Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 17 for annex I habitat types (Annex D)

CODE: 7230

NAME: Alkaline fens

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map	Yes
1.1.2 Distribution Method	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)
1.1.3 Year or period	2005-2012
1.1.4 Additional map	No
1.1.5 Range Map	Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

Mediterranean (MED)

2.2 Published

The present Habitat assessment (fields 0.1-3.1) has been compiled by Pierangela Angelini (ISPRA). Published and unpublished data, information and experts' judgments have been provided by Edoardo Biondi, Liliana Zivkovic and Giovanni Spampinato(SBI), Pietro Massimiliano Bianco and Pierangela Angelini (ISPRA, field 2.7.1).

"Bianco P.M., Laureti L., Papallo O. , Perfetti D. 2012 Carta degli habitat della Regione Umbria per il sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRA Biondi E, Blasi C, Burrascano S, Casavecchia S, Copiz R, Del Vico E, Galdenzi D, Gigante D, Lasen C, Spampinato G, Venanzoni R, Zivkovic L (2009a) Italian interpretation Manual of the habitats (92/43/EEC Directive). Ministero dell'Ambiente e della Tutela del Territorio e del Mare. http://vnr.unipg.it/habitat/ Blasi et al., 2010. La Vegetazione d'Italia con Carta delle Serie di Vegetazione in scala 1:500000. Palombi ed., ISPRA, 2011. Dati del sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRA, Corine land cover 2006 IV livello. Dati della Rete del sistema Informativo Nazionale Ambientale - SINAnet ISPRA, 2005. Dati del sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. Papini F., Gianguzzi L., Brullo S., Bianco P. M., Angelini P., 2006. Carta degli habitat della Regione Sicilia per il sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. Dipartimento di Scienze Botaniche dell'Università degli Studi di Palermo - Dipartimento di Botanica dell'Università degli Studi di Catania -Regione Sicilia – ISPRA "

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 17 for annex I habitat types (Annex D)

2.3 Range of the habitat type in the biogeographical region or marine region

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)	14100	
2.3.2 Range method used	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)	
2.3.3 Short-term trend period	2001-2012	
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction	unknown (x)	
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude	min	max
2.3.6 Long-term trend period		
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction	N/A	
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude	min	max
2.3.9 Favourable reference range	area (km²)	
	operator	more than (>)
	unkown	No
	method	
2.3.10 Reason for change	genuine change	No
	improved knowledge	Yes
	different method	Yes

2.4 Area covered by Habitat

2.4.1 Surface area (km²)	2,59		
2.4.2 Year or period	2005-2012		
2.4.3 Method used	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)		
2.4.4 Short-term trend period	2001-2012		
2.4.5 Short-term trend direction	unknown (x)		
2.4.6 Short-term trend magnitude	min	max	confidence interval
2.4.7 Short term trend method used	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)		
2.4.8 Long-term trend period			
2.4.9 Long-term trend direction	N/A		
2.4.10 Long-term trend magnitude	min	max	confidence interval
2.4.11 Long term trend method used	N/A		
2.4.12 Favourable reference area	area (km)		
	operator	more than (>)	
	unknown	No	
	method		
2.4.13 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate dataUse of different method		

2.5 Main Pressures

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
modifying structures of inland water courses (J02.05.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Soil pollution and solid waste (excluding discharges) (H05)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Fertilisation (A08)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Water abstractions from groundwater (J02.07)	high importance (H)	N/A

management of aquatic and bank vegetation for drainage purposes (J02.10)	high importance (H)	N/A

2.5.1 Method used – pressures: Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling(2)

2.6 Main Threats

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
modifying structures of inland water courses (J02.05.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Soil pollution and solid waste (excluding discharges) (H05)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Fertilisation (A08)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Water abstractions from groundwater (J02.07)	high importance (H)	N/A
management of aquatic and bank vegetation for drainage purposes (J02.10)	high importance (H)	N/A

2.6.1 Method used – threats: Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling(1)

2.7 Complementary Information

2.7.1 Species

Carex frigida
Carex oederi
Dactylorhiza incarnata
Eleocharis quinqueflora
Epipactis palustris
Eriophorum latifolium
Juncus anceps
Juncus subnodulosus
Schoenus nigricans
Schoenus ferrugineus
Serapias vomeracea
Spiranthes aestivalis
Thalictrum simplex
Valeriana dioica
Tomentypnum nitens
Scorpidium cossonii (=Drepanocladus intermedius)
Allium schoenoprasum

2.7.2 Species method used	Selected by ISPRA's expert from bibliographical and field research
2.7.3 Justification of % - thresholds for trends	
2.7.4 Structure and functions - methods used	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling(1)
2.7.5 Other relevant information	Muschi: Tomentypnum nitens, Scorpidium cossonii (=Drepanocladus intermedius)

