Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 17 for annex I habitat types (Annex D)

CODE: 9350

NAME: Quercus macrolepis forests

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map	Yes
1.1.2 Distribution Method	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)
1.1.3 Year or period	2005-2012
1.1.4 Additional map	No
1.1.5 Range Map	Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

Mediterranean (MED)

2.2 Published

The present Habitat assessment (fields 0.1-3.1) has been compiled by Pierangela Angelini (ISPRA). Published and unpublished data, information and experts' judgments have been provided by Edoardo Biondi, Liliana Zivkovic and Giovanni Spampinato(SBI).

"Angelini P., Augello R., Bianco P.M., Gennaio R., La Ghezza V., Lavarra P., Marrese M., Papallo O., Perrino V. M., Sani R., M. Stelluti. 2012. Carta degli habitat della Regione Puglia per il sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRA - Arpa Puglia Biondi E, Blasi C, Burrascano S, Casavecchia S, Copiz R, Del Vico E, Galdenzi D, Gigante D, Lasen C, Spampinato G, Venanzoni R, Zivkovic L (2009a) Italian interpretation Manual of the habitats (92/43/EEC Directive). Ministero dell'Ambiente e della Tutela del Territorio e del Mare. http://vnr.unipg.it/habitat/ Blasi et al., 2010. La Vegetazione d'Italia con Carta delle Serie di Vegetazione in scala 1:500000. Palombi ed., ISPRA, 2011. Dati del sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRA, Corine land cover 2006 IV livello. Dati della Rete del sistema Informativo Nazionale Ambientale - SINAnet ISPRA, 2005. Dati del sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ACCOGLI R., MEDAGLI P., BECCARISI L., MARCHIORI L., 2008 - Quercus ithaburensis Decne. subsp. macrolepis (Kotschy) Hedge et F. Yaltirik. In Flora da conservare. 40 (Suppl. 1): 103-105.

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 17 for annex I habitat types (Annex D)

2.3 Range of the habitat type in the biogeographical region or marine region

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)	400	
2.3.2 Range method used	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)	
2.3.3 Short-term trend period	2001-2012	
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction	stable (0)	
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude	min	max
2.3.6 Long-term trend period		
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction	N/A	
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude	min	max
2.3.9 Favourable reference range	area (km²)	
	operator	much more than (>>)
	unkown	No
	method	
2.3.10 Reason for change	genuine change	No
	improved knowledge	Yes
	different method	Yes

2.4 Area covered by Habitat

2.4.1 Surface area (km²)	1,48		
2.4.2 Year or period	2005-2012		
2.4.3 Method used	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)		
2.4.4 Short-term trend period	2001-2012		
2.4.5 Short-term trend direction	stable (0)		
2.4.6 Short-term trend magnitude	min	max	confidence interval
2.4.7 Short term trend method used	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)		
2.4.8 Long-term trend period			
2.4.9 Long-term trend direction	N/A		
2.4.10 Long-term trend magnitude	min	max	confidence interval
2.4.11 Long term trend method used	N/A		
2.4.12 Favourable reference area	area (km)		
	operator	much more than (>>)	
	unknown	No	
	method		
2.4.13 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate dataUse of different method		

2.5 Main Pressures

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
burning down (J01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
discontinuous urbanisation (E01.02)	high importance (H)	N/A
roads, motorways (D01.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Sport and leisure structures (G02)	high importance (H)	N/A
motorised vehicles (G01.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Erosion (K01.01)	low importance (L)	N/A

continuous urbanisation (E01.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
removal of forest undergrowth (B02.03)	low importance (L)	N/A

2.5.1 Method used – pressures: Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling(2)

2.6 Main Threats

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
burning down (J01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
discontinuous urbanisation (E01.02)	high importance (H)	N/A
roads, motorways (D01.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Sport and leisure structures (G02)	high importance (H)	N/A
motorised vehicles (G01.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Erosion (K01.01)	low importance (L)	N/A
continuous urbanisation (E01.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
removal of forest undergrowth (B02.03)	low importance (L)	N/A

2.6.1 Method used – threats: Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling(1)

2.7 Complementary Information

2.7.1 Species

Quercus macrolepis (= Quercus ithaburensis ssp. macrolepis)

2.7.2 Species method used: List from field "combinazione fisionomica di riferimento" of habitat's form in: Manuale Italiano di Interpretazione degli Habitat (Biondi et al., 2009; http://vnr.unipg.it/habitat/)

2.7.3 Justification of % - thresholds for trends

2.7.4 Structure and functions - methods used: Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling(1)

2.7.5 Other relevant information

2.8 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.8.1 Range
- assessment: Bad(U2)
- qualifiers: N/A

2.8.2 Area
- assessment: Bad(U2)
- qualifiers: N/A

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 17 for annex I habitat types (Annex D)

2.8.3 Specific structures and functions (incl Species)	assessment	Bad(U2)
	qualifiers	N/A
2.8.4 Future prospects	assessment	Inadequate(U1)
	qualifiers	N/A
2.8.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status		Bad(U2)
2.8.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status		stable(=)

3. Natura 2000 coverage conservation measures - Annex I habitat types on biogeographical level

3.1 Area covered by habitat

3.1.1 Surface area (km²)	min	1,2535	max	1,2535
3.1.2 Method used	Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)			
3.1.3. Trend of surface area	N/A			

3.2 Conversation Measures