0.1 Member State	ІТ
0.2.1 Species code	1213
0.2.2 Species name	Rana temporaria
0.2.3 Alternative species scientific name	N/A
0.2.4 Common name	Rana temporaria

#### 1. National Level

#### **1.1 Maps**

1.1.1 Distribution Map
Yes
1.1.1a Sensitive species
No
Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)
1.1.3 Year or period
2000-2012
No
1.1.4 Additional map
Yes

#### 2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

#### 2.1 Biogeographical Region

#### 2.2 Published sources

#### Mediterranean (MED)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Anna Rita Di Cerbo, Francesco Ficetola, Roberto Sindaco (Societas Herpetologica Italica). Information, unpublished data and experts' judgments have been provided by Anna Rita Di Cerbo, Francesco Ficetola, Roberto Sindaco.

Bernini F., Razzetti E., 2006. Rana temporaria Linnaeus, 1758. In: Atlante degli Anfibi e dei Rettili d'Italia / Atlas of Italians Amphibians and Reptiles, Sindaco R., Doria G., Razzetti E. & Bernini F. (Eds). p. 368-373. Societas Herpetologica Italica. Edizioni Polistampa, Firenze.

Razzetti E., Zanghellini S., Bernini F., 2007. Rana temporaria Linnaeus, 1758. In: Fauna d'Italia, vol. XLII, Amphibia. A cura di Lanza B., Andreone F., Bologna M.A., Corti C., Razzetti E., p. 417-424. Calderini, Bologna.

Rondinini, C., Battistoni, A., Peronace, V., Teofili, C. (compilatori). 2013. Lista Rossa IUCN dei Vertebrati Italiani. Comitato Italiano IUCN e Ministero dell'Ambiente, del Territorio e del Mare, Roma.

#### 2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)
2.3.2 Method - Range surface area
2.3.3 Short-term trend period
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude
2.3.6 Long-term trend period
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude
2.3.9 Favourable reference range

7500

Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)

2001-2012 stable (0)

min max

N/A

min max

area (km²)

operator approximately equal to (≈)

unkown No

method Expert judgement

2.3.10 Reason for change Use of different method

09/04/2014 16.27.29 Page 1 of 10

2.4 Population				
2.4.1 Population size	Unit N	/A		
(individuals or agreed exception)	min	max		
2.4.2 Population size	Unit n	umber of map 10	x10 km gric	cells (grids10x10)
(other than individuals)	min 5	2 max	52	
2.4.3 Additional information	Definition of	of locality		
	Conversion	method		
	Problems			
2.4.4 Year or period	2000-2012			
2.4.5 Method – population size	•	•	survey or a	statistically robust estimate (3)
2.4.6 Short-term trend period	2001-2012			
2.4.7 Short term trend direction	stable (0)			
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude 2.4.9 Short-term trend method	min Estimato h	max	ata with sar	confidence interval me extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.4.10 Long-term trend period	EStilliate D	aseu on partiai u	ala Willi SUI	ne extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.4.11 Long term trend direction	N/A			
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude	min	max		confidence interval
2.4.13 Long-term trend method	N/A			
2.4.14 Favourable reference	number			
population	operator	approximately	equal to (≈	)
	unknown	No		
2.4.15 Decrea for change	method	Expert judgem		
2.4.15 Reason for change	Improved I	knowledge/more	accurate da	ата
2.5 Habitat for the Species				
2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²)	2000 2012			
2.5.2 Year or period 2.5.3 Method used - habitat	2000-2012 Absent da			
2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat	Good	ta (0)		
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method		ct forest manage	ment affect	ts populations, locally.
2.5.5 Short term trend period	2001-2012	_		,
2.5.6 Short term trend direction	stable (0)			
2.5.7 Long-term trend period				
2.5.8 Long term trend direction	N/A			
2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²) 2.5.10 Reason for change	Improved	knowlodgo/ma	accurate d	ata
L.J. TO NEGSUIT TOT CITATIZE	mproved	knowledge/more	accurate u	ala

