CODE: 3240

NAME: Alpine rivers and their ligneous vegetation with Salix elaeagnos

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map

1.1.2 Distribution Method

1.1.3 Year or period

1.1.4 Additional map

1.1.5 Range Map

Yes

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2005-2012

No

Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published

Mediterranean (MED)

The present Habitat assessment (fields 0.1-3.1) has been compiled by Pierangela Angelini (ISPRA). Published and unpublished data, information and experts' judgments have been provided by Edoardo Biondi, Liliana Zivkovic and Giovanni Spampinato(SBI).

Bianco P.M., Laureti L., Papallo O., Perfetti D. 2012 Carta degli habitat della Regione Umbria per il sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRA

Biondi E, Blasi C, Burrascano S, Casavecchia S, Copiz R, Del Vico E, Galdenzi D, Gigante D, Lasen C, Spampinato G, Venanzoni R, Zivkovic L (2009a) Italian interpretation Manual of the habitats (92/43/EEC Directive). Ministero dell'Ambiente e della Tutela del Territorio e del Mare. http://vnr.unipg.it/habitat/Blasi et al., 2010. La Vegetazione d'Italia con Carta delle Serie di Vegetazione in scala 1:500000. Palombi ed.

Casella L., Agrillo E., Bianco P.M., Cardillo A., Carbone M., Cattena C., Laureti L., Lugari A., Spada F., 2008. Carta degli habitat della Regione Lazio per il sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRA - Università degli Studi di Roma "La Sapienza" - Regione Lazio

ISPRA, 2011. Dati del sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000.

ISPRA, Corine land cover 2006 IV livello. Dati della Rete del sistema Informativo Nazionale Ambientale - SINAnet

ISPRA, 2005. Dati del sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000.

02/05/2013 10.48.02 Page 1 of 11

2.3 Range of the habitat type in the biogeographical region or marine region

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²) 7400

2.3.2 Range method used Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2.3.3 Short-term trend period 2001-2012 2.3.4 Short-term trend direction stable (0)

2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude min max

2.3.6 Long-term trend period

2.3.7 Long-term trend direction N/A

2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude min max

2.3.9 Favourable reference range area (km²)

operator approximately equal to (≈)

unkown No

method

2.3.10 Reason for change genuine change No improved knowledge Yes

different method Yes

2.4 Area covered by Habitat

2.4.1 Surface area (km²) 2,23

2.4.2 Year or period 2005-2012

2.4.3 Method used Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2.4.4 Short-term trend period 2001-2012 2.4.5 Short-term trend direction stable (0)

2.4.6 Short-term trend magnitude min max confidence interval

2.4.8 Long-term trend period

2.4.9 Long-term trend direction N/A

2.4.10 Long-term trend magnitude min max confidence interval

2.4.11 Long term trend method used N/A

2.4.12 Favourable reference area area (km)

operator approximately equal to (≈)

unknown No

method

2.4.13 Reason for change Improved knowledge/more accurate dataUse of different method

2.5 Main Pressures

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
railway lines, TGV (D01.04)	medium importance (M)	N/A
walking, horseriding and non-motorised vehicles (G01.02)	low importance (L)	N/A

2.5.1 Method used – pressures Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2.6 Main Threats

02/05/2013 10.48.02 Page 2 of 11

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
railway lines, TGV (D01.04)	medium importance (M)	N/A
walking, horseriding and non-motorised vehicles (G01.02)	low importance (L)	N/A

2.6.1 Method used – threats	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling(1)
2.7 Complementary Information	
2.7.1 Species	
Salix eleagnos	
Salix purpurea	
Salix daphnoides	
Salix nigricans (= S. myrsinifolia)	
Salix apennina	
Salix triandra	
Calamagrostis epigejos	
Stipa calamagrostis	
Epilobium dodonaei	
Epilobium fleischeri	
Scrophularia canina	
Scrophularia juratensis	
Saponaria officinalis	
Calamagrostis pseudophragmites	
Petasites paradoxus	
2.7.2 Species method used	List from field "combinazione fisionomica di riferimento" of habitat's form in:
	Manuale Italiano di Interpretazione degli Habitat (Biondi et al., 2009; http://vnr.unipg.it/habitat/)
2.7.3 Justification of % - thresholds for trends	
2.7.4 Structure and functions - methods used	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling(1)
2.7.5 Other relevant information	

