### Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

0.1 Member State	п
0.2.1 Species code	1261
0.2.2 Species name	Lacerta agilis
0.2.3 Alternative species scientific name	N/A
0.2.4 Common name	Lucertola agile

#### 1. National Level

#### **1.1 Maps**

1.1.1 Distribution Map
Yes
1.1.1a Sensitive species
No
Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)
1.1.3 Year or period
2000-2012
No
1.1.4 Additional map
Yes

### 2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

#### 2.1 Biogeographical Region

#### 2.2 Published sources

#### Alpine (ALP)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Anna Rita Di Cerbo, Francesco Ficetola, Roberto Sindaco (Societas Herpetologica Italica). Information, unpublished data and experts' judgments have been provided by Anna Rita Di Cerbo, Francesco Ficetola, Roberto Sindaco.

Di Già, I., Sindaco, R., 2004. The distribution and status of Lacerta agilis in Piedmont (NW Italy) (Reptilia, Lacertidae). Italian Journal of Zoology 71, Suppl. 1, 117-119.

Lapini, L., Dall'Asta, A., 2004. Lacerta agilis in north-eastern Italy (Reptilia, Lacertidae). Italian Journal of Zoology 71, Suppl. 1, 117-119.

Rondinini, C., Battistoni, A., Peronace, V., Teofili, C. (compilatori). 2013. Lista Rossa IUCN dei Vertebrati Italiani. Comitato Italiano IUCN e Ministero dell'Ambiente, del Territorio e del Mare, Roma.

#### 2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)
2.3.2 Method - Range surface area
2.3.3 Short-term trend period
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude
2.3.6 Long-term trend period
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude
2.3.9 Favourable reference range

500

Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)

2001-2012 stable (0)

min max

N/A

min max

area (km²)

operator approximately equal to (≈)

unkown No

method Expert judgement

2.3.10 Reason for change Use of different method

#### 2.4 Population

11/04/2014 13.29.03 Page 1 of 3

## Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

ii, it and t species (Ain	ick by					
2.4.1 Population size	Unit N/A					
(individuals or agreed exception)	min	max				
2.4.2 Population size	Unit number	r of map 10x10 km grid cells	(grids10x10)			
(other than individuals)	min 5	max 5				
2.4.3 Additional information	Definition of loca	lity				
	Conversion meth					
	Problems					
2.4.4 Year or period	2000-2012					
2.4.5 Method – population size	Complete survey	/Complete survey or a statis	tically robust estimate (3)			
2.4.6 Short-term trend period	2001-2012					
2.4.7 Short term trend direction	stable (0)					
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude	min		confidence interval			
2.4.9 Short-term trend method	Estimate based of	on partial data with some ext	rapolation and/or modelling (2)			
<ul><li>2.4.10 Long-term trend period</li><li>2.4.11 Long term trend direction</li></ul>	N/A					
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude	min	max	confidence interval			
2.4.13 Long-term trend method	N/A					
2.4.14 Favourable reference	number					
population		roximately equal to (≈)				
unknown No						
	·	ert judgement				
2.4.15 Reason for change	Improved knowle	edge/more accurate data				
2.5 Habitat for the Species						
2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²)	2000 2012					
<ul><li>2.5.2 Year or period</li><li>2.5.3 Method used - habitat</li></ul>	2000-2012 Absent data (0)					
2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat	Moderate					
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method		bility of alpine grasslands wit	hin the small range of the species in			
	Italy	, , ,				
2.5.5 Short term trend period	2001-2012					
2.5.6 Short term trend direction	decrease (-)					
<ul><li>2.5.7 Long-term trend period</li><li>2.5.8 Long term trend direction</li></ul>	N/A					
2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)	N/A					
2.5.10 Reason for change	Improved knowl	edge/more accurate data				
2000: 2	·					
2.6 Main Pressures						
Pressure		ranking	pollution qualifier(s)			
Forest and Plantation management & u	ıse (B02)	medium importance (N	•			
Unknown threat or pressure (U)		()	N/A			
2.6.1 Method used – pressures	mainly based on	expert judgement and other	r data (2)			
2.7 Main Threats						
Threat		ranking	pollution qualifier(s)			

11/04/2014 13.29.04 Page 2 of 3

N/A

Unknown threat or pressure (U)

# Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

2.7.1 Method used – threats	expert opinion (1)
2.8 Complementary Information	
2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends	
2.8.2 Other relevant Information	
2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment	
2.9 Conclusions (assessment of cor	nservation status at end of reporting period)
2.9.1 Range	assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A
2.9.2. Population	assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A
2.9.3. Habitat	assessment Inadequate (U1) qualifiers declining (-)
2.9.4. Future prospects	assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A
2.9.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status	Inadequate (U1)
2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status	stable (=)
3. Natura 2000 coverage a	and conservation measures - Annex II species
3.1 Population	

3.1 Population				
3.1.1 Population Size	Unit min	N/A	max	
<ul><li>3.1.2 Method used</li><li>3.1.3 Trend of population size within</li></ul>	N/A N/A			
3.2 Conversation Measures				

11/04/2014 13.29.04 Page 3 of 3