0.1 Member State	IT
0.2.1 Species code	5370
0.2.2 Species name	Emys trinacris
0.2.3 Alternative species scientific name	N/A
0.2.4 Common name	Testuggine palustre siciliana

### 1. National Level

#### **1.1 Maps**

1.1.1 Distribution Map	Yes
1.1.1a Sensitive species	No
1.1.2 Method used - map	Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)
1.1.3 Year or period	2000-2012
1.1.4 Additional map	No
1.1.5 Range map	Yes

### 2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

#### 2.1 Biogeographical Region

### 2.2 Published sources

#### Mediterranean (MED)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Anna Rita Di Cerbo, Francesco Ficetola, Roberto Sindaco (Societas Herpetologica Italica). Information, unpublished data and experts' judgments have been provided by Anna Rita Di Cerbo, Francesco Ficetola, Roberto Sindaco.

Di Cerbo, A., 2011. Emys trinacris Fritz, Fattizzo, Guicking, Tripepi, Pennisi, Lenk, Joger & Wink, 2005, In Fauna d'Italia, Reptilia. eds C. Corti, M. Capula, L. Luiselli, E. Razzetti, R. Sindaco, pp. 165-170. Edizioni Calderini de Il Sole 24 ORE, Bologna.

Ficetola, G.F., Salvidio, S., D'Angelo, S., Bonardi, A., Bottoni, L., Canalis, L., Crosetto, S., Di Martino, S., Ferri, V., Filetto, P., Genta, P., Jesu, R., Masin, S., Mazzotti, S., Ottonello, D., Richard, J., Sala, L., Scali, S., Tedaldi, G., Vianello, F., 2013. Conservation activities for European and Sicilian pond turtles (Emys orbicularis and Emys trinacris, respectively) in Italy. Herpetology Notes 6, 127-133.

Rondinini, C., Battistoni, A., Peronace, V., Teofili, C. (compilatori). 2013. Lista Rossa IUCN dei Vertebrati Italiani. Comitato Italiano IUCN e Ministero dell'Ambiente, del Territorio e del Mare, Roma.

### 2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)
2.3.2 Method - Range surface area
2.3.3 Short-term trend period
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude
2.3.6 Long-term trend period
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude
2.3.9 Favourable reference range

21600

Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)

2001-2012 decrease (-)

min max

1989-2012 decrease (-)

min max

area (km²)

operator more than (>)

11/04/2014 13.28.38 Page 1 of 4

unkown No method Expert judgement 2.3.10 Reason for change Use of different method 2.4 Population 2.4.1 Population size Unit N/A (individuals or agreed exception) min max 2.4.2 Population size Unit number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10) (other than individuals) max 112 min 112 2.4.3 Additional information **Definition of locality** Conversion method **Problems** 2000-2012 2.4.4 Year or period 2.4.5 Method – population size Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3) 2.4.6 Short-term trend period 2001-2012 2.4.7 Short term trend direction decrease (-) 2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude min confidence interval max 2.4.9 Short-term trend method Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2) 2.4.10 Long-term trend period 2.4.11 Long term trend direction N/A 2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude confidence interval min max 2.4.13 Long-term trend method N/A 2.4.14 Favourable reference number population operator more than (>) unknown No method Expert judgement 2.4.15 Reason for change Improved knowledge/more accurate data 2.5 Habitat for the Species 2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²) 2.5.2 Year or period 2000-2012 2.5.3 Method used - habitat Absent data (0) 2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat Moderate 2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method ongoing loss of suitable wetlands 2.5.5 Short term trend period 2001-2012 2.5.6 Short term trend direction decrease (-) 2.5.7 Long-term trend period 2.5.8 Long term trend direction N/A 2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²) 2.5.10 Reason for change Improved knowledge/more accurate data

11/04/2014 13.28.38 Page 2 of 4

2.6 Main Pressures

collection of animals (insects, reptiles, amphibians) (F03.02.01)  Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)  Water abstractions from surface waters (J02.06) invasive non-native species (I01)		ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
		high importance (H)	N/A
		medium importance (M)	N/A N/A N/A
		high importance (H) high importance (H)	
2.6.1 Method used – pressures	mainly based on expert judgement and other data (2)		
2.7 Main Threats			
<del>-</del> 1 .		1.1	11 1:6: ( )

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
collection of animals (insects, reptiles, amphibians) (F03.02.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Water abstractions from surface waters (J02.06)	high importance (H)	N/A
invasive non-native species (IO1)	high importance (H)	N/A
burning down (J01.01)	low importance (L)	N/A

2.7.1 Method used – threats expert opinion (1)

### 2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

### 2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range	assessment Inadequate (U1) qualifiers declining (-)
2.9.2. Population	assessment Inadequate (U1) qualifiers declining (-)
2.9.3. Habitat	assessment Inadequate (U1) qualifiers declining (-)
2.9.4. Future prospects	assessment Inadequate (U1) qualifiers declining (-)
2.9.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status	Inadequate (U1)
2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status	declining (-)

### 3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

### 3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size Unit N/A min max

11/04/2014 13.28.38 Page 3 of 4

<ul><li>3.1.2 Method used</li><li>3.1.3 Trend of populati</li></ul>	on size within	Absent data (0) N/A		
3.2 Conversation Measures				
3.2.1 Measure	3.2.2 Type	3.2.3 Ranking	3.2.4 Location	3.2.5 Broad Evaluation
Measures needed, but implemented (1.2)	not	()		

11/04/2014 13.28.38 Page 4 of 4