## Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

0.1 Member State	IT
0.2.1 Species code	1189
0.2.2 Species name	Discoglossus pictus
0.2.3 Alternative species scientific name	N/A
0.2.4 Common name	Discoglosso dipinto

#### 1. National Level

#### **1.1 Maps**

1.1.1 Distribution Map
Yes
1.1.1a Sensitive species
No
Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)
1.1.3 Year or period
2000-2012
No
1.1.4 Additional map
Yes

### 2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

#### 2.1 Biogeographical Region

#### 2.2 Published sources

#### Mediterranean (MED)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Anna Rita Di Cerbo, Francesco Ficetola, Roberto Sindaco (Societas Herpetologica Italica). Information, unpublished data and experts' judgments have been provided by Anna Rita Di Cerbo, Francesco Ficetola, Roberto Sindaco.

Capula, M., 2007. Discoglossus pictus Otth, 1837, In Fauna d'Italia, Vol. XLII: Amphibia. eds B. Lanza, F. Andreone, M.A. Bologna, C. Corti, E. Razzetti, pp. 312-318. Calderini, Bologna.

Rondinini, C., Battistoni, A., Peronace, V., Teofili, C. (compilatori). 2013. Lista Rossa IUCN dei Vertebrati Italiani. Comitato Italiano IUCN e Ministero dell'Ambiente, del Territorio e del Mare, Roma.

#### 2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)
2.3.2 Method - Range surface area
2.3.3 Short-term trend period
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude
2.3.6 Long-term trend period
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude
2.3.9 Favourable reference range

27100

Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)

2001-2012 stable (0)

min max

N/A

min max

area (km²)

operator approximately equal to (≈)

unkown No

method Expert judgement

2.3.10 Reason for change Use of different method

#### 2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size
(individuals or agreed exception)
Unit
N/A
min
max

09/04/2014 15.47.02 Page 1 of 3

# Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals)	Unit number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10) min 169 max 169
2.4.3 Additional information	Definition of locality
	Conversion method
	Problems
2.4.4 Year or period	2000-2012
2.4.5 Method – population size	Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)
2.4.6 Short-term trend period	2001-2012
2.4.7 Short term trend direction	stable (0)
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude	min max confidence interval
2.4.9 Short-term trend method	Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)
2.4.10 Long-term trend period	
2.4.11 Long term trend direction	N/A
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude	min max confidence interval
2.4.13 Long-term trend method	N/A number
2.4.14 Favourable reference population	operator approximately equal to (≈)
population	unknown No
	method Expert judgement
2.4.15 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data
	improved knowledge/more accurate data
2.5 Habitat for the Species	
2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²)	
2.5.2 Year or period	Alexandria (O)
2.5.3 Method used - habitat 2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat	Absent data (0) Good
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method	information available from recent field surveys
2.5.5 Short term trend period	2001-2012
2.5.6 Short term trend direction	stable (0)
2.5.7 Long-term trend period	· ,
2.5.8 Long term trend direction	N/A

2	Mai		7		
/ h	IVIA	ını	Jro	CCII	LOC

2.5.10 Reason for change

2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
agricultural intensification (A02.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Water abstractions from surface waters (J02.06)	medium importance (M)	N/A
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	medium importance (M)	N/A
invasive non-native species (I01)	low importance (L)	N/A

Improved knowledge/more accurate data

2.6.1 Method used – pressures mainly based on expert judgement and other data (2)

#### 2.7 Main Threats

09/04/2014 15.47.03 Page 2 of 3

### Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

Threat		ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
use of biocides, hormones and chemic	cals (A07)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Water abstractions from surface water	rs (J02.06)	low importance (L)	N/A
2.7.1 Method used – threats	expert opinion (1)		
2.8 Complementary Information			
2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends			
2.8.2 Other relevant Information			
2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment			
2.9 Conclusions (assessment of co	nservation status at	end of reporting period)	
2.9.1 Range	assessment Favour	rable (FV)	
2.9.2. Population	assessment Favour qualifiers N/A	rable (FV)	
2.9.3. Habitat	assessment Favour qualifiers N/A	rable (FV)	
2.9.4. Future prospects	assessment Favour qualifiers N/A	rable (FV)	
2.9.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status	Favourable (FV)		
2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status	N/A		

3.1 Population				
3.1.1 Population Size	Unit min	N/A	max	
<ul><li>3.1.2 Method used</li><li>3.1.3 Trend of population size within</li></ul>	N/A N/A			
3.2 Conversation Measures				

09/04/2014 15.47.03 Page 3 of 3