0.1 Member State	Π
0.2.1 Species code	1326
0.2.2 Species name	Plecotus auritus
0.2.3 Alternative species scientific name	N/A
0.2.4 Common name	N/A

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map	Yes
1.1.1a Sensitive species	No
1.1.2 Method used - map	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
1.1.3 Year or period	1985-2012
1.1.4 Additional map	No
1.1.5 Range map	Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

Mediterranean (MED)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Daniele Paoloni, Cristiano Spilinga (Associazione Teriologica Italiana - ATIt) and Anna Alonzi, Piero Genovesi, Francesca Ronchi (Institute for Environmental Protection and Research - ISPRA). Information, unpublished data and experts' judgments have been provided by Paolo Agnelli, Mara Calvini, Luca Cistrone, Michele Ferretto, Mauro Mucedda, Danilo Russo, Dino Scaravelli, Martina Spada, Roberto Toffoli, Simone Vergari (Italian Group for bat Research).

Archivio Osservatorio Regionale per Biodiversità. Regione Umbria.

Banca dati dell'Osservatorio Regionale della Biodiversità della Campania.

Calvini M., 2006. Monitoraggio dei chirotteri nella piana del Magra e Vallecchia (SP) (rapporto interno).

Calvini M., 2006. I Chirotteri della ZPS Beigua-Turchino e del Parco del Beigua; 70 pag. Ente Parco del Beigua, Regione Liguria.

Calvini M., 2007. Studio preliminare sulla chirotterofauna delle tre foreste demaniali del Parco dell'Aveto (rapporto interno).

Calvini M., 2007. I Chirotteri delle Alpi Liguri; 24 pag. Provincia di Imperia, Regione Liguria.

Calvini M., 2009. Indagine chirotterologica nei seguenti SIC della provincia di Savona: IT1323201, IT1324011, IT1323112 e IT1323203 (rapporto interno).

Calvini M., 2010. Monitoraggio delle colonie di chirotteri riproduttive e svernanti di particolare interesse conservazionistico note in Liguria (rapporto interno).

Ente Parco Nazionale del Cilento e Vallo di Diano. Relazione di monitoragio dei Chirotteri nel Parco Nazionale del Cilento e Vallo di Diano.

22/04/2014 16.16.54 Page 1 of 12

Database del Repertorio Naturalistico Toscano.

Loy A., De Lisio L., Capula M., Ciucci P., Russo D., Sciarretta A., 2012. Rapporto finale - Convenzione stipulata tra la Regione Molise e la Unione Zoologica Italiana per la realizzazione dei piani di gestione dei Siti Natura 2000.n. 1393/2008. Unione Zoologica Italiana, Regione Molise.

Mucedda M., Kiefer A., Pidinchedda E., Veith M., 2002. A new species of longeared bat (Chiroptera, Vespertilionidae) from Sardinia (Italy). Acta Chiropterologica, 4 (2): 121-135.

Regione Autonoma della Sardegna - Assessorato Difesa Ambiente, 2012 -"Servizio di monitoraggio dello stato di conservazione degli habitat e delle specie di importanza comunitaria presenti nei siti della Rete Natura 2000 in Sardegna – Linea 4. Redazione del Rapporto sullo stato di conservazione di habitat e specie.

Regione Liguria, 2008, Carta della Biodiversità, www.ambienteinliguria.it

Spilinga C., Russo D., Carletti S., Jiménez Grijalva M.P., Sergiacomi U., Ragni B., (in stampa). Chirotteri dell'Umbria. Distribuzione geografica ed ecologica. Regione Umbria. Università degli Studi di Perugia.

