CODE: 92A0

NAME: Salix alba and Populus alba galleries

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map

1.1.2 Distribution Method

1.1.3 Year or period

1.1.4 Additional map

1.1.5 Range Map

Yes

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2005-2012

No

Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published

Mediterranean (MED)

The present Habitat assessment (fields 0.1-3.1) has been compiled by Pierangela Angelini (ISPRA). Published and unpublished data, information and experts' judgments have been provided by Edoardo Biondi, Liliana Zivkovic and Giovanni Spampinato(SBI), Pietro Massimiliano Bianco and Pierangela Angelini (ISPRA, field 2.7.1).

"Angelini P., Augello R., Bianco P.M., Gennaio R., La Ghezza V., Lavarra P., Marrese M., Papallo O., Perrino V. M., Sani R., M. Stelluti. 2012. Carta degli habitat della Regione Puglia per il sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRA - Arpa Puglia Bianco P.M., Laureti L., Papallo O., Perfetti D. 2012 Carta degli habitat della Regione Umbria per il sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRABBiondi E, Blasi C, Burrascano S, Casavecchia S, Copiz R, Del Vico E, Galdenzi D, Gigante D, Lasen C, Spampinato G, Venanzoni R, Zivkovic L (2009a) Italian interpretation Manual of the habitats (92/43/EEC Directive). Ministero dell'Ambiente e della Tutela del Territorio e del Mare. http://vnr.unipg.it/habitat/2Blasi et al., 2010. La Vegetazione d'Italia con Carta delle Serie di Vegetazione in scala 1:500000. Palombi ed., Camarda I., Carta L., Brunu A., Brundu G., Laureti L., Angelini P., Bagnaia R., 2011. Carta degli habitat della Regione Sardegna per il sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. Dipartimento di Scienze Botaniche Ecologiche e Geologiche dell'Università degli Studi di Sassari - ISPRA - Regione Sardegna (Casella L., Agrillo E., Bianco P.M., Cardillo A., Carbone M., Cattena C., Laureti L., Lugari A., Spada F., 2008. Carta degli habitat della Regione Lazio per il sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRA - Università degli Studi di Roma "La Sapienza" - Regione Lazio ISPRA, 2011. Dati del sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRA, Corine land cover 2006 IV livello. Dati della Rete del sistema Informativo Nazionale Ambientale - SINAnet ISPRA, 2005. Dati del sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. Papini F., Gianguzzi L., Brullo S., Bianco P. M., Angelini P., 2006. Carta degli habitat della Regione Sicilia per il sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. Dipartimento di Scienze Botaniche dell'Università degli Studi di Palermo -Dipartimento di Botanica dell'Università degli Studi di Catania -Regione Sicilia -ISPRA

Sburlino G., Poldini L., Venanzoni R. & Ghirelli L., 2011. Italian black alder swamps: their syntaxonomic relationships and originality within the European context. Plant Biosystems 145 suppl 1: 148-171. Poldini L., Vidali M. & Ganis P.,

02/05/2013 10.43.31 Page 1 of 13

2011. Riparian Salix alba: scrubs of the Po lowland (N-Italy) from an European perspective. Plant Biosystems 145 suppl. 1: 132-147."

2.3 Range of the habitat type in the biogeograp	phical region or marine region
---	--------------------------------

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²) 120400

2.3.2 Range method used Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2001-2012

2.3.4 Short-term trend direction decrease (-)

2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude min max

2.3.6 Long-term trend period

2.3.3 Short-term trend period

2.3.7 Long-term trend direction N/A

2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude min max

2.3.9 Favourable reference range area (km²)

operator approximately equal to (≈)

unkown No

method

2.3.10 Reason for change genuine change No

improved knowledge Yes different method Yes

2.4 Area covered by Habitat

2.4.1 Surface area (km²)	751,88
2.4.2 Year or period	2005-2012

2.4.3 Method used Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2.4.4 Short-term trend period 2001-2012 2.4.5 Short-term trend direction decrease (-)

