

Math 140B Lecture Notes (Professor: Brandon Seward)

Isabelle Mills

April 12, 2024

Lecture 1: 4/1/2024

Let $f : E \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ where $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$.

Since E is the domain of f , we shall also refer to it as $\text{dom}(f)$.

Fix a point $x \in E \cap E'$. Then consider the function $\frac{f(t)-f(x)}{t-x}$ for $t \in \text{dom}(f) \setminus \{x\}$ and define the derivative of f at x to be $f'(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow x} \left(\frac{f(t)-f(x)}{t-x} \right)$ provided that this limit exists. When the above limit exists, we say f is differentiable at x .

We say f is differentiable on $D \subseteq E$ if f is differentiable at every point in D , and if f is differentiable on its entire domain, then we call f differentiable.

The function $f'(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow x} \left(\frac{f(t)-f(x)}{t-x} \right)$ is called the derivative of f .

Proposition 83: If f is differentiable at x , then f is continuous at x .

Proof:

Note that $\lim_{t \rightarrow x} (f(t)) = \lim_{t \rightarrow x} \left((t-x) \frac{f(t)-f(x)}{t-x} + f(x) \right)$.

Now $\lim_{t \rightarrow x} (t-x) = 0$ and we know $\lim_{t \rightarrow x} \frac{f(t)-f(x)}{t-x} = f'(x)$ exists because f is differentiable at x . Also, obviously $\lim_{t \rightarrow x} f(x) = f(x)$.

Thus by proposition 66 (check 140A notes), we know that:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow x} \left((t-x) \frac{f(t)-f(x)}{t-x} + f(x) \right) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow x} (t-x) \lim_{t \rightarrow x} \left(\frac{f(t)-f(x)}{t-x} \right) + \lim_{t \rightarrow x} f(x) \\ &= 0 \cdot f'(x) + f(x) \\ &= f(x) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, f is continuous at x .

Notes:

1. The above proposition says that differentiability is stronger than continuity.
2. The converse of this proposition is false. For example, the function $f(x) = |x|$ is continuous at $x = 0$ but not differentiable at $x = 0$.

Proposition 84: Suppose f and g are real-valued functions with $\text{dom}(f), \text{dom}(g) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. Also suppose f and g are differentiable at x . Then $f + g$, fg , and (when $g(x) \neq 0$) $\frac{f}{g}$ are differentiable at x with:

- (A) $(f + g)'(x) = f'(x) + g'(x)$ (sum rule)
 (B) $(fg)'(x) = f'(x)g(x) + f(x)g'(x)$ (product rule)
 (C) $\left(\frac{f}{g}\right)'(x) = \frac{f'(x)g(x) - f(x)g'(x)}{(g(x))^2}$ (quotient rule)

Proof:

(A) Since both f and g are differentiable, we know that both

$$f'(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow x} \frac{f(t) - f(x)}{t - x} \text{ and } g'(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow x} \frac{g(t) - g(x)}{t - x} \text{ exist. So}$$

by proposition 66:

$$(f + g)'(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow x} \frac{f(t) + g(t) - f(x) - g(x)}{t - x} = \lim_{t \rightarrow x} \frac{f(t) - f(x)}{t - x} + \lim_{t \rightarrow x} \frac{g(t) - g(x)}{t - x}$$

This means that $(f + g)'(x) = f'(x) + g'(x)$.

(B) Note that:

$$\begin{aligned} (fg)'(x) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow x} \frac{f(t)g(t) - f(x)g(x)}{t - x} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow x} \frac{f(t)g(t) - f(x)g(t) + f(x)g(t) - f(x)g(x)}{t - x} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow x} \left(g(t) \frac{f(t) - f(x)}{t - x} + f(x) \frac{g(t) - g(x)}{t - x} \right) \end{aligned}$$

By proposition 83, $g(t) \rightarrow g(x)$ as $t \rightarrow x$. Also, since both f

and g are differentiable, we know $f'(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow x} \frac{f(t) - f(x)}{t - x}$ and

$g'(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow x} \frac{g(t) - g(x)}{t - x}$ exist. So by proposition 66:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow x} \left(g(t) \frac{f(t) - f(x)}{t - x} + f(x) \frac{g(t) - g(x)}{t - x} \right) = f'(x)g(x) + f(x)g'(x).$$

(C) Note that:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{f}{g}\right)'(x) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow x} \frac{\frac{f(t)}{g(t)} - \frac{f(x)}{g(x)}}{t - x} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow x} \left(\frac{1}{g(x)g(t)} \frac{f(t)g(x) - f(x)g(t)}{t - x} \right) \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow x} \left(\frac{1}{g(x)g(t)} \frac{f(t)g(x) - f(x)g(x) + f(x)g(x) - f(x)g(t)}{t - x} \right) \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow x} \left(\frac{1}{g(x)g(t)} \left(g(x) \frac{f(t) - f(x)}{t - x} - f(x) \frac{g(t) - g(x)}{t - x} \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Now, for the same reasons as before, we can use propositions 83 and 66 to separate the parts of the above limit to get that the above limit equals:

$$\frac{1}{(g(x))^2} (g(x)f'(x) - f(x)g'(x))$$

If $f(x) = \alpha$ where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ is constant, then trivially $f'(x) = 0$ for all x .
 Meanwhile, if $f(x) = x$, then we can trivially find that $f'(x) = 1$.

Claim 1: For all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, if $f(x) = x^n$, then $f'(x) = nx^{n-1}$.

Proof: (we proceed by induction)

Base Case:

If $n = 1$, then for $f(x) = x^1$, we have that $f'(x) = 1 \cdot x^0$.

Induction:

Now assume $n > 1$, and for $f(x) = x^{n-1}$, we have that $f'(x) = (n-1)x^{n-2}$.

For the rest of this proof, I'll abbreviate the derivative of x^n as $(x^n)'$ and the derivative of x^{n-1} as $(x^{n-1})'$. Then using product rule, we know that:

$$(x^n)' = x(x^{n-1})' + 1 \cdot x^{n-1} = x \cdot (n-1)x^{n-2} + x^{n-1} = ((n-1) + 1)x^{n-1} = nx^{n-1}$$

Claim 2: If f is differentiable at x and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, then $(\alpha f)'(x) = \alpha f'(x)$.

