0.1 Member State	п
0.2.1 Species code	1067
0.2.2 Species name	Lopinga achine
0.2.3 Alternative species scientific name	Lasiommata achine
0.2.4 Common name	N/A

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map
Yes
1.1.1a Sensitive species
No
1.1.2 Method used - map
Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2007-2012
1.1.4 Additional map
No
1.1.5 Range map
Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

Alpine (ALP)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Fabio Stoch (on behalf of the Comitato Scientifico per la Fauna d'Italia) and Anna Alonzi, Piero Genovesi, Francesca Ronchi (ISPRA). Information, unpublished data and expert judgements have been provided by Emilio Balletto and Simona Bonelli (Torino).

Ruffo S., Stoch F. (eds.), 2006 - Checklist and distribuito of the Italian fauna. 10,000 terrestri and inland waters species. Memorie del Museo Civico di Storia

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)
2.3.2 Method - Range surface area
2.3.3 Short-term trend period
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude
2.3.6 Long-term trend period
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude
2.3.9 Favourable reference range

16500

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2001-2012 stable (0)

min max

N/A

min max

area (km²)

operator approximately equal to (≈)

unkown No

method Expert opinion

2.3.10 Reason for change Improved knowledge/more accurate dataUse of different method

2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size (individuals or agreed exception)

Unit N/A

min max

2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals)

Unit number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10)

min 66 max 66

2.4.3 Additional information Definition of locality

08/04/2014 16.14.08 Page 1 of 5

2.4.3 Additional information	Definition of locality	/	
	Conversion method	not available	
	Problems	it is impossible to	convert grids into individuals
2.4.4 Year or period	2007-2012		
2.4.5 Method – population size	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)		
2.4.6 Short-term trend period	2001-2012		
2.4.7 Short term trend direction	stable (0)		
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude 2.4.9 Short-term trend method	min	max	confidence interval extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.4.10 Long-term trend period	Estillate based off	partial data with some	extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.4.11 Long term trend direction	N/A		
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude	min	max	confidence interval
2.4.13 Long-term trend method	N/A		
2.4.14 Favourable reference	number		
population		kimately equal to (≈)	
	unknown No	aninian	
2.4.15 December shangs	method Expert	opinion	
2.4.15 Reason for change			
2.5 Habitat for the Species			
2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²) 2.5.2 Year or period			
2.5.3 Method used - habitat	Absent data (0)		
2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat	Good		
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method	Expert opinion		
2.5.5 Short term trend period	2001-2012		
2.5.6 Short term trend direction	stable (0)		
2.5.7 Long-term trend period			
2.5.8 Long term trend direction	N/A		
2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²) 2.5.10 Reason for change	Improved knowled	ge/more accurate data	Use of different method
2.3.10 Reason for change	improved knowled	ge/more accurate data	ose of different method
2.6 Main Pressures			
Pressure		ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
grazing in forests/ woodland (B06)		low importance (L)	N/A
2.6.1 Method used – pressures	mainly based on expert judgement and other data (2)		
2.7 Main Threats			
Threat		ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
grazing in forests/ woodland (B06)		low importance (L)	N/A
2.7.1 Method used – threats	expert opinion (1)		
2.8 Complementary Information			
2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for crends			
2.8.2 Other relevant Information			
2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment			

08/04/2014 16.14.09 Page 2 of 5

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range

2.9.2. Population

2.9.3. Habitat

2.9.4. Future prospects

2.9.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status

2.9.5 Overall trend in

Conservation Status

assessment Favourable (FV)

qualifiers N/A

Favourable (FV)

N/A

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size

Unit N/A

min

max

3.1.2 Method used

N/A

3.1.3 Trend of population size within

N/A

3.2 Conversation Measures

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

Continental (CON)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Fabio Stoch (on behalf of the Comitato Scientifico per la Fauna d'Italia) and Anna Alonzi, Piero Genovesi, Francesca Ronchi (ISPRA). Information, unpublished data and expert judgements have been provided by Emilio Balletto and Simona Bonelli (Torino).

