Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

0.1 Member State	IT
0.2.1 Species code	1288
0.2.2 Species name	Coluber hippocrepis
0.2.3 Alternative species scientific name	Hemorrhois hippocrepis
0.2.4 Common name	Colubro ferro di cavallo

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map	Yes
1.1.1a Sensitive species	No
1.1.2 Method used - map	Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)
1.1.3 Year or period	2000-2012
1.1.4 Additional map	No
1.1.5 Range map	Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

Mediterranean (MED)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Anna Rita Di Cerbo, Francesco Ficetola, Roberto Sindaco (Societas Herpetologica Italica). Information, unpublished data and experts' judgments have been provided by Anna Rita Di Cerbo, Francesco Ficetola, Roberto Sindaco.

Luiselli L., Corti C., Salvi D., Capula M., 2011. Hemorrhois hippocrepis (Linnaeus, 1758). In: Fauna d'Italia, vol. XLV, Reptilia. A cura di Corti C., Capula M., Luiselli L., Razzetti E., Sindaco R., p. 499-504. Edizioni Calderini de II Sole 24 ORE, Bologna.

Rondinini, C., Battistoni, A., Peronace, V., Teofili, C. (compilatori). 2013. Lista Rossa IUCN dei Vertebrati Italiani. Comitato Italiano IUCN e Ministero dell'Ambiente, del Territorio e del Mare, Roma

Zuffi M.A., 2006. Hemorrhois hippocrepis (Linnaeus, 1758). In: Atlante degli Anfibi e dei Rettili d'Italia / Atlas of Italians Amphibians and Reptiles. Sindaco R., Doria G., Razzetti E. & Bernini F. (Eds), p. 541-543. Societas Herpetologica Italica. Edizioni Polistampa, Firenze.

2.3 Range

2.3 Range		
2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)	3200	
2.3.2 Method - Range surface area	Complete survey	/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)
2.3.3 Short-term trend period	2001-2012	
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction	stable (0)	
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude	min	max
2.3.6 Long-term trend period		
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction	N/A	
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude	min	max
2.3.9 Favourable reference range	area (km²)	
	operator	approximately equal to (≈)

11/04/2014 13.23.34 Page 1 of 3

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

unkown No method Expert judgement 2.3.10 Reason for change Use of different method 2.4 Population 2.4.1 Population size Unit N/A (individuals or agreed exception) min max 2.4.2 Population size Unit number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10) (other than individuals) 16 min 16 max 2.4.3 Additional information **Definition of locality** Conversion method **Problems** 2000-2012 2.4.4 Year or period 2.4.5 Method – population size Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3) 2.4.6 Short-term trend period 2001-2012 2.4.7 Short term trend direction stable (0) 2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude min confidence interval max 2.4.9 Short-term trend method Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2) 2.4.10 Long-term trend period 2.4.11 Long term trend direction N/A 2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude confidence interval min max 2.4.13 Long-term trend method N/A 2.4.14 Favourable reference number population operator approximately equal to (≈) unknown method Expert judgement 2.4.15 Reason for change Improved knowledge/more accurate data 2.5 Habitat for the Species 2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²) 2.5.2 Year or period 2000-2012 2.5.3 Method used - habitat Absent data (0) 2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat 2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method Loss of habitat bacause of intensive agriculture. 2.5.5 Short term trend period 2001-2012 2.5.6 Short term trend direction stable (0) 2.5.7 Long-term trend period 2.5.8 Long term trend direction N/A 2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²) 2.5.10 Reason for change Improved knowledge/more accurate data

11/04/2014 13.23.34 Page 2 of 3

2.6 Main Pressures

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

Pressure		ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)		low importance (L)	N/A
agricultural intensification (A02.01)		medium importance (M)	N/A
continuous urbanisation (E01.01)		medium importance (M)	N/A N/A
roads, motorways (D01.02)		medium importance (M)	
2.6.1 Method used – pressures	mainly based on expert judgement and other data (2)		
2.7 Main Threats			
Threat		ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Unknown threat or pressure (U)		()	N/A
2.7.1 Method used – threats	expert opinion (1		
2.8 Complementary Information			
2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends			
2.8.2 Other relevant Information			
2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment			
2.9 Conclusions (assessment of cor	nservation status a	t end of reporting period)	
2.9.1 Range	assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A		
2.9.2. Population	assessment Favo qualifiers N/A	urable (FV)	
2.9.3. Habitat	assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A		
2.9.4. Future prospects	assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A		
2.9.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status	Favourable (FV)		
2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status	N/A		

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1.1 Population Size Unit N/A min max 3.1.2 Method used N/A 3.1.3 Trend of population size within N/A 3.2 Conversation Measures

11/04/2014 13.23.34 Page 3 of 3