  $i \in X_r$ . By Lemma 34.1,  $\begin{bmatrix} c''_0(i) & c''_1(i) \end{bmatrix} \in \{0, \pm 1\}^{\{y_0, y_1\}} \setminus \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ . Consider two cases.
- (a) If  $D''_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ , then by item 2 we have  $D''(i, Y_l) = c''_0(i) \cdot d''_0 + (-c''_1(i)) \cdot d''_1$ . By considering all possible cases for  $c''_0(i)$  and  $c''_1(i)$ , we conclude that  $D''(i, Y_l) \in \{0, \pm d''_0, \pm d''_1, \pm d''_2\}$ .
- (b) If  $D''_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ , then by item 2 we have  $D''(i, Y_l) = c''_0(i) \cdot d''_0 + (c''_1(i) - c''_0(i)) \cdot d''_1$ . By considering all possible cases for  $c''_0(i)$  and  $c''_1(i)$ , we conclude that  $D''(i, Y_l) \in \{0, \pm d''_0, \pm d''_1, \pm d''_2\}$ .
5. By Lemma 34.5,  $\begin{bmatrix} c''_0 & c''_1 & c''_2 & A''_r \end{bmatrix}$  is TU. Since TUness is preserved under adjoining zero columns, copies of existing columns, and multiplying columns by  $\pm 1$  factors,  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \pm c''_0 & \pm c''_1 & \pm c''_2 & A''_r \end{bmatrix}$  is also TU. By item 3,  $\begin{bmatrix} D'' & A''_r \end{bmatrix}$  is a submatrix of the latter matrix, hence it is also TU.

6. By Lemma 35.5,  $\begin{bmatrix} A''_l \\ d''_0 \\ d''_1 \\ d''_2 \end{bmatrix}$  is TU. Since TUness is preserved under adjoining zero rows, copies of existing

rows, and multiplying rows by  $\pm 1$  factors,  $\begin{bmatrix} A''_l \\ 0 \\ \pm d''_0 \\ \pm d''_1 \\ \pm d''_2 \end{bmatrix}$  is also TU. By item 4,  $\begin{bmatrix} A''_l \\ D'' \end{bmatrix}$  is a submatrix of the latter matrix, hence it is also TU. □

## 4.4 Proof of Regularity

**Definition 37.** Let  $X_l, Y_l, X_r, Y_r$  be sets and let  $c_0, c_1 \in \mathbb{Q}^{X_r}$  be column vectors such that for every  $i \in X_r$  we have  $c_0(i), c_1(i), c_0(i) - c_1(i) \in \{0, \pm 1\}$ . Define  $\mathcal{C}(X_l, Y_l, X_r, Y_r; c_0, c_1)$  to be the family of matrices of the form  $\begin{bmatrix} A_l & 0 \\ D & A_r \end{bmatrix}$  where  $A_l \in \mathbb{Q}^{X_l \times Y_l}$ ,  $A_r \in \mathbb{Q}^{X_r \times Y_r}$ , and  $D \in \mathbb{Q}^{X_r \times Y_l}$  are such that: (a) for every  $j \in Y_r$ ,

$D(X_r, j) \in \{0, \pm c_0, \pm c_1, \pm(c_0 - c_1)\}$ , (b)  $\begin{bmatrix} c_0 & c_1 & c_0 - c_1 & A_r \end{bmatrix}$  is TU, (c)  $\begin{bmatrix} A_l \\ D \end{bmatrix}$  is TU.

**Lemma 38.** Let  $B''$  be from Definition 31. Then  $B'' \in \mathcal{C}(X_l, Y_l, X_r, Y_r; c''_0, c''_1)$  where  $c''_0 = B''(X_r, y_0)$  and  $c''_1 = B''(X_r, y_1)$ .