Proof:

By the product rule: $(\alpha f)'(x) = \alpha f' + (\alpha)'f = \alpha f' + 0 \cdot f = \alpha f'$.

These combined with proposition 84 tells us that both polynomials and rational functions are differentiable over their domains.

Proposition 85: (chain rule)

Let f and g be real-valued functions with $\text{dom}(f), \text{dom}(g) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Suppose that f is differentiable at x and that g is differentiable at $f(x)$. Then

$g \circ f$ is differentiable at x and $(g \circ f)'(x) = g'(f(x))f'(x)$.

Intuition:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow x} \left(\frac{g(f(t)) - g(f(x))}{f(t) - f(x)} \cdot \frac{f(t) - f(x)}{t - x} \right) = g'(f(x)) \cdot f'(x).$$

That said, the issue with this intuition is that we need to address the possibility that $f(t) - f(x) = 0$.

Proof:

Set $y = f(x)$ and define $v(s) = \begin{cases} \frac{g(s) - g(y)}{s - y} - g'(y) & \text{if } s \neq y \\ 0 & \text{if } s = y \end{cases}$

Note that v is continuous at y . This is because g being differentiable at $f(x) = y$ means that:

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow y} v(s) = \lim_{s \rightarrow y} \left(\frac{g(s) - g(y)}{s - y} - g'(y) \right) = g'(y) - g'(y) = 0 = v(y).$$

Also, since f is differentiable at x , we know that f is continuous at x . Therefore, $v \circ f$ is continuous at x by proposition 68. Additionally, setting $s = f(t)$, we know that $s \rightarrow y$ as $t \rightarrow x$ because f is continuous at x . Thus:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow x} v(f(t)) = \lim_{s \rightarrow y} v(s) = 0$$

Finally, note that $g(s) - g(y) = (s - y)(g'(y) + v(s))$ for all s . Thus by substituting that into our limit:

$$\begin{aligned} (g \circ f)'(x) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow x} \frac{g(f(t)) - g(f(x))}{t - x} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow x} \frac{f(t) - f(x)}{t - x} (g'(f(x)) + v(f(t))) \\ &= f'(x) (g'(f(x)) + 0) \quad (\text{by proposition 66}) \end{aligned}$$

Lecture 2: 4/3/2024

To start off lecture, here is some intuition about the behavior of derivatives. We'll formally define sine and cosine later (on page __) but for this section please take for granted that $(\sin(x))' = \cos(x)$. Additionally, please take for granted that the power rule holds for non-positive integer exponents.

$$1. \text{ Define } f(x) = \begin{cases} x \sin(\frac{1}{x}) & \text{if } x \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases}$$

When $x \neq 0$, we have by chain rule that $f'(x) = \sin(\frac{1}{x}) - \frac{1}{x} \cos(\frac{1}{x})$.

Meanwhile if $x = 0$, then $\frac{f(t) - f(0)}{t - 0} = \frac{t \sin(\frac{1}{t})}{t} = \sin(\frac{1}{t})$ when $t \neq 0$.

So $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{f(t) - f(0)}{t - 0} \right)$ does not exist, meaning f is not differentiable at x .

This shows that $\text{dom}(f')$ can be a proper subset of $\text{dom}(f)$.

$$2. \text{ Define } g(x) = \begin{cases} x^2 \sin(\frac{1}{x}) & \text{if } x \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases}$$

When $x \neq 0$, we have by chain rule that $g'(x) = 2x \sin(\frac{1}{x}) - \cos(\frac{1}{x})$.

Meanwhile when $t \neq 0$:

$$\left| \frac{g(t) - g(0)}{t - 0} \right| = \left| \frac{t^2 \sin(\frac{1}{t})}{t} \right| = |t \sin(\frac{1}{t})| \leq |t|.$$

Thus $0 = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} (-t) \leq \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{g(t) - g(0)}{t - 0} \right) \leq \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} (t) = 0$, meaning $g'(0) = 0$.

So $\text{dom}(g') = \text{dom}(g)$. That said, note that g' has a discontinuity of the second kind at 0. Therefore, this shows that the derivative of a function does not have to be continuous.

Let X be a metric space. A function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has a local maximum at $p \in X$ if $\exists \delta > 0$ s.t. $\forall x \in B_\delta(p)$, $f(x) \leq f(p)$. Similarly, f has a local minimum if $\exists \delta > 0$ s.t. $\forall x \in B_\delta(p)$, $f(x) \geq f(p)$.

Proposition 86: Let $f : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. If f has a local maximum at x and f is differentiable at x , then $f'(x) = 0$.

Proof:

Let $\delta > 0$ so that $\forall t \in B_\delta(x)$, $f(t) \leq f(x)$. Then for all $t \in (x - \delta, x)$, $\frac{f(t) - f(x)}{t - x} \geq 0$. So $f'(x) \geq 0$. Similarly for all $t \in (x, x + \delta)$, we have $\frac{f(t) - f(x)}{t - x} \leq 0$. Thus $f'(x) \leq 0$.

Hence $f'(x) = 0$.

Note that analogous reasoning can show that if f has a local minimum at x and f is differentiable at x , then $f'(x) = 0$.

Proposition 87: If $f, g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous on $[a, b]$ and differentiable on (a, b) , then there exists $x \in (a, b)$ with:

$$(f(b) - f(a))g'(x) = (g(b) - g(a))f'(x).$$

Proof:

Define $h : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $h(x) = (f(b) - f(a))g(x) - (g(b) - g(a))f(x)$. Then $h(a) = f(b)g(a) - g(b)f(a) = h(b)$.

Notice that h is continuous on $[a, b]$ and differentiable on (a, b) because of propositions 70 and 84. Since $h'(x) = (f(b) - f(a))g'(x) - (g(b) - g(a))f'(x)$, for all $x \in (a, b)$ it now suffices to show that there exists $x \in (a, b)$ with $h'(x) = 0$.

