Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

0.1 Member State	**IT**
0.2.1 Species code	**1324**
0.2.2 Species name	**Myotis myotis**
0.2.3 Alternative species scientific name	N/A
0.2.4 Common name	N/A

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map	Yes
1.1.1a Sensitive species	No
1.1.2 Method used - map	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
1.1.3 Year or period	1985-2012
1.1.4 Additional map	No
1.1.5 Range map	Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

Mediterranean (MED)

2.2 Published sources

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Daniele Paoloni, Cristiano Spilinga (Associazione Teriologica Italiana - ATIt) and Anna Alonzi, Piero Genovesi, Francesca Ronchi (Institute for Environmental Protection and Research - ISPRA). Information, unpublished data and experts' judgments have been provided by Paolo Agnelli, Mara Calvini, Luca Cistrone, Michele Ferretto, Danilo Russo, Dino Scaravelli, Martina Spada, Roberto Toffoli, Simone Vergari (Italian Group for bat Research).

Distribution data for the following Nature 2000 sites have been inserted by the Ministry of Environment (source: Italian Nature 2000 database): IT6020018; IT6020022; IT6030003; IT6030014; IT6050006; ITA020017; IT5210078; IT5210057; IT5210060; IT5220005; IT5210047; IT5210050; IT5210016; IT5210017; IT5210028; IT5210033; IT5210025; IT5210077; IT5210026; IT5210029; IT5210021

Archivio Osservatorio Regionale per Biodiversità. Regione Umbria.

Bux M., Russo D. e Scillitani G. 2003. La chirotterofauna della Puglia. Hystrix, It. J. Mamm. (n. s.) supp.: 150.

Bux M., Rizzi V., Cocumazzi B. & Pavone A. 2000. An analysis of Apulian micromammals populations by owls' pellets. Hystrix, 11 (2): 55-59.

Calvini M., 2006. Monitoraggio dei chirotteri nella piana del Magra e Vallecchia (SP) (rapporto interno).

Calvini M., 2006. I Chirotteri della ZPS Beigua-Turchino e del Parco del Beigua; 70 pag. Ente Parco del Beigua, Regione Liguria.

Calvini M., 2007. Studio preliminare sulla chirotterofauna delle tre foreste demaniali del Parco dell'Aveto (rapporto interno).

Calvini M., 2007. I Chirotteri delle Alpi Liguri; 24 pag. Provincia di Imperia, Regione Liguria.

Calvini M., 2009. Indagine chirotterologica nei seguenti SIC della provincia di Savona: IT1323201, IT1324011, IT1323112 e IT1323203 (rapporto interno).

Calvini M., 2010. Monitoraggio delle colonie di chirotteri riproduttive e svernanti di particolare interesse conservazionistico note in Liguria (rapporto interno).

Capizzi et al. (2012) Progetto atlante dei Mammiferi del Lazio - Regione Lazio – ARP.

Debernardi P., Patriarca E., Toffoli R., 2010. Monitoraggio delle colonie di chirotteri riproduttive e svernanti di particolare interesse conservazionistico note in piemonte e dati preliminari sull'attività di swarming. Stato delle conoscenze al 30 aprile 2010. CRC, Regione Piemonte - Direzione ambiente - Settore pianificazione e gestione aree naturali protette (relazione interna). Pp. 83.

Loy A., De Lisio L., Capula M., Ciucci P., Russo D., Sciarretta A., 2012. Rapporto finale - Convenzione stipulata tra la Regione Molise e la Unione Zoologica Italiana per la realizzazione dei piani di gestione dei Siti Natura 2000.n. 1393/2008. Unione Zoologica Italiana, Regione Molise.

Regione Liguria, 2008, Carta della Biodiversità, www.ambienteinliguria.it

Scaravelli D. e Bertozzi M., 2001. Nota sui Chirotteri e micromammiferi delle gravine materane. Abstract III Conv. Ital. Di Teriologia.

