0.1 Member State	IT
0.2.1 Species code	1044
0.2.2 Species name	Coenagrion mercuriale
0.2.3 Alternative species scientific name	N/A
0.2.4 Common name	N/A

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map
Yes
1.1.1a Sensitive species
No
Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)
1.1.3 Year or period
1.1.4 Additional map
No
1.1.5 Range map
Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

Mediterranean (MED)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Anna Alonzi, Piero Genovesi, Francesca Ronchi (ISPRA - Institute for Environmental Protection and Research). Information, unpublished data and experts' judgments have been provided by: Alex Festi, Cristina Grieco, Sonke Hardersen, Federico Landi e Elisa Riservato (Odonata.it)

Distribution data for the following Nature 2000 sites have been inserted by the Ministry of Environment (source: Italian Nature 2000 database): IT9110001 Distribution data for the following grid cells have been inserted by the Ministry of Environment: 10kmE448N222

Database del repertorio Naturalistico Toscano

F. Mastropasqua et al. Il progetto "atlanti regionali" di Puglia e Basilicata: un esperimento di condivisione online. Atti del I Convegno della Società Italiana per lo studio e la conservazione delle libellule. Govorrano (GR) 9-10/10/2010 Ruffo S., Stock F. (eds.) 2005. Checklist e distribuzione della fauna italiana. Memorie del Museo Civico di Storia aturale di Verona, 2.serie, sezione Scienze della vita 16.

Dipartimento di Biologia Cellulare e Ambientale-Università degli Studi di Perugia

AA.VV.2008. Attuazione della Direttiva Habitat e stato di canservazione di habitat e specie in Italia. Ministero dell'Ambiente e della Tutela del Territorio e del Mare. 48pp.

Database "ODONATA.IT"

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)

2.3.2 Method - Range surface area

2.3.3 Short-term trend period

16100

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2) 2001-2012

08/04/2014 11.29.44 Page 1 of 7

ii, iv alid v species (Alii	ick bj	
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude2.3.6 Long-term trend period2.3.7 Long-term trend direction	stable (0) min N/A	max
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude	min	max
2.3.9 Favourable reference range	area (km²)	
	operator	approximately equal to (≈)
	unkown method	No Expert opinion
2.3.10 Reason for change		ge/more accurate dataUse of different method
		5-7,
2.4 Population		
2.4.1 Population size	Unit N/A	
(individuals or agreed exception)	min	max
2.4.2 Population size	Unit number of	map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10)
(other than individuals)	min 104	max 104
2.4.3 Additional information	Definition of locality	
	Conversion method	not available
	Problems	it is impossible to convert grids into individuals
2.4.4 Year or period	1985-2012	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
2.4.5 Method – population size		partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.4.6 Short-term trend period	2001-2012	
2.4.7 Short term trend direction	stable (0)	
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude	min	max confidence interval
2.4.9 Short-term trend method	Estimate based on p	partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.4.10 Long-term trend period		
2.4.11 Long term trend direction	N/A	
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude2.4.13 Long-term trend method	min N/A	max confidence interval
2.4.14 Favourable reference	number	
population		kimately equal to (≈)
	unknown No	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	method Expert	opinion
2.4.15 Reason for change	Improved knowledg	ge/more accurate data Use of different method
2.5 Habitat for the Species		
2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²)		
2.5.2 Year or period		
2.5.3 Method used - habitat	Absent data (0)	
2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat	Good	
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method	Expert opinion	
2.5.5 Short term trend period	2001-2012	
2.5.6 Short term trend direction	stable (0)	
2.5.7 Long-term trend period2.5.8 Long term trend direction	N/A	
2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)	IV/ C	
2.3.3 / if ed of suitable Habitat (iiii)		

