

11. A Very Strict Society – An Exercise in Modelling Using Relations

Thai Son Hoang (example from Jean-Raymond Abrial)

ECS, University of Southampton, U.K.

COMP1216 - Software Modelling and Design 14th March 2019

Objectives



- ► Different type of relations functions
- ► An example of formalisation with relations.
- ► Example from Jean-Raymond Abrial

Different properties of relations



Consider a binary relation $r: S \leftrightarrow T$

► Totality Every element of S has at least one relationship

$$dom(r) = S$$

► Surjectivity Every element of T has at least one relationship.

$$ran(r) = T$$

► Functional Every element of S has at most one relationship

$$\forall x,\!y1,\,y2\cdot x\mapsto y1\in r\wedge y1\neq y2\Rightarrow x\mapsto y2\notin r$$

► Injectivity Every element of T has at most one relationship

$$\forall x1, x2, y \cdot x1 \mapsto y \in r \land x1 \neq x2 \Rightarrow x2 \mapsto y \notin r$$

Note how totality vs. surjective, functional vs. injectivity are symmetric properties.

Type of Relations in Event-B



	None	Т	S	$T \wedge S$
None	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	$\leftrightarrow\!\!\!>$	«»
F	+>	\rightarrow	-+>>	\rightarrow
1				
F∧I	$\rightarrow \rightarrow$	\longrightarrow		> →→

Table: Event-B relations

- T means the relation is total.
- ► S means the relation is surjective.
- F means the relation is functional,
- ▶ I means the relation is injective.

E.g., column $T \wedge S$ and row F denotes total surjective function, i.e., \rightarrow

Example: a Very Strict Society



- ► Every person is either a man or a woman
- ▶ But no person can be a man and a woman at the same time
- Only women have husbands, who must be a man
- Woman have at most one husband
- Likewise, men have at most one wife
- ► Moreover, mother are married women

Formal Representation (1/2)



- 1 context c0
- 2 sets PERSON // The set of people
- 3 constants
- 4 men // The set of men
- 5 women // The set of women
- 6 husband // The husband relationship
- 7 mother // The mother relationship
- a axioms
- 9 /
- * * Every person is either a man or a woman.
- * But no person can be a man and a woman at the same time.
- 12 */
- 13 @axm1: men ⊂ PERSON
- 14 @axm2: women = PERSON \ men

Formal Representation (2/2)



```
1 /*
2 * — Only women have husbands, who must be a man.
3 * — Woman have at most one husband.
4 * — Likewise, men have at most one wife.
5 */
6 @axm3: husband ∈ women → men
7
8 // Moreover, mother are married women.
9 @axm4: mother ∈ PERSON → dom(husband)
10
11 end
```

Defining New Concepts

wife, spouse, father



- 1 context c1
- extends c0
- 3 constants
- 4 wife // The wife relationship
- 5 spouse // The spouse relationship
- 6 father // The father relationship
- 7 axioms
- 8 // A is the wife of B if B is the husband of A
- 9 $Qdef-wife: wife = husband^{-1}$
- 10 // A is the spouse of B if A is either the husband or the wife of B.
- 11 Odef-spouse: spouse = husband \cup wife
- 12 // A is the father of B if A is the husband of the mother of B.
- 13 Qdef—father: father = mother:husband
- 14 // Theorems about father and mother relationships
- theorem @thm-mother father wife: mother = father; wife
- theorem @thm-spouse symmetric: spouse = spouse⁻¹
- theorem @thm-father mother: father; father = mother; mother=1
 - theorem @thm-father not mother: father; mother $^{-1} = \varnothing$
- theorem @thm-mother not father: mother; father $^{-1} = \varnothing$
- 20 end

Defining New Concepts

parents, children, daughter, sibling



- 1 context c2
- extends c1
- 3 constants
- 4 parents // The parents relationship
- 5 children // The children relationship
- 6 daughter // The daughter relationship
- 7 sibling // The sibling relationship
- 8 axioms
- $\mbox{ \ensuremath{\mbox{\boldmath a}}} \mbox{ \ensuremath{\mbox{\boldmath a}}}} \mbox{ \ensuremath{\mbox{\boldmath a}}} \mbox{ \ensuremath{\mbox{\mbox{\boldmath a}}}} \mbox{ \ensuremath{\mbox{\boldmath a}}} \mbox{ \ensuremath{\mbox{\boldmath a}}} \mbox{ \ensuremath{\mbox{\boldmath a}}} \mbox{ \ensuremath{\mbox{\boldmath a}}} \mbox{ \ensuremath{\m$
- $\textbf{10} \quad \texttt{@def-parents: parents} = \mathsf{mother} \cup \mathsf{father}$
- // A is a child of B if B is a parent of A.
- 13 // A is a daughter of B if A is a child of B who is a woman.
- 15 // A is a sibling of B if A is a child of a parent of B who is not A.
- 16 $Qdef-sibling: sibling = (parents; children) \setminus id$
- 17 // Theorems
- 18 theorem @thm-sibling symmetric: sibling = sibling⁻¹
- **theorem** @thm-father_mother_children: father;children = mother;children
- 20 end

Defining New Concepts

brother, sibling-in-law, nephew-or-niece, uncle-or-aunt, cousin



- 1 context c3
- 2 extends c2
- 3 constants
- 4 brother // The brother relationship
- 5 sibling in law // The sibling—in—law relationship
- 6 nephew or niece // The nephew—or—niece relationship
- 7 uncle_or_aunt // The uncle-or-aunt relationship
- 8 cousin // The cousin relationship
- 9 axioms
- 11 Odef—sibling in law: sibling in law = (sibling; spouse) ∪ (spouse; sibling)
- theorem @thm-sibling_in_law_symmetric: sibling_in_law = sibling_in_law⁻¹
- 13 @def-nephew_or_niece: nephew_or_niece = (sibling ∪ sibling_in_law); children
- 15 Odef-cousin: cousin = uncle or aunt; children
- theorem @thm-cousin symmetric: cousin = cousin⁻¹
- 17 end

Summary



and Computer Science

- ► Modelling using Relations
 - Different types of relations
 - ► Inverse relation
 - ► Domain/range retriction/subtraction
 - Forward composition

Further Reading



► Jean-Raymond Abrial. *Modeling in Event-B: System and Software Engineering*.

Cambridge University Press, 2010 (Chapter 9)