Spilinga C., Russo D., Carletti S., Jiménez Grijalva M.P., Sergiacomi U., Ragni B., (in stampa). Chirotteri dell'Umbria. Distribuzione geografica ed ecologica. Regione Umbria. Università degli Studi di Perugia.

Toffoli R., 2011. I Chirotteri del Parco Naturale delle Capanne di Marcarolo. Regione Piemonte-Parco Naturale delle Capanne di Marcarolo (rapporto interno).

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)	85200	
2.3.2 Method - Range surface area	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)	
2.3.3 Short-term trend period	2001-2012	
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction	stable (0)	
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude	min	max
2.3.6 Long-term trend period		
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction	N/A	
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude	min	max
2.3.9 Favourable reference range	area (km²)	
	operator	approximately equal to (≈)
	unkown	No
	method	Expert judgement
2.3.10 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate dataUse of different method	

2.4 Population

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

2.4.1 Population size (individuals or agreed exception)	Unit	N/A		
	min		max	
2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals)	Unit	number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10)		
	min	180	max	180
2.4.3 Additional information	Definition of locality			
	Conversion method			
	Problems	Impossible to convert grids into individuals		
2.4.4 Year or period	1985-2012			
2.4.5 Method – population size	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)			
2.4.6 Short-term trend period	2001-2012			
2.4.7 Short term trend direction	stable (0)			
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude	min		max	confidence interval
2.4.9 Short-term trend method	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)			
2.4.10 Long-term trend period				
2.4.11 Long term trend direction	N/A			
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude	min		max	confidence interval
2.4.13 Long-term trend method	N/A			
2.4.14 Favourable reference population	number			
	operator	approximately equal to (≈)		
	unknown	No		
	method	Expert judgement		
2.4.15 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method			

2.5 Habitat for the Species

2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²)	
2.5.2 Year or period	
2.5.3 Method used - habitat	Absent data (0)
2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat	Moderate
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method	Expert based
2.5.5 Short term trend period	2001-2012
2.5.6 Short term trend direction	decrease (-)
2.5.7 Long-term trend period	
2.5.8 Long term trend direction	N/A
2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)	
2.5.10 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

2.6 Main Pressures

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
abandonment / lack of mowing (A03.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
abandonment of pastoral systems, lack of grazing (A04.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Forest and Plantation management & use (B02)	high importance (H)	N/A
closures of caves or galleries (G05.08)	high importance (H)	N/A
Roads, paths and railroads (D01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
demolishment of buildings & human structures (E06.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A

reconstruction, renovation of buildings (E06.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
speleology (G01.04.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A

2.6.1 Method used – pressures: based only on expert judgements (1)

2.7 Main Threats

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
abandonment / lack of mowing (A03.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
abandonment of pastoral systems, lack of grazing (A04.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Forest and Plantation management & use (B02)	high importance (H)	N/A
closures of caves or galleries (G05.08)	high importance (H)	N/A
Roads, paths and railroads (D01)	high importance (H)	N/A
demolishment of buildings & human structures (E06.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
reconstruction, renovation of buildings (E06.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
speleology (G01.04.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A

2.7.1 Method used – threats: expert opinion (1)

2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range	assessment	Favourable (FV)
	qualifiers	N/A
2.9.2. Population	assessment	Favourable (FV)
	qualifiers	N/A
2.9.3. Habitat	assessment	Inadequate (U1)
	qualifiers	N/A
2.9.4. Future prospects	assessment	Inadequate (U1)
	qualifiers	N/A
2.9.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status	Inadequate (U1)	
2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status	declining (-)	

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size	Unit	N/A	
	min		max
3.1.2 Method used	Absent data (0)		
3.1.3 Trend of population size within	N/A		

