| 0.1 Member State | IT |
|---|--------------------|
| 0.2.1 Species code | 1100 |
| 0.2.2 Species name | Acipenser naccarii |
| 0.2.3 Alternative species scientific name | N/A |
| 0.2.4 Common name | storione cobice |

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

| 1.1.1 Distribution Map | Yes |
|--------------------------|---|
| 1.1.1a Sensitive species | No |
| 1.1.2 Method used - map | Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2) |
| 1.1.3 Year or period | 1999-2011 |
| 1.1.4 Additional map | No |
| 1.1.5 Range map | Yes |

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

Alpine (ALP)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Alessandra Ippoliti, Andrea Sibilia (Associazione Italiana Ittiologi Acque dolci - AIIAD) and Anna Alonzi, Piero Genovesi, Francesca Ronchi (Institute for Environmental Protection and Research - ISPRA). Information, unpublished data and experts' judgments have been provided by Francesco Nonnis Marzano, Massimo Lorenzoni, Giuseppe Maio, Massimo Pascale, Armando Piccinini, Elisabetta Pizzul, Cesare M. Puzzi, Lorenzo Tancioni, Paolo Turin (AIIAD).

ERSAF, 2012. Programma della Pesca e dell'Acquacoltura della Regione Lombardia. 2012-2014. Piano approvato con DGR n. 4245 del 25/10/2012; Regione Lombardia, 2009. Programma della Pesca e dell'Acquacoltura della Regione Lombardia 2007-2009.

2.3 Range

| 2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²) | | |
|-----------------------------------|------------|-----|
| 2.3.2 Method - Range surface area | N/A | |
| 2.3.3 Short-term trend period | | |
| 2.3.4 Short-term trend direction | N/A | |
| 2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude | min | max |
| 2.3.6 Long-term trend period | | |
| 2.3.7 Long-term trend direction | N/A | |
| 2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude | min | max |
| 2.3.9 Favourable reference range | area (km²) | |
| | operator | N/A |
| | unkown | No |
| | method | |
| 2.3.10 Reason for change | | |

2.3.10 Reason for change

| | lation |
|--|--------|
| | |
| | |
| | |

| 2.4.1 Population size | Unit | N/A | |
|-----------------------------------|------|-----|-----|
| (individuals or agreed exception) | min | | max |

09/04/2014 11.30.52 Page 1 of 7

| 2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals) | Unit N/A min | max | |
|--|----------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| 2.4.3 Additional information | Definition of local | | |
| | Conversion metho | • | |
| | Problems | | |
| 2.4.4 Year or period | | | |
| 2.4.5 Method – population size | N/A | | |
| 2.4.6 Short-term trend period | | | |
| 2.4.7 Short term trend direction | N/A | | |
| 2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude 2.4.9 Short-term trend method | min N/A | max | confidence interval |
| 2.4.10 Long-term trend period | N/A | | |
| 2.4.11 Long term trend direction | N/A | | |
| 2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude | min | max | confidence interval |
| 2.4.13 Long-term trend method | N/A | | |
| 2.4.14 Favourable reference population | number | | |
| population | operator N/A unknown No | | |
| | method | | |
| 2.4.15 Reason for change | memou | | |
| 2.5 Habitat for the Species | | | |
| 2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²) | | | |
| 2.5.2 Year or period | | | |
| 2.5.3 Method used - habitat | N/A | | |
| 2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat | | | |
| 2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method 2.5.5 Short term trend period | | | |
| 2.5.6 Short term trend direction | N/A | | |
| 2.5.7 Long-term trend period | | | |
| 2.5.8 Long term trend direction | N/A | | |
| 2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²) | | | |
| 2.5.10 Reason for change | | | |
| 2.6 Main Pressures | | | |
| 2.6.1 Method used – pressures | N/A | | |
| 2.7 Main Threats | | | |
| 2.7.1 Method used – threats | N/A | | |
| 2.8 Complementary Information | | | |
| 2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends | | | |
| 2.8.2 Other relevant Information | | | |
| 2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment | | | |
| 2.9 Conclusions (assessment of con | competion status | t and of voncutiv | |

Page 2 of 7

assessment N/A qualifiers N/A

2.9.1 Range

09/04/2014

11.30.52

2.9.2. Population

assessment N/A qualifiers N/A

2.9.3. Habitat

assessment N/A qualifiers N/A

2.9.4. Future prospects

assessment N/A qualifiers N/A

2.9.5 Overall assessment of

Conservation Status

2.9.5 Overall trend in **Conservation Status**

N/A

N/A

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

3.1.2 Method used

3.1.1 Population Size Unit N/A min

Absent data (0)

