0.1 Member State	IT
0.2.1 Species code	1082
0.2.2 Species name	Graphoderus bilineatus
0.2.3 Alternative species scientific name	N/A
0.2.4 Common name	N/A

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map
Yes
1.1.1a Sensitive species
No
1.1.2 Method used - map
Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2007-2012
1.1.4 Additional map
Yes
No
1.1.5 Range map
Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

Continental (CON)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Fabio Stoch (on behalf of the Comitato Scientifico per la Fauna d'Italia) and Anna Alonzi, Piero Genovesi, Francesca Ronchi (ISPRA). Information, unpublished data and expert judgements have been provided by Saverio Rocchi (Firenze).

Mazzoldi P., Pederzani F., Rocchi S., Schizzerotto A., Toledo M., 2009. La coleotterofauna acquatica del Lago di Pratignano (Modena) (Insecta Coleoptera: Haliplidae, Noteridae, Dytiscidae, Helophoridae, Hydrochidae, Hydrophilidae, Sphaeridiidae, Hydraenidae). Atti Accademia Roveretana degli Agiati, 259: 81-90

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)
2.3.2 Method - Range surface area
2.3.3 Short-term trend period
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude
2.3.6 Long-term trend period
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude
2.3.9 Favourable reference range

2500

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2001-2012 decrease (-)

min max

N/A

min max

area (km²)

operator N/A unkown Yes

method Expert opinion

2.3.10 Reason for change

Use of different method

2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size (individuals or agreed exception)

Unit N/A

min max

2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals)

Unit number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10)

min 12 max 12

08/04/2014 16.09.26 Page 1 of 5

2.4.3 Additional information	Definition of locality			
	Conversion method	not available		
	Problems	it is impossible to con	vert grids into individuals	
2.4.4 Year or period	2007-2012			
2.4.5 Method – population size	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)			
2.4.6 Short-term trend period	2001-2012			
2.4.7 Short term trend direction	unknown (x)			
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude	min		onfidence interval	
2.4.9 Short-term trend method 2.4.10 Long-term trend period	Estimate based on ex	kpert opinion with no or m	inimal sampling (1)	
2.4.11 Long term trend direction	N/A			
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude	min	max co	onfidence interval	
2.4.13 Long-term trend method	N/A			
2.4.14 Favourable reference	number			
population	operator N/A			
	unknown Yes			
	method Expert o	ppinion		
2.4.15 Reason for change				
2.5 Habitat for the Species				
2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²)				
2.5.2 Year or period				
2.5.3 Method used - habitat	Absent data (0) Moderate			
2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat 2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method	Expert opinion			
2.5.5 Short term trend period	2001-2012			
2.5.6 Short term trend direction	unknown (x)			
2.5.7 Long-term trend period				
2.5.8 Long term trend direction	N/A			
2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)				
2.5.10 Reason for change	Improved knowledge	e/more accurate data Use	of different method	
2.6 Main Pressures				
Pressure		ranking	pollution qualifier(s)	
Landfill, land reclamation and drying out, general (J02.01)		high importance (H)	N/A	
infilling of ditches, dykes, ponds, pool	s, marshes or pits	high importance (H)	N/A	
(J02.01.03)			<i>,</i>	
2.6.1 Method used – pressures	based only on exper	t judgements (1)		
2.7 Main Threats				
Threat		ranking	pollution qualifier(s)	
infilling of ditches, dykes, ponds, pool (J02.01.03)	s, marshes or pits	high importance (H)	N/A	
2.7.1 Method used – threats	expert opinion (1)			

08/04/2014 16.09.26 Page 2 of 5

2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range assessment Inadequate (U1) qualifiers declining (-)

2.9.2. Population assessment Unknown (XX)

qualifiers N/A

2.9.3. Habitat assessment Inadequate (U1)

qualifiers declining (-)

assessment Inadequate (U1)

qualifiers declining (-)

Inadequate (U1)

unknown (x)

2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

2.9.4. Future prospects

Conservation Status

2.9.5 Overall assessment of

3.1.1 Population Size Unit N/A

min max

3.1.2 Method used Absent data (0)

3.1.3 Trend of population size within N/A

3.2 Conversation Measures

3.2.1 Measure 3.2.2 Type 3.2.3 Ranking 3.2.4 Location 3.2.5 Broad Evaluation

No measure known/ impossible to carry out specific measures (1.3) ()

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

Alpine (ALP)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Fabio Stoch (on behalf of the Comitato Scientifico per la Fauna d'Italia) and Anna Alonzi, Piero Genovesi, Francesca Ronchi (ISPRA). Information, unpublished data and expert judgements have been provided by Saverio Rocchi (Firenze).

