

**Lemma (3.9).** Let  $A$  be any set, and  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  be a finite sequence of sets such that  $E_i \cap E_j = \emptyset$  for all  $i \neq j$ . Then

$$m^* \left( A \cap \left[ \bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i \right] \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n m^*(A \cap E_i).$$

*Proof.* We proceed by induction. For  $n = 1$ , we have the set  $E_1$  and the equality holds. Suppose that we have  $n = k$  sets  $E_1, \dots, E_k$  with  $E_i \cap E_j = \emptyset$  for all  $i \neq j$  so that

$$m^* \left( A \cap \left[ \bigcup_{i=1}^k E_i \right] \right) = \sum_{i=1}^k m^*(A \cap E_i).$$

Consider  $n = k + 1$ . Because each  $E_i$  is disjoint,

$$\begin{aligned} A \cap \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^{k+1} E_i \right) \cap E_{k+1} &= A \cap E_{k+1}; \\ A \cap \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^{k+1} E_i \right) \cap E_{k+1}^c &= A \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^k E_i. \end{aligned}$$

Because the  $E_i$ 's are measurable,

$$\begin{aligned} m^* \left( A \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{k+1} E_i \right) &= m^*(A \cap E_{k+1}) + m^* \left( A \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^k E_i \right) \\ &= m^*(A \cap E_{k+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^k m^*(A \cap E_i) \quad \text{Induction Hypothesis} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} m^*(A \cap E_i) \end{aligned}$$

which, by induction, completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem (3.10).**  $\mathcal{M}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra. In other words, in addition to being an algebra of sets, if  $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{M}$ , then  $\bigcup_{i=1}^\infty E_i \in \mathcal{M}$ .

*Proof.* <sup>1</sup>

$\square$

**Lemma (3.11).** The interval  $(a, \infty)$  is measurable for all  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* <sup>2</sup>

$\square$

---

<sup>1</sup>Proof on bottom of page 59 and top of page 60.

<sup>2</sup>Proof on the bottom of page 60 through the middle of page 61.

**Theorem (3.12).** Every Borel set is measurable. In particular, each open set and each closed set is measurable.

*Proof.* <sup>3</sup>

□

**Definition.** Let  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ . We define  $m(E) := m^*(E)$  to be the **Lebesgue measure** of  $E$ .

**Proposition (3.13, Countable Additivity).** Let  $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^n$  be a sequence of measurable sets. Then

$$m\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n m(E_i).$$

If, in addition,  $E_i \cap E_j = \emptyset$  for all  $i \neq j$ , then

$$m\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n m(E_i).$$

**Proposition (3.14).** Let  $\{E_i\} \subset \mathcal{M}$  be a decreasing sequence (i.e.,  $E_{i+1} \subset E_i$ ). Let  $m(E_1) < \infty$ . Then

$$m\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m(E_n).$$

**Proposition (3.15).** Let  $E$  be any given set. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $E$  is measurable.
- (ii) For all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is an open set  $O \supset E$  with  $m^*(O \setminus E) < \varepsilon$ .
- (iii) For all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a closed set  $F \subset E$  with  $m^*(E \setminus F) < \varepsilon$ .
- (iv) There is a  $G \in G_\delta$  with  $E \subset G$  such that  $m^*(G \setminus E) = 0$ .
- (v) There is a  $F \in F_\sigma$  with  $F \subset E$  such that  $m^*(E \setminus F) = 0$ .

If  $m^*(E) < \infty$ , the above statements are equivalent:

- (vi) For all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a finite union  $U$  of open intervals such that  $m^*(U \Delta E) < \varepsilon$ .

---

<sup>3</sup>Proof on the bottom of page 61.