

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

0.1 Member State	IT
0.2.1 Species code	1215
0.2.2 Species name	<i>Rana latastei</i>
0.2.3 Alternative species scientific name	N/A
0.2.4 Common name	Rana di Lataste

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map	Yes
1.1.1a Sensitive species	No
1.1.2 Method used - map	Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)
1.1.3 Year or period	2000-2012
1.1.4 Additional map	No
1.1.5 Range map	Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

Continental (CON)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Anna Rita Di Cerbo, Francesco Ficetola, Roberto Sindaco (Societas Herpetologica Italica). Information, unpublished data and experts' judgments have been provided by Anna Rita Di Cerbo, Francesco Ficetola, Roberto Sindaco.

Distribution data for the following Nature 2000 sites have been inserted by the Ministry of Environment (source: Italian Nature 2000 database): IT2010020; IT2050010.

Bernini F., Lapini L., Mazzotti S., 2007. *Rana latastei* Boulenger, 1879. In: Fauna d'Italia, vol. XLII, Amphibia. A cura di Lanza B., Andreone F., Bologna M.A., Corti C., Razzetti E., p. 412-416. Calderini, Bologna.

Barbieri F., Mazzotti S., 2006. *Rana latastei* Boulenger, 1879. In: Atlante degli Anfibi e dei Rettili d'Italia / Atlas of Italian Amphibians and Reptiles, Sindaco R., Doria G., Razzetti E. & Bernini F. (Eds), p. 362-367. Societas Herpetologica Italica. Edizioni Polistampa, Firenze.

Rondinini, C., Battistoni, A., Peronace, V., Teofili, C. (compilatori). 2013. Lista Rossa IUCN dei Vertebrati Italiani. Comitato Italiano IUCN e Ministero dell'Ambiente, del Territorio e del Mare, Roma.

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km ²)	36700
2.3.2 Method - Range surface area	Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)
2.3.3 Short-term trend period	2001-2012
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction	decrease (-)
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.6 Long-term trend period	
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction	N/A
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.9 Favourable reference range	area (km ²)

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

	operator	more than (>)
	unknown	No
	method	Expert judgement
2.3.10 Reason for change	Use of different method	
2.4 Population		
2.4.1 Population size (individuals or agreed exception)	Unit	N/A
	min	max
2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals)	Unit	number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10)
	min	250max250
2.4.3 Additional information	Definition of locality	
	Conversion method	
	Problems	
2.4.4 Year or period	2000-2012	
2.4.5 Method – population size	Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)	
2.4.6 Short-term trend period	2001-2012	
2.4.7 Short term trend direction	decrease (-)	
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude	min	maxconfidence interval
2.4.9 Short-term trend method	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)	
2.4.10 Long-term trend period		
2.4.11 Long term trend direction	N/A	
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude	min	maxconfidence interval
2.4.13 Long-term trend method	N/A	
2.4.14 Favourable reference population	number	
	operator	more than (>)
	unknown	No
	method	Expert judgement
2.4.15 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data	
2.5 Habitat for the Species		
2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²)	17108	
2.5.2 Year or period	2000-2012	
2.5.3 Method used - habitat	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)	
2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat	Moderate	
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method	Decrease of terrestrial habitat due to loss and incorrect management of woodlands. Urbanisation and roads really affect migrations. Infilling of water bodies and dithces, and water pollution causes loos of breeding habitats.	
2.5.5 Short term trend period	2001-2012	
2.5.6 Short term trend direction	decrease (-)	
2.5.7 Long-term trend period		
2.5.8 Long term trend direction	N/A	
2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)		
2.5.10 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data	