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)

2.3.2 Method - Range surface area

2.3.3 Short-term trend period

2.3.4 Short-term trend direction

2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude

2.3.6 Long-term trend period

2.3.7 Long-term trend direction

2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude

2.3.9 Favourable reference range

14600

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2001-2012 stable (0)

min max

N/A

Unit

min max

area (km²)

operator approximately equal to (≈)

unkown

method Expert judgement

2.3.10 Reason for change

Improved knowledge/more accurate dataUse of different method

2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size

(individuals or agreed exception)

N/A

min max

2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals) Unit number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10)

47 47 min max

2.4.3 Additional information

Definition of locality

Conversion method

Problems Impossible to convert grids into individuals

2.4.4 Year or period

1985-2012 2.4.5 Method – population size

Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)

2.4.6 Short-term trend period

2001-2012

22/04/2014 16.16.54 Page 2 of 12

2.4.7 Short term trend direction 2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude 2.4.9 Short-term trend method 2.4.10 Long-term trend period	stable (0) min max confidence interval Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)
2.4.11 Long term trend direction 2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude 2.4.13 Long-term trend method 2.4.14 Favourable reference population	N/A min max confidence interval N/A number operator approximately equal to (≈) unknown No method Expert judgement
2.4.15 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method
2.5 Habitat for the Species	
 2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²) 2.5.2 Year or period 2.5.3 Method used - habitat 2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat 2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method 	Absent data (0) Moderate
2.3.4 b) Quality of Habitat - Method	Expert based

2.5.10 Reason for change Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

2001-2012

decrease (-)

N/A

2.5.5 Short term trend period

2.5.7 Long-term trend period2.5.8 Long term trend direction

2.5.6 Short term trend direction

2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)

2.6.1 Method used – pressures

2.6 Main Pressures		
Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Forest and Plantation management & use (B02)	high importance (H)	N/A
forestry clearance (B02.02)	high importance (H)	N/A
removal of dead and dying trees (B02.04)	high importance (H)	N/A
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	medium importance (M)	N/A
modification of cultivation practices (A02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
agricultural intensification (A02.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
reconstruction, renovation of buildings (E06.02)	high importance (H)	N/A
demolishment of buildings & human structures (E06.01)	high importance (H)	N/A

2.7 Main Threats		
Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Forest and Plantation management & use (B02)	high importance (H)	N/A
forestry clearance (B02.02)	high importance (H)	N/A
removal of dead and dying trees (B02.04)	medium importance (M)	N/A
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	medium importance (M)	N/A
modification of cultivation practices (A02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
agricultural intensification (A02.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A

based only on expert judgements (1)

22/04/2014 16.16.54 Page 3 of 12

demolishment of buildings & human structures (E06.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
reconstruction, renovation of buildings (E06.02)	high importance (H)	N/A
closures of caves or galleries (G05.08)	high importance (H)	N/A
burning down (J01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A

2.7.1 Method used – threats expert opinion (1)

2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range

assessment Favourable (FV)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.2. Population

assessment Favourable (FV)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.3. Habitat

assessment Inadequate (U1)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.4. Future prospects

assessment Inadequate (U1)

2.9.5 Overall assessment of

Conservation Status

2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status

declining (-)

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

qualifiers N/A

Inadequate (U1)

3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size

Unit N/A

min max

3.1.2 Method used

N/A

3.1.3 Trend of population size within

N/A

3.2 Conversation Measures

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

Continental (CON)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Daniele Paoloni, Cristiano Spilinga (Associazione Teriologica Italiana - ATIt) and Anna Alonzi, Piero Genovesi, Francesca Ronchi (Institute for Environmental Protection and Research - ISPRA). Information, unpublished data and experts' judgments have been provided by Paolo Agnelli, Mara Calvini, Luca Cistrone, Michele Ferretto, Danilo Russo, Dino Scaravelli, Martina Spada, Roberto Toffoli, Simone Vergari (Italian Group for bat Research).

Archivio Osservatorio Regionale per Biodiversità. Regione Umbria.

22/04/2014 16.16.54 Page 4 of 12

Archivio Stazione Teriologica Piemontese.

Banca Dati Regionale Emilia Romagna (aggiornamento al 2010).

Calvini M., 2006. Monitoraggio dei chirotteri nella piana del Magra e Vallecchia (SP) (rapporto interno).

Calvini M., 2007. Studio preliminare sulla chirotterofauna delle tre foreste demaniali del Parco dell'Aveto (rapporto interno).

Calvini M., 2009. I Chirotteri del SIC IT1110022 Stagno di Oulx e IT1110020 Lago di Viverone. IPLA (rapporto interno).

