CODE: 9210

NAME: Apeninne beech forests with Taxus and Ilex

#### 1. National Level

#### **1.1 Maps**

1.1.1 Distribution Map

1.1.2 Distribution Method

1.1.3 Year or period

1.1.4 Additional map

1.1.5 Range Map

Yes

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2005-2012

No

Yes

### 2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published

#### **Mediterranean (MED)**

The present Habitat assessment (fields 0.1-3.1) has been compiled by Pierangela Angelini (ISPRA). Published and unpublished data, information and experts' judgments have been provided by Edoardo Biondi, Liliana Zivkovic and Giovanni Spampinato(SBI), Pietro Massimiliano Bianco and Pierangela Angelini (ISPRA, field 2.7.1).

"Angelini P., Augello R., Bianco P.M., Gennaio R., La Ghezza V., Lavarra P., Marrese M., Papallo O., Perrino V. M., Sani R., M. Stelluti. 2012. Carta degli habitat della Regione Puglia per il sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRA - Arpa Puglia Bianco P.M., Laureti L., Papallo O., Perfetti D. 2012 Carta degli habitat della Regione Umbria per il sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRABBiondi E, Blasi C, Burrascano S, Casavecchia S, Copiz R, Del Vico E, Galdenzi D, Gigante D, Lasen C, Spampinato G, Venanzoni R, Zivkovic L (2009a) Italian interpretation Manual of the habitats (92/43/EEC Directive). Ministero dell'Ambiente e della Tutela del Territorio e del Mare. http://vnr.unipg.it/habitat/2Blasi et al., 2010. La Vegetazione d'Italia con Carta delle Serie di Vegetazione in scala 1:500000. Palombi ed., @Casella L., Agrillo E., Bianco P.M., Cardillo A., Carbone M., Cattena C., Laureti L., Lugari A., Spada F., 2008. Carta degli habitat della Regione Lazio per il sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRA - Università degli Studi di Roma "La Sapienza" - Regione Lazio ISPRA, 2011. Dati del sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRA, Corine land cover 2006 IV livello. Dati della Rete del sistema Informativo Nazionale Ambientale - SINAnet ISPRA, 2005. Dati del sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. [2]"

09/05/2013 11.38.16 Page 1 of 10

2 2 Dames -	C +      - ! + - +	According to the Alberta	The first and a second section of	l	
7.3 Kange of	r the nabitat	type in the	ningengraphical	region	or marine region
LIO ITALIBE O	tile manitat	type iii tiic	biogcogi apinicai	. CB.O	or marmic region

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²) 42500

2.3.2 Range method used Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2.3.3 Short-term trend period 2001-2012 2.3.4 Short-term trend direction stable (0)

2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude min max

2.3.6 Long-term trend period

2.3.7 Long-term trend direction N/A

2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude min max

2.3.9 Favourable reference range area (km²)

operator approximately equal to (≈)

Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)

unkown No

method

2.3.10 Reason for change genuine change No

improved knowledge Yes different method Yes

#### 2.4 Area covered by Habitat

2.4.1 Surface area (km²) 1454,12

2.4.2 Year or period
 2.4.3 Method used
 2005-2012
 Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2.4.4 Short-term trend period 2001-2012 2.4.5 Short-term trend direction stable (0)

2.4.6 Short-term trend magnitude min max confidence interval

2.4.7 Short term trend method used

2.4.8 Long-term trend period

2.4.9 Long-term trend direction N/A

2.4.10 Long-term trend magnitude min max confidence interval

2.4.11 Long term trend method used N/A

2.4.12 Favourable reference area area (km)

operator approximately equal to (≈)

unknown No

method

2.4.13 Reason for change Improved knowledge/more accurate dataUse of different method

#### 2.5 Main Pressures

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
roads, motorways (D01.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
burning down (J01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
artificial planting on open ground (non-native trees) (B01.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
removal of forest undergrowth (B02.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
forest exploitation without replanting or natural regrowth (B03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
motorised vehicles (G01.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A