3.2 Conversation Measures

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

3.2.1 Measure	3.2.2 Type	3.2.3 Ranking	3.2.4 Location	3.2.5 Broad Evaluation
Adapt forest management (3.2)	Administrative	medium importance (M)	Both	Maintain Long term
Establish protected areas/sites (6.1)	Legal	high importance (H)	Inside	Long term Unknown
Legal protection of habitats and species (6.3)	Legal	high importance (H)	Both	Unknown Not evaluated
Specific single species or species group management measures (7.4)	One-off	high importance (H)	Inside	Enhance

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region
2.2 Published sources

Continental (CON)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Daniele Paoloni, Cristiano Spilinga (Associazione Teriologica Italiana - ATIt) and Anna Alonzi, Piero Genovesi, Francesca Ronchi (Institute for Environmental Protection and Research - ISPRA). Information, unpublished data and experts' judgments have been provided by Paolo Agnelli, Mara Calvini, Luca Cistrone, Michele Ferretto, Danilo Russo, Dino Scaravelli, Martina Spada, Roberto Toffoli, Simone Vergari (Italian Group for bat Research).

Distribution data for the following Nature 2000 sites have been inserted by the Ministry of Environment (source: Italian Nature 2000 database): IT1110009; IT1120023; IT1160011; IT20A0015; IT5210031

Archivio Osservatorio Regionale per Biodiversità. Regione Umbria.

Archivio Stazione Teriologica Piemontese.

Banca Dati Regionale Emilia Romagna (aggiornamento al 2010).

Baratti N., Debernardi P., Patriarca E., Sindaco R., 1997. Breeding colonies of Myotis myotis and Myotis blythii in Piedmont and Aosta Valley (NW Italy): characterization and conservation. Hystrix. (n.s.) 9 (1-2): 61-64.

Calvini M., 2006. Monitoraggio dei chirotteri nella piana del Magra e Vallecchia (SP) (rapporto interno).

Calvini M., 2007. Studio preliminare sulla chirotterofauna delle tre foreste demaniali del Parco dell'Aveto (rapporto interno).

Calvini M., 2009. I Chirotteri del SIC IT1110022 Stagno di Oulx e IT1110020 Lago di Viverone. IPLA (rapporto interno).

Calvini M., 2010. Monitoraggio delle colonie di chirotteri riproduttive e svernanti di particolare interesse conservazionistico note in Liguria (rapporto interno).

Dall'Asta A., 1995-1996. Atlante preliminare dei Chirotteri (Chiroptera, Mammalia) della Regione Friuli-Venezia Giulia - Prima Sintesi Cartografica. Tesi di Laurea in Scienze Naturali, Fac. Di Scienze MM. FF. NN. Dell'Università degli Studi di Trieste, Relatori G. A. Amirante & S. Dolce: 1-103.

Debernardi P., Patriarca E. e Toffoli R., 2005. Il monitoraggio dello stato di conservazione dei Chirotteri in allegato II Direttiva 92/43/CEE in Piemonte e Valle d'Aosta. In: Prigioni et al. (eds.), 2005. V Congr. It. Teriologia, Hystrix, It. J. Mamm., (N.S.) suppl. (2005): 123.

Debernardi P., Patriarca E., Toffoli R., 2010. Monitoraggio delle colonie di chirotteri riproduttive e svernanti di particolare interesse conservazionistico note in Piemonte e dati preliminari sull'attività di swarming. Stato delle conoscenze al 30 aprile 2010. CRC, Regione Piemonte - Direzione ambiente - Settore pianificazione e gestione aree naturali protette (relazione interna). Pp. 83.

Insubria DataBat, 2012. Data base chirotteri dell'Università degli Studi dell'Insubria aggiornato al 2012.

Kryštufek B., Rešek Donev N., 2005. The Atlas of Slovenian Bats (Chiroptera). Scopolia, 55 (2005): 1-92.

Lapini L., Dall'Asta A., Dublo L., Spoto M., Venier E., 1996 (1995). Materiali per una teriofauna dell'Italia Nord - Orientale (Mammalia, Friuli-Venezia Giulia). Gortania 17: 149-248.

