Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

0.1 Member State	**IT**
0.2.1 Species code	**1317**
0.2.2 Species name	**Pipistrellus nathusii**
0.2.3 Alternative species scientific name	N/A
0.2.4 Common name	N/A

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map	Yes
1.1.1a Sensitive species	No
1.1.2 Method used - map	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
1.1.3 Year or period	1985-2012
1.1.4 Additional map	No
1.1.5 Range map	Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

Mediterranean (MED)

2.2 Published sources

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Daniele Paoloni, Cristiano Spilinga (Associazione Teriologica Italiana - ATIt) and Anna Alonzi, Piero Genovesi, Francesca Ronchi (Institute for Environmental Protection and Research - ISPRA). Information, unpublished data and experts' judgments have been provided by Paolo Agnelli, Mara Calvini, Luca Cistrone, Michele Ferretto, Danilo Russo, Dino Scaravelli, Martina Spada, Roberto Toffoli, Simone Vergari (Italian Group for bat Research).

Archivio Osservatorio Regionale per Biodiversità. Regione Umbria.

Archivio Stazione Teriologica Piemontese.

Banca Dati Regionale Emilia Romagna (aggiornamento al 2010).

Calvini M., 2006. Monitoraggio dei chirotteri nella piana del Magra e Vallecchia (SP) (rapporto interno).

Calvini M., 2006. I Chirotteri della ZPS Beigua-Turchino e del Parco del Beigua; 70 pag. Ente Parco del Beigua, Regione Liguria.

Calvini M., 2007. Studio preliminare sulla chirotterofauna delle tre foreste demaniali del Parco dell'Aveto (rapporto interno).

Calvini M., 2007. I Chirotteri delle Alpi Liguri; 24 pag. Provincia di Imperia, Regione Liguria.

Calvini M., 2009. Indagine chirotterologica nei seguenti SIC della provincia di Savona: IT1323201, IT1324011, IT1323112 e IT1323203 (rapporto interno).

Calvini M., 2010. Monitoraggio delle colonie di chirotteri riproduttive e svernanti di particolare interesse conservazionistico note in Liguria (rapporto interno).

Dall'Asta A., 1995-1996. Atlante preliminare dei Chirotteri (Chiroptera, Mammalia) della Regione Friuli-Venezia Giulia - Prima Sintesi Cartografica. Tesi di Laurea in Scienze Naturali, Fac. di Scienze MM. FF. NN. dell'Università degli Studi di Trieste, Relatori G. A. Amirante & S. Dolce: 1-103.

Insubria DataBat, 2012. Data base chirotteri dell'Università degli Studi dell'Insubria aggiornato al 2012.

Kryštufek B., Rešek Donev N., 2005. The Atlas of Slovenian Bats (Chiroptera). Scopolia, 55 (2005): 1-92.

Lapini L., Dall'Asta A., Dublo L., Spoto M., Venier E., 1996 (1995). Materiali per una teriofauna dell'Italia Nord - Orientale (Mammalia, Friuli-Venezia Giulia). Gortania 17: 149-248.
Regione Liguria, 2008, Carta della Biodiversità, www.ambienteinliguria.it

Spada M., Preatoni G., Tosi G., Martinoli A., 2010. Piano di monitoraggio dei Vertebrati terrestri di interesse comunitario (Direttive 79/409/CEE e 92/43/CEE) in Lombardia. Il monitoraggio dei Chirotteri. Fondazione Lombardia per l'Ambiente, Università degli Studi dell'Insubria.

Spilinga C., Russo D., Carletti S., Jiménez Grijalva M.P., Sergiacomi U., Ragni B., (in stampa). Chirotteri dell'Umbria. Distribuzione geografica ed ecologica. Regione Umbria. Università degli Studi di Perugia.

Toffoli R., 1993. Primi dati sull'occupazione di cassette artificiali da parte di Chirotteri in provincia di Cuneo. Riv. Piem. St. Nat.,14: 291-294.

