## Construction of the Real Numbers, $\mathbb{R}$

- We first start from  $\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  and add numbers together subsequently (i.e.  $1, \underbrace{1+1}_{2}, \underbrace{1+1+1}_{3}, \dots)$
- ullet To construct the integers  $\mathbb{Z}$ , we take the set difference with the natural numbers so that we have

$$\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \setminus \mathbb{N}.$$

• Then the rationals numbers,  $\mathbb{Q}$ , can be constructed from the integers and are defined by the set

 $\mathbb{Q} = \left\{ \frac{m}{n} : m, n \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$ 

• To construct the irrational numbers,  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ , we can use the dedekind cut to do this. However, this is convoluted and we can go about this in a different way.

#### Axioms of the Real Numbers

**A**. The Field Axioms: For all real numbers  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  we have:

$$A1. x + y = y + x$$

A2. 
$$(x + y) + z = x + (y + z)$$

- A3. There exists  $0 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $x + 0 = \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}$ . [Identity element under addition]
- A4. For each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  there is a  $w \in \mathbb{R}$  such that x + w = 0. [Inverse element under addition]

A5. 
$$xy = yx$$

A6. 
$$(xy)z = x(yz)$$

- A7. There exists  $1 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $1 \neq 0$  and  $x \cdot 1 = x$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- A8. For each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  different from 0 there is  $w \in \mathbb{R}$  such that xw = 1.

A9. 
$$x(y + z) = xy + xz$$
.

We can prove some properties now:

#### **Proposition 1.** The additive inverse is unique.

*Proof.* Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Suppose we have two numbers  $w_1, w_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $x + w_1 = 0 = x + w_2$ . Using the axioms and our assumption, we can show the following:

$$w_1 = w_1 + 0$$
 Axiom A3  
 $= w_1 + x + w_2$  Assumption of  $0 = x + w_2$   
 $= w_2 + xw_1$  Axiom A1  
 $= w_2$ 

which completes the proof.

- **B.** The Axioms of Order: The subset P of positive real numbers satisfies the following:
  - B1. If  $x, y \in P$ , then  $x + y \in P$ .
  - B2. If  $x, y \in P$ , then  $xy \in P$ .
  - B3. If  $x \in P$ , then  $-x \notin P$ .
  - B4. If  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , then x = 0 or  $x \in P$  or  $-x \in P$ .

Note that any system which satisfies the axioms of groups A and B is called an **ordered** field.

**Definition.** We can give definitions of the ordered operations <,  $\le$ , > and  $\ge$ .

- x < y means that  $y x \in P$ .
- $x \leq y$  means that  $y x \in P \cup \{0\}$ . Or, this means that x < y or x = y.
- x > y means that  $x y \in P$ .
- $x \ge y$  means that  $x y \in P \cup \{0\}$ . Or, this means that x > y or x = y.

From this, we can deduce and prove some which is any set which satisfies the axioms of group A and B.

**Definition.** Let  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  and define the absolute value as

$$|x| = \begin{cases} x & x \ge 0 \\ -x & x < 0. \end{cases}$$

**Proposition 2.** Let  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ .

- 1. a < b if and only if -b < -a.
- 2. If a < b and b < c, then a < c.
- 3. If a < b and c > 0, then ac < bc.
- 4. For  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ , then only one is true a = b, a > b and a < b.
- 5. If  $x \neq 0$ , then  $x^2 = x \cdot x > 0$ ; in particular, 1 > 0.
- 6. If  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $|x + y| \le |x| + |y|$ .

**Definition.** Let  $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ . The number  $b \in \mathbb{R}$  is an **upper bound** for S if for each  $x \in S$ , we have  $x \leq b$ .