Since h is continuous on a compact set $[a, b]$, we know that h attains a maximum value and a minimum value over the interval $[a, b]$.

Case 1: If h is constant on $[a, b]$, then $h'(x) = 0$ for all $x \in (a, b)$.

Case 2: If there is $t \in (a, b)$ with $h(t) > h(a) = h(b)$, then $h(a)$ and $h(b)$ can't be the max. value that h attains on $[a, b]$. So h has a maximum at some point $x \in (a, b)$. Then by the last theorem, $h'(x) = 0$.

Case 3: If there is $t \in (a, b)$ with $h(t) < h(a) = h(b)$, then $h(a)$ and $h(b)$ can't be the min. value that h attains on $[a, b]$. So h has a minimum at some point $x \in (a, b)$. Then by the last theorem, $h'(x) = 0$.

Proposition 88: (Mean Value Theorem)

If $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous on $[a, b]$ and differentiable on (a, b) , then there is $x \in (a, b)$ with $f(b) - f(a) = (b - a)f'(x)$.

To prove this, apply the previous proposition with $g(x) = x$.

Proposition 89: Suppose $f : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable. Then:

- If $f'(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is monotone increasing.
- If $f'(x) \leq 0$ for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is monotone decreasing.
- If $f'(x) = 0$ for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is constant.

Proof:

For all $a < x_1 < x_2 < b$, we know by the mean value theorem that there exists $t \in (x_1, x_2)$ with $f(x_2) - f(x_1) = (x_2 - x_1)f'(t)$. Then since $x_2 - x_1 > 0$, the sign of $f(x_2) - f(x_1)$ depends entirely on $f'(t)$.

Lecture 3: 4/5/2024

Even though derivatives are not necessarily continuous, we can show they always satisfy the conclusion of the intermediate value theorem.

Proposition 90: Suppose $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfies that $f'(a) < \lambda < f'(b)$. Then there is $x \in (a, b)$ with $f'(x) = \lambda$.

Proof:

Define $g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by the rule $g(t) = f(t) - \lambda t$. Then g is differentiable with $g'(t) = f'(t) - \lambda$. So, it suffices to find $x \in (a, b)$ with $g'(x) = 0$.

Since g is differentiable, we know that g is continuous. Adding in the fact that $[a, b]$ is compact, we know that g achieves a minimum value. So, let $x \in [a, b]$ be such that $g(x)$ is the minimum value of g .

Now consider that $f'(a) < \lambda < f'(b) \implies g'(a) < 0 < g'(b)$. Since $g'(a) < 0$, there is some $t_1 > a$ near a such that $g(x) \leq g(t_1) < g(a)$.

Explanation:

Set $\varepsilon = |g'(a)|$. Then by the definition of limits:

$$\exists \delta > 0 \text{ s.t. } \forall t \in (a, a + \delta), \left| \frac{g(t) - g(a)}{t - a} - g'(a) \right| < \varepsilon.$$

Then because $g'(a)$ is negative, we must have that $\frac{g(t) - g(a)}{t - a} < 0$.

But as $t - a > 0$, we must have that $g(t) - g(a) < 0$.

This will be a common trick so get used to it.

Similarly, since $g'(b) > 0$, there is some $t_2 < b$ near b such that $g(x) \leq g(t_2) < g(b)$. Hence, we have shown that $x \neq a$ and $x \neq b$, meaning that $x \in (a, b)$. Then, by applying proposition 86 we know that $g'(x) = 0$.

We can prove an analogous theorem for when $f'(b) < \lambda < f'(a)$.

Corollary: If $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable, then f' has no simple discontinuities.

Proof:

Assume that $x \in [a, b)$ and $f'(x+)$ exists. Then let $\varepsilon > 0$. By the definition of $f'(x+)$:

$$\exists \delta > 0 \text{ s.t. } \forall t \in (x, x + \delta), |f'(t) - f'(x+)| < \varepsilon/2.$$

If $f'(t) = f'(x)$ for all $t \in (x, x + \delta)$, then we automatically have that $f'(x+) = f'(x)$. So assume there exists $t \in (x, x + \delta)$ such that $f'(t) \neq f'(x)$. Then by the previous proposition, there exists $s \in (x, t)$ such that $f'(s)$ is between $f'(x)$ and $f'(t)$, and that $|f'(s) - f'(x)| < \varepsilon/2$.

Finally:

$$|f'(x) - f'(x+)| \leq |f'(x) - f'(s)| + |f'(s) - f'(x+)| < \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/2 = \varepsilon.$$

So $f'(x)$ must equal $f'(x+)$. Similarly, we can show that if $x \in (a, b]$ and $f'(x-)$ exists, then $f'(x) = f'(x-)$. Thus, it is impossible for f' to have a simple discontinuity.

However, we already saw that f' can have discontinuities of the second kind.

Proposition 91: (L'Hôpital's rule)

Suppose $-\infty \leq a \leq b \leq +\infty$, that $f, g : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are differentiable, and that $\forall x \in (a, b), g'(x) \neq 0$. Then suppose that $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} \frac{f'(x)}{g'(x)} = A \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, \infty\}$.

If either:

- both $f(x) \rightarrow 0$ and $g(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow a$
- or either $g(x) \rightarrow +\infty$ or $g(x) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $x \rightarrow a$

then $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \rightarrow A$.

(A similar result holds as $x \rightarrow b$.)

Proof:

Since $A \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, \infty\}$, to show that $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = A$, it suffices to show:

1. If $A \neq +\infty$, then for every $q \in \mathbb{R}$ with $q > A$, there is $c > a$ with $\forall x \in (a, c), \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} < q$.
2. If $A \neq -\infty$, then for every $q \in \mathbb{R}$ with $q < A$, there is $c > a$ with $\forall x \in (a, c), \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} > q$.

