Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

0.1 Member State	IT
0.2.1 Species code	1228
0.2.2 Species name	Cyrtopodion kotschyi
0.2.3 Alternative species scientific name	N/A
0.2.4 Common name	Geco di Kotschy

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map
Yes
1.1.1a Sensitive species
No
Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)
1.1.3 Year or period
2000-2012
No
1.1.4 Additional map
Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

Mediterranean (MED)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Anna Rita Di Cerbo, Francesco Ficetola, Roberto Sindaco (Societas Herpetologica Italica). Information, unpublished data and experts' judgments have been provided by Anna Rita Di Cerbo, Francesco Ficetola, Roberto Sindaco.

Rondinini, C., Battistoni, A., Peronace, V., Teofili, C. (compilatori). 2013. Lista Rossa IUCN dei Vertebrati Italiani. Comitato Italiano IUCN e Ministero dell'Ambiente, del Territorio e del Mare, Roma

Scillitani G., 2006. Cyrtopodion kotschyi (Steindachner, 1870). In: Atlante degli Anfibi e dei Rettili d'Italia / Atlas of Italians Amphibians and Reptiles. Sindaco R., Doria G., Razzetti E. & Bernini F. (Eds), p. 410-413. Societas Herpetologica Italica. Edizioni Polistampa, Firenze.

Scillitani G., 2011. Cyrtopodion kotschyi (Steindachner, 1870). In: Fauna d'Italia, vol. XLV, Reptilia. A cura di Corti C., Capula M., Luiselli L., Razzetti E., Sindaco R., p. 250-257. Edizioni Calderini de Il Sole 24 ORE, Bologna.

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)
2.3.2 Method - Range surface area
2.3.3 Short-term trend period
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude
2.3.6 Long-term trend period
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude
2.3.9 Favourable reference range

9900

Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)

2001-2012 stable (0)

min max

N/A

min max

area (km²)

operator approximately equal to (≈)

unkown No

method Expert judgement

2.3.10 Reason for change Use of different method

11/04/2014 13.24.59 Page 1 of 3

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

2.4 Population					
2.4.1 Population size	Unit N/A				
(individuals or agreed exception)	min	max			
2.4.2 Population size	Unit number of	of map 10x10 km grid cells	(grids10x10)		
(other than individuals)	min 57	max 57			
2.4.3 Additional information	Definition of locality	ZV			
	Conversion metho				
	Problems				
2.4.4 Year or period	2000-2012				
2.4.5 Method – population size	Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)				
2.4.6 Short-term trend period	2001-2012				
2.4.7 Short term trend direction	stable (0)				
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude	min	max	confidence interval		
2.4.9 Short-term trend method	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)				
2.4.10 Long-term trend period					
2.4.11 Long term trend direction	N/A		andidona inter-1		
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude2.4.13 Long-term trend method	min N/A	max	confidence interval		
2.4.14 Favourable reference	number				
population	operator approximately equal to (≈)				
	unknown No	, , , , , ,			
	method Exper	t judgement			
2.4.15 Reason for change	Improved knowled	ge/more accurate data			
2.5 Habitat for the Species					
2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²)					
2.5.2 Year or period	2000-2012				
2.5.3 Method used - habitat	Absent data (0)				
2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat	Good				
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method		to agricultural intensification and lanscape modification.			
2.5.5 Short term trend period2.5.6 Short term trend direction	2001-2012 stable (0)				
2.5.7 Long-term trend period	3.00.10 (0)				
2.5.8 Long term trend direction	N/A				
2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)	•				
2.5.10 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data				
2.6 Main Pressures					
Pressure		ranking	pollution qualifier(s)		
burning down (J01.01)		medium importance (M) N/A		
removal of stone walls and embankme	ents (A10.02)	medium importance (M) N/A		
roads, motorways (D01.02)		low importance (L)	N/A		
agricultural intensification (A02.01)		low importance (L)	N/A		
			·		

11/04/2014 13.24.59 Page 2 of 3

mainly based on expert judgement and other data (2)

2.6.1 Method used – pressures

2.7 Main Threats

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

Threat removal of stone walls and embankments (A10.02) burning down (J01.01)		ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
		medium importance (M) medium importance (M)	N/A N/A
2.8 Complementary Information			
2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends			
2.8.2 Other relevant Information			
2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment			
2.9 Conclusions (assessment of con	servation status a	t end of reporting period)	
2.9.1 Range	assessment Favor qualifiers N/A	urable (FV)	
2.9.2. Population	assessment Favor	urable (FV)	
2.9.3. Habitat	assessment Favor	urable (FV)	
2.9.4. Future prospects	assessment Favor	urable (FV)	
2.9.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status	Favourable (FV)		
2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status	N/A		
3. Natura 2000 coverage a	nd conservati	on measures - Annex	II species

3.1 Population 3.1.1 Population Size Unit N/A min max 3.1.2 Method used N/A 3.1.3 Trend of population size within N/A 3.2 Conversation Measures

11/04/2014 13.24.59 Page 3 of 3