0.1 Member State	IT
0.2.1 Species code	1088
0.2.2 Species name	Cerambyx cerdo
0.2.3 Alternative species scientific name	N/A
0.2.4 Common name	N/A

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map
Yes
1.1.1a Sensitive species
No
1.1.2 Method used - map
Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2007-2012
1.1.4 Additional map
Yes
No
1.1.5 Range map
Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

Continental (CON)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Fabio Stoch (on behalf of the Comitato Scientifico per la Fauna d'Italia) and Anna Alonzi, Piero Genovesi, Francesca Ronchi (ISPRA). Information, unpublished data and expert judgements have been provided by Paolo Audisio (Rome).

Campanaro A., Bardiani M., Spada L., Carnevali L., Montalto F., Antonini G., Mason F., Audisio P., 2011a. Linee Guida per il monitoraggio e la conservazione dell'entomofauna saproxilica/ Guidelines for monitoring and conservation of saproxylic insects. Cierre Grafica, Verona, 8 pp. + CD-ROM.

Trizzino M. et al., 2013. Gli artropodi italiani inseriti negli Allegati II e IV della Direttiva Habitat: biologia, ecologia, riconoscimento e monitoraggio. Corpo Forestale dello Stato, Centro Nazionale per lo Studio e la Conservazione della Biodiversità Forestale Bosco Fontana di Verona, Conservazione Habitat Invertebrati, 7 (in press)

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)
2.3.2 Method - Range surface area
2.3.3 Short-term trend period
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude
2.3.6 Long-term trend period
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude
2.3.9 Favourable reference range

75500

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2001-2012 stable (0)

min max

N/A

min max

area (km²)

operator approximately equal to (≈)

unkown No

method Expert opinion

2.3.10 Reason for change Use of different method

2.4 Population

08/04/2014 11.29.14 Page 1 of 9

2.4.1 Population size	Unit N/A
(individuals or agreed exception)	min max
2.4.2 Population size	Unit number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10)
(other than individuals)	0
	min 226 max 226
2.4.3 Additional information	Definition of locality
	Conversion method not available
	Problems it is impossible to convert grids into individuals
2.4.4 Year or period	2007-2012
2.4.5 Method – population size	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.4.6 Short-term trend period	2001-2012
2.4.7 Short term trend direction	stable (0)
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude	min max confidence interval
2.4.9 Short-term trend method	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)
2.4.10 Long-term trend period	
2.4.11 Long term trend direction	N/A
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude	min max confidence interval
2.4.13 Long-term trend method	N/A
2.4.14 Favourable reference	number
population	operator approximately equal to (≈)
	unknown No
	method Expert opinion
2.4.15 Reason for change	Use of different method
2.5 Habitat for the Species	
2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²)	
2.5.2 Year or period	
2.5.3 Method used - habitat	Absent data (0)
2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat	Good
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method	Expert opinion
2.5.5 Short term trend period	2001-2012
2.5.6 Short term trend direction	N/A
2.5.7 Long-term trend period	
2.5.8 Long term trend direction	N/A

forestry clearance (B02.02)	high importance (H)	N/A
Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
2.6 Main Pressures		

forestry clearance (B02.02)	high importance (H)	N/A
removal of dead and dying trees (B02.04)	high importance (H)	N/A
burning down (J01.01)	low importance (L)	N/A
species composition change (succession) (K02.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A

2.6.1 Method used – pressures based only on expert judgements (1)

2.7 Main Threats

2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)

2.5.10 Reason for change

08/04/2014 11.29.14 Page 2 of 9

Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
forestry clearance (B02.02)	high importance (H)	N/A
removal of dead and dying trees (B02.04)	high importance (H)	N/A
species composition change (succession) (K02.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A

2.7.1 Method used – threats expert opinion (1)

2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

The removal of old trees, mainly in suburban areas, is the main threat to species survival

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A

2.9.2. Population assessment Favourable (FV)

qualifiers N/A

2.9.3. Habitat assessment Favourable (FV)

qualifiers N/A

2.9.4. Future prospects assessment Favourable (FV)

qualifiers N/A

Favourable (FV)

N/A

2.9.5 Overall assessment of **Conservation Status**

2.9.5 Overall trend in

Conservation Status

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size	Unit N/A	
	min	max
3.1.2 Method used	Absent data (0)	
3.1.3 Trend of population size within	N/A	

3.2 Conversation Measures

3.2.1 Measure	3.2.2 Type	3.2.3 Ranking	3.2.4 Location	3.2.5 Broad Evaluation
Restoring/improving forest habitats (3.1)	Legal	high importance (H)	Both	Long term
Establish protected areas/sites (6.1)	Administrative	medium importance (M)	Inside	Maintain Enhance Long term
Legal protection of habitats Legal and species (6.3) Administrative		high importance (H)	Both	Maintain Enhance Long term Unknown

08/04/2014 11.29.14 Page 3 of 9

Specific single species or One-off high importance Inside Maintain species group management **Enhance** (H) measures (7.4) Long term

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

Alpine (ALP)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Fabio Stoch (on behalf of the Comitato Scientifico per la Fauna d'Italia) and Anna Alonzi, Piero Genovesi, Francesca Ronchi (ISPRA). Information, unpublished data and expert judgements have been provided by Paolo Audisio (Rome).

