

Math 188 Notes (Professor: Steven Sam)

Isabelle Mills

October 9, 2024

Lecture 1 Notes: 9/27/2024

Linear Recurrence Relations:

A sequence $(a_n)_{n \geq 0}$ satisfies a linear recurrence relation of order d if there exists c_1, \dots, c_d with $c_d \neq 0$ so that $a_n = c_1 a_{n-1} + c_2 a_{n-2} + \dots + c_d a_{n-d}$ for all $n \geq d$.

(For $0 \leq n < d$, we usually explicitly specify a_n . Also, it seems like we are assuming all a_n and c_n are complex numbers right now)

To start this course, we're gonna discuss finding explicit (non-recursive) solutions.

Firstly, if $d = 1$, then this problem is easy. We can just plug in previous elements repeatedly to get that:

$$a_n = c_1 a_{n-1} = c_1^2 a_{n-2} = \dots = c_1^n a_0$$

If $d = 2$, then plugging in previous elements doesn't help us really anymore. So how do we solve this problem now?

Theorem: Consider the characteristic polynomial $t^2 - c_1 t - c_2$ and let r_1, r_2 be the roots of that polynomial. If $r_1 \neq r_2$, then there exists α_1, α_2 such that $a_n = \alpha_1 r_1^n + \alpha_2 r_2^n$ for all $n \geq 0$.

To solve for α_1 and α_2 , plug in different values of n into our equation. Since $r_1 \neq r_2$, we know the below linear system has a unique solution:

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 &= \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \\ a_1 &= \alpha_1 r_1 + \alpha_2 r_2 \end{aligned}$$

Now backing up, why does the above method work?

Approach 1: (Vector Spaces)

The set of sequences $(a_n)_{n \geq 0}$ form a vector space. Furthermore given any constants c_1 and c_2 , we know that the set of sequences satisfying $a_n = c_1 a_{n-1} + c_2 a_{n-2}$ for all $n \geq 2$ is a subspace.

Proof:

Suppose (a_n) and (b_n) both satisfy that $a_n = c_1 a_{n-1} + c_2 a_{n-2}$ and $b_n = c_1 b_{n-1} + c_2 b_{n-2}$. Then given any constants γ and δ , we have that:

$$(\gamma a_n + \delta b_n) = c_1 (\gamma a_{n-1} + \delta b_{n-1}) + c_2 (\gamma a_{n-2} + \delta b_{n-2})$$

Hence, all linear combinations of any two sequences satisfying our linear recurrence relation also satisfies our linear recurrence relation.

Now what our above theorem is stating is that the sequences (r_1^n) and (r_2^n) span the subspace of solutions to our linear recurrence relation.

To see this, first note that (r_1^n) and (r_2^n) satisfy our recurrence relation.

If $n \geq 2$, then $r_i^n - c_1 r_i^{n-1} - c_2 r_i^{n-2} = r_i^{n-2} (r_i^2 - c_1 r_i - c_2) = r_i^{n-2} (0)$.

Hence, we know that $r_i^n = c_1 r_i^{n-1} + c_2 r_i^{n-2}$ for all $n \geq 2$.

Also, since we assumed $r_1 \neq r_2$, we know that (r_1^n) is linearly independent to (r_2^n) . And finally, as mentioned before, we can solve a linear system of equations to find coefficients for a linear combination of (r_1^n) and (r_2^n) equal to any other sequence satisfying our recurrence relation.

Approach 2: (Formal Power Series)

Define the power series $A(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n x^n$. We call $A(x)$ a generating function of the sequence (a_n) .

(We'll treat the formal power series more rigorously later...)

Now note that:

$$\begin{aligned} A(x) &= a_0 + a_1 x + \sum_{n \geq 2} a_n x^n \\ &= a_0 + a_1 x + \sum_{n \geq 2} (c_1 a_{n-1} + c_2 a_{n-2}) x^n \\ &= a_0 + a_1 x + c_1 \sum_{n \geq 2} a_{n-1} x^n + c_2 \sum_{n \geq 2} a_{n-2} x^n \\ &= a_0 + a_1 x + c_1 (A(x) - a_0) x + c_2 (A(x)) x^2 \end{aligned}$$

Isolating $A(x)$, we get the equation: $A(x) = \frac{a_0 + a_1 x - a_0 c_1 x}{1 - c_1 x - c_2 x^2}$.

Next, let's do fraction decomposition on our equation for $A(x)$.

Issue: We defined r_1 and r_2 as the roots of $t^2 - c_1 t - c_2 = (t - r_1)(t - r_2)$.

Trick: Plug in $t = \frac{1}{x}$. That way, we have that:

$$x^{-2} - c_1 x^{-1} - c_2 = (x^{-1} - r_1)(x^{-1} - r_2).$$

After that, multiply both sides of our equation by x^2 to get that:

$$1 - c_1 x - c_2 x^2 = (1 - r_1 x)(1 - r_2 x)$$

Since we're assuming $r_1 \neq r_2$, we know that for some constants α_1 and α_2 , we have that:

$$A(x) = \frac{\alpha_1}{1 - r_1 x} + \frac{\alpha_2}{1 - r_2 x}$$

(If $r_1 = r_2$, then this step is where things will go differently.)

Now finally, we can rewrite $\frac{\alpha_1}{1 - r_1 x}$ as the geometric series $\alpha_1 \sum_{n \geq 0} (r_1 x)^n$. Doing likewise with $\frac{\alpha_2}{1 - r_2 x}$, we get that:

$$A(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n x^n = \alpha_1 \sum_{n \geq 0} (r_1 x)^n + \alpha_2 \sum_{n \geq 0} (r_2 x)^n = \sum_{n \geq 0} (\alpha_1 r_1^n + \alpha_2 r_2^n) x^n$$

Hence, we have for each n that $a_n = \alpha_1 r_1^n + \alpha_2 r_2^n$.

Lecture 2: 9/30/2024

Approach 3: (Matrices)

If $a_n = c_1 a_{n-1} + c_2 a_{n-2}$, then we can say that: $\begin{bmatrix} c_1 & c_2 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_{n-1} \\ a_{n-2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_n \\ a_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$

Letting $C = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 & c_2 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, we thus know that: $C^n \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{n+1} \\ a_n \end{bmatrix}$

Notably, the characteristic polynomial of C is $t^2 - c_1 t - c_2$. So the eigenvalues of C are r_1 and r_2 . Because we assumed r_1 and r_2 are distinct, we know C is diagonalizable. Hence there exists an invertible matrix B such that:

$$B \begin{bmatrix} r_1 & 0 \\ 0 & r_2 \end{bmatrix} B^{-1} = C$$

Now set $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = B^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_0 \end{bmatrix}$. Then we can see that:

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{n+1} \\ a_n \end{bmatrix} = C^n \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_0 \end{bmatrix} = B D^n \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = B \begin{bmatrix} r_1^n x \\ r_2^n y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_{1,1} r_1^n x + b_{1,2} r_2^n y \\ b_{2,1} r_1^n x + b_{2,2} r_2^n y \end{bmatrix}$$

Setting $\alpha_1 = b_{2,1}x$ and $\alpha_2 = b_{2,2}y$, we have thus found constants α_1 and α_2 such that $a_n = \alpha_1 r_1^n + \alpha_2 r_2^n$.

Now some further questions to ask about recurrence relations are:

1. What if $r_1 = r_2$?
2. What if $d \geq 3$?
3. What if the recurrence relation is non-homogeneous or non-linear?

To start, let's answer question 1.

Theorem: Suppose r_1 and r_2 are the roots of $t^2 - c_1 t - c_2$ with $r_1 = r_2$. Then there exists α_1, α_2 such that $a_n = \alpha_1 r_1^n + \alpha_2 n r_1^n$ for all $n \geq 0$.

As was true when $r_1 \neq r_2$, you can solve for α_1 and α_2 by plugging in different values of n into the equation in order to get a linear system of equations.

To explain why this is, let's revisit two of our previous approaches.

The Formal Power Series Approach Revisited:

Before, we were able to show that $A(x) = \frac{a_0 + (a_1 - a_0 c_1)x}{(1 - r_1 x)(1 - r_2 x)}$ without assuming anything about r_1 and r_2 .

