0.1 Member State	IT
0.2.1 Species code	1333
0.2.2 Species name	Tadarida teniotis
0.2.3 Alternative species scientific name	N/A
0.2.4 Common name	N/A

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map	Yes
1.1.1a Sensitive species	No
1.1.2 Method used - map	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
1.1.3 Year or period	1985-2012
1.1.4 Additional map	No
1.1.5 Range map	Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

Mediterranean (MED)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Daniele Paoloni, Cristiano Spilinga (Associazione Teriologica Italiana - ATIt) and Anna Alonzi, Piero Genovesi, Francesca Ronchi (Institute for Environmental Protection and Research - ISPRA). Information, unpublished data and experts' judgments have been provided by Paolo Agnelli, Mara Calvini, Luca Cistrone, Michele Ferretto, Mauro Mucedda, Danilo Russo, Dino Scaravelli, Martina Spada, Roberto Toffoli, Simone Vergari (Italian Group for bat Research).

Archivio Osservatorio Regionale per Biodiversità. Regione Umbria.

Bux M., Russo D. e Scillitani G. 2003. La chirotterofauna della Puglia. Hystrix, It. J. Mamm. (n. s.) supp.: 150.

Calvini M., 2006. Monitoraggio dei chirotteri nella piana del Magra e Vallecchia (SP) (rapporto interno).

Calvini M., 2006. I Chirotteri della ZPS Beigua-Turchino e del Parco del Beigua; 70 pag. Ente Parco del Beigua, Regione Liguria.

Calvini M., 2007. Studio preliminare sulla chirotterofauna delle tre foreste demaniali del Parco dell'Aveto (rapporto interno).

Calvini M., 2007. I Chirotteri delle Alpi Liguri; 24 pag. Provincia di Imperia, Regione Liguria.

Calvini M., 2009. Indagine chirotterologica nei seguenti SIC della provincia di Savona: IT1323201, IT1324011, IT1323112 e IT1323203 (rapporto interno).

Calvini M., 2010. Monitoraggio delle colonie di chirotteri riproduttive e svernanti di particolare interesse conservazionistico note in Liguria (rapporto interno).

Database del Repertorio Naturalistico Toscano.

22/04/2014 16.23.32 Page 1 of 11

Loy A., De Lisio L., Capula M., Ciucci P., Russo D., Sciarretta A., 2012. Rapporto finale - Convenzione stipulata tra la Regione Molise e la Unione Zoologica Italiana per la realizzazione dei piani di gestione dei Siti Natura 2000.n. 1393/2008. Unione Zoologica Italiana, Regione Molise.

Marsico A., 1999. Contributo alla conoscenza della chirotterofauna pugliese. Dati biogeografici, fenologici e morfologici. Tesi di laurea i Zoologia dei Vertebrati, Corso di laurea in Scienze Naturali, Univ. di Bari.

Mucedda M., Bertelli M. L., Pidinchedda E., 2005. Primi dati sui pipistrelli dell'area mineraria Montevecchio-Ingurtosu (Guspini-Arbus, Sardegna Sud-Occidentale). Rendiconti Seminario Facoltà Scienze Università Cagliari. 75, 1-2: 89-97.

Regione Autonoma della Sardegna - Assessorato Difesa Ambiente , 2012 - "Servizio di monitoraggio dello stato di conservazione degli habitat e delle specie di importanza comunitaria presenti nei siti della Rete Natura 2000 in Sardegna – Linea 4. Redazione del Rapporto sullo stato di conservazione di habitat e specie.

Regione Liguria, 2008, Carta della Biodiversità, www.ambienteinliguria.it

Scaravelli D. e Bertozzi M., 2001. Nota sui Chirotteri e micromammiferi delle gravine materane. Abstract III Conv. Ital. Di Teriologia.

Ruffo S., Stoch F., 2005. Checklist e distribuzione della fauna italiana. Memorie del Museo Civico di storia naturale di Verona, 2.serie, Sezione scienze della Vita 16.

Toffoli R., 2011. I Chirotteri del Parco Naturale delle Capanne di Marcarolo. Regione Piemonte-Parco Naturale delle Capanne di Marcarolo (rapporto interno).

Spilinga C., Russo D., Carletti S., Jiménez Grijalva M.P., Sergiacomi U., Ragni B., (in stampa). Chirotteri dell'Umbria. Distribuzione geografica ed ecologica. Regione Umbria. Università degli Studi di Perugia.