Similarly, a number  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  is the **least upper bound** for S if it is an upper bound for S and if S if it is an upper bound for S and denote this S if it is an upper bound S and denote this S if it is an upper bound for S and denote this S if it is an upper bound for S and denote this S if it is an upper bound for S and denote this S if it is an upper bound for S and denote this S if it is an upper bound for S and S if it is an upper bound for S and S if it is an upper bound for S and S if it is an upper bound for S and S if it is an upper bound for S and S if it is an upper bound for S if it is an upper bound for S and S if it is an upper bound for S if it is an upper bound for S and S if it is an upper bound for S if it is an upper bound for S and S if it is an upper bound for S is an upper bound for S if it is an upper bound for S if

**Definition.** Let  $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ . The number  $l \in \mathbb{R}$  is an **lower bound** for S if for each  $x \in S$ , we have  $l \leq x$ .

Similarly, a number  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  is the **greatest lower bound** for S if it is a lower bound for S and if  $x \leq l$  for each lower bound l of S. We then call x the **infimum** of S and denote this  $x = \inf S$ .

C. Completeness Axiom: Every nonempty set  $S \subset \mathbb{R}$  which has an upper bound has a least upper bound.

**Proposition 3.** Let  $L, U \subset \mathbb{R}$  be nonempty subsets with  $R = L \cup U$  and such that for each  $l \in L$  and each  $u \in U$  we have l < u. Then either L has a greatest element or L has a least element.

**Proposition 4** (Approximation Property.). Let  $S \subset \mathbb{R}$  be a nonempty. If  $u = \sup S$ , then for all  $\gamma > 0$ , there exists  $Sr \in S$  such that u - r < Sr < u.

**Theorem** (2.3, **Axiom of Archimedes**). If  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  is any real number, then there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that x < n.

*Proof.* We can break this into two cases

- 1. Let x < 1. If so, then simply choose x = 1.
- 2. Let  $x \ge 1$ . Define the set  $S = \{n \in N : n \le x\}$ . Then since this set is bounded above, by the Completeness Axiom,  $\sup S = y$  exists. Because x is an upper bound S, by definition of the supremum, we have that  $y \le x$ . Let  $r = \frac{1}{2}$ . Then we can find  $k \in S$  such that  $y \frac{1}{2} < k \le y$ . But then we have that  $y < y + \frac{1}{2} < k + 1 \le y + 1$ . Then this means  $k + 1 \notin S$  and so x < k + 1, completing this case.

Having exhausted all cases, this completes the proof.

**Proposition 1** (Well-Ordering Principle). Every nonempty subset  $S \subset \mathbb{N}$  has a minimum.

**Proposition 2** (Density of the Rational Numbers). Let  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then if x < y, there exists  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $x < \gamma < y$ 

#### Section 2.4, Sequences in $\mathbb{R}$

**Definition.** We define a **sequence** of real numbers to be a function that maps each each natural number n into the real number x. That is, a sequence is a function  $s : \mathbb{N} \to A$  for  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ . This is written as  $\{x_n\}$  or  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ .

**Definition** (Convergence of a Sequence). A sequence converges to the real number  $l \in \mathbb{R}$  if for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \geq N$ ,

$$|a_n - l| < \varepsilon$$
.

**Definition** (Cauchy Sequence). A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  is Cauchy sequence if for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n, m \geq N$ ,

$$|a_n - a_m| < \varepsilon.$$

**Theorem.** Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then  $\{x_n\}$  is Cauchy if and only  $\{x_n\}$  is Cauchy.

**Definition.** The number  $l \in \mathbb{R}$  is called a **cluster point** of  $\{x_n\}$  if there exists a subsequence  $\{x_{n_m}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $x_{n_m} \to l$ .

We can define this in another way. The number  $l \in \mathbb{R}$  is called **cluster point** of  $\{x_n\}$  if for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for all  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $n \geq N$  such that  $|x_n - l| < \varepsilon$ .

**Definition.** We define the **limit superior** of a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  to be

$$\overline{\lim}_{n\to\infty} x_n = \inf_n \sup_{k\geq n} x_k.$$

This is also denoted as  $\limsup$ .

**Theorem.** A number  $l \in \mathbb{R}$  is the **limit superior** of the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  if and only if

- (i) For all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $k \geq n$ ,  $x_k < l + \varepsilon$
- (ii) For all  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $k \geq n$  such that  $x_k > l \varepsilon$ .