Let's prove requirement 1. Assume $A \neq +\infty$ and fix $q \in \mathbb{R}$ with $q > A$. Next pick $r \in \mathbb{R}$ with $A < r < q$. Since $\frac{f'(x)}{g'(x)} \rightarrow A$ as $x \rightarrow a$, there is $c_1 > a$ with $\forall x \in (a, c_1)$, $\frac{f'(x)}{g'(x)} < r$.

Now consider that whenever $a < x < y < c_1$, we have by proposition 87 that there exists $t \in (x, y)$ such that:

$$(f(y) - f(x))g'(t) = (g(y) - g(x))f'(t).$$

By the hypothesis of the theorem, $g'(t)$ can't be zero. Additionally, because of the mean value theorem, if $g(y) - g(x) = 0$, then there would have to exist $s \in (x, y)$ with $g'(s) = 0$, thus contradicting the hypothesis of the theorem. So, it is safe to rearrange the above expression to get that:

$$\frac{f(y)-f(x)}{g(y)-g(x)} = \frac{f'(t)}{g'(t)} < r$$

Case 1: Assume $f(x) \rightarrow 0$ and $g(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow a$.

Then fixing any $y \in (a, c_1)$, we have that $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} \frac{f(y)-f(x)}{g(y)-g(x)} = \frac{f(y)}{g(y)} \leq r < q$.

Case 2: Assume $g(x) \rightarrow +\infty$ or $g(x) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $x \rightarrow a$.

Then fix any $y \in (a, c_1)$ and pick $c_2 \in (a, c_1)$ such that $\forall x \in (a, c_2)$, $g(x)$ and $g(x) - g(y)$ have the same sign. Then, $\forall x \in (a, c_2)$, we have that $\frac{g(x)-g(y)}{g(x)} > 0$. So:

$$\frac{f(y)-f(x)}{g(y)-g(x)} \cdot \frac{g(x)-g(y)}{g(x)} < r \cdot \frac{g(x)-g(y)}{g(x)}$$

Note that $\frac{f(y)-f(x)}{g(y)-g(x)} \cdot \frac{g(x)-g(y)}{g(x)} = \frac{f(x)-f(y)}{g(x)} = \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} - \frac{f(y)}{g(x)}$ and $\frac{g(x)-g(y)}{g(x)} = 1 - \frac{g(y)}{g(x)}$. Thus, we can rearrange terms to get that:

$$\frac{f(x)}{g(x)} < \left(1 - \frac{g(y)}{g(x)}\right) r + \frac{f(y)}{g(x)}$$

Now, $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} \left(\left(1 - \frac{g(y)}{g(x)}\right) r + \frac{f(y)}{g(x)} \right) = (1 - 0)r + 0 = r$. So, there is

$c_3 \in (a, c_2)$ such that $\forall x \in (a, c_3)$, $\left(1 - \frac{g(y)}{g(x)}\right) r + \frac{f(y)}{g(x)} < q$.

Hence, $\forall x \in (a, c_3)$, $\frac{f(x)}{g(x)} < q$.

Requirement 2 is proved in a similar fashion. ■

Let f be a real-valued function with $\text{dom}(f) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. If f' is defined and is itself differentiable, then the derivative of f' is denoted f'' and called the second derivative of f . We similarly define f''' , $f^{(4)}$, \dots , $f^{(n)}$.

Also, we shall sometimes use $f^{(0)}$ to refer to the original function f .

Lecture 4: 4/8/2024

Proposition 92: (Taylor's Theorem)

Suppose that $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, that $f^{(n-1)}$ is continuous on $[a, b]$, and that $f^{(n)}$ is defined on (a, b) . Then pick $\alpha \in [a, b]$ and define:

$$P(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{f^{(k)}(\alpha)}{k!} (t - \alpha)^k$$

Then for every $\beta \in [a, b] \setminus \{\alpha\}$, there is some x between α and β such that $f(\beta) = P(\beta) + \frac{f^{(n)}(x)}{n!} (\beta - \alpha)^n$.

Proof:

Set $M = \frac{f(\beta) - P(\beta)}{(\beta - \alpha)^n}$ so that $f(\beta) = P(\beta) + M(\beta - \alpha)^n$. Having done that, our goal is now to find an x between α and β such that $\frac{f^{(n)}(x)}{n!} = M$.

Define $g(t) = f(t) - P(t) - M(t - \alpha)^n$. Then, since P is a polynomial of degree $n - 1$, we have that $P^{(n)}(t) = 0$ for all t . So:

$$g^{(n)}(t) = f^{(n)}(t) - Mn!$$

Thus, it suffices to find an x between α and β such that $g^{(n)}(x) = 0$.

Importantly, P is the unique polynomial of degree $n - 1$ satisfying for all $0 \leq k \leq n - 1$ that $P^{(k)}(\alpha) = f^{(k)}(\alpha)$. Thus, for all $0 \leq k \leq n - 1$, we have that:

$$g^{(k)}(\alpha) = f^{(k)}(\alpha) - P^{(k)}(\alpha) - M \frac{n!}{(n-k)!} (\alpha - \alpha)^{n-k} = 0.$$

At the same time, for all $0 \leq k \leq n - 1$, we know that $g^{(k)}$ is continuous on $[\alpha, \beta]$ and differentiable on (α, β) . So, we shall proceed by repeatedly applying the mean value theorem.

- $g(\beta) = 0$ and $g(\alpha) = 0$. So, there is x_1 between α and β with $g'(x_1) = 0$.
- $g'(x_1) = 0$ and $g'(\alpha) = 0$. So, there is x_2 between α and x_1 with $g''(x_2) = 0$.

⋮

Eventually, you will get an x_n between α and x_{n-1} with $g^{(n)}(x_n) = 0$. ■

Note that this can be interpreted as a higher order analog of the mean value theorem. In fact, if $n = 1$ then this is just the mean value theorem.

The limit definition of the derivative still makes sense and can be applied to situations where f is a \mathbb{C} -valued or \mathbb{R}^k -valued function. Although, because this class is called "real" analysis, we shall always require that $\text{dom}(f) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$.
(We will talk in 140C about when $\text{dom}(f) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$)

If f is a \mathbb{C} -valued function, then we can write that $f = f_1 + if_2$ where f_1 and f_2 are real-valued. Then, f is differentiable if and only if f_1 and f_2 are differentiable. Also, $f'(x) = f'_1(x) + if'_2(x)$.

