CODE: 6510

NAME: Lowland hay meadows (Alopecurus pratensis, Sanguisorba officinalis)

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map

1.1.2 Distribution Method

1.1.3 Year or period

1.1.4 Additional map

1.1.5 Range Map

Yes

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2005-2012

No

Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published

Mediterranean (MED)

The present Habitat assessment (fields 0.1-3.1) has been compiled by Pierangela Angelini (ISPRA). Published and unpublished data, information and experts' judgments have been provided by Edoardo Biondi, Liliana Zivkovic and Giovanni Spampinato(SBI).

VENANZONI R., 1992. I prati umidi ed inondati dell'alta valle del Velino (Rieti-Italia centrale). Doc. Phytosoc., n.s., 14: 149-164.

Bianco P.M., Laureti L., Papallo O., Perfetti D. 2012 Carta degli habitat della Regione Umbria per il sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRA

Biondi E, Blasi C, Burrascano S, Casavecchia S, Copiz R, Del Vico E, Galdenzi D, Gigante D, Lasen C, Spampinato G, Venanzoni R, Zivkovic L (2009a) Italian interpretation Manual of the habitats (92/43/EEC Directive). Ministero dell'Ambiente e della Tutela del Territorio e del Mare. Http://vnr.unipg.it/habitat/Blasi et al., 2010. La Vegetazione d'Italia con Carta delle Serie di Vegetazione in scala 1:500000. Palombi ed.,

Casella L., Agrillo E., Bianco P.M., Cardillo A., Carbone M., Cattena C., Laureti L., Lugari A., Spada F., 2008. Carta degli habitat della Regione Lazio per il sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRA - Università degli Studi di Roma "La Sapienza" - Regione Lazio

ISPRA, 2011. Dati del sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000.

ISPRA, Corine land cover 2006 IV livello. Dati della Rete del sistema Informativo Nazionale Ambientale - SINAnet

ISPRA, 2005. Dati del sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000.

Papini F., Gianguzzi L., Brullo S., Bianco P. M., Angelini P., 2006. Carta degli habitat della Regione Sicilia per il sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. Dipartimento di Scienze Botaniche dell'Università degli Studi di Palermo - Dipartimento di Botanica dell'Università degli Studi di Catania -Regione Sicilia – ISPRA

06/05/2013 9.08.45 Page 1 of 13

2.3 Range of the habitat type in the biogeographical region or marine region

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²) 37600

2.3.2 Range method used Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)

2.3.3 Short-term trend period 2001-2012 2.3.4 Short-term trend direction stable (0)

2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude min max

2.3.6 Long-term trend period

2.3.7 Long-term trend direction N/A

2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude min max

2.3.9 Favourable reference range area (km²)

operator approximately equal to (≈)

unkown No

method

2.3.10 Reason for change genuine change No improved knowledge Yes

different method Yes

2.4 Area covered by Habitat

2.4.1 Surface area (km²) 371,98 2.4.2 Year or period 2005-2012

2.4.3 Method used Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)

2.4.4 Short-term trend period 2001-2012 2.4.5 Short-term trend direction stable (0)

2.4.6 Short-term trend magnitude min max confidence interval

2.4.8 Long-term trend period

2.4.9 Long-term trend direction N/A

2.4.10 Long-term trend magnitude min max confidence interval

2.4.11 Long term trend method used N/A

2.4.12 Favourable reference area area (km)

operator approximately equal to (≈)

unknown No

method

2.4.13 Reason for change Improved knowledge/more accurate dataUse of different method

2.5 Main Pressures

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Erosion (K01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
paths, tracks, cycling tracks (D01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
roads, motorways (D01.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
modifying structures of inland water courses (J02.05.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Cultivation (A01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
artificial planting on open ground (non-native trees) (B01.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A

06/05/2013 9.08.45 Page 2 of 13

Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Sand and gravel extraction (C01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
motorised vehicles (G01.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Urbanised areas, human habitation (E01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Trampling, overuse (G05.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Discharges (E03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Fertilisation (A08)	high importance (H)	N/A
forest planting on open ground (native trees) (B01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
species composition change (succession) (K02.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A