But when we assume $r_1 = r_2$, we then get a different partial fraction decomposition for $A(x)$. Specifically, we have that there exists constants β_1, β_2 such that:

$$A(x) = \frac{\beta_1}{1 - r_1 x} + \frac{\beta_2}{(1 - r_1 x)^2}$$

Now we'll go into more rigor later. But for now, note that:

$$\frac{1}{(1-y)^2} = \left(\frac{1}{1-y}\right)' = \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} y^n\right)' = \sum_{n \geq 1} n y^{n-1} = \sum_{n \geq 0} (n+1) y^n$$

Comment from the future: as we'll cover two lectures from now, the definition of a derivative of a formal power series is different from the analysis definition we're familiar with.

Hence, we can write $A(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n x^n = (\beta_1 + \beta_2) \sum_{n \geq 0} r_1^n x^n + \beta_2 \sum_{n \geq 0} n r_1^n x^n$.

Or in other words, setting $\alpha_1 = \beta_1 + \beta_2$ and $\alpha_2 = \beta_2$, we have that:

$$a_n = \alpha_1 r_1^n + \alpha_2 n r_1^n$$

The Matrix Approach Revisited:

If $r_1 = r_2$, then we must have that the matrix C is not diagonalizable. For suppose it was, meaning there exists an invertible matrix B such that:

$$C = B \begin{bmatrix} r_1 & 0 \\ 0 & r_1 \end{bmatrix} B^{-1}$$

Then we'd have to have that $C = r_1 B B^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} r_1 & 0 \\ 0 & r_1 \end{bmatrix}$. But we know C isn't that.

Since we know C is not diagonalizable, we will instead use the *Jordan-normal form* of C . Specifically, we know there exists an invertible matrix B such that:

$$C = B \begin{bmatrix} r_1 & 1 \\ 0 & r_1 \end{bmatrix} B^{-1}$$

Don't worry for the time being about how to prove the Jordan-normal form of a matrix always exists.

This tells us that $C^n = B \begin{bmatrix} r_1 & 1 \\ 0 & r_1 \end{bmatrix}^n B^{-1}$.

Also, you can show by induction that $\begin{bmatrix} r_1 & 1 \\ 0 & r_1 \end{bmatrix}^n = \begin{bmatrix} r_1^n & n r_1^{n-1} \\ 0 & r_1^n \end{bmatrix}$.

So finally, defining $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}$ as before and expanding out the expression, you can get an explicit equation for a_n .

As for answering question 2, if $d \geq 3$, then our characteristic polynomial becomes $t^d - c_1 t^{d-1} - \dots - c_d$. We'll assume this polynomial has distinct roots r_1, \dots, r_m with multiplicities s_1, \dots, s_m respectively.

Theorem: There exists constants $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d$ such that:

$$a_n = \sum_{i=1}^{s_1} \alpha_i n^{i-1} r_1^n + \dots + \sum_{i=s_1+\dots+s_{m-1}+1}^{s_1+\dots+s_m} \alpha_i n^{i-1} r_m^n$$

As before, to solve for α_1 through α_d , you can plug in values of n and solve a linear system of equations.

The approaches to prove this are the same as when $d = 2$. However, there are just more terms floating around that need to be dealt with.

Special case: suppose the characteristic polynomial is $(t - 1)^d$.

In that case, because the root of the polynomial r is 1, there exists $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d$ such that

$$a_n = \alpha_1 + n\alpha_2 + n^2\alpha_3 + \dots + n^{d-1}\alpha_d.$$

In other words, the formula for a_n is a polynomial in n .

Another perspective on the characteristic polynomial:

Let V be the vector space of sequences $(a_n)_{n \geq 0}$, and define the translation operator $T : V \rightarrow V$ such that $(a_n)_{n \geq 0} \mapsto (a_{n+1})_{n \geq 0}$. Now, given $\mathbf{a} \in V$ and the recurrence relation $a_n = c_1 a_{n-1} + \dots + c_d a_{n-d}$ for all $n \geq d$, we have that \mathbf{a} satisfies our recurrence relation if and only if:

$$T^d \mathbf{a} = c_1 T^{d-1} \mathbf{a} + c_2 T^{d-2} \mathbf{a} + \dots + c_d \mathbf{a}$$

In other words, we must have that $\mathbf{a} \in \ker(T^d - c_1 T^{d-1} - \dots - c_d)$.

If r_1, \dots, r_d are the roots of the characteristic polynomial $t^d - c_1 t^{d-1} - \dots - c_d$, then we can rewrite this as:

$$(T - r_1) \cdots (T - r_d) \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{0}$$

Proposition: Given a sequence $\mathbf{a} = (a_n)_{n \geq 0}$, there exists a polynomial $p(n)$ of degree at most $d - 1$ such that $a_n = p(n)$ if and only if $(T - 1)^d \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{0}$.

We already saw in the special case above one direction of this statement. As for the other direction, suppose $p(n) = \alpha_d n^{d-1} + \alpha_{d-1} n^{d-2} + \dots + \alpha_1$. Then $(T - 1)$ applied to the sequence $(p(n))_{n \geq 0}$ is the sequence $(p(n+1) - p(n))_{n \geq 0}$. Importantly, $p(n+1)$ is also a polynomial of degree $d - 1$ with α_d as the coefficient in front of n^{d-1} . So the difference is a polynomial of degree at most $d - 2$.

Proceeding by induction, we know that $(T - 1)^d(p(n))_{n \geq 0} = \mathbf{0}$.

Note that the operator $(T - 1)$ can be thought of as the taking the "derivative" of a sequence a . Going by that analogy, the previous proposition is saying that a sequence a is given by a polynomial if and only if a derivative of some order of the sequence is zero. Interestingly, the same is true of differential equations.

Lecture 3: 10/2/2024

To quickly address question 3, in general there is no unified approach to dealing with nonlinear recurrence relations. However, we can often solve non-homogeneous linear recurrence relations.

This will be addressed by the homework (see HW 1: Exercise (2)).

Formal Power Series:

A formal power series in the variable x is an expression of the form $A(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n x^n$ where a_n is a sequence of elements of a field.

Technically, we can go more general to a commutative ring (but we won't).

We call $A(x)$ the generating function of $(a_n)_{n \geq 0}$.

If $A(x)$ and $B(x)$ are the generating functions of $(a_n)_{n \geq 0}$ and $(b_n)_{n \geq 0}$ respectively, then:

- $A(x) = B(x)$ iff $a_n = b_n$ for all n .
- $A(x) + B(x) := \sum_{n \geq 0} (a_n + b_n) x^n$.
- $A(x)B(x) := \sum_{n \geq 0} c_n x^n$ where $c_n = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i b_{n-i}$.

(in other words this is the Cauchy Product of $A(x)$ and $B(x)$)

Note that polynomials and constants are special cases of formal power series with the sequence generating that function being eventually zero.

Also, sums and products of formal power series satisfy the commutative, associative, and distributive properties of a field.

The only one of those properties that's non-trivial to show is the associativity of products. One way that you can prove this property is to show that :

$$\sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^i a_j b_{i-j} c_{n-i} = \sum_{(p,q,r) \in I} a_p b_q c_r = \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^i a_{n-i} b_j c_{i-j},$$

where $I = \{(p, q, r) \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \mid p + q + r = n \text{ and } p, q, r \geq 0\}$.

Plus, letting $0 + 0x + \dots$ be the additive identity, then given any formal power series $A(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n x^n$, we have that:

$$-A(x) = (-1 + 0x + \dots) \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n x^n = \sum_{n \geq 0} (-a_n) x^n \text{ is the additive inverse of } A(x).$$

Finally, we clearly have that $1 + 0x + 0x^2 + \dots$ is a multiplicative identity of the set of formal power series.

Therefore, to make this perfectly clear, the set of formal power series is not actually a set of functions. Rather, given a field (or commutative ring) F , the set of formal power series with coefficients in that ring is a commutative ring on the set F^ω of infinite sequences of elements of F using the $+$ and \cdot operations defined above.

