0.1 Member State	IT
0.2.1 Species code	1393
0.2.2 Species name	Drepanocladus vernicosus
0.2.3 Alternative species scientific name	N/A
0.2.4 Common name	N/A

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map	Yes
1.1.1a Sensitive species	No
1.1.2 Method used - map	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)
1.1.3 Year or period	2007-2012
1.1.4 Additional map	No
1.1.5 Range map	Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

Continental (CON)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Stefania Ercole and Valeria Giacanelli (Institute for Environmental Protection and Research - ISPRA).

ALEFFI M., TACCHI R., CORTINI PEDROTTI C., 2008 – Check-list of the Hornworst, Liverworts and Mosses of Italy. Bocconea, 22: 1-256.

CONTI F., MANZI A., PEDROTTI F., 1992 - Libro Rosso delle Piante d'Italia. WWF Italia. Roma. 637 pp.

CORTINI C., 2001 – Drepanocladus vernicosus. In: Pignatti S., Menegoni P., Giacanelli V. (eds.), Liste rosse e blu della flora italiana: 61. ANPA, Roma. CORTINI PEDROTTI C., 1992 - Check-list of the Mosses of Italy. Flora Mediterranea 11: 23-107.

CORTINI PEDROTTI C., 2001a - New check-list of the Mosses of Italy. Flora Mediterranea 2: 119-221.

CORTINI PEDROTTI C., 2001b - Flora dei muschi d'Italia. Antonio Delfino Editore. Roma.

SGUAZZIN F., 2011 - Check-list delle briofite del Friuli Venezia Giulia. Gortania 32: 17-114.

SOCIETÀ BOTANICA ITALIANA, 2012. Valutazione nazionale della categoria di rischio di estinzione per specie vegetali di pregio e di interesse conservazionistico. Ministero dell'Ambiente e della Tutela del Territorio e del Mare, Società Botanica Italiana (dati inediti).

2.3 Range

02/04/2014 09:46:05 Page 1 of 7

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²) 2.3.2 Method - Range surface area Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1) 2.3.3 Short-term trend period 2001-2012 2.3.4 Short-term trend direction unknown (x) 2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude min max 2.3.6 Long-term trend period 2.3.7 Long-term trend direction N/A 2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude min max 2.3.9 Favourable reference range area (km²) N/A operator unkown Yes method The range map is partial. Based on published data (Aleffi et al., 2008; Cortini C., 2001) the species should be present also in other localities, but no georeferred data are available. 2.3.10 Reason for change Use of different method 2.4 Population 2.4.1 Population size Unit N/A (individuals or agreed exception) min max 2.4.2 Population size Unit N/A (other than individuals) min max 2.4.3 Additional information Definition of locality Conversion method **Problems** 2.4.4 Year or period 2.4.5 Method – population size Absent data (0) 2.4.6 Short-term trend period 2.4.7 Short term trend direction unknown (x) 2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude max confidence interval 2.4.9 Short-term trend method Absent data (0) 2.4.10 Long-term trend period 2.4.11 Long term trend direction N/A 2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude confidence interval min max 2.4.13 Long-term trend method N/A 2.4.14 Favourable reference number population operator N/A unknown Yes method The distribution map is partial. Based on published data (Aleffi et al., 2008; Cortini C., 2001) the species should be present also in other localities, but no population data are available.

2.4.15 Reason for change

2.5 Habitat for the Species

2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²)

2.5.2 Year or period

2.5.3 Method used - habitat Absent data (0)
2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat Moderate

02/04/2014 09:46:05 Page 2 of 7

2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method	expert based
2.5.5 Short term trend period	
2.5.6 Short term trend direction	unknown (x)
2.5.7 Long-term trend period	
2.5.8 Long term trend direction	N/A
2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)	
2.5.10 Reason for change	

2.6 Main Pressures			
Pressure		ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
infilling of ditches, dykes, ponds, pool (J02.01.03)	s, marshes or pits	medium importance (M)	N/A
agricultural intensification (A02.01)		high importance (H)	N/A
2.6.1 Method used – pressures	based only on expe	rt judgements (1)	
2.7 Main Threats			
Threat		ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & brackish) (H01)	terrestrial, marine &	medium importance (M)	N/A
droughts and less precipitations (M01	02)	low importance (L)	N/A
walking, horseriding and non-motoris	ed vehicles (G01.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Canalisation & water deviation (J02.0	3)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Other human induced changes in hyd	raulic conditions (J02.1	5) medium importance (M)	N/A
2.7.1 Method used – threats	expert opinion (1)		

2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

Italian Red List (2012): DD

Unpublished source: SOCIETÀ BOTANICA ITALIANA, 2012. Valutazione nazionale della categoria di rischio di estinzione per specie vegetali di pregio e di interesse conservazionistico. Ministero dell'Ambiente e della Tutela del Territorio e del Mare, Società Botanica Italiana.

