Math 240A Notes (Professor: Luca Spolaor)

Isabelle Mills

October 1, 2024

## Lecture 1 Notes: 9/26/2024

Given an indexed family of sets  $\{X_{\alpha}\}_{{\alpha}\in A}$ , we define its <u>Cartesian Product</u> to be:

$$\prod_{\alpha \in A} X_{\alpha} = \{ f : A \longrightarrow \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} X_{\alpha} \mid f(\alpha \in X_{\alpha}) \}$$

A projection is a function  $\pi_{\alpha}:\prod_{\alpha\in A}X_{\alpha}\longrightarrow X_{\alpha}$  satisfying that  $f\mapsto f(\alpha).$ 

If X, Y are sets, we define:

- $\operatorname{card}(X) \leq \operatorname{card}(Y)$  if there exists an injection  $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ .
- $\operatorname{card}(X) \ge \operatorname{card}(Y)$  if there exists a surjection  $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ .
- $\operatorname{card}(X) = \operatorname{card}(Y)$  if there exists a bijection  $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ .

Note that  $\operatorname{card}(X) \leq \operatorname{card}(Y) \iff \operatorname{card}(Y) \geq \operatorname{card}(X)$ . After all, given an injection in one direction, we can easily make a surjection in the other direction. Or given a surjection in one direction, we can (using A.O.C (axiom of choice)) easily make an injection in the other direction.

Also, if  $\operatorname{card}(X) \leq \operatorname{card}(Y)$  and  $\operatorname{card}(Y) \leq \operatorname{card}(X)$ , then we know that  $\operatorname{card}(Y) = \operatorname{card}(X)$ .

Proof:

We know there exists  $f:X\longrightarrow Y$  and  $g:Y\longrightarrow X$  which are both injective. Hence,  $g\circ f$  is an injection from X to  $g(Y)\subseteq X$ . By an exercise done in my math journal on page 8, we thus there exists a bijection h from X to g(Y). And letting  $g^{-1}$  be any left-inverse of g, we then have that  $g^{-1}\circ h$  is a bijection from X to Y.

We say X has the <u>cardinality of the continuum</u> if  $card(X) = card(\mathbb{R})$ .

Proposition:  $\operatorname{card}(\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})) = \operatorname{card}(\mathbb{R})$ .

Our textbook goes about proving this by constructing two functions: an injection and a surjection, from  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  based on the binary expansion of any real number. That way, we know that  $\operatorname{card}(\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})) \leq \operatorname{card}(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\operatorname{card}(\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})) \geq \operatorname{card}(\mathbb{R})$ .

Given a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $\mathbb R$  we know there exists:  $\limsup x_n = \inf_{k \ge 1} (\sup_{n \ge k} x_n)$  and  $\liminf x_n = \sup_{k \ge 1} (\inf_{n \ge k} x_n)$ .

Also, given a function  $f:\mathbb{R}\longrightarrow\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , we can define:

$$\limsup_{x \to a} f(x) = \inf_{\delta > 0} \left( \sup_{0 < |x - a| < \delta} f(x) \right).$$

If X is an arbitrary set and  $f: X \longrightarrow [0, \infty]$ , we define:

$$\sum_{x \in X} f(x) = \sup \left\{ \sum_{x \in F} f(x) \mid F \subseteq X \text{ } s.t. \text{ } F \text{ is finite} \right\}.$$

Cool Proposition from textbook (not covered in lecture):

Let 
$$A = \{x \in X \mid f(x) > 0\}$$
. If  $A$  is uncountable, then  $\sum_{x \in X} f(x) = \infty$ .

If A is countably infinite and  $g: \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow A$  is a bijection, then

$$\sum_{x \in X} f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(g(n)).$$

Proof of first statement:

$$A = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$$
 where  $A_n = \{x \in X \mid f(x) > \frac{1}{n}\}.$ 

If A is uncountable, we must have that some  $A_n$  is uncountable. But then for any finite set  $F\subseteq X$ , we have that  $\sum\limits_{x\in F}f(x)>\frac{\mathrm{card}(F)}{n}.$  So  $\sum\limits_{x\in X}f(x)$  is unbounded

A metric space  $(X, \rho)$  is a set X equipped with a distance function  $\rho: X \times X \longrightarrow [0,\infty)$ . We denote the open ball of radius r about x to be  $B(r,x) = \{y \in X \mid \rho(x,y) < r\}$ . And you remember our definitions from 140A... right?

**Proposition 0.21:** Every open set in  $\mathbb{R}$  is a countable union of disjoint open intervals. We proved this as part of a homework exercise in Math 140A.

Given a metric space  $(X, \rho)$ , an element  $x \in X$ , and sets  $F, E \subseteq X$ , we can define:

- $\rho(x, E) = \rho_E(x) = \inf \{ \rho(x, y) \mid y \in E \}.$
- $\rho(F, E) = \inf\{\rho_E(y) \mid y \in F\}.$

**Exercise:**  $q(x, E) = 0 \iff x \in \overline{E}$ .

If  $\inf \{ \rho(x,y) \mid y \in E \} = 0$ , then there exists a sequence  $\{y_n\}$  in E such that  $\rho(x,y_n) \to 0$ . This implies  $x \in \overline{E}$ . Similarly, if  $x \in \overline{E}$ , we can construct a sequence  $\{y_n\}$  such that  $\rho(x,y_n)<\frac{1}{n}$  for all n. Then:  $0\leq\inf\{\rho(x,y)\mid y\in E\}\leq\inf\{\rho(x,y_n)\mid n\in\mathbb{N}\}=0.$ 

$$0 \le \inf\{\rho(x, y) \mid y \in E\} \le \inf\{\rho(x, y_n) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\} = 0.$$

Given a subset E of a metric space  $(X, \rho)$ , we define:

$$diam(E) = \sup \{ \rho(x, y) \mid x, y \in E \}.$$

If  $\operatorname{diam}(E) < \infty$ , we say E is bounded. If  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ , E can be covered by finitely many balls of radius  $\varepsilon$ , then we say E is totally bounded.

**Exercise:** E being totally bounded implies E is bounded.

Pick 
$$\varepsilon > 0$$
 and let  $\{z_1, \ldots, z_n\}$  be the set of points such that  $E \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^n B(\varepsilon, z_n)$ .

Then given any 
$$x,y\in E$$
, we can assume that  $x\in B(\varepsilon,z_i)$  and  $y\in B(\varepsilon,z_j)$ . So,  $\rho(x,y)\leq \rho(x,z_i)+\rho(z_i,z_j)+\rho(z_j,y)<2\varepsilon+\max\{\rho(z_i,z_j)\mid 1\leq i,j\leq n\}.$ 

The converse is not generally true. For instance, if you use the discrete metric, then any set with more than one element will have a diameter of 1. But if  $0<\varepsilon<1$ , then it will be impossible to cover an infinite set with finitely many balls.

## Lecture 2 Notes: 10/1/2024

**Proposition:** Suppose E is a subset of a metric space  $(X,\rho)$ . Then the following are equivalent.