Proof:

Firstly consider any sequence (x_n) such that $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ but $x_n \neq x$ for any n . Then assuming $f'(x)$ exists, we know that:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{f(x_n) - f(x)}{x_n - x} - f'(x) \right| = 0$$

Now importantly:

$$\begin{aligned} \bullet \quad 0 &\leq \left| \frac{f_1(x_n) - f_1(x)}{x_n - x} - \text{Re}(f'(x)) \right| = \left| \text{Re} \left(\frac{f(x_n) - f(x)}{x_n - x} - f'(x) \right) \right| \leq \left| \frac{f(x_n) - f(x)}{x_n - x} - f'(x) \right| \\ \bullet \quad 0 &\leq \left| \frac{f_2(x_n) - f_2(x)}{x_n - x} - \text{Im}(f'(x)) \right| = \left| \text{Im} \left(\frac{f(x_n) - f(x)}{x_n - x} - f'(x) \right) \right| \leq \left| \frac{f(x_n) - f(x)}{x_n - x} - f'(x) \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{So, } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{f_1(x_n) - f_1(x)}{x_n - x} - \text{Re}(f'(x)) \right| = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{f_2(x_n) - f_2(x)}{x_n - x} - \text{Im}(f'(x)) \right| = 0.$$

This means $f'_1(x)$ and $f'_2(x)$ exist with $f'_1(x) = \text{Re}(f'(x))$ and $f'_2(x) = \text{Im}(f'(x))$.

Meanwhile, assume that $f'_1(x)$ and $f'_2(x)$ exist. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} f'(x) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow x} \left(\frac{f_1(t) + if_2(t) - f_1(x) - if_2(x)}{t - x} \right) \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow x} \left(\frac{f_1(t) - f_1(x)}{t - x} + i \frac{f_2(t) - f_2(x)}{t - x} \right) = f'_1(x) + if'_2(x). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if \vec{f} is \mathbb{R}^k -valued, then we can write $\vec{f} = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k)$ where f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k are real-valued. Then \vec{f} is differentiable if and only if f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k are all differentiable. Also, $\vec{f}'(x) = (f'_1(x), f'_2(x), \dots, f'_k(x))$.

This follows from the fact that given any sequence (x_n) such that $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ but $x_n \neq x$ for any n , we have by proposition 34 that:

$$\left(\frac{\vec{f}(x_n) - \vec{f}(x)}{x_n - x} \right) \text{ converges if and only if } \left(\frac{f_i(x_n) - f_i(x)}{x_n - x} \right) \text{ for each } i.$$

For \mathbb{C} -valued functions, the addition, product, and quotient rules still hold.

For \mathbb{R}^k -valued functions, the addition and (dot) product rules still hold.

But, the mean value theorem and L'hôpital's rule fail in these situations.

For intuition on why this is, if f is \mathbb{R}^k or \mathbb{C} -valued, then it is possible for $|f'|$ to be arbitrarily large over some interval of the domain while having f change as little as you want. To do this, make f "spin" in \mathbb{R}^k or \mathbb{C} .

At least, we can still make the following theorem which is both similar to the mean value theorem and holds even for vector valued functions.

Proposition 93: Let $\vec{f} : [a, b] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$. Assume \vec{f} is continuous on $[a, b]$ and differentiable on (a, b) . Then there is $x \in (a, b)$ such that:

$$\|\vec{f}(b) - \vec{f}(a)\| \leq (b - a) \|\vec{f}'(x)\|$$

Proof:

Define $g : [a, b] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $g(x) = (\vec{f}(b) - \vec{f}(a)) \cdot \vec{f}(x)$. Then g is continuous on $[a, b]$ and differentiable on (a, b) . So by the mean value theorem there is $x \in (a, b)$ with $g(b) - g(a) = (b - a)g'(x)$.

Now note that:

$$\begin{aligned} |g(b) - g(a)| &= \left| (\vec{f}(b) - \vec{f}(a)) \cdot \vec{f}(b) - (\vec{f}(b) - \vec{f}(a)) \cdot \vec{f}(a) \right| \\ &= \left| (\vec{f}(b) - \vec{f}(a)) \cdot (\vec{f}(b) - \vec{f}(a)) \right| \\ &= \|\vec{f}(b) - \vec{f}(a)\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Meanwhile, we also have that:

$$|g'(x)| = \left| (\vec{f}(b) - \vec{f}(a)) \cdot \vec{f}'(x) \right| \leq \|\vec{f}(b) - \vec{f}(a)\| \|\vec{f}'(x)\|$$

Therefore, we can combine equations to get that:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\vec{f}(b) - \vec{f}(a)\|^2 &= |g(b) - g(a)| \\ &= |b - a| |g'(x)| \leq |b - a| \|\vec{f}(b) - \vec{f}(a)\| \|\vec{f}'(x)\| \end{aligned}$$

Now if $\vec{f}(b) - \vec{f}(a) = \vec{0}$, then this proposition is true trivially. So, it is safe to assume that $\|\vec{f}(b) - \vec{f}(a)\| \neq 0$. Then after canceling that, we get:

$$\|\vec{f}(b) - \vec{f}(a)\| \leq |b - a| \|\vec{f}'(x)\|$$

Lecture 5: 4/10/2024

Now we move on to integrals...

To start, we define a partition of $[a, b]$ as a finite ordered set $P = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ with $a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_{n-1} < x_n = b$.

Note that in almost any other mathematical context, a partition means something else.

Here is how we define Riemann integrals:

Firstly, given a partition P with $n + 1$ points, we write $\Delta x_i = x_i - x_{i-1}$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Now let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded function and $P = \{x_0, \dots, x_n\}$ be a partition of $[a, b]$. Then, we define for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$:

- $m_i = \inf\{f(x) \mid x_{i-1} \leq x \leq x_i\}$
- $M_i = \sup\{f(x) \mid x_{i-1} \leq x \leq x_i\}$

Next, we define the lower estimate: $L(P, f) = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \Delta x_i$.