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)

2.3.2 Method - Range surface area

2.3.3 Short-term trend period

2.3.4 Short-term trend direction

2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude

2.3.6 Long-term trend period

2.3.7 Long-term trend direction

2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude

2.3.9 Favourable reference range

79300

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2001-2012 stable (0)

min max

N/A

min max

area (km²)

operator approximately equal to (≈)

unkown No

method Expert judgement

Improved knowledge/more accurate dataUse of different method

2.3.10 Reason for change

2.4 Population

22/04/2014 16.23.32 Page 2 of 11

2.4.1 Population size	Unit N/A
(individuals or agreed exception)	min max
2.4.2 Population size	Unit number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10)
(other than individuals)	min 230 max 230
2.4.3 Additional information	Definition of locality
	Conversion method
	Problems Impossible to convert grids to individuals
2.4.4 Year or period	1985-2012
2.4.5 Method – population size	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)
2.4.6 Short-term trend period	2001-2012
2.4.7 Short term trend direction	stable (0)
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude 2.4.9 Short-term trend method	min max confidence interval
2.4.10 Long-term trend period	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)
2.4.11 Long term trend direction	N/A
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude	min max confidence interval
2.4.13 Long-term trend method	N/A
2.4.14 Favourable reference	number
population	operator approximately equal to (≈)
	unknown No
	method Expert judgement
2.4.15 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method
2.5 Habitat for the Species	
2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²)	
2.5.2 Year or period	
2.5.3 Method used - habitat	Absent data (0) Good
2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat	
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method2.5.5 Short term trend period	Expert based 2001-2012
2.3.3 Short term trend period	2001-2012

2.5.6 Short term trend direction

2.5.7 Long-term trend period

2.5.8 Long term trend direction

2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)

2.5.10 Reason for change

stable (0)

N/A

Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

2.6 Main Pressures Pressure pollution qualifier(s) ranking demolishment of buildings & human structures (E06.01) medium importance (M) N/A reconstruction, renovation of buildings (E06.02) medium importance (M) N/A Mining and quarrying (C01) medium importance (M) N/A mountaineering & rock climbing (G01.04.01) medium importance (M) N/A use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07) low importance (L) N/A wind energy production (C03.03) low importance (L) N/A antagonism with domestic animals (K03.06) medium importance (M) N/A

22/04/2014 16.23.32 Page 3 of 11

2.6.1 Method used – pressures	based only on expe	rt judgements (1)	
2.7 Main Threats			
Threat		ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
demolishment of buildings & human s	tructures (E06.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
reconstruction, renovation of building	s (E06.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Mining and quarrying (C01)		medium importance (M)	N/A
mountaineering & rock climbing (G01.	04.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
use of biocides, hormones and chemic	cals (A07)	low importance (L)	N/A
wind energy production (C03.03)		low importance (L)	N/A
antagonism with domestic animals (KC	03.06)	medium importance (M)	N/A
2.7.4.84.16.1			

2.7.1 Method used – threats expert opinion (1)

2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range assessment Favourable (FV)

qualifiers N/A

2.9.2. Population assessment Favourable (FV)

qualifiers N/A

2.9.3. Habitat assessment Favourable (FV)

qualifiers N/A

2.9.4. Future prospects assessment Favourable (FV)

qualifiers N/A

Favourable (FV)

2.9.5 Overall assessment of

Conservation Status

2.9.5 Overall trend in

Conservation Status

N/A

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size Unit N/A

min max

3.1.2 Method used N/A

3.1.3 Trend of population size within N/A

3.2 Conversation Measures

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

Continental (CON)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Daniele Paoloni, Cristiano Spilinga (Associazione Teriologica Italiana - ATIt) and Anna

22/04/2014 16.23.32 Page 4 of 11

Alonzi, Piero Genovesi, Francesca Ronchi (Institute for Environmental Protection and Research - ISPRA). Information, unpublished data and experts' judgments have been provided by Paolo Agnelli, Mara Calvini, Luca Cistrone, Michele Ferretto, Danilo Russo, Dino Scaravelli, Martina Spada, Roberto Toffoli, Simone Vergari (Italian Group for bat Research).

Archivio Osservatorio Regionale per Biodiversità. Regione Umbria.

Archivio Stazione Teriologica Piemontese.

Calvini M., 2006. Monitoraggio dei chirotteri nella piana del Magra e Vallecchia (SP) (rapporto interno).

Calvini M., 2007. Studio preliminare sulla chirotterofauna delle tre foreste demaniali del Parco dell'Aveto (rapporto interno).

Calvini M., 2009. I Chirotteri del SIC IT1110022 Stagno di Oulx e IT1110020 Lago di Viverone. IPLA (rapporto interno).

