NATIONAL LEVEL				
1. General information				
1.1 Member State	ІТ			
1.2 Species code	1064			
1.3 Species scientific name	Fabriciana elisa			
1.4 Alternative species scientific name	Argynnis elisa			
1.5 Common name (in national language)				

2. Maps

2.1 Sensitive species	No
2.2 Year or period	2013-2018
2.3 Distribution map	Yes
2.4 Distribution map Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data
2.5 Additional maps	No

3. Information related to Annex V Species (Art. 14)

or information related to runiex v openes (run 14)				
3.1 Is the species taken in the wild/exploited?	No			
3.2 Which of the measures in Art. 14 have been taken?	a) regulations regarding access to propertyb) temporary or local prohibition of the taking of specimens in the wild and exploitation			
	c) regulation of the periods and/or methods of taking specimens	No		
	d) application of hunting and fishing rules which take account of the conservation of such populations	No		
	e) establishment of a system of licences for taking specimens or of quotas	No		
	f) regulation of the purchase, sale, offering for sale, keeping for sale or transport for sale of specimens	No		
	g) breeding in captivity of animal species as well as artificial propagation of plant species	No		
	h) other measures	No		

16/07/2019 Page 1 of 6 14:28:08

3.3 Hunting bag or quantity taken in the wild for Mammals and Acipenseridae (Fish) a) Unit

b) Statistics/ quantity taken	Provide statistics/quantity per hunting season or per year (where season is not used) over the reporting period					
	Season/	Season/	Season/	Season/	Season/	Season/
	year 1	year 2	year 3	year 4	year 5	year 6
Min. (raw, ie. not rounded)						
Max. (raw, ie. not rounded)						
Unknown	No	No	No	No	No	No

3.4. Hunting bag or quantity taken in the wild Method used

3.5. Additional information

BIOGEOGRAPHICAL LEVEL

4. Biogeographical and marine regions

4.1 Biogeographical or marine region where the species occurs

4.2 Sources of information

Mediterranean (MED)

The database of invertebrates was compiled by the Unione Zoologica Italiana (UZI) under the guidance of Pietro Brandmayr and Marzio Zapparoli and with the technical contribution of Luigi Cao Pinna.

The present assessment was compiled by Simona Bonelli and Emilio Balletto. Information, unpublished data and experts' judgments have been provided also by Andrea Battisti, Gabaglio Matteo. Technical assistance was provided by Michele Zaccagno, Irene Piccini, MariaVirginia Boiani e Michela Audisio.

·Balletto, E., Cassulo, L. A., & Bonelli, S. (2014). An annotated checklist of the Italian butterflies and skippers (Papilionoidea, Hesperiioidea). Zootaxa, 3853(1), 1-114.

·Bonelli, S., Casacci, L. P., Barbero, F., Cerrato, C., Dapporto, L., Sbordoni, V., Scalercio, S., Zilli, A., Battistoni, A., Teofili, C., Rondinini, C., & Balletto, E. (2018). The first red list of Italian butterflies. Insect Conservation and Diversity, 11, 506–521.

5. Range

5.1 Surface area

5900

5.2 Short-term trend Period

2007-2018 Stable (0)

5.3 Short-term trend Direction

a) Minimum

b) Maximum

5.4 Short-term trend Magnitude5.5 Short-term trend Method used

Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data

16/07/2019 14:28:08 Page 2 of 6

5.6 Long-term trend Period
5.7 Long-term trend Direction
5.8 Long-term trend Magnitude
5.9 Long-term trend Method used
5.10 Favourable reference range

a) Minimum b) Maximum

Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data

a) Area (km²)

Stable (0)

b) Operator Approximately equal to (≈)

c) Unknownd) Method

5.11 Change and reason for change in surface area of range

No change

The change is mainly due to:

5.12 Additional information

6. Population

6.1 Year or period 2012-2018

6.2 Population size (in reporting unit)

a) Unit number of map 1x1 km grid cells (grids1x1)

b) Minimum

c) Maximum

d) Best single value 27

6.3 Type of estimate

Best estimate

6.4 Additional population size (using population unit other than reporting unit)

a) Unit

b) Minimum

c) Maximum

d) Best single value

6.5 Type of estimate

6.6 Population size Method used

Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate

6.7 Short-term trend Period

2007-2018

6.8 Short-term trend Direction

Stable (0)

6.9 Short-term trend Magnitude

a) Minimum

b) Maximum

c) Confidence interval

6.10 Short-term trend Method used

Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data

6.11 Long-term trend Period6.12 Long-term trend Direction

Stable (0)

6.13 Long-term trend Magnitude

a) Minimum

b) Maximum

c) Confidence interval

6.14 Long-term trend Method used

Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data

16/07/2019 14:28:08 Page 3 of 6

- 6.15 Favourable reference population (using the unit in 6.2 or 6.4)
- a) Population size
- b) Operator
- Approximately equal to (≈)
- c) Unknown
- d) Method
- 6.16 Change and reason for change in population size
- No change

The change is mainly due to:

6.17 Additional information

7. Habitat for the species

7.1 Sufficiency of area and quality of occupied habitat

a) Are area and quality of occupied habitat sufficient (for long-term survival)?

Yes

b) Is there a sufficiently large area of unoccupied habitat of suitable quality (for long-term survival)?

- 7.2 Sufficiency of area and quality of occupied habitat Method used
- Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data
- 7.3 Short-term trend Period
- 2007-2018
- 7.4 Short-term trend Direction
- Stable (0)
- 7.5 Short-term trend Method used
- Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data
- 7.6 Long-term trend Period
- 7.7 Long-term trend Direction
- 7.8 Long-term trend Method used
- 7.9 Additional information

8. Main pressures and threats

8.1 Characterisation of pressures/threats

Pressure	Ranking
Abandonment of grassland management (e.g. cessation of grazing or mowing) (A06)	M
Threat	Ranking
Abandonment of grassland management (e.g. cessation of grazing or mowing) (A06)	M

- 8.2 Sources of information
- 8.3 Additional information

9. Conservation measures

- 9.1 Status of measures
- a) Are measures needed?

No

b) Indicate the status of measures

16/07/2019 14:28:08 Page 4 of 6

- 9.2 Main purpose of the measures taken
- 9.3 Location of the measures taken
- 9.4 Response to the measures
- 9.5 List of main conservation measures

9.6 Additional information

10. Future prospects

10.1 Future prospects of parameters

- a) Range Good
- b) Population Good
- c) Habitat of the species Good

10.2 Additional information

11. Conclusions

- 11.2. Population Favourable (FV)
- 11.3. Habitat for the species Favourable (FV)
- 11.4. Future prospects Favourable (FV)
- 11.5 Overall assessment of Favourable (FV)
 Conservation Status
- 11.6 Overall trend in Conservation
 Status
- 11.7 Change and reasons for change in conservation status and conservation status trend

Stable (=)

a) Overall assessment of conservation status

No change

The change is mainly due to:

b) Overall trend in conservation status

No change

The change is mainly due to:

11.8 Additional information

12.2 Type of estimate

12. Natura 2000 (pSCIs, SCIs and SACs) coverage for Annex II species

- 12.1 Population size inside the pSCIs, SCIs and SACs network (on the biogeographical/marine level including all sites where the species is present)
- a) Unit
- b) Minimum
- c) Maximum
- d) Best single value

16/07/2019 14:28:08 Page 5 of 6

12.3 Population size inside the network Method used

12.4 Short-term trend of population size within the network Direction

12.5 Short-term trend of population size within the network Method used

12.6 Additional information

13. Complementary information

13.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

13.2 Trans-boundary assessment

13.3 Other relevant Information

16/07/2019 14:28:08 Page 6 of 6