Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

0.1 Member State	**IT**
0.2.1 Species code	**1322**
0.2.2 Species name	**Myotis nattereri**
0.2.3 Alternative species scientific name	N/A
0.2.4 Common name	N/A

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map	Yes
1.1.1a Sensitive species	No
1.1.2 Method used - map	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
1.1.3 Year or period	1985-2012
1.1.4 Additional map	No
1.1.5 Range map	Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

Mediterranean (MED)

2.2 Published sources

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Daniele Paoloni, Cristiano Spilinga (Associazione Teriologica Italiana - ATIt) and Anna Alonzi, Piero Genovesi, Francesca Ronchi (Institute for Environmental Protection and Research - ISPRA). Information, unpublished data and experts' judgments have been provided by Paolo Agnelli, Mara Calvini, Luca Cistrone, Michele Ferretto, Danilo Russo, Dino Scaravelli, Martina Spada, Roberto Toffoli, Simone Vergari (Italian Group for bat Research).

Archivio Osservatorio Regionale per Biodiversità. Regione Umbria.

Calvini M., 2006. Monitoraggio dei chirotteri nella piana del Magra e Vallecchia (SP) (rapporto interno).

Calvini M., 2006. I Chirotteri della ZPS Beigua-Turchino e del Parco del Beigua; 70 pag. Ente Parco del Beigua, Regione Liguria.

Calvini M., 2007. Studio preliminare sulla chirotterofauna delle tre foreste demaniali del Parco dell'Aveto (rapporto interno).

Calvini M., 2007. I Chirotteri delle Alpi Liguri; 24 pag. Provincia di Imperia, Regione Liguria.

Calvini M., 2009. Indagine chirotterologica nei seguenti SIC della provincia di Savona: IT1323201, IT1324011, IT1323112 e IT1323203 (rapporto interno).

Calvini M., 2010. Monitoraggio delle colonie di chirotteri riproduttive e svernanti di particolare interesse conservazionistico note in Liguria (rapporto interno).

Database del Repertorio Naturalistico Toscano.

DB faunistico Centro Studi Naturalistici-ONLUS.

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

Loy A., De Lisio L., Capula M., Ciucci P., Russo D., Sciarretta A., 2012. Rapporto finale - Convenzione stipulata tra la Regione Molise e la Unione Zoologica Italiana per la realizzazione dei piani di gestione dei Siti Natura 2000.n. 1393/2008. Unione Zoologica Italiana, Regione Molise.

Regione Liguria, 2008, Carta della Biodiversità, www.ambienteinliguria.it

Ente Parco Parco del Cilento e Vallo di Diano. Relazione di monitoraggio dei chirotteri nel Parco del Cilento e Vallo di Diano.

Ente Parco Regionale dei Monti Lattari. Relazione di monitoraggio della biodiversità nel Parco Regionale dei Monti Lattari.

Ruffo S., Stoch F., 2005. Checklist e distribuzione della fauna italiana. Memorie del Museo Civico di storia naturale di Verona, 2.serie, Sezione scienze della Vita 16.

Spilinga C., Russo D., Carletti S., Jiménez Grijalva M.P., Sergiacomi U., Ragni B., (in stampa). Chirotteri dell'Umbria. Distribuzione geografica ed ecologica. Regione Umbria. Università degli Studi di Perugia.

Toffoli R., 2011. I Chirotteri del Parco Naturale delle Capanne di Marcarolo. Regione Piemonte-Parco Naturale delle Capanne di Marcarolo (rapporto interno).

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)	22500
2.3.2 Method - Range surface area	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.3.3 Short-term trend period	2001-2012
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction	stable (0)
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.6 Long-term trend period	
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction	N/A
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.9 Favourable reference range	area (km²) operator approximately equal to (≈) unkown No method Expert judgement
2.3.10 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate dataUse of different method

2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size (individuals or agreed exception)	Unit N/A min max
2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals)	Unit number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10) min 62 max 62
2.4.3 Additional information	Definition of locality Conversion method Problems Impossible to convert grids into individuals
2.4.4 Year or period	1985-2012
2.4.5 Method – population size	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

2.4.6 Short-term trend period	2001-2012		
2.4.7 Short term trend direction	stable (0)		
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude	min	max	confidence interval
2.4.9 Short-term trend method	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)		
2.4.10 Long-term trend period			
2.4.11 Long term trend direction	N/A		
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude	min	max	confidence interval
2.4.13 Long-term trend method	N/A		
2.4.14 Favourable reference population	number		
	operator	approximately equal to (≈)	
	unknown	No	
	method	Expert judgement	
2.4.15 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method		