Similarly, we define the upper estimate: $U(P, f) = \sum_{i=1}^n M_i \Delta x_i$

Finally, letting \mathcal{P} be the set of all partitions of $[a, b]$, we define:

(\mathcal{P} is not standard notation for that set. I just now made it up.)

- the lower Riemann integral as $\int_a^b f dx = \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} L(P, f)$
- the upper Riemann integral as $\overline{\int_a^b} f dx = \inf_{P \in \mathcal{P}} U(P, f)$

And if $\int_a^b f dx = \overline{\int_a^b} f dx$, then we denote the common value $\int_a^b f dx$ and call it the Riemann integral of f on $[a, b]$. Also, we call f Riemann integrable on $[a, b]$.

Some notes:

We write \mathcal{R}_a^b to refer to the set of all functions that are Riemann integrable on $[a, b]$.

Also, since f is bounded, there are m and M with $\forall x \in [a, b]$, $m \leq f(x) \leq M$. Therefore, for every partition P :

$$m(b - a) \leq L(P, f) \leq U(P, f) \leq M(b - a).$$

So, $\int_a^b f dx$ and $\overline{\int_a^b} f dx$ are always defined.

A List of How The Proposition Numbering in my Notes Lines up With Our Textbook:

| Proposition Number | Label in Textbook | Proposition Number | Label in Textbook |
|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| 83 | 5.2 | 84 | 5.3 |
| 85 | 5.5 | 86 | 5.8 |
| 87 | 5.9 | 88 | 5.10 |
| 89 | 5.11 | 90 | 5.12 |
| 91 | 5.13 | 92 | 5.15 |
| 93 | 5.19 | 94 | |
| 95 | | 96 | |
| 97 | | 98 | |
| 99 | | 100 | |
| 101 | | 102 | |
| 103 | | 104 | |

Our textbook is *Principles of Mathematical Analysis* by Walter Rudin.

Homework 1:

Exercise 5.2: Let $f : (a, b) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be differentiable with $f'(x) > 0$. Then f is strictly increasing.

For all $a < x_1 < x_2 < b$, we know by the mean value theorem that there exists $t \in (x_1, x_2)$ with $f(x_2) - f(x_1) = (x_2 - x_1)f'(t)$. Since $(x_2 - x_1)$ and $f'(t)$ are positive, we thus have that $f(x_2) - f(x_1) > 0$.

As a consequence of f being strictly increasing, we know f is injective. Thus, if we restrict the codomain of f to its image, then f is bijective, meaning there exists a function $g = f^{-1}$ such that $(g \circ f)(x) = x = (f \circ g)(x)$. Now we show that g is differentiable at $f(x)$ for all $x \in \text{dom}(f)$.

Fix $x \in \text{dom}(f)$. Then letting $\varepsilon > 0$, $x_1 = \max(a, x - \varepsilon)$, and $x_2 = \min(b, x + \varepsilon)$, define $c = \inf_{x_1 < t < x_2} f(t)$ and $d = \sup_{x_1 < t < x_2} f(t)$.

Now suppose $s \in (a, b)$ such that $s \leq x_1$. Then because f is strictly increasing, we have that $f(s) < f(t)$ for all $t \in (x_1, x_2)$. Hence, $f(s) \leq c$. Similarly, if $s \geq x_2$, then f being strictly increasing means that $f(s) > f(t)$ for all $t \in (x_1, x_2)$. That in turn would mean that $f(s) \geq d$. So, we've proven by contrapositive that:

$$f(s) \in (c, d) \implies s \in (x_1, x_2)$$

Meanwhile because x can't equal a or b we know that $x_1 < x < x_2$. So pick t_1 and t_2 such that $x_1 < t_1 < x < t_2 < x_2$. Then by the definition of supremums and infimums and because f is strictly increasing, we know that $c \leq f(t_1) < f(t_2) \leq d$. Also, because $[t_1, t_2]$ is a connected subset of $\text{dom}(f)$ and f is continuous, we know that at least the connected interval $[f(t_1), f(t_2)] \subseteq [c, d]$ is a subset of $\text{dom}(g)$. At the same time, also because f is strictly increasing, $f(t_1) < f(x) < f(t_2)$.

Therefore, set $\delta = \min(f(x) - f(t_1), f(t_2) - f(x))$. Then firstly, because $B_\delta(f(x)) \subset \text{dom}(g)$, and $f(x) \in B_\delta(f(x))'$, we know that $f(x)$ is a limit point of $\text{dom}(g)$. Secondly, for any $z \in \text{dom}(g)$, we have that:

$$z = f(s) \in B_\delta(f(x)) \subseteq (c, d) \implies g(z) = s \in (x_1, x_2) \subseteq B_\varepsilon(x).$$

Hence, $g(z) \rightarrow x$ as $z \rightarrow f(x)$.

Finally, consider the limit: $\lim_{z \rightarrow f(x)} \frac{g(z) - g(f(x))}{z - f(x)}$ which we can rewrite as $\lim_{z \rightarrow f(x)} \frac{g(z) - x}{f(g(z)) - f(x)}$.

Since $f'(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in \text{dom}(f)$, we can evaluate that $\lim_{t \rightarrow x} \frac{t - x}{f(t) - f(x)} = \frac{1}{f'(x)}$. So, given any sequence $(t_n) \subset \text{dom}(f)$ such that $t_n \rightarrow x$ and $t_n \neq x$ for any n , we have that:

$$\frac{t_n - x}{f(t_n) - f(x)} \rightarrow \frac{1}{f'(g(y))} \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Meanwhile, given any sequence $(z_n) \subset \text{dom}(g)$ such that $z_n \rightarrow f(x)$ and $z_n \neq f(x)$ for all n , because g is injective and $g(z) \rightarrow x$ as $z \rightarrow f(x)$, we know that $(g(z_n)) \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $g(z_n) \neq x$ for all n .

