0.1 Member State	Π
0.2.1 Species code	1344
0.2.2 Species name	Hystrix cristata
0.2.3 Alternative species scientific name	N/A
0.2.4 Common name	N/A

# 1. National Level

#### **1.1 Maps**

1.1.1 Distribution Map
Yes
1.1.1a Sensitive species
No
1.1.2 Method used - map
Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2001-2012
1.1.4 Additional map
Yes
No
1.1.5 Range map
Yes

# 2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

## 2.2 Published sources

## Mediterranean (MED)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Daniele Paoloni, Cristiano Spilinga (Associazione Teriologica Italiana - ATIt) and Anna Alonzi, Piero Genovesi, Francesca Ronchi (Institute for Environmental Protection and Research - ISPRA). Information, unpublished data and experts' judgments have been provided by Gaetano Aloise, Giovanni Amori, Sandro Bertolino, Francesco Bisi, Silvia Capasso, Dario Capizzi, Filomena Carpino, Emiliano Mori, Maurizio Sarà (ATIt).

Agriconsulting S.p.A., 2008. Dati del progetto "Laboratorio della Biodiversità" – Parco Regionale del Matese (rif.: Prog. S PRM PRM 010 nell'ambito del POR Campania 2000/2006, Misura 1.9).

Agristudio srl, Firenze. Dati sensibili, rilevati durante le campagne di monitoraggio finalizzate a rapporti di SIA, ESIA, V.Inc.A., relativi a sondaggi sismici e realizzazione di cantieri, pozzi e impianti petroliferi per conto di Total E&P Italia S.p.A. Rilevatori: Carpino F., Fulco E. Periodo: 2008-2010.

Amori G., Contoli L., Nappi A., 2008. Fauna d'Italia, Mammalia II - Erinaceomorpha, Soricomorpha, Lagomorpha, Rodentia . P. 395-405, MILANO:Calderini - Edizioni Calderini de II Sole 24 ORE S.p.A..

Boitani L., Corsi F., Falcucci A., Maiorano L., Marzetti I., Masi M., Montemaggiori A., Ottaviani D., Reggiani G., Rondinini C., 2002. Rete Ecologica Nazionale. Un approccio alla conservazione dei vertebrati italiani. Università di Roma "La Sapienza", Dipartimento di Biologia Animale e dell'Uomo; Ministero dell'Ambiente, Direzione per la Conservazione della Natura; Istituto di Ecologia Applicata. http://www.gisbau.uniroma1.it/REN

Capizzi et al., 2012. Progetto atlante dei Mammiferi del Lazio - Regione Lazio - ARP.

Ruffo S., Stock F., 2005 - Checklist e distribuzione della fauna italiana, Database

22/04/2014 11.12.06 Page 1 of 9

N2000.

Ragni B., 2002. Atlante dei mammiferi dell'Umbria. Petruzzi Editore.

	ragin bi, 2002. Adante dei manimien den ombra. Fed d22 Editore.
2.3 Range	
<ul> <li>2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)</li> <li>2.3.2 Method - Range surface area</li> <li>2.3.3 Short-term trend period</li> <li>2.3.4 Short-term trend direction</li> </ul>	105000 Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2) 2001-2012 increase (+)
<ul><li>2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude</li><li>2.3.6 Long-term trend period</li></ul>	min max
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction	N/A
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.9 Favourable reference range	area (km²)
	operator approximately equal to (≈)
	unkown No method Expert judgement
2.3.10 Reason for change	Genuine Improved knowledge/more accurate dataUse of different method
213120 Neuson for Change	Certaine improved knowledge, more decarate database of different method
2.4 Population	
2.4.1 Population size	Unit N/A
(individuals or agreed exception)	min max
2.4.2 Population size	Unit number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10)
(other than individuals)	min 809 max 809
2.4.3 Additional information	Definition of locality
	Conversion method
	Problems Impossible to convert grids to individuals
2.4.4 Year or period	2001-2012
2.4.5 Method – population size	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.4.6 Short-term trend period	2001-2012
2.4.7 Short term trend direction	stable (0)
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude	min max confidence interval
2.4.9 Short-term trend method	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.4.10 Long-term trend period	
2.4.11 Long term trend direction	N/A
<ul><li>2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude</li><li>2.4.13 Long-term trend method</li></ul>	min max confidence interval N/A
2.4.14 Favourable reference	number
population	operator approximately equal to (≈)
	unknown No
	method Expert judgement
2.4.15 Reason for change	Genuine Improved knowledge/more accurate data

