### Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

0.1 Member State	Π
0.2.1 Species code	1219
0.2.2 Species name	Testudo graeca
0.2.3 Alternative species scientific name	N/A
0.2.4 Common name	Testuggine moresca

### 1. National Level

#### **1.1 Maps**

1.1.1 Distribution Map
Yes
1.1.1a Sensitive species
No
Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)
1.1.3 Year or period
2000-2012
No
1.1.4 Additional map
Yes

### 2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

#### 2.1 Biogeographical Region

#### 2.2 Published sources

#### Mediterranean (MED)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Anna Rita Di Cerbo, Francesco Ficetola, Roberto Sindaco (Societas Herpetologica Italica). Information, unpublished data and experts' judgments have been provided by Anna Rita Di Cerbo, Francesco Ficetola, Roberto Sindaco.

Carpaneto G.M., 2006. Testudo graeca Linnaeus, 1758. In: Atlante degli Anfibi e dei Rettili d'Italia / Atlas of Italians Amphibians and Reptiles, Sindaco R., Doria G., Razzetti E. & Bernini F. (Eds). P. 386-389. Societas Herpetologica Italica. Edizioni Polistampa, Firenze.

Carpaneto G.M., Corti C., 2011. Testudo graeca Linnaeus, 1758. In: Fauna d'Italia, vol. XLV, Reptilia. A cura di Corti C., Capula M., Luiselli L., Razzetti E., Sindaco R., p. 180-187. Edizioni Calderini de II Sole 24 ORE, Bologna.

Rondinini, C., Battistoni, A., Peronace, V., Teofili, C. (compilatori). 2013. Lista Rossa IUCN dei Vertebrati Italiani. Comitato Italiano IUCN e Ministero dell'Ambiente, del Territorio e del Mare, Roma.

#### 2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)
2.3.2 Method - Range surface area
2.3.3 Short-term trend period
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude
2.3.6 Long-term trend period
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude
2.3.9 Favourable reference range

800

Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)

2001-2012 stable (0)

min max

N/A

min max

area (km²)

operator approximately equal to (≈)

unkown No

method Expert judgement

2.3.10 Reason for change Use of different method

11/04/2014 13.39.52 Page 1 of 3

# Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

2.4 Population					
2.4.1 Population size	Unit N/A				
(individuals or agreed exception)	min max				
2.4.2 Population size	Unit number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids1	.0x10)			
(other than individuals)	min 7 max 7				
2.4.3 Additional information	Definition of locality				
	Conversion method				
	Problems				
2.4.4 Year or period	2000-2012				
2.4.5 Method – population size	Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)				
2.4.6 Short-term trend period	2001-2012				
2.4.7 Short term trend direction	stable (0)				
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude		ence interval			
2.4.9 Short-term trend method	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapola	tion and/or modelling (2)			
2.4.10 Long-term trend period	21/2				
2.4.11 Long term trend direction	N/A	on on internal			
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude 2.4.13 Long-term trend method	min max confide N/A	ence interval			
2.4.14 Favourable reference	number				
population	operator approximately equal to (≈)				
	unknown No				
	method Expert judgement				
2.4.15 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data				
2.5 Habitat for the Species					
2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²)					
2.5.2 Year or period	2000-2012				
2.5.3 Method used - habitat	Absent data (0)				
2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat	Good				
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method	Moderate decrease of habitats because of intensificurbanisation. Roads can affect population owing to	•			
2.5.5 Short term trend period	2001-2012	vernere completi.			
2.5.6 Short term trend direction	stable (0)				
2.5.7 Long-term trend period					
2.5.8 Long term trend direction	N/A				
2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)					
2.5.10 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data				
2.6 Main Pressures					
Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)			
Roads, paths and railroads (D01)	medium importance (M)	N/A			

11/04/2014 13.39.52 Page 2 of 3

high importance (H)

medium importance (M)

medium importance (M)

N/A

N/A

N/A

burning down (J01.01)

(F03.02.01)

anthropogenic reduction of habitat connectivity (J03.02)

collection of animals (insects, reptiles, amphibians.....)

## Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

modification of cultivation practices (A02)			m importance (M)	N/A	
2.6.1 Method used – pressure	s mainly based	d on expert judge	ement and other dat	ta (2)	
2.7 Main Threats					
Threat			5	pollution qualifier(s)	
Roads, paths and railroads (D01)			m importance (M)	N/A	
burning down (J01.01)			nportance (H)	N/A	
anthropogenic reduction of habitat connectivity (J03.02)			m importance (M)	N/A	
collection of animals (insects, reptiles, amphibians) (F03.02.01)			m importance (M)	N/A	
modification of cultivation practices (A02)			m importance (M)	N/A	
2.7.1 Method used – threats	expert opinio	on (1)			
2.8 Complementary Inform	ation				
2.8.1 Justification of % threshot trends	olds for				
2.8.2 Other relevant Informati	on				
2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessm	ent				
2.9 Conclusions (assessmer	nt of conservation sta	tus at end of re	porting period)		
2.9.1 Range		assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A			
2.9.2. Population					
2.9.3. Habitat					
2.9.4. Future prospects	assessment qualifiers	Favourable (FV) N/A			
2.9.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status	Favourable (	FV)			
2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status	N/A				
3. Natura 2000 cove	rage and conser	vation mea	sures - Annex	II species	
3.1 Population					
3.1.1 Population Size	Unit N// min	A max			
3.1.2 Method used Absent data (0)		(0)			
3.1.3 Trend of population size		. /			
3.2 Conversation Measures					
3.2.1 Measure 3.	2.2 Type	3.2.3 Ranking	3.2.4 Location	3.2.5 Broad Evaluation	

11/04/2014 13.39.52 Page 3 of 3

Both

Maintain

medium

importance (M)

Legal protection of habitats Legal

and species (6.3)