Spada M., Preatoni G., Tosi G., Martinoli A., 2010. Piano di monitoraggio dei Vertebrati terrestri di interesse comunitario (Direttive 79/409/CEE e 92/43/CEE) in Lombardia. Il monitoraggio dei Chirotteri. Fondazione Lombardia per l'Ambiente, Università degli Studi dell'Insubria.

S.Te.P. (Stazione Teriologica Piemontese), 2010. Azioni di tutela delle colonie di chirotteri di grande valore conservazionistico associate a siti di pertinenza di aziende agricole. Rendicontazione delle attività realizzate (2008/2010). Relazione interna per conto Assessorato Regionale Agricoltura, Regione Piemonte.

Spilinga C., Russo D., Carletti S., Jiménez Grijalva M.P., Sergiacomi U., Ragni B., (in stampa). Chirotteri dell'Umbria. Distribuzione geografica ed ecologica. Regione Umbria. Università degli Studi di Perugia.

Toffoli R., 2012. I Chirotteri de SIC IT1110047 Scarmagno-Torre Canavese. IPLA (rapporto interno).

Vigorita V., Cucè L., 2008. La fauna selvatica in Lombardia. Rapporto 2008 su distribuzione, abbondanza e stato di conservazione di uccelli e mammiferi. Regione Lombardia. Pp. 364.

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)	61000
2.3.2 Method - Range surface area	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.3.3 Short-term trend period	2001-2012
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction	stable (0)
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.6 Long-term trend period	

2.3.7 Long-term trend direction	N/A		
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude	min	max	
2.3.9 Favourable reference range	area (km²)		
	operator	approximately equal to (≈)	
	unkown	No	
	method	Expert judgement	
2.3.10 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate dataUse of different method		

2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size (individuals or agreed exception)	Unit	N/A	
	min	max	
2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals)	Unit	number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10)	
	min	113	max 113
2.4.3 Additional information	Definition of locality		
	Conversion method		
	Problems	Impossible to convert grids into individuals	
2.4.4 Year or period	1985-2012		
2.4.5 Method – population size	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)		
2.4.6 Short-term trend period	2001-2012		
2.4.7 Short term trend direction	stable (0)		
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude	min	max	confidence interval
2.4.9 Short-term trend method	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)		
2.4.10 Long-term trend period			
2.4.11 Long term trend direction	N/A		
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude	min	max	confidence interval
2.4.13 Long-term trend method	N/A		
2.4.14 Favourable reference population	number		
	operator	approximately equal to (≈)	
	unknown	No	
	method	Expert judgement	
2.4.15 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method		

2.5 Habitat for the Species

2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²)	
2.5.2 Year or period	
2.5.3 Method used - habitat	Absent data (0)
2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat	Moderate
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method	Expert based
2.5.5 Short term trend period	2001-2012
2.5.6 Short term trend direction	decrease (-)
2.5.7 Long-term trend period	
2.5.8 Long term trend direction	N/A
2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)	
2.5.10 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

2.6 Main Pressures

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
abandonment / lack of mowing (A03.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
abandonment of pastoral systems, lack of grazing (A04.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Forest and Plantation management & use (B02)	high importance (H)	N/A
closures of caves or galleries (G05.08)	high importance (H)	N/A
Roads, paths and railroads (D01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
demolishment of buildings & human structures (E06.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
reconstruction, renovation of buildings (E06.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
speleology (G01.04.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
continuous urbanisation (E01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A

2.6.1 Method used – pressures: based only on expert judgements (1)

2.7 Main Threats

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
abandonment / lack of mowing (A03.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
abandonment of pastoral systems, lack of grazing (A04.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Forest and Plantation management & use (B02)	high importance (H)	N/A
closures of caves or galleries (G05.08)	high importance (H)	N/A
Roads, paths and railroads (D01)	high importance (H)	N/A
speleology (G01.04.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
demolishment of buildings & human structures (E06.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
reconstruction, renovation of buildings (E06.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
continuous urbanisation (E01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A