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
decline or extinction of species (M02.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
reduction in genetic exchange (J03.02.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
agricultural intensification (A02.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
Roads, paths and railroads (D01)	high importance (H)	N/A
infilling of ditches, dykes, ponds, pools, marshes or pits (J02.01.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Other ecosystem modifications (J03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
forest exploitation without replanting or natural regrowth (B03)	high importance (H)	N/A
Forest and Plantation management & use (B02)	high importance (H)	N/A
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
invasive non-native species (I01)	low importance (L)	N/A
Fertilisation (A08)	low importance (L)	N/A
removal of dead and dying trees (B02.04)	low importance (L)	N/A
Changes in abiotic conditions (M01)	low importance (L)	N/A

2.6.1 Method used – pressures

mainly based on expert judgement and other data (2)

2.7 Main Threats

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
agricultural intensification (A02.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
Roads, paths and railroads (D01)	high importance (H)	N/A
infilling of ditches, dykes, ponds, pools, marshes or pits (J02.01.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Other ecosystem modifications (J03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
forest exploitation without replanting or natural regrowth (B03)	high importance (H)	N/A
Forest and Plantation management & use (B02)	high importance (H)	N/A
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
invasive non-native species (I01)	low importance (L)	N/A
Fertilisation (A08)	low importance (L)	N/A
removal of dead and dying trees (B02.04)	low importance (L)	N/A
Changes in abiotic conditions (M01)	low importance (L)	N/A
decline or extinction of species (M02.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
reduction in genetic exchange (J03.02.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A

2.7.1 Method used – threats

expert opinion (1)

2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range	assessment Inadequate (U1) qualifiers declining (-)
2.9.2. Population	assessment Inadequate (U1) qualifiers declining (-)
2.9.3. Habitat	assessment Inadequate (U1) qualifiers declining (-)
2.9.4. Future prospects	assessment Inadequate (U1) qualifiers N/A
2.9.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status	Inadequate (U1)
2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status	declining (-)

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size	Unit N/A min max
3.1.2 Method used	Absent data (0)
3.1.3 Trend of population size within	N/A

3.2 Conversation Measures

3.2.1 Measure	3.2.2 Type	3.2.3 Ranking	3.2.4 Location	3.2.5 Broad Evaluation
Other agriculture-related measures (2.0)	Administrative	medium importance (M)	Inside	No effect
Restoring/improving forest habitats (3.1)	Contractual	high importance (H)	Outside	No effect Not evaluated
Restoring/improving water quality (4.1)	Contractual	high importance (H)	Outside	Not evaluated
Restoring/improving the hydrological regime (4.2)	Contractual Recurrent	low importance (L)	Both	Enhance Unknown
Specific management of traffic and energy transport systems (8.2)	Contractual	low importance (L)	Both	Maintain

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

Alpine (ALP)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Anna Rita Di Cerbo, Francesco Ficetola, Roberto Sindaco (Societas Herpetologica Italica). Information, unpublished data and experts' judgments have been provided by Anna Rita Di Cerbo, Francesco Ficetola, Roberto Sindaco.

Bernini F., Lapini L., Mazzotti S., 2007. *Rana latastei* Boulenger, 1879. In: Fauna d'Italia, vol. XLII, Amphibia. A cura di Lanza B., Andreone F., Bologna M.A., Corti C., Razzetti E., p. 412-416. Calderini, Bologna.

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

Barbieri F., Mazzotti S., 2006. *Rana latastei* Boulenger, 1879. In: Atlante degli Anfibi e dei Rettili d'Italia / Atlas of Italian Amphibians and Reptiles, Sindaco R., Doria G., Razzetti E. & Bernini F. (Eds), p. 362-367. Societas Herpetologica Italica. Edizioni Polistampa, Firenze.

Rondinini, C., Battistoni, A., Peronace, V., Teofili, C. (compilatori). 2013. Lista Rossa IUCN dei Vertebrati Italiani. Comitato Italiano IUCN e Ministero dell'Ambiente, del Territorio e del Mare, Roma.