2.0 141411 1 1 2 3 4 1 2 3		
Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	low importance (L)	N/A
forestry clearance (B02.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
infilling of ditches, dykes, ponds, pools, marshes or pits (J02.01.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	medium importance (M)	N/A

09/04/2014 16.27.29 Page 2 of 10

reduction or loss of specific habitat features (J03.01)	low importance (L)	N/A
Forest and Plantation management & use (B02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Water abstractions from surface waters (J02.06)	medium importance (M)	N/A

2.6.1 Method used – pressures mainly based on expert judgement and other data (2)

			4	
2.7	IVIAI	ın ı	nre	ats

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	low importance (L)	N/A
forestry clearance (B02.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
infilling of ditches, dykes, ponds, pools, marshes or pits (J02.01.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
reduction or loss of specific habitat features (J03.01)	low importance (L)	N/A
Forest and Plantation management & use (B02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Water abstractions from surface waters (J02.06)	medium importance (M)	N/A

2.7.1 Method used – threats expert opinion (1)

#### 2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

#### 2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A

2.9.2. Population assessment Favourable (FV)

qualifiers N/A

2.9.3. Habitat assessment Favourable (FV)

qualifiers N/A

2.9.4. Future prospects assessment Favourable (FV)

qualifiers N/A

Favourable (FV)

2.9.5 Overall assessment of

**Conservation Status** 

2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status

N/A

N/A

### 3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

#### 3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size Unit N/A

min max

3.1.2 Method used

N/A N/A

3.1.3 Trend of population size within

09/04/2014 16.27.29 Page 3 of 10

#### 3.2 Conversation Measures

#### 2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

#### 2.1 Biogeographical Region

#### 2.2 Published sources

#### Continental (CON)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Anna Rita Di Cerbo, Francesco Ficetola, Roberto Sindaco (Societas Herpetologica Italica). Information, unpublished data and experts' judgments have been provided by Anna Rita Di Cerbo, Francesco Ficetola, Roberto Sindaco.

Bernini F., Razzetti E., 2006. Rana temporaria Linnaeus, 1758. In: Atlante degli Anfibi e dei Rettili d'Italia / Atlas of Italians Amphibians and Reptiles, Sindaco R., Doria G., Razzetti E. & Bernini F. (Eds). p. 368-373. Societas Herpetologica Italica. Edizioni Polistampa, Firenze.

Razzetti E., Zanghellini S., Bernini F., 2007. Rana temporaria Linnaeus, 1758. In: Fauna d'Italia, vol. XLII, Amphibia. A cura di Lanza B., Andreone F., Bologna M.A., Corti C., Razzetti E., p. 417-424. Calderini, Bologna.

Rondinini, C., Battistoni, A., Peronace, V., Teofili, C. (compilatori). 2013. Lista Rossa IUCN dei Vertebrati Italiani. Comitato Italiano IUCN e Ministero dell'Ambiente, del Territorio e del Mare, Roma.

#### 2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)
2.3.2 Method - Range surface area
2.3.3 Short-term trend period
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude
2.3.6 Long-term trend period
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude

2.3.9 Favourable reference range

23000

Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)

2001-2012 stable (0)

min max

N/A

min max

area (km²)

operator approximately equal to (≈)

unkown No

N/A

method Expert judgement

2.3.10 Reason for change Use of different method

#### 2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size Unit

(individuals or agreed exception) min ma

2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals)

2.4.5 Method - population size

Unit number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10)

min 141 max 141

2.4.3 Additional information Definition of locality

Conversion method

**Problems** 

2.4.4 Year or period 2000-2012

Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)

2.4.6 Short-term trend period 2001-2012

09/04/2014 16.27.29 Page 4 of 10

2.4.7 Short term trend direction	stable (0)
<ul><li>2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude</li><li>2.4.9 Short-term trend method</li><li>2.4.10 Long-term trend period</li></ul>	min max confidence interval Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
<ul><li>2.4.11 Long term trend direction</li><li>2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude</li><li>2.4.13 Long-term trend method</li><li>2.4.14 Favourable reference</li><li>population</li></ul>	N/A min max confidence interval N/A number operator approximately equal to (≈) unknown No
	method Expert judgement
2.4.15 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data
2.5 Habitat for the Species	
<ul> <li>2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²)</li> <li>2.5.2 Year or period</li> <li>2.5.3 Method used - habitat</li> <li>2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat</li> <li>2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method</li> </ul>	2000-2012 Absent data (0) Good in the prealps decreasing of breeding habitat is due to scarce management of cattle dinking ponds and other small wetlands, in the plain the threaths mainly concerns urbanisation and roads