2.7.1 Method used – threats: expert opinion (1)

2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range
- assessment: Favourable (FV)
- qualifiers: N/A

2.9.2. Population
- assessment: Favourable (FV)
- qualifiers: N/A

2.9.3. Habitat
- assessment: Inadequate (U1)
- qualifiers: N/A

2.9.4. Future prospects
- assessment: Inadequate (U1)
- qualifiers: N/A

2.9.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status: Inadequate (U1)

2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status	declining (-)

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size	Unit	N/A
	min	max
3.1.2 Method used	Absent data (0)	
3.1.3 Trend of population size within	N/A	

3.2 Conversation Measures

3.2.1 Measure	3.2.2 Type	3.2.3 Ranking	3.2.4 Location	3.2.5 Broad Evaluation
Other agriculture-related measures (2.0)	Administrative	medium importance (M)	Inside	No effect Not evaluated
Other forestry-related measures (3.0)	Administrative	medium importance (M)	Inside	No effect
Other spatial measures (6.0)	Administrative	medium importance (M)	Both	Maintain Long term
Legal protection of habitats and species (6.3)	Legal	high importance (H)	Both	Not evaluated
Specific single species or species group management measures (7.4)	Recurrent One-off	high importance (H)	Both	Not evaluated

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

Alpine (ALP)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Daniele Paoloni, Cristiano Spilinga (Associazione Teriologica Italiana - ATIt) and Anna Alonzi, Piero Genovesi, Francesca Ronchi (Institute for Environmental Protection and Research - ISPRA). Information, unpublished data and experts' judgments have been provided by Paolo Agnelli, Mara Calvini, Luca Cistrone, Michele Ferretto, Danilo Russo, Dino Scaravelli, Martina Spada, Roberto Toffoli, Simone Vergari (Italian Group for bat Research).

Distribution data for the following Nature 2000 sites have been inserted by the Ministry of Environment (source: Italian Nature 2000 database): IT3120178; IT3120168; IT1315421; IT3310001

Archivio Stazione Teriologica Piemontese.

Baratti N., Debernardi P., Patriarca E., Sindaco R., 1997. Breeding colonies of Myotis myotis and Myotis blythii in Piedmont and Aosta Valley (NW Italy): characterization and conservation. Hystrix. (n.s.) 9 (1-2): 61-64.

Calvini M., 2007. I Chirotteri delle Alpi Liguri; 24 pag. Provincia di Imperia, Regione Liguria.

Calvini M., 2009. I Chirotteri del SIC IT1110022 Stagno di Oulx e IT1110020 Lago di Viverone. IPLA (rapporto interno).

Calvini M., 2009. Indagine sulla chirotterofauna nel SIC “Bric Tana-Bric Mongarda”, comune di Millesimo (SV).

Calvini M., 2009. Indagine chirotterologica nei seguenti SIC della provincia di Savona: IT1323201, IT1324011, IT1323112 e IT1323203 (rapporto interno).

Calvini M., 2010. Monitoraggio delle colonie di chirotteri riproduttive e svernanti di particolare interesse conservazionistico note in Liguria (rapporto interno).

Dall’Asta A., 1995-1996. Atlante preliminare dei Chirotteri (Chiroptera, Mammalia) della Regione Friuli-Venezia Giulia - Prima Sintesi Cartografica. Tesi di Laurea in Scienze Naturali, Fac. Di Scienze MM. FF. NN. Dell’Università degli Studi di Trieste, Relatori G. A. Amirante & S. Dolce: 1-103.

Dati AVK - Arbeitsgemeinschaft Vogelkunde Südtirol (1989-2009).

Debernardi P., Garzoli L., Patriarca E., 2012. Demographics, phenology and conservation of the only colony of Myotis capaccinii known for Liguria, Piedmont and Aosta Valley (NW Italy). In: Prigioni C., Balestrieri A., Preatoni D.G., Masseroni E. (Eds.). VIII Congr. It. Teriologia, Hystrix, It. J. Mamm., (N.S.) SUPP. 2012: 110.

