0.1 Member State	IT
0.2.1 Species code	1323
0.2.2 Species name	Myotis bechsteinii
0.2.3 Alternative species scientific name	N/A
0.2.4 Common name	N/A

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map	Yes
1.1.1a Sensitive species	No
1.1.2 Method used - map	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
1.1.3 Year or period	1985-2012
1.1.4 Additional map	No
1.1.5 Range map	Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

Mediterranean (MED)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Daniele Paoloni, Cristiano Spilinga (Associazione Teriologica Italiana - ATIt) and Anna Alonzi, Piero Genovesi, Francesca Ronchi (Institute for Environmental Protection and Research - ISPRA). Information, unpublished data and experts' judgments have been provided by Paolo Agnelli, Mara Calvini, Luca Cistrone, Michele Ferretto, Danilo Russo, Dino Scaravelli, Martina Spada, Roberto Toffoli, Simone Vergari (Italian Group for bat Research).

Distribution data for the following Nature 2000 sites have been inserted by the Ministry of Environment (source: Italian Nature 2000 database): IT1331402.

Distribution data for the following grid cells have been removed by the Ministry of Environment: 10kmE468N204; 10kmE468N195.

Archivio Osservatorio Regionale per Biodiversità. Regione Umbria.

Calvini M., 2006. Monitoraggio dei chirotteri nella piana del Magra e Vallecchia (SP) (rapporto interno).

Calvini M., 2006. I Chirotteri della ZPS Beigua-Turchino e del Parco del Beigua; 70 pag. Ente Parco del Beigua, Regione Liguria.

Calvini M., 2007. Studio preliminare sulla chirotterofauna delle tre foreste demaniali del Parco dell'Aveto (rapporto interno).

Calvini M., 2007. I Chirotteri delle Alpi Liguri; 24 pag. Provincia di Imperia, Regione Liguria.

Calvini M., 2009. Indagine chirotterologica nei seguenti SIC della provincia di Savona: IT1323201, IT1324011, IT1323112 e IT1323203 (rapporto interno).

Calvini M., 2010. Monitoraggio delle colonie di chirotteri riproduttive e svernanti

22/04/2014 12.01.53 Page 1 of 12

di particolare interesse conservazionistico note in Liguria (rapporto interno).

Capizzi et al. (2012) Progetto atlante dei Mammiferi del Lazio - Regione Lazio -ARP.

Database del Repertorio Naturalistico Toscano DB faunistico Centro Studi Naturalistici-ONLUS

Ente Parco Parco Nazionale del Cilento e Vallo di Diano . Relazione sul monitoraggio dei chirotteri nel Parco Nazionale del Cilento e Vallo di Diano. Regione Liguria, 2008, Carta della Biodiversità, www.ambienteinliguria.it

Ruffo S., Stoch F., 2005. Checklist e distribuzione della fauna italiana. Memorie del Museo Civico di storia naturale di Verona, 2.serie, Sezione scienze della Vita 16.

Spilinga C., Russo D., Carletti S., Jiménez Grijalva M.P., Sergiacomi U., Ragni B., (in stampa). Chirotteri dell'Umbria. Distribuzione geografica ed ecologica. Regione Umbria. Università degli Studi di Perugia.

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)

2.3.2 Method - Range surface area

2.3.3 Short-term trend period

2.3.4 Short-term trend direction

2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude

2.3.6 Long-term trend period

2.3.7 Long-term trend direction

2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude

2.3.9 Favourable reference range

5900

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2001-2012

stable (0)

min max

N/A

min

max

area (km²)

operator approximately equal to (≈)

unkown

method Expert judgement

2.3.10 Reason for change

Improved knowledge/more accurate dataUse of different method

2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size

(individuals or agreed exception)

Unit N/A

min max

2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals) Unit number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10)