2.5.1 Method used – pressures Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling(2)

2.6 Main Threats		
Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Erosion (K01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
paths, tracks, cycling tracks (D01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
roads, motorways (D01.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
modifying structures of inland water courses (J02.05.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Cultivation (A01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
artificial planting on open ground (non-native trees) (B01.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Sand and gravel extraction (C01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
motorised vehicles (G01.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Urbanised areas, human habitation (E01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Trampling, overuse (G05.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Discharges (E03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Fertilisation (A08)	high importance (H)	N/A
forest planting on open ground (native trees) (B01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
species composition change (succession) (K02.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A

2.6.1 Method used – threats Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling(1)

2.7 Complementary Information

2.7.1 Species

Arrhenatherum elatius

Trisetum flavescens

Pimpinella major

Centaurea jacea

Crepis biennis

Knautia arvensis

06/05/2013 9.08.45 Page 3 of 13

nabitat types (Annex	וט
Tragopogon pratensis	
Daucus carota	
Leucanthemum vulgare	
Alopecurus pratensis	
Sanguisorba officinalis	
Campanula patula	
Leontodon hispidus	
Linum bienne	
Oenanthe pimpinelloides	
Malva moschata	
Serapias cordigera	
2.7.2 Species method used	List from field "combinazione fisionomica di riferimento" of habitat's form in: Manuale Italiano di Interpretazione degli Habitat (Biondi et al., 2009; http://vnr.unipg.it/habitat/)
2.7.3 Justification of % - thresholds for trends	
2.7.4 Structure and functions - methods used	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling(1)
2.7.5 Other relevant information	
2.8 Conclusions (assessment of	conservation status at end of reporting period)
2.8.1 Range	assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A
2.8.2 Area	assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A
2.8.3 Specific structures and functions (incl Species)	assessment Inadequate(U1) qualifiers N/A
2.8.4 Future prospects	assessment Inadequate(U1) qualifiers N/A
2.8.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status	Inadequate(U1)

3. Natura 2000 coverage conservation measures - Annex I habitat types on biogeographical level

declining(-)

3.1 Area covered by habitat

2.8.5 Overall trend in

Conservation Status

3.1.1 Surface area (km²)	min 283,4392 max 283,4392	
3.1.2 Method used	Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate	(3)
3.1.3. Trend of surface area	N/A	

3.2 Conversation Measures

06/05/2013 9.08.45 Page 4 of 13

2.1 Biogeographical Region2.2 Published

Continental (CON)

The present Habitat assessment (fields 0.1-3.1) has been compiled by Pierangela Angelini (ISPRA). Published and unpublished data, information and experts' judgments have been provided by Edoardo Biondi and Liliana Zivkovic(SBI), Pietro Massimiliano Bianco and Pierangela Angelini (ISPRA, field 2.7.1). Allegrezza M. & Biondi E., 2011. Syntaxonomic revision of the Arrhenatheretum elatius grasslands of central Italy. Fitosociologia 48(1): 23-40.

Biondi E., Allegrezza M. & Mentoni M., 2011. Vegetational and geomorphological analyses of a small biotope particularly important for biodiversity in Central Apennine. Fitosociologia 48(2): 109-122.

Biondi E. & Allegrezza M., 1996. Inquadramento fitosociologico di alcune formazioni prative del territorio collinare anconetano. Giorn. Bot. It. 130(1): 136-148.

Buffa G., Bracco F. & Sburlino G., 1997. Première contribution à l'analyse corologique del prairies à Arrhenatherum elatius (L.) Presl (Alliance Arrhenatherion elatioris W. Koch 1926) en Europe. Fitosociologia 34: 47-68. Biondi E., Vagge I., Bianchelli M. & Pesaresi S., 2008. La vegetazione e il paesaggio vegetale degli ambienti "seminaturali del Centro Italo-Tedesco di Villa Vigoni. Il progetto di tutela integrata. Cultura, ecologia, architettura: un'ipotesi di gestione del paesaggio di Villa Vigoni. 89-133.

Venanzoni R., 1992. I prati umidi ed inondati dell'Alta Valle del Velino (Rieti-Italia centrale). Doc. Phytosoc. n.s. XIV: 149-164.