In other words, it doesn't make sense to plug values of x into formal power series. Rather, the power series notation is just to make it clearer where the definitions of different operations are coming from.

Also, since the set of formal power series on a commutative ring is itself a commutative ring, you can define the set of formal power series on the set of formal power series on that commutative ring. Spoiler: this is a way to define multivariate formal power series.

A formal power series $A(x)$ is invertible if there exists a formal power series $B(x)$ such that $A(x)B(x) = 1$. We write $B(x) = A(x)^{-1} = \frac{1}{A(x)}$, and call $B(x)$ the inverse of $A(x)$.

Example: If $A(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} x^n$, then $A(x)$ is invertible with inverse $B(x) = 1 - x$.

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} A(x)B(x) &= (1 + x + x^2 + \dots)(1 - x) \\ &= 1 + x - x + x^2 - x^2 + x^3 - x^3 + \dots = 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{So } \sum_{n \geq 0} x^n = \frac{1}{1-x}.$$

Theorem: $A(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n x^n$ is invertible if and only if a_0 has a multiplicative inverse.

Proof:

If there exists $B(x)$ such that $A(x)B(x) = 1$, then we must have that:

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 b_0 &= 1 \\ a_0 b_1 + a_1 b_0 &= 0 \\ a_0 b_2 + a_1 b_1 + a_2 b_0 &= 0 \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

If $\frac{1}{a_0}$ exists then we can inductively solve for each b_n . Specifically, $b_0 = \frac{1}{a_0}$ and $b_n = -\frac{1}{a_0} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_{n-i}$. Then $B(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} b_n x^n$ satisfies that $A(x)B(x) = 1$.

If $\frac{1}{a_0}$ doesn't exist, then there is no choice of b_0 such that $A(x)(\sum_{n \geq 0} b_n x^n) = 1$.
So $A(x)$ has no inverse.

Lecture 4: 10/4/2024

If $A(x)$ is a power series and $n \geq 0$, then $[x^n]A(x)$ refers to the coefficient a_n in front of x^n .

Let $A_0(x), A_1(x), \dots$ be a sequence of formal power series. We say the sequence formally converges to $A(x)$ if:

$$\forall n \geq 0, \exists N \geq 0 \text{ s.t. } i \geq N \implies [x^n]A_i(x) = [x^n]A(x)$$

We also write this as $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} A_i(x) = A(x)$

Note that this definition is different from the familiar definition of convergence in 140. For instance, the sequence $A_i(x) = \frac{1}{i+1}$ doesn't formally converge.

Lemma: Suppose $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} A_i(x) = A(x)$ and $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} B_i(x) = B(x)$. Then:

- $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (A_i(x) + B_i(x)) = A(x) + B(x)$
- $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (A_i(x)B_i(x)) = A(x)B(x)$

The proof for this is rather trivial. So do it yourself. :P

Continuing to let $A_0(x), A_1(x), \dots$, be a sequence of formal power series, we define:

$$\sum_{i \geq 0} A_i(x) := \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{j=0}^i A_j(x) \right)$$

$$\prod_{i \geq 0} A_i(x) := \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \left(\prod_{j=0}^i A_j(x) \right)$$

Lemma: (This is just reapplying the previous lemma for sequences and using the commutative property...)

- If $\sum_{i \geq 0} A_i(x)$ and $\sum_{i \geq 0} B_i(x)$ exist, then:

$$\sum_{i \geq 0} (A_i(x) + B_i(x)) = \sum_{i \geq 0} A_i(x) + \sum_{i \geq 0} B_i(x).$$

- If $\prod_{i \geq 0} A_i(x)$ and $\prod_{i \geq 0} B_i(x)$ exist, then:

$$\prod_{i \geq 0} (A_i(x)B_i(x)) = \left(\prod_{i \geq 0} A_i(x) \right) \left(\prod_{i \geq 0} B_i(x) \right).$$

Given a formal power series $A(x)$, we define:

$$\text{mdeg } A(x) := \inf(\{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \cup \{0\} \mid [x^n]A(x) \neq 0\} \cup \{\infty\}).$$

Proposition: Suppose $A_0(x), A_1(x), \dots$ is a sequence of formal power series.

- $\sum_{i \geq 0} A_i(x)$ exists if and only if $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{mdeg } A_i(x) = \infty$.

Proof: (The professor skipped this because he thinks it's boring.)

(\Leftarrow)

Suppose $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \text{mdeg } A_j(x) = \infty$. Then for all $n \geq 0$, there exists $N \geq 0$ such that $\text{mdeg } A_j(x) > n$ for all $j > N$. So:

$$[x^n] \left(\sum_{j=0}^i A_j(x) \right) = [x^n] \left(\sum_{j=0}^N A_j(x) \right) \text{ for all } i > N.$$

(\Rightarrow)

Suppose that $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \text{mdeg } A_j(x)$ either doesn't exist or doesn't equal infinity if it does exist. Then we know there must exist N such that $\text{mdeg } A_j(x) < N$ for infinitely many $j \geq 0$. In turn, for some $n \in \{0, 1, \dots, N-1\}$, there must be infinitely many $j \geq 0$ such that $\text{mdeg } A_j(x) = n$. Thus, there does not exist $M \geq 0$ such that:

$$[x^n] \left(\sum_{j=0}^i A_j(x) \right) \text{ is the same for all } i \geq M.$$

- Assume each A_i has no constant term. Then $\prod_{i \geq 0} (1 + A_i(x))$ exists if and only if $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{mdeg } A_i(x) = \infty$.

Proof: (btw I'm having to figure this all out without any outside help)

Lemma: Suppose $B(x)$ and $C(x)$ are formal power series such that $[x^0]B(x) = 1$ and $\text{mdeg } C(x) = n$. Then $\text{mdeg } B(x)C(x) = n$ with $[x^n](B(x)C(x)) = [x^n](C(x))$.

Corollary 1: Given $B(x)$ and $C(x)$ defined as before, for all $0 \leq i < n$:

$$[x^i](B(x)(1 + C(x))) = [x^i](B(x) + B(x)C(x)) = [x^i](B(x)).$$

Corollary 2: $[x^0] \left(\prod_{j=0}^i (1 + A_j) \right) = 1$ for all $i \geq 0$.

(\Leftarrow)

Suppose $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \text{mdeg } A_j(x) = \infty$. Then for any $n \geq 0$, there exists $N \geq 0$ such that $\text{mdeg } A_j(x) > n$ for all $j > N$. So given any $i > N$, we can inductively show using the above lemma and corollaries that:

$$\begin{aligned}
[x^n] \left(\prod_{j=0}^i (1 + A_j(x)) \right) &= [x^n] \left(\prod_{j=0}^{i-1} (1 + A_j(x)) \right) \\
&= \dots = [x^n] \left(\prod_{j=0}^N (1 + A_j(x)) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

(\implies)

As before, we can show there must be infinitely many $i \geq 0$ such that $\text{mdeg } A_i(x) = n$ for some n . And for any such i , we have by the above lemma that:

$$\begin{aligned}
[x^n] \left(\prod_{j=0}^i (1 + A_j(x)) \right) &= [x^n] \left(\prod_{j=0}^{i-1} (1 + A_j(x)) + \left(\prod_{j=0}^{i-1} (1 + A_j(x)) \right) A_i(x) \right) \\
&= [x^n] \left(\prod_{j=0}^{i-1} (1 + A_j(x)) \right) + [x^n] A_i(x) \\
&\neq [x^n] \left(\prod_{j=0}^{i-1} (1 + A_j(x)) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

So there is no $N \geq 0$ such that:

$$[x^n] \left(\prod_{j=0}^i (1 + A_j(x)) \right) \text{ is the same for all } i \geq N.$$

Suppose $A(x)$ and $B(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} b_n x^n$ are formal power series such that $A(x)$ has no constant term and $B(x)$ has no constant term. Then we define their composition:

$$(B \circ A)(x) = B(A(x)) := \sum_{n \geq 0} b_n A(x)^n$$

This is well defined because $\text{mdeg } A(x) \geq 1 \implies \text{mdeg } A(x)^n \geq n$. Therefore, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n A(x)^n = \infty$, meaning we can apply the previous proposition.