2.4.6 Short-term trend magnitude min max confidence interval

2.4.7 Short term trend method used Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)

2.4.8 Long-term trend period

2.4.9 Long-term trend direction N/A

2.4.10 Long-term trend magnitude min max confidence interval

2.4.11 Long term trend method used N/A

2.4.12 Favourable reference area area (km)

operator approximately equal to (≈)

unknown No

method

2.4.13 Reason for change Improved knowledge/more accurate dataUse of different method

2.5 Main Pressures

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
roads, motorways (D01.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
burning down (J01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
motorised vehicles (G01.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	medium importance (M)	N/A

02/05/2013 10.43.31 Page 2 of 13

artificial planting on open ground (non-native trees) (B01.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Erosion (K01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Urbanised areas, human habitation (E01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
removal of forest undergrowth (B02.03)	low importance (L)	N/A
discontinuous urbanisation (E01.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Other human induced changes in hydraulic conditions (J02.15)	medium importance (M)	N/A
modifying structures of inland water courses (J02.05.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Discharges (E03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Sand and gravel extraction (C01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
forest exploitation without replanting or natural regrowth (B03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Industrial or commercial areas (E02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Agricultural structures, buildings in the landscape (E04.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Soil pollution and solid waste (excluding discharges) (H05)	medium importance (M)	N/A
forestry clearance (B02.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
grazing (A04)	medium importance (M)	N/A

2.5.1 Method used – pressures Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling(2)

	'	,
2.6 Main Threats		
Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
roads, motorways (D01.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
burning down (J01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
motorised vehicles (G01.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
artificial planting on open ground (non-native trees) (B01.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Erosion (K01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Urbanised areas, human habitation (E01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
removal of forest undergrowth (B02.03)	low importance (L)	N/A
discontinuous urbanisation (E01.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Other human induced changes in hydraulic conditions (J02.15)) medium importance (M)	N/A
modifying structures of inland water courses (J02.05.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Discharges (E03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Sand and gravel extraction (C01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
forest exploitation without replanting or natural regrowth (B03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Industrial or commercial areas (E02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Agricultural structures, buildings in the landscape (E04.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Soil pollution and solid waste (excluding discharges) (H05)	medium importance (M)	N/A

02/05/2013 10.43.31 Page 3 of 13

forestry clearance (B02.02)	medium importance (M) N/A
grazing (A04)	medium importance (M) N/A
2.6.1 Method used – threats	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling(1)
2.7 Complementary Information	
2.7.1 Species	
Salix alba	
Salix fragilis	
Salix oropotamica	
Salix arrigonii	
Populus alba	
Populus nigra	
Populus tremula	
Iris foetidissima	
Sambucus nigra	
Arum italicum	
Fraxinus angustifolia ssp. Oxycarpa	
Clematis vitalba	
Clematis viticella	
Laurus nobilis	
Aegopodium podagraria	
Calystegia sepium	
Brachypodium sylvaticum	
Eqisetum telmateja	
2.7.2 Species method used	Selected by ISPRA's expert from bibliographical and field research
2.7.3 Justification of % - thresholds for trends	
2.7.4 Structure and functions - methods used	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling(1)
2.7.5 Other relevant information	

2.8 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.8.1 Range

assessment Inadequate(U1)
qualifiers N/A

2.8.2 Area

assessment Inadequate(U1)
qualifiers N/A

02/05/2013 10.43.31 Page 4 of 13

2.8.3 Specific structuresand functions (incl Species)2.8.4 Future prospects

assessment Bad(U2) qualifiers N/A assessment Bad(U2) qualifiers N/A

2.8.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status

Bad(U2)

2.8.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status

declining(-)

3. Natura 2000 coverage conservation measures - Annex I habitat types on biogeographical level

3.1 Area covered by habitat

3.1.1 Surface area (km²)

min 285,19795 max 285,19795

3.1.2 Method used

Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3) N/A

3.1.3. Trend of surface area

3.2 Conversation Measures

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published

Continental (CON)