Calvini M., 2010. Monitoraggio delle colonie di chirotteri riproduttive e svernanti di particolare interesse conservazionistico note in Liguria (rapporto interno).

Database del Repertorio Naturalistico Toscano.

Debernardi P., Patriarca E., 2009. Attivita' di rilevamento chirotterologico ed esperienze pilota di gestione ambientale finalizzate alla conservazione dei chirotteri presso il Parco Naturale Laghi di Avigliana. Pp. 29. (Rapporto interno).

Debernardi P., Patriarca E., Toffoli R., 2010. Monitoraggio delle colonie di chirotteri riproduttive e svernanti di particolare interesse conservazionistico note in piemonte e dati preliminari sull'attività di swarming. Stato delle conoscenze al 30 aprile 2010. CRC, Regione Piemonte - Direzione ambiente - Settore pianificazione e gestione aree naturali protette (relazione interna). Pp. 83.

G.I.R.C. Gruppo Italiano Ricerca Chirotteri, 2004. The Italian Bat Roost Project: a preliminary inventory of sites and conservation perspectives. Hystrix It. J. Mamm. (n.s.) 15 (2): 55-68.

Insubria DataBat, 2012. Data base chirotteri dell'Università degli Studi dell'Insubria aggiornato al 2012.

Spada M., Preatoni G., Tosi G., Martinoli A., 2010. Piano di monitoraggio dei Vertebrati terrestri di interesse comunitario (Direttive 79/409/CEE e 92/43/CEE) in Lombardia. Il monitoraggio dei Chirotteri. Fondazione Lombardia per l'Ambiente, Università degli Studi dell'Insubria.

Spilinga C., Russo D., Carletti S., Jiménez Grijalva M.P., Sergiacomi U., Ragni B., (in stampa). Chirotteri dell'Umbria. Distribuzione geografica ed ecologica. Regione Umbria. Università degli Studi di Perugia.

Spitzenberger F., Strelkov P.P., Haring, Winkler H., Haring B., 2006. A preliminary revision of the genus Plecotus (Chiroptera, Vespertilionidae) based on genetic and morphological results. Zoologica Scripta, The Norwegian Academy of Science and Letters, 35, 3 (2006): 187-230

Kryštufek B., Rešek Donev N., 2005. The Atlas of Slovenian Bats (Chiroptera). Scopolia, 55 (2005): 1-92

Vigorita V., Cucè L., 2008. La fauna selvatica in Lombardia. Rapporto 2008 su distribuzione, abbondanza e stato di conservazione di uccelli e mammiferi.

22/04/2014 16.16.54 Page 5 of 12

Regione Lombardia. Pp. 364.

	Regione zombaraia.	. р. 55 п	
2.3 Range			
 2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²) 2.3.2 Method - Range surface area 2.3.3 Short-term trend period 2.3.4 Short-term trend direction 	19400 Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2) 2001-2012 stable (0)		
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude2.3.6 Long-term trend period	min	max	
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction	N/A		
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude	min	max	
2.3.9 Favourable reference range	area (km²)	an manimataly assum	I to (.)
	operator unkown	approximately equa No	1 to (≈)
	method	Expert judgement	
2.3.10 Reason for change	Improved knowledge	e/more accurate datal	Jse of different method
2.4 Population			
2.4.1 Population size	Unit N/A		
(individuals or agreed exception)	min	max	
2.4.2 Population size	Unit number of r	map 10x10 km grid cel	ls (grids10x10)
(other than individuals)	min 72	max 72	
2.4.3 Additional information	Definition of locality		
	Conversion method		
	Problems	Impossible to con	vert grids into individuals
2.4.4 Year or period	1985-2012		
2.4.5 Method – population size		pert opinion with no	or minimal sampling (1)
2.4.6 Short-term trend period	2001-2012		
2.4.7 Short term trend direction	stable (0)		and decree to be much
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude2.4.9 Short-term trend method	min Estimate based on ex	max opert opinion with no	confidence interval or minimal sampling (1)
2.4.10 Long-term trend period		.po. c opinion with no	
2.4.11 Long term trend direction	N/A		
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude	min	max	confidence interval
2.4.13 Long-term trend method	N/A		
2.4.14 Favourable reference population	number operator approxi	mately equal to (≈)	
population	unknown No	matery equal to (~)	
		udgement	
2.4.15 Reason for change	Improved knowledge	/more accurate data	Jse of different method
2.5 Habitat for the Species			
2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²)			
2.5.2 Year or period			
2.5.3 Method used - habitat	Absent data (0)		