**Definition.** We define the **limit inferior** of a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  to be

$$\underline{\lim}_{n\to\infty} x_n = \sup_n \inf_{k\geq n} x_k.$$

This is also denoted as liminf.

**Theorem.** A number  $l \in \mathbb{R}$  is the **limit inferior** of the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  if and only if

- (i) For all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $k \geq n$ ,  $x_k > l \varepsilon$
- (ii) For all  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $k \geq n$  such that  $x_k < l + \varepsilon$ .

**Proposition 3.** From the last two definitions, we have the following property.

- $\overline{\lim}_{n\to\infty}$  is the largest cluster point.
- $\underline{\lim}_{n\to\infty}$  is the smallest cluster point.

#### Section 2.5, Open and Closed Sets in $\mathbb{R}$

**Definition.** The set  $O \subset \mathbb{R}$  is called an **open** set if for all  $x \in O$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $x - \delta, x + \delta$ .

Equivalently, O is an **open** set if for all  $x \in O$ , there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that each y with  $|x - y| < \delta$  belongs to O.

**Proposition 4.** From this above, we have the following properties:

- 1. The set  $\bigcup_{\alpha} O_{\alpha}$  is open.
- 2. The set  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{n} O_m$  is open.

**Theorem** (Lindelof Theorem). Every open set in  $\mathbb{R}$  is a disjoint union of countable union of open intervals.

*Proof.* This proof is contained on page 42 of Royden.

**Definition.** A real number  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  is called **point of closure** of a set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$  if for every  $\delta > 0$  there exists a  $y \in E$  such that  $|x - y| < \delta$ .

The set of points of closure of E is denoted  $\overline{E}$ .

**Proposition 5.** If  $A \subset B \subset \mathbb{R}$ , then  $\overline{A} \subset \overline{B}$ . Additionally,  $\overline{A \cup B} = \overline{A} \cup \overline{B}$ .

*Proof.* The proof of this is on page 43 of Royden.

**Definition.** A set  $F \subset \mathbb{R}$  is called a **closed** set if  $\overline{F} = F$ .

Note that because  $F \subset \overline{F}$  always, a set F is closed if  $\overline{F} \subset F$ —that is, F contains all of its points of closure.

**Proposition 6.** For any set E, the set  $\overline{E}$  is closed; that is  $\overline{\overline{E}} = \overline{E}$ .

**Proposition 7.** Let  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ . Then E is open if and only if  $E^{\mathfrak{C}}$  is closed.

**Definition.** We say that a collection of sets  $\mathcal{C}$  is a **cover** of a set F if

$$F\subset\bigcup_{O\in\mathfrak{C}}O.$$

The collection  $\mathcal{C}$  is a covering of the set F.

**Theorem** (Heine-Borel). Let  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$  be set. Then E is compact if and only if E is closed and bounded.

## Compactness

**Theorem.** Let  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ . Then E is compact if and only E is sequentially compact. That is, for every  $\{x_n\}$  in E, there exists a convergent subsequence  $x_{n_m} \to x_0$  in E.

**Theorem.** Let  $\{I_n\}$  be a sequence of closed intervals such that  $I_{n+1} \subset I_n$ . Then

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n \neq \emptyset.$$

If  $[a_n, b_n]$  is an interval and  $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} = a$ , then  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty}$ .

#### Section 2.6, Continuous Functions

**Definition.** Let  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ , and let  $f : E \to \mathbb{R}$  be a real-valued function. Then f is **continuous** at the point  $x = a \in E$  if for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for all  $y \in E$  with  $|x - y| < \delta$  implies that  $|f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon$ .

Note that we can have continuity in terms of sequences. I will state it as a theorem here even though it was not in lecture because it is important to be able to use on its own.

**Theorem.** Let  $f: E \to \mathbb{R}$  be a function with  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $x \in E$  be any point. Then f is continuous at a if and only for every sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in E converging to a, the sequence  $\{f(x_n)\}$  in f(E) (the image of E) converges to f(a).

**Proposition.** Let  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$  be compact. Let  $f : E \to \mathbb{R}$  be continuous real-valued function. Then f(E) is a compact set.

