

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

0.1 Member State	IT
0.2.1 Species code	1093
0.2.2 Species name	Austropotamobius torrentium
0.2.3 Alternative species scientific name	N/A
0.2.4 Common name	N/A

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map	Yes
1.1.1a Sensitive species	No
1.1.2 Method used - map	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
1.1.3 Year or period	2007-2012
1.1.4 Additional map	No
1.1.5 Range map	Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

Alpine (ALP)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Fabio Stoch (on behalf of the Comitato Scientifico per la Fauna d'Italia) and Anna Alonzi, Piero Genovesi, Francesca Ronchi (ISPRA). Information, unpublished data and expert judgements have been provided by Fabio Stoch (Rome).

De Luise G., 2010. I crostacei decapodi di acqua dolce in Friuli Venezia Giulia. Recenti acquisizioni sul comportamento e sulla distribuzione nelle acque dolci della Regione. Venti anni di studi e ricerche. Ente Tutela Pesca, Regione Autonoma Friuli Venezia Giulia, 94 pp.

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)	100
2.3.2 Method - Range surface area	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.3.3 Short-term trend period	2001-2012
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction	stable (0)
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.6 Long-term trend period	
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction	N/A
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.9 Favourable reference range	area (km²) operator approximately equal to (≈) unkown No method Expert opinion
2.3.10 Reason for change	Use of different method

2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size (individuals or agreed exception)	Unit	N/A
	min	max
2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals)	Unit	number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10)
	min	1 max 1

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

2.4.3 Additional information

Definition of locality

Conversion method

Problems

2.4.4 Year or period

2007-2012

2.4.5 Method – population size

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2.4.6 Short-term trend period

2001-2012

2.4.7 Short term trend direction

unknown (x)

2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude

min max confidence interval

2.4.9 Short-term trend method

Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)

2.4.10 Long-term trend period

2.4.11 Long term trend direction

N/A

2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude

min max confidence interval

2.4.13 Long-term trend method

N/A

2.4.14 Favourable reference population

number

operator more than (>)

unknown No

method Expert opinion; reported in literature

2.4.15 Reason for change

2.5 Habitat for the Species

2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²)

2.5.2 Year or period

2.5.3 Method used - habitat

Absent data (0)

2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat

Good

2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method

Expert opinion

2.5.5 Short term trend period

2001-2012

2.5.6 Short term trend direction

stable (0)

2.5.7 Long-term trend period

2.5.8 Long term trend direction

N/A

2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)

2.5.10 Reason for change

Improved knowledge/more accurate data

2.6 Main Pressures

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Leisure fishing (F02.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
diffuse pollution to surface waters due to agricultural and forestry activities (H01.05)	high importance (H)	Nitrogen input (N)
invasive non-native species (I01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Canalisation & water deviation (J02.03)	high importance (H)	N/A

2.6.1 Method used – pressures

based only on expert judgements (1)

2.7 Main Threats

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Flooding modifications (J02.04)	high importance (H)	N/A
modifying structures of inland water courses (J02.05.02)	high importance (H)	N/A
small hydropower projects, weirs (J02.05.05)	high importance (H)	N/A

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

problematic native species (I02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Hunting, fishing or collecting activities not referred to above (F06)	medium importance (M)	N/A

2.7.1 Method used – threats expert opinion (1)

2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

The species present in Italy only in water courses drained by the Daubian Basin; however the extension of the basin in Italy is quite large, but few populations are known up to now. It is mainly threatened by introduction of brown trout and possibilities of introduction of other, non-indigenous crayfishes.

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range assessment Inadequate (U1)
qualifiers stable (=)

2.9.2. Population assessment Bad (U2)
qualifiers unknown (x)

2.9.3. Habitat assessment Favourable (FV)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.4. Future prospects assessment Inadequate (U1)
qualifiers declining (-)

2.9.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status Bad (U2)

2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status declining (-)

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size Unit N/A
min max

3.1.2 Method used Absent data (0)

3.1.3 Trend of population size within N/A

3.2 Conversation Measures

3.2.1 Measure	3.2.2 Type	3.2.3 Ranking	3.2.4 Location	3.2.5 Broad Evaluation
Legal protection of habitats and species (6.3)	Legal	high importance (H)	Both	Long term Unknown