Calvini M., 2010. Monitoraggio delle colonie di chirotteri riproduttive e svernanti di particolare interesse conservazionistico note in Liguria (rapporto interno).

Debernardi P., Patriarca E., 2009. Attivita' di rilevamento chirotterologico ed esperienze pilota di gestione ambientale finalizzate alla conservazione dei chirotteri presso il Parco Naturale Laghi di Avigliana. Pp. 29. (Rapporto interno).

G.I.R.C. Gruppo Italiano Ricerca Chirotteri, 2004. The Italian Bat Roost Project: a preliminary inventory of sites and conservation perspectives. Hystrix It. J. Mamm. (n.s.) 15 (2): 55-68.

Mappatura effettuata mediante GIS attraverso la georeferziazione su griglia UE 10 km delle segnalazioni archiviate sulla Banca Dati Regionale (aggiornamento al 2010).

Patriarca E., Debernardi P., 2011. Approfondimento delle conoscenze chirotterologiche riguardanti il territorio di riferimento delle aree protette del Lago Maggiore. Periodo 30/04/2009 – 30/04/2011. Interreg Italia –Svizzera 2007-2013. Rapporto interno per conto Ente dei Parchi e delle Riserve naturali del Lago Maggiore. Pp. 48.

Regione Liguria, 2008, Carta della Biodiversità, www.ambienteinliguria.it

Ruffo S., Stoch F., 2005. Checklist e distribuzione della fauna italiana. Memorie del Museo Civico di storia naturale di Verona, 2.serie, Sezione scienze della Vita 16.

Spilinga C., Russo D., Carletti S., Jiménez Grijalva M.P., Sergiacomi U., Ragni B., (in stampa). Chirotteri dell'Umbria. Distribuzione geografica ed ecologica. Regione Umbria. Università degli Studi di Perugia

Toffoli R., 2011. Studio su avifauna e chirotterofauna per progetto d'impianto eolico "Le terre del Giarolo" Comuni di Albera Ligure, Cabella Ligure, Cantalupo Ligure, Fabbrica Curone Montacuto (Alessandria) ai sensi della D.G.R. Regione

22/04/2014 16.23.32 Page 5 of 11

Piemonte n. 20- 11717 del 6 luglio 2009. (Rapporto inedito).

2.3 Range			
 2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²) 2.3.2 Method - Range surface area 2.3.3 Short-term trend period 2.3.4 Short-term trend direction 	26700 Estimate based on p 2001-2012 stable (0)	artial data with some	extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude2.3.6 Long-term trend period	min	max	
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude	N/A min	max	
2.3.9 Favourable reference range	area (km²) operator	approximately equa	Ito(≈)
	unkown method	No Expert judgement	1.65 (1)
2.3.10 Reason for change			Use of different method
2.4 Population			
2.4.1 Population size	Unit N/A		
(individuals or agreed exception)	min	max	
2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals)	Unit number of min 77	map 10x10 km grid ce	lls (grids10x10)
2.4.3 Additional information	Definition of locality		
	Conversion method		
	Problems	Impossible to cor	vert grids to individuals
2.4.4 Year or period	1985-2012		
2.4.5 Method – population size2.4.6 Short-term trend period	Estimate based on e 2001-2012	xpert opinion with no	or minimal sampling (1)
2.4.7 Short term trend direction	stable (0)		
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude	min	max	confidence interval
2.4.9 Short-term trend method 2.4.10 Long-term trend period	Estimate based on e		or minimal sampling (1)
2.4.11 Long term trend direction	N/A		
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude	min	max	confidence interval
2.4.13 Long-term trend method2.4.14 Favourable reference	N/A number		
population		mately equal to (≈)	
	unknown No	, , , , , ,	
	method Expert	udgement	
2.4.15 Reason for change	Improved knowledge	e/more accurate data	Use of different method
2.5 Habitat for the Species			
2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²)			
2.5.2 Year or period			
2.5.3 Method used - habitat	Absent data (0)		

22/04/2014 16.23.32 Page 6 of 11

Good

Expert based

2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat

2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method

2.5.5 Short term trend period 2001-2012 2.5.6 Short term trend direction stable (0) 2.5.7 Long-term trend period 2.5.8 Long term trend direction N/A 2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)

