

# C477: Computational Optimisation

## Tutorial 3: 1D Optimisation

**Exercise 1.** Let  $f(x) = x^2 + 4 \cos(x)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . We wish to find the minimiser  $x^*$  of  $f$  over the interval  $[1, 2]$ .

- (a) Use the Golden Section method to locate  $x^*$  to within an uncertainty of 0.2. Display all intermediate steps using a table

Iteration $k$	$a_k$	$b_k$	$f(a_k)$	$f(b_k)$	New uncertainty interval
1	?	?	?	?	$[?, ?]$
2	?	?	?	?	$[?, ?]$
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$

- (b) Repeat part (a) using the same number of iterations but using the Newton method with  $x_0 = 1$

---

**Exercise 2.** Consider the problem of finding the zero of  $g(x) = (e^x - 1)/(e^x + 1)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  (not that 0 is the unique zero of  $x$ ).

- (a) Write down the algorithm for Newton's method to find a zero of this function. Simplify your calculations using the identity  $\sinh(x) = (e^x - e^{-x})/2$ .
- (b) Find an initial condition  $x_0$  such that the algorithm cycles. You need not explicitly calculate the initial condition; it suffices to provide an equation that the initial condition must satisfy.
- (c) For what values of the initial condition does the algorithm converge?

---

**Exercise 3.** Consider the function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ :

$$f(x) = x^{4/3}$$

The minimiser  $x = 0$  gives a global minimum value of  $f(x = 0) = 0$ . Suppose we initialise 1-dimensional Newton's algorithm at a point other than the global solution. What happens? Please justify your argument.

---

**Exercise 4** (Exam Question, Spring 2016). Consider the function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ :

$$f(x) = x^3 - 3x^2 - 24x.$$

- (a) Use the first-order necessary condition and the second-order sufficient condition to find the local minima and local maxima of  $f(x)$ .
- (b) Use the first- and second-derivatives to show that  $f(x)$  has neither a global maximum nor a global minimum.

Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuously differentiable function, i.e.,  $f \in \mathcal{C}^1$ . Suppose that  $\mathbf{x}^*$  is a local minimum of  $f$  along every line that passes through  $\mathbf{x}^*$ , i.e., the function:

$$g(\alpha) = f(\mathbf{x}^* + \alpha \mathbf{d})$$

is minimized at  $\alpha = 0$  for all  $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

- (a) Show that  $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) = 0$ .
- (b) Is  $\mathbf{x}^*$  a local minimum of  $f$ ? Justify your answer.
- (c) Consider the function:

$$f(x_1, x_2) = (x_2 - x_1^2)(x_2 - 2x_1^2).$$

Show that the point  $(0, 0)$  is a local minimum of  $f$  along every line that passes through  $(0, 0)$ .

*Hint:* Consider a line that goes through  $(0, 0)$ , namely  $x_2 = \gamma x_1$  where  $\gamma$  is a scalar. Calculate the function values of  $f(x_1, \gamma x_1)$ .

(d) Show that the point  $(0, 0)$  is not a local minimum of  $f$ .

*Hint:* Consider the values of  $f$  for  $x_1 = y$  and  $x_2 = my^2$  and  $m \in \mathbb{R}$ .

---

**Exercise 5** (Lipschitz Continuity). Consider the function  $f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} + 2\mathbf{b}^\top \mathbf{x} + c$ .

(a) Is the gradient  $\nabla f(\mathbf{x})$  Lipschitz continuous?

(b) If yes, what is a Lipschitz constant  $L$  of  $\nabla f(\mathbf{x})$ ?