08/04/2014 11.29.44 Page 2 of 7

2.5.10 Reason for change

Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

2.6 Main Pressures		
Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
reduction or loss of specific habitat features (J03.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
Biocenotic evolution, succession (KO2)	high importance (H)	N/A
agricultural intensification (A02.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
annual and perennial non-timber crops (A06)	medium importance (M)	N/A
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	low importance (L)	N/A
forest planting on open ground (B01)	low importance (L)	N/A
Urbanised areas, human habitation (E01)	low importance (L)	N/A
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Landfill, land reclamation and drying out, general (J02.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
Modification of hydrographic functioning, general (J02.05)	high importance (H)	N/A
Water abstractions from surface waters (J02.06)	high importance (H)	N/A
management of aquatic and bank vegetation for drainage purposes (J02.10)	medium importance (M)	N/A
2.6.1 Method used – pressures mainly based on ex	pert judgement and other data	(2)
2.7 Main Threats		
Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
agricultural intensification (A02.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
annual and perennial non-timber crops (A06)	medium importance (M)	N/A
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	low importance (L)	N/A
forest planting on open ground (B01)	low importance (L)	N/A
Urhanised areas human habitation (FO1)	low importance (L)	NI/A

217 Wall Till Cats		
Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
agricultural intensification (A02.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
annual and perennial non-timber crops (A06)	medium importance (M)	N/A
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	low importance (L)	N/A
forest planting on open ground (B01)	low importance (L)	N/A
Urbanised areas, human habitation (E01)	low importance (L)	N/A
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Landfill, land reclamation and drying out, general (J02.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
Water abstractions from surface waters (J02.06)	high importance (H)	N/A
management of aquatic and bank vegetation for drainage purposes (J02.10)	high importance (H)	N/A
Biocenotic evolution, succession (K02)	high importance (H)	N/A
Modification of hydrographic functioning, general (J02.05)	high importance (H)	N/A

2.7.1 Method used – threats

expert opinion (1)

2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range

assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A

08/04/2014 11.29.44 Page 3 of 7

2.9.2. Population

assessment Favourable (FV)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.3. Habitat

assessment Favourable (FV)

qualifiers N/A

Favourable (FV)

2.9.4. Future prospects

assessment Favourable (FV)

qualifiers N/A

2.9.5 Overall assessment of

Conservation Status

2.9.5 Overall trend in

Conservation Status

N/A

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size

Unit N/A

min

max

3.1.2 Method used

Absent data (0)

3.1.3 Trend of population size within

N/A

3.2 Conversation Measures

3.2.1 Measure

3.2.2 Type

3.2.3 Ranking

3.2.4 Location

3.2.5 Broad Evaluation

Restoring/improving the hydrological regime (4.2)

Recurrent

high importance

Inside

Maintain

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

Continental (CON)

(H)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Anna Alonzi, Piero Genovesi, Francesca Ronchi (ISPRA - Institute for Environmental Protection and Research). Information, unpublished data and experts' judgments have been provided by: Alex Festi, Cristina Grieco, Sonke Hardersen, Federico Landi e Elisa Riservato (Odonata.it)

- Banche Dati Naturalistiche Regionali Piemonte + Banca Dati IPLA
- Banca Dati Regionale Emilia Romagna
- Università degli Studi di Perugia Dipartimento di Biologia Cellulare e Ambientale

RP -Ckmap - Checklist and distribution of the Italian Fauna (http://www.minambiente.it/index.php?id_sezione=1930)
Natura 2000 Standard data form. Advising and supervision: UZI - Unione Zoologica Italiana

AA.VV.2008. Attuazione della Direttiva Habitat e stato di canservazione di habitat e specie in Italia. Ministero dell'Ambiente e della Tutela del Territorio e del Mare. 48pp.

Database "ODONATA.IT"

