0.1 Member State	IT
0.2.1 Species code	1284
0.2.2 Species name	Coluber viridiflavus
0.2.3 Alternative species scientific name	Hierophis viridiflavus
0.2.4 Common name	Biacco

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map 1.1.1a Sensitive species 1.1.2 Method used - map 1.1.3 Year or period 1.1.4 Additional map	Yes No Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3) 2000-2012 No
1.1.5 Range map	Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

Mediterranean (MED)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Anna Rita Di Cerbo, Francesco Ficetola, Roberto Sindaco (Societas Herpetologica Italica). Information, unpublished data and experts' judgments have been provided by Anna Rita Di Cerbo, Francesco Ficetola, Roberto Sindaco.

De Pous P., Speybroeck J., Bogaerts S., Pasmans F., Beukema W. 2012. A contribution to the atlas of the terrestrial herpetofauna of Sardinia. Herpetology Notes, volume 5: 391-405

Regione Autonoma della Sardegna - Assessorato Difesa Ambiente , 2012 - "Servizio di monitoraggio dello stato di conservazione degli habitat e delle specie di importanza comunitaria presenti nei siti della Rete Natura 2000 in Sardegna – Linea 4. Redazione del Rapporto sullo stato di conservazione degli habitat e delle specie ".

Rondinini, C., Battistoni, A., Peronace, V., Teofili, C. (compilatori). 2013. Lista Rossa IUCN dei Vertebrati Italiani. Comitato Italiano IUCN e Ministero dell'Ambiente, del Territorio e del Mare, Roma.

Salvi D., Bombi P., 2010. Reptiles of Sardinia: updating the knowledge on their distribution. Acta Herpetologica 5(2): 161-177

Vanni S., Nistri A., 2006. Hierophis viridiflavus (Lacepede, 1789). In: Atlante degli Anfibi e dei Rettili d'Italia / Atlas of Italians Amphibians and Reptiles. Sindaco R., Doria G., Razzetti E. & Bernini F. (Eds), p. 544-547. Societas Herpetologica Italica. Edizioni Polistampa, Firenze.

Vanni S., Zuffi M.A.L., 2011. Hierophis viridiflavus (Lacepede, 1789). In: Fauna d'Italia, vol. XLV, Reptilia. A cura di Corti C., Capula M., Luiselli L., Razzetti E., Sindaco R., p. 509-516. Edizioni Calderini de Il Sole 24 ORE, Bologna.

2.3 Range

11/04/2014 13.23.59 Page 1 of 9

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²) 2.3.2 Method - Range surface area 2.3.3 Short-term trend period 2.3.4 Short-term trend direction 2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude 2.3.6 Long-term trend direction 2.3.7 Long term trend direction 2.3.7 Long term trend direction 2.3.8 Method - Range (km²) 2001-2012	
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction 2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude 2.3.9 Favourable reference range area (km²) operator unkown No method	
2.3.10 Reason for change Use of different method	
2.4 Population	
2.4.1 Population size (individuals or agreed exception) Unit N/A min max	
2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals) Unit number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10) min 1367 max 1367	
2.4.3 Additional information Definition of locality Conversion method Problems	
2.4.4 Year or period2000-20122.4.5 Method – population sizeComplete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)2.4.6 Short-term trend period2001-20122.4.7 Short term trend directionstable (0)	
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude 2.4.9 Short-term trend method 2.4.10 Long-term trend period min max confidence interval Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling ((2)
2.4.11 Long term trend direction 2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude 2.4.13 Long-term trend method 2.4.14 Favourable reference N/A number	
population operator approximately equal to (≈) unknown No method	
2.4.15 Reason for change Improved knowledge/more accurate data	
2.5 Habitat for the Species 2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²) 2.5.2 Year or period 2.5.3 Method used - habitat 2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat Good 2.5.4 of Control of Contr	

2.5.5 Short term trend period 2001-2012 stable (0)

Agricultural intensification, roads and landscape modification are the main

Page 2 of 9

causes of the habitat decreasing for the species.

