0.1 Member State	IT
0.2.1 Species code	1152
0.2.2 Species name	Aphanius fasciatus
0.2.3 Alternative species scientific name	N/A
0.2.4 Common name	nono

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map
1.1.1a Sensitive species
1.1.2 Method used - map
1.1.3 Year or period
2000-2011
1.1.4 Additional map
1.1.5 Range map
Yes
No
Yes
No
Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
No
Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

Mediterranean (MED)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Alessandra Ippoliti, Andrea Sibilia (Associazione Italiana Ittiologi Acque dolci - AIIAD) and Anna Alonzi, Piero Genovesi, Francesca Ronchi (Institute for Environmental Protection and Research - ISPRA). Information, unpublished data and experts' judgments have been provided by Francesco Nonnis Marzano, Massimo Lorenzoni, Giuseppe Maio, Massimo Pascale, Armando Piccinini, Elisabetta Pizzul, Cesare M. Puzzi, Lorenzo Tancioni, Paolo Turin (AIIAD).

Distribution data for the following Nature 2000 sites have been inserted by the Ministry of Environment (source: Italian Nature 2000 database): ITA090006; ITA050002; ITA050011; ITA060011; ITA050001; ITA050004; ITA080008

Celauro D. & S. Sarrocco, 2008. Ittio-database del Lazio. Relazione finale di tirocinio, Agenzia Regionale Parchi Relazione tecnica non pubblicata; Database del Repertorio Naturalistico Toscano;

Gualtieri M.& Mecatti M., 2009. Carta Ittica della Provincia di Livorno. Dipartimento di Scienze Zootecniche dell'Università degli Studi di Firenze, Provincia di Livorno. 200 pp.;

Maltagliati F., Domenici P., Franch Fosch C., Cossu P., Casu M., Castelli A., 2003. Small-scale morphological and genetic differentiation in the Mediterranean killifish Aphanius fasciatus (Cyprinodontidae) from a coastal brackish-water pond and an adjacent pool in northern Sardinia. Oceanologica Acta, 26: 111-119; Provincia di Livorno, 2010. Carta Ittica delle acque interne della Provincia di Livorno. Provincia di Livorno, 199 pp.;

Provincia di Siracusa, 2005. La Carta Ittica della Provincia di Siracusa. Provincia di Siracusa, 141 pp.;

Report 2006 Regione Sicilia;

Regione Autonoma della Sardegna - Assessorato Difesa Ambiente , 2012 - "Servizio di monitoraggio dello stato di conservazione degli habitat e delle specie di importanza comunitaria presenti nei siti della Rete Natura 2000 in Sardegna. SERVIZIO DI MONITORAGGIO DEI CORPI IDRICI SUPERFICIALI DELLA REGIONE PUGLIA – ARPA Puglia, Relazione Finale Annualità 2010-2011.

09/04/2014 12.19.51 Page 1 of 7

2.3 Range			
 2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²) 2.3.2 Method - Range surface area 2.3.3 Short-term trend period 2.3.4 Short-term trend direction 	7300 Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2) 2001-2012 stable (0)		
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude2.3.6 Long-term trend period	min max 1989-2012		
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude	unknown (x) min max		
2.3.9 Favourable reference range	area (km²)		
S .	operator more than (>)		
	unkown No		
	method Expert opinion		
2.3.10 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate dataUse of different method		
2.4 Population			
2.4.1 Population size	Unit N/A		
(individuals or agreed exception)	min max		
2.4.2 Population size	Unit number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10)		
(other than individuals)	min 63 max 63		
2.4.3 Additional information	Definition of locality		
	Conversion method not available		
	Problems it's not possible to convert grids into individuals		
2.4.4 Year or period	2000-2011		
2.4.5 Method – population size	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)		
2.4.6 Short-term trend period	2001-2012		
2.4.7 Short term trend direction	stable (0)		
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude	min max confidence interval		
2.4.9 Short-term trend method2.4.10 Long-term trend period	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2) 1989-2012		
2.4.11 Long term trend direction	unknown (x)		
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude	min max confidence interval		
2.4.13 Long-term trend method	Absent data (0)		
2.4.14 Favourable reference	number		
population	operator more than (>)		
	unknown No		
	method Expert opinion		
2.4.15 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method		
2.5 Habitat for the Species			
2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²)			
2.5.2 Year or period2.5.3 Method used - habitat	Absort data (0)		
2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat	Absent data (0) Moderate		
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat mathed	Every eninion		