Toffoli R., 2004. I Chirotteri del Parco fluviale del Po tratto vercellese/alessandrino e della Riserva Naturale del Torrente Orba. Regione Piemonte-Parco Naturale del Po tratto vercellese/alessandrino e Riserva Naturale del Torrente Orba (rapporto interno).

Vigorita V., Cucè L., 2008. La fauna selvatica in Lombardia. Rapporto 2008 su distribuzione, abbondanza e stato di conservazione di uccelli e mammiferi. Regione Lombardia. Pp. 364.

Zagmajster M., Quadracci A., Filacorda S., in stampa. New records of bats in the Province of Trieste (Friuli Venezia Giulia Region), northeastern Italy. Boll. Mus. Civ. St. Nat. Trieste, 55.

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)	5800	
2.3.2 Method - Range surface area	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)	
2.3.3 Short-term trend period	2001-2012	
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction	stable (0)	
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude	min	max
2.3.6 Long-term trend period		
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction	N/A	
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude	min	max
2.3.9 Favourable reference range	area (km²)	
	operator	approximately equal to (≈)

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

	unkown	No
	method	Expert judgement
2.3.10 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate dataUse of different method	

2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size (individuals or agreed exception)	Unit	N/A		
	min		max	
2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals)	Unit	number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10)		
	min	24	max	24
2.4.3 Additional information	Definition of locality			
	Conversion method			
	Problems	Impossible to convert grids into individuals		
2.4.4 Year or period	1985-2012			
2.4.5 Method – population size	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)			
2.4.6 Short-term trend period	2001-2012			
2.4.7 Short term trend direction	stable (0)			
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude	min		max	confidence interval
2.4.9 Short-term trend method	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)			
2.4.10 Long-term trend period				
2.4.11 Long term trend direction	N/A			
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude	min		max	confidence interval
2.4.13 Long-term trend method	N/A			
2.4.14 Favourable reference population	number			
	operator	approximately equal to (≈)		
	unknown	No		
	method	Expert judgement		
2.4.15 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method			

2.5 Habitat for the Species

2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²)	
2.5.2 Year or period	
2.5.3 Method used - habitat	Absent data (0)
2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat	Good
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method	Expert based
2.5.5 Short term trend period	2001-2012
2.5.6 Short term trend direction	stable (0)
2.5.7 Long-term trend period	
2.5.8 Long term trend direction	N/A
2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)	
2.5.10 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

2.6 Main Pressures

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
modification of cultivation practices (A02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	medium importance (M)	N/A
management of aquatic and bank vegetation for drainage purposes (J02.10)	high importance (H)	N/A
Forest and Plantation management & use (B02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
removal of dead and dying trees (B02.04)	medium importance (M)	N/A
human induced changes in hydraulic conditions (J02)	high importance (H)	N/A
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	high importance (H)	N/A
wind energy production (C03.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
burning down (J01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A

2.6.1 Method used – pressures	based only on expert judgements (1)

2.7 Main Threats

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
modification of cultivation practices (A02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	high importance (H)	N/A
management of aquatic and bank vegetation for drainage purposes (J02.10)	high importance (H)	N/A
Forest and Plantation management & use (B02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
removal of dead and dying trees (B02.04)	medium importance (M)	N/A
human induced changes in hydraulic conditions (J02)	high importance (H)	N/A
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	high importance (H)	N/A
wind energy production (C03.03)	high importance (H)	N/A
burning down (J01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A

2.7.1 Method used – threats	expert opinion (1)

2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends	
2.8.2 Other relevant Information	
2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment	

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range	assessment	Favourable (FV)
	qualifiers	N/A
2.9.2. Population	assessment	Favourable (FV)
	qualifiers	N/A
2.9.3. Habitat	assessment	Favourable (FV)
	qualifiers	N/A
2.9.4. Future prospects	assessment	Favourable (FV)
	qualifiers	N/A
2.9.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status	Favourable (FV)	

2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status	N/A

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size	Unit	N/A	
	min		max
3.1.2 Method used	N/A		
3.1.3 Trend of population size within	N/A		

3.2 Conversation Measures

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

Continental (CON)

2.2 Published sources

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Daniele Paoloni, Cristiano Spilinga (Associazione Teriologica Italiana - ATIt) and Anna Alonzi, Piero Genovesi, Francesca Ronchi (Institute for Environmental Protection and Research - ISPRA). Information, unpublished data and experts' judgments have been provided by Paolo Agnelli, Mara Calvini, Luca Cistrone, Michele Ferretto, Danilo Russo, Dino Scaravelli, Martina Spada, Roberto Toffoli, Simone Vergari (Italian Group for bat Research).

