

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

0.1 Member State	IT
0.2.1 Species code	5009
0.2.2 Species name	Pipistrellus pygmaeus
0.2.3 Alternative species scientific name	N/A
0.2.4 Common name	N/A

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map	Yes
1.1.1a Sensitive species	No
1.1.2 Method used - map	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
1.1.3 Year or period	1990-2012
1.1.4 Additional map	No
1.1.5 Range map	Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

Mediterranean (MED)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Daniele Paoloni, Cristiano Spilinga (Associazione Teriologica Italiana - ATIt) and Anna Alonzi, Piero Genovesi, Francesca Ronchi (Institute for Environmental Protection and Research - ISPRA). Information, unpublished data and experts' judgments have been provided by Paolo Agnelli, Mara Calvinì, Luca Cistrone, Michele Ferretto, Danilo Russo, Dino Scaravelli, Martina Spada, Roberto Toffoli, Simone Vergari (Italian Group for bat Research).

Archivio Osservatorio Regionale per Biodiversità. Regione Umbria.

Calvinì M., 2006. Monitoraggio dei chiroterteri nella piana del Magra e Vallecchia (SP) (rapporto interno).

Calvinì M., 2006. I Chiroterteri della ZPS Beigua-Turchino e del Parco del Beigua; 70 pag. Ente Parco del Beigua, Regione Liguria.

Calvinì M., 2007. Studio preliminare sulla chiroterterofauna delle tre foreste demaniali del Parco dell'Aveto (rapporto interno).

Calvinì M., 2007. I Chiroterteri delle Alpi Liguri; 24 pag. Provincia di Imperia, Regione Liguria.

Calvinì M., 2009. Indagine chiroterterologica nei seguenti SIC della provincia di Savona: IT1323201, IT1324011, IT1323112 e IT1323203 (rapporto interno).

Calvinì M., 2010. Monitoraggio delle colonie di chiroterteri riproduttive e svernanti di particolare interesse conservazionistico note in Liguria (rapporto interno).

Database del Repertorio Naturalistico Toscano.

Loy A., De Lisio L., Capula M., Ciucci P., Russo D., Sciarretta A., 2012. Rapporto finale - Convenzione stipulata tra la Regione Molise e la Unione Zoologica Italiana

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

per la realizzazione dei piani di gestione dei Siti Natura 2000.n. 1393/2008.
Unione Zoologica Italiana, Regione Molise.

Regione Autonoma della Sardegna - Assessorato Difesa Ambiente , 2012 -
"Servizio di monitoraggio dello stato di conservazione degli habitat e delle specie di importanza comunitaria presenti nei siti della Rete Natura 2000 in Sardegna –
Linea 4. Redazione del Rapporto sullo stato di conservazione di habitat e specie.

Spilinga C., Russo D., Carletti S., Jiménez Grijalva M.P., Sergiacomi U., Ragni B., (in stampa). Chiroteri dell'Umbria. Distribuzione geografica ed ecologica. Regione Umbria. Università degli Studi di Perugia.

Toffoli R., 2007. Habitat frequentati da *Hypsugo savii*, *Pipistrellus kuhlii*, *Pipistrellus pipistrellus* e *Pipistrellus nathusii* nel Parco Naturale delle Capanne di Marcarolo (AL) (Chiroptera, Vespertilionidae). Riv. Piem. St. Nat., 28: 367-381.

Toffoli R., 2011. I Chiroteri del Parco Naturale delle Capanne di Marcarolo. Regione Piemonte-Parco Naturale delle Capanne di Marcarolo (rapporto interno).

Veith M., Mucedda M., Kiefer A. E Pidinchetta E., 2011. On the presence of pipistrelle bats (*Pipistrellus* and *Hypsugo*; Chiroptera: Vespertilionidae) in Sardinia. Acta Chiropterologica, 13 (1): 89–99.

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km ²)	27500
2.3.2 Method - Range surface area	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.3.3 Short-term trend period	2001-2012
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction	stable (0)
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.6 Long-term trend period	
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction	N/A
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.9 Favourable reference range	area (km ²) operator more than (>) unknown No method Expert judgement
2.3.10 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate dataUse of different method

2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size (individuals or agreed exception)	Unit N/A min max
2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals)	Unit number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10) min 64 max 64
2.4.3 Additional information	Definition of locality Conversion method Problems Impossible to convert grids into individuals
2.4.4 Year or period	2003-2012
2.4.5 Method – population size	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)
2.4.6 Short-term trend period	2001-2012