28 28 min max

2.4.3 Additional information

Definition of locality

Conversion method

Problems Impossible to convert grids into individuals

2.4.4 Year or period

1990-2012

2.4.5 Method - population size Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)

2.4.6 Short-term trend period 2001-2012

2.4.7 Short term trend direction unknown (x)

> 22/04/2014 12.01.53 Page 2 of 12

ii, iv and v species (Ani	nex b)		
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude 2.4.9 Short-term trend method 2.4.10 Long-term trend period		max ased on expert opinion wi	confidence interval th no or minimal sampling (1)
2.4.11 Long term trend direction2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude2.4.13 Long-term trend method2.4.14 Favourable reference	N/A min N/A number	max	confidence interval
population	operator unknown method	more than (>) No Expert judgement	
2.4.15 Reason for change	Improved k	knowledge/more accurate	data Use of different method
2.5 Habitat for the Species			
 2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²) 2.5.2 Year or period 2.5.3 Method used - habitat 2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat 	Absent dat Moderate	ta (0)	
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method	Expert bas	ed	
2.5.5 Short term trend period2.5.6 Short term trend direction	2001-2012 stable (0)		
2.5.7 Long-term trend period2.5.8 Long term trend direction	N/A		
2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)2.5.10 Reason for change	Improved	knowledge/more accurate	e data Use of different method

_			
) 6 1	Main	Pressures	

Pressure		ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
use of biocides, hormones and chemica	ls (A07)	medium importance (M)	N/A
modification of cultivation practices (AC	02)	high importance (H)	N/A
Forest and Plantation management & u	use (B02)	high importance (H)	N/A
closures of caves or galleries (G05.08)		low importance (L)	N/A
recreational cave visits (G01.04.03)		low importance (L)	N/A
speleology (G01.04.02)		low importance (L)	N/A
removal of dead and dying trees (B02.04)		high importance (H)	N/A
forestry clearance (B02.02)		high importance (H)	N/A
burning down (J01.01)		high importance (H)	N/A
2.6.1 Method used – pressures	hased only on ex	(nert judgements (1)	

2.7 Main Threats		
Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	medium importance (M)	N/A
modification of cultivation practices (A02)	high importance (H)	N/A
Forest and Plantation management & use (B02)	high importance (H)	N/A
closures of caves or galleries (G05.08)	high importance (H)	N/A
speleology (G01.04.02)	low importance (L)	N/A

22/04/2014 12.01.53 Page 3 of 12

recreational cave visits (G01.04.03)	low importance (L)	N/A
removal of dead and dying trees (B02.04)	high importance (H)	N/A
forestry clearance (B02.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
burning down (J01.01)	high importance (H)	N/A

2.7.1 Method used – threats expert opinion (1)

2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range assessment Favourable (FV)

assessment Inadequate (U1)

qualifiers N/A

2.9.3. Habitat assessment Inadequate (U1)

qualifiers N/A

assessment Inadequate (U1) qualifiers N/A

Inadequate (U1)

qualifiers N/A

2.9.2. Population

2.9.4. Future prospects

2.9.5 Overall assessment of **Conservation Status**

2.9.5 Overall trend in **Conservation Status**

declining (-)

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size	Unit N/A	
	min	ma
3.1.2 Method used	Absent data (0)	
3.1.3 Trend of population size within	N/A	

3.2 Conversation Measures

3.2.1 Measure	3.2.2 Type	3.2.3 Ranking	3.2.4 Location	3.2.5 Broad Evaluation
Establish protected areas/sites (6.1)	Legal	high importance (H)	Inside	Long term Unknown
Legal protection of habitat and species (6.3)	s Legal	medium importance (M)	Both	Unknown

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

Continental (CON)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Daniele Paoloni, Cristiano Spilinga (Associazione Teriologica Italiana - ATIt) and Anna Alonzi, Piero Genovesi, Francesca Ronchi (Institute for Environmental Protection and Research - ISPRA). Information, unpublished data and experts' judgments

12.01.53 22/04/2014 Page 4 of 12

have been provided by Paolo Agnelli, Mara Calvini, Luca Cistrone, Michele Ferretto, Danilo Russo, Dino Scaravelli, Martina Spada, Roberto Toffoli, Simone Vergari (Italian Group for bat Research).

Archivio Osservatorio Regionale per Biodiversità. Regione Umbria.

Banca Dati Regionale Emilia Romagna (aggiornamento al 2010).

Calvini M., 2006. Monitoraggio dei chirotteri nella piana del Magra e Vallecchia (SP) (rapporto interno).

