## **Probability and Stochastic Processes**

#### **Exam 2017 solutions**

B—bookwork, E—new example, T—new theory

1.

a) We denote by students did well

A: exactly one Ace, and KK: exactly two Kings

We want to calculate:  $P(A | KK) = \frac{P(A \cap KK)}{P(KK)}$ ,

where 
$$P(A \cap KK) = \frac{\binom{4}{1}\binom{4}{2}\binom{44}{10}}{\binom{52}{13}} \approx 0.094$$
, [3B]

and 
$$P(KK) = \frac{\binom{4}{2}\binom{48}{11}}{\binom{52}{13}} \approx 0.214$$
. [3B]

So,  $P(A|KK) \approx 0.44$ 

#### In general, students did well

b) Recall the definition of the characteristic function

$$\Phi_{X}(\omega) = E\left(e^{jX\omega}\right) = E\left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(j\omega X)^{k}}{k!}\right] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} j^{k} \frac{E(X^{k})}{k!} \omega^{k}$$

$$= 1 + jE(X)\omega + j^{2} \frac{E(X^{2})}{2!} \omega^{2} + \dots + j^{k} \frac{E(X^{k})}{k!} \omega^{k} + \dots$$
[2E]

[2B]

It is readily verified that

$$E(X^{k}) = \frac{1}{j^{k}} \frac{\partial^{k} \Phi_{X}(\omega)}{\partial \omega^{k}} \bigg|_{\omega=0}, \quad k \geq 1.$$

In particular, [2E]

$$E(X^{4}) = \frac{\partial^{4} \Phi_{X}(\omega)}{\partial \omega^{4}}\bigg|_{\omega=0}$$

Now, let's go step by step.

$$\frac{\partial \Phi_{X}(\omega)}{\partial \omega} = -\sigma^{2} \omega e^{-\sigma^{2} \omega^{2}/2}$$
 [1E]

$$\frac{\partial^2 \Phi_X(\omega)}{\partial \omega^2} = -\sigma^2 e^{-\sigma^2 \omega^2/2} + \sigma^4 \omega^2 e^{-\sigma^2 \omega^2/2}$$
 [1E]

$$\frac{\partial^{3} \Phi_{X}(\omega)}{\partial \omega^{3}} = \sigma^{4} \omega e^{-\sigma^{2} \omega^{2}/2} + 2\sigma^{4} \omega e^{-\sigma^{2} \omega^{2}/2} - \sigma^{6} \omega^{3} e^{-\sigma^{2} \omega^{2}/2}$$
 [1E]

$$=3\sigma^{4}\omega e^{-\sigma^{2}\omega^{2}/2}-\sigma^{6}\omega^{3}e^{-\sigma^{2}\omega^{2}/2}$$

$$\frac{\partial^4 \Phi_X(\omega)}{\partial \omega^4} = 3\sigma^4 e^{-\sigma^2 \omega^2/2} - 3\sigma^6 \omega^2 e^{-\sigma^2 \omega^2/2} + \text{terms containing } \omega$$
 [1E]

We only care the first term, since all others = 0 if  $\omega$  = 0. Thus

$$E(X^{4}) = \frac{\partial^{4} \Phi_{X}(\omega)}{\partial \omega^{4}} \bigg|_{\omega=0} = 3 \sigma^{4}$$
 [2E]

c) The derivation is not unique. One approach is the following:

$$\Phi_{Y}(\omega) = E\{e^{j\omega X_{1}X_{2}}\} = E\{E\{e^{j\omega X_{1}X_{2}}|X_{2}\}\}$$
[1T]

Note that the inner expection is

$$\Phi_{X_1}(\omega X_2) = e^{-\sigma^2 \omega^2 X_2^2/2}$$
 [1T]

Now we average over  $X_2$  to obtain

$$\Phi_Y(\omega) = E\left\{e^{-\frac{\sigma^2\omega^2 X_2^2}{2}}\right\} = \int e^{-\frac{\sigma^2\omega^2 x_2^2}{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{x_2^2}{2\sigma^2}} dx_2$$
 [1T]

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \int e^{-\frac{(1+\sigma^4\omega^2)x_2^2}{2\sigma^2}} dx_2$$

$$=\frac{\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{1+\sigma^4\omega^2}}}{\sigma}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{1+\sigma^4\omega^2}}}\int e^{-\frac{(1+\sigma^4\omega^2)x_2^2}{2\sigma^2}}dx_2$$
[1T]

Notice that the integrand is a density, we have

$$=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\sigma^4\omega^2}}$$

This is the tricky part; very few students did it right. Derivation is not unique; students got marks as long as the approach is valid.