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

2.4.7 Short term trend direction	stable (0)
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude	min max confidence interval
2.4.9 Short-term trend method	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)
2.4.10 Long-term trend period	
2.4.11 Long term trend direction	N/A
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude	min max confidence interval
2.4.13 Long-term trend method	N/A
2.4.14 Favourable reference population	number operator more than (>) unknown No method Expert judgement
2.4.15 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

2.5 Habitat for the Species

2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km ²)	
2.5.2 Year or period	
2.5.3 Method used - habitat	Absent data (0)
2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat	Moderate
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method	Expert based
2.5.5 Short term trend period	2001-2012
2.5.6 Short term trend direction	decrease (-)
2.5.7 Long-term trend period	
2.5.8 Long term trend direction	N/A
2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km ²)	
2.5.10 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

2.6 Main Pressures

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
demolishment of buildings & human structures (E06.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
reconstruction, renovation of buildings (E06.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Forest and Plantation management & use (B02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
human induced changes in hydraulic conditions (J02)	high importance (H)	N/A

2.6.1 Method used – pressures based only on expert judgements (1)

2.7 Main Threats

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
reconstruction, renovation of buildings (E06.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
demolishment of buildings & human structures (E06.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Forest and Plantation management & use (B02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
human induced changes in hydraulic conditions (J02)	high importance (H)	N/A
wind energy production (C03.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
antagonism with domestic animals (K03.06)	medium importance (M)	N/A

2.7.1 Method used – threats expert opinion (1)

2.8 Complementary Information

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range assessment Inadequate (U1)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.2. Population assessment Inadequate (U1)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.3. Habitat assessment Inadequate (U1)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.4. Future prospects assessment Inadequate (U1)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status Inadequate (U1)

2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status declining (-)

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size Unit N/A
min max

3.1.2 Method used N/A

3.1.3 Trend of population size within N/A

3.2 Conversation Measures

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

Continental (CON)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Daniele Paoloni, Cristiano Spilinga (Associazione Teriologica Italiana - ATIt) and Anna Alonzi, Piero Genovesi, Francesca Ronchi (Institute for Environmental Protection and Research - ISPRA). Information, unpublished data and experts' judgments have been provided by Paolo Agnelli, Mara Salvini, Luca Cistrone, Michele Ferretto, Danilo Russo, Dino Scaravelli, Martina Spada, Roberto Toffoli, Simone Vergari (Italian Group for bat Research).

Archivio Osservatorio Regionale per Biodiversità. Regione Umbria.

Banca Dati Regionale Emilia Romagna (aggiornamento al 2010).

Salvini M., 2006. Monitoraggio dei chiroterteri nella piana del Magra e Vallecchia (SP) (rapporto interno).

Salvini M., 2007. Studio preliminare sulla chiroterterofauna delle tre foreste demaniali del Parco dell'Aveto (rapporto interno).

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

Calvini M., 2009. I Chiroteri del SIC IT1110022 Stagno di Oulx e IT1110020 Lago di Viverone. IPLA (rapporto interno).

Calvini M., 2010. Monitoraggio delle colonie di chiroteri riproduttive e svernanti di particolare interesse conservazionistico note in Liguria (rapporto interno).

Insubria DataBat, 2012. Data base chiroteri dell'Università degli Studi dell'Insubria aggiornato al 2012.

Spada M., Preatoni G., Tosi G., Martinoli A., 2010. Piano di monitoraggio dei Vertebrati terrestri di interesse comunitario (Direttive 79/409/CEE e 92/43/CEE) in Lombardia. Il monitoraggio dei Chiroteri. Fondazione Lombardia per l'Ambiente, Università degli Studi dell'Insubria.

Spilinga C., Russo D., Carletti S., Jiménez Grijalva M.P., Sergiacomi U., Ragni B., (in stampa). Chiroteri dell'Umbria. Distribuzione geografica ed ecologica. Regione Umbria. Università degli Studi di Perugia.

Toffoli R., 2002. I Chiroteri del Parco Naturale delle lame del Sesia. Regione Piemonte-Parco Naturale delle Lame del Sesia (rapporto interno).

Toffoli R., 2011. Studio su avifauna e chiroterofauna per progetto d'impianto eolico "Le terre del Giarolo" Comuni di Albera Ligure, Cabella Ligure, Cantalupo Ligure, Fabbrica Curone Montacuto (Alessandria) ai sensi della D.G.R. Regione Piemonte n. 20- 11717 del 6 luglio 2009. (Rapporto inedito).

Vigorita V., Cucè L., 2008. La fauna selvatica in Lombardia. Rapporto 2008 su distribuzione, abbondanza e stato di conservazione di uccelli e mammiferi. Regione Lombardia. Pp. 364.