09/04/2014 12.19.51 Page 2 of 7

Expert opinion

2001-2012

stable (0)

2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method

2.5.5 Short term trend period

2.5.6 Short term trend direction

2.5.7 Long-term trend period 1989-2012
2.5.8 Long term trend direction stable (0)
2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)

2.5.10 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

2.6 Main Pressures			
Pressure		ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Altered water quality due anthropogenic changes in salinity (J02.14)		high importance (H)	N/A
conversion of saltpans (C01.05.02)		medium importance (M)	N/A
Marine water pollution (H03)		medium importance (M)	N/A
invasive non-native species (I01)		medium importance (M)	N/A
Other ecosystem modifications (J03)		medium importance (M)	N/A
antagonism arising from introduction of species (K03.05)		medium importance (M)	N/A
potting (F02.01.01)		low importance (L)	N/A
water flow changes (limnic, tidal and oceanic) (M01.05)		low importance (L)	N/A
2.6.1 Method used – pressures	mainly based on expert judgement and other data (2)		
2.7 Main Threats			
Threat		ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Altered water quality due anthropoge	nic changes in salinity	high importance (H)	N/A

2.7 1714111 1111 Cd C5		
Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Altered water quality due anthropogenic changes in salinity (J02.14)	high importance (H)	N/A
conversion of saltpans (C01.05.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Marine water pollution (H03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
invasive non-native species (IO1)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Other ecosystem modifications (J03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
antagonism arising from introduction of species (K03.05)	medium importance (M)	N/A
potting (F02.01.01)	low importance (L)	N/A
water flow changes (limnic, tidal and oceanic) (M01.05)	low importance (L)	N/A

2.7.1 Method used – threats expert opinion (1)

2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range assessment Inadequate (U1) qualifiers N/A

2.9.2. Population assessment Inadequate (U1)

qualifiers N/A

2.9.3. Habitat assessment Inadequate (U1)

qualifiers N/A

2.9.4. Future prospects assessment Inadequate (U1)

qualifiers N/A

09/04/2014 12.19.51 Page 3 of 7

2.9.5 Overall assessment of

Conservation Status

2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status

Inadequate (U1)

stable (=)

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size

Unit N/A

min

max

3.1.2 Method used

Absent data (0)

3.1.3 Trend of population size within

N/A

3.2 Conversation Measures

3.2.1 Measure

3.2.2 Type

3.2.3 Ranking

3.2.4 Location

3.2.5 Broad Evaluation

Legal protection of habitats Administrative and species (6.3)

medium importance (M)

Inside

Maintain Long term

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

Continental (CON)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Alessandra Ippoliti, Andrea Sibilia (Associazione Italiana Ittiologi Acque dolci - AIIAD) and Anna Alonzi, Piero Genovesi, Francesca Ronchi (Institute for Environmental Protection and Research - ISPRA). Information, unpublished data and experts' judgments have been provided by Francesco Nonnis Marzano, Massimo Lorenzoni, Giuseppe Maio, Massimo Pascale, Armando Piccinini, Elisabetta Pizzul, Cesare M. Puzzi, Lorenzo Tancioni, Paolo Turin (AIIAD).

Dataset ETP 1988-2012. Regione Friuli Venezia Giulia;

G.R.A.I.A. Srl, 2007. Carta Ittica del Fiume Po. Autorità di Bacino del Fiume Po, Parma. Technical Report, unpublished document;

Mappatura effettuata mediante GIS attraverso la georeferenziazione su griglia UE 10 km delle segnalazioni archiviate sulla Banca Dati Regionale (aggiornamento al 2010).