2.8 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.8.1 Range

assessment Favourable (FV)
qualifiers N/A

2.8.2 Area

assessment Favourable (FV)
qualifiers N/A

02/05/2013 10.48.02 Page 3 of 11

2.8.3 Specific structuresand functions (incl Species)2.8.4 Future prospects

assessment Unknown(XX) qualifiers N/A assessment Unknown(XX) qualifiers N/A Unknown(XX)

2.8.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status

Olikilowii(A

2.8.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status

N/A

3. Natura 2000 coverage conservation measures - Annex I habitat types on biogeographical level

3.1 Area covered by habitat

3.1.1 Surface area (km²)

min 1,5685

1,5685

max

3.1.2 Method used

3.1.3. Trend of surface area

Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3) N/A

3.2 Conversation Measures

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published

Continental (CON)

The present Habitat assessment (fields 0.1-3.1) has been compiled by Pierangela Angelini (ISPRA). Published and unpublished data, information and experts' judgments have been provided by Edoardo Biondi and Liliana Zivkovic(SBI). "Bianco P.M., Laureti L., Papallo O., Perfetti D. 2012 Carta degli habitat della Regione Umbria per il sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRA©Biondi E, Blasi C, Burrascano S, Casavecchia S, Copiz R, Del Vico E, Galdenzi D, Gigante D, Lasen C, Spampinato G, Venanzoni R, Zivkovic L (2009a) Italian interpretation Manual of the habitats (92/43/EEC Directive). Ministero dell'Ambiente e della Tutela del Territorio e del Mare.

http://vnr.unipg.it/habitat/②Blasi et al., 2010. La Vegetazione d'Italia con Carta delle Serie di Vegetazione in scala 1:500000. Palombi ed., Brentan D., Burbello A., Avanzi E., Gasparini S., Laureti L., Bianco P.M., 2008. Carta degli habitat della regione Veneto per il sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRA - Arpa Veneto. http://www.isprambiente.gov.it/site/it-IT/Servizi_per_l%27Ambiente/Sistema_Carta_della_Natura②Casella L., Agrillo E., Bianco P.M., Cardillo A., Carbone M., Cattena C., Laureti L., Lugari A., Spada F., 2008. Carta degli habitat della Regione Lazio per il sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRA - Università degli Studi di Roma "La Sapienza" - Regione Lazio②ISPRA, 2011. Dati del sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRA, Corine land cover 2006 IV livello. Dati della Rete del sistema Informativo Nazionale Ambientale - SINAnet②Oriolo G., Dragan M., Fernetti M., Francescato C., Tomasella M., Giorgi R. 2007. Carta degli habitat della regione Friuli Venezia Giulia per il sistema informativo di Carta della

02/05/2013 10.48.02 Page 4 of 11

Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRA-Regione Friuli Venezia Giulia.

http://www.isprambiente.gov.it/site/it-

IT/Servizi_per_l%27Ambiente/Sistema_Carta_della_Natura®Pesaresi S, Biondi E, Casavecchia S, Catorci A, Foglia M., 2007. II Geodatabase del Sistema Informativo

Vegetazionale delle Marche. Fitosociol 44 (2) suppl. 1: 95-101

http://www.ortobotanico.univpm.it/cartography\textsubscript{\textsubscript{\textsubscript{2011}}. Lastrucci L. & Bucci A., 2011. Distribuzione di Hippophae fluviatilis in Toscana e caratterizzazione fitosociologica delle cenosi riparie in cui risulta dominante. Fitosociologia 48(1):