Bianco P.M., Laureti L., Papallo O., Perfetti D. 2012 Carta degli habitat della Regione Umbria per il sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRA

Biondi E, Blasi C, Burrascano S, Casavecchia S, Copiz R, Del Vico E, Galdenzi D, Gigante D, Lasen C, Spampinato G, Venanzoni R, Zivkovic L (2009a) Italian interpretation Manual of the habitats (92/43/EEC Directive). Ministero dell'Ambiente e della Tutela del Territorio e del Mare. http://vnr.unipg.it/habitat/Blasi et al., 2010. La Vegetazione d'Italia con Carta delle Serie di Vegetazione in scala 1:500000. Palombi ed., Brentan D., Burbello A., Avanzi E., Gasparini S., Laureti L., Bianco P.M., 2008. Carta degli habitat della regione Veneto per il sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRA - Arpa Veneto. http://www.isprambiente.gov.it/site/it-

IT/Servizi_per_l%27Ambiente/Sistema_Carta_della_Natura Casella L., Agrillo E., Bianco P.M., Cardillo A., Carbone M., Cattena C., Laureti L., Lugari A., Spada F., 2008. Carta degli habitat della Regione Lazio per il sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRA - Università degli Studi di Roma "La Sapienza" - Regione Lazio

ISPRA, 2011. Dati del sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000.

ISPRA, Corine land cover 2006 IV livello. Dati della Rete del sistema Informativo Nazionale Ambientale - SINAnet

Oriolo G., Dragan M., Fernetti M., Francescato C., Tomasella M., Giorgi R. 2007.

06/05/2013 9.08.45 Page 5 of 13

Carta degli habitat della regione Friuli Venezia Giulia per il sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRA-Regione Friuli Venezia Giulia.

http://www.isprambiente.gov.it/site/it-

IT/Servizi_per_l%27Ambiente/Sistema_Carta_della_Natura

Pesaresi S, Biondi E, Casavecchia S, Catorci A, Foglia M., 2007. Il Geodatabase del Sistema Informativo Vegetazionale delle Marche. Fitosociol 44 (2) suppl. 1: 95-101 http://www.ortobotanico.univpm.it/cartography. Brecciaroli M., 2012. Vegetazione, ambiente e gestione delle risores naturali della Val di Panico nel Parco Nazionale dei Monti Sibillini (Appennino Centrale). Tesi di Laurea Specialistica in Scienze e Tecnologie Agrarie, Università Politecnica delle Marche-Facoltà di Agraria.

2.3 Range of the habitat type in the biogeographical region or marine region

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²) 6570

2.3.2 Range method used Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)

2.3.3 Short-term trend period 2001-2012 2.3.4 Short-term trend direction decrease (-)

2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude min max

2.3.6 Long-term trend period

2.3.7 Long-term trend direction N/A

2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude min max

2.3.9 Favourable reference range area (km²)

operator more than (>)

unkown No

method

2.3.10 Reason for change genuine change No

improved knowledge Yes different method Yes

2.4 Area covered by Habitat

2.4.1 Surface area (km²) 862,74 2.4.2 Year or period 2005-2012

2.4.3 Method used Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)

2.4.4 Short-term trend period 2001-2012 2.4.5 Short-term trend direction decrease (-)

2.4.6 Short-term trend magnitude min max confidence interval

2.4.7 Short term trend method used Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)

2.4.8 Long-term trend period2.4.9 Long-term trend directionN/A

2.4.10 Long-term trend magnitude min max confidence interval

2.4.11 Long term trend method used N/A

2.4.12 Favourable reference area area (km)

operator more than (>)