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km ²)	4300
2.3.2 Method - Range surface area	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.3.3 Short-term trend period	2001-2012
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction	stable (0)
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.6 Long-term trend period	
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction	N/A
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.9 Favourable reference range	area (km ²) operator more than (>) unkown No method Expert judgement
2.3.10 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate dataUse of different method

2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size (individuals or agreed exception)	Unit N/A min max
2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals)	Unit number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10) min 12 max 12

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

2.4.3 Additional information	Definition of locality		
	Conversion method		
	Problems	Impossible to convert grids to individuals	
2.4.4 Year or period	1990-2012		
2.4.5 Method – population size	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)		
2.4.6 Short-term trend period	2001-2012		
2.4.7 Short term trend direction	stable (0)		
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude	min	max	confidence interval
2.4.9 Short-term trend method	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)		
2.4.10 Long-term trend period			
2.4.11 Long term trend direction	N/A		
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude	min	max	confidence interval
2.4.13 Long-term trend method	N/A		
2.4.14 Favourable reference population	number		
	operator	more than (>)	
	unknown	No	
	method	Expert judgement	
2.4.15 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data	Use of different method	

2.5 Habitat for the Species

2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km ²)	
2.5.2 Year or period	
2.5.3 Method used - habitat	Absent data (0)
2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat	Moderate
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method	Expert based
2.5.5 Short term trend period	2001-2012
2.5.6 Short term trend direction	decrease (-)
2.5.7 Long-term trend period	
2.5.8 Long term trend direction	N/A
2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km ²)	
2.5.10 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

2.6 Main Pressures

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
demolishment of buildings & human structures (E06.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
reconstruction, renovation of buildings (E06.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Forest and Plantation management & use (B02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
human induced changes in hydraulic conditions (J02)	high importance (H)	N/A

2.6.1 Method used – pressures based only on expert judgements (1)

2.7 Main Threats

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
wind energy production (C03.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
antagonism with domestic animals (K03.06)	medium importance (M)	N/A
demolishment of buildings & human structures (E06.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
reconstruction, renovation of buildings (E06.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

Forest and Plantation management & use (B02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
human induced changes in hydraulic conditions (J02)	high importance (H)	N/A

2.7.1 Method used – threats expert opinion (1)

2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range assessment Inadequate (U1)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.2. Population assessment Inadequate (U1)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.3. Habitat assessment Inadequate (U1)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.4. Future prospects assessment Inadequate (U1)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status Inadequate (U1)

2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status declining (-)

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size Unit N/A
min max

3.1.2 Method used N/A

3.1.3 Trend of population size within N/A

3.2 Conversation Measures

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

Alpine (ALP)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Daniele Paoloni, Cristiano Spilinga (Associazione Teriologica Italiana - ATIt) and Anna Alonzi, Piero Genovesi, Francesca Ronchi (Institute for Environmental Protection and Research - ISPRA). Information, unpublished data and experts' judgments have been provided by Paolo Agnelli, Mara Calvini, Luca Cistrone, Michele Ferretto, Danilo Russo, Dino Scaravelli, Martina Spada, Roberto Toffoli, Simone Vergari (Italian Group for bat Research).

Archivio Stazione Teriologica Piemontese.

Calvini M., 2007. I Chiroterri delle Alpi Liguri; 24 pag. Provincia di Imperia, Regione Liguria.

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

Calvini M., 2009. I Chiroteri del SIC IT1110022 Stagno di Oulx e IT1110020 Lago di Viverone. IPLA (rapporto interno).

Calvini M., 2009. Indagine sulla chiroterofauna nel SIC "Bric Tana-Bric Mongarda", comune di Millesimo (SV).

Calvini M., 2009. Indagine chiroterologica nei seguenti SIC della provincia di Savona: IT1323201, IT1324011, IT1323112 e IT1323203 (rapporto interno).

Calvini M., 2010. Monitoraggio delle colonie di chiroteri riproduttive e svernanti di particolare interesse conservazionistico note in Liguria (rapporto interno).

Dati AVK - Arbeitsgemeinschaft Vogelkunde Südtirol (2004-2007).

De Carli E., Farina F., 2012. Monitoraggio della Chiroterofauna nel SIC/ZPS "Fiume Toce". VIII Congresso Italiano di Teriologia. Hystrix (suppl. 2012):111.

Debernardi T., Patriarca E., 2007-8: Prima segnalazione di *Myotis bechsteinii*, *Myotis daubentonii*, *Myotis nattereri*, *Nyctalus leisleri*, *Pipistrellus pygmaeus*, *Plecotus macrobullaris* e *Tadarida taeniotis* in Valle d'Aosta. Aggiornamento dell'inventario dei Chiroteri noti per la Regione. Rev. Vald. Hist. Nat., 61-62: 5-27.

