0.1 Member State	IT
0.2.1 Species code	1014
0.2.2 Species name	Vertigo angustior
0.2.3 Alternative species scientific name	N/A
0.2.4 Common name	N/A

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map
Yes
1.1.1a Sensitive species
No
1.1.2 Method used - map
Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2007-2012
1.1.4 Additional map
No
1.1.5 Range map
Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

Mediterranean (MED)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Fabio Stoch (on behalf of the Comitato Scientifico per la Fauna d'Italia) and Anna Alonzi, Piero Genovesi, Francesca Ronchi (ISPRA). Information, unpublished data and expert judgements have been provided by Marco Bodon (Genova).

Ruffo S., Stoch F. (eds.), 2006 - Checklist and distribuito of the Italian fauna. 10,000 terrestri and inland waters species. Memorie del Museo Civico di Storia Naturale di Verona, 2.serie, Sezione Scienze della Vita, 17: 307 pp. + CD-ROM

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)
2.3.2 Method - Range surface area
2.3.3 Short-term trend period
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude
2.3.6 Long-term trend period
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude
2.3.9 Favourable reference range

10400

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2001-2012 stable (0)

min max

N/A

min max

area (km²)

operator approximately equal to (≈)

unkown No

method Expert opinion

2.3.10 Reason for change Use of different method

2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size (individuals or agreed exception)

Unit N/A

min max

2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals)

Unit number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10)

min 59 max 59

2.4.3 Additional information Definition of locality

09/04/2014 10.41.41 Page 1 of 9

ii, iv and v species (Aiii	iek bj				
	Conversion	method	not available		
	Problems		it is impossible to	convert grids in	to individuals
2.4.4 Year or period2.4.5 Method – population size2.4.6 Short-term trend period2.4.7 Short term trend direction	2007-2012 Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2) 2001-2012 stable (0)				
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude 2.4.9 Short-term trend method	min Estimata ba	and an aw	max	confidence in	
2.4.10 Long-term trend period	Estimate ba	sea on ex	pert opinion with no o	r minimai samp	oling (1)
2.4.11 Long term trend direction	N/A				
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude	min		max	confidence in	terval
2.4.13 Long-term trend method	N/A number				
2.4.14 Favourable reference population	operator	approxin	nately equal to (≈)		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	unknown	No	nation, equal to ()		
	method	Expert o	pinion		
2.4.15 Reason for change	Use of differ	rent meth	od		
2.5 Habitat for the Species					
2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²)					
2.5.2 Year or period2.5.3 Method used - habitat	Absent data	. (0)			
2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat	Moderate	1 (0)			
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method	Expert opin	ion			
2.5.5 Short term trend period	2001-2012				
2.5.6 Short term trend direction	decrease (-)				
2.5.7 Long-term trend period2.5.8 Long term trend direction	N/A				
2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)	IN/ A				
2.5.10 Reason for change	Genuine Use of different method				
2.6 Main Pressures					
Pressure			ranking	pollu	ition qualifier(s)
Landfill, land reclamation and drying ou	ut, general (JO	2.01)	high importance (H)	N/A	
2.6.1 Method used – pressures	based only	on expert	judgements (1)		
2.7 Main Threats					
Threat			ranking	pollu	ition qualifier(s)
Landfill, land reclamation and drying ou	ut, general (JO	2.01)	high importance (H)	N/A	
2.7.1 Method used – threats	expert opin	ion (1)			
2.8 Complementary Information					
2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends					
2.8.2 Other relevant Information					
2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment					

09/04/2014 10.41.41 Page 2 of 9

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range
2.9.2. Population
2.9.3. Habitat
2.9.4. Future prospects
2.9.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status
2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status

assessment Favourable (FV)
qualifiers N/A
assessment Favourable (FV)
qualifiers N/A
assessment Inadequate (U1)
qualifiers declining (-)
assessment Inadequate (U1)
qualifiers unknown (x)
Inadequate (U1)

unknown (x)