77-90.2"

2.3 Range of the habitat type in the biogeographical region or marine region

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²) 35900

2.3.2 Range method used Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2.3.3 Short-term trend period 2001-2012 2.3.4 Short-term trend direction stable (0)

2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude min max

2.3.6 Long-term trend period

2.3.7 Long-term trend direction N/A

2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude min max

2.3.9 Favourable reference range area (km²)

operator more than (>)

unkown No

method

2.3.10 Reason for change genuine change No

improved knowledge Yes different method Yes

2.4 Area covered by Habitat

2.4.1 Surface area (km²) 57,91

2.4.2 Year or period
 2.4.3 Method used
 2005-2012
 Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2.4.4 Short-term trend period 2001-2012
2.4.5 Short-term trend direction stable (0)

2.4.6 Short-term trend magnitude min max confidence interval

2.4.8 Long-term trend period

2.4.9 Long-term trend direction N/A

2.4.10 Long-term trend magnitude min max confidence interval

2.4.11 Long term trend method used N/A

2.4.12 Favourable reference area area (km)

operator more than (>)

unknown No

method

2.4.13 Reason for change Improved knowledge/more accurate dataUse of different method

2.5 Main Pressures

02/05/2013 10.48.02 Page 5 of 11

Habitat types (Allilex D)		
Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Leisure fishing (F02.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Sand and gravel extraction (C01.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
Modification of hydrographic functioning, general (J02.05)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Soil pollution and solid waste (excluding discharges) (H05)	high importance (H)	N/A
infilling of ditches, dykes, ponds, pools, marshes or pits (J02.01.03)	high importance (H)	N/A
Fertilisation (A08)	high importance (H)	N/A
2.5.1 Method used – pressures Estimate based on p	partial data with some extrapol	ation and/or modelling(2)
2.6 Main Threats		
Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Leisure fishing (F02.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Sand and gravel extraction (C01.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
Modification of hydrographic functioning, general (J02.05)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Soil pollution and solid waste (excluding discharges) (H05)	high importance (H)	N/A
infilling of ditches, dykes, ponds, pools, marshes or pits (J02.01.03)	high importance (H)	N/A
Fertilisation (A08)	low importance (L)	N/A
2.6.1 Method used – threats Estimate based on e	expert opinion with no or minin	nal sampling(1)
2.7 Complementary Information		
2.7.1 Species		
Salix eleagnos		
Hippophaë rhamnoides		
Salix purpurea		
Salix daphnoides		
Salix nigricans (= S. myrsinifolia)		
Salix apennina		
Salix triandra		
Calamagrostis epigejos		
Stipa calamagrostis		
Epilobium dodonaei		

02/05/2013 10.48.02 Page 6 of 11

nabitat types (Annex i	ט
Saponaria officinalis	
Calamagrostis pseudophragmites	
Petasites paradoxus	
Hieracium piloselloides	
Alnus incana	
Pinus sylvestris	
Equisetum arvense	
Agrostis stolonifera	
2.7.2 Species method used	List from field "combinazione fisionomica di riferimento" of habitat's form in: Manuale Italiano di Interpretazione degli Habitat (Biondi et al., 2009; http://vnr.unipg.it/habitat/)
2.7.3 Justification of % - thresholds for trends	
2.7.4 Structure and functions - methods used	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling(1)
2.7.5 Other relevant information	
2.8 Conclusions (assessment of co	onservation status at end of reporting period)
2.8.1 Range	assessment Inadequate(U1) qualifiers N/A
2.8.2 Area	assessment Inadequate(U1) qualifiers N/A
2.8.3 Specific structures	assessment Inadequate(II1)

2.8.2 Area	assessmentInadequate(U1) qualifiers N/A
2.8.3 Specific structures and functions (incl Species)	assessmentInadequate(U1) qualifiersN/A
2.8.4 Future prospects	assessment Inadequate (U1) qualifiers N/A
2.8.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status	Inadequate(U1)
2.8.5 Overall trend in	declining(-)

