0.1 Member State	IT
0.2.1 Species code	1193
0.2.2 Species name	Bombina variegata
0.2.3 Alternative species scientific name	Bombina pachypus
0.2.4 Common name	Ululone dal ventre giallo

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map
Yes
1.1.1a Sensitive species
No
Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)
1.1.3 Year or period
2001-2012
No
1.1.4 Additional map
Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

Mediterranean (MED)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Anna Rita Di Cerbo, Francesco Ficetola, Roberto Sindaco (Societas Herpetologica Italica). Information, unpublished data and experts' judgments have been provided by Anna Rita Di Cerbo, Francesco Ficetola, Roberto Sindaco.

Distribution data for the following Nature 2000 sites have been inserted by the Ministry of Environment (source: Italian Nature 2000 database): IT5210018

Bressi N., Barbieri F., 2006. Bombina variegata. In: . Atlante degli Anfibi e dei Rettili d'Italia / Atlas of Italians Amphibians and Reptiles. Sindaco R., Doria G., Razzetti E. & Bernini F. (Eds), p. 278-283. Societas Herpetologica Italica. Edizioni Polistampa, Firenze.

Di Cerbo A.R., Bressi N., 2007. Bombina variegata (Linnaeus, 1758). In: Fauna d'Italia, vol. XLII, Amphibia. A cura di Lanza B., Andreone F., Bologna M.A., Corti C., Razzetti E. 2007, p. 280-287. Calderini, Bologna.

Guarino F.M., Picariello O., Venchi A., 2007. Bombina pachypus (Bonaparte, 1838). In: Fauna d'Italia, vol. XLII, Amphibia. A cura di Lanza B., Andreone F., Bologna M.A., Corti C., Razzetti E. 2007, p. 277-280. Calderini, Bologna, Guarino F.M., Picariello O., Pellegrini M., 2006. Bombina pachypus. In: Atlante degli Anfibi e dei Rettili d'Italia / Atlas of Italians Amphibians and Reptiles. Sindaco R., Doria G., Razzetti E. & Bernini F. (Eds), p. 272-277. Societas Herpetologica Italica. Edizioni Polistampa, Firenze.

Rondinini, C., Battistoni, A., Peronace, V., Teofili, C. (compilatori). 2013. Lista Rossa IUCN dei Vertebrati Italiani. Comitato Italiano IUCN e Ministero dell'Ambiente, del Territorio e del Mare, Roma.

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)

2.3.2 Method - Range surface area

2.3.3 Short-term trend period

54000

Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3) 2001-2012

09/04/2014 15.45.53 Page 1 of 12

ii, iv and v species (Aiii	iex bj		
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude2.3.6 Long-term trend period	decrease (-) min	max	
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude	N/A min	max	
2.3.9 Favourable reference range	area (km²) operator unkown method	more than (>) No Expert judgement	
2.3.10 Reason for change	Use of different met	. , ,	
2.4 Population			
2.4.1 Population size (individuals or agreed exception)	Unit N/A min	max	
2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals)	Unit number of min 252	map 10x10 km grid cell	s (grids10x10)
2.4.3 Additional information	Definition of locality		
	Conversion method Problems		
2.4.4 Year or period2.4.5 Method – population size2.4.6 Short-term trend period2.4.7 Short term trend direction	2000-2012 Complete survey/Co 2001-2012 decrease (-)	mplete survey or a stat	cistically robust estimate (3)
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude2.4.9 Short-term trend method2.4.10 Long-term trend period	min Estimate based on pa	max artial data with some e	confidence interval xtrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.4.11 Long term trend direction2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude2.4.13 Long-term trend method2.4.14 Favourable reference	N/A min N/A number	max	confidence interval
population	unknown No	nore than (>>)	
2.4.15 Reason for change		udgement e/more accurate data	
2.5 Habitat for the Species	improved knowledge	e/inore accurate data	
2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²) 2.5.2 Year or period 2.5.3 Method used - habitat 2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat 2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method	agricultural intensifi	cation, abandonment o	itat availability mainly because of of pastoral systems and scarce er surface and pollution, urbanisation.
2.5.5 Short term trend period2.5.6 Short term trend direction	2001-2012 decrease (-)		

09/04/2014 15.45.53 Page 2 of 12

N/A

2.5.7 Long-term trend period2.5.8 Long term trend direction

2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)