2.5.5 Short term trend period 2.5.6 Short term trend direction

2.5.7 Long-term trend period

2.5.8 Long term trend direction

2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)

2.5.10 Reason for change

concerns urbanisation and roads.

2001-2012 stable (0)

N/A

Improved knowledge/more accurate data

#### 2.6 Main Pressures

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
infilling of ditches, dykes, ponds, pools, marshes or pits (J02.01.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
agricultural intensification (A02.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	low importance (L)	N/A
Fertilisation (A08)	low importance (L)	N/A
Hunting and collection of wild animals (terrestrial) (F03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
removal of forest undergrowth (B02.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Changes in abiotic conditions (M01)	low importance (L)	N/A
fire and fire suppression (J01)	low importance (L)	N/A
Water abstractions from surface waters (J02.06)	low importance (L)	N/A
Forest and Plantation management & use (B02)	low importance (L)	N/A

2.6.1 Method used – pressures

mainly based on expert judgement and other data (2)

#### 2.7 Main Threats

16.27.29 09/04/2014 Page 5 of 10

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
infilling of ditches, dykes, ponds, pools, marshes or pits (J02.01.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
agricultural intensification (A02.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	low importance (L)	N/A
Fertilisation (A08)	low importance (L)	N/A
Hunting and collection of wild animals (terrestrial) (F03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
removal of forest undergrowth (B02.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Changes in abiotic conditions (M01)	low importance (L)	N/A
fire and fire suppression (J01)	low importance (L)	N/A
Water abstractions from surface waters (J02.06)	low importance (L)	N/A
Forest and Plantation management & use (B02)	low importance (L)	N/A

2.7.1 Method used – threats expert opinion (1)

#### 2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

#### 2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A

2.9.2. Population assessment Unknown (XX)

qualifiers N/A

2.9.3. Habitat assessment Favourable (FV)

qualifiers N/A

2.9.4. Future prospects assessment Favourable (FV)

qualifiers N/A

Favourable (FV)

2.9.5 Overall assessment of

**Conservation Status** 

2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status

N/A

### 3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

#### 3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size Unit N/A

min max

3.1.2 Method used

N/A

3.1.3 Trend of population size within

N/A

#### 3.2 Conversation Measures

09/04/2014 16.27.29 Page 6 of 10

### 2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

#### 2.1 Biogeographical Region

#### 2.2 Published sources

#### Alpine (ALP)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Anna Rita Di Cerbo, Francesco Ficetola, Roberto Sindaco (Societas Herpetologica Italica). Information, unpublished data and experts' judgments have been provided by Anna Rita Di Cerbo, Francesco Ficetola, Roberto Sindaco.

Bernini F., Razzetti E., 2006. Rana temporaria Linnaeus, 1758. In: Atlante degli Anfibi e dei Rettili d'Italia / Atlas of Italians Amphibians and Reptiles, Sindaco R., Doria G., Razzetti E. & Bernini F. (Eds). P. 368-373. Societas Herpetologica Italica. Edizioni Polistampa, Firenze.

Razzetti E., Zanghellini S., Bernini F., 2007. Rana temporaria Linnaeus, 1758. In: Fauna d'Italia, vol. XLII, Amphibia. A cura di Lanza B., Andreone F., Bologna M.A., Corti C., Razzetti E., p. 417-424. Calderini, Bologna.

Rondinini, C., Battistoni, A., Peronace, V., Teofili, C. (compilatori). 2013. Lista Rossa IUCN dei Vertebrati Italiani. Comitato Italiano IUCN e Ministero dell'Ambiente, del Territorio e del Mare, Roma.

