CODE: 91F0

NAME: Riparian mixed forests of Quercus robur, Ulmus laevis and Ulmus minor, Fraxinus excelsior or Fraxinus angustifolia,

### 1. National Level

#### **1.1 Maps**

1.1.1 Distribution Map

1.1.2 Distribution Method

1.1.3 Year or period

1.1.4 Additional map

1.1.5 Range Map

Yes

Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)

2005-2012

No

Yes

### 2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published

### **Mediterranean (MED)**

The present Habitat assessment (fields 0.1-3.1) has been compiled by Pierangela Angelini (ISPRA). Published and unpublished data, information and experts' judgments have been provided by Edoardo Biondi, Liliana Zivkovic and Giovanni Spampinato(SBI), Pietro Massimiliano Bianco and Pierangela Angelini (ISPRA, field 2.7.1).

"Angelini P., Augello R., Bianco P.M., Gennaio R., La Ghezza V., Lavarra P., Marrese M., Papallo O., Perrino V. M., Sani R., M. Stelluti. 2012. Carta degli habitat della Regione Puglia per il sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRA - Arpa Puglia Biondi E, Blasi C, Burrascano S, Casavecchia S, Copiz R, Del Vico E, Galdenzi D, Gigante D, Lasen C, Spampinato G, Venanzoni R, Zivkovic L (2009a) Italian interpretation Manual of the habitats (92/43/EEC Directive). Ministero dell'Ambiente e della Tutela del Territorio e del Mare. http://vnr.unipg.it/habitat/

Blasi et al., 2010. La Vegetazione d'Italia con Carta delle Serie di Vegetazione in scala 1:500000. Palombi ed., @Casella L., Agrillo E., Bianco P.M., Cardillo A., Carbone M., Cattena C., Laureti L., Lugari A., Spada F., 2008. Carta degli habitat della Regione Lazio per il sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRA - Università degli Studi di Roma "La Sapienza" - Regione Lazio ISPRA, 2011. Dati del sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRA, Corine land cover 2006 IV livello. Dati della Rete del sistema Informativo Nazionale Ambientale - SINAnet ISPRA, 2005. Dati del sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. Pedrotti F., Gafta D., 1996. Ecologia delle foreste ripariali e paludose dell'Italia. L'uomo e l'ambiente, 23@Taffetani F., 2011. Il Bosco Fantine. Un'area umida retrodunale di elevato valore naturalistico e ambientale nel Comune di Campomarino (CB). I Quaderni della Selva. Vol. IV<sup>2</sup>"

09/05/2013 11.42.49 Page 1 of 12

### 2.3 Range of the habitat type in the biogeographical region or marine region

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²) 14100

2.3.2 Range method used Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2.3.3 Short-term trend period 2001-2012 2.3.4 Short-term trend direction decrease (-)

2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude min max

2.3.6 Long-term trend period

2.3.7 Long-term trend direction N/A

2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude min max

2.3.9 Favourable reference range area (km²)

operator much more than (>>)

unkown No

method

2.3.10 Reason for change genuine change No improved knowledge Yes

different method Yes

### 2.4 Area covered by Habitat

2.4.1 Surface area (km²) 41,34

2.4.2 Year or period 2005-2012

2.4.3 Method used Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)

2.4.4 Short-term trend period 2001-2012 2.4.5 Short-term trend direction decrease (-)

2.4.6 Short-term trend magnitude min max confidence interval

2.4.8 Long-term trend period

2.4.9 Long-term trend direction N/A

2.4.10 Long-term trend magnitude min max confidence interval

2.4.11 Long term trend method used N/A

2.4.12 Favourable reference area area (km)

operator much more than (>>)

unknown No

method

2.4.13 Reason for change Improved knowledge/more accurate dataUse of different method

#### 2.5 Main Pressures

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
roads, motorways (D01.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	high importance (H)	N/A
burning down (J01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
artificial planting on open ground (non-native trees) (B01.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
discontinuous urbanisation (E01.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Fertilisation (A08)	medium importance (M)	N/A

