0.1 Member State	ІТ
0.2.1 Species code	1016
0.2.2 Species name	Vertigo moulinsiana
0.2.3 Alternative species scientific name	N/A
0.2.4 Common name	N/A

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map
Yes
1.1.1a Sensitive species
No
1.1.2 Method used - map
Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
1.1.3 Year or period
2007-2012
No
1.1.4 Additional map
Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

Mediterranean (MED)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Fabio Stoch (on behalf of the Comitato Scientifico per la Fauna d'Italia) and Anna Alonzi, Piero Genovesi, Francesca Ronchi (ISPRA). Information, unpublished data and expert judgements have been provided by Marco Bodon (Genova).

Manganelli G., Cianfanelli S., Brezzi M. & Favilli L., 2001: The distribution and taxonomy of

Vertigo moulinsiana (Dupuy, 1849) in Italy (Gastropoda: Pulmonata:

Vertiginidae). Journal of Conchology, 37: 267-280.

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)
2.3.2 Method - Range surface area
2.3.3 Short-term trend period
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude
2.3.6 Long-term trend period
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude
2.3.9 Favourable reference range

2200

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2001-2012 stable (0)

min max

N/A

min max

area (km²)

operator approximately equal to (≈)

unkown No

method Expert opinion

2.3.10 Reason for change Use of different method

2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size Unit N/A

(individuals or agreed exception) min max

09/04/2014 10.42.59 Page 1 of 8

ii, iv and v species (Ani	iex B)		
2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals)	Unit number of r	map 10x10 km grid cell max 18	s (grids10x10)
2.4.3 Additional information	Definition of locality		
	Conversion method	not available	
	Problems	it is impossible to	convert grids into individuals
2.4.4 Year or period 2.4.5 Method – population size 2.4.6 Short-term trend period 2.4.7 Short term trend direction 2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude 2.4.9 Short-term trend method	2007-2012 Estimate based on pa 2001-2012 unknown (x) min Absent data (0)	artial data with some e max	xtrapolation and/or modelling (2) confidence interval
2.4.10 Long-term trend period 2.4.11 Long term trend direction 2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude 2.4.13 Long-term trend method 2.4.14 Favourable reference population	N/A min N/A number operator unknown Yes method Expert of	max	confidence interval
2.4.15 Reason for change	method Expert of Use of different method	•	
_	ose of different meti	lou	
2.5 Habitat for the Species 2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²) 2.5.2 Year or period 2.5.3 Method used - habitat 2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat 2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method 2.5.5 Short term trend period 2.5.6 Short term trend direction 2.5.7 Long-term trend period 2.5.8 Long term trend direction 2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²) 2.5.10 Reason for change	Absent data (0) Moderate Expert opinion 2001-2012 decrease (-) N/A Genuine Use of diffe	rent method	
2.6 Main Pressures			
Pressure		ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
reclamation of land from sea, estuary or marsh (J02.01.02)		high importance (H)	N/A
Landfill, land reclamation and drying or	ut, general (J02.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
2.6.1 Method used – pressures	based only on experi	t judgements (1)	
2.7 Main Threats			
Threat		ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
reclamation of land from sea, estuary of	or marsh (J02.01.02)	high importance (H)	N/A
Landfill, land reclamation and drying or	ut, general (J02.01)	high importance (H)	N/A

09/04/2014 10.42.59 Page 2 of 8

expert opinion (1)

2.7.1 Method used – threats

2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range assessment Favourable (FV)

qualifiers N/A

2.9.2. Population assessment Unknown (XX)

qualifiers N/A

2.9.3. Habitat assessment Inadequate (U1)

qualifiers declining (-)

assessment Inadequate (U1)

qualifiers declining (-)

Inadequate (U1)

declining (-)

2.9.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status

2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status

2.9.4. Future prospects

0 ()

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size Unit N/A

min max

3.1.2 Method used Absent data (0)

3.1.3 Trend of population size within N/A

3.2 Conversation Measures

3.2.1 Measure 3.2.2 Type 3.2.3 Ranking 3.2.4 Location 3.2.5 Broad Evaluation

Establish protected Administrative medium Inside Maintain areas/sites (6.1) importance (M) Enhance Long term

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

Continental (CON)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Fabio Stoch (on behalf of the Comitato Scientifico per la Fauna d'Italia) and Anna Alonzi, Piero Genovesi, Francesca Ronchi (ISPRA). Information, unpublished data and expert judgements have been provided by Marco Bodon (Genova).

