0.1 Member State	Π
0.2.1 Species code	1302
0.2.2 Species name	Rhinolophus mehelyi
0.2.3 Alternative species scientific name	N/A
0.2.4 Common name	N/A

### 1. National Level

#### **1.1 Maps**

1.1.1 Distribution Map
Yes
1.1.1a Sensitive species
No
1.1.2 Method used - map
Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
1.1.3 Year or period
1.1.4 Additional map
No
1.1.5 Range map
Yes

### 2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

### 2.2 Published sources

#### Mediterranean (MED)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Daniele Paoloni, Cristiano Spilinga (Associazione Teriologica Italiana - ATIt) and Anna Alonzi, Piero Genovesi, Francesca Ronchi (Institute for Environmental Protection and Research - ISPRA). Information, unpublished data and experts' judgments have been provided by Paolo Agnelli, Mara Calvini, Luca Cistrone, Michele Ferretto, Danilo Russo, Dino Scaravelli, Martina Spada, Roberto Toffoli, Simone Vergari (Italian Group for bat Research).

Distribution data for the following grid cells have been removed by the Ministry of Environment: 10kmE423N191

Mucedda M., Pidinchedda E., Bertelli M. L., 2009. Status del Rinolofo di Mehely (Rhinolophus mehelyi) (Chiroptera, Rhinolophidae) in Italia. Atti del 2° Convegno Italiano sui Chirotteri, Serra San Quirico (AN), 21-23 novembre 2008: 89-98.

Mucedda M., Murittu G., Oppes A., Pidinchedda E., 1995. Osservazioni sui Chirotteri troglofili della Sardegna. Boll. Soc. Sarda Sci. Nat., 30: 97-129.

Regione Autonoma della Sardegna - Assessorato Difesa Ambiente, 2012 - "Servizio di monitoraggio dello stato di conservazione degli habitat e delle specie di importanza comunitaria presenti nei siti della Rete Natura 2000 in Sardegna – Linea 4. Redazione del Rapporto sullo stato di conservazione di habitat e specie.

Regione Autonoma della Sardegna - Assessorato Difesa Ambiente - 2008-2009. "Realizzazione del sistema di monitoraggio dello stato di conservazione degli habitat e delle specie di interesse comunitario della Regione Autonoma della Sardegna".

Ruffo S., Stoch F., 2005. Checklist e distribuzione della fauna italiana. Memorie del Museo Civico di storia naturale di Verona, 2.serie, Sezione scienze della Vita 16.

22/04/2014 16.20.47 Page 1 of 4

Salvaggio A., Polizzi R., Brundo M. V., Grasso R., Brogna F., Filosico M. A., Spena M. T., Agnelli P. - I chirotteri della grotta dei pipistrelli (SR): un unicum nella sicilia sud-orientale - Atti Soc. Nat. Mat. Modena 144 (2013)

2.3 Range				
<ul> <li>2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)</li> <li>2.3.2 Method - Range surface area</li> <li>2.3.3 Short-term trend period</li> <li>2.3.4 Short-term trend direction</li> </ul>	17700 Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2) 2000-2012 decrease (-)			
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude	min max			
2.3.6 Long-term trend period				
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction	N/A			
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude	min max			
2.3.9 Favourable reference range	area (km²)			
	operator approximately equal to (≈) unkown No			
	method Expert judgement			
2.3.10 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate dataUse of different method			
2.4 Population				
2.4.1 Population size	Unit N/A			
(individuals or agreed exception)	min max			
2.4.2 Population size	Unit number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10)			
(other than individuals)	min 31 max 31			
2.4.3 Additional information	Definition of locality			
	Conversion method			
	Problems Impossible to convert grids into individuals			
2.4.4 Year or period	1992-2012			
2.4.5 Method – population size	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)			
2.4.6 Short-term trend period	2001-2012			
2.4.7 Short term trend direction	decrease (-)			
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude	min max confidence interval			
2.4.9 Short-term trend method	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)			
2.4.10 Long-term trend period				
2.4.11 Long term trend direction	N/A			
<ul><li>2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude</li><li>2.4.13 Long-term trend method</li></ul>	min max confidence interval N/A			
2.4.14 Favourable reference	number			
population	operator more than (>)			
	unknown No			
	method Expert judgement			
2.4.15 Reason for change				

22/04/2014 16.20.47 Page 2 of 4

2.5 Habitat for the Species2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²)

2.5.2 Year or period

2.5.3 Method used - habitat
2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method
2.5.5 Short term trend period
2.5.6 Short term trend direction
2.5.7 Long-term trend period
2.5.8 Long term trend direction
2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)

Absent data (0) Moderate Expert based 2001-2012 stable (0)

N/A

Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
based only on exper	t judgements (1)	
Air pollution, air-borne pollutants (H04)		N/A
agricultural intensification (A02.01)		N/A
	medium importance (M)	N/A
speleology (G01.04.02)		N/A
	low importance (L)	N/A
als (A07)	high importance (H)	N/A
	medium importance (M)	N/A
Unknown threat or pressure (U)		N/A
Pressure		pollution qualifier(s)
Improved knowledg	e/more accurate data Use of d	ifferent method
	als (A07)	high importance (H) low importance (L) medium importance (M) medium importance (M) medium importance (M)

2.7 Wall Till Cats		
Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Mining and quarrying (C01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	high importance (H)	N/A
wind energy production (C03.03)	low importance (L)	N/A
speleology (G01.04.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
recreational cave visits (G01.04.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
agricultural intensification (A02.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Air pollution, air-borne pollutants (H04)	high importance (H)	N/A
underground mining (C01.04.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A

2.7.1 Method used – threats

expert opinion (1)

### 2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

### 2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range assessment Inadequate (U1)

qualifiers N/A

2.9.2. Population assessment Inadequate (U1) qualifiers N/A

22/04/2014 16.20.47 Page 3 of 4

2.9.3. Habitat2.9.4. Future prospects2.9.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status

assessment Inadequate (U1)
qualifiers N/A
assessment Inadequate (U1)
qualifiers N/A
Inadequate (U1)
declining (-)

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population					
3.1.1 Population Size		Unit Min	I/A max		
<ul><li>3.1.2 Method used</li><li>3.1.3 Trend of population size within</li></ul>		Absent data (0) N/A			
3.2 Conversation Measur		14/71			
3.2.1 Measure	3.2.2 Type		3.2.3 Ranking	3.2.4 Location	3.2.5 Broad Evaluation
Legal protection of habitats and species (6.3)	Legal		low importance (L)	Both	Maintain
Specific single species or species group management measures (7.4)	Legal One-off		medium importance (M)	Both	Maintain

22/04/2014 16.20.47 Page 4 of 4