2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method

2.5.7 Long-term trend period

11/04/2014 13.23.59

2.5.8 Long term trend direction2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)2.5.10 Reason for change

N/A

Improved knowledge/more accurate data

2.6 Main Pressures			
Pressure		ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
removal of hedges and copses or scrub (A10.01)		low importance (L)	N/A
roads, motorways (D01.02)		medium importance (M)	N/A
anthropogenic reduction of habitat cor	nnectivity (J03.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
removal of stone walls and embankme	nts (A10.02)	low importance (L)	N/A
modification of cultivation practices (A	02)	low importance (L)	N/A
burning down (J01.01)		medium importance (M)	N/A
continuous urbanisation (E01.01)		medium importance (M)	N/A
2.6.1 Method used – pressures	mainly based on exp	pert judgement and other data	(2)
2.7 Main Threats			
Threat		ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
removal of hedges and copses or scrub (A10.01)		low importance (L)	N/A
roads, motorways (D01.02)		medium importance (M)	N/A
anthropogenic reduction of habitat connectivity (J03.02)		medium importance (M)	N/A
removal of stone walls and embankments (A10.02)		low importance (L)	N/A
burning down (J01.01)		medium importance (M)	N/A
continuous urbanisation (E01.01)		low importance (L)	N/A
2.7.1 Method used – threats	expert opinion (1)		

2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A 2.9.2. Population assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A 2.9.3. Habitat assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A assessment Favourable (FV) 2.9.4. Future prospects qualifiers N/A 2.9.5 Overall assessment of Favourable (FV) **Conservation Status** 2.9.5 Overall trend in N/A **Conservation Status**

11/04/2014 13.23.59 Page 3 of 9

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size Unit N/A

> min max

3.1.2 Method used N/A

3.1.3 Trend of population size within N/A

3.2 Conversation Measures

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

Continental (CON)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Anna Rita Di Cerbo, Francesco Ficetola, Roberto Sindaco (Societas Herpetologica Italica). Information, unpublished data and experts' judgments have been provided by Anna Rita Di Cerbo, Francesco Ficetola, Roberto Sindaco.

Rondinini, C., Battistoni, A., Peronace, V., Teofili, C. (compilatori). 2013. Lista Rossa IUCN dei Vertebrati Italiani. Comitato Italiano IUCN e Ministero dell'Ambiente, del Territorio e del Mare, Roma

Vanni S., Nistri A., 2006. Hierophis viridiflavus (Lacepede, 1789). In: Atlante degli Anfibi e dei Rettili d'Italia / Atlas of Italians Amphibians and Reptiles. Sindaco R., Doria G., Razzetti E. & Bernini F. (Eds), p. 544-547. Societas Herpetologica Italica. Edizioni Polistampa, Firenze.

Vanni S., Zuffi M.A.L., 2011. Hierophis viridiflavus (Lacepede, 1789). In: Fauna d'Italia, vol. XLV, Reptilia. A cura di Corti C., Capula M., Luiselli L., Razzetti E., Sindaco R., p. 509-516. Edizioni Calderini de Il Sole 24 ORE, Bologna.

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)

2.3.2 Method - Range surface area

2.3.3 Short-term trend period

2.3.4 Short-term trend direction

2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude

2.3.6 Long-term trend period

2.3.7 Long-term trend direction

2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude

2.3.9 Favourable reference range

101700

Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)

2001-2012

stable (0)

min max

N/A

min max

area (km²)

operator approximately equal to (\approx)

unkown No

method

Use of different method 2.3.10 Reason for change

2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size

(individuals or agreed exception)