3.1.3 Trend of population size within

N/A

3.2 Conversation Measures

| 3.2.1 Measure | 3.2.2 Type | 3.2.3 Ranking | 3.2.4 Location | 3.2.5 Broad Evaluation |
|--|------------|------------------------|----------------|------------------------|
| Specific single species or species group management measures (7.4) | Legal | high importance (H) | Both | Long term |
| Restoring/improving water quality (4.1) | Legal | low importance (L) | Both | Long term |
| Other wetland-related measures (4.0) | One-off | high importance (H) | Both | Enhance |

max

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

Continental (CON)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Alessandra Ippoliti, Andrea Sibilia (Associazione Italiana Ittiologi Acque dolci - AIIAD) and Anna Alonzi, Piero Genovesi, Francesca Ronchi (Institute for Environmental Protection and Research - ISPRA). Information, unpublished data and experts' judgments have been provided by Francesco Nonnis Marzano, Massimo Lorenzoni, Giuseppe Maio, Massimo Pascale, Armando Piccinini, Elisabetta Pizzul, Cesare M. Puzzi, Lorenzo Tancioni, Paolo Turin (AIIAD).

Distribution data for the following Nature 2000 sites have been inserted by the Ministry of Environment (source: Italian Nature 2000 database): IT2050005; IT2060015; IT20A0007; IT20A0017; IT20A0019; IT20A0020; IT2080019; IT20B0006; IT20B0010; IT3320030; IT4060005.

AAVV, 2007. Il recupero dello storione cobice in Italia. Action Plan. Progetto Life 04NAT/IT/000126 "Conservation and Breeding of Italian Cobice Endemic Sturgeon", pp VI+133;

Castaldelli & Rossi, 2008. Carta ittica dell'Emilia-Romagna Zone B e A. Regione

09/04/2014 11.30.52 Page 3 of 7

Emilia Romagna;

Dataset ETP 1988-2012. Regione Friuli Venezia Giulia;

G.R.A.I.A. Srl, 2004. Progetto Life-Natura di "Conservazione di Salmo marmoratus e Rutilus pigus nel Fiume Ticino" - Life-nat00/it/7268. Dati non pubblicati; G.R.A.I.A. Srl, 2006. Progetto di "Conservazione di Acipenser naccarii nel Fiume Ticino e nel medio corso del Po" - Life-nat03/it/000113. Dati non pubblicati; G.R.A.I.A. Srl, 2007. Aggiornamento della Carta delle Vocazioni Ittiche della Provincia di Milano. Dati non pubblicati.

Lombardi, 2002. Carta provinciale delle vocazioni ittiche della Provincia di Cremona. Settore Agricoltura, Caccia e Pesca;

Mappatura effettuata mediante GIS attraverso la georeferenziazione su griglia UE 10 km delle segnalazioni archiviate sulla Banca Dati Regionale (aggiornamento 2010):

Provincia di Milano, 1999-2005. Verbali dei recuperi di pesce compiuti nei canali della rete irrigua. Dati non pubblicati;

Provincia di Pavia, 2007. Aggiornamento della Carta Ittica della Provincia di Pavia. Rapporto tecnico pubblicato sul web;

Provincia di Verona, 2008. Carta Ittica della Provincia di Verona. Rapporto tecnico pubblicato sul web;

Puzzi C.M., Monicelli F., Trasforini S., Riva M., Gentili G., 2001. Carta ittica della Provincia di Mantova. Provincia di Mantova. Società G.R.A.I.A. srl . Technical Report, unpublished document.

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)

2.3.2 Method - Range surface area

2.3.3 Short-term trend period

2.3.4 Short-term trend direction

2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude

2.3.6 Long-term trend period

2.3.7 Long-term trend direction

2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude

2.3.9 Favourable reference range

22900

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2001-2012 decrease (-)

min max

1989-2012 decrease (-)

min max

area (km²)

operator much more than (>>)

unkown No

method Expert opinion

2.3.10 Reason for change

Improved knowledge/more accurate dataUse of different method

2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size

(individuals or agreed exception)

Unit N/A

min max

2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals)

Unit number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10)

min 55 max 55

2.4.3 Additional information

Definition of locality

Conversion method not available

Problems it's not possible to convert grids into individuals

2.4.4 Year or period

1999-2011

11.30.52

2.4.5 Method - population size

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2.4.6 Short-term trend period 2001-2012

09/04/2014

Page 4 of 7

| 2.4.7 Short term trend direction2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude2.4.9 Short-term trend method2.4.10 Long-term trend period | 1989-2012 | max ised on partial data with | confidence interval a some extrapolation and/or modelling (2) |
|---|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| 2.4.11 Long term trend direction 2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude 2.4.13 Long-term trend method | decrease (-) min Estimate ba | max | confidence interval a some extrapolation and/or modelling (2) |
| 2.4.14 Favourable reference population | operator unknown method | much more than (>>) No Expert opinion | |