#### 2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)

2.3.2 Method - Range surface area

2.3.3 Short-term trend period

2.3.4 Short-term trend direction

2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude

2.3.6 Long-term trend period

2.3.7 Long-term trend direction

2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude

2.3.9 Favourable reference range

58500

Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)

2001-2012 stable (0)

min max

N/A

min max

area (km²)

operator approximately equal to (≈)

unkown No

method Expert judgement

2.3.10 Reason for change

Use of different method

#### 2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size

(individuals or agreed exception)

Unit N/A

min max

2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals)

Unit number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10)

min 485 max 485

2.4.3 Additional information

**Definition of locality** 

Conversion method

**Problems** 

2.4.4 Year or period

2000-2012

2.4.5 Method – population size

Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)

2.4.6 Short-term trend period2.4.7 Short term trend direction

2001-2012 stable (0)

09/04/2014 16.27.29

Page 7 of 10

2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude
2.4.9 Short-term trend method
2.4.10 Long-term trend period
2.4.11 Long term trend direction
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude
2.4.13 Long-term trend method
2.4.14 Favourable reference
population

min max confidence interval

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

N/A

min max confidence interval

N/A number

operator approximately equal to (≈)

unknown No

method Expert judgement

2.4.15 Reason for change Improved knowledge/more accurate data

#### 2.5 Habitat for the Species

2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²)

2.5.2 Year or period

2.5.3 Method used - habitat

2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat

2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method

2001-2012 Absent data (0)

Good

in mountain areas decreasing of breeding habitat is due to scarce management of cattle dinking ponds and other small wetlands, in the plain the threaths mainly concerns urbanisation and roads.

2.5.5 Short term trend period
2.5.6 Short term trend direction

2.5.7 Long-term trend period2.5.8 Long term trend direction

2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km<sup>2</sup>)

2.5.10 Reason for change

2001-2012 stable (0)

N/A

Improved knowledge/more accurate data

#### 2.6 Main Pressures

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
reduction in migration/ migration barriers (J03.02.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
infilling of ditches, dykes, ponds, pools, marshes or pits (J02.01.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Roads, paths and railroads (D01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
invasive non-native species (IO1)	medium importance (M)	N/A
abandonment of pastoral systems, lack of grazing (A04.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Landfill, land reclamation and drying out, general (J02.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Leisure fishing (F02.03)	low importance (L)	N/A
modification of standing water bodies (J02.05.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
reduction or loss of specific habitat features (J03.01)	low importance (L)	N/A
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	low importance (L)	N/A
forestry clearance (B02.02)	low importance (L)	N/A
		·->

2.6.1 Method used – pressures

mainly based on expert judgement and other data (2)

#### 2.7 Main Threats

09/04/2014 16.27.29 Page 8 of 10

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
reduction in migration/ migration barriers (J03.02.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
infilling of ditches, dykes, ponds, pools, marshes or pits (J02.01.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Roads, paths and railroads (D01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
invasive non-native species (IO1)	medium importance (M)	N/A
abandonment of pastoral systems, lack of grazing (A04.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Landfill, land reclamation and drying out, general (J02.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Leisure fishing (F02.03)	low importance (L)	N/A
modification of standing water bodies (J02.05.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
reduction or loss of specific habitat features (J03.01)	low importance (L)	N/A
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	low importance (L)	N/A
forestry clearance (B02.02)	low importance (L)	N/A
2.7.1 Method used – threats export eninion (1)		

2.7.1 Method used – threats

expert opinion (1)

#### 2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

#### 2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range

assessment Favourable (FV)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.2. Population

assessment Favourable (FV)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.3. Habitat

assessment Favourable (FV)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.4. Future prospects

assessment Favourable (FV)

qualifiers N/A

2.9.5 Overall assessment of Favourable (FV)
Conservation Status

2.9.5 Overall trend in

Conservation Status

N/A

N/A

### 3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

#### 3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size Unit N/A

min max

3.1.2 Method used N/A

3.1.3 Trend of population size within

09/04/2014 16.27.29 Page 9 of 10

**3.2 Conversation Measures** 

09/04/2014 16.27.29 Page 10 of 10