Angelini (ISPRA). Published and unpublished data, information and experts' judgments have been provided by Edoardo Biondi and Liliana Zivkovic(SBI), Pietro Massimiliano Bianco and Pierangela Angelini (ISPRA, field 2.7.1). "Bianco P.M., Laureti L., Papallo O., Perfetti D. 2012 Carta degli habitat della Regione Umbria per il sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRABBiondi E, Blasi C, Burrascano S, Casavecchia S, Copiz R, Del Vico E, Galdenzi D, Gigante D, Lasen C, Spampinato G, Venanzoni R, Zivkovic L (2009a) Italian interpretation Manual of the habitats (92/43/EEC Directive). Ministero dell'Ambiente e della Tutela del Territorio e del Mare. http://vnr.unipg.it/habitat/

Blasi et al., 2010. La Vegetazione d'Italia con Carta delle Serie di Vegetazione in scala 1:500000. Palombi ed., Brentan D., Burbello A., Avanzi E., Gasparini S., Laureti L., Bianco P.M., 2008. Carta degli habitat della regione Veneto per il sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRA - Arpa Veneto. http://www.isprambiente.gov.it/site/it-IT/Servizi_per_l%27Ambiente/Sistema_Carta_della_Natura@Casella L., Agrillo E., Bianco P.M., Cardillo A., Carbone M., Cattena C., Laureti L., Lugari A., Spada F., 2008. Carta degli habitat della Regione Lazio per il sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRA - Università degli Studi di Roma "La Sapienza" - Regione Lazio ISPRA, 2011. Dati del sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRA, Corine land cover 2006 IV livello. Dati della Rete del sistema Informativo Nazionale Ambientale - SINAnet Oriolo G.,

Dragan M., Fernetti M., Francescato C., Tomasella M., Giorgi R. 2007. Carta degli

The present Habitat assessment (fields 0.1-3.1) has been compiled by Pierangela

02/05/2013 10.43.31 Page 5 of 13

habitat della regione Friuli Venezia Giulia per il sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRA-Regione Friuli Venezia Giulia.

http://www.isprambiente.gov.it/site/it-

IT/Servizi_per_l%27Ambiente/Sistema_Carta_della_Natura®Pedrotti F., 1980. Foreste ripariali lungo la costa adriatica dell'Italia. Coll. phtosoc. IX: 143-154.®Pedrotti F., Gafta D., 1996. Ecologia delle foreste ripariali e paludose dell'Italia. L'uomo e l'ambiente, 23®Pesaresi S, Biondi E, Casavecchia S, Catorci A, Foglia M., 2007. Il Geodatabase del Sistema Informativo Vegetazionale delle Marche. Fitosociol 44 (2) suppl. 1: 95-101

http://www.ortobotanico.univpm.it/cartography@Poldini L., Vidali M. & Ganis P., 2011. Riparian Salix alba: scrubs of the Po lowland (N-Italy) from an European perspective. Plant Biosystems 145 suppl. 1: 132-147. [2]"

2.3 Range of the habitat type in the biogeographical region or marine region

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²) 49600

2.3.2 Range method used Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2.3.3 Short-term trend period 2001-2012 2.3.4 Short-term trend direction decrease (-)

2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude min max

2.3.6 Long-term trend period

2.3.7 Long-term trend direction N/A

2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude min max

2.3.9 Favourable reference range area (km²)

operator more than (>)

unkown No

method

2.3.10 Reason for change genuine change No

improved knowledge Yes
different method Yes

2.4 Area covered by Habitat

2.4.1 Surface area (km²) 577,17 2.4.2 Year or period 2005-2012

2.4.3 Method used Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2.4.4 Short-term trend period 2001-2012 2.4.5 Short-term trend direction decrease (-)