22/04/2014 16.16.54 Page 6 of 12

Moderate

Expert based

2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat

2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method

2.5.5 Short term trend period
2.5.6 Short term trend direction
2.5.7 Long-term trend period
2.5.8 Long term trend direction
N/A

2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)

2.5.10 Reason for change

Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

2.6 Main Pressures		
Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Forest and Plantation management & use (B02)	high importance (H)	N/A
forestry clearance (B02.02)	high importance (H)	N/A
removal of dead and dying trees (B02.04)	high importance (H)	N/A
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	medium importance (M)	N/A
modification of cultivation practices (A02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
agricultural intensification (A02.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
demolishment of buildings & human structures (E06.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
reconstruction, renovation of buildings (E06.02)	high importance (H)	N/A
2.6.1 Method used – pressures based only on expe	ert judgements (1)	
2.7 Main Threats		

2.7 Main Threats		
Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Forest and Plantation management & use (B02)	high importance (H)	N/A
forestry clearance (B02.02)	high importance (H)	N/A
removal of dead and dying trees (B02.04)	medium importance (M)	N/A
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	medium importance (M)	N/A
modification of cultivation practices (A02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
agricultural intensification (A02.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
demolishment of buildings & human structures (E06.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
reconstruction, renovation of buildings (E06.02)	high importance (H)	N/A
closures of caves or galleries (G05.08)	high importance (H)	N/A

2.7.1 Method used – threats expert opinion (1)

2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range

assessment Favourable (FV)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.2. Population

assessment Favourable (FV)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.3. Habitat assessment Inadequate (U1) qualifiers N/A

22/04/2014 16.16.54 Page 7 of 12

2.9.4. Future prospects

2.9.5 Overall assessment of
Conservation Status

2.9.5 Overall trend in
Conservation Status

assessment Inadequate (U1) qualifiers N/A Inadequate (U1)

declining (-)

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size Unit N/A

min max

3.1.2 Method used N/A

.

3.1.3 Trend of population size within N/A

3.2 Conversation Measures

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

Alpine (ALP)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Daniele Paoloni, Cristiano Spilinga (Associazione Teriologica Italiana - ATIt) and Anna Alonzi, Piero Genovesi, Francesca Ronchi (Institute for Environmental Protection and Research - ISPRA). Information, unpublished data and experts' judgments have been provided by Paolo Agnelli, Mara Calvini, Luca Cistrone, Michele Ferretto, Danilo Russo, Dino Scaravelli, Martina Spada, Roberto Toffoli, Simone Vergari (Italian Group for bat Research).

Archivio Stazione Teriologica Piemontese.

Bon M., Paolucci P., Mezzavilla F., De Battisti R., Vernier E. (Cur.), 1996. Atlante dei Mammiferi del Veneto. Lavori Soc. Ven. Sc. Nat., suppl. al vol. 21: 1-136.

Calvini M., 2007. I Chirotteri delle Alpi Liguri; 24 pag. Provincia di Imperia, Regione Liguria.

Calvini M., 2009. I Chirotteri del SIC IT1110022 Stagno di Oulx e IT1110020 Lago di Viverone. IPLA (rapporto interno).

Calvini M., 2009. Indagine sulla chirotterofauna nel SIC "Bric Tana-Bric Mongarda", comune di Millesimo (SV).

Calvini M., 2009. Indagine chirotterologica nei seguenti SIC della provincia di Savona: IT1323201, IT1324011, IT1323112 e IT1323203 (rapporto interno).

Calvini M., 2010. Monitoraggio delle colonie di chirotteri riproduttive e svernanti di particolare interesse conservazionistico note in Liguria (rapporto interno).