Special Case: If $A(x) = 0$, then $(B \circ A)(x) = b_0$.

Proposition: If $A(x)$, $B(x)$, and $C(x)$ are power series generated by $(a_n)_{n \geq 0}$, $(b_n)_{n \geq 0}$, and $(c_n)_{n \geq 0}$ respectively such that $(B \circ A)(x)$ and $(C \circ A)(x)$ are defined, then:

- $((B + C) \circ A)(x) = (B \circ A)(x) + (C \circ A)(x)$

Proof:

By the second lemma on page 9, we know that:

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} (b_n + c_n) A(x)^n = \sum_{n \geq 0} (b_n A(x)^n + c_n A(x)^n) = \sum_{n \geq 0} b_n A(x)^n + \sum_{n \geq 0} c_n A(x)^n$$

- $((BC) \circ A)(x) = (B \circ A)(x)(C \circ A)(x)$

Proof:

By the first lemma on page 9, we know that:

$$\begin{aligned} (B \circ A)(x)(C \circ A(x)) &= \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{i=0}^n b_i A(x)^i \right) \right) \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{i=0}^n c_i A(x)^i \right) \right) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\left(\sum_{i=0}^n b_i A(x)^i \right) \left(\sum_{i=0}^n c_i A(x)^i \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Next note that given any $n \geq 0$, there exists a formal power series $R_n(x)$ with $\text{mdeg } R_n(x) > n$ such that:

$$\left(\sum_{i=0}^n b_i A(x)^i \right) \left(\sum_{i=0}^n c_i A(x)^i \right) = \sum_{i=0}^n \left(\sum_{j=0}^i b_j c_{i-j} \right) A(x)^i + R_n(x)$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{i=0}^n \left(\sum_{j=0}^i b_j c_{i-j} \right) A(x)^i \right) = ((BC) \circ A)(x)$ and

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (R_n(x)) = 0$, we can thus apply the first lemma on page 9

again to get that:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\left(\sum_{i=0}^n b_i A(x)^i \right) \left(\sum_{i=0}^n c_i A(x)^i \right) \right) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{i=0}^n \left(\sum_{j=0}^i b_j c_{i-j} \right) A(x)^i \right) + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (R_n(x)) \\ &= ((BC) \circ A)(x) + 0 \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $A(x)$ is a formal power series. We define its derivative:

$$(DA)(x) = A'(x) := \sum_{n \geq 1} n a_n x^{n-1} = \sum_{n \geq 0} (n+1) a_{n+1} x^n$$

Note that for $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $a_n \in F$, we define $n a_n$ via repeated addition.

Proposition: The following rules hold for any two formal power series $A(x)$ and $B(x)$:

- **Sum Rule:** $(A + B)'(x) = A'(x) + B'(x)$

This identity is hopefully obvious.

- **Product Rule:** $(AB)'(x) = A'(x)B(x) + A(x)B'(x)$

The proof for this identity requires rearranging sums strategically.

- **Power Rule:** $(A^n)'(x) = n A^{n-1}(x) A'(x)$ if $n > 0$ and $(A^n)'(x) = 0$ if $n = 0$.

To prove this, do induction on n using the product rule.

Also if $[x^0]A(x) = 0$, then:

- Chain Rule: $(B \circ A)'(x) = A'(x)B'(A(x))$

Proof: (seriously I'm doing this proof on my own...I order you to give me pity.)

Lemma: If $A_0(x), A_1(x), \dots$ are a sequence of formal power series that converges to $A(x)$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A'_n(x) = A'(x)$.

The proof for this is rather trivial. However, this is notably different from the convergence of derivatives of sequences of functions in math 140.

Now suppose $B(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} b_n x^n$, and for each n , set $B_n(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n b_i x^i$.

By definition, we know that:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ((B_n \circ A)(x)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{i=0}^n b_i A(x)^i \right) = (B \circ A)(x).$$

Hence, applying the previous lemma, we know that:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ((B_n \circ A)'(x)) = (B \circ A)'(x).$$

But now note that by the sum and power rules:

$$\begin{aligned} (B_n \circ A)'(x) &= \sum_{i=0}^n b_i (A^i)'(x) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n i b_i A(x)^{i-1} A'(x) = A'(x) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (i+1) b_{i+1} A(x)^i \\ &= A'(x) (B'_n \circ A)(x) \end{aligned}$$

Finally, by definition we know that:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ((B'_n \circ A)(x)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (i+1) b_{i+1} A(x)^i \right) = (B' \circ A)(x).$$

So by applying the first lemma on page 9, we know that:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ((B_n \circ A)'(x)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (A'(x) (B'_n \circ A)(x)) = A'(x) (B' \circ A)(x)$$

Meanwhile, if $A(x)$ is invertible, then:

- Multiplicative inverse rule: $\left(\frac{1}{A(x)}\right)' = \frac{-A'(x)}{A(x)^2}$

To prove this, just apply product rule to the expression $A(x) \left(\frac{1}{A(x)}\right) = 1$.

Examples of proving identities:

$$1. \text{ Since } \frac{1}{1-x} = \sum_{n \geq 0} x^n, \text{ we know } -\frac{-1}{(1-x)^2} = \left(\frac{1}{1-x}\right)' = \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} x^n\right)' = \sum_{n \geq 0} (n+1)x^n.$$

$$2. \sum_{n \geq 0} n x^n = \sum_{n \geq 0} (n+1)x^n - \sum_{n \geq 0} x^n = \frac{1}{(1-x)^2} - \frac{1}{1-x} \left(\frac{1-x}{1-x}\right)' = \frac{x}{(1-x)^2}$$

Let F be the field or commutative ring that our formal power series are defined over.

Note that given $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we define $n, m \in F$ by repeatedly adding $1 \in F$ to itself n and m times respectively. Then, we can define $nm = mn \in F$ by doing repeated addition of n or m with itself. With that in mind, defining $n! \in F$ in a similar fashion and assuming that there exists $\frac{1}{n!} \in F$, we have that:

$$[x^n]A(x) = \frac{(D^n A)(0)}{n!} = \frac{(D^n A)(0+0x+0x^2+\dots)}{n!}.$$

This is a random thought I had outside of lecture and wanted to write down:

Note: For the sake of clarity, I looked this up on wikipedia. If R is a commutative ring, then the set of formal power series in the variable x over R is written: $R[[x]]$.

Now given $A(x), B(x) \in R[[x]]$, we can define a metric $\rho(A(x), B(x)) = 2^{-n}$ where the n th coefficients of $A(x)$ and $B(x)$ are the first to differ, or if no such n exists, then we define $\rho(A(x), B(x)) = 0$. This somewhat trivially satisfies that:

- $\rho(A(x), B(x)) = 0 \iff A(x) = B(x)$.
- $\rho(A(x), B(x)) = \rho(B(x), A(x))$ for all $A(x), B(x) \in R[[x]]$.
- $\rho(A(x), B(x)) \leq \rho(A(x), C(x)) + \rho(C(x), B(x))$.

Also, we clearly have from our definition of convergence that a sequence $(A_n(x))_{n \geq 0}$ in $R[[x]]$ converges if it is Cauchy. So this metric space is complete.

If I think of anything more to do with this, I'll add it to my notes.

Lecture 5: 10/7/2024

A formal power series in x, y is: $A(x, y) = \sum_{n, m \geq 0} a_{m, n} x^m y^n$.

Suppose $A(x, y) = \sum_{n, m \geq 0} a_{m, n} x^m y^n$ and $B(x, y) = \sum_{n, m \geq 0} b_{m, n} x^m y^n$. Then define:

- $A(x, y) + B(x, y) := \sum_{n, m \geq 0} (a_{m, n} + b_{m, n}) x^m y^n$.
- $A(x, y) B(x, y) := \sum_{m, n \geq 0} c_{m, n} x^m y^n$ where $c_{m, n} = \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^n a_{i, j} b_{m-i, n-j}$.

Like before, we know that addition and multiplication are commutative, associative, and distributive.