*Proof.* Recall that  $c''_0 - c''_1 \in \{0, \pm 1\}^{X_r}$  by Lemma 36.1, so  $\mathcal{C}(X_l, Y_l, X_r, Y_r; c''_0, c''_1)$  is well-defined. To see that  $B'' \in \mathcal{C}(X_l, Y_l, X_r, Y_r; c''_0, c''_1)$ , note that all properties from Definition 37 are satisfied: property (a) holds by Lemma 36.3, property (b) holds by Lemma 34.5, and property (c) holds by Lemma 36.6. □

**Lemma 39.** Let  $C \in \mathcal{C}(X_l, Y_l, X_r, Y_r; c_0, c_1)$  from Definition 37. Let  $x \in X_l$  and  $y \in Y_l$  be such that  $A_l(x, y) \neq 0$ , and let  $C'$  be the result of performing a short tableau pivot in  $C$  on  $(x, y)$ . Then  $C' \in \mathcal{C}(X_l, Y_l, X_r, Y_r; c_0, c_1)$ .

*Proof.* Our goal is to show that  $C'$  satisfies all properties from Definition 37. Let  $C' = \begin{bmatrix} C'_{11} & C'_{12} \\ C'_{21} & C'_{22} \end{bmatrix}$ , and let

$\begin{bmatrix} A'_l \\ D' \end{bmatrix}$  be the result of performing a short tableau pivot on  $(x, y)$  in  $\begin{bmatrix} A_l \\ D \end{bmatrix}$ . Observe the following.

- By Lemma 4,  $C'_{11} = A'_l$ ,  $C'_{12} = 0$ ,  $C'_{21} = D'$ , and  $C'_{22} = A_r$ .

- Since  $\begin{bmatrix} A_l \\ D \end{bmatrix}$  is TU by property (c) for  $C$ , all entries of  $A_l$  are in  $\{0, \pm 1\}$ .
- $A_l(x, y) \in \{\pm 1\}$ , as  $A_l(x, y) \in \{0, \pm 1\}$  by the above observation and  $A_l(x, y) \neq 0$  by the assumption.
- Since  $\begin{bmatrix} A_l \\ D \end{bmatrix}$  is TU by property (c) for  $C$  and since pivoting preserves TUness,  $\begin{bmatrix} A'_l \\ D' \end{bmatrix}$  is also TU.

These observations immediately imply properties (b) and (c) for  $C'$ . Indeed, property (b) holds for  $C'$ , since  $C'_{22} = A_r$  and  $\begin{bmatrix} c_0 & c_1 & c_0 - c_1 & A_r \end{bmatrix}$  is TU by property (b) for  $C$ . On the other hand, property (c) follows from  $C'_{11} = A'_l$ ,  $C'_{21} = D'$ , and  $\begin{bmatrix} A'_l \\ D' \end{bmatrix}$  being TU. Thus, it only remains to show that  $C'$  satisfies property (a). Let  $j \in Y_r$ . Our goal is to prove that  $D'(X_r, j) \in \{0, \pm c_0, \pm c_1, \pm(c_0 - c_1)\}$ .

Suppose  $j = y$ . By the pivot formula,  $D'(X_r, y) = -\frac{D(X_r, y)}{A_l(x, y)}$ . Since  $D(X_r, y) \in \{0, \pm c_0, \pm c_1, \pm(c_0 - c_1)\}$  by property (a) for  $C$  and since  $A_l(x, y) \in \{\pm 1\}$ , we get  $D'(X_r, y) \in \{0, \pm c_0, \pm c_1, \pm(c_0 - c_1)\}$ .

Now suppose  $j \in Y_l \setminus \{y\}$ . By the pivot formula,  $D'(X_r, j) = D(X_r, j) - \frac{A_l(x, j)}{A_l(x, y)} \cdot D(X_r, y)$ . Here  $D(X_r, j), D(X_r, y) \in \{0, \pm c_0, \pm c_1, \pm(c_0 - c_1)\}$  by property (a) for  $C$ , and  $A_l(x, j) \in \{0, \pm 1\}$  and  $A_l(x, y) \in \{\pm 1\}$  by the prior observations. Perform an exhaustive case distinction on  $D(X_r, j), D(X_r, y), A_l(x, j)$ , and  $A_l(x, y)$ . In every case, we can show that either  $\begin{bmatrix} A_l(x, y) & A_l(x, j) \\ D(X_r, y) & D(X_r, j) \end{bmatrix}$  contains a submatrix with determinant not in  $\{0, \pm 1\}$ , which contradicts TUness of  $\begin{bmatrix} A_l \\ D \end{bmatrix}$ , or that  $D'(X_r, j) \in \{0, \pm c_0, \pm c_1, \pm(c_0 - c_1)\}$ , as desired.  $\square$

need details?