09/05/2013 11.42.49 Page 2 of 12

Habitat types (Alliex b)		
Discharges (E03)	low importance (L)	N/A
Irrigation (A09)	medium importance (M)	N/A
forest exploitation without replanting or natural regrowth (B03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
invasive non-native species (IO1)	medium importance (M)	N/A
2.5.1 Method used – pressures Estimate based on pa	artial data with some extrapola	tion and/or modelling( 2)
2.6 Main Threats		
Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
roads, motorways (D01.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	high importance (H)	N/A
burning down (J01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
artificial planting on open ground (non-native trees) (B01.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
discontinuous urbanisation (E01.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Fertilisation (A08)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Discharges (E03)	low importance (L)	N/A
Irrigation (A09)	medium importance (M)	N/A
forest exploitation without replanting or natural regrowth (B03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
invasive non-native species (IO1)	medium importance (M)	N/A
2.6.1 Method used – threats Estimate based on ex	xpert opinion with no or minima	al sampling( 1)
2.7 Complementary Information		
2.7.1 Species		
Quercus robur subsp. Robur		
Quercus robur subsp. Brutia		
Ulmus minor		
Fraxinus angustifolia subsp. Oxycarpa		
Fraxinus excelsior		
Populus nigra		
Populus canescens		
Alnus cordata		
Alnus glutinosa		
Salix cinerea		
Aristolochia spp.		
Carex remota		
Circaea lutetiana		
Equisetum spp.		
Humulus lupulus		

09/05/2013 11.42.49 Page 3 of 12

Leucojum aestivum

Periploca graeca

Polygonatum multiflorum

Veronica scutellata

Vitis vinifera ssp. Sylvestris

2.7.2 Species method used

Selected by ISPRA's expert from bibliographical and field research

2.7.3 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.7.4 Structure and functions methods used

2.7.5 Other relevant information

Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling( 1)

#### 2.8 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.8.1 Range assessment Bad( U2)
qualifiers N/A

2.8.2 Area assessment Bad( U2) qualifiers N/A

2.8.3 Specific structures assessment Bad( U2) and functions (incl Species) qualifiers N/A

2.8.4 Future prospects assessment Bad( U2) qualifiers N/A

2.8.5 Overall assessment of Bad( U2)

2.8.5 Overall trend in declining( -)
Conservation Status

Conservation Status

### 3. Natura 2000 coverage conservation measures - Annex I habitat types on biogeographical level

### 3.1 Area covered by habitat

3.1.1 Surface area (km²) min 33,6468 max 33,6468

3.1.2 Method used Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)

3.1.3. Trend of surface area N/A

#### **3.2 Conversation Measures**

### 2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published

### Continental (CON)

The present Habitat assessment (fields 0.1-3.1) has been compiled by Pierangela Angelini (ISPRA). Published and unpublished data, information and experts'

09/05/2013 11.42.49 Page 4 of 12

judgments have been provided by Edoardo Biondi and Liliana Zivkovic(SBI), Pietro Massimiliano Bianco and Pierangela Angelini (ISPRA, field 2.7.1).

"Pirone G., Ciaschetti G., Frattaroli A,R., Corbetta F., 2003 - La vegetazione della Riserva Naturale Regionale "Lago di Serranella" (Abruzzo − Italia). Fitosociologia, 40 (2): 55-71. Conti F., Pirone G., 1992. Le cenosi di Fraxinus oxycarpa Bieb e di Carpinus betulus L. del bosco di Vallaspra nel bacino del fume Sangro (Abruzzo, Italia). Doc. Phytosoc., 14: 167-175. Allegrezza M., Mentoni M. & Tesei G., 2010. Geomorfologia e paesaggio vegetale: l'esempio della grande frana di Pescacci (Comune di Serra San Quirico-Appennino centrale). Fitosociologia 47(2): 57-97. ☑Biondi E, Blasi C, Burrascano S, Casavecchia S, Copiz R, Del Vico E, Galdenzi D, Gigante D, Lasen C, Spampinato G, Venanzoni R, Zivkovic L (2009a) Italian interpretation Manual of the habitats (92/43/EEC Directive). Ministero dell'Ambiente e della Tutela del Territorio e del Mare.

http://vnr.unipg.it/habitat/\bar{2}Blasi et al., 2010. La Vegetazione d'Italia con Carta delle Serie di Vegetazione in scala 1:500000. Palombi ed., \bar{2}Casella L., Agrillo E., Bianco P.M., Cardillo A., Carbone M., Cattena C., Laureti L., Lugari A., Spada F., 2008. Carta degli habitat della Regione Lazio per il sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRA - Università degli Studi di Roma "La Sapienza" - Regione Lazio\bar{2}ISPRA, 2011. Dati del sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000.\bar{2}ISPRA, Corine land cover 2006 IV livello. Dati della Rete del sistema Informativo Nazionale Ambientale - SINAnet\bar{2}Oriolo G., Dragan M., Fernetti M., Francescato C., Tomasella M., Giorgi R. 2007. Carta degli habitat della regione Friuli Venezia Giulia per il sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRA-Regione Friuli Venezia Giulia.