Proof. Let  $\subset \mathbb{R}$  be a compact and suppose the function  $f: E \to \mathbb{R}$  is continuous. To show that f(E) is compact, we will use the Heine-Borel theorem and show that it is closed and bounded. To show that f(E) is closed, suppose we have any sequence  $\{f(x_n)\}$  converging to the point  $f(a) \in \mathbb{R}$ . Additionally, let  $\{x_n\}$  be any sequence in E. Because E is compact, there exists a subsequence  $\{x_{n_m}\}$  which converges to a point  $x_0 \in E$ . Since f is continuous, by the preceding theorem this means that the sequence  $\{f(x_{n_m})\}$  converges to  $f(x_0) \in f(E)$ .

**Proposition (2.17, Extreme Value Theorem).** Let  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$  be a compact set, and let  $f: E \to \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function. Then there exists  $x_1, x_2 \in E$  such that

$$f(x_1) \le f(x) \le (x_2)$$
, for all  $x \in E$ .

**Proposition** (2.18). Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be a function. Then f is **continuous** if and only if  $f^{-1}(O)$  is open for all open sets  $O \subset \mathbb{R}$ .

**Proposition** (2.19). Let  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ , and let  $f : E \to \mathbb{R}$  be continuous. Without loss of generality, suppose that  $f(a) \leq f(b)$ . Then for all  $\gamma \in [f(a), f(b)]$ , there exists  $c \in [a, b]$  such that  $f(c) = \gamma$ .

**Definition** (Uniform Continuity). Let  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ . A function  $f : E \to \mathbb{R}$  is uniformly continuous if for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for all  $x, y \in E$  with  $|x - y| < \delta$  implies that  $|f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon$ .

**Proposition** (2.20). Let  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$  be a compact set. If  $f : E \to \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous function on E, then f is uniformly continuous on E.

**Definition.** Let  $f_n: E \to \mathbb{R}$  be a sequence of functions, and let  $f: E \to \mathbb{R}$ .

- 1. The sequence  $\{f_n\}$  converges pointwise on E to f if for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for all  $x \in E$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \geq N$ ,  $|f(x) f_n(x)| < \varepsilon$ .
- 2. The sequence  $\{f_n\}$  converges uniformly if for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $x \in E$  and for all  $n \geq N$ ,  $|f(x) f_n(z)| < \varepsilon$ .

# Section 3.1, Lebesgue Measure

[Perhaps finish these notes another time...]

## Section 3.2, Outer Measure

**Definition.** The outer measure  $m^*(A)$  of a set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  is given

$$m^*(A) = \inf_{A \subset \bigcup I_n} \sum_n l(I_n)$$

where  $\{I_n\}$  is a countable collection of open intervals that cover A.

Note that from this definition, we get that

- 1.  $m^*(\emptyset) = 0$
- 2. If  $A \subset B$ ,  $m^*(A) < m^*(B)$ .
- 3.  $m^*$  does not satisfy disjoint additivity.

**Proposition** (3.1). The outer measure of an interval is its length; that is,  $m^*(I) = l(I)$  where I = [a, b], (a, b), [a, b), or (a, b].

*Proof.* It is sufficient to show that  $m^*([a,b]) = l([a,b])$  since every other interval is a subset of [a,b]. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then  $[a,b] \subset \left[a-\frac{\varepsilon}{2},b+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right]$  which implies, by the definition of the outer measure,

$$m^*([a,b]) \le l\left(\left[a - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, b + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right]\right) = b - a + \varepsilon.$$

Because  $\varepsilon$  was fixed, this means that  $m^* \leq b - a$ .

Now we must show that  $m^* \geq b - a$ . Because [a, b] is compact, for any collection  $\{I_n\}$  of open intervals covering [a, b], there exists a finite collection of intervals  $\{I_1, \ldots, I_k\}$  so that

$$[a,b] \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{k} I_n.$$

This gives us that

$$\sum_{n} I_n \ge \sum_{n=1}^{k} I_n \ge b - a$$

and so b-a is a lower bound. But since  $m^*$  as the greatest lower bound of all such sums, we have that  $m^* \ge b-a$ .

