0.1 Member State	IT
0.2.1 Species code	4104
0.2.2 Species name	Himantoglossum adriaticum
0.2.3 Alternative species scientific name	N/A
0.2.4 Common name	N/A

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map	Yes
1.1.1a Sensitive species	No
1.1.2 Method used - map	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
1.1.3 Year or period	2012
1.1.4 Additional map	No
1.1.5 Range map	Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

Mediterranean (MED)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Stefania Ercole and Valeria Giacanelli (Institute for Environmental Protection and Research - ISPRA). Information, unpublished data and experts' judgments have been provided by Annalisa Santangelo (Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II). Distribution data for the following Nature 2000 sites have been inserted by the Ministry of Environment (source: Italian Nature 2000 database): IT9310003, IT7120213, IT7140203, IT5210006, IT5220003, IT6010004, IT6010014.

ANZALONE B., IBERITE M., LATTANZI E., 2010. La Flora vascolare del Lazio. Informatore Botanico Italiano 42: 187-317

BÜEL H., 1982. Verbreitung der Orchideen in der Provinz Salerno (Süditalien). Mitt. Bl. Arbeitskr. Heim. Orch. Baden-Württ., 14(4): 438-472.

CAPUTO G., LA VALVA V., RICCIARDI M., 1987. Nuove aggiunte alla flora del Monte Alburno (Appennino Campano-Lucano). Webbia, 41 (2): 273-287.

CONTI F., ABBATE G., ALESSANDRINI A., BLASI C., (Eds.) 2005 - An annotated Checklist of the Italian Vascular Flora. Palombi Editori, Roma.

CONTI F., MANZI A., PEDROTTI F., 1997 - Liste Rosse Regionali delle Piante d'Italia. WWF Italia. Società Botanica Italiana. Università di Camerino. Camerino. 139 pp.

CROCE A., LA VALVA V., MOTTI R., NAZZARO R., STRUMIA S., 2008 - La flora vascolare del Vulcano di Roccamonfina (Campania, Italia). Webbia, 63(2): 251-291.

MORALDO B., LA VALVA V., RICCIARDI M., CAPUTO G., 1988 - La Flora dei Monti Picentini (Campania). Pars altera: Pyrolaceae - Orchidaceae. Delpinoa, n.s., 27-28 (1985-1986): 59-148.

NAZZARO R., MENALE B., LA VALVA V., 2007 - Check-list della flora del Monte Taburno. Delpinoa, 47 (2005): 37-55.

PIGNATTI S., 1982 - Flora d'Italia, voll. 1-3. Edagricole, Bologna.

ROSSI G., MONTAGNANI C., GARGANO D., PERUZZI L., ABELI T., RAVERA S., COGONI A., FENU G., MAGRINI S., GENNAI M., FOGGI B., WAGENSOMMER R.P., VENTURELLA G., BLASI C., RAIMONDO F.M., ORSENIGO S. (Eds.), 2013. Lista Rossa della Flora Italiana. 1. Policy Species e altre specie minacciate. Comitato

02/04/2014 09:58:54 Page 1 of 11

Italiano IUCN; Ministero dell'Ambiente e della Tutela del Territorio e del Mare. SANTANGELO A., BERNARDO L., BERTANI G., BRONZO E., CANCELLIERI L., COSTALONGA S., CROCE A., DEL VICO E., FASCETTI S., FORTINI P., GANGALE C., GUBELLINI L., IOCCHI M., LAPENNA M.R., LATTANZI E., LAVEZZO P., LUPINO F., MAGRINI S., MARINO R., PAURA B., PECCENINI S., PERUZZI L., ROSATI L., SALERNO G., SCOPPOLA A., STRUMIA S., TARDELLA F. M., UZUNOV D., 2010. Contributo alla conoscenza floristica del Massiccio del Matese: resoconto dell'escursione del Gruppo di Floristica (S.B.I.) nel 2007. Inform. Bot. Ital., 42 (1):109-143."

SOCIETÀ BOTANICA ITALIANA, 2012. Valutazione nazionale della categoria di rischio di estinzione per specie vegetali di pregio e di interesse conservazionistico. Ministero dell'Ambiente e della Tutela del Territorio e del Mare, Società Botanica Italiana (dati inediti).

