Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

0.1 Member State	**IT**
0.2.1 Species code	**1186**
0.2.2 Species name	**Proteus anguinus**
0.2.3 Alternative species scientific name	N/A
0.2.4 Common name	Proteo

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map	Yes
1.1.1a Sensitive species	No
1.1.2 Method used - map	Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)
1.1.3 Year or period	2000-2012
1.1.4 Additional map	No
1.1.5 Range map	Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region	**Continental (CON)**
2.2 Published sources	The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Anna Rita Di Cerbo, Francesco Ficetola, Roberto Sindaco (Societas Herpetologica Italica). Information, unpublished data and experts' judgments have been provided by Anna Rita Di Cerbo, Francesco Ficetola, Roberto Sindaco.
	Bressi, N., 2004. Underground and unknown: updated distribution, ecological notes and conservation guidelines on the Olm (Proteus anguinus) in Italy (Amphibia, Proteidae). Italian Journal of Zoology 71, suppl. 1, 55-59.
	Lanza, B., Bruschi, S., Bressi, N., 2007. Proteus anguinus Laurenti, 1768, In Fauna d'Italia, Amphibia. eds B. Lanza, F. Andreone, M.A. Bologna, C. Corti, E. Razzetti, pp. 176-181. Calderini, Bologna.
	Rondinini, C., Battistoni, A., Peronace, V., Teofili, C. (compilatori). 2013. Lista Rossa IUCN dei Vertebrati Italiani. Comitato Italiano IUCN e Ministero dell'Ambiente, del Territorio e del Mare, Roma.

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)	700	
2.3.2 Method - Range surface area	Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)	
2.3.3 Short-term trend period	2001-2012	
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction	stable (0)	
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude	min	max
2.3.6 Long-term trend period		
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction	N/A	
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude	min	max
2.3.9 Favourable reference range	area (km²)	
	operator	approximately equal to (≈)
	unkown	No
	method	Expert judgement
2.3.10 Reason for change	Use of different method	

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size (individuals or agreed exception)	Unit	N/A	
	min		max

2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals)	Unit	number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10)	
	min	5	max 5

2.4.3 Additional information
Definition of locality
Conversion method
Problems

2.4.4 Year or period	2000-2012
2.4.5 Method – population size	Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)
2.4.6 Short-term trend period	2001-2012
2.4.7 Short term trend direction	unknown (x)
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude	min max confidence interval
2.4.9 Short-term trend method	Absent data (0)
2.4.10 Long-term trend period	
2.4.11 Long term trend direction	N/A
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude	min max confidence interval
2.4.13 Long-term trend method	N/A

2.4.14 Favourable reference population
number
operator   N/A
unknown   Yes
method

2.4.15 Reason for change

2.5 Habitat for the Species

2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²)	
2.5.2 Year or period	2000-2012
2.5.3 Method used - habitat	Absent data (0)
2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat	Moderate
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method	loss of quality of underground water because of pollution and human activities
2.5.5 Short term trend period	2001-2012
2.5.6 Short term trend direction	decrease (-)
2.5.7 Long-term trend period	
2.5.8 Long term trend direction	N/A
2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)	
2.5.10 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data

2.6 Main Pressures

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Pollution to groundwater (point sources and diffuse sources) (H02)	high importance (H)	N/A
2.6.1 Method used – pressures	mainly based on expert judgement and other data (2)	

2.7 Main Threats

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
railway lines, TGV (D01.04)	high importance (H)	N/A
2.7.1 Method used – threats	expert opinion (1)	

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends	
2.8.2 Other relevant Information	
2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment	

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range	assessment	Favourable (FV)
	qualifiers	N/A
2.9.2. Population	assessment	Unknown (XX)
	qualifiers	N/A
2.9.3. Habitat	assessment	Inadequate (U1)
	qualifiers	declining (-)
2.9.4. Future prospects	assessment	Inadequate (U1)
	qualifiers	declining (-)
2.9.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status	Inadequate (U1)	
2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status	declining (-)	

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size	Unit	N/A		
	min		max	
3.1.2 Method used	Absent data (0)			
3.1.3 Trend of population size within	N/A			

3.2 Conversation Measures

3.2.1 Measure	3.2.2 Type	3.2.3 Ranking	3.2.4 Location	3.2.5 Broad Evaluation
Restoring/improving water quality (4.1)	Contractual	low importance (L)	Inside	No effect