2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²)

2.5 Habitat for the Species

2.5.2 Year or period

2.5.3 Method used - habitat Absent data (0)

2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat Good

22/04/2014 11.12.06 Page 2 of 9

2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method 2.5.5 Short term trend period 2.5.6 Short term trend direction 2.5.7 Long-term trend period 2.5.8 Long term trend direction 2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)

**Expert based** 2001-2012 stable (0)

> N/A 120040

Use of different method

# 2.6 Main Pressures

2.5.10 Reason for change

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
roads, motorways (D01.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
trapping, poisoning, poaching (F03.02.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
burning down (J01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
accidental capture (F03.02.05)	low importance (L)	N/A
anthropogenic reduction of habitat connectivity (J03.02)	low importance (L)	N/A

2.6.1 Method used – pressures based only on expert judgements (1)

#### 2.7 Main Threats

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
roads, motorways (D01.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
trapping, poisoning, poaching (F03.02.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
accidental capture (F03.02.05)	low importance (L)	N/A
burning down (J01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
anthropogenic reduction of habitat connectivity (J03.02)	low importance (L)	N/A

2.7.1 Method used - threats

expert opinion (1)

## 2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

The species can be considered as marginal for the Alpine biogeographical region and therefore the full reporting was compiled only for the Continental and Mediterranean one.

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

# 2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range

2.9.2. Population

2.9.3. Habitat

2.9.4. Future prospects

2.9.5 Overall assessment of **Conservation Status** 

2.9.5 Overall trend in **Conservation Status** 

assessment Favourable (FV)

qualifiers N/A

Favourable (FV)

N/A

22/04/2014 11.12.06 Page 3 of 9

# 3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

# 3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size Unit N/A

min max

3.1.2 Method used N/A

3.1.3 Trend of population size within N/A

# **3.2 Conversation Measures**

# 2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

#### Continental (CON)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Daniele Paoloni, Cristiano Spilinga (Associazione Teriologica Italiana - ATIt) and Anna Alonzi, Piero Genovesi, Francesca Ronchi (Institute for Environmental Protection and Research - ISPRA). Information, unpublished data and experts' judgments have been provided by Gaetano Aloise, Giovanni Amori, Sandro Bertolino, Francesco Bisi, Silvia Capasso, Dario Capizzi, Filomena Carpino, Emiliano Mori, Maurizio Sarà (ATIt).

Amori G., Contoli L., Nappi A., 2008. Fauna d'Italia, Mammalia II - Erinaceomorpha, Soricomorpha, Lagomorpha, Rodentia . P. 395-405, MILANO:Calderini - Edizioni Calderini de II Sole 24 ORE S.p.A..

Boitani L., Corsi F., Falcucci A., Maiorano L., Marzetti I., Masi M., Montemaggiori A., Ottaviani D., Reggiani G., Rondinini C., 2002. Rete Ecologica Nazionale. Un approccio alla conservazione dei vertebrati italiani. Università di Roma "La Sapienza", Dipartimento di Biologia Animale e dell'Uomo; Ministero dell'Ambiente, Direzione per la Conservazione della Natura; Istituto di Ecologia Applicata. http://www.gisbau.uniroma1.it/REN

Capizzi et al., 2012. Progetto atlante dei Mammiferi del Lazio - Regione Lazio - ARP.

Ruffo S., Stock F., 2005 - Checklist e distribuzione della fauna italiana, Database N2000.

Ragni B., 2002. Atlante dei mammiferi dell'Umbria. Petruzzi Editore.

Regione Piemonte. Banche dati Naturalistiche + Banca dati IPLA.

Seglie D., Sindaco R., 2011. Segnalazioni Faunistiche Piemostesi e Valdostane, IV. Rivista piemontese di Storia naturale, 32: 419-438.

Seglie D., Sindaco R., 2012. Segnalazioni Faunistiche Piemostesi e Valdostane, V. Rivista piemontese di Storia naturale, 33: 457-472.