Manganelli G., Cianfanelli S., Brezzi M. & Favilli L., 2001: The distribution and taxonomy of

Vertigo moulinsiana (Dupuy, 1849) in Italy (Gastropoda: Pulmonata:

Vertiginidae). Journal of

Conchology, 37: 267-280.

2.3 Range

09/04/2014 10.42.59 Page 3 of 8

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	•		
 2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²) 2.3.2 Method - Range surface area 2.3.3 Short-term trend period 2.3.4 Short-term trend direction 	2300 Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2) 2001-2012 stable (0)		
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude	min	max	
2.3.6 Long-term trend period			
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction	N/A		
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude	min	max	
2.3.9 Favourable reference range	area (km²)		
	operator	approximately equa	l to (≈)
	unkown	No	
	method	Expert opinion	
2.3.10 Reason for change	Use of different met	hod	
2.4 Paradation			
2.4 Population			
2.4.1 Population size	Unit N/A		
(individuals or agreed exception)	min	max	
2.4.2 Population size	Unit number of	map 10x10 km grid cel	lls (grids10x10)
(other than individuals)	min 17	max 17	
2.4.3 Additional information	Definition of locality		
	•	n at available	
	Conversion method	not available	
	Problems	it is impossible to	convert grids into individuals
2.4.4 Year or period	2007-2012		
2.4.5 Method – population size	Estimate based on p	artial data with some e	extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.4.6 Short-term trend period	2001-2012		
2.4.7 Short term trend direction	unknown (x)		
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude	min	max	confidence interval
2.4.9 Short-term trend method	Absent data (0)		
2.4.10 Long-term trend period			
2.4.11 Long term trend direction	N/A		
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude	min	max	confidence interval
2.4.13 Long-term trend method	N/A		
2.4.14 Favourable reference	number		
population	operator N/A		
	unknown Yes		
	method Expert	opinion	
2.4.15 Reason for change	Use of different met	•	
2.5 Habitat for the Species			
2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²)			

2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²)	
2.5.2 Year or period	
2.5.3 Method used - habitat	Absent data (0)
2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat	Moderate
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method	Expert opinion
2.5.5 Short term trend period	2001-2012
2.5.6 Short term trend direction	decrease (-)
2.5.7 Long-term trend period	

09/04/2014 10.42.59 Page 4 of 8

2.5.8 Long term trend direction

N/A

2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)

2.5.10 Reason for change

Genuine Use of different method

2.6 Main Pressures		
Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
intensive grazing (A04.01)	low importance (L)	N/A
forest replanting (B02.01)	low importance (L)	N/A

reclamation of land from sea, estuary or marsh (J02.01.02) high importance (H) N/A Modification of hydrographic functioning, general (J02.05) high importance (H) N/A

2.6.1 Method used – pressures based only on expert judgements (1)

2.7 Main Threats

Threat ranking pollution qualifier(s)
reclamation of land from sea, estuary or marsh (J02.01.02) high importance (H) N/A
Modification of hydrographic functioning, general (J02.05) high importance (H) N/A

2.7.1 Method used – threats expert opinion (1)

2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A

2.9.2. Population assessment Unknown (XX)

2.5.2. Fopulation

qualifiers N/A

2.9.3. Habitat assessment Inadequate (U1)

qualifiers declining (-)

2.9.4. Future prospects assessment Inadequate (U1)

qualifiers declining (-)

2.9.5 Overall assessment of Inadequate (U1)

Conservation Status

2.9.5 Overall trend in

Conservation Status

declining (-)

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size Unit N/A

min max

3.1.2 Method used Absent data (0)

3.1.3 Trend of population size within N/A

3.2 Conversation Measures

09/04/2014 10.42.59 Page 5 of 8

3.2.1 Measure 3.2.2 Type 3.2.3 Ranking 3.2.4 Location 3.2.5 Broad Evaluation () Measures needed, but not implemented (1.2)

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

Alpine (ALP)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Fabio Stoch (on behalf of the Comitato Scientifico per la Fauna d'Italia) and Anna Alonzi, Piero Genovesi, Francesca Ronchi (ISPRA). Information, unpublished data and expert judgements have been provided by Marco Bodon (Genova).

