0.1 Member State	IT
0.2.1 Species code	4026
0.2.2 Species name	Rhysodes sulcatus
0.2.3 Alternative species scientific name	N/A
0.2.4 Common name	N/A

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map
Yes
1.1.1a Sensitive species
No
1.1.2 Method used - map
Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)
2007-2012
1.1.4 Additional map
No
1.1.5 Range map
Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

Mediterranean (MED)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Fabio Stoch (on behalf of the Comitato Scientifico per la Fauna d'Italia) and Anna Alonzi, Piero Genovesi, Francesca Ronchi (ISPRA). Information, unpublished data and expert judgements have been provided by Augusto Vigna Taglianti and Paolo Audisio (Rome).

Campanaro A., Bardiani M., Spada L., Carnevali L., Montalto F., Antonini G., Mason F., Audisio P., 2011. Linee Guida per il monitoraggio e la conservazione dell'entomofauna saproxilica/ Guidelines for monitoring and conservation of saproxylic insects. Cierre Grafica, Verona, 8 pp. + CD-ROM.

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)
2.3.2 Method - Range surface area
2.3.3 Short-term trend period
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude
2.3.6 Long-term trend period
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude
2.3.9 Favourable reference range

200

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2001-2012 unknown (x)

min max

N/A

min max

area (km²)

operator N/A unkown Yes

method Expert opinion

2.3.10 Reason for change Use of different method

2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size
(individuals or agreed exception)

Unit
N/A

09/04/2014 10.35.42 Page 1 of 6

max

•	•			
2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals)		map 10x10 km grid cells (grids	510x10)	
	min 1	max 1		
2.4.3 Additional information	Definition of locality			
	Conversion method	not available		
	Problems	it is impossible to conver	t grids into individuals	
2.4.4 Year or period	2007-2012			
2.4.5 Method – population size	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)			
2.4.6 Short-term trend period	2001-2012			
2.4.7 Short term trend direction	unknown (x)			
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude	min		dence interval	
2.4.9 Short-term trend method	Estimate based on e	xpert opinion with no or minir	mal sampling (1)	
2.4.10 Long-term trend period2.4.11 Long term trend direction	N/A			
2.4.12 Long-term trend unection 2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude	min	max confi	dence interval	
2.4.13 Long-term trend method	N/A	max com	defice interval	
2.4.14 Favourable reference	number			
population	operator N/A			
	unknown Yes			
	method Expert	opinion		
2.4.15 Reason for change				
2.5 Habitat for the Species				
2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²)				
2.5.2 Year or period				
2.5.3 Method used - habitat	Absent data (0)			
2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat	Good			
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method	Expert opinion			
2.5.5 Short term trend period2.5.6 Short term trend direction	2001-2012			
2.5.7 Long-term trend period	stable (0)			
2.5.8 Long term trend direction	N/A			
2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)	,			
2.5.10 Reason for change	Use of different me	thod		
2.6 Main Pressures				
Pressure		ranking	pollution qualifier(s)	
forestry clearance (B02.02)		medium importance (M)	N/A	
removal of dead and dying trees (B02.	04)	high importance (H)	N/A	
burning down (J01.01)		high importance (H)	N/A	
2.6.1 Method used – pressures	based only on expe	rt judgements (1)		
2.7 Main Threats				
Threat		ranking	pollution qualifier(s)	
forestry clearance (B02.02)		medium importance (M)	N/A	
removal of dead and dying trees (B02.	04)	high importance (H)	N/A	
burning down (J01.01)	-	high importance (H)	N/A	
			· · · · ·	

09/04/2014 10.35.42 Page 2 of 6

2.7.1 Method used – threats expert opinion (1)

2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range assessment Unknown (XX)

qualifiers N/A

assessment Unknown (XX)

qualifiers N/A

assessment Favourable (FV)

qualifiers N/A

assessment Unknown (XX)

qualifiers N/A

Unknown (XX)

2.9.5 Overall assessment of

Conservation Status

2.9.4. Future prospects

2.9.2. Population

2.9.3. Habitat

2.9.5 Overall trend in

Conservation Status

N/A

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size Unit N/A

min max

3.1.2 Method used Absent data (0)

3.1.3 Trend of population size within N/A

3.2 Conversation Measures

3.2.1 Measure 3.2.2 Type 3.2.3 Ranking 3.2.4 Location 3.2.5 Broad Evaluation

No measure known/ impossible to carry out specific measures (1.3) ()

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

Continental (CON)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Fabio Stoch (on behalf of the Comitato Scientifico per la Fauna d'Italia) and Anna Alonzi, Piero Genovesi, Francesca Ronchi (ISPRA). Information, unpublished data and expert judgements have been provided by Augusto Vigna Taglianti and Paolo Audisio (Rome).