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range	assessment Unknown (XX) qualifiers N/A
2.9.2. Population	assessment Unknown (XX) qualifiers N/A
2.9.3. Habitat	assessment Unknown (XX) qualifiers N/A
2.9.4. Future prospects	assessment Unknown (XX) qualifiers N/A
2.9.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status	Unknown (XX)
2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status	N/A

02/04/2014 09:46:05 Page 3 of 7

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population						
3.1.1 Population Size		Unit min	N/A	max		
3.1.2 Method used		Absent	data (0)			
3.1.3 Trend of population	size within	N/A				
3.2 Conversation Measu	ıres					
3.2.1 Measure	3.2.2 Type		3.2.3 F	Ranking	3.2.4 Location	3.2.5 Broad Evaluation
No measures needed for the conservation of the habitat/species (1.1)			()			

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

Alpine (ALP)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Stefania Ercole and Valeria Giacanelli (Institute for Environmental Protection and Research - ISPRA).

ALEFFI M., TACCHI R., CORTINI PEDROTTI C., 2008 – Check-list of the Hornworst, Liverworts and Mosses of Italy. Bocconea, 22: 1-256.

CAPRA J., 1905 - Contribution à la Flore bryologique de la Vallée d'Aoste. Bull. Soc. Flore Vald., 3: 62.

CONTI F., MANZI A., PEDROTTI F., 1992 - Libro Rosso delle Piante d'Italia. WWF Italia. Roma. 637 pp.

CORTINI C., 2001 – Drepanocladus vernicosus. In: Pignatti S., Menegoni P., Giacanelli V. (eds.), Liste rosse e blu della flora italiana: 61. ANPA, Roma. CORTINI PEDROTTI C., 1992 - Check-list of the Mosses of Italy. Flora

Mediterranea 11: 23-107.

CORTINI PEDROTTI C., 2001a - New check-list of the Mosses of Italy. Flora

Mediterranea 2: 119-221.

CORTINI PEDROTTI C., 2001b - Flora dei muschi d'Italia. Antonio Delfino Editore. Roma.

JOSEF KIEM, 2002 - Zur Verbreitung von Feucht- und Nassmoosen in Südtirol (Bryophyta: Sphagnidae et Bryidae) Gredleriana (vol. 2) 233-252.

SGUAZZIN F., 2011 - Check-list delle briofite del Friuli Venezia Giulia. Gortania 32: 17-114.

SOCIETÀ BOTANICA ITALIANA, 2012. Valutazione nazionale della categoria di rischio di estinzione per specie vegetali di pregio e di interesse conservazionistico. Ministero dell'Ambiente e della Tutela del Territorio e del Mare, Società Botanica Italiana (dati inediti).

2.3 Range

02/04/2014 09:46:05 Page 4 of 7

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²) 2.3.2 Method - Range surface area Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1) 2.3.3 Short-term trend period 2001-2012 2.3.4 Short-term trend direction unknown (x) 2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude min max 2.3.6 Long-term trend period 2.3.7 Long-term trend direction N/A 2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude min max 2.3.9 Favourable reference range area (km²) N/A operator unkown Yes method The range map is partial. Based on published data (Aleffi et al., 2008; Cortini C., 2001) the species should be present also in other localities, but no georeferred data are available. 2.3.10 Reason for change Use of different method 2.4 Population 2.4.1 Population size Unit N/A (individuals or agreed exception) min max 2.4.2 Population size Unit N/A (other than individuals) min max 2.4.3 Additional information **Definition of locality** Conversion method **Problems** 2.4.4 Year or period 2.4.5 Method – population size Absent data (0) 2.4.6 Short-term trend period 2.4.7 Short term trend direction unknown (x) 2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude max confidence interval 2.4.9 Short-term trend method Absent data (0) 2.4.10 Long-term trend period 2.4.11 Long term trend direction N/A 2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude confidence interval min max 2.4.13 Long-term trend method N/A 2.4.14 Favourable reference number population operator N/A unknown Yes method The distribution map is partial. Based on published data (Aleffi et al.,

