

# Comparison between analyses for semi- and dileptonic channel HH production at HL-LHC

Izaak Neutelings

October 26, 2015

## 1 Cross section

For our analysis, we have been using the following cross sections for all final states at  $\sqrt{s} = 14$  TeV:

Table 1: Cross sections and branching ratios used in our analysis.

process	$\sigma$ [fb]	branching ratio without taus
<b>HH</b>	40	1
HH $\rightarrow$ bbWW $\rightarrow$ bbqqlv	2.8	0.07
HH $\rightarrow$ bbWW $\rightarrow$ bblvlv	0.4	1
<b>t<math>\bar{t}</math></b>	984 500	1
t $\bar{t}$ $\rightarrow$ bbWW $\rightarrow$ bbqqlv	295 350	0.30
t $\bar{t}$ $\rightarrow$ bbWW $\rightarrow$ bblvlv	59 070	0.06

$\sigma(\text{HH}) = 40$  fb and  $\sigma(\text{t}\bar{\text{t}}) = 984500$  fb. The analysis note for the dileptonic final state by Delaere et al. only list next leading order  $\sigma_{\text{LO}}$  with k-factor  $k_{\text{NNLO}}$  (Table 2). We calculate back to the full cross section of HH or t $\bar{t}$  production using

$$\sigma_{\text{full}} = \frac{k_{\text{NNLO}} \sigma_{\text{LO}}}{\text{BR}} \quad (1)$$

with the appropriate branching ratios BR.

Table 2: Cross sections used in the analysis note by C. Delaere et al. [1]

process	$\sigma_{\text{LO}}$ [fb]	$k_{\text{NNLO}}$	$\sigma_{\text{full}}$ [fb]
HH $\rightarrow$ bbWW $\rightarrow$ bblvlv	0.163	2.3	37.49
t $\bar{t}$ full leptonic	9030	1.85	278 425

## 2 Sample, event selections & clean-up

To reproduce the results by C. Delaere et al. [1], the event selections & clean-up as described in their analysis note are applied to our samples. These cuts and the ones we use for the semileptonic final state are compared in Table 3.

## 3 Significance & yield

Using the yield

$$N = \sigma L \quad (2)$$

with integrated luminosity  $L = 3000 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ , the Punzi significance is calculated as:

$$P = \frac{N(\text{HH})}{\sqrt{1 + N(\text{t}\bar{\text{t}})}} \quad (3)$$

Table 3: Event selection and clean-up comparison between the dileptonic and semileptonic final state.

dileptonic final state	semileptonic final state
<b>Gen level cuts on background</b>	
b-quarks: $p_T > 15$ GeV	b-quark: $p_T > 15$ GeV
leptons: $p_T > 15$ GeV, $ \eta  < 2.5$	lepton: $p_T > 15$ GeV, $ \eta  < 2.5$
$\Delta R_{ll} < 2.5$	
<b>Selection</b>	
two b-jets: $p_T > 30$ GeV, $ \eta  < 2.5$	two b-jets: $p_T > 30$ GeV, $ \eta  < 2.5$
	two non b-jets: $p_T > 30$ GeV, $ \eta  < 2.5$
two opposite charged leptons with:	one lepton with:
muons: $p_T > 20$ GeV, $ \eta  < 2.5$	muon: $p_T > 20$ GeV, $ \eta  < 2.5$
electrons: $p_T > 25$ GeV, $ \eta  < 2.5$	electron: $p_T > 25$ GeV, $ \eta  < 2.5$
MET $> 20$ GeV	MET $> 20$ GeV
<b>Clean-Up</b>	
$60 \text{ GeV} < m_{bb} < 160 \text{ GeV}$	$60 \text{ GeV} < m_{bb} < 160 \text{ GeV}$
$\Delta R_{bb} < 3.1 \text{ GeV}$	$\Delta R_{bb} < 3.1 \text{ GeV}$
$m_{ll} < 85 \text{ GeV}$	
$\Delta R_{ll} < 2$	

## 4 Results

Results are summarized in Table 4. To compare the dileptonic to the semileptonic case, the significance is scaled by  $\sqrt{7}/5$ , since the latter has a 5 (7) times higher branching ratio for signal (background).

Table 4: Comparison of the significance and yields between the semileptonic and dileptonic final state.

	dileptonic final state			semileptonic final state			
	P	N(HH)	N(t $\bar{t}$ )	P	$\sqrt{7}/5$ P	N(HH)	N(t $\bar{t}$ )
<b>Gen level</b>							
Our results	0.090	1200	177 210 000	0.282	0.090	8400	886 050 000
<b>Gen level cuts on background</b>							
Our results	0.149	1200	65 940 000	0.314	0.100	8400	713 565 555
C. Delaere, et al.	0.16	1200	50 117 000		0.022		
<b>Selection</b>							
Our results	0.028	69	6 574 000	0.067	0.022	669	98 591 205
C. Delaere, et al.	0.043	113	6 759 579				
<b>Clean-Up</b>							
Our results	0.054	55	1 096 000	0.080	0.025	519	42 335 190
C. Delaere, et al.	0.075	90	1 437 144				
<b>Neural network</b>							
C. Delaere, et al.	0.60	37	3875				

## References

- [1] C. Delaere et al. *Study of HH production with  $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ ,  $H \rightarrow WW \rightarrow l\bar{\nu}l\nu$  for an upgraded CMS detector at the HL-LHC*. CMS draft analysis note 2014/141.
- [2] *NNLO+NNLL top-quark-pair cross sections - ATLAS-CMS recommended predictions for top-quark-pair cross sections using the Top++v2.0 program (M. Czakon, A. Mitov, 2013)*. [https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/LHCPhysics/TtbarNNLO#Top\\_quark\\_pair\\_cross\\_sections\\_at](https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/LHCPhysics/TtbarNNLO#Top_quark_pair_cross_sections_at)