Distribution data for the following grid cells have been removed by the Ministry of Environment: 10kmE439N251; 10kmE440N253; 10kmE437N255

Tagliapietra V., Zanocco D., 1998 - Il Progetto Bioitaly in Trentino: invertebrati. Centro di Ecologia Alpina, Trento-Viote del Monte Bondone, Report n. 14: 83 pp.

2.3 Range

08/04/2014 16.09.26 Page 3 of 5

ii) it alia t species (/ iiii	ick b _j		
2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²) 2.3.2 Method - Range surface area 2.3.3 Short-term trend period 2.3.4 Short-term trend direction 2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude 2.3.6 Long-term trend period 2.3.7 Long-term trend direction 2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude 2.3.9 Favourable reference range	700 Estimate based on p 2001-2012 unknown (x) min N/A min area (km²) operator unkown method Use of different met	max more than (>) No Expert opinion	extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.4 Population			
2.4.1 Population size (individuals or agreed exception)	Unit N/A min	max	
2.4.2 Population size	Unit number of	map 10x10 km grid ce	ells (grids10x10)
(other than individuals)	min 2	max 2	,
2.4.3 Additional information	Definition of locality		
	Conversion method	not available	
	Problems	it is impossible to	o convert grids into individuals
2.4.4 Year or period2.4.5 Method – population size	2007-2012	artial data with some	extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.4.6 Short-term trend period	2001-2012	artial data with some	extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.4.7 Short term trend direction	unknown (x)		
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude	min	max	confidence interval
2.4.9 Short-term trend method	Absent data (0)	max	connactice interval
2.4.10 Long-term trend period			
2.4.11 Long term trend direction	N/A		
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude	min	max	confidence interval
2.4.13 Long-term trend method	N/A		
2.4.14 Favourable reference	number	()	
population	operator more the unknown No	nan (>)	
2.445.2	method Expert	opinion	
2.4.15 Reason for change			
2.5 Habitat for the Species			
2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²)			
2.5.2 Year or period	Abcont data (0)		
2.5.3 Method used - habitat2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat	Absent data (0) Moderate		
2.3.4 a) Quality of Habitat	MOUCIALE		

08/04/2014 16.09.26 Page 4 of 5

Expert opinion

2001-2012

stable (0)

N/A

2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method

2.5.5 Short term trend period

2.5.7 Long-term trend period2.5.8 Long term trend direction

2.5.6 Short term trend direction

2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)

2.5.10 Reason for change

Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

2. 6 l	Mai	in F	ress	ures

Pressure ranking pollution qualifier(s) infilling of ditches, dykes, ponds, pools, marshes or pits medium importance (M) N/A

(J02.01.03)

2.6.1 Method used – pressures based only on expert judgements (1)

2.7 Main Threats

Threat ranking pollution qualifier(s)

infilling of ditches, dykes, ponds, pools, marshes or pits medium importance (M) N/A

(J02.01.03)

2.7.1 Method used – threats expert opinion (1)

2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

There is a substantial lack of recent data from this biogeographic region

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range assessment Inadequate (U1) qualifiers stable (=)

2.9.2. Population assessment Unknown (XX)

qualifiers N/A

2.9.3. Habitat assessment Inadequate (U1)

qualifiers stable (=)

2.9.4. Future prospects assessment Unknown (XX)

qualifiers N/A

Inadequate (U1)

2.9.5 Overall assessment of

Conservation Status

2.9.5 Overall trend in

Conservation Status

unknown (x)

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size Unit N/A

min max

3.1.2 Method used Absent data (0)

3.1.3 Trend of population size within N/A

3.2 Conversation Measures

3.2.1 Measure 3.2.2 Type 3.2.3 Ranking 3.2.4 Location 3.2.5 Broad Evaluation

()

Measures needed, but not

implemented (1.2)

08/04/2014 16.09.26 Page 5 of 5