2.3 Range

08/04/2014 11.29.44 Page 4 of 7

., (-1
 2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²) 2.3.2 Method - Range surface area 2.3.3 Short-term trend period 2.3.4 Short-term trend direction 2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude 2.3.6 Long-term trend period 2.3.7 Long-term trend direction 2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude 2.3.9 Favourable reference range 	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2) 2001-2012 decrease (-) min max N/A min max area (km²) operator approximately equal to (≈) unkown No method Expert opinion Improved knowledge/more accurate dataUse of different method
2.4 Population	
2.4 Population2.4.1 Population size(individuals or agreed exception)	Unit N/A min max
2.4.2 Population size	Unit number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10)
(other than individuals)	min 30 max 30
2.4.3 Additional information	Definition of locality
	Conversion method not available
	Problems it is impossible to convert grids into individuals
2.4.4 Year or period 2.4.5 Method – population size 2.4.6 Short-term trend period 2.4.7 Short term trend direction 2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude 2.4.9 Short-term trend method 2.4.10 Long-term trend period 2.4.11 Long term trend direction 2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude 2.4.13 Long-term trend method 2.4.14 Favourable reference population	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2) 2001-2012 decrease (-) min max confidence interval Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2) N/A min max confidence interval N/A number operator more than (>)
	unknown No
	method Expert opinion
2.4.15 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method
2.5 Habitat for the Species	
2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²)	
2.5.2 Year or period 2.5.3 Method used - habitat 2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat	Absent data (0) Moderate

08/04/2014 11.29.44 Page 5 of 7

Expert opinion

2001-2012

decrease (-)

2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method

2.5.5 Short term trend period

2.5.7 Long-term trend period

2.5.6 Short term trend direction

2.5.8 Long term trend direction2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)2.5.10 Reason for change

N/A

Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

		and the second second
Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
agricultural intensification (A02.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
annual and perennial non-timber crops (A06)	medium importance (M)	N/A
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	low importance (L)	N/A
forest planting on open ground (B01)	low importance (L)	N/A
Urbanised areas, human habitation (E01)	low importance (L)	N/A
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & orackish) (H01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
andfill, land reclamation and drying out, general (J02.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
nfilling of ditches, dykes, ponds, pools, marshes or pits (J02.01.03)	high importance (H)	N/A
Modification of hydrographic functioning, general (J02.05)	high importance (H)	N/A
Water abstractions from surface waters (J02.06)	high importance (H)	N/A
management of aquatic and bank vegetation for drainage ourposes (J02.10)	high importance (H)	N/A
Biocenotic evolution, succession (KO2)	medium importance (M)	N/A
2.6.1 Method used – pressures mainly based on exp	pert judgement and other data	(2)
2.7 Main Threats		
hreat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
se of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	low importance (L)	N/A
orest planting on open ground (B01)	low importance (L)	N/A
Jrbanised areas, human habitation (E01)	low importance (L)	N/A
rollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & terrestrial)	medium importance (M)	N/A
andfill, land reclamation and drying out, general (J02.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
nfilling of ditches, dykes, ponds, pools, marshes or pits J02.01.03)	high importance (H)	N/A
Modification of hydrographic functioning, general (J02.05)	high importance (H)	N/A
Nater abstractions from surface waters (J02.06)	high importance (H)	N/A
nanagement of aquatic and bank vegetation for drainage ourposes (J02.10)	high importance (H)	N/A
Biocenotic evolution, succession (KO2)	medium importance (M)	N/A
agricultural intensification (A02.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
nnual and perennial non-timber crops (A06)	medium importance (M)	N/A
2.7.1 Method used – threats expert opinion (1)		

08/04/2014 11.29.44 Page 6 of 7

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends2.8.2 Other relevant Information2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range assessment Inadequate (U1) qualifiers declining (-) 2.9.2. Population assessment Inadequate (U1) qualifiers declining (-) 2.9.3. Habitat assessment Inadequate (U1) qualifiers declining (-) 2.9.4. Future prospects assessment Inadequate (U1) qualifiers declining (-) 2.9.5 Overall assessment of Inadequate (U1) **Conservation Status** 2.9.5 Overall trend in declining (-)

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

Conservation Status

3.1.1 Population Size

Unit N/A

min max

3.1.2 Method used

Absent data (0)

7.65ent data (6)

3.1.3 Trend of population size within N/A

3.2 Conversation Measures

3.2.1 Measure 3.2.2 Type 3.2.3 Ranking 3.2.4 Location 3.2.5 Broad Evaluation

No measure known/ ()

impossible to carry out specific measures (1.3)

08/04/2014 11.29.44 Page 7 of 7