Calvini M., 2007. Studio preliminare sulla chirotterofauna delle tre foreste demaniali del Parco dell'Aveto (rapporto interno).

Calvini M., 2009. I Chirotteri del SIC IT1110022 Stagno di Oulx e IT1110020 Lago di Viverone. IPLA (rapporto interno).

Calvini M., 2010. Monitoraggio delle colonie di chirotteri riproduttive e svernanti di particolare interesse conservazionistico note in Liguria (rapporto interno).

Database del Repertorio Naturalistico Toscano.

Debernardi P., Patriarca E. e Toffoli R., 2005. Il monitoraggio dello stato di conservazione dei Chirotteri in allegato II Direttiva 92/43/CEE in Piemonte e Valle d'Aosta. In: Prigioni et al. (eds.), 2005. V Congr. It. Teriologia, Hystrix, It. J. Mamm., (N.S.) suppl. (2005): 123.

Debernardi P., Patriarca E., Toffoli R., 2010. Monitoraggio delle colonie di chirotteri riproduttive e svernanti di particolare interesse conservazionistico note in Piemonte e dati preliminari sull'attività di swarming. Stato delle conoscenze al 30 aprile 2010. CRC, Regione Piemonte - Direzione ambiente - Settore pianificazione e gestione aree naturali protette (relazione interna). Pp. 83.

Insubria DataBat, 2012. Data base chirotteri dell'Università degli Studi dell'Insubria aggiornato al 2012.

Lapini L., Dall'Asta A., Dublo L., Spoto M., Venier E., 1996 (1995). Materiali per una teriofauna dell'Italia Nord - Orientale (Mammalia, Friuli-Venezia Giulia). Gortania 17: 149-248

Patriarca E., Debernardi P., 2011. Approfondimento delle conoscenze chirotterologiche riguardanti il territorio di riferimento delle aree protette del Lago Maggiore. Periodo 30/04/2009 – 30/04/2011. Interreg Italia –Svizzera 2007-2013. Rapporto interno per conto Ente dei Parchi e delle Riserve naturali del Lago Maggiore. Pp. 48.

Ruffo S., Stoch F., 2005. Checklist e distribuzione della fauna italiana. Memorie del Museo Civico di storia naturale di Verona, 2.serie, Sezione scienze della Vita 16.

Spada M., Preatoni G., Tosi G., Martinoli A., 2010. Piano di monitoraggio dei Vertebrati terrestri di interesse comunitario (Direttive 79/409/CEE e 92/43/CEE) in Lombardia. Il monitoraggio dei Chirotteri. Fondazione Lombardia per

22/04/2014 12.01.53 Page 5 of 12

l'Ambiente, Università degli Studi dell'Insubria.

Spilinga C., Russo D., Carletti S., Jiménez Grijalva M.P., Sergiacomi U., Ragni B., (in stampa). Chirotteri dell'Umbria. Distribuzione geografica ed ecologica. Regione Umbria. Università degli Studi di Perugia.

Vigorita V., Cucè L., 2008. La fauna selvatica in Lombardia. Rapporto 2008 su distribuzione, abbondanza e stato di conservazione di uccelli e mammiferi. Regione Lombardia. Pp. 364.

Zagmajster M., Quadracci A., Filacorda S., in stampa. New records of bats in the Province of Trieste (Friuli Venezia Giulia Region), northeastern Italy. Boll. Mus. Civ. St. Nat. Trieste, 55.

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)

2.3.2 Method - Range surface area

2.3.3 Short-term trend period

2.3.4 Short-term trend direction

2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude

2.3.6 Long-term trend period

2.3.7 Long-term trend direction

2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude

2.3.9 Favourable reference range

8700

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2001-2012 stable (0)

min max

N/A

min max

area (km²)

operator approximately equal to (≈)

unkown No

method Expert judgement

2.3.10 Reason for change

Improved knowledge/more accurate dataUse of different method

2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size

(individuals or agreed exception)

Unit N/A

min max

2.4.2 Population size

(other than individuals)

Unit number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10)

