Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

| 0.1 Member State | Π |
|---|-------------------|
| 0.2.1 Species code | 1177 |
| 0.2.2 Species name | Salamandra atra |
| 0.2.3 Alternative species scientific name | N/A |
| 0.2.4 Common name | Salamandra alpina |

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map
Yes
1.1.1a Sensitive species
No
Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)
1.1.3 Year or period
2000-2012
No
1.1.4 Additional map
Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

Alpine (ALP)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Anna Rita Di Cerbo, Francesco Ficetola, Roberto Sindaco (Societas Herpetologica Italica). Information, unpublished data and experts' judgments have been provided by Anna Rita Di Cerbo, Francesco Ficetola, Roberto Sindaco.

Bonato L., Fracasso G., Luiselli L., 2007. Salamandra atra Laurenti, 1768. In: Fauna d'Italia, vol. XLII, Amphibia. A cura di Lanza B., Andreone F., Bologna M.A., Corti C., Razzetti E., p. 199-211. Calderini, Bologna.

Bonato I., Fracasso G., 2006. Salamandra atra Laurenti, 1768. In: Atlante degli Anfibi e dei Rettili d'Italia / Atlas of Italians Amphibians and Reptiles, Sindaco R., Doria G., Razzetti E. & Bernini F. (Eds). p. 190-195. Societas Herpetologica Italica. Edizioni Polistampa, Firenze.

Rondinini, C., Battistoni, A., Peronace, V., Teofili, C. (compilatori). 2013. Lista Rossa IUCN dei Vertebrati Italiani. Comitato Italiano IUCN e Ministero dell'Ambiente, del Territorio e del Mare, Roma.

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)
2.3.2 Method - Range surface area
2.3.3 Short-term trend period
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude
2.3.6 Long-term trend period
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude
2.3.9 Favourable reference range

14800

Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)

2001-2012 stable (0)

min max

N/A

min max

area (km²)

operator approximately equal to (≈)

unkown No

method Expert judgement

2.3.10 Reason for change Use of different method

09/04/2014 16.27.54 Page 1 of 3

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

| 2.4 Population | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------|------------------------------------|--|--|
| 2.4.1 Population size | Unit N/A | | | | |
| (individuals or agreed exception) | min | max | | | |
| 2.4.2 Population size | Unit number of | map 10x10 km grid co | ells (grids10x10) | | |
| (other than individuals) | min 101 | max 101 | | | |
| 2.4.3 Additional information | Definition of locality | | | | |
| | Conversion method | | | | |
| | Problems | | | | |
| 2.4.4 Year or period | 2000-2012 | | | | |
| 2.4.5 Method – population size | Complete survey/Co | mplete survey or a st | atistically robust estimate (3) | | |
| 2.4.6 Short-term trend period | 2001-2012 | | | | |
| 2.4.7 Short term trend direction | stable (0) | | | | |
| 2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude | min | max | confidence interval | | |
| 2.4.9 Short-term trend method | Estimate based on p | artial data with some | extrapolation and/or modelling (2) | | |
| 2.4.10 Long-term trend period | | | | | |
| 2.4.11 Long term trend direction | N/A | | 6.1 | | |
| 2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude2.4.13 Long-term trend method | min N/A | max | confidence interval | | |
| 2.4.14 Favourable reference | number | | | | |
| population | | mately equal to (≈) | | | |
| population | unknown No | matery equal to (%) | | | |
| | method Expert | judgement | | | |
| 2.4.15 Reason for change | • | e/more accurate data | 1 | | |
| 2.5 Habitat for the Species | · · | | | | |
| 2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²) | | | | | |
| 2.5.2 Year or period | 2000-2012 | | | | |
| 2.5.3 Method used - habitat | Absent data (0) | | | | |
| 2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat | Good | | | | |
| 2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method | Tourist activity (e.g. skiing complex) and intensive pasture and a wrong | | | | |
| | · · | odland affect populat | ions, locally. | | |
| 2.5.5 Short term trend period | 2001-2012 | | | | |
| 2.5.6 Short term trend direction | stable (0) | | | | |
| 2.5.7 Long-term trend direction | NI/A | | | | |
| 2.5.8 Long term trend direction2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²) | N/A | | | | |
| 2.5.10 Reason for change | Improved knowledge/more accurate data | | | | |
| 2.5.15 Neuson for change | improved knowledg | e, more accurate date | - | | |
| 2.6 Main Pressures | | | | | |

| skiing complex (G02.02) | high importance (H) | N/A |
|--|-----------------------|-----|
| paths, tracks, cycling tracks (D01.01) | medium importance (M) | N/A |
| forestry clearance (B02.02) | high importance (H) | N/A |
| intensive grazing (A04.01) | medium importance (M) | N/A |
| removal of forest undergrowth (B02.03) | low importance (L) | N/A |

Pressure

09/04/2014 16.27.54 Page 2 of 3

ranking

pollution qualifier(s)

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

| reduction or loss of specific habitat fea | itures (J03.01) | low importance (L) | N/A | |
|---|---|------------------------------------|------------------------|--|
| removal of dead and dying trees (B02. | 04) | medium importance (M) | N/A | |
| 2.6.1 Method used – pressures mainly based on ex | | xpert judgement and other data (2) | | |
| 2.7 Main Threats | | | | |
| Threat | | ranking | pollution qualifier(s) | |
| skiing complex (G02.02) | | high importance (H) | N/A | |
| paths, tracks, cycling tracks (D01.01) | | medium importance (M) | N/A | |
| forestry clearance (B02.02) | | high importance (H) | N/A | |
| intensive grazing (A04.01) | | medium importance (M) | N/A | |
| removal of forest undergrowth (B02.0 | 3) | low importance (L) | N/A | |
| reduction or loss of specific habitat features (J03.01) | | low importance (L) | N/A | |
| removal of dead and dying trees (B02.04) | | medium importance (M) | N/A | |
| 2.7.1 Method used – threats | expert opinion (1) | | | |
| 2.8 Complementary Information | | | | |
| 2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends | | | | |
| 2.8.2 Other relevant Information | | | | |
| 2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment | | | | |
| 2.9 Conclusions (assessment of cor | nservation status at | end of reporting period) | | |
| 2.9.1 Range | assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A | | | |
| 2.9.2. Population | assessment Favou qualifiers N/A | rable (FV) | | |
| | | | | |

2.9.3. Habitat assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A 2.9.4. Future prospects

assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A

2.9.5 Overall assessment of Favourable (FV)

Conservation Status

2.9.5 Overall trend in **Conservation Status**

N/A

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size Unit N/A

min max

3.1.2 Method used N/A 3.1.3 Trend of population size within N/A

3.2 Conversation Measures

09/04/2014 16.27.54 Page 3 of 3