

**Problem 1** (3.5). Let  $A$  be the set of rational numbers between 0 and 1, and let  $\{I_n\}$  be a **finite** collection of open intervals covering  $A$ . Then  $\sum_{n=1}^k l(I_n) \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* Define the set  $A = \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1]$ . Let  $\{I_n\}_{n=1}^k$  be a **finite** collection of open intervals covering  $A$  meaning we have that

$$A \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^k I_n$$

We can create the following string of inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} 1 = l([0, 1]) &= m^*([0, 1]) \\ &= m^*(\overline{A}) && \text{Density of } \mathbb{Q} \\ &\leq m^*\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^n I_n\right) && A \subset B \implies \overline{A} \subset \overline{B} \\ &= m^*\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^n \overline{I_n}\right) && \overline{A \cup B} = \overline{A} \cup \overline{B} \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n m^*(\overline{I_n}) && \text{Subadditivity of } m^* \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n l(\overline{I_n}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n l(I_n) \end{aligned}$$

which shows the desired result, completing the proof.  $\square$

**Problem 2** (3.10). Show that if  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are measurable, then

$$m(E_1 \cap E_2) + m(E_1 \cup E_2) = mE_1 + mE_2.$$

Recall that if  $E$  is measurable, then  $mE := m^*E$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are measurable sets. To show the above equality, the goal will be to rewrite sets as disjoint unions and utilize the subadditivity of  $m$ . So note that

$$E_1 \cup E_2 = (E_1 \setminus E_2) \cup (E_2 \setminus E_1) \cup (E_1 \cap E_2)$$

and so by the subadditivity of  $m$ ,

$$m(E_1 \cup E_2) = m(E_1 \setminus E_2) + m(E_2 \setminus E_1) + m(E_1 \cap E_2).$$

Thus, adding  $m(E_1 \cap E_2)$  to the left-hand side

$$\begin{aligned} m(E_1 \cup E_2) + m(E_1 \cap E_2) &= (m(E_1 \setminus E_2) + m(E_2 \setminus E_1) + m(E_1 \cap E_2)) + m(E_1 \cap E_2) \\ &= (m(E_1 \setminus E_2) + m(E_1 \cap E_2)) + (m(E_2 \setminus E_1) + m(E_1 \cap E_2)). \end{aligned}$$

Now, let us write  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  as disjoint unions:

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 &= (E_1 \setminus E_2) \cup (E_1 \cap E_2); \\ E_2 &= (E_2 \setminus E_1) \cup (E_1 \cap E_2) \end{aligned}$$

which, again, by the subadditivity of  $m$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} m(E_1) &= m(E_1 \setminus E_2) + m(E_1 \cap E_2); \\ m(E_2) &= m(E_2 \setminus E_1) + m(E_1 \cap E_2). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, this gives us that

$$m(E_1 \cup E_2) + m(E_1 \cap E_2) = m(E_1) + m(E_2)$$

showing the desired result.  $\square$

**Problem 3 (3.13).** Prove Proposition 15 by the following steps which I will state below for the record.

Let  $E$  be any given set. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $E$  is measurable.
- (ii) For all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is an open set  $O \supset E$  with  $m^*(O \setminus E) < \varepsilon$ .
- (iii) For all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a closed set  $F \subset E$  with  $m^*(E \setminus F) < \varepsilon$ .
- (iv) There is a  $G \in G_\delta$  with  $E \subset G$  such that  $m^*(G \setminus E) = 0$ .
- (v) There is a  $F \in F_\sigma$  with  $F \subset E$  such that  $m^*(E \setminus F) = 0$ .

If  $m^*(E) < \infty$ , the above statements are equivalent:

- (vi) For all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a finite union  $U$  of open intervals such that  $m^*(U \Delta E) < \varepsilon$ .
- a. Show that for  $m^*E < \infty$ ,  $(i) \Rightarrow (ii) \Leftrightarrow (vi)$ .

