

# DSAIUebung-002 -- AussagenLogik

## 1 - Using Truth Tables

1 a)

To **prove the equivalence**  $P \equiv Q$  where:

- $P \equiv \neg(A \vee B)$
- $Q \equiv \neg A \wedge \neg B$

we will construct a truth table for both  $P$  &  $Q$  and compare their truth values in all cases.  
If the truth values for  $P$  &  $Q$  match in every case, then  $P \equiv Q$ .

- Build the truth table

The truth table will include columns for  $A, B, A \vee B, \neg(A \vee B), \neg A, \neg B, \neg A \wedge \neg B$ .

We need to compute the truth values for both formulas based on all possible truth assignments.

$A$	$B$	$A \vee B$	$\neg(A \vee B)$	$\neg A$	$\neg B$	$\neg A \wedge \neg B$
T	T	T	<b>F</b>	F	F	<b>F</b>
T	F	T	<b>F</b>	F	T	<b>F</b>
F	T	T	<b>F</b>	T	F	<b>F</b>
F	F	F	<b>T</b>	T	T	<b>T</b>

- Compare

- $P \equiv \neg(A \vee B)$
- $Q \equiv \neg A \wedge \neg B$

**From the truth table:**

- $P \equiv \neg(A \vee B)$  gives the column  $\neg(A \vee B)$ .
- $Q \equiv \neg A \wedge \neg B$  gives the column  $\neg A \wedge \neg B$ .
- The **truth values of  $P$  &  $Q$  match in every row** of the truth table.

- Conclusion

**Since the truth values for  $P$  &  $Q$  are identical in all cases, we conclude that:**

$$P \equiv Q$$

therefor:  $\neg(A \vee B) \equiv \neg A \wedge \neg B$ .

*This is an example of De Morgan's Law*

1 b)

To prove the equivalence  $P \equiv A$  where:

- $P \equiv A \implies \neg B$
- $Q \equiv \neg(A \wedge B)$

To show that  $P \equiv Q$ , we'll construct a truth table and compare the truth values for both  $P$  &  $Q$ .  
If the truth values match for all combinations of  $A$  &  $B$ , then  $P \equiv Q$ .

- Build the truth table

$A$	$B$	$A \wedge B$	$\neg(A \wedge B)$	$\neg B$	$A \implies \neg B$
T	T	T	F	F	F
T	F	F	T	T	T
F	T	F	T	F	T
F	F	F	T	T	T

- Compare

- $P \equiv A \implies \neg B$
- $Q \equiv \neg(A \wedge B)$

From the truth table:

- The truth values of  $P \equiv A \implies \neg B$  match the columns of  $Q \equiv \neg(A \wedge B)$  in every row.

- Conclusion

Since the truth values for  $P$  &  $Q$  are identical in all cases, we conclude that:

$$P \equiv Q$$

therefor:  $A \implies \neg B \equiv \neg(A \wedge B)$ .

This equivalence also follows from the properties of implication and De Morgan's laws.

## 2 - De Morgan's laws

Take a close look at De Morgan's laws.

De Morgan's laws are two important logical equivalences that express the negation of conjunctions and disjunctions:

In simple terms:

The **negation of "A or B"** is *equivalent* to "**not A and not B.**"

The **negation of "A and B"** is *equivalent* to "**not A or not B.**"

2 a )

### ***Negation of Disjunction:***

$$\neg(A \vee B) \equiv \neg A \wedge \neg B$$

Inspired by Diogenes' encounter with Alexander the Great, where he asked Alexander to step aside as he blocked the sun.

- $A$  = "You are a king."
- $B$  = "You have the power to control the sun."
- $\neg(A \vee B)$  = "It is not the case that you are a king or you have the power to control the sun."
- $\neg A \wedge \neg B$  = "You are not a king and you do not have the power to control the sun."

2 b )

### ***Negation of Conjunction:***

$$\neg(A \wedge B) \equiv \neg A \vee \neg B$$

Inspired by Diogenes' statement: "I am a citizen of the world."

- $A$  = "I am a citizen of Greece."
- $B$  = "I am a citizen of another country."
- $\neg(A \wedge B)$  = "It is not the case that I am a citizen of Greece and I am a citizen of another country."
- $\neg A \vee \neg B$  = "Either I am not a citizen of Greece or I am not a citizen of another country."

### 3 - From Propositions to Truth Tables

You have the following propositions:

- $A$  = It's cold.
- $B$  = It's breezy.

Use these propositions to recreate the following sentences as propositional formulas and create a truth table for each one!

- It's cold and breezy.

$A$	$B$	$A \wedge B$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	F
F	F	F

- It's cold but not breezy.

$A$	$B$	$\neg A$	$\neg B$	$\neg A \wedge \neg B$
T	T	F	F	F
T	F	F	T	F
F	T	T	F	F
F	F	T	T	T

- It's not cold and not breezy.

$A$	$B$	$\neg A$	$\neg B$	$\neg A \wedge \neg B$
T	T	F	F	F
T	F	F	T	F
F	T	T	F	F
F	F	T	T	T

- It's either cold or breezy (or both).

$A$	$B$	$A \vee B$
T	T	T
T	F	T

<b>A</b>	<b>B</b>	<b><math>A \vee B</math></b>
F	T	T
F	F	F

- It's cold or breezy, but it's not breezy when it's cold.

<b>A</b>	<b>B</b>	<b><math>A \rightarrow B</math></b>	<b><math>\neg(A \rightarrow B)</math></b>	<b><math>(A \vee B) \wedge \neg(A \rightarrow B)</math></b>
T	T	T	F	F
T	F	F	T	T
F	T	T	F	F
F	F	T	F	F

- When it's breezy, it's cold.

<b>A</b>	<b>B</b>	<b><math>\neg B</math></b>	<b><math>\neg B \vee A</math></b>
T	T	F	T
T	F	T	T
F	T	F	F
F	F	T	T

## 4. Translate Complex Sentences

- *Im Donauparkstadion trinke ich gerne einen Radler oder esse eine Bosna - aber nur wenn sie vegane Würstchen haben! Manchmal konsumiere ich auch beides.\**

Aussagenvariablen:

- **R** = Ich trinke einen Radler im Donauparkstadion.
- **B** = Ich esse eine Bosna im Donauparkstadion.
- **V** = Die Bosna hat vegane Würstchen.

Logische Formel:  $(R \vee B) \leftrightarrow V$

---

- *Mittwochs gehen wir zur Schule und lernen DSAI oder C#, aber nur, wenn es kein Feiertag ist. Wenn es aber Feiertag ist, sind wir unglücklich.*

Aussagenvariablen:

- **M** = Es ist Mittwoch.
- **S** = Wir gehen zur Schule.
- **D** = Wir lernen DSAI.
- **C** = Wir lernen C#.
- **F** = Es ist Feiertag.
- **U** = Wir sind unglücklich.

Logische Formel:  $((M \rightarrow (S \wedge (D \vee C))) \wedge (\neg F \rightarrow (S \wedge (D \vee C)))) \wedge (F \rightarrow U)$

---