So for all relevant sequences (z_n) , we have that $\frac{g(z_n)-x}{f(g(z_n))-f(x)} \rightarrow \frac{1}{f'(x)}$. Hence, $g'(f(x))$ exists with:

$$g'(f(x)) = \lim_{z \rightarrow f(x)} \frac{g(z)-g(f(x))}{z-f(x)} = \lim_{z \rightarrow f(x)} \frac{g(z)-x}{f(g(z))-f(x)} = \frac{1}{f'(x)}$$

Exercise 5.4: If $C_0 + \frac{C_1}{2} + \dots + \frac{C_{n-1}}{n} + \frac{C_n}{n+1} = 0$ and $C_0, C_1, \dots, C_n \in \mathbb{R}$, then we shall prove that the equation $C_0 + C_1x + \dots + C_{n-1}x^{n-1} + C_nx^n = 0$ has at least one real root between 0 and 1.

Define the functions:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= C_0 + C_1x + \dots + C_{n-1}x^{n-1} + C_nx^n \\ F(x) &= C_0x + \frac{C_1}{2}x^2 + \dots + \frac{C_{n-1}}{n}x^n + \frac{C_n}{n+1}x^{n+1} \end{aligned}$$

Note that $F(0) = 0$ and $F(1) = C_0 + \frac{C_1}{2} + \dots + \frac{C_{n-1}}{n} + \frac{C_n}{n+1} = 0$. At the same time, F is differentiable with $F'(x) = f(x)$. Therefore, by the mean value theorem there exists $t \in (0, 1)$ such that $0 = F'(t) = f(t)$. Thus, that t is a real root between 0 and 1 for the equation $C_0 + C_1x + \dots + C_{n-1}x^{n-1} + C_nx^n = 0$.

Exercise 5.6: Suppose the following conditions on f :

- (A) f is continuous for $x \geq 0$
- (B) f' exists for $x > 0$
- (C) $f(0) = 0$
- (D) f' is monotonically increasing

Putting $g(x) = \frac{f(x)}{x}$ for $x > 0$, we shall prove that g is monotonically increasing.

Firstly, given any $x > 0$, because of conditions A and B, we can apply the mean value theorem to say that there exists $t \in (0, x)$ such that $f(x) - f(0) = xf'(t)$. Because of condition C, this then simplifies to $f(x) = xf'(t)$. So:

$$\text{for all } x > 0, \text{ there exists } 0 < t < x \text{ such that } \frac{f(x)}{x} = f'(t).$$

Meanwhile, because of condition B and the quotient rule, g is differentiable when $x > 0$ with $g'(x) = \frac{f'(x)x - f(x)}{x^2}$. So, consider any $b > a > 0$. By the mean value theorem, there exists $s \in (a, b)$ with $g(b) - g(a) = (b - a)g'(s)$. Obviously, $b - a$ is positive. Additionally, consider that:

$$g'(s) = \frac{f'(s)s - f(s)}{s^2} = \frac{1}{s} \left(f'(s) - \frac{f(s)}{s} \right).$$

Pick $t > 0$ such that $t < s$ and $\frac{f(s)}{s} = f'(t)$. Then $g'(s) = \frac{1}{s} (f'(s) - f'(t))$. But, because of condition D, we know that $f'(s) \geq f'(t)$. Hence, $g'(s) \geq 0$.

Therefore, $g(b) - g(a) \geq 0$, meaning g is monotonically increasing.

Exercise 5.8: Consider any real-valued function f which is differentiable on $[a, b]$ with f' being continuous on $[a, b]$. Then we shall prove that:

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0 \text{ s.t. } \forall x, t \in [a, b], 0 < |t - x| < \delta \implies \left| \frac{f(t) - f(x)}{t - x} - f'(x) \right| < \varepsilon$$

Because f' is continuous over a compact domain, we know that by theorem 4.19 (proposition 76), f' is uniformly continuous. Thus, let $\varepsilon > 0$ and pick $\delta > 0$ such that for all $x, y \in [a, b]$, we have that $|x - y| < \delta \implies |f'(x) - f'(y)| < \varepsilon$.

Since f is differentiable on $[a, b]$, we know by the mean value theorem that for any distinct x and t in $[a, b]$, there exists s between a and b such that:

$$\frac{f(t) - f(x)}{t - x} = f'(s).$$

Hence, $\left| \frac{f(t) - f(x)}{t - x} - f'(x) \right| = |f'(s) - f'(x)|$. And since $|s - x| < |t - x|$, we know that if $0 < |t - x| < \delta$, then $|f'(s) - f'(x)| < \varepsilon$.

An analogous theorem holds for any vector-valued function $\vec{f} : [a, b] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ that is differentiable on $[a, b]$ with \vec{f}' being continuous on $[a, b]$.

Let $\vec{f}(x) = (f_1(x), f_2(x), \dots, f_k(x))$. Since \vec{f} is differentiable on $[a, b]$ and \vec{f}' is continuous on $[a, b]$, we have for each $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ that f_i is differentiable on $[a, b]$ and f'_i is continuous on $[a, b]$.

Thus, given any $\varepsilon > 0$, we already proved that for each $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, there exists $\delta_i > 0$ such that $\forall t, x \in [a, b], |t - x| < \delta_i \implies \left| \frac{f_i(t) - f_i(x)}{t - x} - f'_i(x) \right| < \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \cdot \varepsilon$.

Then setting $\delta = \min(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_k)$, we have that if $0 < |t - x| < \delta$, then:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{\vec{f}(t) - \vec{f}(x)}{t - x} - \vec{f}'(x) \right\| &= \left(\left(\left| \frac{f_1(t) - f_1(x)}{t - x} - f'_1(x) \right| \right)^2 + \dots + \left(\left| \frac{f_k(t) - f_k(x)}{t - x} - f'_k(x) \right| \right)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &< \left(\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \cdot \varepsilon \right)^2 + \dots + \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \cdot \varepsilon \right)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \sqrt{k \left(\frac{1}{k} \cdot \varepsilon^2 \right)} = \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 5.9: Let $x_0 \in (a, b)$ and $f : (a, b) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous at x_0 . If $f'(x)$ exists for all $x \in (a, b) \setminus \{x_0\}$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow x_0} f'(t) = L$, then $f'(x_0) = L$.