http://www.isprambiente.gov.it/site/it-

IT/Servizi\_per\_l%27Ambiente/Sistema\_Carta\_della\_Natura@Pedrotti F., 1980. Foreste ripariali lungo la costa adriatica dell'Italia. Coll. phtosoc. IX: 143-154.@Pedrotti F., Gafta D., 1996. Ecologia delle foreste ripariali e paludose dell'Italia. L'uomo e l'ambiente, 23@Pesaresi S, Biondi E, Casavecchia S, Catorci A, Foglia M., 2007. Il Geodatabase del Sistema Informativo Vegetazionale delle Marche. Fitosociol 44 (2) suppl. 1: 95-101

http://www.ortobotanico.univpm.it/cartography2"

### 2.3 Range of the habitat type in the biogeographical region or marine region

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)
2.3.2 Range method used
2.3.3 Short-term trend period
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude
2.3.6 Long-term trend period
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude
2.3.9 Favourable reference range

2.3.10 Reason for change

35300

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2001-2012 stable (0)

min max

N/A

min max

area (km²)

operator much more than (>>)

unkown No

method

genuine change No improved knowledge Yes different method Yes

09/05/2013 11.42.49 Page 5 of 12

2.4 Area covered by Habitat			
<ul> <li>2.4.1 Surface area (km²)</li> <li>2.4.2 Year or period</li> <li>2.4.3 Method used</li> <li>2.4.4 Short-term trend period</li> <li>2.4.5 Short-term trend direction</li> <li>2.4.6 Short-term trend magnitude</li> <li>2.4.7 Short term trend method used</li> </ul>	2001-2012 stable (0) min	max control or with no or	onfidence interval
<ul><li>2.4.8 Long-term trend period</li><li>2.4.9 Long-term trend direction</li><li>2.4.10 Long-term trend magnitude</li><li>2.4.11 Long term trend method used</li></ul>	N/A min N/A	max c	onfidence interval
2.4.12 Favourable reference area	area (km) operator much m unknown No method	ore than (>>)	
2.4.13 Reason for change	Improved knowledge	e/more accurate dataUse	of different method
2.5 Main Pressures			
Pressure		ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & te brackish) (H01)	rrestrial, marine &	medium importance (N	1) N/A
Fertilisation (A08)		medium importance (M	1) N/A
use of biocides, hormones and chemica	ls (A07)	medium importance (N	1) N/A
Urbanised areas, human habitation (E0	1)	medium importance (N	1) N/A
roads, motorways (D01.02)		medium importance (M	1) N/A
Modification of hydrographic functionii	ng, general (J02.05)	high importance (H)	N/A
artificial planting on open ground (non-	native trees) (B01.02)	medium importance (M	1) N/A
ourning down (J01.01)		high importance (H)	N/A
removal of forest undergrowth (B02.03	)	medium importance (M	1) N/A
forest exploitation without replanting of (B03)	or natural regrowth	medium importance (N	1) N/A
forestry clearance (B02.02)		medium importance (M	1) N/A
2.5.1 Method used – pressures	Estimate based on pa	artial data with some ext	rapolation and/or modelling( 2)
2.6 Main Threats			

pollution qualifier(s) **Threat** ranking Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & medium importance (M) N/A brackish) (H01) Fertilisation (A08) medium importance (M) N/A use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07) medium importance (M) N/A Urbanised areas, human habitation (E01) medium importance (M) N/A

09/05/2013 11.42.49 Page 6 of 12

roads, motorways (D01.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Modification of hydrographic functioning, general (J02.05)	high importance (H)	N/A
artificial planting on open ground (non-native trees) (B01.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
burning down (J01.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
removal of forest undergrowth (B02.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
forest exploitation without replanting or natural regrowth (B03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
forestry clearance (B02.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
2.C.1.Mathad was distributed for some statements based as a	was at a minimum with the angular income	d as marking ( 4)

2.6.1 Method used – threats

Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling(1)

