CODE: 91L0

NAME: Illyrian oak-hornbeam forests (Erythronio-Carpinion)

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map

1.1.2 Distribution Method

1.1.3 Year or period

1.1.4 Additional map

1.1.5 Range Map

Yes

Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)

2005-2012

No

Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published

Mediterranean (MED)

The present Habitat assessment (fields 0.1-3.1) has been compiled by Pierangela Angelini (ISPRA). Published and unpublished data, information and experts' judgments have been provided by Edoardo Biondi, Liliana Zivkovic and Giovanni Spampinato(SBI), Pietro Massimiliano Bianco and Pierangela Angelini (ISPRA, field 2.7.1).

"Košir P., Casavecchia S., Čarni A., Škvorc Ž., Zivkovic L. & Biondi E., 2012 -Ecological and phytogeographical differentiation of oak-hornbeam forests in southeastern Europe. Plant Biosystems doi: 10.1080/11263504.2012.717550. Blasi C., Filibeck G. & Rosati L., 2002. La vegetazione forestale del ""Bosco di Oricola"", un querco-carpineto nell'Appennino laziale-abruzzese. Fitosociologia 39(1): 115-125. Angelini P., Augello R., Bianco P.M., Gennaio R., La Ghezza V., Lavarra P., Marrese M., Papallo O., Perrino V. M., Sani R., M. Stelluti. 2012. Carta degli habitat della Regione Puglia per il sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRA - Arpa Puglia
Bianco P.M., Laureti L., Papallo O., Perfetti D. 2012 Carta degli habitat della Regione Umbria per il sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRABiondi E, Blasi C, Burrascano S, Casavecchia S, Copiz R, Del Vico E, Galdenzi D, Gigante D, Lasen C, Spampinato G, Venanzoni R, Zivkovic L (2009a) Italian interpretation Manual of the habitats (92/43/EEC Directive). Ministero dell'Ambiente e della Tutela del Territorio e del Mare. http://vnr.unipg.it/habitat/

Blasi et al., 2010. La Vegetazione d'Italia con Carta delle Serie di Vegetazione in scala 1:500000. Palombi ed., @Casella L., Agrillo E., Bianco P.M., Cardillo A., Carbone M., Cattena C., Laureti L., Lugari A., Spada F., 2008. Carta degli habitat della Regione Lazio per il sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRA - Università degli Studi di Roma "La Sapienza" - Regione Lazio@Falinski J.B. & Pedrotti F., 1990. The vegetation and dynamical tendencies in the vegetation of Bosco Quarto, promontorio del Gargano, Italy. Braun Blanquetia 5. ISPRA, 2011. Dati del sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRA, Corine land cover 2006 IV livello. Dati della Rete del sistema Informativo Nazionale Ambientale - SINAnet ISPRA, 2005. Dati del sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000.2"

09/05/2013 11.44.01 Page 1 of 11

2 2 Dames -	C + - ! + - +	According to the Alberta	The first are a second and a first and	l	
7.3 Kange of	r the nabitat	type in the	ningengraphical	region	or marine region
LIG Hange of	tile manitat	type iii tiic	biogcogi apinicai	. CB.O	or marmic region

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²) 32000

2.3.2 Range method used Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2.3.3 Short-term trend period 2001-2012 2.3.4 Short-term trend direction stable (0)

2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude min max

2.3.6 Long-term trend period

2.3.7 Long-term trend direction N/A

2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude min max

2.3.9 Favourable reference range area (km²)

operator approximately equal to (≈)

unkown No

method

2.3.10 Reason for change genuine change No improved knowledge Yes

different method Yes

2.4 Area covered by Habitat

2.4.1 Surface area (km²) 443,52

2.4.2 Year or period 2005-2012

2.4.3 Method used Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)

2.4.4 Short-term trend period 2001-2012 2.4.5 Short-term trend direction stable (0)

2.4.6 Short-term trend magnitude min max confidence interval

2.4.8 Long-term trend period

2.4.9 Long-term trend direction N/A

2.4.10 Long-term trend magnitude min max confidence interval

2.4.11 Long term trend method used N/A

2.4.12 Favourable reference area area (km)

operator approximately equal to (≈)

unknown No

method

2.4.13 Reason for change Improved knowledge/more accurate dataUse of different method

2.5 Main Pressures

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
roads, motorways (D01.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
burning down (J01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
artificial planting on open ground (non-native trees) (B01.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
dispersed habitation (E01.03)	low importance (L)	N/A
removal of forest undergrowth (B02.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
discontinuous urbanisation (E01.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A