2.4.6 Short-term trend magnitude min max confidence interval

2.4.8 Long-term trend period

2.4.9 Long-term trend direction N/A

2.4.10 Long-term trend magnitude min max confidence interval

2.4.11 Long term trend method used N/A

2.4.12 Favourable reference area area (km)

operator more than (>)

unknown No

method

2.4.13 Reason for change Improved knowledge/more accurate dataUse of different method

02/05/2013 10.43.31 Page 6 of 13

2.5 Main Pressures		
Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
roads, motorways (D01.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Urbanised areas, human habitation (E01)	high importance (H)	N/A
canalisation (J02.03.02)	high importance (H)	N/A
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	medium importance (M)	N/A
artificial planting on open ground (non-native trees) (B01.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Erosion (K01.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
Water abstractions from groundwater (J02.07)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Fertilisation (A08)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Sand and gravel extraction (C01.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
discontinuous urbanisation (E01.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Agricultural structures, buildings in the landscape (E04.01)	low importance (L)	N/A
management of aquatic and bank vegetation for drainage purposes (J02.10)	high importance (H)	N/A
pa. posso (vol. 10)		
	artial data with some extrapola	tion and/or modelling(2)
2.5.1 Method used – pressures Estimate based on pa	artial data with some extrapola	tion and/or modelling(2)
2.5.1 Method used – pressures Estimate based on page 2.6 Main Threats	artial data with some extrapola ranking	tion and/or modelling(2) pollution qualifier(s)
2.5.1 Method used – pressures Estimate based on page 2.6 Main Threats Threat		
	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
2.5.1 Method used – pressures Estimate based on page 2.6 Main Threats Threat roads, motorways (D01.02) Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	ranking medium importance (M)	pollution qualifier(s) N/A
2.5.1 Method used – pressures Estimate based on page 2.6 Main Threats Threat roads, motorways (D01.02) Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01) Urbanised areas, human habitation (E01)	ranking medium importance (M) medium importance (M)	pollution qualifier(s) N/A N/A
2.5.1 Method used – pressures Estimate based on page 2.6 Main Threats Threat roads, motorways (D01.02) Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01) Urbanised areas, human habitation (E01)	ranking medium importance (M) medium importance (M) high importance (H)	pollution qualifier(s) N/A N/A N/A
2.5.1 Method used – pressures Estimate based on page 2.6 Main Threats Threat roads, motorways (D01.02) Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01) Urbanised areas, human habitation (E01) canalisation (J02.03.02) use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	ranking medium importance (M) medium importance (M) high importance (H) high importance (H)	pollution qualifier(s) N/A N/A N/A N/A
2.5.1 Method used – pressures Estimate based on page 2.6 Main Threats Threat roads, motorways (D01.02) Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01) Urbanised areas, human habitation (E01) canalisation (J02.03.02)	ranking medium importance (M) medium importance (M) high importance (H) high importance (H) medium importance (M)	pollution qualifier(s) N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A
2.5.1 Method used – pressures Estimate based on page 2.6 Main Threats Threat roads, motorways (D01.02) Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01) Urbanised areas, human habitation (E01) canalisation (J02.03.02) use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07) artificial planting on open ground (non-native trees) (B01.02)	ranking medium importance (M) medium importance (M) high importance (H) high importance (H) medium importance (M) medium importance (M)	pollution qualifier(s) N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A
2.5.1 Method used – pressures Estimate based on page 2.6 Main Threats Threat roads, motorways (D01.02) Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01) Urbanised areas, human habitation (E01) canalisation (J02.03.02) use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07) artificial planting on open ground (non-native trees) (B01.02) Erosion (K01.01) Water abstractions from groundwater (J02.07)	ranking medium importance (M) medium importance (M) high importance (H) high importance (H) medium importance (M) medium importance (M) high importance (H)	pollution qualifier(s) N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/
2.5.1 Method used – pressures 2.6 Main Threats Threat roads, motorways (D01.02) Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01) Urbanised areas, human habitation (E01) canalisation (J02.03.02) use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07) artificial planting on open ground (non-native trees) (B01.02) Erosion (K01.01)	ranking medium importance (M) medium importance (M) high importance (H) high importance (H) medium importance (M) medium importance (M) high importance (H)	pollution qualifier(s) N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/
2.5.1 Method used – pressures Estimate based on page 2.6 Main Threats Threat roads, motorways (D01.02) Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01) Urbanised areas, human habitation (E01) canalisation (J02.03.02) use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07) artificial planting on open ground (non-native trees) (B01.02) Erosion (K01.01) Water abstractions from groundwater (J02.07) Fertilisation (A08)	ranking medium importance (M) medium importance (M) high importance (H) high importance (H) medium importance (M) medium importance (M) high importance (H) medium importance (M) medium importance (M)	pollution qualifier(s) N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/
2.5.1 Method used – pressures Estimate based on page 2.6 Main Threats Threat roads, motorways (D01.02) Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01) Urbanised areas, human habitation (E01) canalisation (J02.03.02) use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07) artificial planting on open ground (non-native trees) (B01.02) Erosion (K01.01) Water abstractions from groundwater (J02.07) Fertilisation (A08) Sand and gravel extraction (C01.01)	ranking medium importance (M) medium importance (M) high importance (H) high importance (H) medium importance (M) medium importance (M) high importance (H) medium importance (M) high importance (M)	pollution qualifier(s) N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/
2.5.1 Method used – pressures Estimate based on page 2.6 Main Threats Threat roads, motorways (D01.02) Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01) Urbanised areas, human habitation (E01) canalisation (J02.03.02) use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07) artificial planting on open ground (non-native trees) (B01.02) Erosion (K01.01) Water abstractions from groundwater (J02.07) Fertilisation (A08) Sand and gravel extraction (C01.01) discontinuous urbanisation (E01.02)	ranking medium importance (M) medium importance (M) high importance (H) high importance (H) medium importance (M) medium importance (M) high importance (H) medium importance (M) high importance (M) medium importance (M) medium importance (M) high importance (H)	pollution qualifier(s) N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/
2.5.1 Method used – pressures 2.6 Main Threats Threat roads, motorways (D01.02) Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01) Urbanised areas, human habitation (E01) canalisation (J02.03.02) use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07) artificial planting on open ground (non-native trees) (B01.02) Erosion (K01.01) Water abstractions from groundwater (J02.07) Fertilisation (A08) Sand and gravel extraction (C01.01) discontinuous urbanisation (E01.02) Agricultural structures, buildings in the landscape (E04.01) management of aquatic and bank vegetation for drainage purposes (J02.10)	ranking medium importance (M) medium importance (M) high importance (H) high importance (H) medium importance (M) medium importance (M) high importance (H) medium importance (M) medium importance (M) medium importance (M) high importance (H) medium importance (H) medium importance (H)	pollution qualifier(s) N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/

