Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

0.1 Member State	п
0.2.1 Species code	1165
0.2.2 Species name	Euproctus platycephalus
0.2.3 Alternative species scientific name	N/A
0.2.4 Common name	Tritone sardo

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map	Yes
1.1.1a Sensitive species	No
1.1.2 Method used - map	Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)
1.1.3 Year or period	2000-2012
1.1.4 Additional map	No
1.1.5 Range map	Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

Mediterranean (MED)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Anna Rita Di Cerbo, Francesco Ficetola, Roberto Sindaco (Societas Herpetologica Italica). Information, unpublished data and experts' judgments have been provided by Anna Rita Di Cerbo, Francesco Ficetola, Roberto Sindaco.

AA.VV. 2010 - Euproctus platycephalus (Amphibia, Urodela). Piano di Conservazione. Servizio Tutela della Natura dell'Assessorato Difesa dell'Ambiente della R.A.S. 36 pp.

Bielby, J., Bovero, S., Sotgiu, G., Tessa, G., Favelli, M., Angelini, C., Doglio, S., Clare, F.C., Gazzaniga, E., Lapietra, F., Garner, T.W.J., 2009. Fatal chytridiomycosis in the Tyrrhenian Painted Frog. EcoHealth 6, 27-32.

De Pous P., Speybroeck J., Bogaerts S., Pasmans F., Beukema W. 2012. A contribution to the atlas of the terrestrial herpetofauna of Sardinia. Herpetology Notes, volume 5: 391-405.

Lecis, R., 2007. Euproctus platycephalus (Gravenhorst, 1929), In Fauna d'Italia, Vol. XLII: Amphibia. eds B. Lanza, F. Andreone, M.A. Bologna, C. Corti, E. Razzetti, pp. 192-195. Calderini, Bologna.

Lecis, R., 2004. The endemic Sardinian newt Euproctus platycephalus: local threats and population decline. Italian Journal of Zoology 71 (Suppl. 2), 195-198.

Lecis, R., Norris, K., 2003. Habitat correlates of distribution and local population decline of the endemic Sardinian newt Euproctus platycephalus. Biological Conservation 115, 303-317.

Regione Autonoma della Sardegna - Assessorato Difesa Ambiente , 2012 - "Servizio di monitoraggio dello stato di conservazione degli habitat e delle specie di importanza comunitaria presenti nei siti della Rete Natura 2000 in Sardegna – Linea 4. Redazione del Rapporto sullo stato di conservazione degli habitat e delle

09/04/2014 16.07.31 Page 1 of 4

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

specie ".

Rondinini, C., Battistoni, A., Peronace, V., Teofili, C. (compilatori). 2013. Lista Rossa IUCN dei Vertebrati Italiani. Comitato Italiano IUCN e Ministero dell'Ambiente, del Territorio e del Mare, Roma.

Sotgiu G., Bovero S., Bielby J., Doglio S., Favelli M., Garner T., Gazzaniga E., Marrosu M., Tessa G. & Angelini C. 2010 - Dati aggiornati sulla distribuzione di Euproctus platycephalus. In: Di Tizio L., Di Cerbo A. R., Di Francesco N., Cameli A. (Eds). Programma e Riassunti VIII Congresso Nazionale Societas Herpetologica Italica (Chieti, 22-26 settembre 2010), Tipografia Brandolini, Chieti: 10.

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²) 50

2.3.2 Method - Range surface area

2.3.3 Short-term trend period

2.3.4 Short-term trend direction

2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude

2.3.6 Long-term trend period

2.3.7 Long-term trend direction

2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude

2.3.9 Favourable reference range

5000

Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)

2001-2012

stable (0)

min max

N/A

min

area (km²)

operator approximately equal to (≈)

max

unkown No

method Expert judgement

2.3.10 Reason for change

Use of different method

2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size

(individuals or agreed exception)

2.4.2 Population size

(other than individuals)

Unit N/A

min max

Unit number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10)

min 29 max 29

2.4.3 Additional information

Definition of locality

Conversion method

Problems

2.4.4 Year or period

2.4.5 Method – population size Co

2.4.6 Short-term trend period

2.4.7 Short term trend direction

2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude

2.4.9 Short-term trend method

2.4.10 Long-term trend period

2.4.11 Long term trend direction

2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude

2.4.13 Long-term trend method

2.4.14 Favourable reference

population

2000-2012

Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)

2001-2012

decrease (-)

.....

min max confidence interval

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

N/A

min max confidence interval

N/A

number

operator more than (>)

unknown No

09/04/2014 16.07.31 Page 2 of 4

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

2.4.15 Reason for change	method Expert judgement Improved knowledge/more accurate data
2.5 Habitat for the Species	
 2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²) 2.5.2 Year or period 2.5.3 Method used - habitat 2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat 2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method 	2000-2012 Absent data (0) Moderate loss of quality caused by fish introduction and water pollution and water
2.5.5 Short term trend period2.5.6 Short term trend direction2.5.7 Long-term trend period	captation for agricultural use 2001-2012 decrease (-)
 2.5.8 Long term trend direction 2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²) 2.5.10 Reason for change 	N/A Improved knowledge/more accurate data

2.6 Main Pressures		
Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
reduced fecundity/ genetic depression (K05)	high importance (H)	N/A
introduction of disease (microbial pathogens) (K03.03)	high importance (H)	N/A
invasive non-native species (I01)	high importance (H)	N/A
droughts and less precipitations (M01.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Water abstractions from surface waters (J02.06)	high importance (H)	N/A

2.6.1 Method used – pressures	mainly based on expert judgement and other data (2)

7	Main	Threats

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
reduced fecundity/ genetic depression (K05)	high importance (H)	N/A
introduction of disease (microbial pathogens) (K03.03)	high importance (H)	N/A
invasive non-native species (IO1)	high importance (H)	N/A
droughts and less precipitations (M01.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Water abstractions from surface waters (J02.06)	high importance (H)	N/A

2.7.1 Method used – threats expert opinion (1)

2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range	assessment Favourable (FV)
	qualifiers N/A
2.9.2. Population	assessment Inadequate (U1)
	qualifiers declining (-)
2.9.3. Habitat	assessment Inadequate (U1)
	qualifiers declining (-)

09/04/2014 16.07.31 Page 3 of 4

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

2.9.4. Future prospects assessment Inadequate (U1) qualifiers declining (-) 2.9.5 Overall assessment of Inadequate (U1) **Conservation Status** 2.9.5 Overall trend in declining (-) **Conservation Status**

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population			
3.1.1 Population Size	Unit min	N/A	max
3.1.2 Method used3.1.3 Trend of population size within	N/A N/A		
3.2 Conversation Measures			

09/04/2014 16.07.31 Page 4 of 4