2.5.10 Reason for change Improved knowledge	/more accurate data	
2.6 Main Pressures		
Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
human induced changes in hydraulic conditions (J02)	high importance (H)	N/A
diffuse pollution to surface waters due to agricultural and forestry activities (H01.05)	medium importance (M)	N/A
reduction or loss of specific habitat features (J03.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
anthropogenic reduction of habitat connectivity (J03.02)	high importance (H)	N/A
Silting up (K01.02)	high importance (H)	N/A
introduction of disease (microbial pathogens) (K03.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
reduced fecundity/ genetic depression in animals (inbreeding) (K05.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
intensive grazing (A04.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Changes in abiotic conditions (M01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Urbanised areas, human habitation (E01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Restructuring agricultural land holding (A10)	medium importance (M)	N/A
abandonment of pastoral systems, lack of grazing (A04.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
agricultural intensification (A02.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
off-road motorized driving (G01.03.02)	low importance (L)	N/A
infilling of ditches, dykes, ponds, pools, marshes or pits (J02.01.03)	high importance (H)	N/A
Water abstractions from surface waters (J02.06)	medium importance (M)	N/A
2.6.1 Method used – pressures based exclusively or t	o a larger extent on real data fr	om sites/occurrences or othe
2.7 Main Threats		
Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
human induced changes in hydraulic conditions (J02)	high importance (H)	N/A
diffuse pollution to surface waters due to agricultural and forestry activities (H01.05)	medium importance (M)	N/A
reduction or loss of specific habitat features (J03.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
anthropogenic reduction of habitat connectivity (J03.02)	high importance (H)	N/A
Silting up (K01.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
introduction of disease (microbial pathogens) (K03.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
reduced fecundity/ genetic depression in animals (inbreeding) (K05.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
agricultural intensification (A02.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Changes in abiotic conditions (M01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
grazing (A04)	low importance (L)	N/A
2.7.1 Method used – threats expert opinion (1)		
2.8 Complementary Information		

09/04/2014 15.45.53 Page 3 of 12

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends2.8.2 Other relevant Information

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range assessment Inadequate (U1) qualifiers declining (-)

2.9.2. Population assessment Bad (U2)

qualifiers declining (-)

2.9.3. Habitat assessment Inadequate (U1)

qualifiers declining (-)

assessment Bad (U2)

qualifiers declining (-)

Bad (U2)

2.9.5 Overall assessment of

Conservation Status

2.9.4. Future prospects

2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status

declining (-)

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size Unit N/A min

3.1.2 Method used Absent data (0)

3.1.3 Trend of population size within N/A

3.2 Conversation Measures

3.2.1 Measure	3.2.2 Type	3.2.3 Ranking	3.2.4 Location	3.2.5 Broad Evaluation
Restoring/improving water quality (4.1)	One-off	high importance (H)	Outside	Enhance
Other spatial measures (6.0)	Administrative	medium importance (M)	Both	Maintain Enhance Long term
Establish protected areas/sites (6.1)	Administrative	medium importance (M)	Inside	Maintain Enhance Long term Not evaluated
Specific single species or species group management	One-off	high importance (H)	Inside	Enhance

max

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

Continental (CON)

2.2 Published sources

measures (7.4)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Anna Rita Di Cerbo, Francesco Ficetola, Roberto Sindaco (Societas Herpetologica Italica). Information, unpublished data and experts' judgments have been provided by

09/04/2014 15.45.54 Page 4 of 12

Anna Rita Di Cerbo, Francesco Ficetola, Roberto Sindaco.

Bressi N., Barbieri F., 2006. Bombina variegata. In: . Atlante degli Anfibi e dei Rettili d'Italia / Atlas of Italians Amphibians and Reptiles. Sindaco R., Doria G., Razzetti E. & Bernini F. (Eds), p. 278-283. Societas Herpetologica Italica. Edizioni Polistampa, Firenze.

Di Cerbo A.R., Bressi N., 2007. Bombina variegata (Linnaeus, 1758). In: Fauna d'Italia, vol. XLII, Amphibia. A cura di Lanza B., Andreone F., Bologna M.A., Corti C., Razzetti E. 2007, p. 280-287. Calderini, Bologna.

Guarino F.M., Picariello O., Venchi A., 2007. Bombina pachypus (Bonaparte, 1838). In: Fauna d'Italia, vol. XLII, Amphibia. A cura di Lanza B., Andreone F., Bologna M.A., Corti C., Razzetti E. 2007, p. 277-280. Calderini, Bologna, Guarino F.M., Picariello O., Pellegrini M., 2006. Bombina pachypus. In: Atlante degli Anfibi e dei Rettili d'Italia / Atlas of Italians Amphibians and Reptiles. Sindaco R., Doria G., Razzetti E. & Bernini F. (Eds), p. 272-277. Societas Herpetologica Italica. Edizioni Polistampa, Firenze.