Culasso P., Toffoli R., 2011. I Chirotteri del Parco Naturale Alpe Veglia e Alpe Devero e del SIC/ZPS Alpe Veglia e Devero-Monte Giove. Regione Piemonte-

22/04/2014 16.16.54 Page 8 of 12

Parco Naturale Alpe Veglia e Alpe Devero (rapporto interno).

Dati AVK - Arbeitsgemeinschaft Vogelkunde Südtirol (1990-2008).

Debernardi T., Patriarca E., 207-8: Prima segnalazione di Myotis bechsteinii, Myotis daubentonii, Myotis nattereri, Nyctalus leisleri, Pipistrellus pygmaeus, Plecotus macrobullaris e Tadarida taeniotis in Valle d'Aosta. Aggiornamento dell'inventario dei Chirotteri noti per la Regione. Rev. Vald. Hist. Nat., 61-62: 5-27.

Debernardi P., Patriarca E., 2009. Attivita' di rilevamento chirotterologico ed esperienze pilota di gestione ambientale finalizzate alla conservazione dei chirotteri presso il Parco Naturale Laghi di Avigliana. Pp. 29. (Rapporto interno).

Debernardi P., Dondo A., Patriarca E., Toffoli R., 1999. Indagine sulla presenza di Lyssavirus nei Chirotteri nell'Italia nord-occidentale. Atti I Convegno italiano sui Chirotteri, Castell'Azzara (GR) 28-29/03/1998: 257-259.

Debernardi P., Patriarca E., 2007. The bats of the Lake Maggiore Piedmont shore (NW Italy). Hystrix It. J. Mamm. (n.s.) 18 (1): 39-55.

Debernardi P., Patriarca E., Toffoli R., 2010. Monitoraggio delle colonie di chirotteri riproduttive e svernanti di particolare interesse conservazionistico note in Piemonte e dati preliminari sull'attività di swarming. Stato delle conoscenze al 30 aprile 2010. CRC, Regione Piemonte - Direzione ambiente - Settore pianificazione e gestione aree naturali protette (relazione interna). Pp. 83.

Insubria DataBat, 2012. Data base chirotteri dell'Università degli Studi dell'Insubria aggiornato al 2012.

Museo di S.N di Bolzano Indagine sui pipistrelli dell'Alto Adige (1988-1997).

Provincia di Trento. Rilevamenti e monitoraggi popolazioni chirotteri della provincia di Trento nel periodo 1999-2012.

Regione Liguria, 2008, Carta della Biodiversità, www.ambienteinliguria.it

Spada M., Preatoni G., Tosi G., Martinoli A., 2010. Piano di monitoraggio dei Vertebrati terrestri di interesse comunitario (Direttive 79/409/CEE e 92/43/CEE) in Lombardia. Il monitoraggio dei Chirotteri. Fondazione Lombardia per l'Ambiente, Università degli Studi dell'Insubria.

Toffoli R. 1995. Primi dati sulla presenza di Chirotteri. Verbena. Bollettino delle attività di ricerca della Riserva Naturale del bosco e dei Laghi di Palanfrè. 1: 60-61

Toffoli R., 1999. I Chirotteri del Parco Naturale Alpi Marittime. In: Dondini G., Papalini O., Vergari S. (eds); Atti del I° Convegno Italiano sui Chirotteri, Castell'Azzara (Grosseto), 28-29 marzo 1998. Pp. 147-153.

Toffoli R., 2009. I Chirotteri del SIC IT1160036 Stura di Demonte (e successivi aggiornamenti). IPLA (rapporto interno).

Toffoli R., 2012. I Chirotteri del Parco Naturale Alpi Marittime e del SIC/ZPS IT1160056: presenza e misure di conservazione. Regione Piemonte-Parco

22/04/2014 16.16.54 Page 9 of 12

Naturale Alpi Marittime (rapporto interno).

Vigorita V., Cucè L., 2008. La fauna selvatica in Lombardia. Rapporto 2008 su distribuzione, abbondanza e stato di conservazione di uccelli e mammiferi. Regione Lombardia. Pp. 364.