Archivio Stazione Teriologica Piemontese.

Calvini M., 2006. Monitoraggio dei chirotteri nella piana del Magra e Vallecchia (SP) (rapporto interno).

Calvini M., 2007. Studio preliminare sulla chirotterofauna delle tre foreste demaniali del Parco dell'Aveto (rapporto interno).

Calvini M., 2009. I Chirotteri del SIC IT1110022 Stagno di Oulx e IT1110020 Lago di Viverone. IPLA (rapporto interno).

Calvini M., 2010. Monitoraggio delle colonie di chirotteri riproduttive e svernanti di particolare interesse conservazionistico note in Liguria (rapporto interno).

Culasso P., Toffoli R., 2011. I Chirotteri del Parco Naturale Alpe Veglia e Alpe Devero e del SIC/ZPS Alpe Veglia e Devero-Monte Giove. Regione Piemonte-Parco Naturale Alpe Veglia e Alpe Devero (rapporto interno).

Dati AVK - Arbeitsgemeinschaft Vogelkunde Südtirol (1988-2010)

Debernardi P., Patriarca E., 2007. The bats of the Lake Maggiore Piedmont shore (NW Italy). Hystrix It. J. Mamm. (n.s.) 18 (1): 39-55.

Debernardi P., Patriarca E., 2009. Attivita' di rilevamento chirotterologico ed esperienze pilota di gestione ambientale finalizzate alla conservazione dei chirotteri presso il Parco Naturale Laghi di Avigliana. Pp. 29. (Rapporto interno).

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

Insubria DataBat, 2012. Data base chirotteri dell'Università degli Studi dell'Insubria aggiornato al 2012.

Museo di S.N di Bolzano. Indagine sui pipistrelli dell'Alto Adige (1991-2007)

Provincia di Trento. Rilevamenti e monitoraggi popolazioni chirotteri della provincia di Trento nel periodo 1999-2012.

Regione Liguria, 2008, Carta della Biodiversità, www.ambienteinliguria.it

Ruffo S., Stoch F., 2005. Checklist e distribuzione della fauna italiana. Memorie del Museo Civico di storia naturale di Verona, 2.serie, Sezione scienze della Vita 16.

Spada M., Preatoni G., Tosi G., Martinoli A., 2010. Piano di monitoraggio dei Vertebrati terrestri di interesse comunitario (Direttive 79/409/CEE e 92/43/CEE) in Lombardia. Il monitoraggio dei Chirotteri. Fondazione Lombardia per l'Ambiente, Università degli Studi dell'Insubria.

Vigorita V., Cucè L., 2008. La fauna selvatica in Lombardia. Rapporto 2008 su distribuzione, abbondanza e stato di conservazione di uccelli e mammiferi. Regione Lombardia. Pp. 364.

Toffoli R., 2007. Controllo nidi artificiali per uccelli e chirotteri nei frutteti del verzuolese (CN). Piemonte ASPROFRUT (rapporto interno).

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)	22600	
2.3.2 Method - Range surface area	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)	
2.3.3 Short-term trend period	2001-2012	
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction	stable (0)	
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude	min	max
2.3.6 Long-term trend period		
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction	N/A	
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude	min	max
2.3.9 Favourable reference range	area (km²)	
	operator	approximately equal to (≈)
	unkown	No
	method	Expert judgement
2.3.10 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate dataUse of different method	

2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size (individuals or agreed exception)	Unit	N/A		
	min		max	
2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals)	Unit	number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10)		
	min	72	max	72
2.4.3 Additional information	Definition of locality			
	Conversion method			
	Problems	Impossible to convert grids into individuals		
2.4.4 Year or period	1985-2012			

