CODE: 9250

NAME: Quercus trojana woods

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map

1.1.2 Distribution Method

1.1.3 Year or period

1.1.4 Additional map

1.1.5 Range Map

Yes

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2005-2012

No

Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published

Mediterranean (MED)

The present Habitat assessment (fields 0.1-3.1) has been compiled by Pierangela Angelini (ISPRA). Published and unpublished data, information and experts' judgments have been provided by Edoardo Biondi, Liliana Zivkovic and Giovanni Spampinato(SBI).

"Angelini P., Augello R., Bianco P.M., Gennaio R., La Ghezza V., Lavarra P., Marrese M., Papallo O., Perrino V. M., Sani R., M. Stelluti. 2012. Carta degli habitat della Regione Puglia per il sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRA - Arpa Puglia Biondi E, Blasi C, Burrascano S, Casavecchia S, Copiz R, Del Vico E, Galdenzi D, Gigante D, Lasen C, Spampinato G, Venanzoni R, Zivkovic L (2009a) Italian interpretation Manual of the habitats (92/43/EEC Directive). Ministero dell'Ambiente e della Tutela del Territorio e del Mare. http://vnr.unipg.it/habitat/ Blasi et al., 2010. La Vegetazione d'Italia con Carta delle Serie di Vegetazione in scala 1:500000. Palombi ed., PISPRA, 2011. Dati del sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. PRA, Corine land cover 2006 IV livello. Dati della Rete del sistema Informativo Nazionale Ambientale - SINAnet PISPRA, 2005. Dati del sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000.

2.3 Range of the habitat type in the biogeographical region or marine region

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)

2.3.2 Range method used

2.3.3 Short-term trend period

2.3.4 Short-term trend direction

2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude

2.3.6 Long-term trend period

2.3.10 Reason for change

2.3.7 Long-term trend direction

2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude

2.3.9 Favourable reference range

3600

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

Page 1 of 4

2001-2012

decrease (-)

min max

N/A

min max

area (km²)

operator more than (>)

