0.1 Member State	IT
0.2.1 Species code	1109
0.2.2 Species name	Thymallus thymallus
0.2.3 Alternative species scientific name	N/A
0.2.4 Common name	temolo

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map	Yes
1.1.1a Sensitive species	No
1.1.2 Method used - map	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
1.1.3 Year or period	1997-2012
1.1.4 Additional map	No
1.1.5 Range map	Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

Continental (CON)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Alessandra Ippoliti, Andrea Sibilia (Associazione Italiana Ittiologi Acque dolci - AIIAD) and Anna Alonzi, Piero Genovesi, Francesca Ronchi (Institute for Environmental Protection and Research - ISPRA). Information, unpublished data and experts' judgments have been provided by Francesco Nonnis Marzano, Massimo Lorenzoni, Giuseppe Maio, Massimo Pascale, Armando Piccinini, Elisabetta Pizzul, Cesare M. Puzzi, Lorenzo Tancioni, Paolo Turin (AIIAD).

Dataset ETP 1988-2012. Regione Friuli Venezia Giulia;

G.R.A.I.A. Srl, 2000. Carta delle vocazioni ittiche della provincia di Varese. Provincia di Varese, 264 pp.;

G.R.A.I.A. Srl, 2004. Progetto Life-Natura di "Conservazione di Salmo marmoratus e Rutilus pigus nel Fiume Ticino" - Life-nat00/it/7268. Life-Nature Programm, Consorzio Parco Lombardo della Valle del Ticino, Pontevecchio di Magenta (MI). Technical Reports, unpublished document;

G.R.A.I.A. Srl, 2007. Carta Ittica del Fiume Po. Autorità di Bacino del Fiume Po, Parma. Technical Report, unpublished document;

Lombardi C., 2002. Carta provinciale delle vocazioni ittiche. Provincia di Cremona, Settore Agricoltura, Caccia e Pesca, 400 pp.;

Marconato E., Maio G., Salviati S., 2000. La fauna ittica della Provincia di Venezia. Provincia di Venezia, Ass. Caccia, Pesca e Polizia Provinciale, 176 pp.;

Perosino G., 2006. Monitoraggio della fauna ittica in Piemonte. Regione Piemonte, unpublished data.

Provincia di Bergamo, 2001. Carta Ittica della provincia di Bergamo. Provincia di Bergamo, 150 pp.;

Provincia di Imperia, 2010. Monitoraggi Ittici. Unpublished data;

Provincia di Milano, 1999-2005. Verbali dei recuperi di pesce compiuti nei canali della rete irrigua. Unpublished data;

Provincia di Treviso, 2012. Carta ittica della Provincia di Treviso, aggiornamento 2008-2010. Rapporto tecnico pubblicato sul web. 181 pp.;

Regione Emilia-Romagna, 2002. Carta ittica dell'Emilia-Romagna Zona "D". Regione Emilia-Romagna, 313 pp.

09/04/2014 15.24.49 Page 1 of 7

Regione Piemonte, 2009. Ittiofauna del Piemonte (anno di monitoraggio 2009) -Testo di illustrazione dei parametri fisiogeografici relativi agli ambienti fluviali ed allo stato delle popolazioni ittiche - tabella riassuntiva dati.xls; Technical Report, published on internet;

Turin P., Locatelli R., 2010 "Carta Ittica – Aggiornamento dello stato delle conoscenze sui popolamenti ittici della Provincia di Padova". Ed. Provincia di Padova, 332 pp.

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²) 2.3.2 Method - Range surface area 2.3.3 Short-term trend period 2.3.4 Short-term trend direction 2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude 2.3.6 Long-term trend period

2.3.7 Long-term trend direction 2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude 2.3.9 Favourable reference range

2.3.10 Reason for change

19900

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2001-2012 decrease (-)

min max

1989-2012 decrease (-)

min max

area (km²)

operator much more than (>>)

unkown

method **Expert opinion**

Improved knowledge/more accurate dataUse of different method

2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size (individuals or agreed exception)

2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals) Unit N/A

> min max

Unit number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10)

41 max min 41

2.4.3 Additional information

Definition of locality

Conversion method not available

Problems it's not possible to convert grids into individuals

2.4.4 Year or period

2.4.5 Method – population size

2.4.6 Short-term trend period 2.4.7 Short term trend direction

2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude

2.4.9 Short-term trend method

2.4.10 Long-term trend period 2.4.11 Long term trend direction

2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude

2.4.13 Long-term trend method

2.4.14 Favourable reference population

1999-2012

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2001-2012

decrease (-)

min confidence interval max

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

1989-2012 decrease (-)

confidence interval min max

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

number

operator much more than (>>)