Kryštufek B., Rešek Donev N., 2005. The Atlas of Slovenian Bats (Chiroptera). Scopolia, 55 (2005): 1-92

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²) 2.3.2 Method - Range surface area 2.3.3 Short-term trend period 2.3.4 Short-term trend direction

2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude 2.3.6 Long-term trend period

2.3.7 Long-term trend direction

2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude 2.3.9 Favourable reference range

29600

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2001-2012 stable (0)

min max

N/A

min max

area (km²)

operator approximately equal to (≈)

unkown

method Expert judgement

2.3.10 Reason for change

Improved knowledge/more accurate dataUse of different method

2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size

(individuals or agreed exception)

Unit N/A

min max

2.4.2 Population size

(other than individuals)

Unit number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10)

107 107 min max

2.4.3 Additional information

Definition of locality

Conversion method

Problems Impossible to convert grids into individuals

2.4.4 Year or period

2.4.5 Method - population size

1985-2012

2001-2012

Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)

2.4.6 Short-term trend period

stable (0)

2.4.7 Short term trend direction 2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude

min

2.4.9 Short-term trend method

max

Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)

confidence interval

2.4.10 Long-term trend period 2.4.11 Long term trend direction

N/A

2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude

confidence interval min max

2.4.13 Long-term trend method

N/A number

2.4.14 Favourable reference population

operator approximately equal to (\approx)

unknown No

method Expert judgement

2.4.15 Reason for change

Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

2.5 Habitat for the Species

22/04/2014 16.16.54 Page 10 of 12

2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²)	
2.5.2 Year or period	
2.5.3 Method used - habitat	Absent data (0)
2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat	Good
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method	Expert based
2.5.5 Short term trend period	2001-2012
2.5.6 Short term trend direction	stable (0)
2.5.7 Long-term trend period	
2.5.8 Long term trend direction	N/A
2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)	
2.5.10 Reason for change	Improved know

wledge/more accurate data Use of different method

2.5.10 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method		
2.6 Main Pressures			
Pressure		ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Forest and Plantation management &	& use (B02)	high importance (H)	N/A
forestry clearance (B02.02)		high importance (H)	N/A
removal of dead and dying trees (B02	.04)	high importance (H)	N/A
use of biocides, hormones and chemi	cals (A07)	medium importance (M)	N/A
modification of cultivation practices (A02)		medium importance (M)	N/A
agricultural intensification (A02.01)		medium importance (M)	N/A
demolishment of buildings & human structures (E06.01)		high importance (H)	N/A
reconstruction, renovation of buildings (E06.02)		high importance (H)	N/A
2.6.1 Method used – pressures	based only on expe	ert judgements (1)	
2.7 Main Threats			
Threat		ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Forest and Plantation management & use (B02)		high importance (H)	N/A
forestry clearance (B02.02)		high importance (H)	N/A
removal of dead and dying trees (B02.04)		medium importance (M)	N/A
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)		medium importance (M)	N/A
modification of cultivation practices (A02)		medium importance (M)	N/A
agricultural intensification (AO2 O1)		madium impartance (MA)	NI/A

Forest and Plantation management & use (B02)	high importance (H)	N/A
forestry clearance (B02.02)	high importance (H)	N/A
removal of dead and dying trees (B02.04)	medium importance (M)	N/A
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	medium importance (M)	N/A
modification of cultivation practices (A02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
agricultural intensification (A02.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
demolishment of buildings & human structures (E06.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
reconstruction, renovation of buildings (E06.02)	high importance (H)	N/A
closures of caves or galleries (G05.08)	high importance (H)	N/A
2.7.1 Mothod wood throats awart anining (1)		

2.7.1 Method used – threats

expert opinion (1)

2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

22/04/2014 16.16.54 Page 11 of 12

2.9.1 Range	assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A
2.9.2. Population	assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A
2.9.3. Habitat	assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A
2.9.4. Future prospects	assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A
2.9.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status	Favourable (FV)
2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status	N/A

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population 3.1.1 Population Size Unit N/A min max 3.1.2 Method used 3.1.3 Trend of population size within N/A 3.2 Conversation Measures

22/04/2014 16.16.54 Page 12 of 12