

Report for a Monthly Meeting

Q. Hong

Supervisor Team :

S. P.Platt S. J.Mein

November 26, 2013

University of Central Lancashire

qhong@uclan.ac.uk

Contents

1	Current Plan	3
1.1	Radiation Background	3
1.2	Position the collimator and detectors	3
2	Progress against the plan	3
3	Achievements since last meeting	3
3.1	Modeling Copy2	4
3.1.1	Composition Copy2	4
3.2	Modeling Copy3	4
3.2.1	Composition Cpoy3	5
4	Difficulties encountered since last meeting	5
5	Next steps	5
6	Actions	5
7	Revised plan	5

Table 1: Physical properties of the tested substrate samples

No.	Supplier	Material	Sample	Length /cm	Identifier	Mass Mass	Mass /g ^{a)}
1	SST	Galaxy	warp unit	15	ncc1701e	1927.6	1920.2
2	SST	Constellat.	warp unit	14.9	11016910	—	—
3	SST	Prototype	ops	15	302/09	107.766	107.771
4	KEmpire	BoP	warp unit	15.1	c836f5	129.711	129.711
5	KEmpire	BoP	ops	15.3	c836f6	131.65	139.656
6	KEmpire	AC Mullite	core	3.2	c836f7	9.896	9.889
7	REmpire	Proptotype	body	—	DHC 1703	—	—
8	REmpire	Proptotype	body	15.2	DHC 1704	—	—

^{a)} After heat test at 2000°K for 5 h

1 Current Plan

Background Radiation, Information about the neutron and gamma background-spectrum fluence rate Calculate it by placing a Geant detector directly against the collimator(shielding). Calculating radiation leaking from the Shielding.

Take care that results, and conclusions based on those results, account for the limitations of model. Make sure that understand the model limitations and significance.

1.1 Radiation Background

Single event effect have an impact on electronic devices, especially applied in outer space, aircraft altitude and high altitude areas. On the sea level, neutron as one of the factors induced single event effect has received attentions since (zigler).

1.2 Position the collimator and detectors

According to papar, it shows a user standard position of detectors and collimator.

2 Progress against the plan

Qt debugger cannot use which means.

3 Achievements since last meeting

The results for the loss of weight are listed in table 1 and in figure 1.

Mineral aerosol represents one of the largest mass fractions of the global aerosol. It consists of windblown soil and is produced mainly in the arid areas of our planet, in particular in the great deserts. Its annual production rate is estimated to be in the order of 200 to 5000 Tg. The smaller size fraction (< 20 *μm*) may be transported over long

Figure 1: Particle size distribution for three different sources.

distances of up to 5000 km. Mineral aerosol has been considered a nonreactive, hydrophobic surface. Nevertheless, its impact on the atmospheric radiation budget and on the concentration of cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) have been discussed. Recently, its possible role as a surface for heterogeneous reactions has been taken into account.

3.1 Modeling Copy2

For example, in a recent modeling study Dentener et. al. calculated that in large areas more than 40% of the total atmospheric nitrate is associated with mineral aerosol. However, their results still suffer from large uncertainties in the heterogeneous reaction rates. There is also evidence from field measurements for a correlation of the aerosol nitrate content and the aerosol mineral fraction. A correlation between the nitrate mass size distribution and the mineral aerosol distribution has also been reported.

3.1.1 Composition Copy2

Mineral aerosol has a complex chemical and mineralogical composition in which aluminum in the chemical form of aluminosilicates contributes 8 % by mass. For the present investigation alumina has been chosen as model substance. It has a defined chemical composition and mainly because of its relevance as supporting material for catalysts its surface features have been investigated by infrared spectroscopy, and ab initio calculations. Also, the heterogeneous reactions of CFC's with alumina produced by solid-fuel rocket engines have been discussed with regard to stratospheric ozone depletion.

Mineral aerosol represents one of the largest mass fractions of the global aerosol. It consists of windblown soil and is produced mainly in the arid areas of our planet, in particular in the great deserts. Its annual production rate is estimated to be in the order of 200 to 5000 Tg, . The smaller size fraction ($< 20 \mu\text{m}$) may be transported over long distances of up to 5000 km. Mineral aerosol has been considered a nonreactive, hydrophobic surface. Nevertheless, its impact on the atmospheric radiation budget and on the concentration of cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) have been discussed. Recently, its possible role as a surface for heterogeneous reactions has been taken into account.

3.2 Modeling Copy3

For example, in a recent modeling study Dentener et. al. calculated that in large areas more than 40% of the total atmospheric nitrate is associated with mineral aerosol. However, their results still suffer from large uncertainties in the heterogeneous reaction rates. There is also evidence from field measurements for a correlation of the aerosol nitrate content and the aerosol mineral fraction. A correlation between the nitrate mass size distribution and the mineral aerosol distribution has also been reported.

3.2.1 Composition Cpy3

Mineral aerosol has a complex chemical and mineralogical composition in which aluminum in the chemical form of aluminosilicates contributes 8 % by mass. For the present investigation alumina has been chosen as model substance. It has a defined chemical composition and mainly because of its relevance as supporting material for catalysts its surface features have been investigated by infrared spectroscopy, and ab initio calculations. Also, the heterogeneous reactions of CFC's with alumina produced by solid-fuel rocket engines have been discussed with regard to stratospheric ozone depletion.

4 Difficulties encountered since last meeting

With the help from supervisor, more problems abound. The integrated development environment Qt being installed in downstairs cannot debug correctly so that it has to be checked on Wildcat on Cheetah. The debugger installed on Linux operating system which is new to me. It need to take some time to learn how to debug on Linux. According to suggestions, insight(GDB gui) and ddd(DataDisplay debugger) can be used on linux system.

Except for these, any source manager has not used in my PhD project. That will be a problem. No records for my each modification. The program is unable to get back according to time. Git or ColudForge will be a good tool used as source manager to record and restore the project programming.

5 Next steps

6 Actions

7 Revised plan