CODE: 2160

NAME: Dunes with Hippophaë rhamnoides

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map

1.1.2 Distribution Method

1.1.3 Year or period

1.1.4 Additional map

1.1.5 Range Map

Yes

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2005-2012

No

Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published

Continental (CON)

The present Habitat assessment (fields 0.1-3.1) has been compiled by Pierangela Angelini (ISPRA). Published and unpublished data, information and experts' judgments have been provided by Edoardo Biondi and Liliana Zivkovic (SBI). Biondi E, Blasi C, Burrascano S, Casavecchia S, Copiz R, Del Vico E, Galdenzi D, Gigante D, Lasen C, Spampinato G, Venanzoni R, Zivkovic L (2009a) Italian interpretation Manual of the habitats (92/43/EEC Directive). Ministero dell'Ambiente e della Tutela del Territorio e del Mare. http://vnr.unipg.it/habitat/Blasi et al., 2010. La Vegetazione d'Italia con Carta delle Serie di Vegetazione in scala 1:500000. Palombi ed.

Brentan D., Burbello A., Avanzi E., Gasparini S., Laureti L., Bianco P.M., 2008. Carta degli habitat della regione Veneto per il sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRA - Arpa Veneto.

http://www.isprambiente.gov.it/site/it-

IT/Servizi_per_l%27Ambiente/Sistema_Carta_della_Natura ISPRA, 2011. Dati del sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000.

ISPRA, Corine land cover 2006 IV livello. Dati della Rete del sistema Informativo Nazionale Ambientale - SINAnet

Viciani D., Lastrucci L. & Bucci A., 2011. Distribuzione di Hippophae fluviatilis in Toscana e caratterizzazione fitosociologica delle cenosi riparie in cui risulta dominante. Fitosociologia 48(1): 77-90

Prisco I., Acosta A.T.R., Ercole S., 2012 - An overview of the italian coastal dune EU habitats. Ann. Bot. (Roma), 2: 39–48

02/05/2013 8.39.40 Page 1 of 4

2.3 Range of the habitat type in the biogeographical region or marine region

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²) 1000

2.3.2 Range method used Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2.3.3 Short-term trend period 2001-2012 2.3.4 Short-term trend direction stable (0)

2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude min max

2.3.6 Long-term trend period

2.3.7 Long-term trend direction N/A

2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude min max

2.3.9 Favourable reference range area (km²)

operator much more than (>>)

unkown No

method

2.3.10 Reason for change genuine change No improved knowledge Yes

different method Yes

2.4 Area covered by Habitat

2.4.1 Surface area (km²) 4,03

2.4.2 Year or period 2005-2012

2.4.3 Method used Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2.4.4 Short-term trend period 2001-2012 2.4.5 Short-term trend direction stable (0)

2.4.6 Short-term trend magnitude min max confidence interval

2.4.8 Long-term trend period

2.4.9 Long-term trend direction N/A

2.4.10 Long-term trend magnitude min max confidence interval

2.4.11 Long term trend method used N/A

2.4.12 Favourable reference area area (km)

operator much more than (>>)

unknown No

method

2.4.13 Reason for change Improved knowledge/more accurate dataUse of different method

2.5 Main Pressures

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Urbanised areas, human habitation (E01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Trampling, overuse (G05.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
pillaging of floristic stations (F04.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
artificial planting on open ground (non-native trees) (B01.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A

2.5.1 Method used – pressures Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling(2)

2.6 Main Threats

02/05/2013 8.39.40 Page 2 of 4

tat types (Annex D)			
		ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
ed areas, human habitation (E01)		medium importance (M)	N/A
ng, overuse (G05.01)		medium importance (M)	N/A
g of floristic stations (F04.01)		medium importance (M)	N/A
l planting on open ground (non-n	ntive trees) (B01.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
ethod used – threats	Estimate based on ex	xpert opinion with no or mini	mal sampling(1)
mplementary Information			
pecies			
hae rhamnoides ssp. Fluviatilis			
us communis			
us catharticus			
gus acutifolius			
eregrina			
ılmifolius			
a etrusca			
pecies method used	List from field "combinazione fisionomica di riferimento" of habitat's form in: Manuale Italiano di Interpretazione degli Habitat (Biondi et al., 2009; http://vnr.unipg.it/habitat/)		
stification of % - olds for trends			
ructure and functions - Is used	Estimate based on ex	pert opinion with no or mini	mal sampling(1)
us communis us catharticus gus acutifolius eregrina ulmifolius a etrusca eccies method used stification of % - olds for trends ructure and functions -	Manuale Italiano di II http://vnr.unipg.it/ha	nterpretazione degli Habitat (abitat/)	(Biondi et al., 2009;

2.8 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.8.1 Range assessment Bad(U2) qualifiers N/A 2.8.2 Area assessment Bad(U2) qualifiers N/A 2.8.3 Specific structures assessment Inadequate(U1) and functions (incl Species) qualifiers N/A 2.8.4 Future prospects assessment Inadequate(U1) qualifiers N/A 2.8.5 Overall assessment of Bad(U2) **Conservation Status** 2.8.5 Overall trend in declining(-) **Conservation Status**

3. Natura 2000 coverage conservation measures - Annex I habitat types on biogeographical level

3.1 Area covered by habitat

2.7.5 Other relevant information

02/05/2013 8.39.40 Page 3 of 4

3.1.1 Surface area (km²) min 3,3566 max 3,3566

3.1.2 Method used Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3) N/A

3.2 Conversation Measures

02/05/2013 8.39.40 Page 4 of 4