To prove this, note that if we think of $A(x, y)$ and $B(x, y)$ as being single variable formal power series in y whose coefficients are formal power series in x , then our old definition of sums and products match up with the new definitions above. So, we can just apply that those old definitions are commutative, associative, and distributive.

Also, $A(x, y)$ is invertible if and only if $a_{0,0}$ has a multiplicative inverse.

This is because a single variable power series only has a multiplicative inverse if its constant term has a multiplicative inverse.

Let $A_1(x, y), A_2(x, y), \dots$ be a sequence of formal power series in x, y . We say that the sequence converges to $A(x, y)$ if:

$$\forall n, m \geq 0, \exists N \geq 0 \text{ s.t. } i \geq N \implies [x^n y^m] A_i(x, y) = [x^n y^m] A(x, y)$$

Going back to the idea of thinking of two variable formal power series as a formal power series whose coefficients are formal power series, note that:

This definition of convergence is slightly different from applying our definition of formal convergence to a sequence of formal power series of formal power series, because we are only requiring that every coefficient power series in the sequence converge.

If that sequence converges, we write: $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} A_i(x, y) = A(x, y)$.

Same as before, if $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} A_i(x, y) = A(x, y)$ and $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} B_i(x, y) = B(x, y)$. Then:

- $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (A_i(x, y) + B_i(x, y)) = A(x, y) + B(x, y)$
- $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (A_i(x, y) B_i(x, y)) = A(x, y) B(x, y)$

Using limits, we also define infinite sums and infinite products of two variable formal power series as you would expect.

Define $\text{mdeg } A(x, y)$ to be the infimum of the set:

$$\{N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \mid \exists n, m \geq 0 \text{ s.t. } n + m = N \text{ and } [x^n y^m] A(x, y) \neq 0\} \cup \{\infty\}$$

Then analogous propositions to those on page 10 and 11 hold, and their proofs are mostly identical.

If $A(x, y) = \sum_{n,m \geq 0} a_{n,m} x^n y^m$, $B(x, y) = \sum_{n,m \geq 0} b_{n,m} x^n y^m$, and $C(x, y) = \sum_{n,m \geq 0} c_{n,m} x^n y^m$, are formal power series such that $a_{0,0} = b_{0,0} = 0$, then we define:

$$C(A(x, y), B(x, y)) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=0}^N \sum_{j=0}^N c_{i,j} A(x, y)^i B(x, y)^j$$

This will be well-defined because for all $N \geq 0$, since $a_{0,0} = b_{0,0} = 0$:

$$\text{mdeg} \left(\sum_{i=0}^N \sum_{j=0}^N c_{i,j} A(x, y)^i B(x, y)^j - \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} c_{i,j} A(x, y)^i B(x, y)^j \right) \geq N$$

Note that by slightly modifying the reasoning on page 11 and page 12, we can show that:

- $(C + D)(A(x, y), B(x, y)) = C(A(x, y), B(x, y)) + D(A(x, y), B(x, y))$
 - $(CD)(A(x, y), B(x, y)) = C(A(x, y), B(x, y))D(A(x, y), B(x, y))$
-

Given a formal power series $A(x, y)$, we define the partial derivatives $D_x A$ and $D_y A$ as you would expect.

If we once again consider $A(x, y)$ to be a formal power series in y whose coefficients are formal power series in x :

$$A(x, y) = \sum_{n \geq 0} A_n(x) y^n = A_0(x) + A_1(x)y + A_2(x)y^2 + \dots,$$

$$\text{then } D_y A(x, y) = \sum_{n \geq 0} (n + 1) A_{n+1}(x) y^n = D \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} A_n(x) y^n \right).$$

It follows then that the following single-variable formal power series derivative rules hold for partial derivatives with respect to y .

- Sum Rule: $D_y(A + B)(x, y) = D_y A(x, y) + D_y B(x, y)$
- Product Rule: $D_y(AB)(x, y) = D_y A(x, y) B(x, y) + A(x, y) D_y B(x, y)$
- Power Rule: $D_y(A^n)(x, y) = n A^{n-1}(x, y) D_y A(x, y)$ if $n > 0$.

Obviously, analogous reasoning holds for partial derivatives with respect to x .

Of course there's no reason to stop at two variable formal power series. We can extend all the properties covered so far in this lecture to power series in any number of variables. Most often in this class though, we'll only need one or two variables.

Binomial Theorem:

In this section, we'll assume that the coefficients of all power series are complex numbers.

Lemma: Let $A(x)$ be a formal power series with $a_0 = 1$ and $d > 0$ be an integer. Then there exists a unique formal power series $B(x)$ with $b_0 = 1$ and $B(x)^d = A(x)$. So define $A(x)^{1/d} := B(x)$.

Proof:

We can inductively solve for the coefficients of $B(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} b_n x^n$ so that $[x^n] B(x)^d = [x^n] A(x)$ for all $n > 0$.

For our base case, we must have that $a_1 = [x^1] B(x)^d = d b_1$. Thus, we know $b_1 = \frac{a_1}{d}$. After that, we know for $n > 1$ that $a_n = [x^n] B(x)^d = d b_n + f_{n,d}$ where $f_{n,d}$ is an expression only involving b_1, \dots, b_{n-1} . So, assuming we know what b_1, \dots, b_{n-1} are, we can uniquely solve for b_n .

Thus, we've shown that given a formal power series $A(x)$ with $a_0 = 1$, we know $A(x)^c$ and $A(x)^{1/d}$ are well defined for all $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $d \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. The next obvious question is, can we define $A(x)^q$ for any nonzero rational number q ?

Firstly, given integers c and d with $d \neq 0$, we need to sort out whether we want to define $A(x)^{c/d} = (A(x)^c)^{1/d}$ or $A(x)^{c/d} = (A(x)^{1/d})^c$. Luckily the choice doesn't actually matter because both are equivalent.

$$((A(x)^{1/d})^c)^d = ((A(x)^{1/d})^d)^c = A(x)^c. \text{ So } (A(x)^{1/d})^c = (A(x)^c)^{1/d}.$$

Secondly, we need to check that for any nonzero integer b : $A(x)^{c/d} = A(x)^{bc/bd}$.

To prove this, note that:

$$(A(x)^{1/bd})^{bc} = (((A(x)^{1/bd})^{bd})^c)^{1/d} = (((A(x)^{1/bd})^{bd})^c)^{1/d} = (A(x)^c)^{1/d}$$

With that, we've now proven we can raise certain formal power series to rational powers. Although notably, we don't have an easy way right now of calculating those rational powers.

If $m \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $k > 0$ is an integer, then we define:

$$\binom{m}{0} := 1 \text{ and } \binom{m}{k} := \frac{m(m-1)\dots(m-k+1)}{k!}$$

Binomial Theorem: Suppose $m \in \mathbb{Q}$. Then $(1+x)^m = \sum_{n \geq 0} \binom{m}{n} x^n$.

Before proving this theorem, here are some special uses of this theorem:

1. Suppose $A(x)$ is a formal power series with $a_0 = 1$. Then substituting x with $A(x) - 1$ in our above theorem, we get that:

$$A(x)^m = (1 + (A(x) - 1))^m = \sum_{n \geq 0} \binom{m}{n} (A(x) - 1)^n.$$

While this still is not the most wieldy formula ever, it does give us a way to calculate rational powers of formal power series without struggling to calculate a root.

2. Suppose $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. If $n > m$, then $\binom{m}{n} = 0$. So, $(1+x)^m = \sum_{n=0}^m \binom{m}{n} x^n$

Also, when m is an integer and $0 \leq k \leq m$, we have $\binom{m}{k} = \frac{m!}{(m-k)!k!}$

3. Note that $\binom{-1}{n} = \frac{(-1)(-2)\dots(-n)}{n!} = (-1)^n$. Hence, applying the binomial theorem we get that: $(1+x)^{-1} = \sum_{n \geq 0} (-x)^n$. This should make sense to you because:

$$(1-x)^{-1} = (1+(-x))^{-1} = \sum_{n \geq 0} (-(-x))^n = \sum_{n \geq 0} (x)^n.$$

4. Suppose d is a positive integer. Then:

$$\begin{aligned}\binom{-d}{n} &= \frac{-d(-d-1)\dots(-d-n+2)(-d-n+1)}{n!} \\ &= (-1)^n \frac{(d+n-1)(d+n-2)\dots(d-1)(d)}{n!} \\ &= (-1)^n \frac{(d+n-1)!}{((d+n-1)-n)!n!} = (-1)^n \binom{d+n-1}{n}\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $(1+x)^{-d} = \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n \binom{d+n-1}{n} x^n$.

