0.1 Member State	IT
0.2.1 Species code	1103
0.2.2 Species name	Alosa fallax
0.2.3 Alternative species scientific name	N/A
0.2.4 Common name	cheppia

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map
1.1.1a Sensitive species
1.1.2 Method used - map
1.1.3 Year or period
2000-2012
1.1.4 Additional map
1.1.5 Range map
Yes
No
Yes
No
Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
No
Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

Mediterranean (MED)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Alessandra Ippoliti, Andrea Sibilia (Associazione Italiana Ittiologi Acque dolci - AIIAD) and Anna Alonzi, Piero Genovesi, Francesca Ronchi (Institute for Environmental Protection and Research - ISPRA). Information, unpublished data and experts' judgments have been provided by Francesco Nonnis Marzano, Massimo Lorenzoni, Giuseppe Maio, Massimo Pascale, Armando Piccinini, Elisabetta Pizzul, Cesare M. Puzzi, Lorenzo Tancioni, Paolo Turin (AIIAD).

A.A.V.V., 2007. Carta ittica di 1° livello dei principali bacini idrografici della provincia di Cagliari 98 pp.

Bernardi L., Favilli L., Manganelli G., Nelli L., Piazzini S., Querci G., Radi M., Leonzio C., 2004. Carta delle Vocazioni Ittiofaunistiche della Provincia di Grosseto. Dipartimento Scienze Ambientali, Università di Siena -

Amministrazione Provinciale di Grosseo. 4 vol.

Cau A. (1996). Acque a salmonidi e ciprinidi. Relazione tecnica. Regione della Sardegna. Università degli studi di Cagliari, Dipartimento di Biologia Animale ed Ecologia, 180 pp;

Dati progetti GRUND e MEDITS, GSA 9, Unità Operative 2 (ARPAT9 e 3 (CIBM); G.R.A.I.A. Srl, 2011. Carta Ittica della Provincia di Olbia Tempio. Provincia di Olbia-Tempio, 191 pp;

Nocita A., 2012. Indagine relativa ad alcune specie appartenenti alla fauna ittica d'acqua dolce: analisi della presenza e consistenza di Lampetra fluviatilis, Alosa fallax, Leuciscus lucumonis, Barbus plebejus, Barbus tyberinus, con particolare riferimento al Bacino dell'Arno. Museo di Storia Naturale dell'Università di Firenze. Inedito;

Nocita A., Busatto T., Maio G., Bonaretti R., 2010. Carta Ittica della Provincia di Pisa, Amministrazione provinciale di Pisa pp. 228;

Provincia di Livorno, 2010. Carta Ittica delle acque interne della Provincia di Livorno. Provincia di Livorno, 199 pp.

Regione Autonoma della Sardegna - Assessorato Difesa Ambiente , 2012 - "Servizio di monitoraggio dello stato di conservazione degli habitat e delle specie di importanza comunitaria presenti nei siti della Rete Natura 2000 in Sardegna.

09/04/2014 12.19.30 Page 1 of 8

Regione Liguria, 2008, Carta della Biodiversità, www.ambienteinliguria.it;

Report 2006 Regione Abruzzo;

Report 2006 Regione Basilicata;

Report 2006 Regione Campania;

Report 2006 Regione Molise;

Sarrocco S., Maio G., Celauro e Tancioni L., 2012. Carta della Biodiversità ittica

delle acque correnti del Lazio. Edizioni ARP, Roma, 194;

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²) 19700

2.3.2 Method - Range surface area Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2.3.3 Short-term trend period

2.3.4 Short-term trend direction decrease (-)

2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude min max

2.3.6 Long-term trend period

1989-2012 decrease (-)

operator

2001-2012

2.3.7 Long-term trend direction 2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude

min max

2.3.9 Favourable reference range

area (km²)

much more than (>>) unkown

method **Expert opinion**

2.3.10 Reason for change

Improved knowledge/more accurate dataUse of different method

2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size Unit N/A

(individuals or agreed exception) min max

2.4.2 Population size Unit

number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10) (other than individuals) 69 69 min max

2.4.3 Additional information

Definition of locality

Conversion method not available

Problems it's not possible to convert grids into individuals

2.4.4 Year or period

2000-2012

2.4.5 Method – population size Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2.4.6 Short-term trend period

2001-2012 decrease (-)

2.4.7 Short term trend direction 2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude

min confidence interval max

2.4.9 Short-term trend method

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2.4.10 Long-term trend period

1989-2012 decrease (-)