N/A Unit

min max

11/04/2014 13.23.59 Page 4 of 9

2.4.2 Population size	Unit	number of	map 10x	LO km gri	d cells (grids10x10)
(other than individuals)	min	892	max	892	
2.4.3 Additional information	Definition	of locality	,		
	Conversion	on method			
	Problems	;			
2.4.4 Year or period	2000-201	2			
2.4.5 Method – population size	Complete	e survey/Co	omplete s	urvey or a	a statistically robust estimate (3)
2.4.6 Short-term trend period	2001-201	12			
2.4.7 Short term trend direction	stable (0))			
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude	min		max		confidence interval
2.4.9 Short-term trend method	Estimate	based on p	oartial dat	a with so	me extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.4.10 Long-term trend period					
2.4.11 Long term trend direction	N/A				
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude	min		max		confidence interval
2.4.13 Long-term trend method	N/A number				
2.4.14 Favourable reference population		2 D D K O V	م برام دمان	aual +a /-	Λ
population	operator unknowr		imately e	quai to (*	=)
	method	1 110			
2.4.15 Reason for change		d knowledg	e/more a	ccurate d	ata
2.5 Habitat for the Species					
2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²)					
2.5.2 Year or period	2000-20	12			
2.5.3 Method used - habitat	Absent d	ata (0)			
2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat	Good				
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method	_				landscape modification are the main
		f the habita	at decreas	ing for th	ne species.
2.5.5 Short term trend period	2000-20:				
2.5.6 Short term trend direction	stable (0)			
2.5.7 Long-term trend period					

N/A

2.5.8 Long term trend direction

2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)

2.6 Main Pressures		
Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	low importance (L)	N/A
anthropogenic reduction of habitat connectivity (J03.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
removal of stone walls and embankments (A10.02)	low importance (L)	N/A
reduction or loss of specific habitat features (J03.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
removal of hedges and copses or scrub (A10.01)	low importance (L)	N/A
continuous urbanisation (E01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
burning down (J01.01)	low importance (L)	N/A
Other ecosystem modifications (J03)	low importance (L)	N/A
forest replanting (B02.01)	low importance (L)	N/A

11/04/2014 13.23.59 Page 5 of 9

2.6.1 Method used – pressures mainly based	mainly based on expert judgement and other data (2)		
2.7 Main Threats			
Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)	
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	low importance (L)	N/A	
anthropogenic reduction of habitat connectivity (J03.0)2) medium importance (M)	N/A	
removal of stone walls and embankments (A10.02)	low importance (L)	N/A	
reduction or loss of specific habitat features (J03.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A	
removal of hedges and copses or scrub (A10.01)	low importance (L)	N/A	
continuous urbanisation (E01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A	
burning down (J01.01)	low importance (L)	N/A	
Other ecosystem modifications (J03)	low importance (L)	N/A	
forest replanting (B02.01)	low importance (L)	N/A	
2.7.1 Method used – threats expert opinion	on (1)		
2.8 Complementary Information			

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range

assessment Favourable (FV)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.2. Population

assessment Favourable (FV)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.3. Habitat

assessment Favourable (FV)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.4. Future prospects

assessment Favourable (FV)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.5 Overall assessment of
Conservation Status

2.9.5 Overall trend in

Conservation Status

N/A

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size Unit N/A min

3.1.2 Method used N/A
3.1.3 Trend of population size within N/A

N/A

3.2 Conversation Measures

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

11/04/2014 13.23.59 Page 6 of 9

max

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

Alpine (ALP)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Anna Rita Di Cerbo, Francesco Ficetola, Roberto Sindaco (Societas Herpetologica Italica). Information, unpublished data and experts' judgments have been provided by Anna Rita Di Cerbo, Francesco Ficetola, Roberto Sindaco.

Rondinini, C., Battistoni, A., Peronace, V., Teofili, C. (compilatori). 2013. Lista Rossa IUCN dei Vertebrati Italiani. Comitato Italiano IUCN e Ministero dell'Ambiente, del Territorio e del Mare, Roma

Vanni S., Nistri A., 2006. Hierophis viridiflavus (Lacepede, 1789). In: Atlante degli Anfibi e dei Rettili d'Italia / Atlas of Italians Amphibians and Reptiles. Sindaco R., Doria G., Razzetti E. & Bernini F. (Eds), p. 544-547. Societas Herpetologica Italica. Edizioni Polistampa, Firenze.