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)

2.3.2 Method - Range surface area

2.3.3 Short-term trend period

2.3.4 Short-term trend direction

2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude

2.3.6 Long-term trend period

2.3.7 Long-term trend direction

2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude

2.3.9 Favourable reference range

2300

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2001-2012

stable (0)

min max

1989-2012

unknown (x)

min max

area (km²)

operator approximately equal to (≈)

unkown No

method Expert opinion

09/04/2014 12.19.51 Page 4 of 7

2.3.10 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate dataUse of different method			
2.4 Population				
2.4.1 Population size	Unit N/A			
(individuals or agreed exception)	min max			
2.4.2 Population size	Unit number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10)			
(other than individuals)	min 16 max 16			
2.4.3 Additional information	Definition of locality			
	Conversion method not available			
	Problems it's not possible to convert grids into individuals			
2.4.4 Year or period	2000-2011			
2.4.5 Method – population size	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)			
2.4.6 Short-term trend period	2001-2012			
2.4.7 Short term trend direction	stable (0) min max confidence interval			
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude 2.4.9 Short-term trend method	min max confidence interval Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)			
2.4.10 Long-term trend period	1989-2012			
2.4.11 Long term trend direction	unknown (x)			
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude	min max confidence interval			
2.4.13 Long-term trend method 2.4.14 Favourable reference	Absent data (0) number			
population	operator approximately equal to (≈)			
	unknown No			
	method Expert opinion			
2.4.15 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method			
2.5 Habitat for the Species				
2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²)				
2.5.2 Year or period	Absorbt data (O)			
2.5.3 Method used - habitat 2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat	Absent data (0) Moderate			
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method	Expert opinion			
2.5.5 Short term trend period	2001-2012			
2.5.6 Short term trend direction	stable (0)			
2.5.7 Long-term trend period	1989-2012			
2.5.8 Long term trend direction	stable (0)			
2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²) 2.5.10 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method			
2.6 Main Pressures				
Pressure	ranking pollution qualifier(s)			
Altered water quality due anthropoge	enic changes in salinity high importance (H) N/A			

09/04/2014 12.19.51 Page 5 of 7

medium importance (M)

medium importance (M)

medium importance (M)

N/A

N/A

N/A

(J02.14)

Marine water pollution (H03)

invasive non-native species (I01)

Other ecosystem modifications (J03)

potting (F02.01.01)		low importance (L)	N/A
2.6.1 Method used – pressures mainly based on exp		pert judgement and other data (2)	
2.7 Main Threats			
Threat		ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Altered water quality due anthropogei (J02.14)	nic changes in salinity	high importance (H)	N/A
Marine water pollution (H03)		medium importance (M)	N/A
invasive non-native species (I01)		medium importance (M)	N/A
estuarine and coastal dredging (J02.02.02)		medium importance (M)	N/A
Other ecosystem modifications (J03)		medium importance (M)	N/A
potting (F02.01.01)		low importance (L)	N/A
2.7.1 Method used – threats	expert opinion (1)		
2.8 Complementary Information			
2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends			
2.8.2 Other relevant Information			

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9.1 Range	assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A	
2.9.2. Population	assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A	
2.9.3. Habitat	assessment Inadequate (U1) qualifiers N/A	
2.9.4. Future prospects	assessment Inadequate (U1) qualifiers N/A	
2.9.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status	Inadequate (U1)	
2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status	stable (=)	

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1.1 Population Size Unit N/A min max 3.1.2 Method used Absent data (0) 3.1.3 Trend of population size within N/A 3.2 Conversation Measures

09/04/2014 12.19.51 Page 6 of 7

3.2.1 Measure	3.2.2 Type	3.2.3 Ranking	3.2.4 Location	3.2.5 Broad Evaluation
Regulating/Management exploitation of natural resources on land (9.1)	Administrative	medium importance (M)	Inside	Unknown

09/04/2014 12.19.51 Page 7 of 7