0.1 Member State	IT
0.2.1 Species code	1084
0.2.2 Species name	Osmoderma eremita
0.2.3 Alternative species scientific name	Omoderma italicum, Osmoderma cristinae
0.2.4 Common name	N/A

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map	Yes
1.1.1a Sensitive species	No
1.1.2 Method used - map	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
1.1.3 Year or period	2007-2012
1.1.4 Additional map	No
1.1.5 Range map	Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

Mediterranean (MED)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Fabio Stoch (on behalf of the Comitato Scientifico per la Fauna d'Italia) and Anna Alonzi, Piero Genovesi, Francesca Ronchi (ISPRA). Information, unpublished data and expert judgements have been provided by Paolo Audisio (Rome). Distribution data for the following grid cells have been removed by the Ministry of Environment: 10kmE415N233; 10kmE427N237.

Audisio P., Brustel H., Carpaneto G.M., Coletti G., Mancini E., Trizzino M., Dutto M., De Biase A., 2007. Updating the taxonomy and distribution of the European Osmoderma, and strategies for their conservation (Coleoptera, Scarabaeidae, Cetoniinae). Fragmenta entomologica 39: 273–290.

Campanaro A., Bardiani M., Spada L., Carnevali L., Montalto F., Antonini G., Mason F., Audisio P., 2011. Linee Guida per il monitoraggio e la conservazione dell'entomofauna saproxilica/ Guidelines for monitoring and conservation of saproxylic insects. Cierre Grafica, Verona, 8 pp. + CD-ROM.

Chiari S, Zauli A, Mazziotta A, Luiselli L, Audisio P, Carpaneto GM. 2012. Surveying an endangered saproxylic beetle, Osmoderma eremita, in Mediterranean woodlands: a comparison between different capture methods. Journal of Insect Conservation DOI 10.1007/s10841-012-9495-y.

Sparacio I. 1994. Osmoderma cristinae n.sp. Di Sicilia (Insecta Coleoptera: Cetoniidae). Naturalista siciliano 17(3/4): 305–310.

2 3 Range

2.5 halige		
 2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²) 2.3.2 Method - Range surface area 2.3.3 Short-term trend period 2.3.4 Short-term trend direction 	28800 Estimate based or 2001-2012 stable (0)	n partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude2.3.6 Long-term trend period	min	max
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction 2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude 2.3.9 Favourable reference range	N/A min area (km²)	max

09/04/2014 10.30.01 Page 1 of 9

operator approximately equal to (\approx)

unkown No

method Expert opinion

2.3.10 Reason for change Use of different method

2.4 Population

2.4.3 Additional information

2.4.1 Population size Unit N/A

(individuals or agreed exception) min max

2.4.2 Population size Unit number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10)

Definition of locality

(other than individuals) min 56 max 56

Conversion method not available

Problems it is impossible to convert grids into individuals

2.4.4 Year or period 2007-2012

2.4.5 Method – population size Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2.4.6 Short-term trend period 2001-2012 2.4.7 Short term trend direction decrease (-)

2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude min max confidence interval

2.4.9 Short-term trend method Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1) 2.4.10 Long-term trend period

2.4.11 Long term trend direction N/A

2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude min max confidence interval

2.4.13 Long-term trend method N/A
2.4.14 Favourable reference number

population operator more than (>)

unknown No

method Expert opinion

2.4.15 Reason for change Use of different method

2.5 Habitat for the Species

2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²)

2.5.2 Year or period

2.5.3 Method used - habitat Absent data (0)

2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - methodExpert opinion

2.5.5 Short term trend period 2001-2012

2.5.6 Short term trend direction decrease (-)

2.5.7 Long-term trend period2.5.8 Long term trend directionN/A

2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)

2.6 Main Pressures

2.5.10 Reason for change

09/04/2014 10.30.01 Page 2 of 9

Genuine Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
	political qualifier (5)
high importance (H)	N/A
high importance (H)	N/A
medium importance (M)	N/A
high importance (H)	N/A
medium importance (M)	N/A
high importance (H)	N/A
ert judgements (1)	
ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
high importance (H)	N/A
medium importance (M)	N/A
high importance (H)	N/A
	high importance (H) medium importance (M) high importance (H) medium importance (M) high importance (H) ert judgements (1) ranking high importance (H) high importance (H) high importance (H) medium importance (H) medium importance (M)

2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

The main problems with Osmoderma conservation in Italy is the cutting of trees and forest exploitment, and the control of the expanding Corvus corone cornix. In the MED region, Osmoderma eremita was recently splitted in two species: O. italicum and O. cristinae (see Audisio et al., 2007, and Sparacio, 1994 in 2.2). The three species are treated herein as a single species; however O. cristinae seems close to extinction mainly due to collection by amateur entomologists (Audisio, personal communication).