09/05/2013 11.44.02 Page 2 of 11

/1 \	•		
forestry clearance (B02.02)		low importance (L)	N/A
2.5.1 Method used – pressures	Estimate based on pa	artial data with some extrapo	lation and/or modelling(2)
2.6 Main Threats			
Threat		ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
roads, motorways (D01.02)		medium importance (M)	N/A
burning down (J01.01)		medium importance (M)	N/A
artificial planting on open ground (non-	native trees) (B01.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
dispersed habitation (E01.03)		low importance (L)	N/A
removal of forest undergrowth (B02.03	3)	medium importance (M)	N/A
discontinuous urbanisation (E01.02)		medium importance (M)	N/A
forestry clearance (B02.02)		low importance (L)	N/A
2.6.1 Method used – threats	Estimate based on ex	xpert opinion with no or mini	mal sampling(1)
2.7 Complementary Information			
2.7.1 Species			
Quercus robur			
Quercus petraea			
Quercus cerris			
Carpinus betulus			
Aremonia agrimonoides			
Asarum europaeum subsp. Caucasicum			
Bromus ramosus			
Crataegus laevigata			
Cyclamen purpurascens			
Erythronium dens-canis			
Lonicera caprifolium			
Lonicera xylosteum			
Primula acaulis			
Pulmonaria apennina			
Rosa arvensis			
2.7.2 Species method used	Selected by ISPRA's e	expert from bibliographical an	nd field research
2.7.3 Justification of % - thresholds for trends			
2.7.4 Structure and functions - methods used	Estimate based on ex	xpert opinion with no or mini	mal sampling(1)
2.7.5 Other relevant information			

09/05/2013 11.44.02 Page 3 of 11

2.8 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.8.1 Range assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A

assessment Favourable (FV)

qualifiers N/A

assessment Inadequate(U1)

qualifiers N/A

assessment Inadequate(U1)

qualifiers N/A

Inadequate(U1)

unknown(x)

2.8.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status

2.8.3 Specific structures

2.8.4 Future prospects

and functions (incl Species)

2.8.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status

2.8.2 Area

3. Natura 2000 coverage conservation measures - Annex I habitat types on biogeographical level

3.1 Area covered by habitat

3.1.1 Surface area (km²) min 52,8179 max 52,8179

3.1.2 Method used Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3) N/A

3.2 Conversation Measures

2.1 Biogeographical Region2.2 Published

Continental (CON)

The present Habitat assessment (fields 0.1-3.1) has been compiled by Pierangela Angelini (ISPRA). Published and unpublished data, information and experts' judgments have been provided by Edoardo Biondi and Liliana Zivkovic(SBI), Pietro Massimiliano Bianco and Pierangela Angelini (ISPRA, field 2.7.1). "Košir P., Casavecchia S., Čarni A., Škvorc Ž., Zivkovic L. & Biondi E., 2012 -Ecological and phytogeographical differentiation of oak-hornbeam forests in southeastern Europe. Plant Biosystems doi: 10.1080/11263504.2012.717550. Pirone G., Ciaschetti G., Frattaroli A,R., Corbetta F., 2003 - La vegetazione della Riserva Naturale Regionale "Lago di Serranella" (Abruzzo – Italia). Fitosociologia, 40 (2): 55-71. Conti F., Pirone G., 1992. Le cenosi di Fraxinus oxycarpa Bieb e di Carpinus betulus L. del bosco di Vallaspra nel bacino del fume Sangro (Abruzzo, Italia). Doc. Phytosoc., 14: 167-175. Biondi E., Allegrezza M. & Mentoni M., 2011. Vegetational and geomorphological analyses of a small biotope particularly important for biodiversity in Central Apennine. Fitosociologia 48(2): 109-122. Bianco P.M., Laureti L., Papallo O., Perfetti D. 2012 Carta degli habitat della Regione Umbria per il sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRABiondi E, Blasi C, Burrascano S, Casavecchia S, Copiz R, Del Vico E, Galdenzi D, Gigante D, Lasen C, Spampinato G, Venanzoni R, Zivkovic L (2009a)