2.5 Habitat for the Species

2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²)	
2.5.2 Year or period	
2.5.3 Method used - habitat	Absent data (0)
2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat	Good
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method	Expert based
2.5.5 Short term trend period	2001-2012
2.5.6 Short term trend direction	stable (0)
2.5.7 Long-term trend period	
2.5.8 Long term trend direction	N/A
2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)	
2.5.10 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

2.6 Main Pressures

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Forest and Plantation management & use (B02)	high importance (H)	N/A
speleology (G01.04.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
modification of cultivation practices (A02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	high importance (H)	N/A
burning down (J01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A

2.6.1 Method used – pressures	based only on expert judgements (1)

2.7 Main Threats

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Forest and Plantation management & use (B02)	high importance (H)	N/A
speleology (G01.04.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
modification of cultivation practices (A02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	high importance (H)	N/A

closures of caves or galleries (G05.08)	high importance (H)	N/A
demolishment of buildings & human structures (E06.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
reconstruction, renovation of buildings (E06.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
burning down (J01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A

2.7.1 Method used – threats: expert opinion (1)

2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range
- assessment: Favourable (FV)
- qualifiers: N/A

2.9.2. Population
- assessment: Favourable (FV)
- qualifiers: N/A

2.9.3. Habitat
- assessment: Favourable (FV)
- qualifiers: N/A

2.9.4. Future prospects
- assessment: Inadequate (U1)
- qualifiers: N/A

2.9.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status: Inadequate (U1)

2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status: declining (-)

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size
- Unit: N/A
- min
- max

3.1.2 Method used: N/A

3.1.3 Trend of population size within: N/A

3.2 Conversation Measures

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region: **Continental (CON)**

2.2 Published sources:

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Daniele Paoloni, Cristiano Spilinga (Associazione Teriologica Italiana - ATIt) and Anna Alonzi, Piero Genovesi, Francesca Ronchi (Institute for Environmental Protection and Research - ISPRA). Information, unpublished data and experts' judgments have been provided by Paolo Agnelli, Mara Calvini, Luca Cistrone, Michele Ferretto, Danilo Russo, Dino Scaravelli, Martina Spada, Roberto Toffoli, Simone Vergari (Italian Group for bat Research).

Archivio Stazione Teriologica Piemontese.

Banca Dati Regione Emilia Romagna (aggiornamento al 2010).

Calvini M., 2006. Monitoraggio dei chirotteri nella piana del Magra e Vallecchia (SP) (rapporto interno).

Calvini M., 2007. Studio preliminare sulla chirotterofauna delle tre foreste demaniali del Parco dell'Aveto (rapporto interno).

Calvini M., 2009. I Chirotteri del SIC IT1110022 Stagno di Oulx e IT1110020 Lago di Viverone. IPLA (rapporto interno).

Calvini M., 2010. Monitoraggio delle colonie di chirotteri riproduttive e svernanti di particolare interesse conservazionistico note in Liguria (rapporto interno).

Dall'Asta A., 1995-1996. Atlante preliminare dei Chirotteri (Chiroptera, Mammalia) della Regione Friuli-Venezia Giulia - Prima Sintesi Cartografica. Tesi di Laurea in Scienze Naturali, Fac. di Scienze MM. FF. NN. dell'Università degli Studi di Trieste, Relatori G. A. Amirante & S. Dolce: 1-103.

Lapini L., Dall'Asta A., Dublo L., Spoto M., Venier E., 1996 (1995). Materiali per una teriofauna dell'Italia Nord Orientale (Mammalia, Friuli-Venezia Giulia). Gortania 17: 149-248.

Database del Repertorio Naturalistico Toscano.

Debernardi P., Patriarca E., Toffoli R., 2010. Monitoraggio delle colonie di chirotteri riproduttive e svernanti di particolare interesse conservazionistico note in Piemonte e dati preliminari sull'attività di swarming. Stato delle conoscenze al 30 aprile 2010. CRC, Regione Piemonte - Direzione ambiente - Settore pianificazione e gestione aree naturali protette (relazione interna). Pp. 83.

Insubria DataBat, 2012. Data base chirotteri dell'Università degli Studi dell'Insubria aggiornato al 2012.