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

2.4.5 Method – population size	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)		
2.4.6 Short-term trend period	2001-2012		
2.4.7 Short term trend direction	stable (0)		
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude	min	max	confidence interval
2.4.9 Short-term trend method	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)		
2.4.10 Long-term trend period			
2.4.11 Long term trend direction	N/A		
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude	min	max	confidence interval
2.4.13 Long-term trend method	N/A		
2.4.14 Favourable reference population	number		
	operator	approximately equal to (≈)	
	unknown	No	
	method	Expert judgement	
2.4.15 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method		

2.5 Habitat for the Species

2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²)	
2.5.2 Year or period	
2.5.3 Method used - habitat	Absent data (0)
2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat	Good
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method	Expert based
2.5.5 Short term trend period	2001-2012
2.5.6 Short term trend direction	stable (0)
2.5.7 Long-term trend period	
2.5.8 Long term trend direction	N/A
2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)	
2.5.10 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

2.6 Main Pressures

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
modification of cultivation practices (A02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	high importance (H)	N/A
management of aquatic and bank vegetation for drainage purposes (J02.10)	high importance (H)	N/A
Forest and Plantation management & use (B02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
removal of dead and dying trees (B02.04)	medium importance (M)	N/A
human induced changes in hydraulic conditions (J02)	high importance (H)	N/A
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	high importance (H)	N/A

2.6.1 Method used – pressures	based only on expert judgements (1)

2.7 Main Threats

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
modification of cultivation practices (A02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	high importance (H)	N/A
management of aquatic and bank vegetation for drainage purposes (J02.10)	high importance (H)	N/A

Forest and Plantation management & use (B02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
removal of dead and dying trees (B02.04)	medium importance (M)	N/A
human induced changes in hydraulic conditions (J02)	high importance (H)	N/A
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	high importance (H)	N/A
wind energy production (C03.03)	high importance (H)	N/A

2.7.1 Method used – threats: expert opinion (1)

2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range — assessment: Favourable (FV); qualifiers: N/A

2.9.2. Population — assessment: Favourable (FV); qualifiers: N/A

2.9.3. Habitat — assessment: Favourable (FV); qualifiers: N/A

2.9.4. Future prospects — assessment: Favourable (FV); qualifiers: N/A

2.9.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status: Favourable (FV)

2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status: N/A

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size — Unit: N/A; min; max

3.1.2 Method used: N/A

3.1.3 Trend of population size within: N/A

3.2 Conversation Measures

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region: **Alpine (ALP)**

2.2 Published sources: The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Daniele Paoloni, Cristiano Spilinga (Associazione Teriologica Italiana - ATIt) and Anna Alonzi, Piero Genovesi, Francesca Ronchi (Institute for Environmental Protection and Research - ISPRA). Information, unpublished data and experts' judgments have been provided by Paolo Agnelli, Mara Calvini, Luca Cistrone, Michele Ferretto, Danilo Russo, Dino Scaravelli, Martina Spada, Roberto Toffoli, Simone Vergari (Italian Group for bat Research).

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

Archivio Osservatorio Regionale per Biodiversità. Regione Umbria.

Calvini M., 2007. I Chirotteri delle Alpi Liguri; 24 pag. Provincia di Imperia, Regione Liguria.

Calvini M., 2009. I Chirotteri del SIC IT1110022 Stagno di Oulx e IT1110020 Lago di Viverone. IPLA (rapporto interno).

Calvini M., 2009. Indagine sulla chirotterofauna nel SIC "Bric Tana-Bric Mongarda", comune di Millesimo (SV).

Calvini M., 2009. Indagine chirotterologica nei seguenti SIC della provincia di Savona: IT1323201, IT1324011, IT1323112 e IT1323203 (rapporto interno).

Calvini M., 2010. Monitoraggio delle colonie di chirotteri riproduttive e svernanti di particolare interesse conservazionistico note in Liguria (rapporto interno).

Database del Repertorio Naturalistico Toscano.