unknown No

method

2.4.13 Reason for change Improved knowledge/more accurate dataUse of different method

06/05/2013 9.08.45 Page 6 of 13

2.5 Main Pressures		
Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Cultivation (A01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	medium importance (M)	N/A
roads, motorways (D01.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Fertilisation (A08)	high importance (H)	N/A
artificial planting on open ground (non-native trees) (B01.02)	high importance (H)	N/A
Urbanised areas, human habitation (E01)	high importance (H)	N/A
Trampling, overuse (G05.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
species composition change (succession) (K02.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Taking / Removal of terrestrial plants, general (F04)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Soil pollution and solid waste (excluding discharges) (H05)	medium importance (M)	N/A
2.5.1 Method used – pressures Estimate based on p	artial data with some extrapola	tion and/or modelling(2)
2.6 Main Threats		
Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Cultivation (A01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	medium importance (M)	N/A
roads, motorways (D01.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Fertilisation (A08)	high importance (H)	N/A
artificial planting on open ground (non-native trees) (B01.02)	high importance (H)	N/A
Urbanised areas, human habitation (E01)	high importance (H)	N/A
Trampling, overuse (G05.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
species composition change (succession) (K02.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Taking / Removal of terrestrial plants, general (F04)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Soil pollution and solid waste (excluding discharges) (H05)	medium importance (M)	N/A
2.6.1 Method used – threats Estimate based on e	xpert opinion with no or minim	al sampling(1)
2.7 Complementary Information		
2.7.1 Species		
Achillea millefolium agg.		
Alopecurus pratensis		
Centaurea nigrascens (aggr.)		
Crepis biennis		
Galium mollugo		

06/05/2013 9.08.45 Page 7 of 13

Holcus lanatus	
Holcus Idilatus	
Knautia arvensis	
Pastinaca sativa	
Pimpinella major	
Ranunculus neapolitanus	
Tragopogon pratensis	
Arrhenatherum elatius	
Trisetum flavescens	
2.7.2 Species method used	Selection and evaluation by ISPRA's expert from bibliographical and field research
2.7.3 Justification of % - thresholds for trends	
2.7.4 Structure and functions - methods used	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling(1)
2.7.5 Other relevant information	
2.8 Conclusions (assessment of c	conservation status at end of reporting period)
(4.00000	0 h 2 m 2 m 2 m 2 m 2 m 2 m 3 m 3 m 3 m 3 m
2.8.1 Range	assessment Inadequate(U1) qualifiers N/A
2.8.1 Range 2.8.2 Area	
2.8.2 Area 2.8.3 Specific structures	qualifiers N/A assessment Inadequate(U1) qualifiers N/A assessment Inadequate(U1)
2.8.2 Area	qualifiers N/A assessment Inadequate(U1) qualifiers N/A assessment Inadequate(U1) qualifiers N/A assessment Inadequate(U1)
2.8.2 Area2.8.3 Specific structuresand functions (incl Species)	qualifiers N/A assessment Inadequate(U1) qualifiers N/A assessment Inadequate(U1) qualifiers N/A
2.8.2 Area 2.8.3 Specific structures and functions (incl Species) 2.8.4 Future prospects 2.8.5 Overall assessment of	qualifiers N/A assessment Inadequate(U1) qualifiers N/A assessment Inadequate(U1) qualifiers N/A assessment Inadequate(U1) qualifiers N/A
2.8.2 Area 2.8.3 Specific structures and functions (incl Species) 2.8.4 Future prospects 2.8.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status 2.8.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status	qualifiers N/A assessment Inadequate(U1) qualifiers N/A assessment Inadequate(U1) qualifiers N/A assessment Inadequate(U1) qualifiers N/A Inadequate(U1)
 2.8.2 Area 2.8.3 Specific structures and functions (incl Species) 2.8.4 Future prospects 2.8.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status 2.8.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status 3. Natura 2000 coverage 	qualifiers N/A assessment Inadequate(U1) qualifiers N/A assessment Inadequate(U1) qualifiers N/A assessment Inadequate(U1) qualifiers N/A Inadequate(U1) stable(=) conservation measures -
2.8.2 Area 2.8.3 Specific structures and functions (incl Species) 2.8.4 Future prospects 2.8.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status 2.8.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status	qualifiers N/A assessment Inadequate(U1) qualifiers N/A assessment Inadequate(U1) qualifiers N/A assessment Inadequate(U1) qualifiers N/A Inadequate(U1) stable(=) conservation measures -

N/A

3.1.2 Method used

3.1.3. Trend of surface area

3.2 Conversation Measures

06/05/2013 9.08.45 Page 8 of 13

Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)

2.1 Biogeographical Region2.2 Published

Alpine (ALP)

The present Habitat assessment (fields 0.1-3.1) has been compiled by Pierangela Angelini (ISPRA). Published and unpublished data, information and experts' judgments have been provided by Edoardo Biondi, Liliana Zivkovic and Cesare Lasen(SBI), Pietro Massimiliano Bianco and Pierangela Angelini (ISPRA, field 2.7.1).