Spada M., Preatoni G., Tosi G., Martinoli A., 2010. Piano di monitoraggio dei Vertebrati terrestri di interesse comunitario (Direttive 79/409/CEE e 92/43/CEE) in Lombardia. Il monitoraggio dei Chirotteri. Fondazione Lombardia per l'Ambiente, Università degli Studi dell'Insubria.

Patriarca E., Debernardi P., 2002. Indagine preliminare sulla chirotterofauna dell'area SIC IT1110021 Laghi d'Ivrea. Regione Piemonte e WWF Italia. Rapporto interno.

Regione Liguria, 2008, Carta della Biodiversità, www.ambienteinliguria.it

Ruffo S., Stoch F., 2005. Checklist e distribuzione della fauna italiana. Memorie del Museo Civico di storia naturale di Verona, 2.serie, Sezione scienze della Vita 16.

Toffoli R., Culasso P., 2010. Utilizzo autunnale di siti sotterranei da parte della chirotterofauna in Piemonte e definizione del loro ruolo ecologico (Mammalia, Chiroptera). Riv. Piem. St. Nat., 31: 265-278.

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

Vigorita V., Cucè L., 2008. La fauna selvatica in Lombardia. Rapporto 2008 su distribuzione, abbondanza e stato di conservazione di uccelli e mammiferi. Regione Lombardia. Pp. 364.

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)	20100		
2.3.2 Method - Range surface area	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)		
2.3.3 Short-term trend period	2001-2012		
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction	stable (0)		
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude	min	max	
2.3.6 Long-term trend period			
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction	N/A		
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude	min	max	
2.3.9 Favourable reference range	area (km²)		
	operator	approximately equal to (≈)	
	unkown	No	
	method	Expert judgement	
2.3.10 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate dataUse of different method		

2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size (individuals or agreed exception)	Unit	N/A		
	min		max	
2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals)	Unit	number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10)		
	min	62	max	62
2.4.3 Additional information	Definition of locality			
	Conversion method			
	Problems	Impossible to convert grids into individuals		
2.4.4 Year or period	1985-2012			
2.4.5 Method – population size	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)			
2.4.6 Short-term trend period	2001-2012			
2.4.7 Short term trend direction	stable (0)			
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude	min	max	confidence interval	
2.4.9 Short-term trend method	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)			
2.4.10 Long-term trend period				
2.4.11 Long term trend direction	N/A			
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude	min	max	confidence interval	
2.4.13 Long-term trend method	N/A			
2.4.14 Favourable reference population	number			
	operator	approximately equal to (≈)		
	unknown	No		
	method	Expert judgement		
2.4.15 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method			

2.5 Habitat for the Species

2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²)	
2.5.2 Year or period	

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

2.5.3 Method used - habitat	Absent data (0)
2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat	Good
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method	Expert based
2.5.5 Short term trend period	2001-2012
2.5.6 Short term trend direction	stable (0)
2.5.7 Long-term trend period	
2.5.8 Long term trend direction	N/A
2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)	
2.5.10 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

2.6 Main Pressures

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Forest and Plantation management & use (B02)	high importance (H)	N/A
speleology (G01.04.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
modification of cultivation practices (A02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	medium importance (M)	N/A
continuous urbanisation (E01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A

2.6.1 Method used – pressures	based only on expert judgements (1)

2.7 Main Threats

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Forest and Plantation management & use (B02)	high importance (H)	N/A
speleology (G01.04.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
modification of cultivation practices (A02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	medium importance (M)	N/A
closures of caves or galleries (G05.08)	high importance (H)	N/A
demolishment of buildings & human structures (E06.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
reconstruction, renovation of buildings (E06.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
continuous urbanisation (E01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A

2.7.1 Method used – threats	expert opinion (1)

2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends	
2.8.2 Other relevant Information	
2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment	

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range	assessment	Favourable (FV)
	qualifiers	N/A
2.9.2. Population	assessment	Favourable (FV)
	qualifiers	N/A

2.9.3. Habitat	assessment	Favourable (FV)
	qualifiers	N/A
2.9.4. Future prospects	assessment	Inadequate (U1)
	qualifiers	N/A
2.9.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status		Inadequate (U1)
2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status		declining (-)

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size	Unit	N/A	
	min		max
3.1.2 Method used	N/A		
3.1.3 Trend of population size within	N/A		

3.2 Conversation Measures

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

Alpine (ALP)

2.2 Published sources

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Daniele Paoloni, Cristiano Spilinga (Associazione Teriologica Italiana - ATIt) and Anna Alonzi, Piero Genovesi, Francesca Ronchi (Institute for Environmental Protection and Research - ISPRA). Information, unpublished data and experts' judgments have been provided by Paolo Agnelli, Mara Calvini, Luca Cistrone, Michele Ferretto, Danilo Russo, Dino Scaravelli, Martina Spada, Roberto Toffoli, Simone Vergari (Italian Group for bat Research).