Campanaro A., Bardiani M., Spada L., Carnevali L., Montalto F., Antonini G., Mason F., Audisio P., 2011. Linee Guida per il monitoraggio e la conservazione dell'entomofauna saproxilica/ Guidelines for monitoring and conservation of saproxylic insects. Cierre Grafica, Verona, 8 pp. + CD-ROM.

09/04/2014 10.35.42 Page 3 of 6

Fabbri R., 2010. Rhysodes sulcatus. In: Parchi e Riserve Regionali in Emilia Romagna. Regione Emilia Romagna. http://www.regione.emilia-romagna.it.

_	_	_				
2.	3	К	aı	าเ	9	е

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)

2.3.2 Method - Range surface area

2.3.3 Short-term trend period

2.3.4 Short-term trend direction

2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude

2.3.6 Long-term trend period

2.3.7 Long-term trend direction

2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude

2.3.9 Favourable reference range

200

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2001-2012

unknown (x)

min max

N/A

min

max

area (km²)

N/A operator unkown Yes

method **Expert opinion**

2.3.10 Reason for change

Use of different method

2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size

(individuals or agreed exception)

2.4.2 Population size

(other than individuals)

2.4.3 Additional information

2.4.4 Year or period

2.4.5 Method – population size

2.4.6 Short-term trend period

2.4.7 Short term trend direction

2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude 2.4.9 Short-term trend method

2.4.10 Long-term trend period

2.4.11 Long term trend direction

2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude

2.4.13 Long-term trend method

2.4.14 Favourable reference

population

Unit

N/A

min max

Unit number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10)

2 2 min max

Definition of locality

Conversion method not available

Problems it is impossible to convert grids into individuals

confidence interval

2007-2012

Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)

2001-2012

unknown (x)

min

Absent data (0)

N/A

confidence interval min max

max

N/A number

N/A operator unknown Yes

method **Expert opinion**

2.4.15 Reason for change

2.5 Habitat for the Species

2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²)

2.5.2 Year or period

2.5.3 Method used - habitat

2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat 2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method Absent data (0)

Moderate

Expert opinion

09/04/2014 10.35.42 Page 4 of 6

2.5.5 Short term trend period 2001-2012 2.5.6 Short term trend direction unknown (x) 2.5.7 Long-term trend period

2.5.8 Long term trend direction N/A

2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)

2.5.10 Reason for change

Use of different method

7 6 1	Mai	ın L	ressu	ILDC
	AIG		16336	41 C3

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
forestry clearance (B02.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
removal of dead and dying trees (B02.04)	high importance (H)	N/A
burning down (J01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A

2.6.1 Method used – pressures based only on expert judgements (1)

2.7 Main Threats

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
removal of dead and dying trees (B02.04)	high importance (H)	N/A
burning down (J01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A

2.7.1 Method used – threats expert opinion (1)

2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

No monitoring efforts were made to confirm the historical citations of th especies in the CON region. The species is surely present in Emilia romagna (Fabbri, 2010, see 2.2)

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range assessment Unknown (XX) qualifiers N/A

2.9.2. Population assessment Unknown (XX)

qualifiers N/A

2.9.3. Habitat assessment Unknown (XX)

qualifiers N/A

2.9.4. Future prospects assessment Unknown (XX)

qualifiers N/A

Unknown (XX)

2.9.5 Overall assessment of

Conservation Status

2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status

N/A

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size Unit N/A

min max

09/04/2014 10.35.42 Page 5 of 6

3.1.2 Method used3.1.3 Trend of population size within		Absent data (0) N/A			
3.2 Conversation Measures					
3.2.1 Measure	3.2.2 Type	3.2.3 Ranking	3.2.4 Location	3.2.5 Broad Evaluation	
No measure known/ impossible to carry out specific measures (1.3)		()			

09/04/2014 10.35.42 Page 6 of 6