Rondinini, C., Battistoni, A., Peronace, V., Teofili, C. (compilatori). 2013. Lista Rossa IUCN dei Vertebrati Italiani. Comitato Italiano IUCN e Ministero dell'Ambiente, del Territorio e del Mare, Roma.

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)

2.3.2 Method - Range surface area

2.3.3 Short-term trend period

2.3.4 Short-term trend direction

2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude

2.3.6 Long-term trend period

2.3.7 Long-term trend direction

2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude

2.3.9 Favourable reference range

31400

Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)

2001-2012 decrease (-)

min max

N/A

Unit

min max

area (km²)

operator more than (>)

unkown No

method Expert judgement

2.3.10 Reason for change

Use of different method

2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size

(individuals or agreed exception)

N/A min

2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals)

Unit

number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10)

max

181 181 min max

2.4.3 Additional information

Definition of locality

Conversion method

Problems

2.4.4 Year or period

2.4.5 Method - population size

2.4.6 Short-term trend period

2000-2012

Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)

2001-2012

09/04/2014 15.45.54 Page 5 of 12

2.4.7 Short term trend direction	decrease (-	.)	
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude	min	max	confidence interval
2.4.9 Short-term trend method2.4.10 Long-term trend period	Estimate b	ased on partial data with son	ne extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.4.11 Long term trend direction	N/A		
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude	min	max	confidence interval
2.4.13 Long-term trend method	N/A		
2.4.14 Favourable reference	number		
population	operator	much more than (>>)	
	unknown	No	
	method	Expert judgement	
2.4.15 Reason for change	Improved k	knowledge/more accurate da	ta

2.5 Habitat for the Species

2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²)2.5.2 Year or period2

2.5.3 Method used - habitat2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat

2.5.5 Short term trend period

2.5.7 Long-term trend period

2.5.6 Short term trend direction

2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method

2000-2012

Absent data (0)

Moderate

Decreasing of habitat connectivity and habitat availability mainly because of agricultural intensification, scarce management of ponds, abstraction of water surface and pollution, urbanisation.

2001-2012 decrease (-)

N/A

2.5.8 Long term trend direction

Urbanised areas, human habitation (E01)

2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)

2.5.10 Reason for change

2.6 Main Pressures

Use of different method

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Water abstractions from surface waters (J02.06)	medium importance (M)	N/A
infilling of ditches, dykes, ponds, pools, marshes or pits (J02.01.03)	high importance (H)	N/A
introduction of disease (microbial pathogens) (K03.03)	high importance (H)	N/A
Landfill, land reclamation and drying out, general (J02.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
reduced fecundity/ genetic depression in animals (inbreeding) (K05.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
agricultural intensification (A02.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Changes in abiotic conditions (M01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
human induced changes in hydraulic conditions (J02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Other human intrusions and disturbances (G05)	medium importance (M)	N/A
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	medium importance (M)	N/A

09/04/2014 15.45.54 Page 6 of 12

medium importance (M)

N/A

collection of animals (insects, reptiles, amphibians.....) N/A low importance (L) (F03.02.01) 2.6.1 Method used – pressures based exclusively or to a larger extent on real data from sites/occurrences or othe 2.7 Main Threats Threat ranking pollution qualifier(s) infilling of ditches, dykes, ponds, pools, marshes or pits N/A high importance (H) (J02.01.03)introduction of disease (microbial pathogens) (K03.03) high importance (H) N/A Landfill, land reclamation and drying out, general (J02.01) high importance (H) N/A reduced fecundity/ genetic depression in animals (inbreeding) high importance (H) N/A (K05.01)agricultural intensification (A02.01) medium importance (M) N/A Changes in abiotic conditions (M01) medium importance (M) N/A human induced changes in hydraulic conditions (J02) medium importance (M) N/A Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & medium importance (M) N/A brackish) (H01) Other human intrusions and disturbances (G05) medium importance (M) N/A use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07) medium importance (M) N/A Urbanised areas, human habitation (E01) N/A medium importance (M) collection of animals (insects, reptiles, amphibians.....) low importance (L) N/A (F03.02.01) Water abstractions from surface waters (J02.06) medium importance (M) N/A 2.7.1 Method used - threats expert opinion (1) 2.8 Complementary Information 2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends 2.8.2 Other relevant Information 2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range assessment Inadequate (U1) qualifiers declining (-) 2.9.2. Population assessment Bad (U2) qualifiers declining (-) 2.9.3. Habitat assessment Inadequate (U1) qualifiers declining (-) 2.9.4. Future prospects assessment Bad (U2) qualifiers declining (-) 2.9.5 Overall assessment of **Bad (U2) Conservation Status** 2.9.5 Overall trend in declining (-) **Conservation Status**