*Proof.* To show that the following are equivalent, we will need to create a chain of implications starting with the outline above. For all of these proofs, assume that  $m^*(E) < \infty$ .

- (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Suppose  $E$  is a measurable set. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be chosen. Because  $E$  is measurable and thus  $m^*(E) = m(E)$ , there exists a countable collection of open intervals  $\{I_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  so that

$$m(E) + \varepsilon > \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} l(I_n).$$

Since  $\{I_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is open, the set  $O = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$  is an open set as well. By Proposition 3.1, we know that

$$m(O) = m\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} l(I_n).$$

Again, because  $E$  is measurable, we know that  $E \subset O$ . Now it is left to show that  $m(O \setminus E)$ . Because  $O$  and  $E$  are disjoint, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} m(O \setminus E) &= m(O) - m(E) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} l(I_n) - m(E) \\ &< (m(E) + \varepsilon) - m(E) \\ &= \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

which completes this direction.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (vi) Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be chosen. Then by our hypothesis, there exists an open set  $O$  such that  $m^*(O \setminus E) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ . By the Lindelof Lemma, the set  $O$  can be written as countable union of open intervals i.e., there exists a countable collection of intervals  $\{I_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  so that  $O = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$ . Satisfying the conditions of Proposition 3.5, we can leverage this as suggested and get that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} l(I_n) &= m^*\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n\right) \\ &\leq m^*(E) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

This means that there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  so that

$$\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} l(I_n) = m^*\left(\bigcup_{n=N+1}^{\infty} I_n\right) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Let  $\{I_1, \dots, I_N\}$  be the finite set of collections up to but including  $N + 1$  and so we let  $U = \bigcup_{n=1}^N I_n$ . We can note that  $U \Delta E = (U \setminus E) \cup (E \setminus U)$ . Additionally,  $U \setminus E \subset O \setminus E$  by construction of  $U$  and  $E \setminus U \subset O \setminus E$  by hypothesis. Finally, note that

$$O \setminus U = \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n\right) \setminus \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^N I_n\right) = \bigcup_{n=N+1}^{\infty} I_n.$$

Thus, with of all this, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} m^*(U \Delta E) &= m^*((U \setminus E) \cup (E \setminus U)) \\ &= m^*(U \setminus E) + m^*(E \setminus U) \\ &\leq m^*(O \setminus E) + m^*(O \setminus U) \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \\ &= \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

which finishes this direction.

(vi)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be chosen. By assumption, for any set  $E$ , there exists a finite union  $U$  of open intervals so that

$$m^*(U \Delta E) = m((U \setminus E) \cup (E \setminus U)) < \frac{2\varepsilon}{3}.$$

By Proposition 3.5, there exists an open set  $O \supset E \setminus U$  so that

$$m^*(O) \leq m(E \setminus U) + \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$$

which is equivalent to saying that

$$m^*(O \setminus (E \setminus U)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.$$

Note that  $E \subset O \cup U$  trivially. Thus, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} m^*(O \setminus E) &\leq m^*((U \cup O) \setminus (E)) \\ &= m^*((U \setminus E) \cup (O \setminus E)) \\ &\leq m((O \setminus (E \setminus U)) \cup (U \setminus E) \cup (E \setminus U)) \\ &= m(O \setminus (E \setminus U)) + m(U \setminus E) + m(E \setminus U) \\ &< \frac{2\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \\ &= \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

giving us the desired result.

This completes the first set of our chain of equivalences.  $\square$

b. Use part (a) to show that for arbitrary sets, (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (i).