## 2. Students did well.

a) The joint distribution of the samples is given by

$$f_X(x_1, ..., x_n; \lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\lambda} e^{-x_i/\lambda} = \frac{1}{\lambda^n} e^{-\sum x_i/\lambda}$$

Then the log-likelihood function is

[3E]

$$\ln f_X(x_1, \dots, x_n; \lambda) = -n \ln \lambda - \sum x_i / \lambda$$

Now take the derivatives:

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \ln & f_X(x_1, \dots, x_n; \lambda) = -\frac{n}{\lambda} + \frac{\sum x_i}{\lambda^2} \\ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \lambda^2} \ln & f_X(x_1, \dots, x_n; \lambda) = \frac{n}{\lambda^2} - 2 \frac{\sum x_i}{\lambda^3} \end{split}$$
 [3E]

Then the Fisher information is given by

$$I = -E\left[\frac{n}{\lambda^2} - 2\frac{\sum x_i}{\lambda^3}\right] = -\frac{n}{\lambda^2} + \frac{2n\lambda}{\lambda^3} = \frac{n}{\lambda^2}$$
 [2E]

So the Cramer-Rao bound is

$$Var[\hat{\lambda}] = \frac{1}{I(\lambda)} = \frac{\lambda^2}{n} = \frac{100}{100} = 1$$
 [2E]

b) i) Since  $X_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  are independent Bernoulli variables,  $X_i = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  is Binomial with

$$X \sim B\left(n, \frac{1}{2}\right)$$
 [1B]

$$E\left(X\right) = E\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}\right) = nE\left(X_{1}\right) = n/2$$
 [2B]

$$\operatorname{Var}(X) = \operatorname{Var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}\right)$$

$$= n \operatorname{Var}(X_1)$$
 (Independence) [2B]

= n / 4

Part i) is easy

#### Students did less well in Part ii). Some didn't use Chebyshev inequality, which lost mark.

ii) If we note that

$$\left\{ \left| X - \frac{n}{2} \right| \ge \frac{n}{8} \right\} = \left\{ X \ge \frac{5n}{8} \right\} \cup \left\{ X \le \frac{3n}{8} \right\}$$
 [2E]

then

$$P\left(\left|X - \frac{n}{2}\right| \ge \frac{n}{8}\right) \ge P\left(X \ge \frac{5n}{8}\right)$$
 [3E]

Thus

$$P\left(X \ge \frac{5n}{8}\right) \le P\left(\left|X - \frac{n}{2}\right| \ge \frac{n}{8}\right)$$
 [2E]

$$\leq \frac{\operatorname{var}(X)}{(n/8)^{2}} = \frac{16}{n}$$
[3E]

The answer is not unique. Due to symmetry, the following bound also holds:

$$P\left(X \ge \frac{5n}{8}\right) = \frac{1}{2}P\left(\left|X - \frac{n}{2}\right| \ge \frac{n}{8}\right)$$
$$\le \frac{1}{2}\frac{\operatorname{var}(X)}{\left(n/8\right)^2} = \frac{8}{n}$$

# 3. It's a proof problem, which requires some mathematical maturity.

a) Firstly consider the mean

$$E[X(n)] = E[e^{j(U-Vn)}] = E[e^{j(U)}]E[e^{j(-Vn)}] = 0$$
[3E]

because U is uniform and independent of V.

Secondly consider the autocorrelation function

$$R_X(m) = E[X(n+m)X(n)^*] = E[e^{j(U-V(m+n))}e^{-j(U-Vn)}]$$
 [3E]  
=  $E[e^{j(-Vm)}] = \Phi_V(-m)$ 

where  $\Phi_V$  denotes the characteristic function of V:

$$\Phi_V(-m) = E[e^{-jVm}] = \int e^{-jVm} f_V(x) dx$$
 [2E]

Hence it is wide-sense stationary.