In turn, we can conclude that: $(1-x)^{-d} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \binom{d+n-1}{n} x^n$.

5. We define $\sqrt{1+x} := (1+x)^{1/2} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \binom{1/2}{n} x^n$.

Now note that for $n \geq 2$,

$$\binom{1/2}{n} = \frac{(1/2)(-1/2)(-3/2)\dots(\frac{1}{2}-n+1)}{n!} = \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{2^n} \frac{(2n-3)(2n-5)\dots(3)(1)}{n!}$$

To make this neater, we define the double factorial $k!! = k(k-2)(k-4)\dots$. If k is even, then $k!!$ is the product of all even positive integers at most k . If k is odd, then $k!!$ is the product of all odd positive integers at most k .

Thus, $(1+x)^{1/2} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \binom{1/2}{n} x^n = 1 + \frac{x}{2} + \sum_{n \geq 2} \frac{(-1)^{n-1} (2n-3)!!}{2^n n!} x^n$

Proof of the Binomial Theorem:

Lemma: If $m \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $A(0) = 1$, then $D(A^m(x)) = mD(A(x))A(x)^{m-1}$.

Proof:

We know there exists integers p and q with $m = p/q$. Then:

$$D(A(x)^p) = pA(x)^{p-1}DA(x).$$

But also, $D(A(x)^p) = D((A(x)^m)^q) = q(A(x)^m)^{q-1}D(A(x)^m)$.

So combining these expressions, we get that:

$$\begin{aligned}D(A(x)^m) &= \frac{pA(x)^{p-1}}{q(A(x)^m)^{q-1}}DA(x) \\ &= m \frac{A(x)^{p-1}}{A(x)^p A(x)^{-m}}DA(x) = mA(x)^{m-1}DA(x)\end{aligned}$$

Now recall that $[x^n](1+x)^m = \frac{D^n((1+x)^m)(0)}{n!}$.

Also, because of our above lemma, we know that:

$$\begin{aligned}D((1+x)^m) &= m(1+x)^{m-1} \\ &\Downarrow \\ D^2((1+x)^m) &= m(m-1)(1+x)^{m-2} \\ &\Downarrow \\ &\vdots \\ &\Downarrow \\ D^n((1+x)^m) &= m(m-1)\dots(m-n+1)(1+x)^{m-n}\end{aligned}$$

Thus:

$$[x^n](1+x)^m = \frac{D^n((1+x)^m)(0)}{n!} = \frac{m(m-1)\cdots(m-n+1)(1+0)^{m-n}}{n!} = \frac{m(m-1)\cdots(m-n+1)}{n!} = \binom{m}{n}.$$

One more note given at the end of class:

We will not prove it in this class, but if we have a quadratic equation: $A(x)t^2 + B(x)t + C(x) = 0$, where $A(x)$, $B(x)$, and $C(x)$ are formal power series, then there are at most 2 formal power series solutions to this quadratic.

Also, any solution formal power series t will satisfy that:

$$2A(x)t = -B(x) \pm \sqrt{B(x)^2 - 4A(x)C(x)}$$

Finally, this doesn't contradict the lemma on the bottom of page 16 because that lemma guarantees a unique root that has a constant term of 1. Because of this, $A^{1/2}(x)$ and $-A^{1/2}(x)$ are the only formal power series whose square can be $A(x)$.

Lecture 6: 10/9/2024

Homework 1:

(1) Find a closed formula for the following recurrence relation:

$$a_0 = 1, \quad a_1 = 0, \quad a_2 = 2, \\ a_n = 5a_{n-1} - 8a_{n-2} + 4a_{n-3} \quad \text{for } n \geq 3$$

The characteristic polynomial of this relation is $t^3 - 5t^2 + 8t - 4$.

Because I wanted to trust that the professor wouldn't give us a messy polynomial, I used the rational root theorem to get a list of candidate roots to test. Those candidates are ± 1 , ± 2 , and ± 4 .

After testing, I found that $(t-1)(t-2) = t^2 - 3t + 2$ is a factor of the characteristic polynomial. Doing polynomial long division, I then got that the other factor is $(t-2)$. So, our characteristic polynomial equals $(t-1)(t-2)^2$.

With that, we now know that $a_n = \beta_1 + \beta_2 2^n + \beta_3 n 2^n$. Plugging in $n = 0, 1$, and 2 respectively, we get the following system of equations:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 4 & 8 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \beta_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

To solve this, I wrote the following code:

```
C:\Users\jmill>py
Python 3.12.1 (tags/v3.12.1:2305ca5, Dec 7 2023, 22:03:25) [MSC v.1937 64 bit (AMD64)] on win32
Type "help", "copyright", "credits" or "license" for more information.
>>>
>>> import numpy as np
>>>
>>> M = np.array([[1, 1, 0], [1, 2, 2], [1, 4, 8]])
>>> y = np.array([1], [0], [2])
>>>
>>> print((np.linalg.inv(M))@y)
[[ 6.]
 [-5.]
 [ 2.]]
>>>
```

So, $a_n = 6 - (5 + 2n)2^n$.

(2) Let $(a_n)_{n \geq 0}$ and $(b_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be sequences. Assume that $(b_n)_{n \geq 0}$ satisfies a linear recurrence relation of order e . Then let c_1, \dots, c_d be scalars with $c_d \neq 0$ and assume that $(a_n)_{n \geq 0}$ satisfies:

$$a_n = c_1 a_{n-1} + \dots + c_d a_{n-d} + b_n \quad \text{for all } n \geq d$$

Prove that $(a_n)_{n \geq 0}$ satisfies a linear recurrence relation of order $d + e$.

To start, let's show some smaller facts:

Observation 1: Suppose $a_n = c_1 a_{n-1} + \dots + c_d a_{n-d} + p_m(n)r^n + F(n)$ where F is some arbitrary function, p_m is a polynomial of degree m and r is a nonzero constant. Then a_n satisfies a recurrence relation of degree $e = d + m + 1$ where $F'(n)$ is an arbitrary function $F'(n)$ determined by m, r , and $F(n)$, and:

$$a_n = c'_1 a_{n-1} + \dots + c'_e a_{n-e} + F'(n) \quad \text{for all } n \geq e.$$

Proof by induction:

Lemma: If $p_m(n)$ is a polynomial of degree $m > 0$, then $p_m(n) - p_m(n-1)$ is a polynomial of degree $m-1$.

This is because for all $N > 0$ and coefficients b , we have that:

$bn^N - b(n-1)^N = bn^N - bn^N + q(n)$ where q is a polynomial of degree $N-1$. Meanwhile, the case where $N = 0$ is trivial.

Base Case: If $m = 0$, meaning $p_m(n) = b$ where b is a constant, then by taking the difference of a_n and ra_{n-1} for all $n \geq d+1$, we get that:

$$a_n = (c_1 + r)a_{n-1} + (c_2 - rc_1)a_{n-2} + \dots + (c_d - rc_{d-1})a_{n-d} - rc_da_{n-d-1} + F(n) - rF(n-1).$$

Setting $c'_1 = c_1 + 1, c'_2 = c_2 - rc_1, \dots, c'_d = c_d - rc_{d-1}, c'_{d+1} = -rc_d$, and $F'(n) = F(n) - rF(n-1)$, we thus get that:

$$a_n = c'_1 a_{n-1} + \dots + c'_{d+1} a_{n-d-1} + F'(n) \text{ for all } n \geq d+1.$$

Also $c'_{d+1} \neq 0$ because neither r nor c_d equal 0.