# 2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)

40300

2.3.2 Method - Range surface area

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

22/04/2014 11.12.06 Page 4 of 9

ii, iv and v species (An	ilex b)
2.3.3 Short-term trend period 2.3.4 Short-term trend direction 2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude 2.3.6 Long-term trend period 2.3.7 Long-term trend direction 2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude 2.3.9 Favourable reference range	2001-2012 increase (+) min max 1989-2012 increase (+) min max area (km²) operator approximately equal to (≈) unkown No method Expert judgement
2.3.10 Reason for change	Genuine Improved knowledge/more accurate dataUse of different method
2.4 Population	
2.4.1 Population size (individuals or agreed exception)	Unit N/A min max
2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals)	Unit number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10) min 326 max 326
2.4.3 Additional information	Definition of locality Conversion method Problems Impossible to convert grids into individuals
<ul> <li>2.4.4 Year or period</li> <li>2.4.5 Method – population size</li> <li>2.4.6 Short-term trend period</li> <li>2.4.7 Short term trend direction</li> <li>2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude</li> </ul>	2001-2012 Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2) 2001-2012 increase (+) min max confidence interval
<ul><li>2.4.9 Short-term trend method</li><li>2.4.10 Long-term trend period</li><li>2.4.11 Long term trend direction</li></ul>	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.4.11 Long term trend direction 2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude 2.4.13 Long-term trend method 2.4.14 Favourable reference	N/A min max confidence interval N/A number
population	operator approximately equal to (≈) unknown No method Expert judgement
2.4.15 Reason for change	Genuine Improved knowledge/more accurate data
2.5 Habitat for the Species	
2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²) 2.5.2 Year or period 2.5.3 Method used - habitat 2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat 2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method 2.5.5 Short term trend period 2.5.6 Short term trend direction	Absent data (0) Good Expert based 2001-2012 increase (+)
2.5.7 Long-term trend period 2.5.8 Long term trend direction	N/A

22/04/2014 11.12.06 Page 5 of 9

2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)

33210

2.5.10 Reason for change	Improved knowledg	e/more accurate data Use of d	ifferent method
2.6 Main Pressures			
Pressure		ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
roads, motorways (D01.02)		medium importance (M)	N/A
trapping, poisoning, poaching (F03.02.	.03)	low importance (L)	N/A
continuous urbanisation (E01.01)		low importance (L)	N/A
agricultural intensification (A02.01)		low importance (L)	N/A
2.6.1 Method used – pressures	based only on exper	rt judgements (1)	
2.7 Main Threats			
Threat		ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
roads, motorways (D01.02)		medium importance (M)	N/A
trapping, poisoning, poaching (F03.02.	.03)	low importance (L)	N/A
continuous urbanisation (E01.01)		low importance (L)	N/A
agricultural intensification (A02.01)		low importance (L)	N/A
2.7.1 Method used – threats	expert opinion (1)		
2.8 Complementary Information			
2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends			
2.8.2 Other relevant Information	•	considered as marginal for the aultreporting was compiled only and the control of	
2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment			
2.9 Conclusions (assessment of con	nservation status at e	end of reporting period)	
2.9.1 Range	assessment Favour qualifiers N/A	able (FV)	
2.9.2. Population	assessment Favour qualifiers N/A	able (FV)	
2.9.3. Habitat	assessment Favour qualifiers N/A	able (FV)	
2.9.4. Future prospects	assessment Favour	able (FV)	
2.9.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status	Favourable (FV)		
2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status	N/A		

# 3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

· ·			
3.1.1 Population Size	Unit	N/A	
	min		max

3.1.2 Method used N/A

3.1 Population

22/04/2014 11.12.06 Page 6 of 9

3.1.3 Trend of population size within

N/A

#### 3.2 Conversation Measures

# 2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

# 2.2 Published sources

## Alpine (ALP)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Daniele Paoloni, Cristiano Spilinga (Associazione Teriologica Italiana - ATIt) and Anna Alonzi, Piero Genovesi, Francesca Ronchi (Institute for Environmental Protection and Research - ISPRA). Information, unpublished data and experts' judgments have been provided by Gaetano Aloise, Giovanni Amori, Sandro Bertolino, Francesco Bisi, Silvia Capasso, Dario Capizzi, Filomena Carpino, Emiliano Mori, Maurizio Sarà (ATIt).