Archivio Stazione Teriologica Piemontese.

Calvini M., 2007. I Chirotteri delle Alpi Liguri; 24 pag. Provincia di Imperia, Regione Liguria.

Calvini M., 2009. I Chirotteri del SIC IT1110022 Stagno di Oulx e IT1110020 Lago di Viverone. IPLA (rapporto interno).

Calvini M., 2009. Indagine sulla chirotterofauna nel SIC “Bric Tana-Bric Mongarda”, comune di Millesimo (SV).

Calvini M., 2009. Indagine chirotterologica nei seguenti SIC della provincia di Savona: IT1323201, IT1324011, IT1323112 e IT1323203 (rapporto interno).

Calvini M., 2010. Monitoraggio delle colonie di chirotteri riproduttive e svernanti di particolare interesse conservazionistico note in Liguria (rapporto interno).

Culasso P., Toffoli R., 2011. I Chirotteri del Parco Naturale Alpe Veglia e Alpe Devero e del SIC/ZPS Alpe Veglia e Devero-Monte Giove. Regione Piemonte-Parco Naturale Alpe Veglia e Alpe Devero (rapporto interno).

Debernardi P., Patriarca E., Toffoli R., 2010. Monitoraggio delle colonie di chirotteri riproduttive e svernanti di particolare interesse conservazionistico note in Piemonte e dati preliminari sull'attività di swarming. Stato delle conoscenze al 30 aprile 2010. CRC, Regione Piemonte - Direzione ambiente - Settore pianificazione e gestione aree naturali protette (relazione interna). Pp. 83.

Toffoli R., 1999. I Chirotteri del Parco Naturale Alpi Marittime. In: Dondini G., Papalini O., Vergari S. (eds); Atti del I° Convegno Italiano sui Chirotteri, Castell'Azzara (Grosseto), 28-29 marzo 1998. Pp. 147-153.

Dall'Asta A., 1995-1996. Atlante preliminare dei Chirotteri (Chiroptera, Mammalia) della Regione Friuli-Venezia Giulia - Prima Sintesi Cartografica. Tesi di Laurea in Scienze Naturali, Fac. di Scienze MM. FF. NN. dell'Università degli Studi di Trieste, Relatori G. A. Amirante & S. Dolce: 1-103.

Debernardi T., Patriarca E., 207-8: Prima segnalazione di Myotis bechsteinii, Myotis daubentonii, Myotis nattereri, Nyctalus leisleri, Pipistrellus pygmaeus, Plecotus macrobullaris e Tadarida taeniotis in Valle d'Aosta. Aggiornamento dell'inventario dei Chirotteri noti per la Regione. Rav. Vald. Hist. Nat., 61-62: 5-27.

Museo di S.N di Bolzano. Indagine sui pipistrelli dell'Alto Adige (1993-2003).

Dati AVK - Arbeitsgemeinschaft Vogelkunde Südtirol (1993-2007).

Insubria DataBat, 2012. Data base chirotteri dell'Università degli Studi dell'Insubria aggiornato al 2012.

Spada M., Preatoni G., Tosi G., Martinoli A., 2010. Piano di monitoraggio dei Vertebrati terrestri di interesse comunitario (Direttive 79/409/CEE e 92/43/CEE) in Lombardia. Il monitoraggio dei Chirotteri. Fondazione Lombardia per l'Ambiente, Università degli Studi dell'Insubria.

Vigorita V., Cucè L., 2008. La fauna selvatica in Lombardia. Rapporto 2008 su distribuzione, abbondanza e stato di conservazione di uccelli e mammiferi. Regione Lombardia. Pp. 364.
Kryštufek B., Rešek Donev N., 2005. The Atlas of Slovenian Bats (Chiroptera). Scopolia, 55 (2005): 1-92.

Zagmajster M., Quadracci A., Filacorda S., in stampa. New records of bats in the Province of Trieste (Friuli Venezia Giulia Region), northeastern Italy. Boll. Mus. Civ. St. Nat. Trieste, 55.

