A formal approach to child survival from the perspective of mothers

DA-IW

August 2019

Abstract

Background

An important relation in kinship theory is the expected descendants for mothers at certain age. Child survival and child death affects important dimensions of a mothers' life, such as their reproduction and quality of life.

Objective

Existing formal demographic tools are used within a stable population framework to characterize child mortality from the perspective of mothers considering both an absolute and a relative change in the force of mortality.

Results

The expected change in child survival given an absolute change in mortality depends on the difference between maternal age and the age distribution o her surviving children. For absolute changes in mortality, it is necessary to consider a measure of entropy weighted by fertility.

Contribution

The paper proposes related expressions to describe how mortality changes affects child survival for mothers across demographic regimes. The paper also proposes alternative ways of conceptualizing child survival.

Relationship

CS_a is the expected number of surviving children to a woman aged a in a stable female population with fertility rates m_x and force of mortality μ_x:

$$CS_a = \int_\alpha^a m_x l_{a-x} dx \tag{1}$$

We now consider the consequences of a change δ in mortality in the range $[0, a-\alpha]$, where α is the start age of fertility risk (Goodman, Keyfitz, and Pullum (1974)) and δ can be absolute or relative (Wrycza and Baudisch (2012)).

A discrete approximate of the effect on CS_a of an absolute change in mortality $m_{x,\delta} = m_x + \delta$ is:

$$\frac{\Delta CS_a}{CS_a} \approx -(a - \overline{x}_{(CS)})\Delta\delta. \tag{2}$$

In equation 2, the expected change in CS_a is inversely proportional to the difference between maternal age a and the mean age of the mother at the birth of her surviving daughters $\overline{x}_{(CS)}$. In other words, the magnitude of the change depends negatively on the age distribution of the surviving offspring (younger children experience longer periods of exposure to risk).

The relationship is less clear for proportional changes in mortality $m_{x,\delta} = m_x(1+\delta)$ given the interaction of birth and mortality rates (Keyfitz and Caswell (2005)). Neverthless we can say that, in discrete terms:

$$\frac{\Delta CS_a}{CS_a} \approx -H_{CS_a}\Delta\delta. \tag{3}$$

Where H_{CS_a} can be interpreted as a temporary entropy constant until age $a-\alpha$ but weighted by the fertility pattern between. In this sense, depending the way in that the survival childs are accumulated by age $C_{CS_a}(x) = \frac{m_x l_{a-x}}{CS_a}$ is the way that is taken each age contribution to the disparity measure $H_{CS_a} = \int_\alpha^a C_{CS_a}(x)H(x)$. The effect in the child survival experienced by the mother will be bigger when the mortality is the same in all ages, no matter where are concentrated the newborns.

```
#> [1] "graph"
```

Some additional measures are proposed to measure the survival experience by a mother aged a. One is an absolute measure of the *Mean Time Spent with Lost*, which can be expressed in terms of a temporary expected lost years measure $e^\dagger$:

$$MTSL_a = \int_\alpha^a m_x \int_0^{a-x} d_t e_{t,a-x} dt dx = \int_\alpha^a m_x e^\dagger_{o,a-x} dx. \tag{4}$$

But maybe a mother would relate this lost years with the expected ones, feeling the *intensity* of her losts (*Intensity Time Lost*), and make possible the comparison between fertility regimes:

$$ITL_a = \frac{\int_\alpha^a m_x e^\dagger_{o,a-x} dx}{\int_\alpha^a m_x e_{o,a-x} dx} \tag{5}$$

Finally, different populations could have different mean age at lost of mothers with death childs:

$$MAL_a = K_a + \int_\alpha^a f_{x,a} MAD_{a-x} \tag{6}$$

K_a is the mean age at birth for womens aged a, MAD_{a-x} refers to the mean age at death for those newborns who dies before $a-x$, TFR_a is the cumulated fertility for a women aged a, and $f_{x,a}$ is the cumulative distribution of fertility until age a.