2.8 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.8.1 Range	assessment	Unknown(XX)
	qualifiers	N/A
2.8.2 Area	assessment	Unknown(XX)
	qualifiers	N/A
2.8.3 Specific structures and functions (incl Species)	assessment	Inadequate(U1)
	qualifiers	N/A
2.8.4 Future prospects	assessment	Inadequate(U1)
	qualifiers	N/A
2.8.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status	Inadequate(U1)	
2.8.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status	declining(-)	

3. Natura 2000 coverage conservation measures - Annex I habitat types on biogeographical level

3.1 Area covered by habitat

3.1.1 Surface area (km²)	min	2,5898	max	2,5898
3.1.2 Method used	Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)			
3.1.3. Trend of surface area	N/A			

3.2 Conversation Measures

2.1 Biogeographical Region	**Continental (CON)**
2.2 Published	The present Habitat assessment (fields 0.1-3.1) has been compiled by Pierangela Angelini (ISPRA). Published and unpublished data, information and experts' judgments have been provided by Edoardo Biondi and Liliana Zivkovic(SBI), Pietro Massimiliano Bianco and Pierangela Angelini (ISPRA, field 2.7.1). "Bianco P.M., Laureti L., Papallo O. , Perfetti D. 2012 Carta degli habitat della Regione Umbria per il sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRA Biondi E, Blasi C, Burrascano S, Casavecchia S, Copiz R, Del Vico E, Galdenzi D, Gigante D, Lasen C, Spampinato G, Venanzoni R, Zivkovic L (2009a) Italian interpretation Manual of the habitats (92/43/EEC Directive). Ministero

dell'Ambiente e della Tutela del Territorio e del Mare. http://vnr.unipg.it/habitat/Blasi et al., 2010. La Vegetazione d'Italia con Carta delle Serie di Vegetazione in scala 1:500000. Palombi ed., Brentan D., Burbello A., Avanzi E., Gasparini S., Laureti L., Bianco P.M., 2008. Carta degli habitat della regione Veneto per il sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRA - Arpa Veneto. http://www.isprambiente.gov.it/site/it-IT/Servizi_per_l%27Ambiente/Sistema_Carta_della_NaturaISPRA, 2011. Dati del sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000.ISPRA, Corine land cover 2006 IV livello. Dati della Rete del sistema Informativo Nazionale Ambientale - SINAnetOriolo G., Dragan M., Fernetti M., Francescato C., Tomasella M., Giorgi R. 2007. Carta degli habitat della regione Friuli Venezia Giulia per il sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRA-Regione Friuli Venezia Giulia. http://www.isprambiente.gov.it/site/it-IT/Servizi_per_l%27Ambiente/Sistema_Carta_della_NaturaPesaresi S, Biondi E, Casavecchia S, Catorci A, Foglia M., 2007. Il Geodatabase del Sistema Informativo Vegetazionale delle Marche. Fitosociol 44 (2) suppl. 1: 95-101 http://www.ortobotanico.univpm.it/cartography. Brecciaroli M., 2012. Vegetazione, ambiente e gestione delle risores naturali della Val di Panico nel Parco Nazionale dei Monti Sibillini (Appennino Centrale). Tesi di Laurea Specialistica in Scienze e Tecnologie Agrarie, Università Politecnica delle Marche-Facoltà di Agraria."

2.3 Range of the habitat type in the biogeographical region or marine region

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)	14300	
2.3.2 Range method used	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)	
2.3.3 Short-term trend period	2001-2012	
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction	decrease (-)	
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude	min	max
2.3.6 Long-term trend period		
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction	N/A	
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude	min	max
2.3.9 Favourable reference range	area (km²)	
	operator	much more than (>>)
	unkown	No
	method	
2.3.10 Reason for change	genuine change	No
	improved knowledge	Yes
	different method	Yes

2.4 Area covered by Habitat

2.4.1 Surface area (km²)	11,47		
2.4.2 Year or period	2005-2012		
2.4.3 Method used	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)		
2.4.4 Short-term trend period	2001-2012		
2.4.5 Short-term trend direction	decrease (-)		
2.4.6 Short-term trend magnitude	min	max	confidence interval
2.4.7 Short term trend method used	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)		

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 17 for annex I habitat types (Annex D)

2.4.8 Long-term trend period			
2.4.9 Long-term trend direction	N/A		
2.4.10 Long-term trend magnitude	min	max	confidence interval
2.4.11 Long term trend method used	N/A		
2.4.12 Favourable reference area	area (km)		
	operator	much more than (>>)	
	unknown	No	
	method		
2.4.13 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate dataUse of different method		