Recall the Wiener-Khinchin relation

Power spectral density 
$$\Leftrightarrow$$
 autocorrelation function [2E]

via the Fourier transform. Since  $f_V(\omega)$  is the inverse Fourier transform of  $R_X(m)$ , it is exactly the power spectral density.

b)

The system transfer function is given by

$$H(z) = \frac{1}{1 - az^{-1}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^n z^{-n}$$
 [2B]

provided 
$$|a| < 1$$
. Thus  $h(n) = a^n$ ,  $|a| < 1$  [2B]

represents the impulse response of an AR(1) stable system.

We get the output autocorrelation sequence of an AR(1) process to be

$$R_{\gamma}(n) = \delta(n) * \{a^{-n}\} * \{a^{n}\} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a^{|n|+k} a^{k} = \frac{a^{|n|}}{1 - a^{2}}$$
[3B]

ii) Recall the Wiender-Hopf equation

$$\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{R}^{-1}\mathbf{r}$$

## Be carefully it's a 2-step ahead predictor

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha & \dots & \alpha^{n-1} \\ \alpha & 1 & \cdots & \alpha^{n-2} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \alpha^{n-1} & \cdots & \alpha & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^{n+1} \\ \alpha^n \\ \vdots \\ \alpha^2 \end{pmatrix}$$
[2E]

Whose solution is given by

$$c_n = \alpha^2, \qquad c_k = 0, \qquad 1 \le k < n$$

The MMSE predictor turns out to be a one-tap predictor

$$\hat{Y}(n+2) = \alpha^2 Y(n)$$
 [2E]

The mean-square error is

$$MSE = E[(Y(n+2) - \alpha^{2}Y(n))^{2}]$$

$$= R_{Y}(0) - 2\alpha^{2}R_{Y}(2) + \alpha^{4}R_{Y}(0) = \frac{1 - \alpha^{4}}{1 - \alpha^{2}} = 1 + \alpha^{2}$$
[2E]

a) Just use the definition of martingale. However, some students forgot.

$$E[S_{n+1}|X_1, ..., X_n] = E[\beta X_{n+1} + X_n|X_1, ..., X_n]$$
 [2E]

$$= \beta(aX_n + bX_{n-1}) + X_n = (\beta a + 1)X_n + \beta bX_{n-1}$$
 [3E]

If it's a martingale, then

$$(\beta a + 1)X_n + \beta bX_{n-1} = S_n = \beta X_n + X_{n-1}$$
 [3E]

Hence

$$\beta = \frac{1}{b} = \frac{1}{1 - a} \tag{2E}$$

Part b is quite hard. Few students worked it out.

b)

i) Of the 2n steps, suppose the chain goes upward for i steps, leftward for j steps. It returns to the origin if and only it also goes downward for i steps, rightward for j steps. Here we must have i + j = n.

Therefore,

$$P\{X_{2n} = (0,0)\} = \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{2n} \sum_{i+j=n} \frac{(2n)!}{(i!\,j!)^2}$$
 [3E]

ii) The above formula may be rewritten as

$$P\{X_{2n} = (0,0)\} = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2n} {2n \choose n} \sum_{i+j=n} \frac{(n)!}{2^n (i!j!)} \frac{(n)!}{2^n (i!j!)}$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2n} {2n \choose n} M \sum_{i+j=n} \frac{(n)!}{2^n (i!j!)}$$
(\*)

where

$$M = \max\{\sum_{i+j=n} \frac{(n)!}{2^n (i! \, j!)}\} \approx \frac{(n)!}{2^n \left(\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)!\right)^2}$$

Further, the sum in (\*) equals 1, since the summands form a probability distribution. It follows that

$$P\{X_{2n} = (0,0)\} \le \left(\frac{1}{8}\right)^n \frac{(2n)!}{n!\left(\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)!\right)^2}$$

Using Stirling's formula, we obtain

$$P\{X_{2n} = (0,0)\} \le \left(\frac{1}{8}\right)^n \frac{\sqrt{2\pi(2n)} \left(\frac{2n}{e}\right)^{2n}}{\sqrt{2\pi(n)} \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n \left(\sqrt{2\pi \left(\frac{n}{2}\right)} \left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}}\right)^2} = Cn^{-1}$$
[2T]

for some constant C.

The key point is to use Stirling's formula

Finally, we find that

Thirdly, we find that 
$$\sum_{n} P\{X_{2n} = (0,0)\} = C \sum_{n>1} n^{-1} = \infty$$
 because the sum diverges. We therefore conclude that the origin is a recurrent state.