42 42 min max

2.4.3 Additional information

Definition of locality

Conversion method

Problems Impossible to convert grids into individuals

2.4.4 Year or period

2.4.5 Method – population size

2.4.6 Short-term trend period

2.4.7 Short term trend direction

2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude

2.4.9 Short-term trend method

2.4.10 Long-term trend period

2.4.11 Long term trend direction

2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude

2.4.13 Long-term trend method

2.4.14 Favourable reference population

1985-2012

Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)

2001-2012 unknown (x)

confidence interval max Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)

N/A

min

min confidence interval max

N/A

number

operator more than (>)

unknown No

22/04/2014 12.01.53 Page 6 of 12

2.4.15 Reason for change

2.7.1 Method used - threats

2.8 Complementary Information

Expert judgement

Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

2.5 Habitat for the Species 2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²) 2.5.2 Year or period 2.5.3 Method used - habitat Absent data (0) 2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat Moderate 2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method **Expert based** 2001-2012 2.5.5 Short term trend period 2.5.6 Short term trend direction stable (0) 2.5.7 Long-term trend period N/A 2.5.8 Long term trend direction 2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²) 2.5.10 Reason for change Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method 2.6 Main Pressures pollution qualifier(s) Pressure ranking use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07) medium importance (M) N/A modification of cultivation practices (A02) high importance (H) N/A Forest and Plantation management & use (B02) high importance (H) N/A closures of caves or galleries (G05.08) low importance (L) N/A N/A recreational cave visits (G01.04.03) low importance (L) speleology (G01.04.02) low importance (L) N/A forestry clearance (B02.02) high importance (H) N/A removal of dead and dying trees (B02.04) high importance (H) N/A burning down (J01.01) medium importance (M) N/A continuous urbanisation (E01.01) medium importance (M) N/A 2.6.1 Method used – pressures based only on expert judgements (1) 2.7 Main Threats **Threat** ranking pollution qualifier(s) use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07) medium importance (M) N/A modification of cultivation practices (A02) high importance (H) N/A Forest and Plantation management & use (B02) high importance (H) N/A closures of caves or galleries (G05.08) high importance (H) N/A recreational cave visits (G01.04.03) low importance (L) N/A speleology (G01.04.02) low importance (L) N/A removal of dead and dying trees (B02.04) high importance (H) N/A forestry clearance (B02.02) high importance (H) N/A burning down (J01.01) medium importance (M) N/A continuous urbanisation (E01.01) medium importance (M) N/A

22/04/2014 12.01.53 Page 7 of 12

expert opinion (1)

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends 2.8.2 Other relevant Information

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

assessment Favourable (FV)

assessment Inadequate (U1)

qualifiers N/A

assessment Inadequate (U1)

qualifiers N/A

assessment Inadequate (U1)

qualifiers N/A

Inadequate (U1)

declining (-)

2.9.1 Range qualifiers N/A

2.9.2. Population

2.9.3. Habitat

2.9.4. Future prospects

2.9.5 Overall assessment of **Conservation Status**

2.9.5 Overall trend in **Conservation Status**

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size Unit N/A min

3.1.2 Method used Absent data (0)

3.1.3 Trend of population size within N/A

3.2 Conversation Measures

3.2.1 Measure	3.2.2 Type	3.2.3 Ranking	3.2.4 Location	3.2.5 Broad Evaluation
Other agriculture-related measures (2.0)	Administrative	medium importance (M)	Inside	No effect
Other forestry-related measures (3.0)	Administrative	medium importance (M)	Inside	No effect
Adapt forest management (3.2)	Administrative	medium importance (M)	Both	Maintain Long term
Legal protection of habitats and species (6.3)	Legal	high importance (H)	Both	Not evaluated

max

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

Alpine (ALP)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Daniele Paoloni, Cristiano Spilinga (Associazione Teriologica Italiana - ATIt) and Anna Alonzi, Piero Genovesi, Francesca Ronchi (Institute for Environmental Protection and Research - ISPRA). Information, unpublished data and experts' judgments have been provided by Paolo Agnelli, Mara Calvini, Luca Cistrone, Michele Ferretto, Danilo Russo, Dino Scaravelli, Martina Spada, Roberto Toffoli, Simone Vergari (Italian Group for bat Research).