2.5 Main Pressures

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Water abstractions from groundwater (J02.07)	high importance (H)	N/A
canalisation (J02.03.02)	high importance (H)	N/A
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Fertilisation (A08)	low importance (L)	N/A

2.5.1 Method used – pressures	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling(2)

2.6 Main Threats

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Water abstractions from groundwater (J02.07)	high importance (H)	N/A
canalisation (J02.03.02)	high importance (H)	N/A
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Fertilisation (A08)	low importance (L)	N/A

2.6.1 Method used – threats	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling(1)

2.7 Complementary Information

2.7.1 Species
Carex davalliana
Carex flava aggr.
Carex frigida
Carex hostiana
Carex lepidocarpa
Carex oederi
Dactylorhiza incarnata
Dactylorhiza majalis
Dactylorhiza traunsteineri
Eleocharis quinqueflora
Epipactis palustris

Eriophorum latifolium

Euphrasia marchesettii

Swertia perennis

Tofieldia calyculata

Pinguicula spp.

Schoenus ferrugineus

Spiranthes aestivalis

Calliergonella cuspidata (=Acrocladium cuspidatum)

Schoenus nigricans

2.7.2 Species method used	Selected by ISPRA's expert from bibliographical and field research
2.7.3 Justification of % - thresholds for trends	
2.7.4 Structure and functions - methods used	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling(1)
2.7.5 Other relevant information	

2.8 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.8.1 Range	assessment	Bad(U2)
	qualifiers	N/A
2.8.2 Area	assessment	Bad(U2)
	qualifiers	N/A
2.8.3 Specific structures and functions (incl Species)	assessment	Bad(U2)
	qualifiers	N/A
2.8.4 Future prospects	assessment	Bad(U2)
	qualifiers	N/A
2.8.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status	Bad(U2)	
2.8.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status	declining(-)	

3. Natura 2000 coverage conservation measures - Annex I habitat types on biogeographical level

3.1 Area covered by habitat

3.1.1 Surface area (km²)	min	6,06	max	6,06
3.1.2 Method used	Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)			
3.1.3. Trend of surface area	N/A			

3.2 Conversation Measures

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 17 for annex I habitat types (Annex D)

2.1 Biogeographical Region
2.2 Published

Alpine (ALP)

The present Habitat assessment (fields 0.1-3.1) has been compiled by Pierangela Angelini (ISPRA). Published and unpublished data, information and experts' judgments have been provided by Edoardo Biondi, Liliana Zivkovic and Cesare Lasen(SBI), Pietro Massimiliano Bianco and Pierangela Angelini (ISPRA, field 2.7.1).

"Brentan D., Burbello A., Avanzi E., Gasparini S., Laureti L., Bianco P.M., 2008. Carta degli habitat della regione Veneto per il sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRA - Arpa Veneto. http://www.isprambiente.gov.it/site/it-IT/Servizi_per_l%27Ambiente/Sistema_Carta_della_Natura Biondi E, Blasi C, Burrascano S, Casavecchia S, Copiz R, Del Vico E, Galdenzi D, Gigante D, Lasen C, Spampinato G, Venanzoni R, Zivkovic L (2009a) Italian interpretation Manual of the habitats (92/43/EEC Directive). Ministero dell'Ambiente e della Tutela del Territorio e del Mare. http://vnr.unipg.it/habitat/ Blasi et al., 2010. La Vegetazione d'Italia con Carta delle Serie di Vegetazione in scala 1:500000. Palombi ed., Casella L., Agrillo E., Bianco P.M., Cardillo A., Carbone M., Cattena C., Laureti L., Lugari A., Spada F., 2008. Carta degli habitat della Regione Lazio per il sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRA - Università degli Studi di Roma "La Sapienza" - Regione Lazio ISPRA, 2011. Dati del sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRA, Corine land cover 2006 IV livello. Dati della Rete del sistema Informativo Nazionale Ambientale - SINAnet Morra di Cella U., Cremonese E., Pari E., Siniscalco C., Amadei M., Angelini P., Cardillo A., 2008. Carta degli habitat della Regione Valle d'Aosta per il sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRA - ARPA Valle d'Aosta - Dipartimento Biologia Vegetale Università degli studi di Torino. http://www.isprambiente.gov.it/site/it-IT/Servizi_per_l%27Ambiente/Sistema_Carta_della_Natura Oriolo G., Dragan M., Fernetti M., Francescato C., Tomasella M., Giorgi R. 2007. Carta degli habitat della regione Friuli Venezia Giulia per il sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRA-Regione Friuli Venezia Giulia. http://www.isprambiente.gov.it/site/it-IT/Servizi_per_l%27Ambiente/Sistema_Carta_della_Natura. WILHALM T., NIKLFELD H. & GUTERMANN W., 2006 - Katalog der Gefäßpflanzen Südtirols.Veröffentlichungen des Naturmuseums Südtirol Nr. 3. Folio Verlag, Wien/Bozen, 218 pp "