unkown No

method

genuine change No improved knowledge Yes different method Yes

02/05/2013 10.20.56

2.4 Area covered by Habitat					
2.4.1 Surface area (km²)	455,4				
2.4.2 Year or period	2005-2012				
2.4.3 Method used	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)				
2.4.4 Short-term trend period2.4.5 Short-term trend direction	2001-2012 decrease (-)				
2.4.6 Short-term trend magnitude	min	max	confidence interval		
2.4.7 Short term trend method used	Estimate based on ex	pert opinion with no or	minimal sampling (1)		
2.4.8 Long-term trend period					
2.4.9 Long-term trend direction	N/A				
2.4.10 Long-term trend magnitude	min	max	confidence interval		
2.4.11 Long term trend method used	N/A				
2.4.12 Favourable reference area	area (km)				
	operator more th	an (>)			
	unknown No				
2.4.12 Paggar for change	method	/ / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /			
2.4.13 Reason for change Improved knowledge/more accurate dataUse of different method					
2.5 Main Pressures					
Pressure		ranking	pollution qualifier(s)		
burning down (J01.01)		low importance (L)	N/A		
		11 1 1 / 1	and the second s		
grazing (A04)		medium importance (N	M) N/A		
forest exploitation without replanting or (B03)	natural regrowth	medium importance (N			
forest exploitation without replanting or		medium importance (N			
forest exploitation without replanting or (B03)		medium importance (N	M) N/A		
forest exploitation without replanting or (B03) 2.5.1 Method used – pressures		medium importance (N	M) N/A		
forest exploitation without replanting or (B03) 2.5.1 Method used – pressures 2.6 Main Threats		medium importance (N	N/A trapolation and/or modelling(2)		
forest exploitation without replanting or (B03) 2.5.1 Method used – pressures 2.6 Main Threats Threat		medium importance (Nartial data with some ex	n/A trapolation and/or modelling(2) pollution qualifier(s) N/A		
forest exploitation without replanting or (B03) 2.5.1 Method used – pressures 2.6 Main Threats Threat burning down (J01.01)	Estimate based on pa	medium importance (Nartial data with some exranking low importance (L)	n/A trapolation and/or modelling(2) pollution qualifier(s) N/A M) N/A		
forest exploitation without replanting or (B03) 2.5.1 Method used – pressures 2.6 Main Threats Threat burning down (J01.01) grazing (A04) forest exploitation without replanting or	Estimate based on pa	medium importance (Nartial data with some example) ranking low importance (L) medium importance (N	n/A trapolation and/or modelling(2) pollution qualifier(s) N/A M) N/A M) N/A		
forest exploitation without replanting or (B03) 2.5.1 Method used – pressures 2.6 Main Threats Threat burning down (J01.01) grazing (A04) forest exploitation without replanting or (B03)	Estimate based on pa	medium importance (Nartial data with some exartial dat	n/A trapolation and/or modelling(2) pollution qualifier(s) N/A M) N/A M) N/A		
forest exploitation without replanting or (B03) 2.5.1 Method used – pressures 2.6 Main Threats Threat burning down (J01.01) grazing (A04) forest exploitation without replanting or (B03) 2.6.1 Method used – threats	Estimate based on pa	medium importance (Nartial data with some exartial dat	n/A trapolation and/or modelling(2) pollution qualifier(s) N/A M) N/A M) N/A		
forest exploitation without replanting or (B03) 2.5.1 Method used – pressures 2.6 Main Threats Threat burning down (J01.01) grazing (A04) forest exploitation without replanting or (B03) 2.6.1 Method used – threats 2.7 Complementary Information	Estimate based on pa	medium importance (Nartial data with some exartial dat	n/A trapolation and/or modelling(2) pollution qualifier(s) N/A M) N/A M) N/A		
forest exploitation without replanting or (B03) 2.5.1 Method used – pressures 2.6 Main Threats Threat burning down (J01.01) grazing (A04) forest exploitation without replanting or (B03) 2.6.1 Method used – threats 2.7 Complementary Information 2.7.1 Species	Estimate based on pa	medium importance (Nartial data with some exartial dat	n/A trapolation and/or modelling(2) pollution qualifier(s) N/A M) N/A M) N/A		
forest exploitation without replanting or (B03) 2.5.1 Method used – pressures 2.6 Main Threats Threat burning down (J01.01) grazing (A04) forest exploitation without replanting or (B03) 2.6.1 Method used – threats 2.7 Complementary Information 2.7.1 Species Quercus trojana	Estimate based on pa	medium importance (Nartial data with some exartial dat	n/A trapolation and/or modelling(2) pollution qualifier(s) N/A M) N/A M) N/A		
forest exploitation without replanting or (B03) 2.5.1 Method used – pressures 2.6 Main Threats Threat burning down (J01.01) grazing (A04) forest exploitation without replanting or (B03) 2.6.1 Method used – threats 2.7 Complementary Information 2.7.1 Species Quercus trojana Quercus virgiliana	Estimate based on pa	medium importance (Nartial data with some exartial dat	n/A trapolation and/or modelling(2) pollution qualifier(s) N/A M) N/A M) N/A		
forest exploitation without replanting or (B03) 2.5.1 Method used – pressures 2.6 Main Threats Threat burning down (J01.01) grazing (A04) forest exploitation without replanting or (B03) 2.6.1 Method used – threats 2.7 Complementary Information 2.7.1 Species Quercus trojana Quercus virgiliana Acer monspessulanum	Estimate based on pa	medium importance (Nartial data with some exartial dat	n/A trapolation and/or modelling(2) pollution qualifier(s) N/A M) N/A M) N/A		

02/05/2013 10.20.56 Page 2 of 4

habitat types (Annex	D)
Smilax aspera	
Clematis flammula	
Cyclamen hederifolium	
Teucrium siculum	
Stachys officinalis	
Oenanthe pimpinelloides	
Rubia peregrina var. longifolia	
Potentilla detommasii	
Euphorbia apios	
Viola alba ssp. Dehnhardtii	
Arum apulum	
Paeonia mascula	
2.7.2 Species method used	List from field "combinazione fisionomica di riferimento" of habitat's form in: Manuale Italiano di Interpretazione degli Habitat (Biondi et al., 2009; http://vnr.unipg.it/habitat/)
2.7.3 Justification of % - thresholds for trends	
2.7.4 Structure and functions - methods used	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling(1)
2.7.5 Other relevant information	
2.8 Conclusions (assessment of a	conservation status at end of reporting period)
2.8.1 Range	assessment Inadequate(U1)
5	qualifiers N/A
2.8.2 Area	assessmentInadequate(U1)
2.9.2 Specific structures	qualifiers N/A
2.8.3 Specific structures and functions (incl Species)	assessmentInadequate(U1) qualifiers N/A
2.8.4 Future prospects	assessment Favourable(FV)
	qualifiers N/A
2.8.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status	Inadequate(U1)
2.8.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status	declining(-)
2 Natura 2000 coverage	conservation measures
	conservation measures -
Annex I habitat types or 3.1 Area covered by habitat	i biogeographical level
3.1.1 Surface area (km²)	min 411,2627 max 411,2627
3.1.2 Method used	Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)
2.4.2 T	21/2

02/05/2013 10.20.56 Page 3 of 4

N/A

3.1.3. Trend of surface area

3.2 Conversation Measures

02/05/2013 10.20.56 Page 4 of 4

Notes

Habitat code: 9250 Region code: MED					
Field label	Note	User			
2.8.4 a)Conclusion future prospects	La localizzazione di questo habitat in aree protette rende le prospettive future medie in miglioramento	ISPRA_h abi			

23/04/2014 12:07:21 Page 1 of 1