Campanaro A., Bardiani M., Spada L., Carnevali L., Montalto F., Antonini G., Mason F., Audisio P., 2011a. Linee Guida per il monitoraggio e la conservazione dell'entomofauna saproxilica/ Guidelines for monitoring and conservation of saproxylic insects. Cierre Grafica, Verona, 8 pp. + CD-ROM.

Trizzino M. et al., 2013. Gli artropodi italiani inseriti negli Allegati II e IV della Direttiva Habitat: biologia, ecologia, riconoscimento e monitoraggio. Corpo Forestale dello Stato, Centro Nazionale per lo Studio e la Conservazione della Biodiversità Forestale Bosco Fontana di Verona, Conservazione Habitat Invertebrati, 7 (in press)

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)

2.3.2 Method - Range surface area

2.3.3 Short-term trend period

2.3.4 Short-term trend direction

2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude

2.3.6 Long-term trend period

2.3.7 Long-term trend direction

2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude

2.3.9 Favourable reference range

20800

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2001-2012

stable (0)

min max

N/A

min max

area (km²)

operator approximately equal to (≈)

unkown Nο

method **Expert opinion**

2.3.10 Reason for change

Use of different method

N/A

2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size

Unit

(individuals or agreed exception) min

2.4.2 Population size

(other than individuals)

Unit number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10)

min 51 max 51

2.4.3 Additional information **Definition of locality**

> Conversion method not available

Problems it is impossible to convert grids into individuals

2.4.4 Year or period 2007-2012

2.4.5 Method – population size Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2.4.6 Short-term trend period 2001-2012 2.4.7 Short term trend direction decrease (-)

> 08/04/2014 11.29.14 Page 4 of 9

2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude
2.4.9 Short-term trend method
2.4.10 Long-term trend period
2.4.11 Long term trend direction
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude
2.4.13 Long-term trend method
2.4.14 Favourable reference
population

min max confidence interval Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)

N/A

min max confidence interval

N/A number

operator more than (>)

unknown No

method Expert opinion

2.4.15 Reason for change

2.5 Habitat for the Species

2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²)

2.5.2 Year or period

2.5.3 Method used - habitat

2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat

2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method

2.5.5 Short term trend period

2.5.6 Short term trend direction

2.5.7 Long-term trend period

2.5.8 Long term trend direction

2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)

2.5.10 Reason for change

Absent data (0)

Moderate

Expert opinion

2001-2012

decrease (-)

N/A

Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

2.6 Main Pressures

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
forestry clearance (B02.02)	high importance (H)	N/A
removal of dead and dying trees (B02.04)	high importance (H)	N/A
species composition change (succession) (K02.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A

2.6.1 Method used – pressures based only on expert judgements (1)

2.7 Main Threats

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
forestry clearance (B02.02)	high importance (H)	N/A
species composition change (succession) (K02.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A

2.7.1 Method used – threats expert opinion (1)

2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

The species in the alpine area is uncommon being xerothermic; the removal of old trees, mainly in suburban areas, is the main threat to species survival

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range

assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A

08/04/2014 11.29.14 Page 5 of 9

2.9.2. Population2.9.3. Habitat2.9.4. Future prospects2.9.5 Overall assessment of

2.9.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status2.9.5 Overall trend in

assessment Inadequate (U1)
qualifiers declining (-)
assessment Inadequate (U1)
qualifiers declining (-)
assessment Inadequate (U1)
qualifiers stable (=)
Inadequate (U1)

declining (-)

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

Conservation Status

3.1.1 Population Size

Unit N/A min

3.1.2 Method used

Absent data (0)

3.1.3 Trend of population size within N/A

3.2 Conversation Measures

3.2.1 Measure	3.2.2 Type	3.2.3 Ranking	3.2.4 Location	3.2.5 Broad Evaluation
Restoring/improving fore habitats (3.1)	st Legal	high importance (H)	Both	Long term
Legal protection of habita and species (6.3)	ts Legal	high importance (H)	Both	Long term Unknown Not evaluated

max

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

Mediterranean (MED)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Fabio Stoch (on behalf of the Comitato Scientifico per la Fauna d'Italia) and Anna Alonzi, Piero Genovesi, Francesca Ronchi (ISPRA). Information, unpublished data and expert judgements have been provided by Paolo Audisio (Rome).