Debernardi P., Patriarca E. e Toffoli R., 2005. Il monitoraggio dello stato di conservazione dei Chirotteri in allegato II Direttiva 92/43/CEE in Piemonte e Valle d’Aosta. In: Prigioni et al. (eds.), 2005. V Congr. It. Teriologia, Hystrix, It. J. Mamm., (N.S.) suppl. (2005): 123.

Debernardi P., Patriarca E., 2007. The bats of the Lake Maggiore Piedmont shore (NW Italy). Hystrix It. J. Mamm. (n.s.) 18 (1): 39-55.

Debernardi P., Patriarca E., Toffoli R., 2010. Monitoraggio delle colonie di chirotteri riproduttive e svernanti di particolare interesse conservazionistico note in Piemonte e dati preliminari sull’attività di swarming. Stato delle conoscenze al 30 aprile 2010. CRC, Regione Piemonte - Direzione ambiente - Settore pianificazione e gestione aree naturali protette (relazione interna). Pp. 83.

Debernardi T., Patriarca E., 207-8: Prima segnalazione di Myotis bechsteinii, Myotis daubentonii, Myotis nattereri, Nyctalus leisleri, Pipistrellus pygmaeus, Plecotus macrobullaris e Tadarida taeniotis in Valle d'Aosta. Aggiornamento dell'inventario dei Chirotteri noti per la Regione. Rav. Vald. Hist. Nat., 61-62: 5-27.

Insubria DataBat, 2012. Data base chirotteri dell'Università degli Studi dell'Insubria aggiornato al 2012.

Lapini L., Dall’Asta A., Dublo L., Spoto M., Venier E., 1996 (1995). Materiali per una teriofauna dell’Italia Nord - Orientale (Mammalia, Friuli-Venezia Giulia). Gortania 17: 149-248.
Museo di S.N di Bolzano. Indagine sui pipistrelli dell'Alto Adige (1991-2007)

Pascutto T., Balestrieri A., 2000. Note sui Chirotteri troglofili osservati in alcune cavità del Piemonte. Orso Speleo Biellese C.A.I., Biella. 27(21): 18-31.

Provincia di Trento. Rilevamenti e monitoraggi popolazioni chirotteri della provincia di Trento nel periodo 1999-2012.

Regione Liguria, 2008, Carta della Biodiversità, www.ambienteinliguria.it

Spada M., Preatoni G., Tosi G., Martinoli A., 2010. Piano di monitoraggio dei Vertebrati terrestri di interesse comunitario (Direttive 79/409/CEE e 92/43/CEE) in Lombardia. Il monitoraggio dei Chirotteri. Fondazione Lombardia per l'Ambiente, Università degli Studi dell'Insubria.

Toffoli R., 2009. I Chirotteri del SIC IT1160036 Stura di Demonte (e successivi aggiornamenti). IPLA (rapporto interno).

Toffoli R., 2012. I Chirotteri del Parco Naturale Alpi Marittime e del SIC/ZPS IT1160056: presenza e misure di conservazione. Regione Piemonte-Parco Naturale Alpi Marittime (rapporto interno).

Toffoli R., 2012. Studio su avifauna e chirotterofauna per progetto d'impianto eolico in località Monte Pennino, Colle di San Bernardo, Bric Verdiola (Garessio, CN) ai sensi dalla D.G.R. Regione Piemonte n. 20- 11717 del 6 luglio 2009. (Rapporto inedito).

Vigorita V., Cucè L., 2008. La fauna selvatica in Lombardia. Rapporto 2008 su distribuzione, abbondanza e stato di conservazione di uccelli e mammiferi. Regione Lombardia. Pp. 364.