**Lemma 40.** Let  $C \in \mathcal{C}(X_l, Y_l, X_r, Y_r; c_0, c_1)$  from Definition 37. Then  $C$  is TU.

*Proof.* By Lemma 3, it suffices to show that  $C$  is  $k$ -PU for every  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ . We prove this claim by induction on  $k$ . The base case with  $k = 1$  holds, since properties (b) and (c) in Definition 37 imply that  $A_l, A_r$ , and  $D$  are TU, so all their entries of  $C = \begin{bmatrix} A_l & 0 \\ D & A_r \end{bmatrix}$  are in  $\{0, \pm 1\}$ , as desired.

Suppose that for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$  we know that every  $C' \in \mathcal{C}(X_l, Y_l, X_r, Y_r; c_0, c_1)$  is  $k$ -PU. Our goal is to show that  $C$  is  $k$ -PU, i.e., that every  $(k+1) \times (k+1)$  submatrix  $S$  of  $C$  has  $\det V \in \{0, \pm 1\}$ .

First, suppose that  $V$  has no rows in  $X_\ell$ . Then  $V$  is a submatrix of  $\begin{bmatrix} D & A_r \end{bmatrix}$ , which is TU by property (b) in Definition 37, so  $\det V \in \{0, \pm 1\}$ . Thus, we may assume that  $S$  contains a row  $x_\ell \in X_\ell$ .

Next, note that without loss of generality we may assume that there exists  $y_\ell \in Y_\ell$  such that  $V(x_\ell, y_\ell) \neq 0$ . Indeed, if  $V(x_\ell, y) = 0$  for all  $y$ , then  $\det V = 0$  and we are done, and  $V(x_\ell, y) = 0$  holds whenever  $y \in Y_r$ .

Since  $C$  is 1-PU, all entries of  $V$  are in  $\{0, \pm 1\}$ , and hence  $V(x_\ell, y_\ell) \in \{\pm 1\}$ . Thus, by Lemma 6, performing a short tableau pivot in  $V$  on  $(x_\ell, y_\ell)$  yields a matrix that contains a  $k \times k$  submatrix  $S''$  such that  $|\det V| = |\det V''|$ . Since  $V$  is a submatrix of  $C$ , matrix  $V''$  is a submatrix of the matrix  $C'$  resulting from performing a short tableau pivot in  $C$  on the same entry  $(x_\ell, y_\ell)$ . By Lemma 39, we have  $C' \in \mathcal{C}(X_l, Y_l, X_r, Y_r; c_0, c_1)$ . Thus, by the inductive hypothesis applied to  $V''$  and  $C'$ , we have  $\det V'' \in \{0, \pm 1\}$ . Since  $|\det V| = |\det V''|$ , we conclude that  $\det V \in \{0, \pm 1\}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 41.**  $B''$  from Definition 31 is TU.

*Proof.* Combine the results of Lemmas 38 and 40.  $\square$

**Lemma 42.** Let  $M$  be a 3-sum of regular matroids  $M_\ell$  and  $M_r$ . Then  $M$  is also regular.

*Proof.* Let  $B, B_\ell$ , and  $B_r$  be standard  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  representation matrices from Definition 25. Since  $M_\ell$  and  $M_r$  are regular, by Lemma 16,  $B_\ell$  and  $B_r$  have TU signings. Then the canonical signing  $B''$  from Definition 31 is a TU signing of  $B$ . Indeed,  $B''$  is a signing of  $B$  by Lemma 33, and  $B''$  is TU by Lemma 41. Thus,  $M$  is regular by Lemma 16.  $\square$