Regione Liguria, 2008, Carta della Biodiversità, www.ambienteinliguria.it

Ruffo S., Stoch F., 2005. Checklist e distribuzione della fauna italiana. Memorie del Museo Civico di storia naturale di Verona, 2.serie, Sezione scienze della Vita 16.

Spilinga C., Russo D., Carletti S., Jiménez Grijalva M.P., Sergiacomi U., Ragni B., (in stampa). Chirotteri dell'Umbria. Distribuzione geografica ed ecologica. Regione Umbria. Università degli Studi di Perugia.

Toffoli R., 2007. Habitat frequentati da Hypsugo savii, Pipistrellus kuhlii, Pipistrellus pipistrellus e Pipistrellus nathusii nel Parco Naturale delle Capanne di Marcarolo (AL) (Chiroptera,Vespertilionidae). Riv. Piem. St. Nat., 28: 367-381.

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)	12000	
2.3.2 Method - Range surface area	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)	
2.3.3 Short-term trend period	2001-2012	
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction	stable (0)	
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude	min	max
2.3.6 Long-term trend period		
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction	N/A	
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude	min	max
2.3.9 Favourable reference range	area (km²)	
	operator	approximately equal to (≈)
	unkown	No
	method	Expert judgement
2.3.10 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate dataUse of different method	

2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size (individuals or agreed exception)	Unit	N/A
	min	max

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals)	Unit	number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10)		
	min	42	max	42
2.4.3 Additional information	Definition of locality			
	Conversion method			
	Problems	Impossible to convert grids into individuals		
2.4.4 Year or period	1990-2012			
2.4.5 Method – population size	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)			
2.4.6 Short-term trend period	2001-2012			
2.4.7 Short term trend direction	stable (0)			
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude	min		max	confidence interval
2.4.9 Short-term trend method	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)			
2.4.10 Long-term trend period				
2.4.11 Long term trend direction	N/A			
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude	min		max	confidence interval
2.4.13 Long-term trend method	N/A			
2.4.14 Favourable reference population	number			
	operator	approximately equal to (≈)		
	unknown	No		
	method	Expert judgement		
2.4.15 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method			

2.5 Habitat for the Species

2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²)	
2.5.2 Year or period	
2.5.3 Method used - habitat	Absent data (0)
2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat	Good
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method	Expert based
2.5.5 Short term trend period	2001-2012
2.5.6 Short term trend direction	stable (0)
2.5.7 Long-term trend period	
2.5.8 Long term trend direction	N/A
2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)	
2.5.10 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

2.6 Main Pressures

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
modification of cultivation practices (A02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	medium importance (M)	N/A
management of aquatic and bank vegetation for drainage purposes (J02.10)	high importance (H)	N/A
Forest and Plantation management & use (B02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
removal of dead and dying trees (B02.04)	medium importance (M)	N/A
human induced changes in hydraulic conditions (J02)	high importance (H)	N/A
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	high importance (H)	N/A

2.6.1 Method used – pressures	based only on expert judgements (1)

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

2.7 Main Threats

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
modification of cultivation practices (A02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	medium importance (M)	N/A
management of aquatic and bank vegetation for drainage purposes (J02.10)	high importance (H)	N/A
Forest and Plantation management & use (B02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
removal of dead and dying trees (B02.04)	medium importance (M)	N/A
human induced changes in hydraulic conditions (J02)	high importance (H)	N/A
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	high importance (H)	N/A
wind energy production (C03.03)	high importance (H)	N/A

2.7.1 Method used – threats	expert opinion (1)

2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends	
2.8.2 Other relevant Information	
2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment	

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range	assessment	Favourable (FV)
	qualifiers	N/A
2.9.2. Population	assessment	Favourable (FV)
	qualifiers	N/A
2.9.3. Habitat	assessment	Favourable (FV)
	qualifiers	N/A
2.9.4. Future prospects	assessment	Favourable (FV)
	qualifiers	N/A
2.9.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status	Favourable (FV)	
2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status	N/A	

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size	Unit	N/A	
	min		max
3.1.2 Method used	N/A		
3.1.3 Trend of population size within	N/A		

3.2 Conversation Measures