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)	46500	
2.3.2 Method - Range surface area	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)	
2.3.3 Short-term trend period	2001-2012	
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction	stable (0)	
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude	min	max
2.3.6 Long-term trend period		
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction	N/A	
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude	min	max
2.3.9 Favourable reference range	area (km²)	
	operator	approximately equal to (≈)
	unkown	No
	method	Expert judgement
2.3.10 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate dataUse of different method	

2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size (individuals or agreed exception)	Unit	N/A		
	min		max	
2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals)	Unit	number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10)		
	min	122	max	122
2.4.3 Additional information	Definition of locality			

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

	Conversion method		
	Problems	Impossible to convert grids into individuals	
2.4.4 Year or period	1985-2012		
2.4.5 Method – population size	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)		
2.4.6 Short-term trend period	2001-2012		
2.4.7 Short term trend direction	stable (0)		
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude	min	max	confidence interval
2.4.9 Short-term trend method	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)		
2.4.10 Long-term trend period			
2.4.11 Long term trend direction	N/A		
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude	min	max	confidence interval
2.4.13 Long-term trend method	N/A		
2.4.14 Favourable reference population	number		
	operator	approximately equal to (≈)	
	unknown	No	
	method	Expert judgement	
2.4.15 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method		

2.5 Habitat for the Species

2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²)	
2.5.2 Year or period	
2.5.3 Method used - habitat	Absent data (0)
2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat	Moderate
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method	Expert based
2.5.5 Short term trend period	2001-2012
2.5.6 Short term trend direction	decrease (-)
2.5.7 Long-term trend period	
2.5.8 Long term trend direction	N/A
2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)	
2.5.10 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

2.6 Main Pressures

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
abandonment / lack of mowing (A03.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
abandonment of pastoral systems, lack of grazing (A04.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Forest and Plantation management & use (B02)	high importance (H)	N/A
closures of caves or galleries (G05.08)	high importance (H)	N/A
Roads, paths and railroads (D01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
demolishment of buildings & human structures (E06.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
reconstruction, renovation of buildings (E06.02)	high importance (H)	N/A
speleology (G01.04.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A

2.6.1 Method used – pressures	based only on expert judgements (1)

2.7 Main Threats

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
abandonment / lack of mowing (A03.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
abandonment of pastoral systems, lack of grazing (A04.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Forest and Plantation management & use (B02)	high importance (H)	N/A
closures of caves or galleries (G05.08)	high importance (H)	N/A
Roads, paths and railroads (D01)	high importance (H)	N/A
demolishment of buildings & human structures (E06.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
reconstruction, renovation of buildings (E06.02)	high importance (H)	N/A
speleology (G01.04.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A

2.7.1 Method used – threats: expert opinion (1)

2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range
- assessment: Favourable (FV)
- qualifiers: N/A

2.9.2. Population
- assessment: Favourable (FV)
- qualifiers: N/A

2.9.3. Habitat
- assessment: Inadequate (U1)
- qualifiers: N/A

2.9.4. Future prospects
- assessment: Inadequate (U1)
- qualifiers: N/A

2.9.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status: Inadequate (U1)

2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status: declining (-)

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size
- Unit: N/A
- min
- max

3.1.2 Method used: Absent data (0)

3.1.3 Trend of population size within: N/A

3.2 Conversation Measures

3.2.1 Measure	3.2.2 Type	3.2.3 Ranking	3.2.4 Location	3.2.5 Broad Evaluation
Other agriculture-related measures (2.0)	Contractual	medium importance (M)	Inside	No effect

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

Maintaining grasslands and other open habitats (2.1)	Legal	medium importance (M)	Both	Not evaluated
Other forestry-related measures (3.0)	Contractual	medium importance (M)	Inside	No effect
Legal protection of habitats and species (6.3)	Legal	high importance (H)	Both	Not evaluated
Specific single species or species group management measures (7.4)	One-off	low importance (L)	Outside	Not evaluated
Other measures (8.0)	Legal Administrative One-off	high importance (H)	Both	Maintain Not evaluated