Amori G., Contoli L., Nappi A., 2008. Fauna d'Italia, Mammalia II -Erinaceomorpha, Soricomorpha, Lagomorpha, Rodentia. P. 395-405, MILANO: Calderini - Edizioni Calderini de Il Sole 24 ORE S.p.A..

Boitani L., Corsi F., Falcucci A., Maiorano L., Marzetti I., Masi M., Montemaggiori A., Ottaviani D., Reggiani G., Rondinini C., 2002. Rete Ecologica Nazionale. Un approccio alla conservazione dei vertebrati italiani. Università di Roma "La Sapienza", Dipartimento di Biologia Animale e dell'Uomo; Ministero dell'Ambiente, Direzione per la Conservazione della Natura; Istituto di Ecologia Applicata. http://www.gisbau.uniroma1.it/REN

## 2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²) 2.3.2 Method - Range surface area

2.3.3 Short-term trend period

2.3.4 Short-term trend direction

2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude

2.3.6 Long-term trend period

2.3.7 Long-term trend direction

2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude

2.3.9 Favourable reference range

6200

Absent data (0) 2001-2012 unknown (x)

min max

N/A

min

max

area (km²)

operator N/A unkown Yes

method

2.3.10 Reason for change

Use of different method

# 2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size

(individuals or agreed exception)

Unit N/A

min max

2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals) Unit number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10)

min 38 max 38

2.4.3 Additional information

**Definition of locality** 

Conversion method

**Problems** Impossible to convert grids into individuals

2.4.4 Year or period

2001-2012

22/04/2014 11.12.06 Page 7 of 9

2.4.5 Method – population size	Absent data	a (0)		
2.4.6 Short-term trend period				
2.4.7 Short term trend direction	unknown (	x)		
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude	min		max	confidence interval
2.4.9 Short-term trend method	Absent data	a (O)		
2.4.10 Long-term trend period	, ibscire ade	u (0)		
	_			
2.4.11 Long term trend direction	N/A			
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude	min		max	confidence interval
2.4.13 Long-term trend method	N/A			
2.4.14 Favourable reference	number			
population	operator	N/A		
	unknown	Yes		
	method			
	method			

## 2.4.15 Reason for change

2.5 Habitat for the Species	
2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²)	
2.5.2 Year or period	
2.5.3 Method used - habitat	Absent data (0)
2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat	Unknown
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method	Expert based
2.5.5 Short term trend period	2001-2012
2.5.6 Short term trend direction	unknown (x)
2.5.7 Long-term trend period	
2.5.8 Long term trend direction	N/A
2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)	2415

# 2.6 Main Pressures

2.5.10 Reason for change

2.6.1 Method used – pressures	N/A	

Use of different method

# 2.7 Main Threats

2.7.1 Method used - threats N/A

# 2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

The species can be considered as marginal for the Alpine biogeographical region and therefore the full reporting was compiled only for the Continental and Mediterranean ones.

It has recently arrived to the alpine region, as a consequence of a gradual expansion of the natural range, once limited to the peninsula. The species is in general more adapted to warmed climates, but in central and southern Italy it does use areas above 1000 m asl.

# 2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

# 2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

assessment N/A 2.9.1 Range qualifiers N/A

> 11.12.06 22/04/2014 Page 8 of 9

2.9.2. Population

assessment N/A
qualifiers N/A

2.9.3. Habitat

assessment N/A
qualifiers N/A
qualifiers N/A

2.9.4. Future prospects

assessment N/A
qualifiers N/A

Qualifiers N/A

N/A

Conservation Status

2.9.5 Overall trend in
Conservation Status

# 3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

# 3.1 Population 3.1.1 Population Size Unit N/A min max 3.1.2 Method used N/A 3.1.3 Trend of population size within N/A 3.2 Conversation Measures

