## Section 4.3 Integral of Nonnegative Functions

**Definition.** Let  $f \geq 0$  be measurable, and E be a measurable set. The **Lebesgue** integral of f over is defined by

$$\int_{E} f := \sup_{h \le f} \int_{E} h$$

where h is a bounded measurable function and  $m\{x: h(x) \neq 0\} < \infty$ .

**Proposition** (4.8). If f and q are nonnegative measurable functions, then:

i. For all c > 0,

$$\int_{E} cf = c \int_{E} f.$$

ii.

$$\int_{E} f + g = \int_{E} f + \int_{E} g.$$

iii. If  $f \leq g$  a.e, then

$$\int_{E} f \le \int_{E} g.$$

*Proof.* <sup>1</sup> Note that (i) and (iii) come from Proposition 4.5. So for (ii), we first claim that

$$\int_{E} f + g \le \int_{E} f + \int_{E} g.$$

Let  $h \leq f$  be a bounded, measurable function with  $m\{x : h \neq 0\} < \infty$ , and let  $k \leq g$  be a bounded, measurable function with  $m\{x : k \neq 0\} < \infty$ . Then  $h + k \leq f + g$  and

$${x: h + k \neq 0} = {x: h \neq 0} \cup {x: k \neq 0}$$

and so  $m\{x: h+k\neq 0\} < \infty$ . By definition of the Lebesgue integral (which is a sup), we have the following:

$$\int_{E} f + g \ge \int_{E} h + k = \int_{E} h + \int_{E} k$$

$$\ge \int_{E} h + \int_{E} g$$

$$\ge \int_{E} h + \int_{E} k$$

$$\ge \int_{E} f + \int_{E} g.$$

For the other direction, let  $l \le f + g$  be a bounded, measurable function and  $m\{x : l(x) \ne 0\} < \infty$ . Define  $h(x) = \min\{f(x), l(x)\} \le l(x)$  and so h(x) is bounded as well. Then

$$\int_E f + \int_E g \ge \int_E + \int_E k = \int_E h + k = \int_E l$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Proof is on page 86 of Royden.

which, by definition of the Lebesgue integral,

$$\int_E f + \int_E g \le \int_E f + g.$$

**Theorem** (4.9, Fatou's Lemma). If  $\{f_n\}$  is a sequence of nonnegative measurable functions and  $f_n(x) \to f(x)$  pointwise almost everywhere on a set E, then

$$\int_{E} f \le \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{E} f_n.$$

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, suppose that  $f_n(x) \to f(x)$  on E (because the integrals over sets of measure zero are zero.) Suppose that  $h \le f$  is a bounded, measurable function and define  $E' = \{x : h(x) \ne 0\}$  and so  $m(E') < \infty$ . Define  $h_n(x) = \min\{h(x), f_n(x)\}$  and so  $h_n(x) \to h(x)$  pointwise on E' and  $h_n \le h \le f_n \le f$  and so  $\{h_n\}$  is a bounded sequence. So, by the Bounded Convergence Theorem,

$$\int_E h = \int_{E'} h$$
 
$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_E h_n$$
 Bounded Convergence Theorem 
$$\leq \varliminf_{n \to \infty} \int_E f_n.$$

Taking the sup over h,<sup>2</sup>

$$\int_{E} f \le \underline{\lim}_{n \to \infty} \int_{E} f_{n}.$$

Theorem (4.10, Monotone Convergence Theorem). Let  $\{f_n\}$  be an increasing sequence of nonnegative measurable functions, and let  $f = \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n$  almost everywhere. Then

$$\int f = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int f_n.$$

*Proof.* By Fatou's Lemma,

$$\int f \le \underline{\lim}_{n \to \infty} \int f_n.$$

So we just need the other direction for equality. Because  $\{f_n\}$  is increasing and converges to  $f, f_n \leq f$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and thus

$$\int f_n \le \int f.$$

But then the limit inferior is less than than this quantity i.e.,

$$\underline{\lim}_{n \to \infty} \int f_n \le f$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Wait, clarify what this means...

and so

$$\underline{\lim_{n\to\infty}} \int f_n = \int f.$$

Corollary (4.11). Let  $\{u_n\}$  be a sequence of nonnegative measurable functions, and let  $f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{n} u_n(x)$ . Then

$$\int f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int u_{i}.$$

**Proposition** (4.12). Let f be a nonnegative function and  $\{E_i\}$  a disjoint sequence of measurable sets. Let  $E = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i$ . Then

$$\int_{E} f = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{E_i} f.$$

**Definition.** Let  $f \ge 0$  be a nonnegative measurable function. We say that f is **Lebesgue** measurable over E if

$$\int_{E} f \le \infty.$$

**Proposition** (4.13). Let f and g be two nonnegative measurable functions. If f is integrable over E and  $g(x) \leq f(x)$  on E, then g is also integrable on E and,

$$\int_{E} f - g = \int_{E} f - \int_{E} g.$$

*Proof.* Note that  $f - g \ge 0$  on E so we can write this as the sum of two nonnegative functions i.e., f = (f - g) + g. Thus, since this is the sum of two nonnegative functions, by Proposition 4.8 part (ii), we have that

$$\int_{E} f = \int_{E} (f - g) + \int_{E} g$$

Because the integral of f is finite, the right-hand side must also be finite and so g is measurable.<sup>3</sup>

**Proposition** (4.14). Let f be a nonnegative function which is integrable over E. Then for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for every set  $A \subset E$  with  $m(A) < \delta$ , we have that

$$\int_{A} f < \varepsilon.$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>This proof does not show the explicit formula, though?

*Proof.* Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be chosen. If f is bounded, there exists M > 0 such that  $|f(x)| \leq M$  for all  $x \in E$ . So set  $\delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{M}$  and estimate  $\int_A f$ .

If is unbounded then define

$$f_n(x) = \min\{n, f(x)\}.$$

Then  $\{f_n\}$  is an increasing sequence and  $f_n \to f$  pointwise (i.e,  $f_n \uparrow f$  pointwise). By the Monotone Convergence Theorem,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_E f_n = \int_E f.$$

Thus there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that if  $n \geq N$ ,

$$\int_{E} f - \lim_{N \to \infty} \int_{E} f_{N} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

and thus implying that

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \int_{E} f_{N} > \int_{E} f + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Set  $\delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{2N}$ . Choose a set  $A \subset E$  such that  $m(A) < \delta$ . Then

$$\int_{A} f = \int_{A} f - f_{N} + \int_{A} f_{N}$$

$$< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \int_{A} f_{N}$$

$$= \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + N \cdot m(A)$$

$$< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + N \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{2N}$$

$$= \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

$$= \varepsilon.$$