CSCI 4401

Dr. James Wagner

Ivan Zelenkov

30 October 2022

Observations

PartA.1

```
| Secretarion |
```

PartA.2

```
| Section | Sect
```

PartB.3

```
| Section | Park | Park | Section | Park
```

PartB.4

```
| Comparison of the Control of the C
```

Was there a difference in your runtimes between Part B.3 and Part B.4? Why or why not?

The runtime of the single-threaded version (PartB.3) was 165.297 seconds, and the multithreaded version using 14 threads (PartB.4) was 15.194 seconds. From this data, I can infer that the multithreaded version is 10.879 times faster than the single-threaded version.

The implementations are different and as we can see the second multi-threaded version (PartB.4) is much faster because I used an Executor with a thread pool. There was no good result when I was using Thread class (PartB.3) because it did not give me the speed I wanted and was very cumbersome as the opposite of using Executor and implementing the Callable interface.

Creating a Thread object, starting threads one by one where each of them will call the run() method is not a good way to work with multithreading in Java nowadays. Instead, Oracle simplified things for us by providing an Executor that can process tasks asynchronously without having to deal with threads directly.

I was able to test the new multithreaded version with any number of threads. All I had to do is change an argument when calling the newFixedThreadPool method of class Executors.

Number of Threads	Execution Time (sec)	Comparison with the previous implementation of the single-threaded version (165.297 sec) (n times faster)
1	60. 065	2. 750
2	34. 474	4. 794
3	36. 011	4. 590
4	36. 902	4. 479
5	40. 185	4. 113
6	53. 091	3. 113
7	44. 779	3. 691
8	40. 603	4. 071
9	55. 315	2. 988
10	59. 663	2. 770

11	17. 664	9. 357
12	24. 649	6. 706
13	22. 566	7. 325
14	15. 194	10. 879

Based on the table above, we can see that a multithreaded program with 14 threads runs in 15.194 seconds, which is the fastest execution time I got. If we run this program with only 1 thread, then it will give us 60.065 seconds, but it is still much faster than the single-threaded version with a different implementation by 2.75 times.

Was there a difference in your output between Part B.3 and Part B.4? Why or why not?

When I was working with multithreaded version that was using Thread class, I had a different output comparing to the single-threaded version. Single-threaded program returns result about files as they are stored and processed in alphabetical order (sequentially). The output of the first multi-threaded version was different because it was returning data about each file when some thread finished reading it first.

The implementation that uses Executor returns the output the same as a single-threaded program. The tasks are added to the list of callable tasks where each of them represents an object of CallableTask class. That class implements a Callable interface where I implemented a required call() method that will be called when the task is submitted by the executor.

```
callableTasks.add(new CallableTask(f.getAbsolutePath()));
After that, they are submitted to the result list in the same order,
```

```
result.add(executorService.submit(callableTask));
```

processed asynchronously and returned to result list as Future objects that contain the result of computations. All that we have left to do is to iterate through Future objects as they are stored in a result list and output the result of their computations to the user's screen.

In conclusion, it was very exciting to look at that problem from different sides and explore ways to solve it. As was observed both multithreaded programs are faster than single-threaded programs. But the traditional multithreaded approach has a lot of redundant code which causes more blocks of code to iterate and execute while using an Executor we can get data from

14 files in 15.194 seconds, and we can assume that on average the multithreaded program spends about 1.085 seconds per file.

CarAds.csv: 'other' was found 1964289 times.

Traffic Violations.csv: 'district' was found 1253020 times.

MoviePlots.csv: 'their' was found 52952 times.

Oscar2019Tweets.csv: 'profile' was found 1646901 times.

ConsumerComplaints.csv: 'closed' was found 487805 times.

FakeNews.csv: 'trump' was found 89279 times.

IMDB.csv: 'https' was found 120971 times.

Resume.csv: 'class' was found 320978 times.

NYC Restaurants.csv: 'inspection' was found 739947 times.

Hotel Reviews.csv: 'united' was found 553549 times.

SMS Spam.txt: 'there' was found 233 times.

WineReviews.csv: 'valley' was found 77460 times.

TimeUse.csv: 'related' was found 82 times.

UFOReports.txt: 'minutes' was found 7785 times.