unknown No

method **Expert opinion**

2.4.15 Reason for change Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

2.5 Habitat for the Species

09/04/2014 15.24.49 Page 2 of 7

2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²) 2.5.2 Year or period 2.5.3 Method used - habitat 2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat 2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method 2.5.5 Short term trend period 2.5.6 Short term trend direction 2.5.7 Long-term trend period 2.5.8 Long term trend direction 2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²) 2.5.10 Reason for change	Absent data (0) Moderate Expert opinion 2001-2012 decrease (-) 1989-2012 decrease (-)	ge/more accurate data Use of c	lifferent method
2.6 Main Pressures			
Pressure		ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
genetic pollution (animals) (I03.01)		high importance (H)	N/A
Water abstractions from surface water	rs (J02.06)	high importance (H)	N/A
Fishing and harvesting aquatic resourc		medium importance (M)	N/A
invasive non-native species (IO1)		high importance (H)	N/A
anthropogenic reduction of habitat connectivity (J03.02)		medium importance (M)	N/A
reduction or loss of specific habitat features (J03.01)		high importance (H)	N/A
surface water abstractions by hydro-energy (J02.06.06)		high importance (H)	N/A
antagonism arising from introduction of species (K03.05)		medium importance (M)	N/A
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & to brackish) (H01)	errestrial, marine &	medium importance (M)	N/A
predation (K03.04)		medium importance (M)	N/A
2.6.1 Method used – pressures mainly based on ex		pert judgement and other data	(2)
2.7 Main Threats			
Threat		ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
genetic pollution (animals) (I03.01)		high importance (H)	N/A

217 IVIAIII TIII CACS			
Threat		ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
genetic pollution (animals) (I03.01)		high importance (H)	N/A
Water abstractions from surface waters (J02.06)		high importance (H)	N/A
Fishing and harvesting aquatic resources (F02)		medium importance (M)	N/A
invasive non-native species (I01)		high importance (H)	N/A
anthropogenic reduction of habitat connectivity (J03.02)		medium importance (M)	N/A
reduction or loss of specific habitat features (J03.01)		high importance (H)	N/A
surface water abstractions by hydro-energy (J02.06.06)		high importance (H)	N/A
antagonism arising from introduction of species (K03.05)		medium importance (M)	N/A
predation (K03.04)		medium importance (M)	N/A
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)		medium importance (M)	N/A
2.7.1 Method used – threats	expert opinion (1)		

2.8 Complementary Information

09/04/2014 15.24.49 Page 3 of 7

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

The Italian native populations of Thymallus thymallus, with tipical livery and dorsal fin blue, are going to be displaced by allochthonous population deriving from introduction activities.

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range assessment Bad (U2) qualifiers N/A

2.9.2. Population assessment Bad (U2) qualifiers N/A

2.9.3. Habitat assessment Inadequate (U1)

qualifiers N/A
2.9.4. Future prospects assessment Bad (U2)

assessment Bad (U2) qualifiers N/A

2.9.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status

2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status

declining (-)

Bad (U2)

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size Unit N/A

min max

3.1.2 Method used

3.1.3 Trend of population size within

N/A N/A

3.2 Conversation Measures

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

Alpine (ALP)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Alessandra Ippoliti, Andrea Sibilia (Associazione Italiana Ittiologi Acque dolci - AIIAD) and Anna Alonzi, Piero Genovesi, Francesca Ronchi (Institute for Environmental Protection and Research - ISPRA). Information, unpublished data and experts' judgments have been provided by Francesco Nonnis Marzano, Massimo Lorenzoni, Giuseppe Maio, Massimo Pascale, Armando Piccinini, Elisabetta Pizzul, Cesare M. Puzzi, Lorenzo Tancioni, Paolo Turin (AIIAD).

Badino G., Lodi E., Forneris G., Marconato E., Maio G., Pascale M., Perosino G. C., Salviati S., 1997. Carta ittica - Bacino della Dora Baltea (seconda fase). Regione Autonoma Valle d'Aosta - Ass. Agr., Forest. e Ris. Nat., 143 pp.;

Dataset ETP 1988-2012. Regione Friuli Venezia Giulia;

G.R.A.I.A. Srl, 2000. Carta delle vocazioni ittiche della provincia di Varese. Provincia di Varese, 264 pp.

G.R.A.I.A. Srl, 2005. Carta Ittica della Provincia di Brescia - Provincia di Brescia, settore Caccia e Pesca. Provincia di Brescia, 468 pp.;

09/04/2014 15.24.49 Page 4 of 7

G.R.A.I.A. Srl, 2007. Carta Ittica del Fiume Po. Autorità di Bacino del Fiume Po, Parma. Technical Report, unpublished document;

Piccola guida ittiofauna dei biotopi della Provincia di Trento, Carta ittica provincia di Trento, Monitoraggi ad hoc riserve naturali provinciali;

Provincia di Bolzano, carte di distribuzione della fauna ittica presenti sul sito (http://www.provincia.bz.it/foreste/pesca/carta-distributiva.asp);

Provincia di Como, 2005. Carta ittica della Provincia di Como. Unpublished data; Provincia di Sondrio, 1998. Ittiofauna e gestione della pesca in provincia di Sondrio. Edoardo Fusi editore, 101 pp.

