0.1 Member State	п
0.2.1 Species code	1120
0.2.2 Species name	Alburnus albidus
0.2.3 Alternative species scientific name	N/A
0.2.4 Common name	alborella meridionale

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map
1.1.1a Sensitive species
1.1.2 Method used - map
1.1.3 Year or period
1.1.4 Additional map
1.1.5 Range map
Yes
No
Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
1998-2012
No
Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

Mediterranean (MED)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Alessandra Ippoliti, Andrea Sibilia (Associazione Italiana Ittiologi Acque dolci - AIIAD) and Anna Alonzi, Piero Genovesi, Francesca Ronchi (Institute for Environmental Protection and Research - ISPRA). Information, unpublished data and experts' judgments have been provided by Francesco Nonnis Marzano, Massimo Lorenzoni, Giuseppe Maio, Massimo Pascale, Armando Piccinini, Elisabetta Pizzul, Cesare M. Puzzi, Lorenzo Tancioni, Paolo Turin (AIIAD).

Bianco P.G e Frezza V. in Bianco P.G. e de Filippo G. (eds.) 2011. Contributo alla conoscenza della fauna ittica d'acqua dolce in aree protette d'Italia.

Res. Wildl. Conserv. 3. IGF Publ., USA;

Bianco P.G., 1978. Il problema della distribuzione del genere Alburnus (Pisces Cyprinidae) nella provincia italica. Boll. Zool. 45, suppl. 1-4,

Personal communication Lorenzoni;

Regione Basilicata, Dipartimento Ambiente, Politiche della Sostenibilità, 2004. Carta Ittica Regionale, pp. 336.

Regione Molise, 2005. Carta Ittica. Regione Molise, Assessorato Caccia e Pesca. 504 pp;

Report 2006 Regione Campania;

Servizio di monitoraggio dei corpi idrici superficiali della Regione Puglia – ARPA Puglia, Relazione Finale Annualità 2010-2011;

Turin P., Ruggieri L., Zanetti M., Bilò M. F., Rossi V., Loro R., 1998. Carta ittica della Provincia di Chieti. Provincia di Chieti, Ass. Pesca, 184 pp.

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)

2.3.2 Method - Range surface area

2.3.3 Short-term trend period

2.3.4 Short-term trend direction

2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude

2.3.6 Long-term trend period

20700

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2001-2012

decrease (-)

min max

1989-2012

09/04/2014 12.18.26 Page 1 of 4

2.2.7 Long torm trand direction	decrease ()				
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude	decrease (-)				
2.3.9 Favourable reference range	min max area (km²)				
2.3.3 ravourable reference range	operator more than (>)				
	unkown No				
	method Expert opinion				
2.3.10 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate dataUse of different method				
2.3.10 Reason for change	improved knowledge/more accurate dataose of different method				
2.4 Population					
2.4.1 Population size	Unit N/A				
(individuals or agreed exception)	min max				
2.4.2 Population size	Unit number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10)				
(other than individuals)	min 43 max 43				
2.4.3 Additional information	Definition of locality				
	Conversion method not available				
	Problems it's not possible to convert grids into individuals				
2.4.4 Year or period	1998-2012				
2.4.5 Method – population size	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)				
2.4.6 Short-term trend period	2001-2012				
2.4.7 Short term trend direction	decrease (-)				
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude	min max confidence interval				
2.4.9 Short-term trend method	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2				
2.4.10 Long-term trend period	1989-2012				
2.4.11 Long term trend direction	decrease (-)				
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude	min max confidence interval				
2.4.13 Long-term trend method	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2				
2.4.14 Favourable reference	number				
population	operator much more than (>>)				
	unknown No				
	method Expert opinion				
2.4.15 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method				
2.5 Habitat for the Species					
2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²)					
2.5.2 Year or period					
2.5.3 Method used - habitat	Absent data (0)				
2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat	Moderate				
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method	Expert opinion				
2.5.5 Short term trend period	2001-2012				
2.5.6 Short term trend direction	stable (0)				
2.5.7 Long-term trend period	1989-2012				
2.5.8 Long term trend direction	unknown (x)				
2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)					
2. F. 4.0. December of an almost a	language of the soule dead for one proposed a date they af different to the state of				

2.6 Main Pressures

2.5.10 Reason for change

09/04/2014 12.18.26 Page 2 of 4

Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
invasive non-native species (I01)	high importance (H)	N/A
genetic pollution (animals) (103.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
surface water abstractions for agriculture (J02.06.	01) high importance (H)	N/A
Siltation rate changes, dumping, depositing of dredeposits (J02.11)	dged medium importance (M)	N/A
reduction or loss of specific habitat features (J03.0	(M) medium importance	N/A
Sand and gravel extraction (C01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, morackish) (H01)	arine & medium importance (M)	N/A
Canalisation & water deviation (J02.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
eisure fishing (F02.03)	low importance (L)	N/A
Modification of hydrographic functioning, general	(J02.05) low importance (L)	N/A
antagonism arising from introduction of species (K	(03.05) high importance (H)	N/A
2.6.1 Method used – pressures mainly be	ased on expert judgement and other da	ta (2)
2.7 Main Threats		
Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
surface water abstractions for agriculture (J02.06.	01) high importance (H)	N/A
nvasive non-native species (I01)	high importance (H)	N/A
genetic pollution (animals) (103.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
Water abstractions from surface waters (J02.06)	high importance (H)	N/A
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, morackish) (H01)	arine & medium importance (M)	N/A
Canalisation & water deviation (J02.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
and and gravel extraction (C01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
iltation rate changes, dumping, depositing of dredeposits (J02.11)	dged medium importance (M)	N/A
reduction or loss of specific habitat features (J03.C	medium importance (M)	N/A
eisure fishing (F02.03)	low importance (L)	N/A
Modification of hydrographic functioning, general	(J02.05) low importance (L)	N/A
antagonism arising from introduction of species (K	(03.05) high importance (H)	N/A
2.7.1 Method used – threats expert o	pinion (1)	
2.8 Complementary Information		
2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for		

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range assessment Bad (U2) qualifiers N/A
2.9.2. Population assessment Bad (U2) qualifiers N/A

09/04/2014 12.18.26 Page 3 of 4

2.9.3. Habitat

assessment Inadequate (U1)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.4. Future prospects

assessment Bad (U2)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.5 Overall assessment of
Conservation Status

2.9.5 Overall trend in
Conservation Status

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population					
3.1.1 Population Size		Unit min	N/A max		
3.1.2 Method used3.1.3 Trend of population size within		Absent data (0) N/A			
3.2 Conversation Measu	res				
3.2.1 Measure	3.2.2 Type		3.2.3 Ranking	3.2.4 Location	3.2.5 Broad Evaluation
Measures needed, but not implemented (1.2)			()		

09/04/2014 12.18.26 Page 4 of 4