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range

2.9.2. Population

2.9.3. Habitat

2.9.4. Future prospects

2.9.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status

assessment Favourable (FV)

qualifiers N/A

assessment Inadequate (U1)

qualifiers declining (-)

assessment Inadequate (U1)

qualifiers declining (-)

assessment Inadequate (U1)

qualifiers declining (-)

Inadequate (U1)

09/04/2014 10.30.01 Page 3 of 9

2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status

declining (-)

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size Unit N/A

min max

3.1.2 Method used Absent data (0)

3.1.3 Trend of population size within N/A

3.2 Conversation Measures

3.2.1 Measure 3.2.2 Type 3.2.3 Ranking 3.2.4 Location 3.2.5 Broad Evaluation

of Environment: 10kmE427N237.

Legal protection of habitats Administrative high importance Inside Maintain and species (6.3)

(H) Enhance Long term

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

Continental (CON)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Fabio Stoch (on behalf of the Comitato Scientifico per la Fauna d'Italia) and Anna Alonzi, Piero Genovesi, Francesca Ronchi (ISPRA). Information, unpublished data and expert judgements have been provided by Paolo Audisio (Rome). Distribution data for the following grid cells have been removed by the Ministry

Audisio P., Brustel H., Carpaneto G.M., Coletti G., Mancini E., Trizzino M., Dutto M., De Biase A., 2007. Updating the taxonomy and distribution of the European Osmoderma, and strategies for their conservation (Coleoptera, Scarabaeidae, Cetoniinae). Fragmenta entomologica 39: 273–290.

Campanaro A., Bardiani M., Spada L., Carnevali L., Montalto F., Antonini G., Mason F., Audisio P., 2011. Linee Guida per il monitoraggio e la conservazione dell'entomofauna saproxilica/ Guidelines for monitoring and conservation of saproxylic insects. Cierre Grafica, Verona, 8 pp. + CD-ROM.

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²) 47

2.3.2 Method - Range surface area

2.3.3 Short-term trend period

2.3.4 Short-term trend direction

2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude

2.3.6 Long-term trend period

2.3.7 Long-term trend direction

2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude

2.3.9 Favourable reference range

47300

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2001-2012 stable (0)

min max

N/A

min max

area (km²)

operator approximately equal to (≈)

unkown No

method Expert opinion

09/04/2014 10.30.01 Page 4 of 9

2.3.10 Reason for change	Use of different method
2.4 Population	
2.4.1 Population size (individuals or agreed exception)	Unit N/A min max
2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals)	Unit number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10) min 107 max 107
2.4.3 Additional information	Definition of locality
	Conversion method not available
	Problems it is impossible to convert grids into individuals
2.4.4 Year or period 2.4.5 Method – population size 2.4.6 Short-term trend period 2.4.7 Short term trend direction 2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude 2.4.9 Short-term trend method 2.4.10 Long-term trend period 2.4.11 Long term trend direction 2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude 2.4.13 Long-term trend method 2.4.14 Favourable reference population 2.4.15 Reason for change	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2) 2001-2012 decrease (-) min max confidence interval Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1) N/A min max confidence interval N/A number operator more than (>) unknown No method Expert opinion Use of different method
2.5 Habitat for the Species	
2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²) 2.5.2 Year or period 2.5.3 Method used - habitat 2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat 2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method 2.5.5 Short term trend period 2.5.6 Short term trend direction 2.5.7 Long-term trend period 2.5.8 Long term trend direction	Absent data (0) Moderate Expert opinion 2001-2012 decrease (-) N/A
2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)2.5.10 Reason for change	Genuine Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method
2.6 Main Pressures	

2.6 Main Pressures		
Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
forestry clearance (B02.02)	high importance (H)	N/A
problematic native species (IO2)	high importance (H)	N/A
burning down (J01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
intensive maintenance of public parks /cleaning of beaches (G05.05)	high importance (H)	N/A

09/04/2014 10.30.01 Page 5 of 9

2.6.1 Method used – pressures	based only on exper	rt judgements (1)	
2.7 Main Threats			
Threat		ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
forestry clearance (B02.02)		high importance (H)	N/A
problematic native species (IO2)		high importance (H)	N/A
intensive maintenance of public parks /cleaning of beaches (G05.05)		high importance (H)	N/A
2.7.1 Method used – threats	expert opinion (1)		
2.8 Complementary Information			
2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends			

One of the main problems with Osmoderma conservation in Italy is the cutting of trees in urban gardens, where the species is fairly common, and the control of the invasive Corvus corone cornix. In the CON region, Osmoderma eremita was recently splitted in two species: O. eremita and O. italicum (see Audisio et al.,

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

3.1 Population

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2007 in 2.2).

