Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

0.1 Member State	IT
0.2.1 Species code	1085
0.2.2 Species name	Buprestis splendens
0.2.3 Alternative species	N/A
scientific name	
0.2.4 Common name	N/A

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map
Yes
1.1.1a Sensitive species
No
1.1.2 Method used - map
Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
1.1.3 Year or period
2007-2012
No
1.1.4 Additional map
Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

Mediterranean (MED)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Fabio Stoch (on behalf of the Comitato Scientifico per la Fauna d'Italia) and Anna Alonzi, Piero Genovesi, Francesca Ronchi (ISPRA). Information, unpublished data and expert judgements have been provided by Paolo Audisio (Rome).

Trizzino M. et al., 2013. Gli artropodi italiani inseriti negli Allegati II e IV della Direttiva Habitat: biologia, ecologia, riconoscimento e monitoraggio. Corpo Forestale dello Stato, Centro Nazionale per lo Studio e la Conservazione della Biodiversità Forestale Bosco Fontana di Verona, Conservazione Habitat Invertebrati, 7 (in press)

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)
2.3.2 Method - Range surface area
2.3.3 Short-term trend period
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude
2.3.6 Long-term trend period
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude
2.3.9 Favourable reference range

500

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2001-2012 stable (0)

min max

N/A

min max

area (km²)

operator approximately equal to (≈)

unkown No

method Expert opinion

2.3.10 Reason for change Use of different method

2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size Unit N/A

(individuals or agreed exception) min max

08/04/2014 11.22.14 Page 1 of 3

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

2.4.2 Population size	Unit number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10)			
(other than individuals)	min 4	max 4		
2.4.3 Additional information	Definition of locality			
	Conversion method			
	Problems	it is impossible to	convert grids into individuals	
2.4.4 Year or period	2009-2012			
2.4.5 Method – population size	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)			
2.4.6 Short-term trend period	2001-2012			
2.4.7 Short term trend direction	stable (0)			
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude 2.4.9 Short-term trend method	min Estimate based on e	max	confidence interval or minimal sampling (1)	
2.4.10 Long-term trend period	Estillate based on c.	xpert opinion with no	or minimal sampling (1)	
2.4.11 Long term trend direction	N/A			
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude	min	max	confidence interval	
2.4.13 Long-term trend method	N/A			
2.4.14 Favourable reference population	number operator approxi	mataly agual to (~)		
population	operator approximately equal to (≈) unknown No			
	method Expert	opinion		
2.4.15 Reason for change	·			
2.5 Habitat for the Species				
2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²)	500			
2.5.2 Year or period	2007-2012			
2.5.3 Method used - habitat	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)			
2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat 2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method	Moderate	mated the logs quality	of Dinus haldraichii	
2.5.5 Short term trend period	2001-2012	nated the logs quality	or Finas neidreicini	
2.5.6 Short term trend direction	stable (0)			
2.5.7 Long-term trend period				
2.5.8 Long term trend direction	N/A			
2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
2.5.10 Reason for change	Improved knowledg	e/more accurate data		
2.6 Main Pressures				
Pressure		ranking	pollution qualifier(s)	
Forest and Plantation management &	use (B02)	low importance (L)	N/A	
burning down (J01.01)		high importance (H)	N/A	
problematic native species (IO2)		high importance (H)	N/A	
2.6.1 Method used – pressures based only on expert judgements (1)				

08/04/2014 11.22.14 Page 2 of 3

expert opinion (1)

ranking

high importance (H)

high importance (H)

pollution qualifier(s)

N/A

N/A

2.7 Main Threats

burning down (J01.01)

problematic native species (IO2)

2.7.1 Method used – threats

Threat

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

The woods of Pollino as well as potential habitat of the woods of La Mula (Palandre-Pellegrino-Mantea) in Calabria where the species is probably present where considered in habitat assessment

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range assessment Favourable (FV)

qualifiers N/A

2.9.2. Population assessment Unknown (XX)

qualifiers N/A

2.9.3. Habitat assessment Favourable (FV)

qualifiers N/A

2.9.4. Future prospects assessment Favourable (FV)

qualifiers N/A

2.9.5 Overall assessment of Favourable (FV)

Conservation Status

conservation status

2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status

N/A

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size Unit N/A

min max

3.1.2 Method used Absent data (0)

3.1.3 Trend of population size within N/A

3.2 Conversation Measures

3.2.1 Measure 3.2.2 Type 3.2.3 Ranking 3.2.4 Location 3.2.5 Broad Evaluation

()

No measure known/ impossible to carry out

specific measures (1.3)

08/04/2014 11.22.14 Page 3 of 3