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

09/04/2014 15.45.54 Page 7 of 12

3.1 Population					
3.1.1 Population Size		Unit N,	/A max		
3.1.2 Method used 3.1.3 Trend of population si	ze within	Absent data N/A	a (0)		
3.2 Conversation Measur	es				
3.2.1 Measure	3.2.2 Type		3.2.3 Ranking	3.2.4 Location	3.2.5 Broad Evaluation
Other agriculture-related measures (2.0)	Administra	ative	medium importance (M)	Inside	Unknown
Restoring/improving the hydrological regime (4.2)	Contractu One-off	al	medium importance (M)	Inside	Maintain
Establish protected areas/sites (6.1)	Administra	ative	medium importance (M)	Inside	Maintain Enhance Long term
Specific single species or species group management measures (7.4)	One-off		high importance (H)	Inside	Enhance
2 Diagonayanhiad	O D.4	to a Lassal			

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

Alpine (ALP)

The present species assessment (fields 0.1-2.9) has been compiled by Anna Rita Di Cerbo, Francesco Ficetola, Roberto Sindaco (Societas Herpetologica Italica). Information, unpublished data and experts' judgments have been provided by Anna Rita Di Cerbo, Francesco Ficetola, Roberto Sindaco.

Bressi N., Barbieri F., 2006. Bombina variegata. In: . Atlante degli Anfibi e dei Rettili d'Italia / Atlas of Italians Amphibians and Reptiles. Sindaco R., Doria G., Razzetti E. & Bernini F. (Eds), p. 278-283. Societas Herpetologica Italica. Edizioni Polistampa, Firenze,

Di Cerbo A.R., Bressi N., 2007. Bombina variegata (Linnaeus, 1758). In: Fauna d'Italia, vol. XLII, Amphibia. A cura di Lanza B., Andreone F., Bologna M.A., Corti C., Razzetti E. 2007, p. 280-287. Calderini, Bologna.

Rondinini, C., Battistoni, A., Peronace, V., Teofili, C. (compilatori). 2013. Lista Rossa IUCN dei Vertebrati Italiani. Comitato Italiano IUCN e Ministero dell'Ambiente, del Territorio e del Mare, Roma.

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)
2.3.2 Method - Range surface area
2.3.3 Short-term trend period
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude
2.3.6 Long-term trend period
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude
2.3.9 Favourable reference range

25500

Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)

2001-2012 decrease (-)

min max

N/A

min max

area (km²)

operator more than (>)

09/04/2014 15.45.54 Page 8 of 12

unkown No method Expert judgement 2.3.10 Reason for change Use of different method 2.4 Population 2.4.1 Population size Unit N/A (individuals or agreed exception) min max 2.4.2 Population size Unit number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10) (other than individuals) 154 min 154 max 2.4.3 Additional information **Definition of locality** Conversion method **Problems** 2.4.4 Year or period 2000-2012 2.4.5 Method – population size Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3) 2.4.6 Short-term trend period 2001-2012 2.4.7 Short term trend direction decrease (-) 2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude min confidence interval max 2.4.9 Short-term trend method Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2) 2.4.10 Long-term trend period 2.4.11 Long term trend direction N/A 2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude confidence interval min max 2.4.13 Long-term trend method N/A 2.4.14 Favourable reference number population operator more than (>) unknown No method Expert judgement 2.4.15 Reason for change Improved knowledge/more accurate data 2.5 Habitat for the Species 2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²) 2.5.2 Year or period 2000-2012 2.5.3 Method used - habitat Absent data (0) 2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat Moderate 2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method Decreasing of habitat connectivity and habitat availability mainly because of forest replanting, abandonment of pastoral systems and scarce management of ponds, agricultural intensification, urbanisation. 2.5.5 Short term trend period 2001-2012 2.5.6 Short term trend direction decrease (-) 2.5.7 Long-term trend period 2.5.8 Long term trend direction N/A 2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)

09/04/2014 15.45.54 Page 9 of 12

Improved knowledge/more accurate data

2.5.10 Reason for change

2.6 Main Pressures

ranking

pollution qualifier(s)