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)

2.3.2 Method - Range surface area

2.3.3 Short-term trend period

2.3.4 Short-term trend direction

2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude

2.3.6 Long-term trend period

2.3.7 Long-term trend direction

2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude

2.3.9 Favourable reference range

36700

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2001-2012

stable (0)

min max

N/A

min max

area (km²)

operator approximately equal to (≈)

unkown No

method Expert judgment

2.3.10 Reason for change

Improved knowledge/more accurate dataUse of different method

2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size

(individuals or agreed exception)

Unit N/A

min max

2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals) Unit number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10)

min 116 max 116

2.4.3 Additional information

Definition of locality

Conversion method

Problems no data available for the number of individuals

2.4.4 Year or period

2.4.5 Method – population size

2012

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2.4.6 Short-term trend period

2001-2012 stable (0)

2.4.7 Short term trend direction

confidence interval

2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude

Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)

2.4.9 Short-term trend method 2.4.10 Long-term trend period

> 02/04/2014 09:58:54 Page 2 of 11

2.4.11 Long term trend direction 2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude 2.4.13 Long-term trend method 2.4.14 Favourable reference population

N/A min max

confidence interval

N/A number

approximately equal to (≈) operator

unknown No

method Expert judgment

Use of different method

2.5 Habitat for the Species

2.4.15 Reason for change

2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²)

2.5.2 Year or period

2.5.3 Method used - habitat

2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat

2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method

2.5.5 Short term trend period

2.5.6 Short term trend direction

2.5.7 Long-term trend period

2.5.8 Long term trend direction

2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)

2.5.10 Reason for change

Absent data (0)

Good

expert based

2001-2012

stable (0)

N/A

2.6	Main Pressures

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
abandonment of pastoral systems, lack of grazing (A04.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
intensive grazing (A04.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
species composition change (succession) (K02.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
Roads, paths and railroads (D01)	low importance (L)	N/A
Cultivation (A01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
modification of cultivation practices (A02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
pillaging of floristic stations (F04.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
damage caused by game (excess population density) (F03.01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
wind energy production (C03.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
communication masts and antennas (D02.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
motorised vehicles (G01.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A

2.6.1 Method used – pressures

mainly based on expert judgement and other data (2)

2.7 Main Threats

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Changes in abiotic conditions (M01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
abandonment of pastoral systems, lack of grazing (A04.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
intensive grazing (A04.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
species composition change (succession) (K02.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
Roads, paths and railroads (D01)	low importance (L)	N/A

02/04/2014 09:58:54 Page 3 of 11

Cultivation (A01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
pillaging of floristic stations (F04.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
damage caused by game (excess population density) (F03.01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
wind energy production (C03.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
communication masts and antennas (D02.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
paths, tracks, cycling tracks (D01.01)	low importance (L)	N/A
motorised vehicles (G01.03)	low importance (L)	N/A
modification of cultivation practices (A02)	medium importance (M)	N/A

2.7.1 Method used – threats

expert opinion (1)

2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

Italian Red List (2013): LC

Source: ROSSI G., MONTAGNANI C., GARGANO D., PERUZZI L., ABELI T., RAVERA S., COGONI A., FENU G., MAGRINI S., GENNAI M., FOGGI B., WAGENSOMMER R.P., VENTURELLA G., BLASI C., RAIMONDO F.M., ORSENIGO S. (Eds.), 2013. Lista Rossa della Flora Italiana. 1. Policy Species e altre specie minacciate. Comitato Italiano IUCN; Ministero dell'Ambiente e della Tutela del Territorio e del Mare.

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range

assessment Favourable (FV)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.2. Population

assessment Favourable (FV)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.3. Habitat

assessment Favourable (FV)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.4. Future prospects

assessment Unknown (XX)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status

2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status

Favourable (FV)

N/A

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size Unit N/A

min max

3.1.2 Method used Absent data (0)

3.1.3 Trend of population size within N/A

3.2 Conversation Measures

02/04/2014 09:58:54 Page 4 of 11

3.2.1 Measure	3.2.2 Type	3.2.3 Ranking	3.2.4 Location	3.2.5 Broad Evaluation
Specific single species or species group management measures (7.4)	Legal	low importance (L)	Both	Unknown

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

Continental (CON)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Stefania Ercole and Valeria Giacanelli (Institute for Environmental Protection and Research - ISPRA). Distribution data for the following Nature 2000 sites have been inserted by the Ministry of Environment (source: Italian Nature 2000 database): IT9310003, IT7120213, IT7140203, IT5210006, IT5220003, IT6010004, IT6010014.

AAVV., 2010. Monitoraggio degli habitat di Allegato I e delle specie vegetali di Allegato II della ZPS IT3341002 Aree carsiche della Venezia Giulia. Regione Autonoma Friuli Venezia Giulia

ABELI T., PAROLO G., DELL'ORTO V., 2012. Orchidee spontanee dell'Appennino Pavese. Pavia

ANZALONE B., IBERITE M., LATTANZI E., 2010. La Flora vascolare del Lazio. Informatore Botanico Italiano 42: 187-317

CONTI F., ABBATE G., ALESSANDRINI A., BLASI C., (Eds.) 2005 - An annotated Checklist of the Italian Vascular Flora. Palombi Editori, Roma.