09/05/2013 11.38.16 Page 2 of 10

discontinuous urbanisation (E01.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
forest replanting (B02.01)	low importance (L)	N/A
forestry clearance (B02.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
	<u>·</u>	
	irtial data with some extrapolat	ion and/or modelling( 2)
2.6 Main Threats		11
Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
roads, motorways (D01.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
burning down (J01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
artificial planting on open ground (non-native trees) (B01.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
removal of forest undergrowth (B02.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
forest exploitation without replanting or natural regrowth (B03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
motorised vehicles (G01.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
discontinuous urbanisation (E01.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
forest replanting (B02.01)	low importance (L)	N/A
forestry clearance (B02.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
2.6.1 Method used – threats Estimate based on ex	pert opinion with no or minima	l sampling( 1)
2.7 Complementary Information		
2.7.1 Species		
Fagus sylvatica		
Fagus sylvatica Ilex aquifolium		
llex aquifolium		
Ilex aquifolium Taxus baccata		
Ilex aquifolium Taxus baccata Abies alba		
Ilex aquifolium Taxus baccata Abies alba Anemone apennina		
Ilex aquifolium Taxus baccata Abies alba Anemone apennina Aremonia agrimonioides		
Ilex aquifolium  Taxus baccata  Abies alba  Anemone apennina  Aremonia agrimonioides  Cardamine bulbifera		
Ilex aquifolium Taxus baccata Abies alba Anemone apennina Aremonia agrimonioides Cardamine bulbifera Doronicum columnae		
Ilex aquifolium Taxus baccata Abies alba Anemone apennina Aremonia agrimonioides Cardamine bulbifera Doronicum columnae Cephalanthera spp.		
Ilex aquifolium Taxus baccata Abies alba Anemone apennina Aremonia agrimonioides Cardamine bulbifera Doronicum columnae Cephalanthera spp. Corydalis spp.		
Ilex aquifolium Taxus baccata Abies alba Anemone apennina Aremonia agrimonioides Cardamine bulbifera Doronicum columnae Cephalanthera spp. Corydalis spp. Daphne laureola		
Ilex aquifolium Taxus baccata Abies alba Anemone apennina Aremonia agrimonioides Cardamine bulbifera Doronicum columnae Cephalanthera spp. Corydalis spp. Daphne laureola Doronicum orientale		
Ilex aquifolium Taxus baccata Abies alba Anemone apennina Aremonia agrimonioides Cardamine bulbifera Doronicum columnae Cephalanthera spp. Corydalis spp. Daphne laureola Doronicum orientale Epipactis spp.		
Ilex aquifolium Taxus baccata Abies alba Anemone apennina Aremonia agrimonioides Cardamine bulbifera Doronicum columnae Cephalanthera spp. Corydalis spp. Daphne laureola Doronicum orientale Epipactis spp. Galium odoratum		
Ilex aquifolium Taxus baccata Abies alba Anemone apennina Aremonia agrimonioides Cardamine bulbifera Doronicum columnae Cephalanthera spp. Corydalis spp. Daphne laureola Doronicum orientale Epipactis spp. Galium odoratum Geranium versicolor		

09/05/2013 11.38.16 Page 3 of 10

Neottia nidus-avis

Ranunculus lanuginosus

2.7.2 Species method used

Selected by ISPRA's expert from bibliographical and field research

2.7.3 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.7.4 Structure and functions methods used

2.7.5 Other relevant information

Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)

#### 2.8 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.8.1 Range

assessment Favourable (FV)

qualifiers N/A

2.8.2 Area

assessment Favourable (FV)

qualifiers N/A

2.8.3 Specific structures

assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A

and functions (incl Species) 2.8.4 Future prospects

assessment Favourable (FV)

qualifiers N/A

2.8.5 Overall assessment of

**Conservation Status** 

Favourable(FV)

2.8.5 Overall trend in **Conservation Status** 

N/A

### 3. Natura 2000 coverage conservation measures -Annex I habitat types on biogeographical level

#### 3.1 Area covered by habitat

3.1.1 Surface area (km²)

min 1295,6615 max

3.1.2 Method used

3.1.3. Trend of surface area

Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)