2.4.15 Reason for change

2.5.10 Reason for change

Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

2.5 Habitat for the Species

2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²) 2.5.2 Year or period 2.5.3 Method used - habitat Absent data (0) 2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat Moderate 2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method **Expert opinion** 2.5.5 Short term trend period 2001-2012 2.5.6 Short term trend direction stable (0) 2.5.7 Long-term trend period 1989-2012 2.5.8 Long term trend direction decrease (-) 2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)

Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

2.6 Main Pressures Pressure ranking pollution qualifier(s) N/A poaching (F05.04) high importance (H) surface water abstractions for agriculture (J02.06.01) high importance (H) N/A reduction in migration/ migration barriers (J03.02.01) high importance (H) N/A Sand and gravel extraction (C01.01) medium importance (M) N/A Fishing and harvesting aquatic resources (F02) medium importance (M) N/A invasive non-native species (IO1) medium importance (M) N/A human induced changes in hydraulic conditions (J02) medium importance (M) N/A estuarine and coastal dredging (J02.02.02) medium importance (M) N/A large scale water deviation (J02.03.01) medium importance (M) N/A Modification of hydrographic functioning, general (J02.05) medium importance (M) N/A predation (K03.04) medium importance (M) N/A Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & low importance (L) N/A brackish) (H01)

2.6.1 Method used – pressures mainly based on expert judgement and other data (2)

2.7 Main Threats

09/04/2014 11.30.52 Page 5 of 7

| Threat | ranking | pollution qualifier(s) |
|---|-----------------------|------------------------|
| poaching (F05.04) | high importance (H) | N/A |
| surface water abstractions for agriculture (J02.06.01) | high importance (H) | N/A |
| reduction in migration/ migration barriers (J03.02.01) | high importance (H) | N/A |
| reduction or loss of specific habitat features (J03.01) | high importance (H) | N/A |
| human induced changes in hydraulic conditions (J02) | medium importance (M) | N/A |
| estuarine and coastal dredging (J02.02.02) | medium importance (M) | N/A |
| large scale water deviation (J02.03.01) | medium importance (M) | N/A |
| Modification of hydrographic functioning, general (J02.05) | medium importance (M) | N/A |
| predation (K03.04) | medium importance (M) | N/A |
| Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01) | low importance (L) | N/A |

2.7.1 Method used – threats

expert opinion (1)

2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

The efforts made in the last years for the defragmentation of the hydrographic net of Northern Italy will enlarge the species' range in the short term, improving the general status of Acipenser naccarii.

In particular, the future realization of a fishpass at Isola Serafini Dam will remove the main barrier for the fish migration.

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range assessment Bad (U2) qualifiers N/A 2.9.2. Population assessment Bad (U2) qualifiers N/A 2.9.3. Habitat assessment Inadequate (U1) qualifiers N/A 2.9.4. Future prospects assessment Inadequate (U1) qualifiers N/A 2.9.5 Overall assessment of **Bad (U2) Conservation Status** 2.9.5 Overall trend in improving (+)

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

Conservation Status

3.1.1 Population Size Unit N/A

min max

3.1.2 Method used Absent data (0)

3.1.3 Trend of population size within N/A

09/04/2014 11.30.52 Page 6 of 7

| 3.2 Conversation Measur | res | | | |
|--|--------------------|------------------------|----------------|----------------------------|
| 3.2.1 Measure | 3.2.2 Type | 3.2.3 Ranking | 3.2.4 Location | 3.2.5 Broad Evaluation |
| Legal protection of habitats and species (6.3) | Legal Recurrent | low importance (L) | Both | Not evaluated |
| Managing water abstraction (4.3) | Legal Recurrent | low importance (L) | Both | Not evaluated |
| Specific single species or species group management measures (7.4) | Legal | high importance (H) | Both | Long term |
| Restoring/improving water quality (4.1) | Legal Recurrent | high importance (H) | Both | Long term Not evaluated |
| Other species management measures (7.0) | One-off | high importance (H) | Both | Long term |

09/04/2014 11.30.52 Page 7 of 7

Notes

| Species name: Acipenser na | ccarii (1100) Region code: CON | |
|----------------------------------|--|----------------------------|
| Field label | Note | User |
| 2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²) | The area of the range has been calculated also summing up the grid cells of species' presence in the adjacent biogeographical region of marginal presence. Only cells entirely overlapped to the marginal area have been summed up, in order to avoid an overestimation of the overall species' range. | ISPRA __ AUNA |

09/04/2014 11.30.32 Page 1