2.4.11 Long term trend direction 2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude

confidence interval min max

2.4.13 Long-term trend method

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2.4.14 Favourable reference

population

number

operator much more than (>>)

unknown No

method **Expert opinion**

2.4.15 Reason for change Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

2.5 Habitat for the Species

09/04/2014 12.19.30 Page 2 of 8

2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²)	
2.5.2 Year or period	
2.5.3 Method used - habitat	Absent data (0)
2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat	Moderate
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method	Expert opinion
2.5.5 Short term trend period	2001-2012
2.5.6 Short term trend direction	decrease (-)
2.5.7 Long-term trend period	1989-2012
2.5.8 Long term trend direction	decrease (-)
2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)	
2.5.10 Reason for change	Improved knowl

Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

2.6 Main Pressures		
Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
surface water abstractions for agriculture (J02.06.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
anthropogenic reduction of habitat connectivity (J03.02)	high importance (H)	N/A
reduction in migration/ migration barriers (J03.02.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
Sand and gravel extraction (C01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Fishing and harvesting aquatic resources (F02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
invasive non-native species (I01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
predation (K03.04)	medium importance (M)	N/A
human induced changes in hydraulic conditions (J02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Water abstractions from surface waters (J02.06)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Leisure fishing (F02.03)	low importance (L)	N/A

2.6.1 Method used – pressures	mainly based on expert judgement and other data (2)
-------------------------------	---

2.7 Main Threats		
Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
anthropogenic reduction of habitat connectivity (J03.02)	high importance (H)	N/A
reduction in migration/ migration barriers (J03.02.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
Sand and gravel extraction (C01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
problematic native species (IO2)	medium importance (M)	N/A
invasive non-native species (IO1)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Fishing and harvesting aquatic resources (F02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
human induced changes in hydraulic conditions (J02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
modifying structures of inland water courses (J02.05.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Water abstractions from surface waters (J02.06)	medium importance (M)	N/A
2.7.1 Method used – threats expert oninion (1)		

2.7.1 Method used – threats expert opinion (1)

2.8 Complementary Information

09/04/2014 12.19.30 Page 3 of 8

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

The efforts made in the last years for the defragmentation of the hydrographic net of Northern Italy will enlarge the species' range in the short term, improving the general status of Alosa fallax.

In particular, the future realization of a fishpass at Isola Serafini Dam will remove the main barrier for the fish migration.

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range assessment Bad (U2)

qualifiers N/A
2.9.2. Population assessment Bad (U2)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.3. Habitat assessment Inadequate (U1)

qualifiers N/A

2.9.4. Future prospects assessment Inadequate (U1)

qualifiers N/A Bad (U2)

2.9.5 Overall assessment of

Conservation Status

2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status

improving (+)

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size Unit N/A

min max

3.1.2 Method used Absent data (0)

3.1.3 Trend of population size within N/A

3.2 Conversation Measures

3.2.1 Measure	3.2.2 Type	3.2.3 Ranking	3.2.4 Location	3.2.5 Broad Evaluation
Establish protected areas/sites (6.1)	Legal	high importance (H)	Both	Not evaluated
Regulation/ Management of fishery in marine and brackish systems (7.3)	Legal	high importance (H)	Both	Not evaluated
Legal protection of habitat and species (6.3)	s Administrative	medium importance (M)	Inside	Maintain Long term

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

Continental (CON)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Alessandra Ippoliti, Andrea Sibilia (Associazione Italiana Ittiologi Acque dolci - AIIAD) and Anna Alonzi, Piero Genovesi, Francesca Ronchi (Institute for Environmental Protection and Research - ISPRA). Information, unpublished data and experts' judgments have been provided by Francesco Nonnis Marzano, Massimo

09/04/2014 12.19.30 Page 4 of 8

Lorenzoni, Giuseppe Maio, Massimo Pascale, Armando Piccinini, Elisabetta Pizzul, Cesare M. Puzzi, Lorenzo Tancioni, Paolo Turin (AIIAD).

Distribution data for the following Nature 2000 sites have been inserted by the Ministry of Environment (source: Italian Nature 2000 database): IT3340007; IT3250030 IT20B0010; IT20B0006

Castaldelli G. & Rossi R., 2008. Carta ittica dell'Emilia-Romagna Zone B e A. Regione Emilia-Romagna, 324 pp.;

Dataset ETP 1988-2012. Regione Friuli Venezia Giulia;

G.R.A.I.A. Srl, 2007. Carta Ittica del Fiume Po. Autorità di Bacino del Fiume Po, Parma. Technical Report, unpublished document;

Lorenzoni M., Esposito L, 2012. Carta Ittica delle Marche. Pubblicato sul web. 631

Mappatura effettuata mediante GIS attraverso la georeferenziazione su griglia UE 10 km delle segnalazioni archiviate sulla Banca Dati Regionale (aggiornamento al 2010);

Marconato E., Maio G., Salviati S., 2000. La fauna ittica della Provincia di Venezia. Provincia di Venezia, Ass. Caccia, Pesca e Polizia Provinciale, 176 pp;

Provincia di Verona, 2008. Carta Ittica della Provincia di Verona. Rapporto tecnico pubblicato sul web. 210 pp;

Puzzi C.M., Monicelli F., Trasforini S., Riva M., Gentili G., 2001. Carta ittica della Provincia di Mantova. Provincia di Mantova. Società G.R.A.I.A. srl. Technical Report, unpublished document;

Regione Emilia-Romagna, 2006. Carta ittica dell'Emilia-Romagna Zona "C". Regione Emilia-Romagna, 160 pp.