Since f is continuous at x_0 and x_0 is a limit point of (a, b) , we know that $f(x_0)$ exists and that $\lim_{t \rightarrow x_0} f(t) = f(x_0)$. So, define $g(x) = f(x) - f(x_0)$. Then $g'(x) = f'(x)$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow x_0} g(t) = 0$. Additionally, define $h(x) = x - x_0$. Then $h'(x) = 1$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow x_0} h(t) = 0$.

Importantly, both g and h are differentiable everywhere on $(a, b) \setminus \{x_0\}$. Also, $h'(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \in (a, b)$. Thus, we can apply L'hôpital's rule to get that:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow x_0} \frac{f(t) - f(x_0)}{t - x_0} = \lim_{t \rightarrow x_0} \frac{g(t)}{h(t)} = \lim_{t \rightarrow x_0} \frac{g'(t)}{h'(t)} = \lim_{t \rightarrow x_0} f'(t) = L$$

Hence $f'(x_0)$ exists and equals L .

To answer what's actually asked in the book, set $a = -\infty$, $b = +\infty$, $x_0 = 0$ and $L = 3$.

Exercise 5.17: Suppose f is a real, three times differentiable function on $[-1, 1]$ such that $f(-1) = 0$, $f(0) = 0$, $f(1) = 1$, and $f'(0) = 0$. Then $f'''(x) \geq 3$ for some $x \in (-1, 1)$.

Since f is three times differentiable on $[-1, 1]$, we know that f'' is continuous on $[-1, 1]$ and that $f'''(t)$ exists for every $t \in (-1, 1)$. So define:

$$P(t) = f(0) + f'(0)t + \frac{f''(0)}{2}t^2 = \frac{f''(0)}{2}t^2$$

Then by Taylor's theorem, we know that there exists $s \in (0, 1)$ such that $f(1) = P(1) + \frac{f'''(s)}{6}1^3 = \frac{f''(0)}{2} + \frac{f'''(s)}{6}$. Similarly, we know that there exists $t \in (-1, 0)$ such that $f(-1) = \frac{f''(0)}{2} - \frac{f'''(t)}{6}$.

Thus, $\frac{f'''(s)}{6} + \frac{f'''(t)}{6} = f(1) - f(-1) = 1$, which in turn means that $f'''(s) + f'''(t) = 6$. If both $f'''(s)$ and $f'''(t)$ are less than 3, then this is impossible. So, either s or t must be greater than or equal to 3.

Exercise 5.26: Suppose f is differentiable on $[a, b]$, $f(a) = 0$, and there is a real number A such that $|f'(x)| \leq A|f(x)|$ for $x \in [a, b]$. Then $f(x) = 0$ for all $x \in [a, b]$.

To start off, note that if $A < 0$, then we automatically have that $f'(x) = f(x) = 0$ for all $x \in [a, b]$. Meanwhile, if $A = 0$, then $f'(x) = 0$ for all $x \in [a, b]$, thus forcing f to be a constant function. Then, as $f(a) = 0$, we have that $f(x) = f(a) = 0$ for all $x \in [a, b]$.

Therefore, we now assume $A > 0$ and observe the following:

Assume $\gamma \in [a, b)$ and $f(\gamma) = 0$. Then let $x_0 \in [\gamma, b]$ and set $M = \sup_{\gamma \leq x \leq x_0} |f(x)|$.

Then for any $x \in (\gamma, x_0]$, we know by the mean value theorem that there exists $t \in (\gamma, x)$ such that $f(x) - f(\gamma) = (x - \gamma)f'(t)$. Since $f(\gamma) = 0$ and $x > \gamma$, we thus know that $|f(x)| = (x - \gamma)|f'(t)|$. Hence:

$$|f(x)| = (x - \gamma)|f'(t)| \leq (x - \gamma)A|f(t)| \leq A(x - \gamma)M \leq A(x_0 - \gamma)M$$

Now importantly, since f is continuous on $[\gamma, x_0]$, and $g(x) = |x|$ is continuous on all of \mathbb{R} , we know that $(g \circ f)(x) = |f(x)|$ is continuous on $[\gamma, x_0]$. That combined with the fact that $[\gamma, x_0]$ is compact means that we can fix $x \in [\gamma, x_0]$ such that $|f(x)| = M$. Then:

- If $x = \gamma$, then $M = |f(\gamma)| = 0$.

- If $x \neq \gamma$, then $M = |f(x)| \leq A(x_0 - \gamma)M$. Crucially, if $\gamma < x_0 < \gamma + \frac{1}{A}$ then $0 < A(x_0 - \gamma) < 1$. Therefore, the only way for $M \leq A(x_0 - \gamma)M$ is if $M = 0$.

Thus, for $x_0 \in [\gamma, \gamma + \frac{1}{A}) \cap [\gamma, b]$, we have that $\sup_{\gamma \leq x \leq x_0} |f(x)| = 0$.

Or in other words, $f(x) = 0$ for all $x \in [\gamma, \gamma + \frac{1}{A}) \cap [\gamma, b]$.

Still assuming $A > 0$, we have that $0 < \frac{1}{2A} < \frac{1}{A}$. So for any $\gamma \in [a, b]$, we know that $[\gamma, \gamma + \frac{1}{2A}) \cap [\gamma, b] \subseteq [\gamma, \gamma + \frac{1}{A}) \cap [\gamma, b]$. Hence, we now proceed by the following inductive process:

Start with $\gamma_1 = a$.

Now do this until told to stop.

If $\gamma_i = b$, then stop. Otherwise, use the above reasoning to show that $f(x) = 0$ for all $x \in [\gamma_i, \min(\gamma_i + \frac{1}{2A}, b)]$. Then set

$\gamma_{i+1} = \min(\gamma_i + \frac{1}{2A}, b)$ and repeat these steps with γ_{i+1} .

This process will terminate after $\left\lceil \frac{b-a}{\frac{1}{A}} \right\rceil$ iterations, thus showing that $f(x) = 0$ for all $x \in [a, b]$.