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size Unit N/A min

3.1.2 Method used Absent data (0)

3.1.3 Trend of population size within N/A

3.2 Conversation Measures

3.2.1 Measure	3.2.2 Type	3.2.3 Ranking	3.2.4 Location	3.2.5 Broad Evaluation
Restoring/improving the hydrological regime (4.2)	One-off	low importance (L)	Inside	Enhance
Establish protected areas/sites (6.1)	Administrative	medium importance (M)	Inside	Maintain Enhance Long term

max

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

Continental (CON)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Fabio Stoch (on behalf of the Comitato Scientifico per la Fauna d'Italia) and Anna Alonzi, Piero Genovesi, Francesca Ronchi (ISPRA). Information, unpublished data and expert judgements have been provided by Marco Bodon (Genova).

Distribution data for the following grid cells have been removed by the Ministry of Environment: 10kmE413N244 10kmE416N241

Nardi G., 2006. Contributo alla conoscenzadel genere Vertigo (Gastropoda: Pulmonata: Vertiginidae) in Provincia di Brescia (Lombardia orientale). Boll. Malacol., 42(1-4): 17-23.

Banche dati del Museo Friulano di Storia Naturale di Udine.

Stoch F. (cur.), 2003. Monitoraggio della componente faunistica dei Biotopi Naturali del Friuli Venezia Giulia.

Relazione finale, inedita, del Museo Friulano di Storia Naturale di Udine consegnata all'Azienda dei Parchi e

delle Foreste della Regione Autonoma Friuli Venezia Giulia in base a convenzione.

09/04/2014 10.41.41 Page 3 of 9

MUSEO CIVICO DI STORIA NATURALE DI TRIESTE, 2009. Monitoraggio delle specie di mammiferi, anfibi, rettili,

pesci e invertebrati elencate nelle schede SIC: IT3310005 Torbiera di Sequals, IT3310008 Magredi di

Tauriano, IT3310009 Magredi del Cellina, IT3310010 Risorgive del Vinchiaruzzo, IT3340006 Carso triestino e

goriziano e ZPS: IT3341002 Aree carsiche della Venezia Giulia. Relazione interna su incarico della Regione

Aut. Friuli Venezia Giulia, Direzione Centrale risorse rurali, agroalimentari e forestali, Servizio caccia, pesca e

ambienti naturali.

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)

2.3.2 Method - Range surface area

2.3.3 Short-term trend period

2.3.4 Short-term trend direction

2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude

2.3.6 Long-term trend period

2.3.7 Long-term trend direction

2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude

2.3.9 Favourable reference range

11600

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2001-2012

stable (0)

min max

N/A

min

nin max

area (km²)

operator approximately equal to (≈)

unkown No

method Expert opinion

2.3.10 Reason for change

Use of different method

2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size

(individuals or agreed exception)

2.4.2 Population size

(other than individuals)

Unit N/A

min max

Unit number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10)

min 64 max 64

2.4.3 Additional information

Definition of locality

Conversion method not available

Problems it is impossible to convert grids into individuals

2.4.4 Year or period

2.4.5 Method – population size

2.4.6 Short-term trend period

2.4.7 Short term trend direction

2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude

2.4.9 Short-term trend method

2.4.10 Long-term trend period

2.4.11 Long term trend direction

2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude

2.4.13 Long-term trend method

2.4.14 Favourable reference population

2007-2012

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2001-2012

stable (0)

min max confidence interval

Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)

N/A

min max confidence interval

N/A

number

operator approximately equal to (≈)