### 2.7 Complementary Information

#### 2.7.1 Species

Quercus robur

Ulmus minor

Fraxinus angustifolia

Fraxinus excelsior

Populus nigra

Populus canescens

Populus tremula

Alnus glutinosa

Prunus padus

Aristolochia clematitis

Aristolochia pallida

**Humulus Iupulus** 

Leucojum aestivum

Vitis vinifera ssp. Sylvestris

Ulmus laevis

Clematis viticella

Circaea lutetiana

Polygonatum multiflorum

Corydalis cava

Gagea lutea

2.7.2 Species method used

Selected by ISPRA's expert from bibliographical and field research

2.7.3 Justification of % - thresholds for trends

2.7.4 Structure and functions - methods used

2.7.5 Other relevant information

Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling(1)

09/05/2013 11.42.49 Page 7 of 12

### 2.8 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.8.1 Range

assessment Bad( U2)
qualifiers N/A

2.8.2 Area

assessment Bad( U2)
qualifiers N/A

2.8.3 Specific structures
and functions (incl Species)

2.8.4 Future prospects

assessment Bad( U2)
qualifiers N/A

assessment Bad( U2)
qualifiers N/A

Bad( U2)

2.8.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status

2.8.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status

declining(-)

### 3. Natura 2000 coverage conservation measures - Annex I habitat types on biogeographical level

### 3.1 Area covered by habitat

3.1.1 Surface area (km²) min 56,44142 max 56,44142

3.1.2 Method used Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3) N/A

#### **3.2 Conversation Measures**

### 2.1 Biogeographical Region2.2 Published

### Alpine (ALP)

The present Habitat assessment (fields 0.1-3.1) has been compiled by Pierangela Angelini (ISPRA). Published and unpublished data, information and experts' judgments have been provided by Edoardo Biondi, Liliana Zivkovic and Cesare Lasen(SBI), Pietro Massimiliano Bianco and Pierangela Angelini (ISPRA, field 2.7.1).

"Biondi E, Blasi C, Burrascano S, Casavecchia S, Copiz R, Del Vico E, Galdenzi D, Gigante D, Lasen C, Spampinato G, Venanzoni R, Zivkovic L (2009a) Italian interpretation Manual of the habitats (92/43/EEC Directive). Ministero dell'Ambiente e della Tutela del Territorio e del Mare.

Http://vnr.unipg.it/habitat/\bar{2}Blasi et al., 2010. La Vegetazione d'Italia con Carta delle Serie di Vegetazione in scala 1:500000. Palombi ed., Casella L., Agrillo E., Bianco P.M., Cardillo A., Carbone M., Cattena C., Laureti L., Lugari A., Spada F., 2008. Carta degli habitat della Regione Lazio per il sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRA - Università degli Studi di Roma "La Sapienza" - Regione Lazio\bar{2}ISPRA, 2011. Dati del sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000.\bar{2}ISPRA, Corine land cover 2006 IV livello. Dati della Rete del sistema Informativo Nazionale Ambientale - SINAnet\bar{2}Oriolo G., Dragan M., Fernetti M., Francescato C., Tomasella M., Giorgi R. 2007. Carta degli

09/05/2013 11.42.49 Page 8 of 12

habitat della regione Friuli Venezia Giulia per il sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRA-Regione Friuli Venezia Giulia.

Http://www.isprambiente.gov.it/site/it-

IT/Servizi\_per\_l%27Ambiente/Sistema\_Carta\_della\_Natura@Pedrotti F., 1980. Foreste ripariali lungo la costa adriatica dell'Italia. Coll. Phtosoc. IX: 143-154.@Pedrotti F., Gafta D., 1996. Ecologia delle foreste ripariali e paludose dell'Italia. L'uomo e l'ambiente, 23@"

#### 2.3 Range of the habitat type in the biogeographical region or marine region

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²) 6800

2.3.2 Range method used Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2001-2012

2.3.4 Short-term trend direction decrease (-)

min max

2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude2.3.6 Long-term trend period

