0.1 Member State	Π
0.2.1 Species code	1293
0.2.2 Species name	Elaphe situla
0.2.3 Alternative species	Zamenis situla
scientific name	
0.2.4 Common name	Colubro leopardino

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map
Yes
1.1.1a Sensitive species
No
Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)
1.1.3 Year or period
2000-2012
No
1.1.4 Additional map
Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

Mediterranean (MED)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Anna Rita Di Cerbo, Francesco Ficetola, Roberto Sindaco (Societas Herpetologica Italica). Information, unpublished data and experts' judgments have been provided by Anna Rita Di Cerbo, Francesco Ficetola, Roberto Sindaco.

Rondinini, C., Battistoni, A., Peronace, V., Teofili, C. (compilatori). 2013. Lista Rossa IUCN dei Vertebrati Italiani. Comitato Italiano IUCN e Ministero dell'Ambiente, del Territorio e del Mare, Roma.

Scillitani G., Turrisi G.F., Vaccaro A., 2006. Zamenis situla (Linnaeus, 1758). In: Atlante degli Anfibi e dei Rettili d'Italia / Atlas of Italians Amphibians and Reptiles. Sindaco R., Doria G., Razzetti E. & Bernini F. (Eds), p. 584-587. Societas Herpetologica Italica. Edizioni Polistampa, Firenze.

Scillitani G., Turrisi G.F. 2011. Zamenis situla (Linnaeus, 1758). In: Fauna d'Italia, vol. XLV, Reptilia. A cura di Corti C., Capula M., Luiselli L., Razzetti E., Sindaco R., p. 591-599. Edizioni Calderini de Il Sole 24 ORE, Bologna.

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)
2.3.2 Method - Range surface area
2.3.3 Short-term trend period
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude
2.3.6 Long-term trend period
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude
2.3.9 Favourable reference range

20800

Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)

2001-2012 stable (0)

min max

N/A

min max

area (km²)

operator approximately equal to (≈)

unkown No

method Expert judgement

2.3.10 Reason for change Use of different method

11/04/2014 13.27.29 Page 1 of 4

2.4 Population					
2.4.1 Population size	Unit N/A				
(individuals or agreed exception)	min max				
2.4.2 Population size	Unit number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10)				
(other than individuals)	min 144 max 144				
2.4.3 Additional information	Definition of locality				
	Conversion method				
	Problems				
2.4.4 Year or period	2000-2012				
2.4.5 Method – population size	Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)				
2.4.6 Short-term trend period 2.4.7 Short term trend direction	2001-2012				
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude	decrease (-) min max confidence interval				
2.4.9 Short-term trend method	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)				
2.4.10 Long-term trend period					
2.4.11 Long term trend direction	N/A				
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude 2.4.13 Long-term trend method	min max confidence interval N/A				
2.4.14 Favourable reference	number				
oopulation	operator more than (>)				
	unknown No				
	method Expert judgement				
2.4.15 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data				
2.5 Habitat for the Species					
2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²)					
2.5.2 Year or period	2000-2012				
2.5.3 Method used - habitat 2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat	Absent data (0) Good				
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method	Agricultural intensification, decrease of rifuges and fire affect habitat of this				
	species				
2.5.5 Short term trend period	2001-2012				
2.5.6 Short term trend direction	stable (0)				
2.5.7 Long-term trend period 2.5.8 Long term trend direction	N/A				
2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)	14/1				
2.5.10 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data				
2.6 Main Pressures					
Pressure	ranking pollution qualifier(s)				
h	medium importance (M) N/A				
burning down (J01.01)					

11/04/2014 13.27.29 Page 2 of 4

medium importance (M)

low importance (L)

N/A

N/A

agricultural intensification (A02.01)

use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)

collection of animals (insects, reptiles, amphibians) (F03.02.01)	low importance (L)	N/A
anthropogenic reduction of habitat connectivity (J03.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
removal of hedges and copses or scrub (A10.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
removal of stone walls and embankments (A10.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A

2.6.1 Method used – pressures mainly based on expert judgement and other data (2)

2.7 Main Threats		
Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
anthropogenic reduction of habitat connectivity (J03.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
burning down (J01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Roads, paths and railroads (D01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
removal of hedges and copses or scrub (A10.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
removal of stone walls and embankments (A10.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	low importance (L)	N/A

2.7.1 Method used – threats expert opinion (1)

2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A
2.9.2. Population assessment Inadequate (U1)

qualifiers declining (-)

2.9.3. Habitat assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A

2.9.4. Future prospects assessment Favourable (FV)

qualifiers N/A

2.9.5 Overall assessment of Inadequate (U1)
Conservation Status

2.9.5 Overall trend in declining (-)
Conservation Status

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size Unit N/A

min max

3.1.2 Method used Absent data (0)

3.1.3 Trend of population size within N/A

3.2 Conversation Measures

11/04/2014 13.27.29 Page 3 of 4

3.2.1 Measure	3.2.2 Type	3.2.3 Ranking	3.2.4 Location	3.2.5 Broad Evaluation
Other agriculture-related	Recurrent	high importance	Both	Unknown
measures (2.0)		(H)		

11/04/2014 13.27.29 Page 4 of 4