0.1 Member State	п
0.2.1 Species code	1250
0.2.2 Species name	Podarcis sicula
0.2.3 Alternative species scientific name	Podarcis siculus
0.2.4 Common name	Lucertola campestre

#### 1. National Level

#### **1.1 Maps**

1.1.1 Distribution Map	Yes
1.1.1a Sensitive species	No
1.1.2 Method used - map	Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)
1.1.3 Year or period	2000-2012
1.1.4 Additional map	No
1.1.5 Range map	Yes

### 2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

#### 2.1 Biogeographical Region

#### 2.2 Published sources

#### Mediterranean (MED)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Anna Rita Di Cerbo, Francesco Ficetola, Roberto Sindaco (Societas Herpetologica Italica). Information, unpublished data and experts' judgments have been provided by Anna Rita Di Cerbo, Francesco Ficetola, Roberto Sindaco.

Corti, C., Capula, M., Luiselli, L., Razzetti, E., Sindaco, R., 2011. Fauna d'Italia, vol. XLV, Reptilia. Calderini, Bologna.

De Pous P., Speybroeck J., Bogaerts S., Pasmans F., Beukema W. 2012. A contribution to the atlas of the terrestrial herpetofauna of Sardinia. Herpetology Notes, volume 5: 391-405.

Regione Autonoma della Sardegna - Assessorato Difesa Ambiente , 2012 - "Servizio di monitoraggio dello stato di conservazione degli habitat e delle specie di importanza comunitaria presenti nei siti della Rete Natura 2000 in Sardegna – Linea 4. Redazione del Rapporto sullo stato di conservazione degli habitat e delle specie ".

Regione Autonoma della Sardegna - Assessorato Difesa Ambiente - 2008-2009. "Realizzazione del sistema di monitoraggio dello stato di conservazione degli habitat e delle specie di interesse comunitario della Regione Autonoma della Sardegna".

Rondinini, C., Battistoni, A., Peronace, V., Teofili, C. (compilatori). 2013. Lista Rossa IUCN dei Vertebrati Italiani. Comitato Italiano IUCN e Ministero dell'Ambiente, del Territorio e del Mare, Roma.

Salvi D., Bombi P., 2010. Reptiles of Sardinia: updating the knowledge on their distribution. Acta Herpetologica 5(2): 161-177.

#### 2.3 Range

11/04/2014 13.37.13 Page 1 of 9

ii, iv alid v species (Aliii	iek bj		
<ul> <li>2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)</li> <li>2.3.2 Method - Range surface area</li> <li>2.3.3 Short-term trend period</li> <li>2.3.4 Short-term trend direction</li> <li>2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude</li> <li>2.3.6 Long-term trend period</li> </ul>	183200 Complete survey/Co 2001-2012 stable (0) min	mplete survey or a sta	tistically robust estimate (3)
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction	N/A		
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude	min	max	
2.3.9 Favourable reference range	area (km²) operator unkown method Use of different met	approximately equal No Expert judgement	to (≈)
2.3.10 Reason for change	Use of different met	noa	
2.4 Population			
2.4.1 Population size (individuals or agreed exception)	Unit N/A min	max	
2.4.2 Population size	Unit number of r	map 10x10 km grid cell	ls (grids10x10)
(other than individuals)	min 1419	max 1419	
2.4.3 Additional information	Definition of locality		
	Conversion method Problems		
2.4.4 Year or period	2000-2012		
2.4.5 Method – population size	•	mplete survey or a stat	tistically robust estimate (3)
2.4.6 Short-term trend period	2001-2012		
<ul><li>2.4.7 Short term trend direction</li><li>2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude</li></ul>	stable (0) min	may	confidence interval
2.4.9 Short-term trend magnitude 2.4.10 Long-term trend period		max artial data with some e	extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.4.11 Long term trend direction	N/A		
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude	min	max	confidence interval
2.4.13 Long-term trend method	N/A number		
2.4.14 Favourable reference population		mately equal to (≈)	
	method Expert j	udgement	
2.4.15 Reason for change	Improved knowledge	_	
2.5 Habitat for the Species			
<ul> <li>2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²)</li> <li>2.5.2 Year or period</li> <li>2.5.3 Method used - habitat</li> <li>2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat</li> </ul>	2000-2012 Absent data (0) Good		
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method	dominated ones	ible to a wide range of	habitats, including the human

11/04/2014 13.37.14 Page 2 of 9

2001-2012

stable (0)

2.5.5 Short term trend period

2.5.7 Long-term trend period

2.5.6 Short term trend direction

2.5.8 Long term trend direction 2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)

2.5.10 Reason for change

N/A

Improved knowledge/more accurate data

2.6 Main Pressures		
Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Urbanised areas, human habitation (E01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Roads, paths and railroads (D01)	high importance (H)	N/A
burning down (J01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
removal of stone walls and embankments (A10.02)	low importance (L)	N/A
Restructuring agricultural land holding (A10)	low importance (L)	N/A
removal of hedges and copses or scrub (A10.01)	low importance (L)	N/A
agricultural intensification (A02.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	low importance (L)	N/A
anthropogenic reduction of habitat connectivity (J03.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
2.6.1 Method used – pressures mainly based on ex	pert judgement and other data	(2)

mainly based on expert judgement and other data (2)