2.5.10 Reason for change

Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

2.6 Main Pressures		
Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
demolishment of buildings & human structures (E06.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
reconstruction, renovation of buildings (E06.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Mining and quarrying (C01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
mountaineering & rock climbing (G01.04.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	low importance (L)	N/A
wind energy production (C03.03)	low importance (L)	N/A
antagonism with domestic animals (K03.06)	medium importance (M)	N/A
2.6.1 Method used – pressures based only on exper	t judgements (1)	
2.7 Main Threats		
Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
demolishment of buildings & human structures (E06.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
reconstruction, renovation of buildings (E06.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Mining and quarrying (C01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
mountaineering & rock climbing (G01.04.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	low importance (L)	N/A
wind energy production (C03.03)	low importance (L)	N/A
mile energy production (costs)	low importance (L)	14/74

2.7.1 Method used – threats

expert opinion (1)

2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

Conservation Status

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A 2.9.2. Population assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A 2.9.3. Habitat assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A 2.9.4. Future prospects assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A 2.9.5 Overall assessment of Favourable (FV)

> 22/04/2014 16.23.32 Page 7 of 11

2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status

N/A

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size Unit N/A

min max

3.1.2 Method used N/A

3.1.3 Trend of population size within N/A

3.2 Conversation Measures

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

Alpine (ALP)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Daniele Paoloni, Cristiano Spilinga (Associazione Teriologica Italiana - ATIt) and Anna Alonzi, Piero Genovesi, Francesca Ronchi (Institute for Environmental Protection and Research - ISPRA). Information, unpublished data and experts' judgments have been provided by Paolo Agnelli, Mara Calvini, Luca Cistrone, Michele Ferretto, Danilo Russo, Dino Scaravelli, Martina Spada, Roberto Toffoli, Simone Vergari (Italian Group for bat Research).

Archivio Stazione Teriologica Piemontese.

Calvini M., 2007. I Chirotteri delle Alpi Liguri; 24 pag. Provincia di Imperia, Regione Liguria.

Calvini M., 2009. I Chirotteri del SIC IT1110022 Stagno di Oulx e IT1110020 Lago di Viverone. IPLA (rapporto interno).

Calvini M., 2009. Indagine sulla chirotterofauna nel SIC "Bric Tana-Bric Mongarda", comune di Millesimo (SV).

Calvini M., 2009. Indagine chirotterologica nei seguenti SIC della provincia di Savona: IT1323201, IT1324011, IT1323112 e IT1323203 (rapporto interno).

Calvini M., 2010. Monitoraggio delle colonie di chirotteri riproduttive e svernanti di particolare interesse conservazionistico note in Liguria (rapporto interno).

Culasso P., Toffoli R., 2011. I Chirotteri del Parco Naturale Alpe Veglia e Alpe Devero e del SIC/ZPS Alpe Veglia e Devero-Monte Giove. Regione Piemonte-Parco Naturale Alpe Veglia e Alpe Devero (rapporto interno).

Dati AVK - Arbeitsgemeinschaft Vogelkunde Südtirol (1991-1996).

Debernardi P., Patriarca E., 2009. Attivita' di rilevamento chirotterologico ed esperienze pilota di gestione ambientale finalizzate alla conservazione dei chirotteri presso il Parco Naturale Laghi di Avigliana. Pp. 29. (Rapporto interno).

22/04/2014 16.23.32 Page 8 of 11

Debernardi P., Patriarca E., 2007. The bats of the Lake Maggiore Piedmont shore (NW Italy). Hystrix It. J. Mamm. (n.s.) 18 (1): 39-55.

Debernardi P., Patriarca E., Toffoli R., 2010. Monitoraggio delle colonie di chirotteri riproduttive e svernanti di particolare interesse conservazionistico note in Piemonte e dati preliminari sull'attività di swarming. Stato delle conoscenze al 30 aprile 2010. CRC, Regione Piemonte - Direzione ambiente - Settore pianificazione e gestione aree naturali protette (relazione interna). Pp. 83.

Debernardi T., Patriarca E., 207-8: Prima segnalazione di Myotis bechsteinii, Myotis daubentonii, Myotis nattereri, Nyctalus leisleri, Pipistrellus pygmaeus, Plecotus macrobullaris e Tadarida taeniotis in Valle d'Aosta. Aggiornamento dell'inventario dei Chirotteri noti per la Regione. Rev. Vald. Hist. Nat., 61-62: 5-27.

Museo di S.N di Bolzano Indagine sui pipistrelli dell'Alto Adige (1991-2007).

Provincia di Trento. 2012. Rilevamenti e monitoraggi popolazioni chirotteri della provincia di Trento nel periodo 1999-2012.

Regione Liguria, 2008, Carta della Biodiversità, www.ambienteinliguria.it

Ruffo S., Stoch F., 2005. Checklist e distribuzione della fauna italiana. Memorie del Museo Civico di storia naturale di Verona, 2.serie, Sezione scienze della Vita 16.