unknown No

09/04/2014 10.41.41 Page 4 of 9

	method Expert opinion			
2.4.15 Reason for change	Use of different method			
2.5 Habitat for the Species				
 2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²) 2.5.2 Year or period 2.5.3 Method used - habitat 2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat 2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method 2.5.5 Short term trend period 2.5.6 Short term trend direction 2.5.7 Long-term trend period 2.5.8 Long term trend direction 2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²) 2.5.10 Reason for change 	Absent data (0) Moderate Expert opinion 2001-2012 decrease (-) N/A Genuine Use of diffe	erent method		
2.6 Main Pressures				
Pressure		ranking	pollution qualifier(s)	
Landfill, land reclamation and drying or	ut, general (J02.01)	high importance (H)	N/A	
surface water abstractions for agricultu	ure (J02.06.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A	
management of aquatic and bank vege purposes (J02.10)	tation for drainage	medium importance (M)	N/A	
reduction or loss of specific habitat fea	tures (J03.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A	
2.6.1 Method used – pressures	based only on exper	t judgements (1)		
2.7 Main Threats				
Threat		ranking	pollution qualifier(s)	
Landfill, land reclamation and drying or		high importance (H)	N/A	
surface water abstractions for agricultu	<u> </u>	medium importance (M)	N/A	
management of aquatic and bank vege purposes (J02.10)	tation for drainage	medium importance (M)	N/A	
reduction or loss of specific habitat fea	tures (J03.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A	
2.7.1 Method used – threats	expert opinion (1)			
2.8 Complementary Information				
2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends2.8.2 Other relevant Information				
2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment				
2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)				
2.9.1 Range assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A				
2.9.2. Population	assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A			
2.9.3. Habitat	assessment Inadequate (U1)			

09/04/2014 10.41.41 Page 5 of 9

qualifiers unknown (x)

2.9.4. Future prospects

2.9.5 Overall assessment of
Conservation Status

2.9.5 Overall trend in
Conservation Status

assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A Inadequate (U1)

unknown (x)

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size Unit N/A

min max

3.1.2 Method used Absent data (0)

3.1.3 Trend of population size within N/A

3.2 Conversation Measures

3.2.1 Measure	3.2.2 Type	3.2.3 Ranking	3.2.4 Location	3.2.5 Broad Evaluation
Maintaining grasslands and other open habitats (2.1)	Legal	high importance (H)	Both	Unknown Not evaluated
Other wetland-related measures (4.0)	Administrative Recurrent	high importance (H)	Inside	Unknown Not evaluated
Legal protection of habitat and species (6.3)	ts Legal	high importance (H)	Both	Unknown Not evaluated

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

Alpine (ALP)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Fabio Stoch (on behalf of the Comitato Scientifico per la Fauna d'Italia) and Anna Alonzi, Piero Genovesi, Francesca Ronchi (ISPRA). Information, unpublished data and expert judgements have been provided by Marco Bodon (Genova).

Kiss Y., Kopf T., 2009. Die Vertigo-Arten (Mollusca: Gastropoda: Vertiginidae) des Anhang 2 der FFH Richtlinie in Südtirol – eine Pilotstudie. Gredleriana, 9: 135 - 170.

Kiss Y., Kopf T., 2010. Die Vertigo-Arten (Gastropoda: Vertiginidae) des Anhang II der FFH Richtlinie in Südtirol:

2. Erhebungsjahr (2009). Gredleriana, 10: 187 - 208.

Kiss Y., Kopf T., 2010. Steckbriefe zu den Vertigo-Arten (Gastropoda: Vertiginidae) des Anhang II der FFH Richtlinie in Südtirol (Italien). Gredleriana,

10: 163 - 186.Banche dati del Museo Friulano di Storia Naturale di Udine.

Stoch F. (cur.), 2003. Monitoraggio della componente faunistica dei Biotopi Naturali del Friuli Venezia Giulia.

Relazione finale, inedita, del Museo Friulano di Storia Naturale di Udine consegnata all'Azienda dei Parchi e

delle Foreste della Regione Autonoma Friuli Venezia Giulia in base a convenzione. MUSEO CIVICO DI STORIA NATURALE DI TRIESTE, 2009. Monitoraggio delle specie di mammiferi, anfibi, rettili,

09/04/2014 10.41.41 Page 6 of 9

pesci e invertebrati elencate nelle schede SIC: IT3310005 Torbiera di Sequals, IT3310008 Magredi di

Tauriano, IT3310009 Magredi del Cellina, IT3310010 Risorgive del Vinchiaruzzo, IT3340006 Carso triestino e

goriziano e ZPS: IT3341002 Aree carsiche della Venezia Giulia. Relazione interna su incarico della Regione

Aut. Friuli Venezia Giulia, Direzione Centrale risorse rurali, agroalimentari e forestali, Servizio caccia, pesca e

ambienti naturali.

