Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

0.1 Member State	IT
0.2.1 Species code	1042
0.2.2 Species name	Leucorrhinia pectoralis
0.2.3 Alternative species scientific name	N/A
0.2.4 Common name	N/A

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map	Yes
1.1.1a Sensitive species	No
1.1.2 Method used - map	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
1.1.3 Year or period	2009-2012
1.1.4 Additional map	No
1.1.5 Range map	Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

Alpine (ALP)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Anna Alonzi, Piero Genovesi,

Francesca Ronchi (ISPRA - Institute for Environmental Protection and Research). Information,

unpublished data and experts' judgments have been provided by: Alex Festi, Cristina Grieco, Sonke

Hardersen, Federico Landi e Elisa Riservato (Odonata.it)

Distribution data for the following grid cells have been removed by the Ministry of Environment: 10kmE444N262; 10kmE440N261

Distribution data for the following grid cells have been inserted by the Ministry of Environment: 10kmE442N258

Stoch F., 2011. Monitoraggio e individuazione di misure di conservazione per la fauna acquatica (invertebrati e anfibi) degli habitat igrofili ed idrofili del SIC IT3340006 "Carso Triestino e Goriziano" e della ZPS IT3341002 "Aree carsiche della Venezia Giulia. Relazione interna su incarico della Direzione Centrale risorse rurali, agroalimentari e forestali, Servizio caccia, pesca e ambienti naturali

Fiorenza T., Del Bianco C., Chiendetti I., Uboni C., Zandigiacomo P., 2012. Gli odonati del Friuli Venezia Giulia: risultati di uno studio triennale.. Boll. Soc. Nat. "S. Zenari", Pordenone, 35 (2011): 109-122.

Festi A.: 2012. Leucorrhinia pectoralis (C harpentier , 1825) (Odonata: Libellulidae) presso il Lago di Monticolo – importante segnalazione per l'Alto Adige e l'Italia. Gredleriana Vol. 12: 201-207

Macagno A.L.M., Gobbi M., Lencioni V., 2012 The occurrence of Leucorrhinia pectoralis (Charpentier, 1825) (Odonata, Libellulidae) in Trentino (Eastern Italian Alps). Studi Trentini di Scienze Naturali Nr. 92: 33-36

AA.VV.2008. Attuazione della Direttiva Habitat e stato di canservazione di habitat e specie in Italia. Ministero dell'Ambiente e della Tutela del Territorio e del Mare.

08/04/2014 16.13.05 Page 1 of 4

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

48pp.

Banca dati Odonata.it (www.odonata.it)

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²) 1600

2.3.2 Method - Range surface area

2.3.3 Short-term trend period

2.3.4 Short-term trend direction

2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude

2.3.6 Long-term trend period

2.3.7 Long-term trend direction

2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude

2.3.9 Favourable reference range

2001-2012

decrease (-)

min max

1986-2012

decrease (-)

min max

area (km²)

operator much more than (>>)

unkown No

method Expert based on historical and recent data

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

Genuine Use of different method 2.3.10 Reason for change

2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size

(individuals or agreed exception)

Unit N/A

min max

2.4.2 Population size

(other than individuals)

Unit number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10)

min 10 max 10

2.4.3 Additional information

Definition of locality

Conversion method not available

Problems it is impossible to convert grids into individuals

2.4.4 Year or period

2.4.5 Method - population size

2.4.6 Short-term trend period

2.4.7 Short term trend direction

2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude

2.4.9 Short-term trend method

2.4.10 Long-term trend period

2.4.11 Long term trend direction

2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude

2.4.13 Long-term trend method

2.4.14 Favourable reference population

decrease (-)

min

confidence interval

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

1989-2012

2009-2012

2001-2012

decrease (-)

min max

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

confidence interval

number

operator much more than (>>)

unknown

method **Expert opinion**

2.4.15 Reason for change Genuine

2.5 Habitat for the Species

2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²)

2.5.2 Year or period

2.5.3 Method used - habitat

Absent data (0)

2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat

Bad

08/04/2014 16.13.05 Page 2 of 4

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method 2.5.5 Short term trend period 2.5.6 Short term trend direction 2.5.7 Long-term trend period 2.5.8 Long term trend direction N/A 2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²) 2.5.10 Reason for change

Expert based 2000-2012 decrease (-)

Genuine

2.6	Mai	n P	ressures

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
invasive non-native species (IO1)	high importance (H)	N/A
Biocenotic evolution, succession (KO2)	high importance (H)	N/A
antagonism arising from introduction of species (K03.05)	high importance (H)	N/A
surface water abstractions for agriculture (J02.06.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Changes in abiotic conditions (M01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
intensive grazing (A04.01)	low importance (L)	N/A

2.6.1 Method used – pressures mainly based on expert judgement and other data (2)

2.7 Main Threats

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
invasive non-native species (IO1)	high importance (H)	N/A
Biocenotic evolution, succession (K02)	high importance (H)	N/A
antagonism arising from introduction of species (K03.05)	high importance (H)	N/A
surface water abstractions for agriculture (J02.06.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
Changes in abiotic conditions (M01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
intensive grazing (A04.01)	low importance (L)	N/A
modification of standing water bodies (J02.05.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A

2.7.1 Method used – threats

expert opinion (1)

2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

Experiences from other countries (CH, D) have shown that active conservation measures could improve conservation status of residual populations

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range

2.9.2. Population

2.9.3. Habitat

2.9.4. Future prospects

2.9.5 Overall assessment of **Conservation Status**

assessment Bad (U2)

qualifiers unknown (x)

assessment Bad (U2)

qualifiers unknown (x)

assessment Bad (U2)

qualifiers declining (-)

assessment Bad (U2)

qualifiers unknown (x)

Bad (U2)

16.13.05 08/04/2014 Page 3 of 4

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status

declining (-)

3.1 Population						
3.1.1 Population Size		Unit min	N/A max			
3.1.2 Method used3.1.3 Trend of population size within		Absent data (0) N/A				
3.2 Conversation Meas	ures					
3.2.1 Measure	3.2.2 Type		3.2.3 Ranking	3.2.4 Location	3.2.5 Broad Evaluation	
Legal protection of habita and species (6.3)	ts Legal		high importance (H)	Both	Long term Unknown Not evaluated	

08/04/2014 16.13.05 Page 4 of 4

Notes

Species name. Ledcormina	pectoralis (1042) Region code: ALP	
Field label	Note	User
2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)	The area of the range (2.3.1) has been calculated also summing up the grid cells of species' presence in the adjacent biogeographical region of marginal presence. Only cells entirely overlapped to the marginal area have been summed up, in order to avoid an overestimation of the overall species' range.	ISPRA AUNA
2.9.4a Conclusion - future	Experiences from other countries (CH, D) have shown that active conservation measures could improve conservation status of residual populations	ISPRA AUNA
2.5.4a Quality of habitat	One of the two known populations (Torbiera d'Iseo) is presumed extinct due to introduced crayfish	ISPRA AUNA

08/04/2014 16.12.53 Page 1

