

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

0.1 Member State	IT
0.2.1 Species code	4033
0.2.2 Species name	Erannis ankeraria
0.2.3 Alternative species scientific name	N/A
0.2.4 Common name	N/A

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map	Yes
1.1.1a Sensitive species	No
1.1.2 Method used - map	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)
1.1.3 Year or period	2007-2012
1.1.4 Additional map	No
1.1.5 Range map	Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

Mediterranean (MED)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Fabio Stoch (on behalf of the Comitato Scientifico per la Fauna d'Italia) and Anna Alonzi, Piero Genovesi, Francesca Ronchi (ISPRA). Information, unpublished data and expert judgements have been provided by Alberto Zilli (Rome).

Flamigni C., Fiumi G., Parenzan P., 2007. Lepidotteri Eteroceri d'Italia. Geometridae Ennominae I.. Natura Edizioni Scientifiche, Bologna, 382 pp.
Trizzino M. et al., 2013. Gli artropodi italiani inseriti negli Allegati II e IV della Direttiva Habitat: biologia, ecologia, riconoscimento e monitoraggio. Corpo Forestale dello Stato, Centro Nazionale per lo Studio e la Conservazione della Biodiversità Forestale Bosco Fontana di Verona, Conservazione Habitat Invertebrati, 7 (in press)

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km ²)	1300
2.3.2 Method - Range surface area	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)
2.3.3 Short-term trend period	2001-2012
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction	stable (0)
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.6 Long-term trend period	
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction	N/A
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.9 Favourable reference range	area (km ²) operator approximately equal to (≈) unknown No method Expert opinion
2.3.10 Reason for change	

2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size (individuals or agreed exception)	Unit N/A
	min max

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals)	Unit	number of localities (localities)		
	min	4	max	4
2.4.3 Additional information	Definition of locality	Site where a population was reported		
	Conversion method	not available		
	Problems	it is impossible to convert grids into individuals		
2.4.4 Year or period	2007-2012			
2.4.5 Method – population size	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)			
2.4.6 Short-term trend period	2001-2012			
2.4.7 Short term trend direction	stable (0)			
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude	min		max	confidence interval
2.4.9 Short-term trend method	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)			
2.4.10 Long-term trend period				
2.4.11 Long term trend direction	N/A			
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude	min		max	confidence interval
2.4.13 Long-term trend method	N/A			
2.4.14 Favourable reference population	number			
	operator	N/A		
	unknown	Yes		
	method	Expert opinion		
2.4.15 Reason for change				

2.5 Habitat for the Species

2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km ²)	Absent data (0)
2.5.2 Year or period	
2.5.3 Method used - habitat	
2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat	
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method	Expert opinion
2.5.5 Short term trend period	2001-2012
2.5.6 Short term trend direction	stable (0)
2.5.7 Long-term trend period	N/A
2.5.8 Long term trend direction	
2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km ²)	Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method
2.5.10 Reason for change	

2.6 Main Pressures

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
forestry clearance (B02.02)	low importance (L)	N/A
forest exploitation without replanting or natural regrowth (B03)	low importance (L)	N/A
2.6.1 Method used – pressures	based only on expert judgements (1)	

2.7 Main Threats

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
forestry clearance (B02.02)	low importance (L)	N/A
2.7.1 Method used – threats	expert opinion (1)	

2.8 Complementary Information

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range assessment Favourable (FV)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.2. Population assessment Unknown (XX)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.3. Habitat assessment Favourable (FV)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.4. Future prospects assessment Favourable (FV)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status Favourable (FV)

2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status N/A

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size Unit N/A
min max

3.1.2 Method used Absent data (0)

3.1.3 Trend of population size within N/A

3.2 Conversation Measures

3.2.1 Measure	3.2.2 Type	3.2.3 Ranking	3.2.4 Location	3.2.5 Broad Evaluation
No measure known/ impossible to carry out specific measures (1.3)		()		

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

Continental (CON)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Fabio Stoch (on behalf of the Comitato Scientifico per la Fauna d'Italia) and Anna Alonzi, Piero Genovesi, Francesca Ronchi (ISPRA). Information, unpublished data and expert judgements have been provided by Alberto Zilli (Rome).

Flamigni C., Fiumi G., Parenzan P., 2007. Lepidotteri Eteroceri d'Italia. Geometridae Ennominae I.. Natura Edizioni Scientifiche, Bologna, 382 pp.
Trizzino M. et al., 2013. Gli artropodi italiani inseriti negli Allegati II e IV della Direttiva Habitat: biologia, ecologia, riconoscimento e monitoraggio. Corpo Forestale dello Stato, Centro Nazionale per lo Studio e la Conservazione della Biodiversità Forestale Bosco Fontana di Verona, Conservazione Habitat Invertebrati, 7 (in press)

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km ²)	400
2.3.2 Method - Range surface area	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)
2.3.3 Short-term trend period	2001-2012
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction	stable (0)
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.6 Long-term trend period	
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction	N/A
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.9 Favourable reference range	area (km ²) operator approximately equal to (≈) unknown No method Expert opinion
2.3.10 Reason for change	Use of different method

2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size (individuals or agreed exception)	Unit N/A min max
2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals)	Unit number of localities (localities) min 2 max 2
2.4.3 Additional information	Definition of locality Site where a population was reported Conversion method not available Problems it is impossible to convert grids into individuals
2.4.4 Year or period	2007-2012
2.4.5 Method – population size	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)
2.4.6 Short-term trend period	2001-2012
2.4.7 Short term trend direction	stable (0)
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude	min max confidence interval
2.4.9 Short-term trend method	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)
2.4.10 Long-term trend period	
2.4.11 Long term trend direction	N/A
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude	min max confidence interval
2.4.13 Long-term trend method	N/A
2.4.14 Favourable reference population	number operator N/A unknown Yes method Expert opinion
2.4.15 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data

2.5 Habitat for the Species

2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km ²)	
2.5.2 Year or period	
2.5.3 Method used - habitat	Absent data (0)
2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat	Good
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method	Expert opinion
2.5.5 Short term trend period	2001-2012
2.5.6 Short term trend direction	stable (0)

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

2.5.7 Long-term trend period	
2.5.8 Long term trend direction	N/A
2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km ²)	
2.5.10 Reason for change	Use of different method

2.6 Main Pressures

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
forestry clearance (B02.02)	low importance (L)	N/A
forest exploitation without replanting or natural regrowth (B03)	low importance (L)	N/A

2.6.1 Method used – pressures	based only on expert judgements (1)
-------------------------------	-------------------------------------

2.7 Main Threats

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
forestry clearance (B02.02)	low importance (L)	N/A

2.7.1 Method used – threats	expert opinion (1)
-----------------------------	--------------------

2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range	assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A
2.9.2. Population	assessment Unknown (XX) qualifiers N/A
2.9.3. Habitat	assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A
2.9.4. Future prospects	assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A
2.9.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status	Favourable (FV)
2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status	N/A

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size	Unit N/A min max
3.1.2 Method used	Absent data (0)
3.1.3 Trend of population size within	N/A

3.2 Conversation Measures

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

3.2.1 Measure	3.2.2 Type	3.2.3 Ranking	3.2.4 Location	3.2.5 Broad Evaluation
No measure known/ impossible to carry out specific measures (1.3)		()		