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)

2.3.2 Method - Range surface area

2.3.3 Short-term trend period

2.3.4 Short-term trend direction

2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude

2.3.6 Long-term trend period

2.3.7 Long-term trend direction

2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude

2.3.9 Favourable reference range

14500

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2001-2012

decrease (-)

min max

1989-2012 decrease (-)

min max

area (km²)

much more than (>>) operator

unkown No

method **Expert opinion**

2.3.10 Reason for change

Improved knowledge/more accurate dataUse of different method

2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size

(individuals or agreed exception)

Unit N/A

min max

2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals) Unit number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10)

min 50 max 50

2.4.3 Additional information

Definition of locality

Conversion method not available

Problems it's not possible to convert grids into individuals

09/04/2014 12.19.30 Page 5 of 8

 2.4.4 Year or period 2.4.5 Method – population size 2.4.6 Short-term trend period 2.4.7 Short term trend direction 	2000-2012 Estimate based on p 2001-2012 decrease (-)	partial data with so	me extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude2.4.9 Short-term trend method2.4.10 Long-term trend period2.4.11 Long term trend direction	min	max partial data with so	confidence interval me extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude 2.4.13 Long-term trend method 2.4.14 Favourable reference population	min Estimate based on p number	max partial data with some than (>>)	confidence interval me extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.4.15 Reason for change		copinion ge/more accurate d	ata Use of different method

2.5 Habitat for the Species

2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²)

2.5.2 Year or period

2.5.3 Method used - habitat

2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat

2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method

2.5.5 Short term trend period

2.5.6 Short term trend direction

2.5.7 Long-term trend period

2.5.8 Long term trend direction

2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)

2.5.10 Reason for change

Absent data (0)

Moderate

Expert opinion

2001-2012

decrease (-)

1989-2012

decrease (-)

Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

2.6 Main Pressures

ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
high importance (H)	N/A
high importance (H)	N/A
high importance (H)	N/A
medium importance (M)	N/A
low importance (L)	N/A
	high importance (H) high importance (H) high importance (H) medium importance (M)

2.6.1 Method used – pressures mainly based on expert judgement and other data (2)

2.7 Main Threats

09/04/2014 12.19.30 Page 6 of 8

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
anthropogenic reduction of habitat connectivity (J03.02)	high importance (H)	N/A
reduction in migration/ migration barriers (J03.02.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
Sand and gravel extraction (C01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Fishing and harvesting aquatic resources (F02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
invasive non-native species (I01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
problematic native species (IO2)	medium importance (M)	N/A
human induced changes in hydraulic conditions (J02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
modifying structures of inland water courses (J02.05.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Water abstractions from surface waters (J02.06)	medium importance (M)	N/A

2.7.1 Method used – threats

expert opinion (1)

2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

The efforts made in the last years for the defragmentation of the hydrographic net of Northern Italy will enlarge the species' range in the short term, improving the general status of Alosa fallax.

In particular, the future realization of a fishpass at Isola Serafini Dam will remove the main barrier for the fish migration.

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range assessment Bad (U2) qualifiers N/A 2.9.2. Population assessment Bad (U2) qualifiers N/A 2.9.3. Habitat assessment Inadequate (U1) qualifiers N/A 2.9.4. Future prospects assessment Inadequate (U1) qualifiers N/A 2.9.5 Overall assessment of **Bad (U2) Conservation Status** 2.9.5 Overall trend in

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

improving (+)

3.1 Population

Conservation Status

3.1.1 Population Size Unit N/A

> min max

3.1.2 Method used Absent data (0)

3.1.3 Trend of population size within N/A

> 09/04/2014 12.19.30 Page 7 of 8

3.2 Conversation Measu	res			
3.2.1 Measure	3.2.2 Type	3.2.3 Ranking	3.2.4 Location	3.2.5 Broad Evaluation
Regulation/ Management	Administrative	low importance	Both	Not evaluated
of hunting and taking (7.1)		(L)		

09/04/2014 12.19.30 Page 8 of 8