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km ²)	7000
2.3.2 Method - Range surface area	Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)
2.3.3 Short-term trend period	2001-2012
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction	stable (0)
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.6 Long-term trend period	
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction	N/A
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.9 Favourable reference range	area (km ²) operator approximately equal to (≈) unknown No method Expert judgement
2.3.10 Reason for change	Use of different method

2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size (individuals or agreed exception)	Unit N/A min max
2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals)	Unit number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10) min 46 max 46
2.4.3 Additional information	Definition of locality Conversion method Problems
2.4.4 Year or period	2000-2012
2.4.5 Method – population size	Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)
2.4.6 Short-term trend period	2001-2012
2.4.7 Short term trend direction	decrease (-)
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude	min max confidence interval
2.4.9 Short-term trend method	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.4.10 Long-term trend period	
2.4.11 Long term trend direction	N/A
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude	min max confidence interval
2.4.13 Long-term trend method	N/A
2.4.14 Favourable reference population	number operator more than (>) unknown No method Expert judgement
2.4.15 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data

2.5 Habitat for the Species

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km ²)	3008
2.5.2 Year or period	2000-2012
2.5.3 Method used - habitat	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)
2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat	Moderate
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method	Decrease of terrestrial habitat due to loss and incorrect management of woodlands. Infilling of water bodies and ditches, and water pollution in the prealps. Urbanisation and roads really affect migrations.
2.5.5 Short term trend period	2001-2012
2.5.6 Short term trend direction	decrease (-)
2.5.7 Long-term trend period	
2.5.8 Long term trend direction	N/A
2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km ²)	
2.5.10 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data

2.6 Main Pressures

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
infilling of ditches, dykes, ponds, pools, marshes or pits (J02.01.03)	high importance (H)	N/A
Forest and Plantation management & use (B02)	high importance (H)	N/A
Roads, paths and railroads (D01)	high importance (H)	N/A
forest exploitation without replanting or natural regrowth (B03)	high importance (H)	N/A
Urbanised areas, human habitation (E01)	high importance (H)	N/A
Other ecosystem modifications (J03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Silting up (K01.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
invasive non-native species (I01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Changes in abiotic conditions (M01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
problematic native species (I02)	medium importance (M)	N/A

2.6.1 Method used – pressures mainly based on expert judgement and other data (2)

2.7 Main Threats

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
infilling of ditches, dykes, ponds, pools, marshes or pits (J02.01.03)	high importance (H)	N/A
Forest and Plantation management & use (B02)	high importance (H)	N/A
Roads, paths and railroads (D01)	high importance (H)	N/A
forest exploitation without replanting or natural regrowth (B03)	high importance (H)	N/A
Urbanised areas, human habitation (E01)	high importance (H)	N/A
Other ecosystem modifications (J03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Silting up (K01.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	medium importance (M)	N/A

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

invasive non-native species (I01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Changes in abiotic conditions (M01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
problematic native species (I02)	medium importance (M)	N/A

2.7.1 Method used – threats expert opinion (1)

2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range assessment Favourable (FV)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.2. Population assessment Inadequate (U1)
qualifiers declining (-)

2.9.3. Habitat assessment Inadequate (U1)
qualifiers declining (-)

2.9.4. Future prospects assessment Inadequate (U1)
qualifiers declining (-)

2.9.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status Inadequate (U1)

2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status declining (-)

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size Unit N/A
min max

3.1.2 Method used Absent data (0)

3.1.3 Trend of population size within N/A

3.2 Conversation Measures

3.2.1 Measure	3.2.2 Type	3.2.3 Ranking	3.2.4 Location	3.2.5 Broad Evaluation
Other agriculture-related measures (2.0)	Contractual	medium importance (M)	Inside	No effect
Restoring/improving forest habitats (3.1)	Contractual	low importance (L)	Inside	No effect
Restoring/improving the hydrological regime (4.2)	Contractual Recurrent	low importance (L)	Both	No effect
Specific management of traffic and energy transport systems (8.2)	Contractual	low importance (L)	Both	Maintain