02/05/2013 10.43.31 Page 7 of 13

habitat types (Annex L	(ע
2.7.1 Species	
Salix alba	
Populus alba	
Populus nigra	
Populus tremula	
Fraxinus angustifolia	
Ulmus minor	
Alnus glutinosa	
Clematis vitalba	
Brachypodium sylvaticum	
Cornus sanguinea	
Eupatorium cannabineum	
Prunus avium	
Salvia glutinosa	
2.7.2 Species method used	Selected by ISPRA's expert from bibliographical and field research
2.7.3 Justification of % - thresholds for trends	
2.7.4 Structure and functions - methods used	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling(1)
2.7.5 Other relevant information	
2.8.1 Range	onservation status at end of reporting period) assessmentInadequate(U1)
2.0.1 Nange	qualifiers N/A
2.8.2 Area	assessment Inadequate(U1)
	qualifiers N/A
2.8.3 Specific structures and functions (incl Species)	assessment Bad(U2) qualifiers N/A
2.8.4 Future prospects	assessment Bad(U2)
· ·	qualifiers N/A
2.8.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status	Bad(U2)
2.8.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status	declining(-)
3. Natura 2000 coverage	conservation measures -
Annex I habitat types on	biogeographical level
3.1 Area covered by habitat	
3.1.1 Surface area (km²)	min 123,89 max 123,89
, ,	•

02/05/2013 10.43.31 Page 8 of 13

N/A

Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)

3.1.2 Method used

3.1.3. Trend of surface area

3.2 Conversation Measures

2.1 Biogeographical Region2.2 Published

Alpine (ALP)

The present Habitat assessment (fields 0.1-3.1) has been compiled by Pierangela Angelini (ISPRA). Published and unpublished data, information and experts' judgments have been provided by Edoardo Biondi, Liliana Zivkovic and Cesare Lasen(SBI), Pietro Massimiliano Bianco and Pierangela Angelini (ISPRA, field 2.7.1).