3. Natura 2000 coverage conservation measures - Annex I habitat types on biogeographical level

3.1 Area covered by habitat

Conservation Status

3.1.1 Surface area (km²)	min	29,6562	max	29,6562
3.1.2 Method used	Comple	ete survey/Co	mplete su	urvey or a statistically robust estimate (3)
3.1.3. Trend of surface area	N/A			

3.2 Conversation Measures

02/05/2013 10.48.02 Page 7 of 11

2.1 Biogeographical Region2.2 Published

Alpine (ALP)

The present Habitat assessment (fields 0.1-3.1) has been compiled by Pierangela Angelini (ISPRA). Published and unpublished data, information and experts' judgments have been provided by Edoardo Biondi, Liliana Zivkovic and Cesare Lasen(SBI), Pietro Massimiliano Bianco and Pierangela Angelini (ISPRA, field 2.7.1).

"Brentan D., Burbello A., Avanzi E., Gasparini S., Laureti L., Bianco P.M., 2008. Carta degli habitat della regione Veneto per il sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRA - Arpa Veneto.

http://www.isprambiente.gov.it/site/it-

IT/Servizi_per_l%27Ambiente/Sistema_Carta_della_Natura®Biondi E, Blasi C, Burrascano S, Casavecchia S, Copiz R, Del Vico E, Galdenzi D, Gigante D, Lasen C, Spampinato G, Venanzoni R, Zivkovic L (2009a) Italian interpretation Manual of the habitats (92/43/EEC Directive). Ministero dell'Ambiente e della Tutela del Territorio e del Mare. http://vnr.unipg.it/habitat/®Blasi et al., 2010. La Vegetazione d'Italia con Carta delle Serie di Vegetazione in scala 1:500000. Palombi ed., ®ISPRA, 2011. Dati del sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. Palombi ed., Sispera, Corine land cover 2006 IV livello. Dati della Rete del sistema Informativo Nazionale Ambientale - SINAnet®Morra di Cella U., Cremonese E., Pari E., Siniscalco C., Amadei M., Angelini P., Cardillo A., 2008. Carta degli habitat della Regione Valle d'Aosta per il sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRA - ARPA Valle d'Aosta - Dipartimento Biologia Vegetale Università degli studi di Torino.

http://www.isprambiente.gov.it/site/it-

IT/Servizi_per_l%27Ambiente/Sistema_Carta_della_Natura\(\text{2007}\). Dragan M., Fernetti M., Francescato C., Tomasella M., Giorgi R. 2007. Carta degli habitat della regione Friuli Venezia Giulia per il sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRA-Regione Friuli Venezia Giulia.

http://www.isprambiente.gov.it/site/it-

IT/Servizi_per_l%27Ambiente/Sistema_Carta_della_Natura. Martini F., Bona E., Federici G., Fenaroli F., Perico G., 2012. Flora vascolare della Lombardia centro-orientale. Ed. Lint Trieste. WILHALM T., NIKLFELD H. & GUTERMANN W., 2006 - Katalog der Gefäßpflanzen Südtirols. Veröffentlichungen des Naturmuseums Südtirol Nr. 3. Folio Verlag, Wien/Bozen, 218 pp 2"

02/05/2013 10.48.02 Page 8 of 11

2 2 Dames -	C + - ! + - +	According to the Alberta	The first and a second section of	l	
7.3 Kange of	r the nabitat	type in the	ningengraphical	region	or marine region
LIG Hange of	tile manitat	type iii tiic	biogcogi apinicai	. CB.O	or marmic region

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²) 30600

2.3.2 Range method used Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2.3.3 Short-term trend period 2001-2012 2.3.4 Short-term trend direction unknown (x)