2.4.15 Reason for change

2.5 Habitat for the Species

2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²)

2.5.2 Year or period

2.5.3 Method used - habitat Absent data (0)
2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat Moderate

02/04/2014 09:46:06 Page 5 of 7

2008; Cortini C., 2001) the species should be present also in other

localities, but no population data are available.

2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method	expert based
2.5.5 Short term trend period	2001-2012
2.5.6 Short term trend direction	unknown (x)
2.5.7 Long-term trend period	
2.5.8 Long term trend direction	N/A
2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)	

2.6 Main Pressures		\ /I - :	Ducasina
	ו ט.ג	viain	Pressures

2.5.10 Reason for change

ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
medium importance (M)	N/A
	medium importance (M) medium importance (M) medium importance (M) medium importance (M)

2.6.1 Method used – pressures based only on expert judgements (1)

2.7 Main Threats

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
diffuse pollution to surface waters due to agricultural and forestry activities (H01.05)	medium importance (M)	N/A
intensive grazing (A04.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
human induced changes in hydraulic conditions (J02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Biocenotic evolution, succession (KO2)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Trampling, overuse (G05.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A

2.7.1 Method used – threats expert opinion (1)

2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

Italian Red List (2012): DD

Unpublished source: SOCIETÀ BOTANICA ITALIANA, 2012. Valutazione nazionale della categoria di rischio di estinzione per specie vegetali di pregio e di interesse conservazionistico. Ministero dell'Ambiente e della Tutela del Territorio e del Mare, Società Botanica Italiana.

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.3 Concidations (433C33ment of Co	inscribin status at cha or
2.9.1 Range	assessment Unknown (XX) qualifiers N/A
2.9.2. Population	assessment Unknown (XX) qualifiers N/A
2.9.3. Habitat	assessment Unknown (XX) qualifiers N/A

02/04/2014 09:46:06 Page 6 of 7

2.9.4. Future prospects

2.9.5 Overall assessment of
Conservation Status

2.9.5 Overall trend in
Conservation Status

assessment Unknown (XX) qualifiers N/A Unknown (XX)

N/A

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

Legal protection of habitats and species (6.3)	Legal		medium importance (M)	Both	Not evaluated
3.2.1 Measure	3.2.2 Type		3.2.3 Ranking	3.2.4 Location	3.2.5 Broad Evaluation
3.2 Conversation Measu	ires				
3.1.3 Trend of population s	size within	N/A	. ,		
3.1.2 Method used		Absent d	ata (0)		
3.1.11 Opulation Size		min	max		
3.1.1 Population Size		Unit	N/A		
3.1 Population					

02/04/2014 09:46:06 Page 7 of 7

Notes

	us vernicosus (1393)	
Field label	Note	User
1.1.1 Distribution Map	The distribution map is partial and refers only to data provided by some Regions. Data sources: PROV. AUTONOMA DI BOLZANO, REGIONI: VALLE D'AOSTA, FRIULI VENEZIA GIULIA, (Raccolta dati per articolo 17, 2012). The species is present also in Lombardia and Liguria (REG ALP) and Emilia Romagna (REG CON). Data sources: CORTINI C., 2001 – Drepanocladus vernicosus. In: Pignatti S., Menegoni P., Giacanelli V. (eds.), Liste rosse e blu della flora italiana: 61. ANPA, Roma.	ISPRA_F LORA
Spacies name: Dranapaclad	(4000) - (4000)	
Species name. Diepanociau	us vernicosus (1393) Region code: ALP	
Field label	Note	User
•		User ISPRA_F LORA
Field label 2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)	Note The range map is partial. Based on published data (Aleffi et al., 2008; Cortini C., 2001) the species should be present also in other localities, but no georeferred	ISPRA_F
Field label 2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)	Note The range map is partial. Based on published data (Aleffi et al., 2008; Cortini C., 2001) the species should be present also in other localities, but no georeferred data are available.	ISPRA_F

02/04/2014 09:46:17 Page 1 of 1