0.1 Member State	IT
0.2.1 Species code	1077
0.2.2 Species name	Hyles hippophaes
0.2.3 Alternative species	N/A
scientific name	
0.2.4 Common name	Sfinge dell'olivello spinoso

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map	Yes
1.1.1a Sensitive species	No
1.1.2 Method used - map	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
1.1.3 Year or period	2007-2012
1.1.4 Additional map	No
1.1.5 Range map	Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

Continental (CON)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Fabio Stoch (on behalf of the Comitato Scientifico per la Fauna d'Italia) and Anna Alonzi, Piero Genovesi, Francesca Ronchi (ISPRA). Information, unpublished data and expert judgements have been provided by Alberto Zilli (Rome).

Parenzan P., Porcelli F., 2006. I Macrolepidotteri italiani. Phytophaga, 15 (CD-Rom): 1-1051.

2.3 Range

 2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²) 2.3.2 Method - Range surface area 2.3.3 Short-term trend period 2.3.4 Short-term trend direction 	1700 Estimate based on e 2001-2012 decrease (-)	xpert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)	
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude	min	max	
2.3.6 Long-term trend period			
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction	N/A		
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude	min	max	
2.3.9 Favourable reference range	area (km²)		
	operator	much more than (>>)	
	unkown	No	
	method	Expert opinion	
2.3.10 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data		

2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size	Unit	N/A	
(individuals or agreed exception)	min		max
2.4.2 Population size	Unit	number of	localities (localities)
(other than individuals)	min	3	max 6
2.4.3 Additional information	Definiti	on of locality	Site where a population was recorded
	Conver	sion method	not available

08/04/2014 16.11.05 Page 1 of 6

	Problems	it is imposs	sible to convert locality into individuals
2.4.4 Year or period	2007-2012		
2.4.5 Method – population size	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)		
2.4.6 Short-term trend period	2001-2012		
2.4.7 Short term trend direction	decrease (-)	
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude	min	max	confidence interval
2.4.9 Short-term trend method	Estimate ba	ased on expert opinion w	ith no or minimal sampling (1)
2.4.10 Long-term trend period			
2.4.11 Long term trend direction	N/A		
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude	min	max	confidence interval
2.4.13 Long-term trend method	N/A		
2.4.14 Favourable reference	number		
population	operator	much more than (>>)	
	unknown	No	
	method	Expert opinion	

2.4.15 Reason for change

2.5 Habitat for the Species	
2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²)	
2.5.2 Year or period	
2.5.3 Method used - habitat	Absent data (0)
2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat	Moderate
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method	Expert opinion
2.5.5 Short term trend period	2001-2012
2.5.6 Short term trend direction	decrease (-)
2.5.7 Long-term trend period	
2.5.8 Long term trend direction	N/A
2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)	
2.5.10 Reason for change	Genuine

2.6 Main Pressures

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
removal of hedges and copses or scrub (A10.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
removal of stone walls and embankments (A10.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
sand and gravel quarries (C01.01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
roads, motorways (D01.02)	high importance (H)	N/A
large scale water deviation (J02.03.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
canalisation (J02.03.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
anthropogenic reduction of habitat connectivity (J03.02)	high importance (H)	N/A
modifying structures of inland water courses (J02.05.02)	high importance (H)	N/A
railway lines, TGV (D01.04)	medium importance (M)	N/A
pipe lines (D02.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
temperature changes (e.g. rise of temperature & extremes) (M01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
droughts and less precipitations (M01.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A

08/04/2014 16.11.05 Page 2 of 6

2.6.1 Method used – pressures	based only on exper	rt judgements (1)	
2.7 Main Threats			
Threat		ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
removal of hedges and copses or scrub (A10.01)		medium importance (M)	N/A
removal of stone walls and embankments (A10.02)		medium importance (M)	N/A
sand and gravel quarries (C01.01.01)		medium importance (M)	N/A
roads, motorways (D01.02)		high importance (H)	N/A
large scale water deviation (J02.03.0	1)	high importance (H)	N/A
canalisation (J02.03.02)		high importance (H)	N/A
anthropogenic reduction of habitat connectivity (J03.02)		high importance (H)	N/A
modifying structures of inland water	courses (J02.05.02)	high importance (H)	N/A
2.7.1 Method used – threats	expert opinion (1)		

2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range assessment Bad (U2) qualifiers N/A 2.9.2. Population assessment Bad (U2) qualifiers N/A 2.9.3. Habitat assessment Inadequate (U1) qualifiers declining (-) 2.9.4. Future prospects assessment Bad (U2) qualifiers N/A 2.9.5 Overall assessment of Bad (U2)

Conservation Status

2.9.5 Overall trend in **Conservation Status**

declining (-)

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size Unit N/A min

3.1.2 Method used N/A

3.1.3 Trend of population size within N/A

3.2 Conversation Measures

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region Alpine (ALP)

> 08/04/2014 16.11.05 Page 3 of 6

max

2.2 Published sources

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Fabio Stoch (on behalf ot the Comitato Scientifico per la Fauna d'Italia) and Anna Alonzi, Piero Genovesi, Francesca Ronchi (ISPRA). Information, unpublished data and expert judgements have been provided by Alberto Zilli (Rome).