2.3.3 Short-term trend period

N/A

2.3.7 Long-term trend direction

min max

2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude2.3.9 Favourable reference range

area (km²)

operator more than (>)

unkown No

method

2.3.10 Reason for change

genuine change No improved knowledge Yes different method Yes

#### 2.4 Area covered by Habitat

2.4.1 Surface area (km²) 31,33

2.4.2 Year or period 2005-2012

2.4.3 Method used Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)

2.4.4 Short-term trend period 2001-2012

2.4.5 Short-term trend direction decrease (-)

2.4.6 Short-term trend magnitude min max confidence interval

2.4.8 Long-term trend period

2.4.9 Long-term trend direction N/A

2.4.10 Long-term trend magnitude min max confidence interval

2.4.11 Long term trend method used N/A

2.4.12 Favourable reference area area (km)

operator much more than (>>)

unknown No

method

2.4.13 Reason for change Improved knowledge/more accurate dataUse of different method

#### 2.5 Main Pressures

09/05/2013 11.42.49 Page 9 of 12

nabitat types (Annex D)			
Pressure		ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Forest and Plantation management &	use (B02)	high importance (H)	N/A
Forestry activities not referred to above	e (B07)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Roads, paths and railroads (D01)		high importance (H)	N/A
Improved access to site (D05)		medium importance (M)	N/A
Discharges (E03)		low importance (L)	N/A
Outdoor sports and leisure activities, re (G01)	ecreational activities	low importance (L)	N/A
Sport and leisure structures (G02)		low importance (L)	N/A
Other human intrusions and disturbanc	ces (G05)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Soil pollution and solid waste (excluding	g discharges) (H05)	medium importance (M)	N/A
invasive non-native species (I01)		high importance (H)	N/A
human induced changes in hydraulic co	onditions (J02)	high importance (H)	N/A
Other ecosystem modifications (J03)		medium importance (M)	N/A
Biocenotic evolution, succession (KO2)		medium importance (M)	N/A
inundation (natural processes) (L08)		low importance (L)	N/A
2.5.1 Method used – pressures	Estimate based on p	artial data with some extrapo	lation and/or modelling( 2)
2.6 Main Threats			
Threat		ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Facest and Diameter:	(DO2)	latina transportante de la (1.1)	N1 / A

2.6 Main Threats		
Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Forest and Plantation management & use (B02)	high importance (H)	N/A
Forestry activities not referred to above (B07)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Roads, paths and railroads (D01)	high importance (H)	N/A
Improved access to site (D05)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Discharges (E03)	low importance (L)	N/A
Outdoor sports and leisure activities, recreational activities (G01)	low importance (L)	N/A
Sport and leisure structures (G02)	low importance (L)	N/A
Other human intrusions and disturbances (G05)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Soil pollution and solid waste (excluding discharges) (H05)	medium importance (M)	N/A
invasive non-native species (IO1)	high importance (H)	N/A
human induced changes in hydraulic conditions (J02)	high importance (H)	N/A
Other ecosystem modifications (J03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Biocenotic evolution, succession (KO2)	medium importance (M)	N/A
inundation (natural processes) (LO8)	low importance (L)	N/A

2.6.1 Method used – threats Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling( 1)

### 2.7 Complementary Information

09/05/2013 11.42.49 Page 10 of 12

2.7.1 Species	
Quercus robur	
Ulmus laevis	
Ulmus minor	
Ulmus glabra	
Aristolochia pallida	
Aristolochia pallida Aristolochia clematitis	
Fraxinus excelsior	
Fraxinus angustifolia	
Populus tremula	
Alnus glutinosa	
Prunus padus Humulus lupulus	
<u> </u>	
Vitis vinifera ssp. Sylvestris	
Corydalis cava	
Corydalis solida	
Gagea lutea Ribes rubrum	
Ribes rubrum	
2.7.2 Species method used	Selected by ISPRA's expert from bibliographical and field research
2.7.3 Justification of % -	
thresholds for trends	
2.7.4 Structure and functions -	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling(1)
methods used	
2.7.5 Other relevant information	
2.8 Conclusions (assessment of c	conservation status at end of reporting period)
2.8.1 Range	assessment Inadequate( U1)
2 2 2 4 4 5 2	qualifiers N/A assessment Bad( U2)
2.8.2 Area	qualifiers N/A
2.8.3 Specific structures	assessment Bad( U2)
and functions (incl Species)	qualifiers N/A
2.8.4 Future prospects	assessment Bad( U2) qualifiers N/A
2.8.5 Overall assessment of	Bad( U2)
Conservation Status	
2.8.5 Overall trend in	declining( -)
Conservation Status	

09/05/2013 11.42.49 Page 11 of 12

3. Natura 2000 coverage conservation measures - Annex I habitat types on biogeographical level

3.1 Area covered by habitat

3.1.1 Surface area (km²) min 3,3427 max 3,3427

3.1.2 Method used Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)

3.1.3. Trend of surface area N/A

**3.2 Conversation Measures** 

09/05/2013 11.42.49 Page 12 of 12