2.7	Mai	in T	hrea	ats

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Urbanised areas, human habitation (E01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Roads, paths and railroads (D01)	high importance (H)	N/A
burning down (J01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
removal of stone walls and embankments (A10.02)	low importance (L)	N/A
Restructuring agricultural land holding (A10)	low importance (L)	N/A
removal of hedges and copses or scrub (A10.01)	low importance (L)	N/A
agricultural intensification (A02.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	low importance (L)	N/A
anthropogenic reduction of habitat connectivity (J03.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A

2.7.1 Method used - threats

expert opinion (1)

#### 2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

#### 2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A 2.9.2. Population assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A 2.9.3. Habitat assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A assessment Favourable (FV) 2.9.4. Future prospects qualifiers N/A

> 13.37.14 11/04/2014 Page 3 of 9

2.9.5 Overall assessment of

**Conservation Status** 

2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status

Favourable (FV)

N/A

## 3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

#### 3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size

Unit N/A

min

max

3.1.2 Method used

N/A

3.1.3 Trend of population size within

N/A

#### 3.2 Conversation Measures

## 2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

#### Continental (CON)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Anna Rita Di Cerbo, Francesco Ficetola, Roberto Sindaco (Societas Herpetologica Italica). Information, unpublished data and experts' judgments have been provided by Anna Rita Di Cerbo, Francesco Ficetola, Roberto Sindaco.

Corti, C., Capula, M., Luiselli, L., Razzetti, E., Sindaco, R., 2011. Fauna d'Italia, vol. XLV, Reptilia. Calderini, Bologna.

Rondinini, C., Battistoni, A., Peronace, V., Teofili, C. (compilatori). 2013. Lista Rossa IUCN dei Vertebrati Italiani. Comitato Italiano IUCN e Ministero dell'Ambiente, del Territorio e del Mare, Roma.

#### 2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)

2.3.2 Method - Range surface area

2.3.3 Short-term trend period

2.3.4 Short-term trend direction

2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude

2.3.6 Long-term trend period

2.3.7 Long-term trend direction

2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude

2.3.9 Favourable reference range

73700

Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)

2001-2012

stable (0)

min max

N/A

min max

area (km²)

operator approximately equal to  $(\approx)$ 

unkown No

method Expert judgement

2.3.10 Reason for change

Use of different method

#### 2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size

(individuals or agreed exception)

Unit N/A

min max

11/04/2014 13.37.14 Page 4 of 9

2.4.2 Population size	Unit number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10)
(other than individuals)	min 442 max 442
2.4.3 Additional information	Definition of locality
	Conversion method
	Problems
2.4.4 Year or period	2000-2012
2.4.5 Method – population size	Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)
2.4.6 Short-term trend period	2001-2012
2.4.7 Short term trend direction	stable (0)
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude	min max confidence interval
2.4.9 Short-term trend method	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.4.10 Long-term trend period	
2.4.11 Long term trend direction	N/A
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude	min max confidence interval
2.4.13 Long-term trend method	N/A number
2.4.14 Favourable reference population	operator approximately equal to (≈)
population	unknown No
	method Expert judgement
2.4.15 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data
	improved knowledge/more accurate data
2.5 Habitat for the Species	
2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²)	
2.5.2 Year or period	2000-2012
2.5.3 Method used - habitat	Absent data (0)
2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat	Good
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method	the species is adaptable to a wide range of habitats, including the human dominated ones
2.5.5 Short term trend period	2001-2012
2.5.6 Short term trend direction	stable (0)
2.5.7 Long-term trend period	

#### 2.6 Main Pressures

2.5.10 Reason for change

2.5.8 Long term trend direction

2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
	Talikilig	poliution qualifier(s)
Urbanised areas, human habitation (E01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Roads, paths and railroads (D01)	high importance (H)	N/A
burning down (J01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
removal of stone walls and embankments (A10.02)	low importance (L)	N/A
Restructuring agricultural land holding (A10)	low importance (L)	N/A
removal of hedges and copses or scrub (A10.01)	low importance (L)	N/A
agricultural intensification (A02.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	low importance (L)	N/A

Improved knowledge/more accurate data

N/A

11/04/2014 13.37.14 Page 5 of 9

,	•		
anthropogenic reduction of habitat co	nnectivity (J03.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
2.6.1 Method used – pressures	mainly based on ex	spert judgement and other data	(2)
2.7 Main Threats			
Threat		ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Urbanised areas, human habitation (E	01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Roads, paths and railroads (D01)		high importance (H)	N/A
burning down (J01.01)		medium importance (M)	N/A
removal of stone walls and embankme	ents (A10.02)	low importance (L)	N/A
Restructuring agricultural land holding	g (A10)	low importance (L)	N/A
removal of hedges and copses or scru	b (A10.01)	low importance (L)	N/A
agricultural intensification (A02.01)		high importance (H)	N/A
use of biocides, hormones and chemic	cals (A07)	low importance (L)	N/A
anthropogenic reduction of habitat co	nnectivity (J03.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
2.7.1 Method used – threats	expert opinion (1)		
2.8 Complementary Information			
2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends			
2.8.2 Other relevant Information			