22/04/2014 12.01.53 Page 8 of 12

Distribution data for the following Nature 2000 sites have been inserted by the Ministry of Environment (source: Italian Nature 2000 database): IT3120178.

Distribution data for the following grid cells have been removed by the Ministry of Environment: 10kmE440N255.

Calvini M., 2007. I Chirotteri delle Alpi Liguri; 24 pag. Provincia di Imperia, Regione Liguria.

Calvini M., 2009. I Chirotteri del SIC IT1110022 Stagno di Oulx e IT1110020 Lago di Viverone. IPLA (rapporto interno).

Calvini M., 2009. Indagine sulla chirotterofauna nel SIC "Bric Tana-Bric Mongarda", comune di Millesimo (SV).

Calvini M., 2009. Indagine chirotterologica nei seguenti SIC della provincia di Savona: IT1323201, IT1324011, IT1323112 e IT1323203 (rapporto interno).

Calvini M., 2010. Monitoraggio delle colonie di chirotteri riproduttive e svernanti di particolare interesse conservazionistico note in Liguria (rapporto interno).

Dall'Asta A., 1995-1996. Atlante preliminare dei Chirotteri (Chiroptera, Mammalia) della Regione Friuli-Venezia Giulia - Prima Sintesi Cartografica. Tesi di Laurea in Scienze Naturali, Fac. Di Scienze MM. FF. NN. Dell'Università degli Studi di Trieste, Relatori G. A. Amirante & S. Dolce: 1-103.

Debernardi T., Patriarca E., 207-8: Prima segnalazione di Myotis bechsteinii, Myotis daubentonii, Myotis nattereri, Nyctalus leisleri, Pipistrellus pygmaeus, Plecotus macrobullaris e Tadarida taeniotis in Valle d'Aosta. Aggiornamento dell'inventario dei Chirotteri noti per la Regione. Rav. Vald. Hist. Nat., 61-62: 5-27.

Debernardi P., Patriarca E., Toffoli R., 2010. Monitoraggio delle colonie di chirotteri riproduttive e svernanti di particolare interesse conservazionistico note in Piemonte e dati preliminari sull'attività di swarming. Stato delle conoscenze al 30 aprile 2010. CRC, Regione Piemonte - Direzione ambiente - Settore pianificazione e gestione aree naturali protette (relazione interna). Pp. 83.

Insubria DataBat, 2012. Data base chirotteri dell'Università degli Studi dell'Insubria aggiornato al 2012.

Indagine sui pipistrelli dell'Alto Adige del Museo di S.N di BZ (1996).

Lapini L., Dall'Asta A., Dublo L., Spoto M., Venier E., 1996 (1995). Materiali per una teriofauna dell'Italia Nord - Orientale (Mammalia, Friuli-Venezia Giulia). Gortania 17: 149-248.

Ruffo S., Stoch F. (eds.), 2006. Checklist and distribution of the Italian fauna. . Memorie del Museo Civico di Storia Naturale di Verona, 2.Serie, Sezione Scienze della Vita 17, with CD-ROM.

Spada M., Preatoni G., Tosi G., Martinoli A., 2010. Piano di monitoraggio dei Vertebrati terrestri di interesse comunitario (Direttive 79/409/CEE e 92/43/CEE)

22/04/2014 12.01.53 Page 9 of 12

in Lombardia. Il monitoraggio dei Chirotteri. Fondazione Lombardia per l'Ambiente, Università degli Studi dell'Insubria.

Toffoli R., 2012. I Chirotteri del Parco Naturale Alpi Marittime e del SIC/ZPS IT1160056: presenza e misure di conservazione. Regione Piemonte-Parco Naturale Alpi Marittime (rapporto interno).

Vigorita V., Cucè L., 2008. La fauna selvatica in Lombardia. Rapporto 2008 su distribuzione, abbondanza e stato di conservazione di uccelli e mammiferi. Regione Lombardia. Pp. 364.