09/05/2013 11.44.02 Page 4 of 11

Italian interpretation Manual of the habitats (92/43/EEC Directive). Ministero dell'Ambiente e della Tutela del Territorio e del Mare.

http://vnr.unipg.it/habitat/\bar{2}Blasi et al., 2010. La Vegetazione d'Italia con Carta delle Serie di Vegetazione in scala 1:500000. Palombi ed., Brentan D., Burbello A., Avanzi E., Gasparini S., Laureti L., Bianco P.M., 2008. Carta degli habitat della regione Veneto per il sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRA - Arpa Veneto. http://www.isprambiente.gov.it/site/it-IT/Servizi_per_l%27Ambiente/Sistema_Carta_della_Natura\bar{2}Casella L., Agrillo E., Bianco P.M., Cardillo A., Carbone M., Cattena C., Laureti L., Lugari A., Spada F., 2008. Carta degli habitat della Regione Lazio per il sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRA - Università degli Studi di Roma "La Sapienza" - Regione Lazio\bar{2}ISPRA, 2011. Dati del sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000.\bar{2}ISPRA, Corine land cover 2006 IV livello. Dati della Rete del sistema Informativo Nazionale Ambientale - SINAnet\bar{2}Oriolo G., Dragan M., Fernetti M., Francescato C., Tomasella M., Giorgi R. 2007. Carta degli habitat della regione Friuli Venezia Giulia per il sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRA-Regione Friuli Venezia Giulia.

http://www.isprambiente.gov.it/site/it-

IT/Servizi_per_l%27Ambiente/Sistema_Carta_della_Natura®Pesaresi S, Biondi E, Casavecchia S, Catorci A, Foglia M., 2007. Il Geodatabase del Sistema Informativo Vegetazionale delle Marche. Fitosociol 44 (2) suppl. 1: 95-101 http://www.ortobotanico.univpm.it/cartography. Stoppa G., Villani M. & Buffa G., 2012. La componente floristica dei relitti boscati della pianura veneta orientale: qualità e grado di conservazione. Inf. Bot. It. 44(2): 301-313.®"

2.3 Range of the habitat type in the biogeographical region or marine region

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)

2.3.2 Range method used

2.3.3 Short-term trend period

2.3.4 Short-term trend direction

2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude

2.3.6 Long-term trend period

2.3.7 Long-term trend direction

2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude

2.3.9 Favourable reference range

29500

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2001-2012

stable (0)

min max

N/A

nin max

area (km²)

operator approximately equal to (≈)

unkown No

method

genuine change No improved knowledge Yes different method Yes

2.4 Area covered by Habitat

2.4.1 Surface area (km²)

2.3.10 Reason for change

2.4.2 Year or period

2.4.3 Method used

2.4.5 Method used

2.4.4 Short-term trend period2.4.5 Short-term trend direction

2.4.6 Short-term trend magnitude

266,67

2005-2012

Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)

2001-2012

stable (0)

min max confidence interval

09/05/2013 11.44.02 Page 5 of 11

nabitat types (Annex D)					
2.4.7 Short term trend method used	Estimate bas	sed on exp	pert opinion with no o	minimal sampling (1)	
2.4.8 Long-term trend period2.4.9 Long-term trend direction2.4.10 Long-term trend magnitude2.4.11 Long term trend method used	N/A min N/A		max	confidence interval	
2.4.12 Favourable reference area	area (km) operator unknown method	approxim No	nately equal to (≈)		
2.4.13 Reason for change	Improved kr	nowledge,	more accurate dataUs	e of different method	
2.5 Main Pressures					
Pressure			ranking	pollution quali	fier(s)
roads, motorways (D01.02)			medium importance (M) N/A	
Forest and Plantation management & u	ıse (B02)		high importance (H)	N/A	
burning down (J01.01)			high importance (H)	N/A	
dispersed habitation (E01.03)			low importance (L)	N/A	
2.5.1 Method used – pressures	Estimate bas	sed on pa	rtial data with some ex	trapolation and/or mod	elling(2)
2.6 Main Threats					
Threat			ranking	pollution quali	fier(s)
roads, motorways (D01.02)			medium importance (M) N/A	
Forest and Plantation management & u	ıse (B02)		high importance (H)	N/A	
burning down (J01.01)			high importance (H)	N/A	
dispersed habitation (E01.03)			low importance (L)	N/A	
2.6.1 Method used – threats	Estimate bas	sed on exp	pert opinion with no o	minimal sampling(1)	
2.7 Complementary Information					
2.7.1 Species					
Quercus robur					
Loncomelos pyrenaicus (=Ornithogalum	pyrenaicum)				
Euonymus verrucosus					
Euphorbia carniolica					
Anemonoides nemorosa (=Anemone ne					
Anemonoides rapunculoides (=Anemon		les)			
Anemonoides trifolia (=Anemone trifolia	a)				
Arisarum proboscideum					
Asarum europaeum					
Carex umbrosa					