Insubria DataBat, 2012. Data base chiroteri dell'Università degli Studi dell'Insubria aggiornato al 2012.

Spada M., Preatoni G., Tosi G., Martinoli A., 2010. Piano di monitoraggio dei Vertebrati terrestri di interesse comunitario (Direttive 79/409/CEE e 92/43/CEE) in Lombardia. Il monitoraggio dei Chiroteri. Fondazione Lombardia per l'Ambiente, Università degli Studi dell'Insubria.

Vigorita V., Cucè L., 2008. La fauna selvatica in Lombardia. Rapporto 2008 su distribuzione, abbondanza e stato di conservazione di uccelli e mammiferi. Regione Lombardia. Pp. 364.

Kryštufek B., Rešek Donev N., 2005. The Atlas of Slovenian Bats (Chiroptera). Scopolia, 55 (2005): 1-92.

Presetnik P., Koselj K., Zagmeister M., 2001. First records of *Pipistrellus pygmaeus* (LEACH, 1825) in Slovenia. *Myotis*, 39: 31-34.

Provincia di Trento. Rilevamenti e monitoraggi popolazioni chiroteri della provincia di Trento nel periodo 1999-2012.

Toffoli R., 2010. I Chiroteri del Parco Nazionale della Val Grande (VB). Indagine preliminare. Parco Nazionale della Val Grande (rapporto interno).

Toffoli R., 2011. I Chiroteri della Riserva Naturale Parco Burcina "F. Piacenza". Regione Piemonte-Riserva Naturale Parco Burcina (rapporto interno).

Toffoli R., 2012. I Chiroteri del Parco Naturale Alpi Marittime e del SIC/ZPS IT1160056: presenza e misure di conservazione. Regione Piemonte-Parco Naturale Alpi Marittime (rapporto interno).

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km ²)	6500
2.3.2 Method - Range surface area	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.3.3 Short-term trend period	2001-2012
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction	stable (0)
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.6 Long-term trend period	
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction	N/A
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.9 Favourable reference range	area (km ²) operator more than (>) unknown No method Expert judgement
2.3.10 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate dataUse of different method

2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size (individuals or agreed exception)	Unit N/A min max
2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals)	Unit number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10) min 18 max 18
2.4.3 Additional information	Definition of locality Conversion method Problems Impossible to convert grids to individuals
2.4.4 Year or period	1990-2012
2.4.5 Method – population size	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)
2.4.6 Short-term trend period	2001-2012
2.4.7 Short term trend direction	stable (0)
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude	min max confidence interval
2.4.9 Short-term trend method	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)
2.4.10 Long-term trend period	
2.4.11 Long term trend direction	N/A
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude	min max confidence interval
2.4.13 Long-term trend method	N/A
2.4.14 Favourable reference population	number operator more than (>) unknown No method Expert judgement
2.4.15 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

2.5 Habitat for the Species

2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km ²)	
2.5.2 Year or period	
2.5.3 Method used - habitat	Absent data (0)
2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat	Moderate
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method	Expert based
2.5.5 Short term trend period	2001-2012
2.5.6 Short term trend direction	decrease (-)

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

2.5.7 Long-term trend period

2.5.8 Long term trend direction

N/A

2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)

2.5.10 Reason for change

Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

2.6 Main Pressures

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
demolishment of buildings & human structures (E06.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
reconstruction, renovation of buildings (E06.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Forest and Plantation management & use (B02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
human induced changes in hydraulic conditions (J02)	high importance (H)	N/A

2.6.1 Method used – pressures

based only on expert judgements (1)

2.7 Main Threats

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
reconstruction, renovation of buildings (E06.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
demolishment of buildings & human structures (E06.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Forest and Plantation management & use (B02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
human induced changes in hydraulic conditions (J02)	high importance (H)	N/A
wind energy production (C03.03)	low importance (L)	N/A
antagonism with domestic animals (K03.06)	medium importance (M)	N/A

2.7.1 Method used – threats

expert opinion (1)

2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range
assessment Inadequate (U1)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.2. Population
assessment Inadequate (U1)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.3. Habitat
assessment Inadequate (U1)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.4. Future prospects
assessment Inadequate (U1)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status
Inadequate (U1)

2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status
declining (-)

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

3.1.1 Population Size	Unit	N/A	
	min		max
3.1.2 Method used	N/A		
3.1.3 Trend of population size within	N/A		
3.2 Conversation Measures			