CONTI F., MANZI A., PEDROTTI F., 1997 - Liste Rosse Regionali delle Piante d'Italia. WWF Italia. Società Botanica Italiana. Università di Camerino. Camerino. 139 pp.

ROSSI G., MONTAGNANI C., GARGANO D., PERUZZI L., ABELI T., RAVERA S., COGONI A., FENU G., MAGRINI S., GENNAI M., FOGGI B., WAGENSOMMER R.P., VENTURELLA G., BLASI C., RAIMONDO F.M., ORSENIGO S. (Eds.), 2013. Lista Rossa della Flora Italiana. 1. Policy Species e altre specie minacciate. Comitato Italiano IUCN; Ministero dell'Ambiente e della Tutela del Territorio e del Mare. SOCIETÀ BOTANICA ITALIANA, 2012. Valutazione nazionale della categoria di rischio di estinzione per specie vegetali di pregio e di interesse conservazionistico. Ministero dell'Ambiente e della Tutela del Territorio e del Mare, Società Botanica Italiana (dati inediti).

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)

2.3.2 Method - Range surface area

2.3.3 Short-term trend period

2.3.4 Short-term trend direction

2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude

2.3.6 Long-term trend period

2.3.7 Long-term trend direction

2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude

2.3.9 Favourable reference range

35800

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2001-2012 stable (0)

min max

N/A

min max

area (km²)

operator approximately equal to (≈)

unkown No

method Expert judgment

2.3.10 Reason for change

Improved knowledge/more accurate dataUse of different method

02/04/2014 09:58:54 Page 5 of 11

2.4 Population			
2.4.1 Population size	Unit N/A		
(individuals or agreed exception)	min max		
2.4.2 Population size	Unit number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10)		
(other than individuals)	min 157 max 157		
2.4.3 Additional information	Definition of locality		
	Conversion method		
	Problems		
2.4.4 Year or period	2012		
2.4.5 Method – population size	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)		
2.4.6 Short-term trend period	2001-2012		
2.4.7 Short term trend direction	stable (0)		
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude	min max confidence interval		
2.4.9 Short-term trend method	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)		
2.4.10 Long-term trend period	NI/A		
2.4.11 Long term trend direction2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude	N/A min max confidence interval		
2.4.13 Long-term trend method	min max confidence interval N/A		
2.4.14 Favourable reference	number		
population	operator approximately equal to (≈)		
	unknown No		
	method Expert judgment		
2.4.15 Reason for change	Use of different method		
2.5 Habitat for the Species			
2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²)			
2.5.2 Year or period			
2.5.3 Method used - habitat	Absent data (0)		
2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat	Good		
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method	expert based		
2.5.5 Short term trend period2.5.6 Short term trend direction	2001-2012 stable (0)		
2.5.7 Long-term trend period	stable (0)		
2.5.8 Long term trend direction	N/A		
2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)			

	2.6	Main	Pressures
--	-----	------	------------------

2.5.10 Reason for change

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
species composition change (succession) (K02.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
damage by herbivores (including game species) (K04.05)	low importance (L)	N/A
pillaging of floristic stations (F04.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
abandonment of pastoral systems, lack of grazing (A04.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
intensive grazing (A04.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A

02/04/2014 09:58:54 Page 6 of 11

damage caused by game (excess population density) (F03.01.01)	low importance (L)	N/A
communication masts and antennas (D02.03)	low importance (L)	N/A
motorised vehicles (G01.03)	low importance (L)	N/A
Trampling, overuse (G05.01)	low importance (L)	N/A
paths, tracks, cycling tracks (D01.01)	low importance (L)	N/A
wind energy production (C03.03)	low importance (L)	N/A

2.6.1 Method used – pressures mainly based on expert judgement and other data (2)

2.7 Main Threats

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Changes in abiotic conditions (M01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
pillaging of floristic stations (F04.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
agricultural intensification (A02.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Forest and Plantation management & use (B02)	low importance (L)	N/A
Urbanised areas, human habitation (E01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
reduction or loss of specific habitat features (J03.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
intensive grazing (A04.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
abandonment of pastoral systems, lack of grazing (A04.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
species composition change (succession) (K02.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
motorised vehicles (G01.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
wind energy production (C03.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
communication masts and antennas (D02.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
damage caused by game (excess population density) (F03.01.01)	low importance (L)	N/A