*Proof.* We continue on our journey to a chain of equivalences with this next set! :)

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Suppose that  $E$  is measurable and since we showed that this direction for  $m^*(E) < \infty$ , suppose  $m^*(E) = \infty$ . For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , define the set  $E_n = E \cup [-n, n]$ . From part(a), there exists an open set  $O_n \supset E_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  so that

$$m^*(O_n \setminus E_n) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^n}.$$

Define the set  $O = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} O_n$ . Then note that  $E \subset O$  and  $E \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ . Using

this, we can show that

$$\begin{aligned}
 m^*(O \setminus E) &= m^*\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} O_n \setminus E\right) \\
 &\leq m^*\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} O_n \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n\right) \\
 &\leq m^*\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} O_n \setminus E_n\right) \\
 &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} m^*(O_n \setminus E_n) \\
 &< \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon}{2^k} \\
 &= \varepsilon
 \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.

- (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv) By assumption, we can choose  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  so that the open set  $O_n \supset E$  implies that  $m^*(E \setminus O_n) < \frac{1}{n} < \varepsilon$  for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , which is possible using the Archimedes principle. Let  $G = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} O_n$ , which is thus a countable intersection of open sets (i.e.,  $G \in G_{\delta}$ ). Note that  $E \subset G \subset O_n$  and so

$$\begin{aligned}
 m^*(G \setminus E) &\leq m^*(O_n \setminus E) \\
 &< \frac{1}{n} \\
 &< \varepsilon.
 \end{aligned}$$

Because we can always find  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  for all  $\varepsilon < 0$ , we have that  $m^*(G \setminus E) = 0$ . Since we can choose  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , certainly  $F \subset E$  and  $F_n \subset F$  which gives that

$$m^*(E \setminus F) \leq m(E \setminus F_n)$$

- (iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) Assume there exists some  $G \in G_{\delta}$  such that  $E \subset G$  and  $m^*(G \setminus E) = 0$ . Because  $G \in G_{\delta}$  and  $m^*(G \setminus E) = 0$ , this implies that  $G \setminus E$  is a measurable set. But then since  $G \setminus E$  is a measurable set,  $G$  is a measurable set. Thus since  $E = G \setminus (G \setminus E)$ , it follows that  $E$  is measurable.

This completes this chain of implications. □

- c. Use part (b) to show that (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (v)  $\Rightarrow$  (i).

*Proof.* Finally, can finish the chain on equivalences and finish proving Proposition 3.15.

- (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) Suppose  $E$  is measurable set (i.e.,  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ ). Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be chosen. Because  $\mathcal{M}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra and closed under complement, we know that  $E^c$  is a measurable

set as well. From part (b) (the infinite case of (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii)), there exists an open set  $O \supset E^c$  so that  $m^*(O \setminus E^c) < \varepsilon$ . Let  $F = O^c$ , which is a closed set because its complement is open. Then  $F \subset E$  and noting that  $O \setminus E^c = E \cap O = E \setminus F$ , we have that

$$m^*(F \setminus E) = m^*(O \setminus E^c) = m^*(E \cap O) < \varepsilon$$

which completes the proof.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (v) Similar to the approach of (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv) in part (b), let us choose  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  using the Archimedes principle so that a closed  $F_n \subset E$  means that

$$m^*(E \setminus F_n) < \frac{1}{n} < \varepsilon \text{ for all } \varepsilon > 0.$$

Let  $F = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$ , which is a countable union of closed sets and so  $F \in F_\sigma$ . Also  $F \subset E$  and  $F_n \subset F$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  so we know that

$$\begin{aligned} m(E \setminus F) &\leq m(E \setminus F_n) \\ &< \frac{1}{n} \\ &< \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

By the same reasoning as the end of the proof of (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv) from part (b), we can conclude that  $m(E \setminus F) = 0$ .

(v)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) Again, from part (b), we will use similar logic as (iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Because  $F \in F_\sigma$  and  $m^*(E \setminus F) = 0$ , this implies that  $E \setminus F$  is a measurable set. But then since  $E \setminus F$  is a measurable set,  $F$  is a measurable set. Thus since  $E = F \cup (E \setminus F)$ , it follows that  $E$  is measurable.

Finally, having finished the chain of equivalences, we have shown that all of the statements are equivalent, which completes the proof.  $\square$