Lapini L., Dall'Asta A., Dublo L., Spoto M., Venier E., 1996 (1995). Materiali per una teriofauna dell'Italia Nord - Orientale (Mammalia, Friuli-Venezia Giulia). Gortania 17: 149-248.

Regione Liguria, 2008, Carta della Biodiversità, www.ambienteinliguria.it

Ruffo S., Stoch F., 2005. Checklist e distribuzione della fauna italiana. Memorie del Museo Civico di storia naturale di Verona, 2.serie, Sezione scienze della Vita 16.

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

Toffoli R., 2012. I Chirotteri del Parco Naturale Alpi Marittime e del SIC/ZPS IT1160056: presenza e misure di conservazione. Regione Piemonte-Parco Naturale Alpi Marittime (rapporto interno).

Toffoli R., 2012. Studio su avifauna e chirotterofauna per progetto d'impianto eolico in località Monte Pennino, Colle di San Bernardo, Bric Verdiola (Garessio, CN) ai sensi dalla D.G.R. Regione Piemonte n. 20- 11717 del 6 luglio 2009. (Rapporto inedito).

Toffoli R., Culasso P., 2010. Utilizzo autunnale di siti sotterranei da parte della chirotterofauna in Piemonte e definizione del loro ruolo ecologico (Mammalia, Chiroptera). Riv. Piem. St. Nat., 31: 265-278.

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)	19500		
2.3.2 Method - Range surface area	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)		
2.3.3 Short-term trend period	2001-2012		
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction	stable (0)		
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude	min	max	
2.3.6 Long-term trend period			
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction	N/A		
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude	min	max	
2.3.9 Favourable reference range	area (km²)		
	operator	approximately equal to (≈)	
	unkown	No	
	method	Expert judgement	
2.3.10 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate dataUse of different method		

2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size (individuals or agreed exception)	Unit	N/A		
	min		max	
2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals)	Unit	number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10)		
	min	62	max	62
2.4.3 Additional information	Definition of locality			
	Conversion method			
	Problems	Impossible to convert grids into individuals		
2.4.4 Year or period	1985-2012			
2.4.5 Method – population size	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)			
2.4.6 Short-term trend period	2001-2012			
2.4.7 Short term trend direction	stable (0)			
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude	min	max	confidence interval	
2.4.9 Short-term trend method	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)			
2.4.10 Long-term trend period				
2.4.11 Long term trend direction	N/A			

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude	min	max	confidence interval
2.4.13 Long-term trend method	N/A		
2.4.14 Favourable reference population	number		
	operator	approximately equal to (≈)	
	unknown	No	
	method	Expert judgement	
2.4.15 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method		

2.5 Habitat for the Species

2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²)	
2.5.2 Year or period	
2.5.3 Method used - habitat	Absent data (0)
2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat	Good
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method	Expert based
2.5.5 Short term trend period	2001-2012
2.5.6 Short term trend direction	stable (0)
2.5.7 Long-term trend period	
2.5.8 Long term trend direction	N/A
2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)	
2.5.10 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

2.6 Main Pressures

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Forest and Plantation management & use (B02)	high importance (H)	N/A
speleology (G01.04.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
modification of cultivation practices (A02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	high importance (H)	N/A

2.6.1 Method used – pressures	based only on expert judgements (1)

2.7 Main Threats

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Forest and Plantation management & use (B02)	high importance (H)	N/A
speleology (G01.04.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
modification of cultivation practices (A02)	high importance (H)	N/A
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	high importance (H)	N/A
closures of caves or galleries (G05.08)	high importance (H)	N/A
demolishment of buildings & human structures (E06.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
reconstruction, renovation of buildings (E06.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A

2.7.1 Method used – threats	expert opinion (1)

2.8 Complementary Information

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends	
2.8.2 Other relevant Information	
2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment	

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range	assessment	Favourable (FV)
	qualifiers	N/A
2.9.2. Population	assessment	Favourable (FV)
	qualifiers	N/A
2.9.3. Habitat	assessment	Favourable (FV)
	qualifiers	N/A
2.9.4. Future prospects	assessment	Inadequate (U1)
	qualifiers	N/A
2.9.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status	Inadequate (U1)	
2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status	declining (-)	

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size	Unit	N/A	
	min		max
3.1.2 Method used	N/A		
3.1.3 Trend of population size within	N/A		

3.2 Conversation Measures