Campanaro A., Bardiani M., Spada L., Carnevali L., Montalto F., Antonini G., Mason F., Audisio P., 2011. Linee Guida per il monitoraggio e la conservazione dell'entomofauna saproxilica/ Guidelines for monitoring and conservation of saproxylic insects. Cierre Grafica, Verona, 8 pp. + CD-ROM.

Regione Autonoma della Sardegna - Assessorato Difesa Ambiente , 2012 - "Servizio di monitoraggio dello stato di conservazione degli habitat e delle specie di importanza comunitaria presenti nei siti della Rete Natura 2000 in Sardegna – Linea 4. Redazione del Rapporto sullo stato di conservazione degli habitat e delle specie ".

Regione Autonoma della Sardegna - Assessorato Difesa Ambiente - 2008-2009. "Realizzazione del sistema di monitoraggio dello stato di conservazione degli habitat e delle specie di interesse comunitario della Regione Autonoma della

08/04/2014 11.29.14 Page 6 of 9

Sardegna".

Trizzino M. et al., 2013. Gli artropodi italiani inseriti negli Allegati II e IV della Direttiva Habitat: biologia, ecologia, riconoscimento e monitoraggio. Corpo Forestale dello Stato, Centro Nazionale per lo Studio e la Conservazione della Biodiversità Forestale Bosco Fontana di Verona, Conservazione Habitat Invertebrati, 7 (in press).

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²) 2.3.2 Method - Range surface area 2.3.3 Short-term trend period 2.3.4 Short-term trend direction 2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude 2.3.6 Long-term trend period

2.3.7 Long-term trend direction 2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude

2.3.9 Favourable reference range

92500

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2001-2012 stable (0)

min max

N/A

min max

area (km²)

operator approximately equal to (≈)

unkown No

method **Expert opinion**

2.3.10 Reason for change

Use of different method

2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size

(individuals or agreed exception)

Unit N/A

min max

2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals) Unit number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10)

256 min max

2.4.3 Additional information

Definition of locality

Conversion method not available

Problems it is impossible to convert grids into individuals

256

2.4.4 Year or period

2007-2012

2001-2012

2.4.5 Method – population size Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2.4.6 Short-term trend period 2.4.7 Short term trend direction

stable (0)

2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude

2.4.9 Short-term trend method

min max

confidence interval Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)

2.4.10 Long-term trend period

N/A

2.4.11 Long term trend direction

min N/A

2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude

max

confidence interval

2.4.13 Long-term trend method

number

2.4.14 Favourable reference population

operator approximately equal to (≈)

unknown

method **Expert opinion**

2.4.15 Reason for change

Use of different method

2.5 Habitat for the Species

08/04/2014 11.29.14 Page 7 of 9

2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²) 2.5.2 Year or period			
2.5.3 Method used - habitat 2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat	Absent data (0) Good		
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method	Expert opinion		
2.5.5 Short term trend period2.5.6 Short term trend direction	2001-2012 stable (0)		
2.5.7 Long-term trend period			
2.5.8 Long term trend direction	N/A		
2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²) 2.5.10 Reason for change	Improved knowled	ge/more accurate data Use of d	ifferent method
2.3.10 Reason for change	improved knowied	ge/more accurate data ose or a	merent method
2.6 Main Pressures			
Pressure		ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
forestry clearance (B02.02)		high importance (H)	N/A
removal of dead and dying trees (B02.	04)	medium importance (M)	N/A
burning down (J01.01)		medium importance (M)	N/A

2.6.1 Method used – pressures	based only on expert judgements (1)
	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2

2.7	Main	Threats

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
forestry clearance (B02.02)	high importance (H)	N/A
intensive maintenance of public parks /cleaning of beaches (G05.05)	high importance (H)	N/A
anthropogenic reduction of habitat connectivity (J03.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Forestry activities not referred to above (B07)	medium importance (M)	N/A

2.7.1 Method used – threats expert opinion (1)

species composition change (succession) (K02.01)

anthropogenic reduction of habitat connectivity (J03.02)

2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

The removal of old trees, mainly in suburban areas, is the main threat to species survival

medium importance (M)

high importance (H)

N/A

N/A

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

Conservation Status

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range

assessment Favourable (FV)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.2. Population

assessment Favourable (FV)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.3. Habitat

assessment Favourable (FV)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.4. Future prospects

assessment Favourable (FV)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.5 Overall assessment of

Favourable (FV)

08/04/2014 11.29.14 Page 8 of 9

2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status

N/A

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species								
3.1 Population								
3.1.1 Population Size		Unit min	N/A	max				
3.1.2 Method used3.1.3 Trend of population size within		Absent data (0) N/A						
3.2 Conversation Meas	ures							
3.2.1 Measure	3.2.2 Type		3.2.3 [Ranking	3.2.4 Location	3.2.5 Broad Evaluation		
No measure known/ impossible to carry out specific measures (1.3)			()					

08/04/2014 11.29.14 Page 9 of 9