Brentan D., Burbello A., Avanzi E., Gasparini S., Laureti L., Bianco P.M., 2008. Carta degli habitat della regione Veneto per il sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRA - Arpa Veneto.

http://www.isprambiente.gov.it/site/it-

IT/Servizi_per_l%27Ambiente/Sistema_Carta_della_Natura
Biondi E, Blasi C, Burrascano S, Casavecchia S, Copiz R, Del Vico E, Galdenzi D,
Gigante D, Lasen C, Spampinato G, Venanzoni R, Zivkovic L (2009a) Italian
interpretation Manual of the habitats (92/43/EEC Directive). Ministero
dell'Ambiente e della Tutela del Territorio e del Mare. http://vnr.unipg.it/habitat/
Blasi et al., 2010. La Vegetazione d'Italia con Carta delle Serie di Vegetazione in
scala 1:500000. Palombi ed., Casella L., Agrillo E., Bianco P.M., Cardillo A.,
Carbone M., Cattena C., Laureti L., Lugari A., Spada F., 2008. Carta degli habitat
della Regione Lazio per il sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala
1:50.000. ISPRA - Università degli Studi di Roma "La Sapienza" - Regione Lazio
ISPRA, 2011. Dati del sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala
1:50.000.

ISPRA, Corine land cover 2006 IV livello. Dati della Rete del sistema Informativo Nazionale Ambientale - SINAnet

Morra di Cella U., Cremonese E., Pari E., Siniscalco C., Amadei M., Angelini P., Cardillo A., 2008. Carta degli habitat della Regione Valle d'Aosta per il sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRA - ARPA Valle d'Aosta - Dipartimento Biologia Vegetale Università degli studi di Torino.

http://www.isprambiente.gov.it/site/it-

IT/Servizi_per_l%27Ambiente/Sistema_Carta_della_Natura
Oriolo G., Dragan M., Fernetti M., Francescato C., Tomasella M., Giorgi R. 2007.
Carta degli habitat della regione Friuli Venezia Giulia per il sistema informativo di
Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRA-Regione Friuli Venezia Giulia.
http://www.isprambiente.gov.it/site/it-

IT/Servizi_per_l%27Ambiente/Sistema_Carta_della_Natura

06/05/2013 9.08.45 Page 9 of 13

2.3 Range of the habitat type in the biogeographical region or marine region

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²) 47100

2.3.2 Range method used Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)

2.3.3 Short-term trend period 2001-2012 2.3.4 Short-term trend direction decrease (-)

2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude min max

2.3.6 Long-term trend period

2.3.7 Long-term trend direction N/A

2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude min max

2.3.9 Favourable reference range area (km²)

operator more than (>)

unkown No

method

2.3.10 Reason for change genuine change No improved knowledge Yes

different method Yes

2.4 Area covered by Habitat

2.4.1 Surface area (km²) 598,45

2.4.2 Year or period 2005-2012

2.4.3 Method used Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)

2.4.4 Short-term trend period 2001-2012 2.4.5 Short-term trend direction decrease (-)

2.4.6 Short-term trend magnitude min max confidence interval

2.4.8 Long-term trend period

2.4.9 Long-term trend direction N/A

2.4.10 Long-term trend magnitude min max confidence interval

2.4.11 Long term trend method used N/A

2.4.12 Favourable reference area area (km)

operator more than (>)

unknown No

method

2.4.13 Reason for change Improved knowledge/more accurate dataUse of different method

2.5 Main Pressures

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
roads, motorways (D01.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
paths, tracks, cycling tracks (D01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
abandonment of pastoral systems, lack of grazing (A04.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Outdoor sports and leisure activities, recreational activities (G01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Mining and quarrying (C01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Urbanised areas, human habitation (E01)	medium importance (M)	N/A