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)

2.3.2 Method - Range surface area

2.3.3 Short-term trend period

2.3.4 Short-term trend direction

2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude

2.3.6 Long-term trend period

2.3.7 Long-term trend direction

2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude

2.3.9 Favourable reference range

8900

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2001-2012

stable (0)

min max

N/A

min max

area (km²)

operator approximately equal to (≈)

unkown No

method Expert opinion

2.3.10 Reason for change

Use of different method

2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size

(individuals or agreed exception)

Unit N/A

2007-2012

2001-2012

stable (0)

min max

2.4.2 Population size

(other than individuals)

Unit number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10)

min 51 max 51

2.4.3 Additional information

Definition of locality

Conversion method not available

Problems it is impossible to convert grids into individuals

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

confidence interval

2.4.4 Year or period

2.4.5 Method – population size

2.4.6 Short-term trend period

2.4.7 Short term trend direction

2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude

2.4.9 Short-term trend method

2.4.10 Long-term trend period

2.4.11 Long term trend direction

2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude

2.4.13 Long-term trend method

2.4.14 Favourable reference population

N/A

min

min max confidence interval

Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)

N/A

number

operator approximately equal to (≈)

max

unknown No

method Expert opinion

Use of different method

2.4.15 Reason for change

09/04/2014 10.41.41 Page 7 of 9

2 E Habitat for the Species					
2.5 Habitat for the Species					
2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²)2.5.2 Year or period					
2.5.3 Method used - habitat	Absent data (0)				
2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat	Good				
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method	Expert opinion				
2.5.5 Short term trend period	2001-2012				
2.5.6 Short term trend direction	stable (0)				
2.5.7 Long-term trend period					
2.5.8 Long term trend direction	N/A				
2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)					
2.5.10 Reason for change	Use of different method				
2.6 Main Pressures					
Pressure	ranking		pollution qualifier(s)		
intensive grazing (A04.01)	medium im	portance (M)	N/A		
forest replanting (B02.01)	medium im	portance (M)	N/A		
acidification (natural) (K02.04)	medium im	portance (M)	N/A		
2.6.1 Method used – pressures	based only on expert judgements	(1)			
2.7 Main Threats					
Threat	ranking		pollution qualifier(s)		
intensive grazing (A04.01)	medium im	portance (M)	N/A		
forest replanting (B02.01)	medium im	portance (M)	N/A		
acidification (natural) (K02.04)	medium im	portance (M)	N/A		
2.7.1 Method used – threats	expert opinion (1)				
2.8 Complementary Information					
2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends					
2.8.2 Other relevant Information					
2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment					
·	competion electric et and effective	ing povicel)			
2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)					
2.9.1 Range	assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A				
2.9.2. Population	assessment Favourable (FV)				
·	qualifiers N/A				
2.9.3. Habitat	assessment Favourable (FV)				
qualifiers N/A					
2.9.4. Future prospects	assessment Favourable (FV)				
qualifiers N/A 2.9.5 Overall assessment of Favourable (FV)					
Conservation Status	i avodiable (i v)				
2.9.5 Overall trend in	N/A				
Conservation Status					

09/04/2014 10.41.41 Page 8 of 9

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species 3.1 Population 3.1.1 Population Size Unit N/A min max 3.1.2 Method used Absent data (0) 3.1.3 Trend of population size within N/A 3.2 Conversation Measures 3.2.5 Broad Evaluation 3.2.1 Measure 3.2.2 Type 3.2.3 Ranking 3.2.4 Location Legal protection of habitats Legal Not evaluated medium Both and species (6.3) importance (M)

09/04/2014 10.41.41 Page 9 of 9