Proofs

Absolute change

Considering that $m_{x,\delta} = m_x + \delta$, $l_{a-x} = e^{-\int_0^{a-x}(\mu_t+\delta)}$, and:

$$CS^\delta_{(a)} = \int_\alpha^a m_x l_{a-x} e^{-\delta(a-x)} dx. \tag{7}$$

To find the effects on daughter survival of adding δ to the age-specific death rates of daughters, we get the derivative of $dCS^\delta_{(a)}/d\delta$, evaluated near zero (Keyfitz & Caswell, 2005, section 4.3):

$$= -a\int_\alpha^a m_x l_{a-x} dx + \int_\alpha^a m_x l_{a-x} x dx. \tag{8}$$

Since $CS_a = \int_\alpha^a m_x l_{a-x} dx$, we can rewrite equation (8) as

$$\frac{dCS^\delta}{d\delta} = -aCS_a + \int_\alpha^a x m_x l_{a-x} dx. \tag{9}$$

Dividing both sides by CS_a and multiplying by $d\delta$, we get

$$\frac{dCS_a}{CS_a} = -(a - \overline{x}_{(CS)})d\delta \tag{10}$$

where $\overline{x}_{(CS)}$ is the mean age of women at the birth of their surviving daughters in a stationary population.

Relative change

Considering that $m_{x,\delta} = m_x(1+\delta)$, $l_{a-x,\delta} = e^{-\int_0^{a-x} \mu_t(1+\delta)dt} = l_{a-x}^{(1+\delta)}$, and:

$$CS_a^{\delta} = \int_{\alpha}^{a} m_x l_{a-x}^{(1+\delta)} dx. \tag{11}$$

Using that the derivative of $dCS^{\delta}_{(a)}/d\delta = log(l_{a-x})l_{a-x}^{(1+\delta)}$, evaluating δ near zero we get the final expression:

$$\frac{dCS_a}{CS_a} = \frac{\int_{\alpha}^{a} m_x l_{a-x} \log(l_{a-x}) dx}{\int_{\alpha}^{a} m_x l_{a-x}} d\delta \tag{12}$$

Using that $C_{CS_a}(x) = \frac{m_x l_{a-x}}{CS_a}$ is a weighting function and making an analogy with the classical entropy fucntion H where the weights are just the survival function, we can define this inforamtion function $H_{CS_a} = \int_{\alpha}^{a} C_{CS_a} H(x)$, and get:

$$\frac{dCS_a}{CS_a} = -H_{CS_a} d\delta. \tag{13}$$

Mean Age at Lost

Start with the integral of the age $x+t$ at each death child weighted by fertility and survival function

$$\begin{aligned}
MAL_a &= \frac{\int_{\alpha}^{a} m_x \int_0^{a-x} \frac{d_t(x+t)dt}{\int_0^{a-x} d_t dt}}{\int_{\alpha}^{a} m_x} \\
MAL_a &= \frac{\int_{\alpha}^{a} m_x x}{TFR_a} + \frac{\int_{\alpha}^{a} m_x MAD_{a-x}}{TFR_a} \\
MAL_a &= K_a + \frac{\int_{\alpha}^{a} m_x MAD_{a-x}}{TFR_a} \\
MAL_a &= K_a + \int_{\alpha}^{a} f_{x,a} MAD_{a-x}
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

History

Equation 1 was developed in Goodman, Keyfitz, and Pullum (1974), in the "counting method" approach, being the net reproduction rate R_0 limited to a, or the (not complete) ratio between generations (multiplying and dividing by the mother generation B).

Lotka (1931) started to model the orphanhood in a theorical population, comparing the effect in different regimes. The expected number of living daughters as a stable population concept was mentioned in Goodman, Keyfitz, and Pullum (1974), extending this idea to the rest of the kinship, in various genealogical directions. The formal effect of different kind of changes in mortality by age was well described in Wrycza and Baudisch (2012).

Applications

The proposed indexes will be applied to two very different regimes. The changes of mortality will be done computaionally to evaluate which component is more important in the raltive case

Bibliography

Goodman, Leo A, Nathan Keyfitz, and Thomas W Pullum. 1974. "Family Formation and the Frequency of Various Kinship Relationships." *Theoretical Population Biology* 5 (1). Elsevier: 1–27.

Keyfitz, Nathan, and Hal Caswell. 2005. *Applied Mathematical Demography*. Vol. 47. Springer.

Lotka, Alfred J. 1931. "Orphanhood in Relation to Demographic Factors." *Metron* 9 (2): 37–109.

Wrycza, Tomasz, and Annette Baudisch. 2012. "How Life Expectancy Varies with Perturbations in Age-Specific Mortality." *Demographic Research* 27: 365–76.