22/04/2014 11.12.06 Page 9 of 9

## Species name: Hystrix cristata (1344) Region code: ALP Field label Note User 2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat The area of suitable habitat (2.5.9) has been calculated by intersecting habitat **ISPRA AUNA** (km2) suitability models with each biogeographical region in which the species is present. The habitat suitability models are those included in the Italian Ecological Network (Rete Ecologica Nazionale – REN; Boitani et al. 2002), and were developed at the national scale for all vertebrate species, based on speciesenvironments relationships defined with inputs from leading species' experts. The models were created integrating into a Geographic Information System geographic and environmental data, such as Corine Land Cover, Digital Terrain Model, water and road networks. Source: Boitani L., Corsi F., Falcucci A., Maiorano L., Marzetti I., Masi M., Montemaggiori A., Ottaviani D., Reggiani G., Rondinini C., 2002. Rete Ecologica Nazionale. Un approccio alla conservazione dei vertebrati italiani. Università di Roma "La Sapienza", Dipartimento di Biologia Animale e dell'Uomo; Ministero dell'Ambiente, Direzione per la Conservazione della Natura; Istituto di Ecologia Applicata. Http://www.gisbau.uniroma1.it/REN Species name: Hystrix cristata (1344) Region code: CON Field label User Note 2.3.1 Surface area - Range The area of the range (2.3.1) has been calculated also summing up the grid cells of ISPRA (km<sup>2</sup>)species' presence in the adjacent biogeographical region of marginal presence. **AUNA** Only cells entirely overlapped to the marginal area have been summed up, in order to avoid an overestimation of the overall species' range. 2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat The area of suitable habitat (2.5.9) has been calculated by intersecting habitat ISPRA suitability models with each biogeographical region in which the species is **AUNA** (km2) present. The habitat suitability models are those included in the Italian Ecological Network (Rete Ecologica Nazionale - REN; Boitani et al. 2002), and were developed at the national scale for all vertebrate species, based on speciesenvironments relationships defined with inputs from leading species' experts. The models were created integrating into a Geographic Information System geographic and environmental data, such as Corine Land Cover, Digital Terrain Model, water and road networks. Source: Boitani L., Corsi F., Falcucci A., Maiorano L., Marzetti I., Masi M., Montemaggiori A., Ottaviani D., Reggiani G., Rondinini C., 2002. Rete Ecologica Nazionale. Un approccio alla conservazione dei vertebrati italiani. Università di Roma "La Sapienza", Dipartimento di Biologia Animale e dell'Uomo; Ministero dell'Ambiente, Direzione per la Conservazione della Natura; Istituto di Ecologia Applicata. Http://www.gisbau.uniroma1.it/REN Species name: Hystrix cristata (1344) Region code: MED Field label Note User 2.4.7 Short term trend The trend of population is various within biogeographical region: populations are **ISPRA** direction actually increasing in Tuscany, Calabria, Lazio and Liguria but they are declining in **AUNA** Puglia and Sicily. In Molise and Campania the presence is restricted to some areas.

22/04/2014 11.11.49 Page 1

F

\_F

\_\_\_F

\_⊦

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)

The area of the range (2.3.1) has been calculated also summing up the grid cells of SPRA species' presence in the adjacent biogeographical region of marginal presence. AUNA Only cells entirely overlapped to the marginal area have been summed up, in order to avoid an overestimation of the overall species' range.

2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km2)

The area of suitable habitat (2.5.9) has been calculated by intersecting habitat suitability models with each biogeographical region in which the species is present. The habitat suitability models are those included in the Italian Ecological Network (Rete Ecologica Nazionale – REN; Boitani et al. 2002), and were developed at the national scale for all vertebrate species, based on species-environments relationships defined with inputs from leading species' experts. The models were created integrating into a Geographic Information System geographic and environmental data, such as Corine Land Cover, Digital Terrain Model, water and road networks.

Source: Boitani L., Corsi F., Falcucci A., Maiorano L., Marzetti I., Masi M., Montemaggiori A., Ottaviani D., Reggiani G., Rondinini C., 2002. Rete Ecologica Nazionale. Un approccio alla conservazione dei vertebrati italiani. Università di Roma "La Sapienza", Dipartimento di Biologia Animale e dell'Uomo; Ministero dell'Ambiente, Direzione per la Conservazione della Natura; Istituto di Ecologia Applicata. Http://www.gisbau.uniroma1.it/REN

22/04/2014 11.11.49

**ISPRA** 

**AUNA**