## Fast Iterative Solvers

Prof. Georg May

Project 2

Due: July 25, 2023, 11.59pm

## Summary

We implement a multigrid solver for the Poisson equation

$$-\nabla^2 u = f \qquad \text{in } \Omega,$$
  
 
$$u = 0 \qquad \text{on } \partial\Omega,$$

where  $\Omega = (0,1) \times (0,1)$ , using a finite difference discretization on a Cartesian grid,

$$\mathcal{G}_h := \{(ih, jh) : i, j = 0, \dots, N; \ hN = 1\}.$$

This means, find  $u_{i,j} \approx u(x_i, y_j) = u(ih, jh)$ , such that

$$-f_{i,j} = \frac{1}{h^2} \left( u_{i-1,j} - 2u_{i,j} + u_{i+1,j} \right) + \frac{1}{h^2} \left( u_{i,j-1} - 2u_{i,j} + u_{i,j+1} \right), \qquad i, j = 1, \dots, N-1$$

$$u_{i,j} = 0, \qquad \qquad \text{otherwise.}$$

Use  $f(x,y) = 8\pi^2 \sin(2\pi x) \sin(2\pi y)$ . For this choice, the solution is  $u(x,y) = \sin(2\pi x) \sin(2\pi y)$ .

## Instructions

- Use meshes with  $N = 2^n$  for the fine mesh. For the next coarser mesh, use  $N^c := N/2$ . This means that points in the coarse mesh will also be points in the fine mesh, while every other point in the fine mesh is deleted.
- Mandatory: Implement the Gauss-Seidel Smoother, restriction, and prolongation as defined in the tutorials
- Optional: you may implement and test other choices for these operators
- Use W-cycles (i.e.  $\gamma = 2$ , as discussed in class).
- You should use as many multigrid levels as possible. Use the same iterative solver on each mesh level. (Recall: One should solve exactly on the coarsest mesh. If there is only one interior grid point on the coarsest mesh, Gauss-Seidel becomes exact in one step!)
- Plot the convergence using the measure  $||\mathbf{r}^{(m)}||_{\infty}/||\mathbf{r}^{(0)}||_{\infty}$  against multigrid iterations m for meshes with n=4, n=7 (resulting in N=16, and N=128). Use a semi-log scale, and do this for

1. 
$$\nu_1 = \nu_2 = 1$$

2. 
$$\nu_1 = 2, \ \nu_2 = 1$$

where the  $\nu_i$  are the Gauss-Seidel pre- and post smoothing iterations,  $\mathbf{r}^{(m)}$  is the residual evaluated at the  $m^{th}$  iteration. For the initial guess, m=0, you may use =0. We define  $||\mathbf{r}||_{\infty} := \max_{i,j} |r_{i,j}|$ , where (i,j) ranges over all interior points.

- You may optionally want to do more numerical experiments:
  - For instance, you may want to verify the claim that it doesn't make sense to do too many smoothing iterations, by measuring the convergence against run-time (instead of iteration), and increase the number of smoothing steps  $\nu$ .
  - You may also want to compare the run-times obtained from using different values of  $\gamma$ , such as  $\gamma = 1$  (V-cycle), or higher values of  $\gamma$ .