Induction on m : If $m > 0$, then by taking the difference of a_n and ra_{n-1} for all $n \geq d+1$, since $r^n(p(n)) - rr^n(p(n-1)) = r^n(p(n) - p(n-1))$, we get by our lemma above that:

$$a_n = (c_1 + r)a_{n-1} + (c_2 - rc_1)a_{n-2} + \dots + (c_d - rc_{d-1})a_{n-d} - rc_da_{n-d-1} + q(n)r^n + F'(n)$$

where q is a polynomial of degree $m-1$ and $F'(n) = F(n) - rF(n-1)$.

And same as before, $-rc_d \neq 0$ because $r \neq 0$ and $c_d \neq 0$.

But now we can conclude by induction that a_n satisfies a (possibly inhomogeneous) recurrence relation of order $e = (d+1) + ((m-1)+1) = d+m+1$ such that $F''(n)$ is some function determined by m, r and $F(n)$, and:

$$a_n = c''_1 a_{n-1} + \dots + c''_e a_{n-e} + F''(n) \text{ for all } n \geq e.$$

One important observation from above is that if $F(n) = 0$, then $F'(n) = 0$. In some other situations, $F'(n)$ also behaves nicely.

Observation 2: Let $p_1(n), \dots, p_k(n)$ be polynomials of degree m_1, \dots, m_k respectively. Also let r_1, \dots, r_k be distinct nonzero constants. If:

$$a_n = c_1 a_{n-1} + \dots + c_d a_{n-d} + p_1(n)r_1^n + F(n)$$

where $F(n) = \sum_{i=2}^k p_i(n)r_i^n$, then part 1 will make $F'(n) = \sum_{i=2}^k q_i(n)r_i^n$ where $q_2(n), \dots, q_k(n)$ are also polynomials of degree m_2, \dots, m_k respectively.

To see why, note that:

$$\begin{aligned} F(n) - r_1 F(n-1) &= \sum_{i=2}^k p_i(n)r_i^n - \sum_{i=2}^k p_i(n-1)r_1 r_i^{n-1} \\ &= \sum_{i=2}^k (p_i(n) - \frac{r_1}{r_i} p_i(n-1))r_i^n \end{aligned}$$

Because $r_i \neq r_1$, we know $\frac{r_1}{r_i} \neq 1$, meaning that the degree m term of $p_i(n) - \frac{r_1}{r_i}p_i(n-1)$ doesn't cancel. So $q_i(n) := p_i(n) - \frac{r_1}{r_i}p_i(n-1)$ is still a degree m polynomial. If the process in part 1 takes more steps, then we can just repeat this reasoning.

Combining observations 1 and 2 together, we can inductively show that if

$$a_n = c_1 a_{n-1} + \dots + c_d a_{n-d} + \sum_{i=1}^k p_i(n) r_i^n$$

where r_1, \dots, r_k are distinct nonzero constants and $p_1(n), \dots, p_k(n)$ are polynomials of degree m_1, \dots, m_k respectively, then letting $e = \sum_{i=1}^k (m_i + 1)$, there exists constants c'_1, \dots, c'_{d+e} such that:

$$a_n = c'_1 a_{n-1} + \dots + c'_{d+e} a_{n-d-e}$$

But now note that if $(b_n)_{n \geq 0}$ satisfies a linear recurrence relation of order e , we can write $b_n = \sum_{i=1}^k p_i(n) r_i^n$ for some polynomials $p_1(n), \dots, p_k(n)$ with degrees m_1, \dots, m_k , as well as some distinct nonzero constants r_1, \dots, r_k .

We know that each r_i is nonzero because the constant term in the characteristic polynomial for (b_n) 's recurrence relation must be nonzero.

Also, as we showed in class, $\sum_{i=1}^k (m_i + 1) = e =$ the order of the recurrence relation of $(b_n)_{n \geq 0}$.

So, we've shown that $a_n = c_1 a_{n-1} + \dots + c_d a_{n-d} + b_n$ can be rewritten as a homogenous linear recurrence relation of order $(d + e)$.

(3) Let $(f_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be the Fibonacci numbers, and define $a_n = \sum_{i=0}^n f_i$.

(a) Find a linear recurrence relation of order 3 that $(a_n)_{n \geq 0}$ satisfies.

Note that $(a_n)_{n \geq 0}$ satisfies the relation $a_n = a_{n-1} + f_n$ for all a_n . Also, we showed in the first lecture that:

$$f_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n - \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n$$

$$\text{So we know that: } a_n = a_{n-1} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n - \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n.$$

Firstly, taking the difference of a_n and $\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} a_{n-1}$, after a lot of simplifying we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} a_n &= \left(1 + \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \right) a_{n-1} - \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} a_{n-2} + \left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} + \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2\sqrt{5}} \cdot \frac{2}{1-\sqrt{5}} \right) \left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n \\ &= \frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2} a_{n-1} - \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} a_{n-2} + \left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

Secondly, we take the difference of a_n and $\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}a_{n-1}$, and after a lot more simplifying get:

$$\begin{aligned} a_n &= \left(\frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2} + \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2} \right) a_{n-1} - \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} + \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2} \cdot \frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2} \right) a_{n-2} + \left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2} \cdot \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \right) a_{n-3} \\ &= 2a_{n-1} + 0a_{n-2} - a_{n-3} \end{aligned}$$

(b) Find a closed formula for a_n .

Method 1:

The characteristic polynomial of $a_n = 2a_{n-1} - a_{n-3}$ is $t^3 - 2t^2 + 1$. Just by looking at it, I can already see that $(t-1)$ is a factor of that polynomial. So after doing polynomial long division, we have that $(t-1)(t^2 - t - 1) = t^3 - 2t^2 + 1$.

By quadratic formula, the remaining roots are $\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ and $\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}$ (a.k.a the same roots as with the Fibonacci recurrence relation).

Finally, we get a system of linear equations:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} & \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2} \\ 1 & \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^2 & \left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \beta_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

To solve this, I finally started learning sympy:

```
C:\Users\jmill\Desktop\py test> virt_math_test\Scripts\activate.bat

(virt_math_test) C:\Users\jmill\Desktop\py test> py
Python 3.12.1 (tags/v3.12.1:2305ca5, Dec 7 2023, 22:03:25) [MSC v.1937 64 bit (AMD64)] on win32
Type "help", "copyright", "credits" or "license" for more information.
>>>
>>> from sympy.matrices import Matrix
>>> from sympy import sqrt
>>> from sympy import pprint
>>> from sympy import simplify
>>>
>>> M = Matrix([[1, 1, 1], [1, ((1 + sqrt(5)) / 2), ((1 - sqrt(5)) / 2)], [1, ((1 + sqrt(5)) / 2)
)**2, ((1 - sqrt(5)) / 2)**2]])
>>> y = Matrix([[0], [1], [2]])
>>>
>>> x = simplify(simplify(M ** -1) * y)
>>>
>>> pprint(x)

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 + \frac{3\sqrt{5}}{10} \\ 1 - \frac{3\sqrt{5}}{10} \end{bmatrix}$$

>>>
>>> simplify(M * x) == y
True
>>>
>>> exit()

(virt_math_test) C:\Users\jmill\Desktop\py test> virt_math_test\Scripts\deactivate.bat
C:\Users\jmill\Desktop\py test>
```


So, assuming I've not made a silly error somewhere, we should have that:

$$a_n = -1 + \left(\frac{5+3\sqrt{5}}{10}\right) \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^n + \left(\frac{5-3\sqrt{5}}{10}\right) \left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^n$$

Method 2: (The hinted route)