2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude min max

2.3.6 Long-term trend period

2.3.7 Long-term trend direction N/A

2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude min max

2.3.9 Favourable reference range area (km²)

operator more than (>)

unkown No

method

2.3.10 Reason for change genuine change No improved knowledge Yes

different method Yes

2.4 Area covered by Habitat

2.4.1 Surface area (km²) 63,77

2.4.2 Year or period 2005-2012

2.4.3 Method used Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2.4.4 Short-term trend period 2001-2012 2.4.5 Short-term trend direction unknown (x)

2.4.6 Short-term trend magnitude min max confidence interval

2.4.8 Long-term trend period

2.4.9 Long-term trend direction N/A

2.4.10 Long-term trend magnitude min max confidence interval

2.4.11 Long term trend method used N/A

2.4.12 Favourable reference area area (km)

operator more than (>)

unknown No

method

2.4.13 Reason for change Improved knowledge/more accurate dataUse of different method

2.5 Main Pressures

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Leisure fishing (F02.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Sand and gravel extraction (C01.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
Other human induced changes in hydraulic conditions (J02.15) medium importance (M)	N/A
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	high importance (H)	N/A
pillaging of floristic stations (F04.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
Fertilisation (A08)	medium importance (M)	N/A

02/05/2013 10.48.02 Page 9 of 11

grazing in forests/ woodland (B06)	•	low importance (L)	N/A
2.5.1 Method used – pressures	Estimate based on p	partial data with some extrapo	lation and/or modelling(2)
2.6 Main Threats			
Threat		ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Leisure fishing (F02.03)		medium importance (M)	N/A
Sand and gravel extraction (C01.01)		high importance (H)	N/A
Other human induced changes in hydra	aulic conditions (J02.15	5) medium importance (M)	N/A
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & te brackish) (H01)	errestrial, marine &	high importance (H)	N/A
pillaging of floristic stations (F04.01)		high importance (H)	N/A
Fertilisation (A08)		medium importance (M)	N/A
grazing in forests/ woodland (B06)		low importance (L)	N/A
2.6.1 Method used – threats	Estimate based on e	expert opinion with no or minir	mal sampling(1)
2.7 Complementary Information			
2.7.1 Species			
Salix eleagnos			
Hippophaë rhamnoides			
Salix purpurea			
Salix daphnoides			
Salix nigricans (= S. myrsinifolia)			
Salix apennina			
Salix triandra			
Calamagrostis epigejos			
Stipa calamagrostis			
Epilobium dodonaei			
Epilobium fleischeri			
Scrophularia canina			
Scrophularia juratensis			
Saponaria officinalis			
Calamagrostis pseudophragmites			
Petasites paradoxus			
Hieracium piloselloides			
Equisetum arvense			

02/05/2013 10.48.02 Page 10 of 11

2.7.2 Species method used

Selected by ISPRA's expert from bibliographical and field research

2.7.3 Justification of % - thresholds for trends

2.7.4 Structure and functions - methods used

2.7.5 Other relevant information

Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling(1)

2.8 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.8.1 Range assessment Unknown(XX)

qualifiers N/A

2.8.2 Area assessment Unknown(XX)

qualifiers N/A

assessment Inadequate(U1)

qualifiers N/A

assessment Inadequate(U1)

qualifiers N/A

Inadequate(U1)

2.8.5 Overall assessment of

2.8.3 Specific structures

2.8.4 Future prospects

and functions (incl Species)

Conservation Status

2.8.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status

declining(-)

3. Natura 2000 coverage conservation measures - Annex I habitat types on biogeographical level

3.1 Area covered by habitat

3.1.1 Surface area (km²) min 49,88911 max 49,88911

3.1.2 Method used Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)

3.1.3. Trend of surface area N/A

3.2 Conversation Measures

02/05/2013 10.48.02 Page 11 of 11

Notes

Habitat code: 3240 Region o	ode: ALP	
Field label	Note	User
2.4.1 Surface area	L'habitat è manifestamente sottostimato per la regione Lombardia, quantomeno all'esterno dei siti Natura 2000.	ISPRA_h abitat

23/04/2014 08:20:14 Page 1 of 1