"Brentan D., Burbello A., Avanzi E., Gasparini S., Laureti L., Bianco P.M., 2008. Carta degli habitat della regione Veneto per il sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRA - Arpa Veneto.

http://www.isprambiente.gov.it/site/it-

IT/Servizi_per_I%27Ambiente/Sistema_Carta_della_Natura@Biondi E, Blasi C, Burrascano S, Casavecchia S, Copiz R, Del Vico E, Galdenzi D, Gigante D, Lasen C, Spampinato G, Venanzoni R, Zivkovic L (2009a) Italian interpretation Manual of the habitats (92/43/EEC Directive). Ministero dell'Ambiente e della Tutela del Territorio e del Mare. http://vnr.unipg.it/habitat/2Blasi et al., 2010. La Vegetazione d'Italia con Carta delle Serie di Vegetazione in scala 1:500000. Palombi ed., Casella L., Agrillo E., Bianco P.M., Cardillo A., Carbone M., Cattena C., Laureti L., Lugari A., Spada F., 2008. Carta degli habitat della Regione Lazio per il sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRA - Università degli Studi di Roma "La Sapienza" - Regione Lazio ISPRA, 2011. Dati del sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRA, Corine land cover 2006 IV livello. Dati della Rete del sistema Informativo Nazionale Ambientale -SINAnet Poriolo G., Dragan M., Fernetti M., Francescato C., Tomasella M., Giorgi R. 2007. Carta degli habitat della regione Friuli Venezia Giulia per il sistema informativo di Carta della Natura alla scala 1:50.000. ISPRA-Regione Friuli Venezia Giulia. http://www.isprambiente.gov.it/site/it-

IT/Servizi_per_l%27Ambiente/Sistema_Carta_della_Natura [2]"

02/05/2013 10.43.31 Page 9 of 13

max

max

max

0 0 0				
7 2 Danga at th	ha habitat tupa in tha	hiogoographical	rogion	AR MARINA PAGIAN
Z.3 Name of th	he habitat type in the	- DIOPEUPI ADIIICAI	1621011	or marme region
	ind manual type in the	2100000 abilion		0

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²) 6000

2.3.2 Range method used Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2.3.3 Short-term trend period

2.3.4 Short-term trend direction

2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude

2.3.6 Long-term trend period

2.3.7 Long-term trend direction

2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude

2.3.9 Favourable reference range

N/A

min

min max

area (km²)

2001-2012

unknown (x)

N/A operator unkown Yes

method

33,83

2005-2012

2001-2012

unknown (x)

2.3.10 Reason for change

genuine change No improved knowledge Yes

different method Yes

2.4 Area covered by Habitat

2.4.1 Surface area (km²)

2.4.2 Year or period

2.4.3 Method used

2.4.4 Short-term trend period

2.4.5 Short-term trend direction

2.4.6 Short-term trend magnitude

2.4.7 Short term trend method used

2.4.8 Long-term trend period

2.4.9 Long-term trend direction

2.4.10 Long-term trend magnitude

2.4.11 Long term trend method used

2.4.12 Favourable reference area

N/A

min

N/A

area (km)

N/A operator unknown Yes

method

2.4.13 Reason for change

Improved knowledge/more accurate dataUse of different method

Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

confidence interval

confidence interval

2.5 Main Pressures

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
paths, tracks, cycling tracks (D01.01)	low importance (L)	N/A
artificial planting on open ground (non-native trees) (B01.02)	low importance (L)	N/A
roads, motorways (D01.02)	low importance (L)	N/A
Improved access to site (D05)	low importance (L)	N/A
Forest and Plantation management & use (B02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Forestry activities not referred to above (B07)	medium importance (M)	N/A