1295,6615

N/A

#### 3.2 Conversation Measures

#### 2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published

#### Continental (CON)

The present Habitat assessment (fields 0.1-3.1) has been compiled by Pierangela Angelini (ISPRA). Published and unpublished data, information and experts' judgments have been provided by Edoardo Biondi and Liliana Zivkovic(SBI), Pietro Massimiliano Bianco and Pierangela Angelini (ISPRA, field 2.7.1). "Andreis C. & Sartori F. (a cura di), 2011. Vegetazione forestale della Lombardia. Inquadramento fitosociologico. Arch. Geobot. 12-13: 1-215. Bianco P.M., Laureti

09/05/2013 11.38.16 Page 4 of 10

L., Papallo O., Perfetti D. 2012 Carta degli habitat della Regione Umbria per il sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRABBiondi E, Blasi C, Burrascano S, Casavecchia S, Copiz R, Del Vico E, Galdenzi D, Gigante D, Lasen C, Spampinato G, Venanzoni R, Zivkovic L (2009a) Italian interpretation Manual of the habitats (92/43/EEC Directive). Ministero dell'Ambiente e della Tutela del Territorio e del Mare. http://vnr.unipg.it/habitat/2Blasi et al., 2010. La Vegetazione d'Italia con Carta delle Serie di Vegetazione in scala 1:500000. Palombi ed., @Casella L., Agrillo E., Bianco P.M., Cardillo A., Carbone M., Cattena C., Laureti L., Lugari A., Spada F., 2008. Carta degli habitat della Regione Lazio per il sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRA - Università degli Studi di Roma "La Sapienza" - Regione Lazio ISPRA, 2011. Dati del sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRA, Corine land cover 2006 IV livello. Dati della Rete del sistema Informativo Nazionale Ambientale -SINAnet@Pesaresi S, Biondi E, Casavecchia S, Catorci A, Foglia M., 2007. Il Geodatabase del Sistema Informativo Vegetazionale delle Marche. Fitosociol 44 (2) suppl. 1: 95-101 http://www.ortobotanico.univpm.it/cartography@"

#### 2.3 Range of the habitat type in the biogeographical region or marine region

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²) 2.3.2 Range method used

2.3.3 Short-term trend period

2.3.4 Short-term trend direction

2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude

2.3.6 Long-term trend period

2.3.7 Long-term trend direction 2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude

2.3.9 Favourable reference range

15200

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2001-2012 increase (+)

min max

N/A

min max

area (km²)

operator approximately equal to (≈)

unkown No

method

2.3.10 Reason for change

genuine change No improved knowledge Yes different method Yes

#### 2.4 Area covered by Habitat

2.4.1 Surface area (km²) 2134,27 2005-2012 2.4.2 Year or period

2.4.3 Method used Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2.4.4 Short-term trend period 2001-2012 2.4.5 Short-term trend direction increase (+)

2.4.6 Short-term trend magnitude confidence interval min 2.4.7 Short term trend method used

2.4.8 Long-term trend period

2.4.9 Long-term trend direction N/A

2.4.10 Long-term trend magnitude confidence interval min max

2.4.11 Long term trend method used N/A

> 09/05/2013 11.38.16 Page 5 of 10

Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)