Toffoli R., 2011. I Chirotteri della Riserva Naturale Parco Burcina "F. Piacenza". Regione Piemonte-Riserva Naturale Parco Burcina (rapporto interno).

Toffoli R., 2012. I Chirotteri del Parco Naturale Alpi Marittime e del SIC/ZPS IT1160056: presenza e misure di conservazione. Regione Piemonte-Parco Naturale Alpi Marittime (rapporto interno).

Toffoli R., 2012. Studio su avifauna e chirotterofauna per progetto d'impianto eolico in località Monte Pennino, Colle di San Bernardo, Bric Verdiola (Garessio, CN) ai sensi dalla D.G.R. Regione Piemonte n. 20- 11717 del 6 luglio 2009. (Rapporto inedito).

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)

2.3.2 Method - Range surface area

2.3.3 Short-term trend period

2.3.4 Short-term trend direction

2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude

2.3.6 Long-term trend period

2.3.7 Long-term trend direction

2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude

2.3.9 Favourable reference range

18300

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2001-2012 stable (0)

min max

N/A

min max

area (km²)

operator approximately equal to (≈)

unkown No

method Expert judgement

2.3.10 Reason for change

Improved knowledge/more accurate dataUse of different method

22/04/2014 16.23.33 Page 9 of 11

2.4 Population	
2.4.1 Population size	Unit N/A
(individuals or agreed exception)	min max
2.4.2 Population size	Unit number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10)
(other than individuals)	min 51 max 51
2.4.3 Additional information	Definition of locality
	Conversion method
	Problems Impossible to convert grids into individuals
2.4.4 Year or period	1985-2012
2.4.5 Method – population size	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)
2.4.6 Short-term trend period	2001-2012
2.4.7 Short term trend direction	stable (0)
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude	min max confidence interval
2.4.9 Short-term trend method	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)
2.4.10 Long-term trend period2.4.11 Long term trend direction	N/A
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude	min max confidence interval
2.4.13 Long-term trend method	N/A
2.4.14 Favourable reference	number
population	operator approximately equal to (≈)
	unknown No
	method Expert judgement
2.4.15 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method
2.5 Habitat for the Species	
2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²)	
2.5.2 Year or period	Absorbt data (O)
2.5.3 Method used - habitat2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat	Absent data (0) Good
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method	Expert based
2.5.5 Short term trend period	2001-2012
2.5.6 Short term trend direction	stable (0)
2.5.7 Long-term trend period	
2.5.8 Long term trend direction	N/A
2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)	
2.5.10 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method
2.6 Main Pressures	
Pressure	ranking pollution qualifier(s)
The state of the s	1505.04)

demolishment of buildings & human structures (E06.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
reconstruction, renovation of buildings (E06.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Mining and quarrying (C01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
mountaineering & rock climbing (G01.04.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	low importance (L)	N/A

wind energy production (C03.03)

22/04/2014 16.23.33 Page 10 of 11

low importance (L)

N/A

antagonism with domestic animals (KO	•	medium importance (M)	N/A
2.6.1 Method used – pressures	based only on expe	ert judgements (1)	
2.7 Main Threats			
Threat		ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
demolishment of buildings & human st		medium importance (M)	N/A
reconstruction, renovation of building	s (E06.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Mining and quarrying (C01)		medium importance (M)	N/A
mountaineering & rock climbing (G01.	04.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
use of biocides, hormones and chemic	als (A07)	low importance (L)	N/A
wind energy production (C03.03)		low importance (L)	N/A
antagonism with domestic animals (KO	3.06)	medium importance (M)	N/A
2.7.1 Method used – threats	expert opinion (1)		
2.8 Complementary Information			
2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends			
2.8.2 Other relevant Information			
2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment			
2.9 Conclusions (assessment of cor	nservation status at	end of reporting period)	
2.9.1 Range	assessment Favou qualifiers N/A	rable (FV)	
2.9.2. Population	assessment Favou qualifiers N/A	rable (FV)	
2.9.3. Habitat	assessment Favou qualifiers N/A	rable (FV)	
2.9.4. Future prospects	assessment Favou qualifiers N/A	rable (FV)	
2.9.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status	Favourable (FV)		
2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status	N/A		

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population				
3.1.1 Population Size	Unit min	N/A	max	
3.1.2 Method used	N/A			
3.1.3 Trend of population size within3.2 Conversation Measures	N/A			

22/04/2014 16.23.33 Page 11 of 11