Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

0.1 Member State	п
0.2.1 Species code	1073
0.2.2 Species name	Erebia christi
0.2.3 Alternative species scientific name	N/A
0.2.4 Common name	N/A

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map	Yes
1.1.1a Sensitive species	No
1.1.2 Method used - map	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
1.1.3 Year or period	2007-2012
1.1.4 Additional map	No
1.1.5 Range map	Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

Alpine (ALP)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Fabio Stoch (on behalf of the Comitato Scientifico per la Fauna d'Italia) and Anna Alonzi, Piero Genovesi, Francesca Ronchi (ISPRA). Information, unpublished data and expert judgements have been provided by Emilio Balletto and Simona Bonelli (Torino).

Balletto E., Bonelli S., Palmi P., 2005. Monitoraggio di Erebia christi Rätzer, 1890 Nel SIC e ZPS (It 1140016) 'Alpi Veglia e Devero' e aree limitrofe. Progetto Life-

2.3 Range

 2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²) 2.3.2 Method - Range surface area 2.3.3 Short-term trend period 2.3.4 Short-term trend direction 	500 Estimate based or 2001-2012 stable (0)	n partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude 2.3.6 Long-term trend period	min	max
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction	N/A	
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude2.3.9 Favourable reference range	min area (km²)	max
	operator unkown	approximately equal to (≈) No
	method	expert opinion
2.3.10 Reason for change	Improved knowle	dge/more accurate dataUse of different method

2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size	Unit	number	of individua	individuals (i)	
(individuals or agreed exception)	min	50	max	300	
2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals)	Unit min	N/A	max		
2.4.3 Additional information	Definit	ion of loca	lity		

08/04/2014 13.32.37 Page 1 of 3

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

2.4.3 Additional information	Definition o Conversion Problems	•			
2.4.4 Year or period 2.4.5 Method – population size 2.4.6 Short-term trend period 2.4.7 Short term trend direction 2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude 2.4.9 Short-term trend method 2.4.10 Long-term trend period	2007-2012 Estimate ba 2001-2012 decrease (-)		artial data with some ext max mplete survey or a statis	confider	nce interval
2.4.11 Long term trend direction 2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude 2.4.13 Long-term trend method 2.4.14 Favourable reference population	N/A min N/A number operator unknown	2000 N/A No	max	confider	nce interval
	method	Expert	opinion		
2.4.15 Reason for change					
2.5 Habitat for the Species					
2.5.2 Year or period 2.5.3 Method used - habitat 2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat 2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method 2.5.5 Short term trend period 2.5.6 Short term trend direction 2.5.7 Long-term trend period 2.5.8 Long term trend direction 2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²) 2.5.10 Reason for change	Absent data Good Expert opin 2001-2012 stable (0) N/A Genuine Im	ion	knowledge/more accurat	e data U	se of different method
2.6 Main Pressures					
Pressure			ranking		pollution qualifier(s)
collection of animals (insects, reptiles, (F03.02.01)	amphibians	.)	medium importance (I	M)	N/A
temperature changes (e.g. rise of temp (M01.01)	erature & ext	remes)	medium importance (I	M)	N/A
2.6.1 Method used – pressures	mainly base	ed on exp	pert judgement and othe	r data (2)
2.7 Main Threats					
Threat			ranking		pollution qualifier(s)
collection of animals (insects, reptiles, (F03.02.01)	amphibians	.)	low importance (L)		N/A
temperature changes (e.g. rise of temp (M01.01)	erature & ext	remes)	medium importance (I	M)	N/A
2.7.1 Method used – threats	expert opin	ion (1)			
2.7.1 Method used – threats	0.16 0.0 0 6.11	(–)			

08/04/2014 13.32.37 Page 2 of 3

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

The reasons for the decline of the species in Italy are still poorly known; maybe this eualpine species is threatened by global change requiring snow and low winter tempertures for overwintering caterpillars. The popultion of Lake Agaro are not yet included in a SCI.

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range assessment Favourable (FV)

qualifiers N/A

2.9.2. Population assessment Inadequate (U1)

qualifiers declining (-)

assessment Favourable (FV)

qualifiers N/A

assessment Inadequate (U1)

qualifiers unknown (x)

Inadequate (U1)

declining (-)

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

2.9.3. Habitat

2.9.4. Future prospects

Conservation Status
2.9.5 Overall trend in

Conservation Status

2.9.5 Overall assessment of

3.1.1 Population Size Unit N/A

min max

3.1.2 Method used Absent data (0)

3.1.3 Trend of population size within N/A

3.2 Conversation Measures

3.2.1 Measure 3.2.2 Type 3.2.3 Ranking 3.2.4 Location 3.2.5 Broad Evaluation

No measure known/ ()

impossible to carry out specific measures (1.3)

08/04/2014 13.32.37 Page 3 of 3