nabitat types (Annex D)				
2.4.12 Favourable reference area	area (km) operator unknown method	more th No	an (>)	
2.4.13 Reason for change	Improved k	nowledge	e/more accurate dataUse of di	fferent method
2.5 Main Pressures				
Pressure			ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
roads, motorways (D01.02)			medium importance (M)	N/A
paths, tracks, cycling tracks (D01.01)			low importance (L)	N/A
artificial planting on open ground (non-	native trees)	(B01.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
skiing complex (G02.02)			high importance (H)	N/A
forest replanting (B02.01)			low importance (L)	N/A
2.5.1 Method used – pressures	Estimate ba	ased on pa	artial data with some extrapola	ation and/or modelling( 2)
2.6 Main Threats				
Threat			ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
roads, motorways (D01.02)			medium importance (M)	N/A
paths, tracks, cycling tracks (D01.01)			low importance (L)	N/A
artificial planting on open ground (non-	native trees)	(B01.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
skiing complex (G02.02)			high importance (H)	N/A
forest replanting (B02.01)			low importance (L)	N/A
2.6.1 Method used – threats	Estimate ba	ased on ex	xpert opinion with no or minim	nal sampling( 1)
2.7 Complementary Information				
2.7.1 Species				
Fagus sylvatica				
Ilex aquifolium				
Taxus baccata				
Acer pseudoplatanus				
Anemone apennina				
Anemone nemorosa				
Aremonia agrimonioides				
Cardamine bulbifera				
Cardamine kitaibelii				
Cephalanthera sp.				
Corydalis spp.				
Daphne laureola				

09/05/2013 11.38.16 Page 6 of 10

Epipactis spp.

Euphorbia amygdaloides

Habitat types (Allier E	· 1
Galium odoratum	
Lathyrus venetus	
Melica uniflora	
Sanicula europaea	
Viola reichembachiana	
2.7.2 Species method used	Selected by ISPRA's expert from bibliographical and field research
2.7.3 Justification of % - thresholds for trends	
2.7.4 Structure and functions - methods used	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling(1)

2.8 Conclusions (assessment of co	onservation status at end of reporting period)
2.8.1 Range	assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A
2.8.2 Area	assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A
2.8.3 Specific structures and functions (incl Species)	assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A
2.8.4 Future prospects	assessment Favourable(FV) qualifiers N/A
2.8.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status	Favourable( FV)
2.8.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status	N/A

### 3. Natura 2000 coverage conservation measures -Annex I habitat types on biogeographical level

#### 3.1 Area covered by habitat

2.7.5 Other relevant information

3.1.1 Surface area (km²)	min 285,0751 max 285,0751
<ul><li>3.1.2 Method used</li><li>3.1.3. Trend of surface area</li></ul>	Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3) N/A

#### **3.2 Conversation Measures**

#### 2.1 Biogeographical Region 2.2 Published

#### Alpine (ALP)

The present Habitat assessment (fields 0.1-3.1) has been compiled by Pierangela Angelini (ISPRA). Published and unpublished data, information and experts'

09/05/2013 Page 7 of 10 11.38.16

judgments have been provided by Edoardo Biondi, Liliana Zivkovic and Cesare Lasen(SBI), Pietro Massimiliano Bianco and Pierangela Angelini (ISPRA, field 2.7.1).

"Blasi C., Filesi L., Pirone G., Canini L., Carranza M.L., Fiorini S., Michetti L., Paolanti M., Rivieccio R., Tartaglini N., 1999 - Realizzazione degli studi preliminari e dell'elaborato tecnico del Piano del Parco e del Regolamento. Ente Parco Nazionale della Majella. Biondi E, Blasi C, Burrascano S, Casavecchia S, Copiz R, Del Vico E, Galdenzi D, Gigante D, Lasen C, Spampinato G, Venanzoni R, Zivkovic L (2009a) Italian interpretation Manual of the habitats (92/43/EEC Directive). Ministero dell'Ambiente e della Tutela del Territorio e del Mare. http://vnr.unipg.it/habitat/

Blasi et al., 2010. La Vegetazione d'Italia con Carta delle Serie di Vegetazione in scala 1:500000. Palombi ed., Casella L., Agrillo E., Bianco P.M., Cardillo A., Carbone M., Cattena C., Laureti L., Lugari A., Spada F., 2008. Carta degli habitat della Regione Lazio per il sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRA - Università degli Studi di Roma "La Sapienza" - Regione Lazio ISPRA, 2011. Dati del sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRA, Corine land cover 2006 IV livello. Dati della Rete del sistema Informativo Nazionale Ambientale - SINAnet [2]"

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

#### 2.3 Range of the habitat type in the biogeographical region or marine region 5400

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)