09/05/2013 11.44.02 Page 6 of 11

Carex alba

Carex digitata	
Carpinus betulus	
Crataegus laevigata	
Galanthus nivalis	
Gagea lutea	
Isopyrum thalictroides	
Lamiastrum galeobdolon	
Quercus petraea	
Erythronium dens-canis	
2.7.2 Species method used	Selected by ISPRA's expert from bibliographical and field research
2.7.3 Justification of % - thresholds for trends	
2.7.4 Structure and functions - methods used	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling(1)
2.7.5 Other relevant information	
2.8.1 Range 2.8.2 Area 2.8.3 Specific structures and functions (incl Species) 2.8.4 Future prospects 2.8.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status 2.8.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status	assessment Favourable(FV) qualifiers N/A assessment Favourable(FV) qualifiers N/A assessment Inadequate(U1) qualifiers N/A assessment Inadequate(U1) qualifiers N/A assessment Inadequate(U1) stable(=)
3. Natura 2000 coverage	e conservation measures -
Annex I habitat types o	n biogeographical level
3.1 Area covered by habitat	
3.1.1 Surface area (km²)	min 80,034 max 80,034
3.1.2 Method used	Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)

N/A

3.1.3. Trend of surface area

3.2 Conversation Measures

09/05/2013 11.44.02 Page 7 of 11

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published

Alpine (ALP)

The present Habitat assessment (fields 0.1-3.1) has been compiled by Pierangela Angelini (ISPRA). Published and unpublished data, information and experts' judgments have been provided by Edoardo Biondi, Liliana Zivkovic and Cesare Lasen(SBI), Pietro Massimiliano Bianco and Pierangela Angelini (ISPRA, field 2.7.1).

"Brentan D., Burbello A., Avanzi E., Gasparini S., Laureti L., Bianco P.M., 2008. Carta degli habitat della regione Veneto per il sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRA - Arpa Veneto.

http://www.isprambiente.gov.it/site/it-

IT/Servizi per l%27Ambiente/Sistema Carta della Natura
Biondi E, Blasi C, Burrascano S, Casavecchia S, Copiz R, Del Vico E, Galdenzi D, Gigante D, Lasen C, Spampinato G, Venanzoni R, Zivkovic L (2009a) Italian interpretation Manual of the habitats (92/43/EEC Directive). Ministero dell'Ambiente e della Tutela del Territorio e del Mare. http://vnr.unipg.it/habitat/@Blasi et al., 2010. La Vegetazione d'Italia con Carta delle Serie di Vegetazione in scala 1:500000. Palombi ed., Casella L., Agrillo E., Bianco P.M., Cardillo A., Carbone M., Cattena C., Laureti L., Lugari A., Spada F., 2008. Carta degli habitat della Regione Lazio per il sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRA - Università degli Studi di Roma "La Sapienza" - Regione Lazio ISPRA, 2011. Dati del sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRA, Corine land cover 2006 IV livello. Dati della Rete del sistema Informativo Nazionale Ambientale -SINAnet Oriolo G., Dragan M., Fernetti M., Francescato C., Tomasella M., Giorgi R. 2007. Carta degli habitat della regione Friuli Venezia Giulia per il sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRA-Regione Friuli Venezia Giulia. http://www.isprambiente.gov.it/site/it-IT/Servizi_per_l%27Ambiente/Sistema_Carta_della_Natura2"

2.3 Range of the habitat type in the biogeographical region or marine region

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)
2.3.2 Range method used
2.3.3 Short-term trend period
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude
2.3.6 Long-term trend period
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude
2.3.9 Favourable reference range