06/05/2013 9.08.45 Page 10 of 13

Taking / Removal of terrestrial plants, general (F04)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Fertilisation (A08)	medium importance (M)	N/A
artificial planting on open ground (non-native trees) (B01.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Erosion (K01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Trampling, overuse (G05.01)	low importance (L)	N/A
Discharges (E03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
skiing complex (G02.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Cultivation (A01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Soil pollution and solid waste (excluding discharges) (H05)	medium importance (M)	N/A
agricultural intensification (A02.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	low importance (L)	N/A
perennial non-timber crops (A06.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Biocenotic evolution, succession (KO2)	medium importance (M)	N/A

2.5.1 Method used – pressures Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling(2)

2.6 Main Threats		
Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
roads, motorways (D01.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
paths, tracks, cycling tracks (D01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
abandonment of pastoral systems, lack of grazing (A04.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Outdoor sports and leisure activities, recreational activities (G01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Mining and quarrying (C01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Urbanised areas, human habitation (E01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Taking / Removal of terrestrial plants, general (F04)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Fertilisation (A08)	medium importance (M)	N/A
artificial planting on open ground (non-native trees) (B01.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Erosion (K01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Trampling, overuse (G05.01)	low importance (L)	N/A
Discharges (E03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
skiing complex (G02.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Cultivation (A01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Soil pollution and solid waste (excluding discharges) (H05)	medium importance (M)	N/A
agricultural intensification (A02.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	low importance (L)	N/A
perennial non-timber crops (A06.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Biocenotic evolution, succession (KO2)	medium importance (M)	N/A
2.6.1 Method used – threats Estimate based on ex	xpert opinion with no or minima	al sampling(1)

Page 11 of 13

06/05/2013

9.08.45

nabitat types (Annex	
2.7 Complementary Information	
2.7.1 Species	
Achillea millefolium agg.	
Alopecurus pratensis	
Anthoxanthum odoratum	
Campanula patula	
Centaurea nigrescens (aggr.)	
Crepis biennis	
Festuca pratensis	
Geranium pratense	
Heracleum sphondylium subsp. Spho	ondylium
Knautia arvensis	
Lathyrus pratensis	
Leucanthemum vulgare	
Pastinaca sativa	
Pimpinella nigra	
Poa pratensis	
Rhinanthus minor	
Tragopogon pratensis	
Arrhenatherum elatius	
Trisetaria flavescens subsp. flavescen	ns (=Trisetum flavescens)
2.7.2 Species method used	Selection and evaluation by ISPRA's expert from bibliographical and field research
2.7.3 Justification of % - thresholds for trends	
2.7.4 Structure and functions - methods used	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling(1)
2.7.5 Other relevant information	
2.8 Conclusions (assessment of c	onservation status at end of reporting period)
2.8.1 Range	assessment Inadequate(U1)

2.8.1 Range

assessment Inadequate(U1)
qualifiers N/A

2.8.2 Area

assessment Inadequate(U1)
qualifiers N/A

06/05/2013 9.08.45 Page 12 of 13

2.8.3 Specific structures and functions (incl Species)

qualifiers N/A assessment Bad(U2) qualifiers N/A

assessment Bad(U2)

2.8.5 Overall assessment of

Bad(U2)

Conservation Status
2.8.5 Overall trend in
Conservation Status

2.8.4 Future prospects

declining(-)

3. Natura 2000 coverage conservation measures - Annex I habitat types on biogeographical level

3.1 Area covered by habitat

3.1.1 Surface area (km²) min 74,7714 max 74,7714

3.1.2 Method used Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)

3.1.3. Trend of surface area N/A

3.2 Conversation Measures

06/05/2013 9.08.45 Page 13 of 13

Notes

Habitat code: 6510		
Field label	Note	User
1.1.1 Distribution Map	In Calabria questo habitat è probabilmente più diffuso di quello che appare dalla carta di distribuzione in quanto si rinviene comunemente su tutto l'Appennino calabrese ma non è riportato nelle schede dei SIC.	ISPRA_h abitat
Habitat code: 6510 Region co		
Field label	Note	User
3.1.1 a)Natura 2000 surface area min	In Calabria questo habitat è probabilmente più diffuso di quello che appare dalla carta di distribuzione in quanto si rinviene comunemente su tutto l'Appennino	ISPRA_h abitat

23/04/2014 09:19:01 Page 1 of 1