2.7.1 Method used – threats

expert opinion (1)

2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

Italian Red List (2013): LC

Source: ROSSI G., MONTAGNANI C., GARGANO D., PERUZZI L., ABELI T., RAVERA S., COGONI A., FENU G., MAGRINI S., GENNAI M., FOGGI B., WAGENSOMMER R.P., VENTURELLA G., BLASI C., RAIMONDO F.M., ORSENIGO S. (Eds.), 2013. Lista Rossa della Flora Italiana. 1. Policy Species e altre specie minacciate. Comitato Italiano IUCN; Ministero dell'Ambiente e della Tutela del Territorio e del Mare.

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range
2.9.2. Population

qualifiers N/A assessment Favourable (FV)

assessment Favourable (FV)

qualifiers N/A

2.9.3. Habitat

assessment Favourable (FV)
qualifiers N/A

02/04/2014 09:58:54 Page 7 of 11

2.9.4. Future prospects

2.9.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status

2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status

assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A Favourable (FV)

N/A

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size Unit N/A

min max

3.1.2 Method used Absent data (0)

3.1.3 Trend of population size within N/A

3.2 Conversation Measures

3.2.1 Measure	3.2.2 Type	3.2.3 Ranking	3.2.4 Location	3.2.5 Broad Evaluation
Legal protection of habitats and species (6.3)	Legal	high importance (H)	Both	Maintain Long term Unknown

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

Alpine (ALP)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Stefania Ercole and Valeria Giacanelli (Institute for Environmental Protection and Research - ISPRA). Distribution data for the following Nature 2000 sites have been inserted by the Ministry of Environment (source: Italian Nature 2000 database): IT9310003, IT7120213, IT7140203, IT5210006, IT5220003, IT6010004, IT6010014.

AAVV., 2010. Monitoraggio degli habitat di Allegato I e delle specie vegetali di Allegato II della ZPS IT3341002 Aree carsiche della Venezia Giulia. Regione Autonoma Friuli Venezia Giulia

CONTI F., ABBATE G., ALESSANDRINI A., BLASI C., (Eds.) 2005 - An annotated Checklist of the Italian Vascular Flora. Palombi Editori, Roma.

CONTI F., MANZI A., PEDROTTI F., 1997 - Liste Rosse Regionali delle Piante d'Italia. WWF Italia. Società Botanica Italiana. Università di Camerino. Camerino. 139 pp.

LORENZ R., 2010 - Die Orchideen der FFH-Richtlinie 92/43/EGW (Anhang II und IV) in Südtirol (Italien), Gredleriana vol. 10: 137-162."

PERAZZA G., PROSSER F., BERTOLLI A., 2011. Cartografia floristica della Provincia di Trento - Museo Civico di Rovereto.

ROSSI G., MONTAGNANI C., GARGANO D., PERUZZI L., ABELI T., RAVERA S., COGONI A., FENU G., MAGRINI S., GENNAI M., FOGGI B., WAGENSOMMER R.P., VENTURELLA G., BLASI C., RAIMONDO F.M., ORSENIGO S. (Eds.), 2013. Lista Rossa della Flora Italiana. 1. Policy Species e altre specie minacciate. Comitato Italiano IUCN; Ministero dell'Ambiente e della Tutela del Territorio e del Mare. SELVAGGI A., GALLINO B., GARRAUD L., PASCAL R., VAN ES J., 2012 - Flora rara, protetta, endemica delle Alpi occidentali. Blu edizioni, Torino."

02/04/2014 09:58:54 Page 8 of 11

SOCIETÀ BOTANICA ITALIANA, 2012. Valutazione nazionale della categoria di rischio di estinzione per specie vegetali di pregio e di interesse

conservazionistico. Ministero dell'Ambiente e della Tutela del Territorio e del Mare, Società Botanica Italiana (dati inediti).

WILHALM T., NIKFELD H., GUTERMANN W., 2006 – Katalog der Gefäßpflanzen Südtirols – Veröffentlichungen des Naturmuseums Südtirol, nr. 3, 218 pp.