## 2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range	assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A
2.9.2. Population	assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A
2.9.3. Habitat	assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A
2.9.4. Future prospects	assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A
2.9.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status	Favourable (FV)
2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status	N/A

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

## 3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

# 3.1 Population 3.1.1 Population Size Unit N/A min max 3.1.2 Method used 3.1.3 Trend of population size within N/A 3.2 Conversation Measures

11/04/2014 13.37.14 Page 6 of 9

## 2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

#### Alpine (ALP)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Anna Rita Di Cerbo, Francesco Ficetola, Roberto Sindaco (Societas Herpetologica Italica). Information, unpublished data and experts' judgments have been provided by Anna Rita Di Cerbo, Francesco Ficetola, Roberto Sindaco.

Corti, C., Capula, M., Luiselli, L., Razzetti, E., Sindaco, R., 2011. Fauna d'Italia, vol. XLV, Reptilia. Calderini, Bologna.

Rondinini, C., Battistoni, A., Peronace, V., Teofili, C. (compilatori). 2013. Lista Rossa IUCN dei Vertebrati Italiani. Comitato Italiano IUCN e Ministero dell'Ambiente, del Territorio e del Mare, Roma.

#### 2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)

2.3.2 Method - Range surface area

2.3.3 Short-term trend period

2.3.4 Short-term trend direction

2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude

2.3.6 Long-term trend period

2.3.7 Long-term trend direction

2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude

2.3.9 Favourable reference range

7800

Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)

2001-2012 stable (0)

min max

N/A

min max

area (km²)

operator approximately equal to  $(\approx)$ 

unkown

method Expert judgement

2.3.10 Reason for change

Use of different method

#### 2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size

(individuals or agreed exception)

Unit N/A

min max

2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals) Unit number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10)

min 43 max 43

2.4.3 Additional information

**Definition of locality** 

Conversion method

2.4.4 Year or period

2.4.5 Method – population size

2.4.6 Short-term trend period

2.4.7 Short term trend direction

2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude

2.4.9 Short-term trend method

2.4.10 Long-term trend period

2.4.11 Long term trend direction

**Problems** 

2000-2012

Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)

2001-2012

stable (0)

confidence interval min max

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

N/A

11/04/2014 13.37.14 Page 7 of 9

2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude
2.4.13 Long-term trend method
2.4.14 Favourable reference
population

min max confidence interval

N/A number

operator approximately equal to (≈)

unknown No

method Expert judgement

2.4.15 Reason for change Improved knowledge/more accurate data

#### 2.5 Habitat for the Species

2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²)

2.5.2 Year or period

2.5.3 Method used - habitat

2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat

2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method

2.5.5 Short term trend period

2.5.6 Short term trend direction

2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)

2.5.7 Long-term trend period

2.5.8 Long term trend direction

2.5.10 Reason for change

2000-2012

Absent data (0)

Good

the species is adaptable to a wide range of habitats

2001-2012 stable (0)

) 5.0.0.0

N/A

Improved knowledge/more accurate data

261	Main	Pressures	

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Urbanised areas, human habitation (E01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Roads, paths and railroads (D01)	high importance (H)	N/A
burning down (J01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
removal of stone walls and embankments (A10.02)	low importance (L)	N/A
Restructuring agricultural land holding (A10)	low importance (L)	N/A
removal of hedges and copses or scrub (A10.01)	low importance (L)	N/A
agricultural intensification (A02.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	low importance (L)	N/A
anthropogenic reduction of habitat connectivity (J03.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A

2.6.1 Method used – pressures mainly based on expert judgement and other data (2)

#### 2.7 Main Threats

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Urbanised areas, human habitation (E01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Roads, paths and railroads (D01)	high importance (H)	N/A
burning down (J01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
removal of stone walls and embankments (A10.02)	low importance (L)	N/A
Restructuring agricultural land holding (A10)	low importance (L)	N/A
removal of hedges and copses or scrub (A10.01)	low importance (L)	N/A
agricultural intensification (A02.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	low importance (L)	N/A
anthropogenic reduction of habitat connectivity (J03.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A

11/04/2014 13.37.14 Page 8 of 9

2.7.1 Method used – threats	expert opinion (1)	
2.8 Complementary Information		
<ul><li>2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends</li><li>2.8.2 Other relevant Information</li></ul>		
2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment		
2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)		
2.9.1 Range	assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A	
2.9.2. Population	assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A	
2.9.3. Habitat	assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A	
2.9.4. Future prospects	assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A	
2.9.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status	Favourable (FV)	
2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status	N/A	
3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species		
3.1 Population		
3.1.1 Population Size	Unit N/A min max	

N/A

N/A

3.1.2 Method used

3.1.3 Trend of population size within

**3.2 Conversation Measures** 

11/04/2014 13.37.14 Page 9 of 9