

# SU update: Best estimate

JMO Massey<sup>†</sup>, F Cabrera-Booman, JC Klewicki, T Jaroslawski, BJ McKeon

Center for Turbulence Research  
Stanford University

October 27, 2025


Thanks to DARPA for funding this work.

<sup>†</sup>[masseyj@stanford.edu](mailto:masseyj@stanford.edu)

- $\delta \approx 0.035[\text{m}]$ ,  $U_e \approx 14[\text{m/s}]$ ,  $T^+ \equiv Tu_\tau^2/\nu = 10$


Pressure (psi)	0	50	100
$u_\tau [\text{m/s}]$	0.58	0.47	0.52
$\nu/u_\tau [\text{m}]$	$27 \times 10^{-6}$	$7.5 \times 10^{-6}$	$3.7 \times 10^{-6}$
$\nu [\text{m}^2/\text{s}]$	$15.7 \times 10^{-6}$	$3.52 \times 10^{-6}$	$1.92 \times 10^{-6}$
$Re_\tau$	1,300	4,700	9,500
$f(T^+ = 10) [\text{Hz}]$	2,100	4,700	14,100

## Pinhole diameters



- ▶ Testing pinhole diameters of  $d = 2300, 700, 400 \mu\text{m}$ 
  - ▶ Corresponds to  $d^+ \approx 85, 93, 108$
- ▶ Under the frozen turbulence assumption, these sit around  $T^+ \sim 10$


## Pinhole spacings




- ▶ We have two-point measurements at two streamwise spacings:  $3.2\delta$  and  $2.8\delta$
- ▶ Herein, we refer to these as 'far' and 'close' spacings
- ▶ The spectra are plotted in voltage and haven't yet been converted to pressure

# Measurements are very sensitive to their fitting

$Re_\tau \approx 1,300$  ( $700\mu\text{m}$ ) - Original




$Re_\tau \approx 1,300$  ( $700\mu\text{m}$ ) - New Mounting



# New measurements


New measurements [Pa]:  $Re_\tau \approx 1,300$  ( $d = 700 \mu\text{m}$ )

$$0\text{psig } \delta^+ \approx (1364)$$




New measurements [Pa]:  $Re_\tau \approx 4,700$  ( $d = 700 \mu\text{m}$ )

$$50\text{psig } \delta^+ \approx (4809)$$




New measurements [Pa]:  $Re_\tau \approx 9,500$  ( $d = 700 \mu\text{m}$ )

$$100\text{psig } \delta^+ \approx (9319)$$




# FS noise rejected



# Best estimate

Mapping the data to the model gives us an idea of what the TFs *should* be (- - lines are currently measured)



We need more transfer function data, our current best estimate:

