| 0.1 Member State                          | IT                     |
|---|------------------------|
| 0.2.1 Species code                        | 1097                   |
| 0.2.2 Species name                        | Lethenteron zanandreai |
| 0.2.3 Alternative species scientific name | Lampetra zanandreai    |
| 0.2.4 Common name                         | lampreda padana        |

#### 1. National Level

#### **1.1 Maps**

| 1.1.1 Distribution Map   | Yes   |
|--------------------------|---|
| 1.1.1a Sensitive species | No  |
| 1.1.2 Method used - map  | Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2) |
| 1.1.3 Year or period     | 1996-2012   |
| 1.1.4 Additional map     | No  |
| 1.1.5 Range map          | Yes   |

#### 2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

#### 2.2 Published sources

#### Continental (CON)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Alessandra Ippoliti, Andrea Sibilia (Associazione Italiana Ittiologi Acque dolci - AIIAD) and Anna Alonzi, Piero Genovesi, Francesca Ronchi (Institute for Environmental Protection and Research - ISPRA). Information, unpublished data and experts' judgments have been provided by Francesco Nonnis Marzano, Massimo Lorenzoni, Giuseppe Maio, Massimo Pascale, Armando Piccinini, Elisabetta Pizzul, Cesare M. Puzzi, Lorenzo Tancioni, Paolo Turin (AIIAD).

Distribution data for the following Nature 2000 sites have been inserted by the Ministry of Environment (source: Italian Nature 2000 database): IT3270017; IT1110064; IT1160036; IT1110014; IT1110079; IT1160003.

Bianco P.G., Giovinazzo G, Lorenzoni M., Mearelli M., 1996. Primo reperto di lampreda di ruscello in un bacino adriatico. Atti 4° Conv. Naz. A.I.I.A.D. "Distribuzione della fauna ittica italiana", Riva del Garda dicembre 1991, Provincia di Trento, Ist. Agrario San Michele all'Adige, 253-258; Dataset ETP 1988-2012. Regione Friuli Venezia Giulia; G.R.A.I.A. Srl, 2000. Carta delle vocazioni ittiche della provincia di Varese. Provincia di Varese, 264 pp.;

G.R.A.I.A. Srl, 2004. Progetto Life-Natura di "Conservazione di Salmo marmoratus e Rutilus pigus nel Fiume Ticino" - Life-nat00/it/7268. Life-Nature Programm, Consorzio Parco Lombardo della Valle del Ticino, Pontevecchio di Magenta (MI). Technical Reports, unpublished documents;

G.R.A.I.A. Srl, 2005. Carta Ittica della Provincia di Brescia - Provincia di Brescia, settore Caccia e Pesca. Provincia di Brescia, 468 pp.;

G.R.A.I.A. Srl, 2006. Progetto di "Conservazione di Acipenser naccarii nel Fiume Ticino e nel medio corso del Po" - Life-nat03/it/000113. Autorità di Bacino del Fiume Po, Parma. Technical Report, unpublished document;

G.R.A.I.A. Srl, 2007. Aggiornamento della Carta delle Vocazioni Ittiche della Provincia di Milano. Amministrazione Provinciale di Milano. Technical Report, unpublished document;

G.R.A.I.A. Srl, 2007. Carta Ittica del Fiume Po. Autorità di Bacino del Fiume Po,

09/04/2014 15.12.43 Page 1 of 8

Parma. Technical Report, unpublished document;

Lombardi C., 2002. Carta provinciale delle vocazioni ittiche. Provincia di Cremona, Settore Agricoltura, Caccia e Pesca, 400 pp.;

Lorenzoni M., Ghetti L., Carosi A., Dolciami R., 2010, La fauna ittica e i corsi d'acqua dell'Umbria. Sintesi delle Carte Ittiche regionali dal 1986 al 2009. Petruzzi Editore, Perugia. 288 pp.;

Marconato E., Maio G., Salviati S., 2000. La fauna ittica della Provincia di Venezia. Provincia di Venezia, Ass. Caccia, Pesca e Polizia Provinciale, 176 pp.;

Marconi M., 2010. Carta Ittica della Provincia di Macerata - Acque di Categoria "B - C". Technical Report, published on internet. 73 pp.;

Provincia di Bergamo, 2001. Carta Ittica della provincia di Bergamo. Provincia di Bergamo, 150 pp.;

Provincia di Treviso, 2012. Carta ittica della Provincia di Treviso, aggiornamento 2008-2010. Rapporto tecnico pubblicato sul web. 181 pp.;

Provincia di Verona, 2008. Carta Ittica della Provincia di Verona. Rapporto tecnico pubblicato sul web. 210 pp.;

Provincia di Vicenza, 2012. Aggiornamenti della Carta Ittica della Provincia di Vicenza;

Puzzi C., 2003. Progetto di reintroduzione del Temolo nel Fiume Ticino. Provincia di Pavia. Technical Report, unpublished document;

Puzzi C.M., Monicelli F., Trasforini S., Riva M., Gentili G., 2001. Carta ittica della Provincia di Mantova. Provincia di Mantova. Società G.R.A.I.A. srl . Technical Report, unpublished document;

Turin P., Locatelli R., 2010 "Carta Ittica – Aggiornamento dello stato delle conoscenze sui popolamenti ittici della Provincia di Padova". Ed. Provincia di Padova, 332 pp;

Turin P., Zanetti M., Caudullo G., Tioli S., Tuzzato B., Mazzetti G., Patroncini D., Turrin D., Zocca A. 2008 - Presenza e distribuzione delle specie ittiche di interesse comunitario nelle acque interne del Veneto, in relazione alle aree SIC. In M. Bon, L. Bonato, F. Scarton (eds.), 2008. Atti 5° Convegno Faunisti Veneti. Boll. Mus. Civ. St. Nat. Venezia, suppl. al vol. 58, pp. 368.

#### 2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)

2.3.2 Method - Range surface area

2.3.3 Short-term trend period

2.3.4 Short-term trend direction

2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude

2.3.6 Long-term trend period

2.3.7 Long-term trend direction

2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude

2.3.9 Favourable reference range

24600

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2001-2012

decrease (-)

min max

1989-2012 decrease (-)

min max

area (km²)

operator much more than (>>)

unkown No

method Expert opinion

2.3.10 Reason for change

Improved knowledge/more accurate dataUse of different method

#### 2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size

(individuals or agreed exception)

Unit N/A

min max

09/04/2014 15.12.43 Page 2 of 8

| 2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals)   | Unit number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10) min 64 max 64   |
|--|---|
| 2.4.3 Additional information   | Definition of locality  |
|  | Conversion method not available   |
|  | Problems it's not possible to convert grids into individuals  |
| 2.4.4 Year or period   | 1996-2012   |
| 2.4.5 Method – population size   | Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)                                       |
| 2.4.6 Short-term trend period  | 2001-2012   |
| 2.4.7 Short term trend direction   | decrease (-)  |
| <ul><li>2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude</li><li>2.4.9 Short-term trend method</li><li>2.4.10 Long-term trend period</li></ul> | min max confidence interval Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2) 1989-2012 |
| 2.4.11 Long term trend direction   | decrease (-)  |
| 2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude   | min max confidence interval   |
| 2.4.13 Long-term trend method  | Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)                                       |
| 2.4.14 Favourable reference  | number  |
| population   | operator much more than (>>)  |
|  | unknown No  |
|  | method Expert opinion   |
| 2.4.15 Reason for change   | Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method   |

#### 2.5 Habitat for the Species

| 2.3 Habitat for the species          |                       |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²)   |                       |
| 2.5.2 Year or period                 |                       |
| 2.5.3 Method used - habitat          | Absent data (0)       |
| 2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat          | Moderate              |
| 2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method | <b>Expert opinion</b> |
| 2.5.5 Short term trend period        | 2001-2012             |
| 2.5.6 Short term trend direction     | decrease (-)          |
| 2.5.7 Long-term trend period         | 1989-2012             |
| 2.5.8 Long term trend direction      | decrease (-)          |
| 2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²) |                       |

Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

| 2.0 IVIAIII FIESSUIES | 2.6 เ | Mai | n I | Pressures |
|-----------------------|-------|-----|-----|-----------|
|-----------------------|-------|-----|-----|-----------|

2.5.10 Reason for change

| Pressure  | ranking               | pollution qualifier(s) |
|---|-----------------------|------------------------|
| Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01) | high importance (H)   | N/A                    |
| dredging/ removal of limnic sediments (J02.02.01)                           | high importance (H)   | N/A                    |
| modifying structures of inland water courses (J02.05.02)                    | medium importance (M) | N/A                    |
| Water abstractions from surface waters (J02.06)                             | high importance (H)   | N/A                    |
| Water abstractions from groundwater (J02.07)                                | medium importance (M) | N/A                    |
| reduction or loss of specific habitat features (J03.01)                     | high importance (H)   | N/A                    |
| droughts and less precipitations (M01.02)                                   | medium importance (M) | N/A                    |

2.6.1 Method used – pressures mainly based on expert judgement and other data (2)

09/04/2014 15.12.43 Page 3 of 8

| 2.7 Main Threats  |                       |                        |
|---|-----------------------|------------------------|
| Threat  | ranking               | pollution qualifier(s) |
| Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01) | high importance (H)   | N/A                    |
| dredging/ removal of limnic sediments (J02.02.01)                           | high importance (H)   | N/A                    |
| modifying structures of inland water courses (J02.05.02)                    | medium importance (M) | N/A                    |
| Water abstractions from surface waters (J02.06)                             | high importance (H)   | N/A                    |
| Water abstractions from groundwater (J02.07)                                | medium importance (M) | N/A                    |
| reduction or loss of specific habitat features (J03.01)                     | high importance (H)   | N/A                    |
| droughts and less precipitations (M01.02)                                   | medium importance (M) | N/A                    |
|   |                       |                        |

2.7.1 Method used – threats

expert opinion (1)

#### 2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

#### 2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range assessment Bad (U2) qualifiers N/A

2.9.2. Population assessment Bad (U2) qualifiers N/A

2.9.3. Habitat assessment Inadequate (U1)

qualifiers N/A

2.9.4. Future prospects assessment Bad (U2) qualifiers N/A

2.9.5 Overall assessment of Bad (U2)

**Conservation Status** 

2.9.5 Overall trend in de

**Conservation Status** 

declining (-)

### 3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

#### 3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size Unit N/A

min max

3.1.2 Method used Absent data (0)

3.1.3 Trend of population size within N/A

**3.2 Conversation Measures** 

3.2.1 Measure 3.2.2 Type 3.2.3 Ranking 3.2.4 Location 3.2.5 Broad Evaluation

Other wetland-related One-off high importance Both Long term

Other wetland-related One-off high importance Both Long term measures (4.0) (H)

09/04/2014 15.12.43 Page 4 of 8

| Restoring/improving water quality (4.1)                               | Legal<br>Administrative<br>Recurrent   | low importance<br>(L)  | Both | Not evaluated |
|---|--|------------------------|------|---------------|
| Managing water abstraction (4.3)                                      | Legal<br>Administrative<br>Recurrent   | low importance<br>(L)  | Both | Not evaluated |
| Other species management measures (7.0)                               | Administrative                         | high importance<br>(H) | Both | Long term     |
| Regulation/ Management of hunting and taking (7.1)                    | Administrative<br>Recurrent<br>One-off | low importance<br>(L)  | Both | Not evaluated |
| Regulating/Management exploitation of natural resources on land (9.1) | Legal<br>Administrative<br>Recurrent   | low importance<br>(L)  | Both | Not evaluated |

### 2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

#### Alpine (ALP)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Alessandra Ippoliti, Andrea Sibilia (Associazione Italiana Ittiologi Acque dolci - AIIAD) and Anna Alonzi, Piero Genovesi, Francesca Ronchi (Institute for Environmental Protection and Research - ISPRA). Information, unpublished data and experts' judgments have been provided by Francesco Nonnis Marzano, Massimo Lorenzoni, Giuseppe Maio, Massimo Pascale, Armando Piccinini, Elisabetta Pizzul, Cesare M. Puzzi, Lorenzo Tancioni, Paolo Turin (AIIAD).

Distribution data for the following Nature 2000 sites have been inserted by the Ministry of Environment (source: Italian Nature 2000 database): IT3120053; IT1110057; IT3110051; IT3110013; IT3110002.

Badino G., Lodi E., Forneris G., Carta Ittica - Bacino della Dora Baltea, II fase. Regione autonoma VAL, 1997;

G.R.A.I.A. Srl, 2000. Carta delle vocazioni ittiche della provincia di Varese. Provincia di Varese, 264 pp.;

G.R.A.I.A. Srl, 2005. Carta Ittica della Provincia di Brescia - Provincia di Brescia, settore Caccia e Pesca. Provincia di Brescia, 468 pp.;

Piccola guida ittiofauna dei biotopi della provincia di Trento, Carta ittica provincia di Trento, Monitoraggi ad hoc riserve naturali provinciali;

Provincia di Bergamo, 2001. Carta Ittica della provincia di Bergamo. Provincia di Bergamo, 150 pp.;

Provincia di Verona, 2008. Carta Ittica della Provincia di Verona. Rapporto tecnico pubblicato sul web. 210 pp.;

Regione Piemonte, 2009. Ittiofauna del Piemonte (anno di monitoraggio 2009) - Testo di illustrazione dei parametri fisiogeografici relativi agli ambienti fluviali ed allo stato delle popolazioni ittiche - tabella riassuntiva dati.xls. Technical Report, published on internet;

Tortonese E., 1974, Le svertebrés de la Vallée d'Aoste. Revue des espèfces et notes. Bull Soc Flore vald., 28: 68-81

Turin P., Zanetti M., Caudullo G., Tioli S., Tuzzato B., Mazzetti G., Patroncini D., Turrin D., Zocca A. 2008 - Presenza e distribuzione delle specie ittiche di interesse comunitario nelle acque interne del Veneto, in relazione alle aree SIC. In M. Bon, L. Bonato, F. Scarton (eds.), 2008. Atti 5° Convegno Faunisti Veneti. Boll. Mus.

09/04/2014 15.12.43 Page 5 of 8

Civ. St. Nat. Venezia, suppl. al vol. 58, pp. 368; Ufficio Caccia e Pesca della Provincia Autonoma di Bolzano; Zanetti M., Turin P., Grava Vanin B., Bilò M.F., Rossi V., Guerra D., Loro R., 2000. Carta ittica della Provincia di Belluno. Prov. Belluno, Ass. Pesca e Tutela delle Acque, 287 pp.

#### 2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)

2.3.2 Method - Range surface area

2.3.3 Short-term trend period

2.3.4 Short-term trend direction

2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude

2.3.6 Long-term trend period

2.3.7 Long-term trend direction

2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude

2.3.9 Favourable reference range

13200

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2001-2012

decrease (-)

min max

1989-2012

decrease (-)

min max

area (km²)

operator more than (>)

unkown

method **Expert opinion** 

2.3.10 Reason for change

Improved knowledge/more accurate dataUse of different method

35

#### 2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size

(individuals or agreed exception)

Unit N/A

min max

2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals) Unit number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10)

min 35 max

2.4.3 Additional information

**Definition of locality** 

Conversion method not available

**Problems** it's not possible to convert grids into individuals

2.4.4 Year or period

2.4.5 Method – population size

2.4.6 Short-term trend period

2.4.7 Short term trend direction

2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude

2.4.9 Short-term trend method

2.4.10 Long-term trend period

2.4.11 Long term trend direction

2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude

2.4.13 Long-term trend method

2.4.14 Favourable reference

population

1997-2009

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2001-2012

decrease (-)

min

confidence interval max

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

1989-2012

decrease (-)

confidence interval max Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

number

more than (>) operator

unknown No

method **Expert opinion** 

2.4.15 Reason for change

Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

#### 2.5 Habitat for the Species

2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²)

2.5.2 Year or period

09/04/2014 15.12.43 Page 6 of 8

| 2.5.3 Method used - habitat          | Absent data (0) |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------|
| 2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat          | Moderate        |
| 2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method | Expert opinion  |
| 2.5.5 Short term trend period        | 2001-2012       |
| 2.5.6 Short term trend direction     | decrease (-)    |
| 2.5.7 Long-term trend period         | 1989-2012       |
| 2.5.8 Long term trend direction      | decrease (-)    |
| 2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²) |                 |
|                                      |                 |

2.5.10 Reason for change

Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

| 2.3.10 Reason for change improved knowledge/more accurate data ose of different method |                      |                               |                        |  |
|--|----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|--|
| 2.6 Main Pressures   |                      |                               |                        |  |
| Pressure   |                      | ranking                       | pollution qualifier(s) |  |
| Fishing and harvesting aquatic resource  | ces (F02)            | medium importance (M)         | N/A                    |  |
| Pollution to surface waters (limnic & to brackish) (H01)                               | errestrial, marine & | high importance (H)           | N/A                    |  |
| infilling of ditches, dykes, ponds, pools (J02.01.03)                                  | s, marshes or pits   | high importance (H)           | N/A                    |  |
| dredging/ removal of limnic sediments  | s (J02.02.01)        | medium importance (M)         | N/A                    |  |
| modifying structures of inland water c   | ourses (J02.05.02)   | high importance (H)           | N/A                    |  |
| Water abstractions from surface wate   | rs (J02.06)          | high importance (H)           | N/A                    |  |
| dykes and flooding defence in inland v (J02.12.02)                                     | vater systems        | medium importance (M)         | N/A                    |  |
| reduction or loss of specific habitat fea  | atures (J03.01)      | high importance (H)           | N/A                    |  |
| 2.6.1 Method used – pressures  | mainly based on exp  | pert judgement and other data | (2)                    |  |
| 2.7 Main Threats   |                      |                               |                        |  |
| Threat   |                      | ranking                       | pollution qualifier(s) |  |
| Fishing and harvesting aquatic resource  | ces (F02)            | medium importance (M)         | N/A                    |  |
| Pollution to surface waters (limnic & to brackish) (H01)                               | errestrial, marine & | high importance (H)           | N/A                    |  |
| infilling of ditches, dykes, ponds, pools (J02.01.03)                                  | s, marshes or pits   | high importance (H)           | N/A                    |  |
| dredging/ removal of limnic sediments  | s (J02.02.01)        | medium importance (M)         | N/A                    |  |
| modifying structures of inland water c   | ourses (J02.05.02)   | high importance (H)           | N/A                    |  |
| Water abstractions from surface wate   | rs (J02.06)          | high importance (H)           | N/A                    |  |

| (J02.12.02)   |                     |     |
|---|---------------------|-----|
| reduction or loss of specific habitat features (J03.01) | high importance (H) | N/A |
|   |                     |     |

expert opinion (1)

### 2.7.1 Method used – threats2.8 Complementary Information

dykes and flooding defence in inland water systems

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

09/04/2014 15.12.43 Page 7 of 8

medium importance (M)

N/A

#### 2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range assessment Inadequate (U1) qualifiers N/A 2.9.2. Population assessment Inadequate (U1) qualifiers N/A 2.9.3. Habitat assessment Inadequate (U1) qualifiers N/A 2.9.4. Future prospects assessment Inadequate (U1) qualifiers N/A 2.9.5 Overall assessment of Inadequate (U1) **Conservation Status** 2.9.5 Overall trend in declining (-) **Conservation Status** 

and species (6.3)

### 3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

#### 3.1 Population 3.1.1 Population Size Unit N/A min max 3.1.2 Method used Absent data (0) 3.1.3 Trend of population size within N/A 3.2 Conversation Measures 3.2.1 Measure 3.2.4 Location 3.2.5 Broad Evaluation 3.2.3 Ranking 3.2.2 Type Restoring/improving water Legal medium **Both** Maintain quality (4.1) Recurrent importance (M) Unknown Restoring/improving the **Enhance** Recurrent high importance Both hydrological regime (4.2) (H) **Both** Managing water Recurrent medium Maintain abstraction (4.3) importance (M) Legal protection of habitats Legal medium Both Not evaluated

importance (M)

09/04/2014 15.12.43 Page 8 of 8