Parenzan P., Porcelli F., 2006. I Macrolepidotteri italiani. Phytophaga, 15 (CD-Rom): 1-1051.

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²) 2.3.2 Method - Range surface area

2.3.3 Short-term trend period 2.3.4 Short-term trend direction

2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude

2.3.6 Long-term trend period

2.3.7 Long-term trend direction

2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude

2.3.9 Favourable reference range

2000

Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)

2001-2012 decrease (-)

min max

N/A

min max

area (km²)

operator more than (>)

unkown No

method **Expert opinion**

2.3.10 Reason for change

Improved knowledge/more accurate data

2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size

(individuals or agreed exception)

2.4.2 Population size

(other than individuals)

Unit N/A

min max

Unit number of localities (localities)

10 15 min max

2.4.3 Additional information **Definition of locality**

Site where a population was recorded

Conversion method not available

Problems it is impossible to convert grids into individuals

2.4.4 Year or period

2.4.5 Method – population size

2.4.6 Short-term trend period

2.4.7 Short term trend direction

2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude

2.4.9 Short-term trend method

2.4.10 Long-term trend period

2.4.11 Long term trend direction

2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude

2.4.13 Long-term trend method

2.4.14 Favourable reference

population

2007-2012

Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)

2001-2012

decrease (-)

min max confidence interval

Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)

N/A

min

max

confidence interval

N/A

number

operator more than (>)

unknown No

Expert opinion method

2.4.15 Reason for change

2.5 Habitat for the Species

16.11.05 08/04/2014 Page 4 of 6

2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²)	
2.5.2 Year or period	
2.5.3 Method used - habitat	Absent data (0)
2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat	Moderate
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method	Expert opinion
2.5.5 Short term trend period	2001-2012
2.5.6 Short term trend direction	decrease (-)
2.5.7 Long-term trend period	
2.5.8 Long term trend direction	N/A
2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)	
2.5.10 Reason for change	Genuine

2.6 Main Pressures			
Pressure		ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
removal of hedges and copses or scrub (A10.	.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
removal of stone walls and embankments (A	10.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
sand and gravel quarries (C01.01.01)		medium importance (M)	N/A
roads, motorways (D01.02)		medium importance (M)	N/A
large scale water deviation (J02.03.01)		high importance (H)	N/A
canalisation (J02.03.02)		high importance (H)	N/A
modifying structures of inland water courses (J02.05.02)		high importance (H)	N/A
anthropogenic reduction of habitat connectivity (J03.02)		high importance (H)	N/A
railway lines, TGV (D01.04)		medium importance (M)	N/A
pipe lines (D02.02)		medium importance (M)	N/A
2.6.1 Method used – pressures bas	sed only on expe	ert judgements (1)	

2.7 Main Threats		
Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
removal of hedges and copses or scrub (A10.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
removal of stone walls and embankments (A10.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
sand and gravel quarries (C01.01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
roads, motorways (D01.02)	high importance (H)	N/A
large scale water deviation (J02.03.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
canalisation (J02.03.02)	high importance (H)	N/A

anthropogenic reduction of habitat connectivity (J03.02) high importance (H)

2.7.1 Method used – threats expert opinion (1)

2.8 Complementary Information

modifying structures of inland water courses (J02.05.02)

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends2.8.2 Other relevant Information

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

08/04/2014 16.11.05 Page 5 of 6

high importance (H)

N/A

N/A

2.9.1 Range	assessment Inadequate (U1) qualifiers declining (-)
2.9.2. Population	assessment Inadequate (U1) qualifiers declining (-)
2.9.3. Habitat	assessment Inadequate (U1) qualifiers declining (-)
2.9.4. Future prospects	assessment Inadequate (U1) qualifiers declining (-)
2.9.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status	Inadequate (U1)
2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status	declining (-)

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population			
3.1.1 Population Size	Unit min	N/A	max
3.1.2 Method used3.1.3 Trend of population size within	N/A N/A		
3.2 Conversation Measures			

08/04/2014 16.11.05 Page 6 of 6