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)

2.3.2 Method - Range surface area

2.3.3 Short-term trend period

2.3.4 Short-term trend direction

2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude

2.3.6 Long-term trend period

2.3.7 Long-term trend direction

2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude

2.3.9 Favourable reference range

9500

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2001-2012

unknown (x)

min max

N/A

min max

area (km²)

operator approximately equal to (≈)

max

unkown No

method Expert judgment

2.3.10 Reason for change

Improved knowledge/more accurate dataUse of different method

number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10)

36

2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size

(individuals or agreed exception)

Unit N/A

min max

2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals)

36 min

2.4.3 Additional information

Definition of locality

Conversion method

Problems

2.4.4 Year or period

2.4.5 Method – population size

2.4.6 Short-term trend period

2.4.7 Short term trend direction

2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude

2.4.9 Short-term trend method

2.4.10 Long-term trend period

2.4.11 Long term trend direction

2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude

2.4.13 Long-term trend method

2.4.14 Favourable reference

population

Unit

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2001-2012

decrease (-)

confidence interval max

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

N/A

min

confidence interval max

N/A

number

approximately equal to (≈) operator

unknown

method Expert judgment

2.4.15 Reason for change Use of different method

2.5 Habitat for the Species

02/04/2014 09:58:54 Page 9 of 11

2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²)
2.5.2 Year or period
2.5.3 Method used - habitat
2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method
2.5.5 Short term trend period
2.5.6 Short term trend direction
2.5.7 Long-term trend direction
2.5.8 Long term trend direction
N/A

2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)

2.5.10 Reason for change

2.6 Main Pressures

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Mining and quarrying (C01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
agricultural intensification (A02.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
intensive perennial non-timber crops/intensification (A06.02.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
Biocenotic evolution, succession (KO2)	high importance (H)	N/A

2.6.1 Method used – pressures mainly based on expert judgement and other data (2)

2.7 Main Threats

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Biocenotic evolution, succession (K02)	high importance (H)	N/A
Trampling, overuse (G05.01)	low importance (L)	N/A
intensive grazing (A04.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
agricultural intensification (A02.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
abandonment of pastoral systems, lack of grazing (A04.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Mining and quarrying (C01)	medium importance (M)	N/A

2.7.1 Method used – threats expert opinion (1)

2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

Italian Red List (2013): LC

Source: ROSSI G., MONTAGNANI C., GARGANO D., PERUZZI L., ABELI T., RAVERA S., COGONI A., FENU G., MAGRINI S., GENNAI M., FOGGI B., WAGENSOMMER R.P., VENTURELLA G., BLASI C., RAIMONDO F.M., ORSENIGO S. (Eds.), 2013. Lista Rossa della Flora Italiana. 1. Policy Species e altre specie minacciate. Comitato Italiano IUCN; Ministero dell'Ambiente e della Tutela del Territorio e del Mare.

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A
2.9.2. Population assessment Inadequate (U1) qualifiers declining (-)

02/04/2014 09:58:54 Page 10 of 11

2.9.3. Habitat2.9.4. Future prospects2.9.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status2.9.5 Overall trend in

Conservation Status

assessment Unknown (XX)
qualifiers N/A
assessment Inadequate (U1)
qualifiers N/A
Inadequate (U1)

declining (-)

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population						
3.1.1 Population Size		Unit	N/A			
		min	max			
3.1.2 Method used		Absent d	lata (0)			
3.1.3 Trend of population size within		N/A				
3.2 Conversation Measu	res					
3.2.1 Measure	3.2.2 Type		3.2.3 Ranking	3.2.4 Location	3.2.5 Broad Evaluation	
Legal protection of habitats and species (6.3)	Legal		medium importance (M)	Both	Unknown Not evaluated	

02/04/2014 09:58:54 Page 11 of 11

Notes

Field label	Note	User
1.1.1 Distribution Map	Data sources: SOCIETÀ BOTANICA ITALIANA, 2012. Valutazione nazionale della categoria di rischio di estinzione per specie vegetali di pregio e di interesse conservazionistico. Ministero dell'Ambiente e della Tutela del Territorio e del Mare, Società Botanica Italiana (dati inediti). PROV. AUTONOME DI TRENTO E BOLZANO, REGIONI: FRIULI VENEZIA GIULIA, VENETO, PIEMONTE, LOMBARDIA, LIGURIA, EMILIA ROMAGNA, TOSCANA, MOLISE, LAZIO, CAMPANIA, BASILICATA (Raccolta dati per articolo 17, 2012). Distribution data for the following Nature 2000 sites have been inserted by the Ministry of Environment (source: Italian Nature 2000 database): IT9310003, IT7120213, IT7140203, IT5210006, IT5220003, IT6010004, IT6010014.	
Species name: Himantoglo	ossum adriaticum (4104) Region code: ALP	
Field label	Note	User
2.4.7 Short term trend direction	Il Trend della popolazione è basato sui dati della Prov. Aut. Di Trento, nella quale ricadono il maggior numero di maglie.	ISPRA_F LORA

02/04/2014 09:59:07 Page 1 of 1