Provincia di Verona, 2008. Carta Ittica della Provincia di Verona. Rapporto tecnico pubblicato sul web. 210 pp.;

Regione Lombardia, 2012. Programma Regionale della Pesca e dell'Acquacoltura di Regione Lombardia (P.R.P.A.) per il triennio 2012-2014. Rapporto tecnico, 266 pp.;

Regione Piemonte, 2009. Ittiofauna del Piemonte (anno di monitoraggio 2009) - Testo di illustrazione dei parametri fisiogeografici relativi agli ambienti fluviali ed allo stato delle popolazioni ittiche - tabella riassuntiva dati.xls. Technical Report, published on internet;

Ufficio Caccia e Pesca della Provincia Autonoma di Bolzano;

Zanetti M., Turin P., Grava Vanin B., Bilò M.F., Rossi V., Guerra D., Loro R., 2000. Carta ittica della Provincia di Belluno. Prov. Belluno, Ass. Pesca e Tutela delle Acque, 287 pp.

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)

2.3.2 Method - Range surface area

2.3.3 Short-term trend period

2.3.4 Short-term trend direction

2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude

2.3.6 Long-term trend period

2.3.7 Long-term trend direction

2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude

2.3.9 Favourable reference range

37900

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2001-2012 decrease (-)

min max

1989-2012 decrease (-)

min max

area (km²)

operator much more than (>>)

unkown No

method Expert opinion

2.3.10 Reason for change

Improved knowledge/more accurate dataUse of different method

2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size

(individuals or agreed exception)

Unit N/A

min max

2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals)

Unit number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10)

min 108 max 108

2.4.3 Additional information

Definition of locality

Conversion method not available

Problems it's not possible to convert grids into individuals

2.4.4 Year or period

1997-2012

2.4.5 Method - population size

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2.4.6 Short-term trend period 2001-2012

09/04/2014 15.24.50 Page 5 of 7

2.4.7 Short term trend direction	decrease (-	-)		
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude	min	max	confidence interval	
2.4.9 Short-term trend method	Estimate b	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)		
2.4.10 Long-term trend period	1989-2012			
2.4.11 Long term trend direction	decrease (-)			
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude	min	max	confidence interval	
2.4.13 Long-term trend method	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2			
2.4.14 Favourable reference	number			
population	operator	much more than (>>)		
	unknown	No		
	method	Expert opinion		
2.4.15 Reason for change	Improved l	knowledge/more accurate	e data Use of different method	

2.5 Habitat for the Species

2.5.10 Reason for change

2.6.1 Method used – pressures

2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²) 2.5.2 Year or period 2.5.3 Method used - habitat Absent data (0) 2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat Moderate 2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method Expert opinion 2.5.5 Short term trend period 2001-2012 2.5.6 Short term trend direction decrease (-) 2.5.7 Long-term trend period 1989-2012 2.5.8 Long term trend direction decrease (-) 2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)

Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

2.6 Main Pressures Pressure ranking pollution qualifier(s) genetic pollution (animals) (103.01) high importance (H) N/A Water abstractions from surface waters (J02.06) high importance (H) N/A Fishing and harvesting aquatic resources (F02) medium importance (M) N/A invasive non-native species (IO1) high importance (H) N/A anthropogenic reduction of habitat connectivity (J03.02) medium importance (M) N/A reduction or loss of specific habitat features (J03.01) high importance (H) N/A surface water abstractions by hydro-energy (J02.06.06) high importance (H) N/A antagonism arising from introduction of species (K03.05) N/A medium importance (M) Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & medium importance (M) N/A brackish) (H01) predation (K03.04) medium importance (M) N/A

2.7 Main Threats		
Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
genetic pollution (animals) (103.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
Water abstractions from surface waters (J02.06)	high importance (H)	N/A
Fishing and harvesting aquatic resources (F02)	medium importance (M)	N/A

09/04/2014 15.24.50 Page 6 of 7

mainly based on expert judgement and other data (2)

invasive non-native species (I01)	high importance (H)	N/A
anthropogenic reduction of habitat connectivity (J03.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
reduction or loss of specific habitat features (J03.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
surface water abstractions by hydro-energy (J02.06.06)	high importance (H)	N/A
antagonism arising from introduction of species (K03.05)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
predation (K03.04)	medium importance (M)	N/A

2.7.1 Method used – threats expert opinion (1)

2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

The Italian native populations of Thymallus thymallus, with tipical livery and dorsal fin blue, are going to be displaced by allochthonous population deriving from introduction activities.

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range

assessment Bad (U2)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.2. Population

assessment Bad (U2)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.3. Habitat

assessment Inadequate (U1)

qualifiers N/A
2.9.4. Future prospects assessment Bad (U2)

.9.4. Future prospects

assessment Bad (U2)
qualifiers N/A

.9.5 Overall assessment of
Bad (U2)

2.9.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status2.9.5 Overall trend in

Conservation Status

declining (-)

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size Unit N/A

min max

3.1.2 Method used N/A
3.1.3 Trend of population size within N/A

3.2 Conversation Measures

09/04/2014 15.24.50 Page 7 of 7