Kiss Y., Kopf T., 2009. Die Vertigo-Arten (Mollusca: Gastropoda: Vertiginidae) des Anhang 2 der FFH Richtlinie in Südtirol – eine Pilotstudie. Gredleriana, 9: 135 -170.

Kiss Y., Kopf T., 2010. Die Vertigo-Arten (Gastropoda: Vertiginidae) des Anhang II der FFH Richtlinie in Südtirol:

2. Erhebungsjahr (2009). Gredleriana, 10: 187 - 208.

Kiss Y., Kopf T., 2010. Steckbriefe zu den Vertigo-Arten (Gastropoda:

Vertiginidae) des Anhang II der FFH Richtlinie in Südtirol (Italien). Gredleriana, 10: 163 - 186.

Manganelli G., Cianfanelli S., Brezzi M. & Favilli L., 2001: The distribution and taxonomy of

Vertigo moulinsiana (Dupuy, 1849) in Italy (Gastropoda: Pulmonata:

Vertiginidae). Journal of Conchology, 37: 267-280.

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)

2.3.2 Method - Range surface area

2.3.3 Short-term trend period

2.3.4 Short-term trend direction 2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude

2.3.6 Long-term trend period

2.3.7 Long-term trend direction

2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude

2.3.9 Favourable reference range

1300

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2001-2012 stable (0)

min max

N/A

min max

area (km²)

approximately equal to (≈) operator

unkown No

N/A

method **Expert opinion**

2.3.10 Reason for change Use of different method

2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size Unit

(individuals or agreed exception) min max

2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals)

Unit number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10)

min max

2.4.3 Additional information

Definition of locality

Conversion method

Problems

09/04/2014 10.42.59 Page 6 of 8

II, IV and V species (An	nex B)		
2.4.4 Year or period 2.4.5 Method – population size 2.4.6 Short-term trend period 2.4.7 Short term trend direction 2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude 2.4.9 Short-term trend method 2.4.10 Long-term trend period 2.4.11 Long term trend direction	2001-2012 unknown (x) min		confidence interval minimal sampling (1)
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude 2.4.13 Long-term trend method 2.4.14 Favourable reference population	min N/A number operator unknown Yes method Exp	ert opinion	confidence interval
2.4.15 Reason for change	Use of different	method	
2.5 Habitat for the Species 2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²) 2.5.2 Year or period 2.5.3 Method used - habitat 2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat 2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method 2.5.5 Short term trend period 2.5.6 Short term trend direction 2.5.7 Long-term trend period 2.5.8 Long term trend direction 2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²) 2.5.10 Reason for change	Absent data (0) Good Expert opinion 2001-2012 stable (0) N/A Use of different	method	
2.6 Main Pressures			
Pressure (DO2 01)		ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
forest replanting (B02.01)		medium importance (N	<u> </u>
intensive grazing (A04.01)		medium importance (M	1) N/A
2.6.1 Method used – pressures2.7 Main Threats	based only on ex	opert judgements (1)	
Threat		ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
intensive grazing (A04.01)		medium importance (N	1) N/A
forest replanting (B02.01)		medium importance (N	1) N/A
2.7.1 Method used – threats	expert opinion (1)	

2.8 Complementary Information2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for

trends
2.8.2 Other relevant Information
2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

09/04/2014 10.42.59 Page 7 of 8

2.9.1 Range
2.9.2. Population
2.9.3. Habitat
2.9.4. Future prospects
2.9.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status
2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status

assessment Favourable (FV)
qualifiers N/A
assessment Unknown (XX)
qualifiers N/A
assessment Favourable (FV)
qualifiers N/A
assessment Favourable (FV)
qualifiers N/A
Favourable (FV)

N/A

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population 3.1.1 Population Size Unit N/A min max 3.1.2 Method used Absent data (0) 3.1.3 Trend of population size within N/A 3.2 Conversation Measures 3.2.5 Broad Evaluation 3.2.1 Measure 3.2.2 Type 3.2.3 Ranking 3.2.4 Location Legal protection of habitats Legal Both Not evaluated medium and species (6.3) importance (M)

09/04/2014 10.42.59 Page 8 of 8