Pressure

ressure	Tariking	poliation qualifier(5)
infilling of ditches, dykes, ponds, pools, marshes or pits (J02.01.03)	high importance (H)	N/A
Biocenotic evolution, succession (K02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
reduction in genetic exchange (J03.02.03)	high importance (H)	N/A
abandonment of pastoral systems, lack of grazing (A04.03)	high importance (H)	N/A
anthropogenic reduction of habitat connectivity (J03.02)	high importance (H)	N/A
Silting up (K01.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Abandonment of management of water bodies (J02.13)	high importance (H)	N/A
reduction or loss of specific habitat features (J03.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Restructuring agricultural land holding (A10)	medium importance (M)	N/A
surface water abstractions for agriculture (J02.06.01)	low importance (L)	N/A
agricultural intensification (A02.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
forest replanting (B02.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
intensive cattle grazing (A04.01.01)	low importance (L)	N/A
reduced fecundity/ genetic depression in animals (inbreeding) (K05.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Urbanised areas, human habitation (E01)	low importance (L)	N/A
reduction in migration/ migration barriers (J03.02.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
diffuse pollution to surface waters due to household sewage and waste waters (H01.08)	medium importance (M)	N/A
2.6.1 Method used – pressures based exclusively or t	o a larger extent on real data fr	om sites/occurrences or othe
2.7 Main Threats		
Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Biocenotic evolution, succession (K02)	high importance (H)	N/A
infilling of ditches, dykes, ponds, pools, marshes or pits (J02.01.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
reduction in genetic exchange (J03.02.03)	high importance (H)	N/A
anthropogenic reduction of habitat connectivity (J03.02)	high importance (H)	N/A
abandonment of pastoral systems, lack of grazing (A04.03)	high importance (H)	N/A
reduction or loss of specific habitat features (J03.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Abandonment of management of water bodies (J02.13)	medium importance (M)	N/A
reduced fecundity/ genetic depression in animals (inbreeding) (K05.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
surface water abstractions for agriculture (J02.06.01)	low importance (L)	N/A
agricultural intensification (A02.01)	low importance (L)	N/A
Restructuring agricultural land holding (A10)	low importance (L)	N/A
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	medium importance (M)	N/A

09/04/2014 15.45.54 Page 10 of 12

reduction in migration/ migration barriers (J03.02.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Silting up (K01.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
forest replanting (B02.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
intensive fish farming, intensification (F01.01)	low importance (L)	N/A
diffuse pollution to surface waters due to household sewage and waste waters (H01.08)	medium importance (M)	N/A

2.7.1 Method used – threats expert opinion (1)

2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range assessment Inadequate (U1) qualifiers declining (-)

2.9.2. Population assessment Bad (U2) qualifiers declining (-)

2.9.3. Habitat assessment Inadequate (U1)

qualifiers declining (-)

2.9.4. Future prospects assessment Inadequate (U1)

qualifiers declining (-)

2.9.5 Overall assessment of Bad (U2)

Conservation Status

2.9.5 Overall trend in

Conservation Status

declining (-)

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size	Unit	N/A	
	min		max

3.1.2 Method used Absent data (0)

3.1.3 Trend of population size within N/A

3.2 Conversation Measures

3.2.1 Measure	3.2.2 Type	3.2.3 Ranking	3.2.4 Location	3.2.5 Broad Evaluation
Other agriculture-related measures (2.0)	Contractual One-off	low importance (L)	Both	Maintain
Other wetland-related measures (4.0)	One-off	high importance (H)	Inside	Maintain Enhance
Restoring/improving water quality (4.1)	Legal Contractual	high importance (H)	Both	Maintain Enhance
Restoring/improving the hydrological regime (4.2)	Legal	medium importance (M)	Inside	Unknown

09/04/2014 15.45.54 Page 11 of 12

Establish protected areas/sites (6.1)	Legal Administrative	high importance (H)	Both	Maintain Long term
Legal protection of habitats and species (6.3)	s Legal	medium importance (M)	Both	Not evaluated
Manage landscape features (6.4)	one-off	high importance (H)	Both	Enhance Long term

09/04/2014 15.45.54 Page 12 of 12

Notes

Species name: Bombina variegata (1193)		
Field label	Note	User
0.2.4 Common Speciesname	Nome comune alternativo Bombina pachypus: Ululone appenninico.	ISPRA_ AUNA
0.2.3 Alternative Speciesname	e Le popolazioni presenti a sud del Po sono ascritte alla specie Bombina pachypus.	ISPRA_ AUNA

09/04/2014 15.45.21 Page 1