02/05/2013 10.43.31 Page 10 of 13

Urbanised areas, human habitation (E01)	low importance (L)	N/A
Sand and gravel extraction (C01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Other human intrusions and disturbances (G05)	high importance (H)	N/A
· ,		
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Soil pollution and solid waste (excluding discharges) (H05)	low importance (L)	N/A
nvasive non-native species (I01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
numan induced changes in hydraulic conditions (J02)	high importance (H)	N/A
Other ecosystem modifications (J03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Frosion (K01.01)	low importance (L)	N/A
Biocenotic evolution, succession (KO2)	medium importance (M)	N/A
nundation (natural processes) (L08)	medium importance (M)	N/A
2.5.1 Method used – pressures Estimate based on pa	artial data with some extrapolat	ion and/or modelling(2)
2.6 Main Threats		
Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
paths, tracks, cycling tracks (D01.01)	low importance (L)	N/A
artificial planting on open ground (non-native trees) (B01.02)	low importance (L)	N/A
oads, motorways (D01.02)	low importance (L)	N/A
mproved access to site (D05)	low importance (L)	N/A
Forest and Plantation management & use (B02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Forestry activities not referred to above (B07)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Jrbanised areas, human habitation (E01)	low importance (L)	N/A
Sand and gravel extraction (C01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Other human intrusions and disturbances (G05)	high importance (H)	N/A
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & prackish) (H01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Soil pollution and solid waste (excluding discharges) (H05)	low importance (L)	N/A
nvasive non-native species (IO1)	medium importance (M)	N/A
numan induced changes in hydraulic conditions (J02)	high importance (H)	N/A
Other ecosystem modifications (J03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Frosion (K01.01)	low importance (L)	N/A
Biocenotic evolution, succession (K02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
nundation (natural processes) (LO8)	medium importance (M)	N/A
2.6.1 Method used – threats Estimate based on ex	kpert opinion with no or minima	al sampling(1)

2.7 Complementary Information

02/05/2013 10.43.31 Page 11 of 13

2.7.1 Species	
Salix alba	
Populus alba	
Populus nigra	
Populus tremula	
Ulmus minor	
Brachypodium sylvaticum	
Clematis vitalba	
Cornus sanguinea	
Eupatorium cannabineum	
Prunus avium	
Salvia glutinosa	
2.7.2 Species method used	Selected by ISPRA's expert from bibliographical and field research
2.7.3 Justification of % - thresholds for trends	
2.7.4 Structure and functions -	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling(1)
methods used	

2.8.1 Range

assessment Unknown(XX)
qualifiers N/A

2.8.2 Area

assessment Unknown(XX)
qualifiers N/A

2.8.3 Specific structures
and functions (incl Species)
2.8.4 Future prospects

assessment Unknown(XX)
qualifiers N/A

assessment Unknown(XX)
qualifiers N/A

Inadequate(U1)

Conservation Status

2.8.5 Overall trend in

declining(-)

3. Natura 2000 coverage conservation measures - Annex I habitat types on biogeographical level

3.1 Area covered by habitat

Conservation Status

3.1.1 Surface area (km²)	min	14,187	max	14,187
3.1.2 Method used	Compl	ete survey/C	omplete s	survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)
3.1.3. Trend of surface area	N/A			

3.2 Conversation Measures

02/05/2013 10.43.31 Page 12 of 13

02/05/2013 10.43.31 Page 13 of 13

Notes

Habitat code: 92A0 Region c	ode: ALP				
Field label	Note	User			
2.8.5 Conclusion Overall assessment	Purtroppo le condizioni di scarsa integrità dei nostri fiumi riducono sensibilmente l'areale potenziale dell'habitat e lo rendono frammentario e poco riconoscibile. È verosimile, peraltro, sulla base di osservazioni dirette che altri siti, sia pure di ridotta estensione, siano presenti nelle altre regioni alpine e dovrebbero essere oggetto di ricerche e censimenti più mirati e puntuali	ISPRA_h abi			
Habitat code: 92A0 Region code: MED					
Field label	Note	User			
2.8.4 a)Conclusion future prospects	Le prospettive future per gli habitat ripali sono cattive in peggioramento in quanto i corsi d'aqua sono sottoposti a costanti manomissoni e sono localizzati spesso fuori da artee protette.	ISPRA_h abi			

23/04/2014 12:09:10 Page 1 of 1