0.1 Member State	IT
0.2.1 Species code	1154
0.2.2 Species name	Pomatoschistus canestrinii
0.2.3 Alternative species scientific name	N/A
0.2.4 Common name	ghiozzetto cenerino

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map
Yes
1.1.1a Sensitive species
No
1.1.2 Method used - map
Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
1.1.3 Year or period
1.1.4 Additional map
No
1.1.5 Range map
Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

Continental (CON)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Alessandra Ippoliti, Andrea Sibilia (Associazione Italiana Ittiologi Acque dolci - AIIAD) and Anna Alonzi, Piero Genovesi, Francesca Ronchi (Institute for Environmental Protection and Research - ISPRA). Information, unpublished data and experts' judgments have been provided by Francesco Nonnis Marzano, Massimo Lorenzoni, Giuseppe Maio, Massimo Pascale, Armando Piccinini, Elisabetta Pizzul, Cesare M. Puzzi, Lorenzo Tancioni, Paolo Turin (AIIAD).

Dataset ETP 1988-2012. Regione Friuli Venezia Giulia;

Gandolfi G, Torricelli P., Cau A., 1982. Osservazioni sulla biologia del ghiozzetto cinerino Pomatoschistus canestrini (Ninni) (Osteichthyes, Gobidae). Nova Thalassia, 5: 97-123;

Gandolfi G., 1973. Primi dati sul popolamento ittico nelle acque interne del Delta padano. Acta Naturalia, 9 (4): 409-417;

Lucia Ghetti, Antonella Carosi, Massimo Lorenzoni, Giovanni Pedicillo, Romano Dolciami, 2007. L'introduzione delle specie esotiche nelle acque dolci. Il caso del carassio dorato nel Lago Trasimeno. Litograf Editore, 2007;

Mappatura effettuata mediante GIS attraverso la georeferenziazione su griglia UE 10 km delle segnalazioni archiviate sulla Banca Dati Regionale (aggiornamento al 2010);

Marconato E., Maio G., Salviati S., 2000. La fauna ittica della Provincia di Venezia. Provincia di Venezia, Ass. Caccia, Pesca e Polizia Provinciale, 176 pp.

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)
2.3.2 Method - Range surface area
2.3.3 Short-term trend period
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude
2.3.6 Long-term trend period
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude

3500

Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)

2001-2012

stable (0)

min max

1989-2012

stable (0)

min max

09/04/2014 15.21.05 Page 1 of 4

2.3.9 Favourable reference range	area (km² operator unkown method)	No	ximately ed	qual to (≈)
2.3.10 Reason for change	Improved	knowledg	ge/more a	accurate da	ataUse of different method
2.4 Population					
2.4.1 Population size	Unit N	/A			
(individuals or agreed exception)	min		max		
2.4.2 Population size	Unit n	umber of	map 10x	10 km grid	cells (grids10x10)
(other than individuals)	min 1	6	max	16	
2.4.3 Additional information	Definition	of locality			
	Conversion	•		available	
	Problems				le to convert grids into individuals
2.4.4 Year or period	1988-2012		11.3	110t p0331b	ie to convert grids into individuals
2.4.5 Method – population size			xpert on	inion with i	no or minimal sampling (1)
2.4.6 Short-term trend period	2001-2012				(-/
2.4.7 Short term trend direction	unknown				
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude	min				confidence interval
2.4.9 Short-term trend method	Absent da				
2.4.10 Long-term trend period	1989-2012				
2.4.11 Long term trend direction	unknown	(x)			
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude	min		max		confidence interval
2.4.13 Long-term trend method	Absent da	:a (0)			
2.4.14 Favourable reference population	number	N/A			
population	operator unknown	Yes			
	method		opinion		
2.4.15 Reason for change	Use of diff	•	•		
2.5 Habitat for the Species					
2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²)					
2.5.2 Year or period					
2.5.3 Method used - habitat	Absent da	ta (0)			
2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat	Moderate				
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method	Expert opi	nion			
2.5.5 Short term trend period	2001-2012	2			
2.5.6 Short term trend direction	stable (0)				
2.5.7 Long-term trend period	1989-2012	2			
2.5.8 Long term trend direction	stable (0)				
2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)			,		

2.6 Main Pressures

2.5.10 Reason for change

09/04/2014 15.21.05 Page 2 of 4

Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Other ecosystem modifications (J03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
antagonism arising from introduction of species (K03.05)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Fishing and harvesting aquatic resources (F02)	high importance (H)	N/A
Altered water quality due anthropogenic changes in salinity (J02.14)	low importance (L)	N/A
2.6.1 Method used – pressures mainly based on eve	pert judgement and other data	(2)

2.6.1 Method used – pressures mainly based on expert judgement and other data (2)

2.7 Main Threats

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Other ecosystem modifications (J03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
antagonism arising from introduction of species (K03.05)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Fishing and harvesting aquatic resources (F02)	high importance (H)	N/A
Altered water quality due anthropogenic changes in salinity (J02.14)	low importance (L)	N/A

2.7.1 Method used – threats expert opinion (1)

2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range

assessment Favourable (FV)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.2. Population

assessment Unknown (XX)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.3. Habitat

assessment Favourable (FV)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.4. Future prospects

assessment Favourable (FV)

qualifiers N/A
2.9.5 Overall assessment of Favourable (FV)

Conservation Status
2.9.5 Overall trend in

Conservation Status

N/A

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size Unit N/A

09/04/2014 15.21.05 Page 3 of 4

min max

3.1.2 Method used		Absent data	a (0)		
3.1.3 Trend of population size	ze within	N/A			
3.2 Conversation Measur	es				
3.2.1 Measure	3.2.2 Type		3.2.3 Ranking	3.2.4 Location	3.2.5 Broad Evaluation
Restoring/improving water quality (4.1)	Administrat Recurrent	ive	low importance (L)	Both	Not evaluated
Regulation/ Management of hunting and taking (7.1)	Administrat Recurrent	ive	low importance (L)	Both	Not evaluated
Regulating/Management exploitation of natural	Administrati Recurrent	ive	low importance (L)	Both	Not evaluated

09/04/2014 15.21.05 Page 4 of 4