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 17 for annex I habitat types (Annex D)

2.3 Range of the habitat type in the biogeographical region or marine region

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)	44500	
2.3.2 Range method used	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)	
2.3.3 Short-term trend period	2001-2012	
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction	decrease (-)	
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude	min	max
2.3.6 Long-term trend period		
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction	N/A	
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude	min	max
2.3.9 Favourable reference range	area (km²)	
	operator	more than (>)
	unkown	No
	method	
2.3.10 Reason for change	genuine change	No
	improved knowledge	Yes
	different method	Yes

2.4 Area covered by Habitat

2.4.1 Surface area (km²)	41,67		
2.4.2 Year or period	2005-2012		
2.4.3 Method used	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)		
2.4.4 Short-term trend period	2001-2012		
2.4.5 Short-term trend direction	decrease (-)		
2.4.6 Short-term trend magnitude	min	max	confidence interval
2.4.7 Short term trend method used	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)		
2.4.8 Long-term trend period			
2.4.9 Long-term trend direction	N/A		
2.4.10 Long-term trend magnitude	min	max	confidence interval
2.4.11 Long term trend method used	N/A		
2.4.12 Favourable reference area	area (km)		
	operator	more than (>)	
	unknown	No	
	method		
2.4.13 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate dataUse of different method		

2.5 Main Pressures

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Trampling, overuse (G05.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Fertilisation (A08)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	high importance (H)	N/A
Peat extraction (C01.03)	high importance (H)	N/A
Improved access to site (D05)	medium importance (M)	N/A
canalisation (J02.03.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A

Water abstractions from groundwater (J02.07)	high importance (H)	N/A
Biocenotic evolution, succession (K02)	low importance (L)	N/A
intensive grazing (A04.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
abandonment of pastoral systems, lack of grazing (A04.03)	low importance (L)	N/A
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	low importance (L)	N/A
paths, tracks, cycling tracks (D01.01)	high importance (H)	N/A

2.5.1 Method used – pressures: Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling(2)

2.6 Main Threats

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Trampling, overuse (G05.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Fertilisation (A08)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	high importance (H)	N/A
Peat extraction (C01.03)	high importance (H)	N/A
Improved access to site (D05)	medium importance (M)	N/A
canalisation (J02.03.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Water abstractions from groundwater (J02.07)	high importance (H)	N/A
Biocenotic evolution, succession (K02)	low importance (L)	N/A
intensive grazing (A04.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
abandonment of pastoral systems, lack of grazing (A04.03)	low importance (L)	N/A
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	low importance (L)	N/A
paths, tracks, cycling tracks (D01.01)	high importance (H)	N/A

2.6.1 Method used – threats: Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling(1)

2.7 Complementary Information

2.7.1 Species

Acrocladium cuspidatum (= Calliergonella cuspidata)
Schoenus nigricans
Carex davalliana
Carex capitata
Carex dioica
Carex flava
Carex hostiana
Carex pulicaris
Carex lepidocarpa
Dactylorhiza spp.
Eleocharis quinqueflora
Eriophorum latifolium

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 17 for annex I habitat types (Annex D)

Liparis loeselii

Epipactis palustris

Primula farinosa

Tofieldia calyculata

Swertia perennis

Parnassia palustris

Trichophorum alpinum

Scorpidium scorpioides

2.7.2 Species method used	Selected by ISPRA's expert from bibliographical and field research
2.7.3 Justification of % - thresholds for trends	
2.7.4 Structure and functions - methods used	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling(1)
2.7.5 Other relevant information	Muschi: Acrocladium cuspidatum (= Calliergonella cuspidata), Scorpidium scorpioides

2.8 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.8.1 Range	assessment	Inadequate(U1)
	qualifiers	N/A
2.8.2 Area	assessment	Inadequate(U1)
	qualifiers	N/A
2.8.3 Specific structures and functions (incl Species)	assessment	Bad(U2)
	qualifiers	N/A
2.8.4 Future prospects	assessment	Bad(U2)
	qualifiers	N/A
2.8.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status	Bad(U2)	
2.8.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status	declining(-)	

3. Natura 2000 coverage conservation measures - Annex I habitat types on biogeographical level

3.1 Area covered by habitat

3.1.1 Surface area (km²)	min	32,80331	max	32,80331
3.1.2 Method used	Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)			
3.1.3. Trend of surface area	N/A			

3.2 Conversation Measures

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 17 for annex I habitat types (Annex D)