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)

2.3.2 Method - Range surface area

2.3.3 Short-term trend period

2.3.4 Short-term trend direction

2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude

2.3.6 Long-term trend period

2.3.7 Long-term trend direction

2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude

2.3.9 Favourable reference range

7100

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2001-2012 stable (0)

min max

N/A

min max

area (km²)

operator approximately equal to (≈)

unkown

Expert judgement method

2.3.10 Reason for change

Improved knowledge/more accurate dataUse of different method

2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size

(individuals or agreed exception)

min

Unit

max

N/A

2.4.2 Population size

(other than individuals)

Unit number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10)

min 27 max 27

2.4.3 Additional information

Definition of locality

Conversion method

Problems Impossible to convert grids to individuals

2.4.4 Year or period

2.4.5 Method – population size

2.4.6 Short-term trend period

2.4.7 Short term trend direction

2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude

2.4.9 Short-term trend method

2.4.10 Long-term trend period

2.4.11 Long term trend direction

2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude

2.4.13 Long-term trend method

2.4.14 Favourable reference population

1985-2012

Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)

2001-2012

unknown (x)

confidence interval

Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)

N/A

min confidence interval max

N/A

number

more than (>) operator

unknown

method Expert judgement

22/04/2014 12.01.53 Page 10 of 12

ii) it and t species (/ iii	nex b _j			
2.4.15 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method			
2.5 Habitat for the Species				
 2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²) 2.5.2 Year or period 2.5.3 Method used - habitat 2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat 	Absent data (0) Moderate			
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method	Expert based	Expert based		
2.5.5 Short term trend period2.5.6 Short term trend direction	2001-2012 stable (0)			
2.5.7 Long-term trend period				
2.5.8 Long term trend direction	N/A			
2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)2.5.10 Reason for change	Improved knowledg	e/more accurate data Use of	f different method	
	improved knowledg	c/more accurate data osc of	amerent method	
2.6 Main Pressures				
Pressure		ranking	pollution qualifier(s)	
modification of cultivation practices (A	A02)	high importance (H)	N/A	
Forest and Plantation management &	use (B02)	high importance (H)	N/A	
closures of caves or galleries (G05.08)		low importance (L)	N/A	
recreational cave visits (G01.04.03)		low importance (L)	N/A	
speleology (G01.04.02)		low importance (L)	N/A	
removal of dead and dying trees (B02.	04)	high importance (H)	N/A	
2.6.1 Method used – pressures	based only on exper	t judgements (1)		
2.7 Main Threats				
Threat		ranking	pollution qualifier(s)	
modification of cultivation practices (A	A02)	high importance (H)	N/A	
Forest and Plantation management &	use (B02)	high importance (H)	N/A	
closures of caves or galleries (G05.08)		high importance (H)	N/A	
recreational cave visits (G01.04.03)		low importance (L)	N/A	
speleology (G01.04.02)		low importance (L)	N/A	
removal of dead and dying trees (B02.	04)	high importance (H)	N/A	
2.7.1 Method used – threats	expert opinion (1)			
2.8 Complementary Information				
2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends				
2.8.2 Other relevant Information				
2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment				
2.9 Conclusions (assessment of co	nservation status at e	end of reporting period)		
2.9.1 Range	assessment Favoura qualifiers N/A	able (FV)		
2.0.2. Dec. Tetter		. (114)		

22/04/2014 12.01.53 Page 11 of 12

assessment Inadequate (U1)

qualifiers N/A

2.9.2. Population

2.9.3. Habitat2.9.4. Future prospects2.9.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status

assessment Inadequate (U1)
qualifiers N/A
assessment Inadequate (U1)
qualifiers N/A
Inadequate (U1)

declining (-)

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population					
3.1.1 Population Size3.1.2 Method used3.1.3 Trend of population size within		Unit N	I/A max		
		Absent data (0)			
		N/A	N/A		
3.2 Conversation Measu	res				
3.2.1 Measure	3.2.2 Type		3.2.3 Ranking	3.2.4 Location	3.2.5 Broad Evaluation
Other agriculture-related measures (2.0)	Contractua	l	medium importance (M)	Inside	No effect
Other forestry-related measures (3.0)	Contractua	l	medium importance (M)	Inside	No effect
Legal protection of habitats and species (6.3)	s Legal		high importance (H)	Both	Not evaluated

22/04/2014 12.01.54 Page 12 of 12