Vanni S., Zuffi M.A.L., 2011. Hierophis viridiflavus (Lacepede, 1789). In: Fauna d'Italia, vol. XLV, Reptilia. A cura di Corti C., Capula M., Luiselli L., Razzetti E., Sindaco R., p. 509-516. Edizioni Calderini de Il Sole 24 ORE, Bologna.

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)

2.3.2 Method - Range surface area

2.3.3 Short-term trend period

2.3.4 Short-term trend direction

2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude

2.3.6 Long-term trend period

2.3.7 Long-term trend direction

2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude

2.3.9 Favourable reference range

50000

Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)

2001-2012 stable (0)

min max

N/A

min max

area (km²)

operator approximately equal to (≈)

unkown No

method Expert judgement

2.3.10 Reason for change

Use of different method

2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size

(individuals or agreed exception)

Unit N/A

min max

2.4.2 Population size

(other than individuals)

Unit number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10)

334 min max 334

2.4.3 Additional information

Problems

2.4.4 Year or period

2.4.5 Method – population size

2.4.6 Short-term trend period

2.4.7 Short term trend direction

2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude

2.4.9 Short-term trend method

2.4.10 Long-term trend period

Definition of locality

Conversion method

2000-2012

Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)

2001-2012

stable (0)

confidence interval max

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

11/04/2014 13.23.59 Page 7 of 9

2.4.11 Long term trend direction 2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude 2.4.13 Long-term trend method N/A 2.4.14 Favourable reference population

N/A min max

confidence interval

number

operator approximately equal to (≈)

unknown No

method Expert judgement

2.4.15 Reason for change Improved knowledge/more accurate data

2.5 Habitat for the Species

2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²)

2.5.2 Year or period

2.5.3 Method used - habitat

2.5.5 Short term trend period

2.5.6 Short term trend direction

2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat

2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method

2000-2012

Absent data (0)

Good

Agricultural intensification and roads are the main causes of the habitat decreasing for the species.

2001-2012 stable (0)

N/A

2.5.7 Long-term trend period 2.5.8 Long term trend direction

2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)

2.5.10 Reason for change

Improved knowledge/more accurate data

2.6 Main Pressures

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
removal of stone walls and embankments (A10.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
anthropogenic reduction of habitat connectivity (J03.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Roads, paths and railroads (D01)	high importance (H)	N/A
removal of hedges and copses or scrub (A10.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
burning down (J01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
modification of cultivation practices (A02)	low importance (L)	N/A
agricultural intensification (A02.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Restructuring agricultural land holding (A10)	low importance (L)	N/A
forest replanting (B02.01)	low importance (L)	N/A

2.6.1 Method used – pressures mainly based on expert judgement and other data (2)

2.7 Main Threats

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
agricultural intensification (A02.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
removal of hedges and copses or scrub (A10.01)	low importance (L)	N/A
removal of stone walls and embankments (A10.02)	low importance (L)	N/A
roads, motorways (D01.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
continuous urbanisation (E01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
burning down (J01.01)	low importance (L)	N/A
reduction or loss of specific habitat features (J03.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A

11/04/2014 13.23.59 Page 8 of 9

2.7.1 Method used – threats	expert opinion (1)
2.8 Complementary Information	
2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends	
2.8.2 Other relevant Information	
2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment	
2.9 Conclusions (assessment of co	nservation status at end of reporting period)
2.9.1 Range	assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A
2.9.2. Population	assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A
2.9.3. Habitat	assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A
2.9.4. Future prospects	assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A
2.9.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status	Favourable (FV)
2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status	N/A
3. Natura 2000 coverage a	and conservation measures - Annex II species
3.1 Population	
3.1.1 Population Size	Unit N/A

3.2 Conversation Measures

max

min

N/A

N/A

3.1.2 Method used

3.1.3 Trend of population size within

11/04/2014 13.23.59 Page 9 of 9