Ruffo S., Stoch F. (eds.), 2006 - Checklist and distribuito of the Italian fauna. 10,000 terrestri and inland waters species. Memorie del Museo Civico di Storia

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)

2.3.2 Method - Range surface area

2.3.3 Short-term trend period

2.3.4 Short-term trend direction

2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude

2.3.6 Long-term trend period

2.3.7 Long-term trend direction

2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude

2.3.9 Favourable reference range

4900

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2001-2012

decrease (-)

min max

N/A

min max

area (km²)

operator more than (>)

08/04/2014 16.14.09 Page 3 of 5

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	operator	more than (>)		
	unkown	No		
	method	Expert opinion		
2.3.10 Reason for change	10 Reason for change Improved knowledge/more accurate dataUse of different method			
2.4 Population				
2.4.1 Population size	Unit N/A			
(individuals or agreed exception)	min	max		
2.4.2 Population size	Unit number of	map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10)		
(other than individuals)	min 22	max 22		
2.4.3 Additional information	Definition of locality			
	Conversion method	not available		
	Problems	it is impossible to convert grids into indiv	viduals	
2.4.4 Year or period	2007-2012	-		
2.4.5 Method – population size	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)			
2.4.6 Short-term trend period	2001-2012			
2.4.7 Short term trend direction	stable (0)			
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude	min	max confidence interval		
2.4.9 Short-term trend method	Estimate based on p	artial data with some extrapolation and/or m	odelling (2)	
2.4.10 Long-term trend period				
2.4.11 Long term trend direction	N/A			
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude	min N/A	max confidence interval		
2.4.13 Long-term trend method 2.4.14 Favourable reference	N/A number			
population		nore than (>>)		
population	unknown No	note than (>>)		
		opinion		
2.4.15 Reason for change				
2.5 Habitat for the Species				
2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²)				
2.5.2 Year or period				
2.5.3 Method used - habitat	Absent data (0)			
2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat	Good			
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method	expert opinion			
2.5.5 Short term trend period	2001-2012			
2.5.6 Short term trend direction	stable (0)			
2.5.7 Long-term trend period	21/2			
2.5.8 Long term trend direction	N/A			
2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)	Improved knowledge	a/mara accurate data Usa of different matha	d	
2.5.10 Reason for change	improved knowleds	e/more accurate data Use of different metho	u	

thinning of tree layer (B02.06) medium importance (M) N/A

ranking

pollution qualifier(s)

N/A

2.6 Main Pressures

grazing in forests/ woodland (B06)

Pressure

08/04/2014 16.14.09 Page 4 of 5

medium importance (M)

2.6.1 Method used – pressures	mainly based on expe	ert judgement and other data (2	2)
2.7 Main Threats			
Threat		ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
thinning of tree layer (B02.06)		medium importance (M)	N/A
grazing in forests/ woodland (B06)		medium importance (M)	N/A
2.7.1 Method used – threats	expert opinion (1)		
2.8 Complementary Information			
2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends			
2.8.2 Other relevant Information	distributional area. In	t in Italy at the extreme south- the Padanian Plain North of Po e more than 12 years ago.	
2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment			
2.9 Conclusions (assessment of con	servation status at er	nd of reporting period)	
2.9.1 Range	assessment Bad (U2) qualifiers N/A		
2.9.2. Population	assessment Bad (U2) qualifiers N/A		
2.9.3. Habitat	assessment Favoural qualifiers N/A	ole (FV)	
2.9.4. Future prospects	assessment Inadequa		
2.9.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status	Bad (U2)	, , ,	
2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status	declining (-)		
3. Natura 2000 coverage a	nd conservation	measures - Annex II	species
3.1 Population			

3.1.1 Population Size	Unit N/A	
	min	max
3.1.2 Method used	N/A	
3.1.3 Trend of population size within	N/A	

3.2 Conversation Measures

08/04/2014 16.14.09 Page 5 of 5