2.3.2 Range method used

2.3.3 Short-term trend period

2.3.4 Short-term trend direction

2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude

2.3.6 Long-term trend period

2.3.7 Long-term trend direction

2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude

2.3.9 Favourable reference range

increase (+)

2001-2012

min max

N/A

min max

area (km²)

approximately equal to (≈) operator

unkown No

method

genuine change No improved knowledge Yes different method Yes

### 2.4 Area covered by Habitat

2.3.10 Reason for change

2.4.1 Surface area (km²) 705,84

2.4.2 Year or period 2005-2012

2.4.3 Method used Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2.4.4 Short-term trend period 2001-2012 2.4.5 Short-term trend direction increase (+)

2.4.6 Short-term trend magnitude max confidence interval

2.4.7 Short term trend method used Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)

2.4.8 Long-term trend period

N/A 2.4.9 Long-term trend direction

2.4.10 Long-term trend magnitude confidence interval min max

2.4.11 Long term trend method used N/A

> 09/05/2013 11.38.16 Page 8 of 10

habitat types (Annex D	•		
2.4.12 Favourable reference area	area (km) operator approxi unknown No method	mately equal to (≈)	
2.4.13 Reason for change	Improved knowledge	e/more accurate dataUse of d	ifferent method
2.5 Main Pressures			
Pressure		ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Outdoor sports and leisure activities, (G01)	recreational activities	low importance (L)	N/A
roads, motorways (D01.02)		low importance (L)	N/A
artificial planting on open ground (no	n-native trees) (B01.02)	low importance (L)	N/A
Forest and Plantation management 8	use (B02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Forestry activities not referred to abo	ve (B07)	medium importance (M)	N/A
2.5.1 Method used – pressures	Estimate based on p	artial data with some extrapo	lation and/or modelling( 2)
2.6 Main Threats			
Threat		ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Outdoor sports and leisure activities, recreational activities (G01)		low importance (L)	N/A
roads, motorways (D01.02)		low importance (L)	N/A
artificial planting on open ground (no	n-native trees) (B01.02)	low importance (L)	N/A
Forest and Plantation management 8	use (B02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Forestry activities not referred to abo	ve (B07)	medium importance (M)	N/A
2.6.1 Method used – threats	Estimate based on e	xpert opinion with no or minii	mal sampling( 1)
2.7 Complementary Information			
2.7.1 Species			
Fagus sylvatica			
Ilex aquifolium			
Taxus baccata			
Anemone apennina			
Aremonia agrimonioides			
Cardamine bulbifera			
Cephalanthera spp.			
Epipactis spp.			
Euphorbia amygdaloides			
Galium odoratum			
Lathyrus venetus			
Melica uniflora			

09/05/2013 11.38.16 Page 9 of 10

Mycelis muralis

Potentilla micrantha	•
Polystichum aculeatum	
Ranunculus lanuginosus	
Sanicula europaea	
Viola reichembachiana	
2.7.2 Species method used	Selected by ISPRA's expert from bibliographical and field research
2.7.3 Justification of % - thresholds for trends	
2.7.4 Structure and functions - methods used	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling(1)
2.7.5 Other relevant information	
2.8 Conclusions (assessment of c	onservation status at end of reporting period)
2.8.1 Range	assessment Favourable (FV)
	qualifiers N/A
2.8.2 Area	assessment Favourable (FV)
	qualifiers N/A

2.8.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status

2.8.3 Specific structures

2.8.4 Future prospects

and functions (incl Species)

2.8.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status

qualifiers N/A Favourable(FV)

N/A

3. Natura 2000 coverage conservation measures - Annex I habitat types on biogeographical level

assessment Favourable (FV)

assessment Favourable (FV)

qualifiers N/A

#### 3.1 Area covered by habitat

3.1.1 Surface area (km²) min 388,8085 max 388,8085

3.1.2 Method used Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3) N/A

#### **3.2 Conversation Measures**

09/05/2013 11.38.16 Page 10 of 10