2.3.10 Reason for change

12700

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2001-2012 stable (0)

min max

N/A

min max

area (km²)

operator more than (>)

unkown No

method

genuine change No improved knowledge Yes different method Yes

09/05/2013 11.44.02 Page 8 of 11

2.4 Area covered by Habitat			
 2.4.1 Surface area (km²) 2.4.2 Year or period 2.4.3 Method used 2.4.4 Short-term trend period 2.4.5 Short-term trend direction 2.4.6 Short-term trend magnitude 2.4.7 Short term trend method used 	2001-2012 stable (0) min	opert opinion with no or max control opinion with no or	onfidence interval
2.4.8 Long-term trend period2.4.9 Long-term trend direction2.4.10 Long-term trend magnitude2.4.11 Long term trend method used	N/A min N/A	max c	onfidence interval
2.4.12 Favourable reference area	area (km) operator more th unknown No method	.,	
2.4.13 Reason for change	improved knowledge	e/more accurate dataUse	of different method
2.5 Main Pressures Pressure		ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
roads, motorways (D01.02)		medium importance (N	
artificial planting on open ground (non-	native trees) (B01.02)	low importance (L)	N/A
Urbanised areas, human habitation (E0	1)	medium importance (N	1) N/A
paths, tracks, cycling tracks (D01.01)		medium importance (N	1) N/A
Forest and Plantation management &	use (B02)	medium importance (N	1) N/A
Forestry activities not referred to above	e (B07)	medium importance (N	1) N/A
Improved access to site (D05)		low importance (L)	N/A
Outdoor sports and leisure activities, re	ecreational activities	medium importance (N	1) N/A

2.5.1 Method used – pressures	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling(2)

(G01)

Other human intrusions and disturbances (G05)

Water abstractions from groundwater (J02.07)

invasive non-native species (I01)

Other ecosystem modifications (J03)

2.6 Main Threats		
Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
roads, motorways (D01.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
artificial planting on open ground (non-native trees) (B01.02)	low importance (L)	N/A
Urbanised areas, human habitation (E01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
paths, tracks, cycling tracks (D01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A

09/05/2013 11.44.02 Page 9 of 11

low importance (L)

low importance (L)

low importance (L)

medium importance (M)

N/A

N/A

N/A N/A

Forest and Plantation management & use (B02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Forestry activities not referred to above (B07)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Improved access to site (D05)	low importance (L)	N/A
Outdoor sports and leisure activities, recreational activities (G01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Other human intrusions and disturbances (G05)	low importance (L)	N/A
invasive non-native species (IO1)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Water abstractions from groundwater (J02.07)	low importance (L)	N/A
Other ecosystem modifications (J03)	low importance (L)	N/A

2.6.1 Method used - threats

Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling(1)

2.7 Complementary Information

2.7.1 Species

Quercus robur

Carpinus betulus

Quercus petraea

Asparagus tenuifolius

Anemone spp.

Cyclamen purpurascens

Crataegus laevigata

Epimedium alpinum

Erythronium dens-canis

Lathyrus venetus

Lonicera caprifolium

Ornithogalum pyrenaicum

Stellaria holostea

Vaccinium myrtillus

Vinca minor

2.7.2 Species method used

Selected by ISPRA's expert from bibliographical and field research

2.7.3 Justification of % - thresholds for trends

2.7.4 Structure and functions - methods used

2.7.5 Other relevant information

Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling(1)

2.8 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.8.1 Range

assessment Inadequate(U1)

qualifiers N/A

2.8.2 Area

assessment Inadequate(U1) qualifiers N/A

09/05/2013 11.44.02 Page 10 of 11

2.8.3 Specific structures and functions (incl Species)

qualifiers N/A assessment Bad(U2)

assessment Bad(U2)

2.8.4 Future prospects

qualifiers N/A Bad(U2)

2.8.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status

declining(-)

2.8.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status

3. Natura 2000 coverage conservation measures - Annex I habitat types on biogeographical level

3.1 Area covered by habitat

3.1.1 Surface area (km²) min 39,4854 max 39,4854

3.1.2 Method used Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)

3.1.3. Trend of surface area N/A

3.2 Conversation Measures

09/05/2013 11.44.02 Page 11 of 11

Notes

Habitat code: 91L0 Region c	ode: MED	
Field label	Note	User
2.1 Region	Questo habitat è molto probabilmente presente in Liguria, dove è stato erroneamente attribuito, almeno in parte, all'habitat 91H0 che è da escludere per la regione.	ISPRA_h abi

23/04/2014 12:06:41 Page 1 of 1