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of co	inservation status at end of reporting period)
2.9.1 Range	assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A
2.9.2. Population	assessment Inadequate (U1) qualifiers unknown (x)
2.9.3. Habitat	assessment Inadequate (U1) qualifiers declining (-)
2.9.4. Future prospects	assessment Inadequate (U1) qualifiers declining (-)
2.9.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status	Inadequate (U1)
2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status	declining (-)

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

Restoring/improving forest habitats (3.1)	Legal Recurrent		medium importance (M	Both)	Maintain Enhance Long term	
3.2.1 Measure	3.2.2 Type		3.2.3 Ranking	3.2.4 Location	3.2.5 Broad Evaluation	
3.2 Conversation Measur	res					
3.1.3 Trend of population size within		N/A	aca (0)			
3.1.2 Method used		min Absent da	max ata (0)			
3.1.1 Population Size		Unit	N/A			
3.1 i opulation						

09/04/2014 10.30.01 Page 6 of 9

Adapt forest management (3.2)	Administrative Contractual	high importance (H)	Both	Maintain Long term
Legal protection of habitats and species (6.3)	Legal	high importance (H)	Both	Long term Unknown

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

Alpine (ALP)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Fabio Stoch (on behalf of the Comitato Scientifico per la Fauna d'Italia) and Anna Alonzi, Piero Genovesi, Francesca Ronchi (ISPRA). Information, unpublished data and expert judgements have been provided by Paolo Audisio (Rome).

Distribution data for the following grid cells have been removed by the Ministry of Environment: 10kmE415N233.

Audisio P., Brustel H., Carpaneto G.M., Coletti G., Mancini E., Trizzino M., Dutto M., De Biase A., 2007. Updating the taxonomy and distribution of the European Osmoderma, and strategies for their conservation (Coleoptera, Scarabaeidae, Cetoniinae). Fragmenta entomologica 39: 273–290.

Campanaro A., Bardiani M., Spada L., Carnevali L., Montalto F., Antonini G., Mason F., Audisio P., 2011. Linee Guida per il monitoraggio e la conservazione dell'entomofauna saproxilica/ Guidelines for monitoring and conservation of saproxylic insects. Cierre Grafica, Verona, 8 pp. + CD-ROM.

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²) 13200

2.3.2 Method - Range surface area Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2.3.3 Short-term trend period 2001-2012 2.3.4 Short-term trend direction stable (0)

2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude min max

2.3.6 Long-term trend period

2.3.7 Long-term trend direction N/A

2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude min max

2.3.9 Favourable reference range area (km²)

operator approximately equal to (≈)

unkown No

method Expert opinion

2.3.10 Reason for change Use of different method

2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size Unit N/A

(individuals or agreed exception) min max

2.4.2 Population size Unit number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10)

(other than individuals) min 38 max 38

2.4.3 Additional information Definition of locality

Conversion method not available

Problems it is impossible to convert grids into individuals

2.4.4 Year or period 2007-2012

2.4.5 Method – population size Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

09/04/2014 10.30.01 Page 7 of 9

2.4.6 Short-term trend period 2001-2012 2.4.7 Short term trend direction unknown (x) 2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude min confidence interval max 2.4.9 Short-term trend method Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1) 2.4.10 Long-term trend period 2.4.11 Long term trend direction N/A 2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude confidence interval min max 2.4.13 Long-term trend method N/A 2.4.14 Favourable reference number population N/A operator unknown Yes method **Expert opinion** 2.4.15 Reason for change 2.5 Habitat for the Species 2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²)

2.5.2 Year or period

2.5.3 Method used - habitat

2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat

2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method

2.5.5 Short term trend period

2.5.6 Short term trend direction

2.5.7 Long-term trend period

2.5.8 Long term trend direction

2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)

2.5.10 Reason for change

Absent data (0)

Moderate

Expert opinion

2001-2012

stable (0)

N/A

Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

2.6 Main Pressures

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
forestry clearance (B02.02)	high importance (H)	N/A
problematic native species (IO2)	high importance (H)	N/A
2.6.1 Method used – pressures	based only on expert judgements (1)	
2.7 Main Threats		
Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
forestry clearance (B02.02)	high importance (H)	N/A
problematic native species (IO2)	high importance (H)	N/A

2.7.1 Method used – threats expert opinion (1)

2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

One of the main problems with Osmoderma conservation in Italy is the cutting of trees in urban gardens, where the species is fairly common, and the control of the invasive Corvus corone cornix. In the ALP region, maybe some populations from the Tarvisio area (northeastern Italy) have to be ascribed to O. barnabita, a Balkanic species (Audisio, Univerity of Rome La Sapienza, personal communication)

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

10.30.01 09/04/2014 Page 8 of 9

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A 2.9.2. Population assessment Unknown (XX)

qualifiers N/A

assessment Inadequate (U1)

qualifiers declining (-)

assessment Inadequate (U1)

qualifiers declining (-)

Inadequate (U1)

2.9.5 Overall trend in declining (-)

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

2.9.3. Habitat

2.9.4. Future prospects

Conservation Status

Conservation Status

2.9.5 Overall assessment of

3.1.1 Population Size Unit N/A min max

3.1.2 Method used Absent data (0)

3.1.3 Trend of population size within N/A

3.2 Conversation Measures

3.2.1 Measure	3.2.2 Type	3.2.3 Ranking	3.2.4 Location	3.2.5 Broad Evaluation
Restoring/improving forest Legal habitats (3.1)		medium importance (M)	Both	Long term
Legal protection of habitats Legal and species (6.3)		high importance (H)	Both	Long term Unknown

09/04/2014 10.30.01 Page 9 of 9