Note that $\frac{1-r^{n+1}}{1-r} = \sum_{i=0}^n r^i$. Using this fact, we can see that:

$$\begin{aligned} a_n &= \sum_{i=0}^n f_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \sum_{i=0}^n \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^i - \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \sum_{i=0}^n \left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^i \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \cdot \frac{1}{1-\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}} \left(1 - \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{n+1}\right) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \cdot \frac{1}{1-\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}} \left(1 - \left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{n+1}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Now technically we are done since that is a closed formula for a_n . However, it looks ugly. So I'm going to learn more sympy so it can simplify this:

```
>>>
>>> from sympy import Symbol
>>> from sympy import sqrt
>>> from sympy import simplify
>>> from sympy import expand
>>> from sympy import pprint
>>>
>>> n = Symbol('n')
>>> p1 = (1 / sqrt(5)) * (1 / (1 - ((1+sqrt(5))/2))) * (1 - (((1 + sqrt(5))/2) ** (n + 1)))
>>> p2 = (1 / sqrt(5)) * (1 / (1 - ((1-sqrt(5))/2))) * (1 - (((1 - sqrt(5))/2) ** (n + 1)))
>>>
>>> pprint(simplify(expand(p1 - p2)))

$$\frac{2^{-n} \left( -10 \cdot 2^n - 3 \cdot \sqrt{5} \cdot (1 - \sqrt{5})^n + 5 \cdot (1 - \sqrt{5})^n + 5 \cdot (1 + \sqrt{5})^n + 3 \cdot \sqrt{5} \cdot (1 + \sqrt{5})^n \right)}{10}$$

>>>
```

Hence we get the same answer as before:

$$a_n = -1 + \left(\frac{5+3\sqrt{5}}{10}\right) \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^n + \left(\frac{5-3\sqrt{5}}{10}\right) \left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^n$$

(4)

- (a) Suppose that $(a_n)_{n \geq 0}$ and $(a'_n)_{n \geq 0}$ both satisfy the same linear recurrence relation of order d and that they agree in d consecutive places, i.e. there exists k such that $a_k = a'_k, a_{k+1} = a'_{k+1}, \dots, a_{k+d-1} = a'_{k+d-1}$. Then these sequences are the same.

This is because for all integers $N \geq 0$ and sequences $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ satisfying a linear recurrence relation: $b_n = c_1 b_{n-1} + \dots + c_d b_{n-d}$ for all integers $n \geq d$, we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} N + d &\geq d \\ &\Downarrow \\ b_{N+d} &= c_1 b_{N+d-1} + \dots + c_{d-1} b_{N+1} + c_d b_N \\ &\Updownarrow \\ b_N &= \frac{1}{c_d} b_{N+d} - \frac{c_1}{c_d} b_{N+d-1} - \dots - \frac{c_{d-1}}{c_d} b_{N+1} \end{aligned}$$

Now suppose we know d consecutive elements of $(b_n)_{n \geq 0}$ (say b_k, b_{k+1}, \dots , and b_{k+d-1} where k is a nonnegative integer). Then we can uniquely solve for b_n by inductively applying our recurrence relation when $n > k + d - 1$. Plus, we can uniquely solve for b_n by inductively using the identity we found on the previous page when $n < k$. So $(b_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is uniquely determined by its consecutive elements b_k, b_{k+1}, \dots , and b_{k+d-1} .

Since $(a_n)_{n \geq 0}$ and $(a'_n)_{n \geq 0}$ are uniquely determined by the same consecutive elements, we thus know that the sequences are the same.

- (b) Suppose that $(a_n)_{n \geq 0}$ satisfies the linear recurrence relation of order d : $a_n = c_1 a_{n-1} + \dots + c_d a_{n-d}$ for all $n \geq d$. Then there is a unique sequence $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ such that $b_n = a_n$ for $n \geq 0$ and $b_n = c_1 b_{n-1} + \dots + c_d b_{n-d}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

If $N < 0$, we can still inductively apply the identity we found on the last page: $b_N = \frac{1}{c_d} b_{N+d} - \frac{c_1}{c_d} b_{N+d-1} - \dots - \frac{c_{d-1}}{c_d} b_{N+1}$ in order to uniquely solve for b_N such that $b_{N+d} = c_1 b_{N+d-1} + \dots + c_d b_N$.

Hence, given a sequence $(a_n)_{n \geq 0}$ satisfying a linear recurrence relation of order d : $a_n = c_1 a_{n-1} + \dots + c_d a_{n-d}$, we define $b_n = a_n$ when $n \geq 0$. Meanwhile, when $n < 0$, we inductively define b_n as:

$$b_n = \frac{1}{c_d} b_{n+d} - \frac{c_1}{c_d} b_{n+d-1} - \dots - \frac{c_{d-1}}{c_d} b_{n+1}.$$

Then $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is the unique sequence satisfying the problem's requirements.

- (c) Consider the Fibonacci sequence $(f_n)_{n \geq 0}$. How does the negatively indexed Fibonacci sequence relate to the usual one?

If $f_{n+2} = f_{n+1} + f_n$, then we know $f_n = -f_{n+1} + f_{n+2}$. Defining $g_n = f_{-n}$, we thus get the recurrence relation: $g_n = -g_{n-1} + g_{n-2}$, and its characteristic polynomial is $t^2 + t - 1$.

Now let r_1 and r_2 be the roots of $t^2 - t - 1$, the characteristic polynomial of f_n . Then subbing in $t = (-s)$, we get that:

$$t^2 - t - 1 = (t - r_1)(t - r_2) \implies s^2 + s - 1 = (s + r_1)(s + r_2)$$

So, there exists constants α_1, α_2 such that:

$$g_n = \alpha_1 (-1)^n \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n + \alpha_2 (-1)^n \left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n.$$

Since $g_1 = f_{-1} = -f_0 + f_1 = 1$ and $g_0 = f_0 = 0$, by plugging in $n = 0$ and $n = 1$, we get the following matrix equation:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} & -\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

After solving that, we get that $\alpha_1 = \frac{-1}{\sqrt{5}}$ and $\alpha_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}$. So in conclusion:

$$f_{-n} = g_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}(-1)^{n+1} \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n - \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}(-1)^{n+1} \left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n = (-1)^{n+1} f_n$$

I could have probably proven the identity $f_{-n} = (-1)^{n+1} f_n$ much faster by just doing induction and assuming $f_{-N} = (-1)^{N+1} f_N$ for all $N \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$.

(5) Let p be a prime number and let $(a_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be a sequence such that $a_n \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ and which satisfies a homogeneous linear recurrence relation. Prove that the sequence is periodic, i.e. there exists N such that $a_n = a_{n+N}$ for all $n \geq 0$.

Consider the set $\{(a_n, a_{n+1}, \dots, a_{n+d-1}) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } n \geq 0\}$. Then note that it is a subset of $(\mathbb{Z}_p)^d$ which is finite with p^d elements. Hence, given some $(\beta_0, \dots, \beta_d)$ in that set, we know there exists infinitely many $n \geq 0$ such that:

$$(a_n, a_{n+1}, \dots, a_{n+d-1}) = (\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{d-1}).$$

Let S be the set of all such n . Then pick n_1 and n_2 to be the least and second least elements of S respectively. We claim $(a_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is periodic with period $N = n_2 - n_1$.

Firstly, we'll prove by induction that $a_n = a_{N+n}$ when $n \geq n_1$. For our base case, we know from how we picked n_1 and n_2 that $a_n = a_{N+n}$ when $n_1 \leq n < n_1 + d$. Meanwhile, suppose that given some $k \geq d$ we have that $a_n = a_{N+n}$ for all $n_1 \leq n < n_1 + k$. Then using our recurrence relation, when we solve for a_k and a_{k+N} , we will get that they are equal. Hence, we know by induction that $a_n = a_{N+n}$ for all $n \geq n_1$.

Next, consider that if $a_n = c_1 a_{n-1} + \dots + c_d a_{n-d}$ for all $n \geq d$, then for all $n \geq 0$ we have that: $a_n = \frac{1}{c_d} a_{n+d} - \frac{c_1}{c_d} a_{n+d-1} - \dots - \frac{c_{d-1}}{c_d} a_{n+1}$.

This expression is still well-defined in \mathbb{Z}_p because $c_d \neq 0$ and all nonzero elements in \mathbb{Z}_p have a multiplicative inverse since p is prime.

Thus, we can proceed by induction to show that $a_n = a_{N+n}$ for all $n \geq 0$. Our base case is that if $n \geq n_1$, we know from before that $a_n = a_{N+n}$. Meanwhile, suppose that given some $k < d$ we have that $a_n = a_{N+n}$ for all $n > k$. Then when we use the expression above to calculate a_k and a_{k+N} , we will get that they equal each other. Hence, we know by induction that $a_n = a_{N+n}$ for all nonnegative integers n .