Therefore,  $m^*([a, b]) = l([a, b]) = b - a$ .

**Proposition** (3.2, Subadditivity). Let  $\{A_n\}$  be a countable collection of sets on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$m^* \left( \bigcup_n A_n \right) \le \sum_n m^*(A_n).$$

Proof. Proof on page 57.

Corollary (3.3). If A is a countable set, then  $m^*(A) = 0$ .

*Proof.* Proof is on the end of page 57.

## Section 3.3, Measurable Sets and Lebesgue Measure

**Definition.** A set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$  is (Lebesgue) **measurable** if for all sets A, we have that

$$m^*(A) = m^*(A \cup E) + m^*(A \cup E^{\mathcal{C}}).$$

**Lemma** (3.6). If  $m^*(E) = 0$ , then E is measurable.

*Proof.* Let A be any chosen set. Because  $A \cap E \subset E$  and  $m^*(E) = 0$ ,

$$m^*(A \cap E) \le m(E) = 0.$$

Note that  $A \cap E^{\mathfrak{C}} \subset A$  and so  $m^*(A) \leq m^*(A \cap E^{\mathfrak{C}})$  and so it suffices to show that  $m^*(A) \geq m^*(A \cap E^{\mathfrak{C}})$ . Using this, we can show that

$$m^*(A) \ge m^*(A \cap E^{\mathcal{C}}) + 0 = m^*(A \cap E^{\mathcal{C}}) = m^*(A \cap E)$$

giving us the desired result.

**Definition.** Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be the set of measurable sets in  $\mathbb{R}$ 

**Lemma** (3.7). If  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are measurable sets, then so is  $E_1 \cup E_2$ .

*Proof.* Proof on top of page 57.

Corollary (3.8). The family  $\mathcal{M}$  of measurable sets if an algebra of sets. In other words, if  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ , then  $E^{\mathfrak{C}} \in \mathcal{M}$ . Further, if  $E_1, E_2 \in \mathcal{M}$ , then  $E_1 \cup E_2 \in \mathcal{M}$ .

**Lemma (3.9).** Let A be any set, and  $E_1, \ldots, E_n$  be a finite sequence of sets such that  $E_i \cap E_j$  for all  $i \neq j$ . Then

$$m^*\left(A\cap\left[\bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i\right]\right)=\sum_{i=1}^n m^*(A\cap E_i).$$

*Proof.* We proceed by induction. For n=1, we have the set  $E_1$  and the equality holds. Suppose that we have n=k sets  $E_1, \ldots, E_k$  with  $E_i \cap E_j \neq \emptyset$  for all  $i \neq j$  so that

$$m^* \left( A \cap \left[ \bigcup_{i=1}^k E_i \right] \right) = \sum_{i=1}^k m^* (A \cap E_i).$$

Consider n = k + 1. Because each  $E_i$  is disjoint,

$$A \cap \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k+1} E_i\right) \cap E_{k+1} = A \cap E_{k+1};$$
$$A \cap \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k+1} E_i\right) \cap E_{k+1}^{\mathcal{C}} = A \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} E_i.$$

Because the  $E_i$ 's are measurable,

$$m^* \left( A \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{k+1} E_i \right) = m^* \left( A \cap E_{k+1} \right) + m^* \left( A \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^k E_i \right)$$

$$= m^* \left( A \cap E_{k+1} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^k m^* (A \cap E_i) \qquad \text{Induction Hypothesis}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} m^* (A \cap E_i)$$

which, by induction, completes the proof.

**Theorem** (3.10).  $\mathcal{M}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra. In other words, in addition to being an algebra of sets, if  $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{M}$ , then  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i \in \mathcal{M}$ .

Proof. <sup>1</sup>

**Lemma** (3.11). The interval  $(a, \infty)$  is measurable for all  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Proof. <sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Proof on bottom of page 59 and top of page 60.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Proof on the bottom of page 60 through the middle of page 61.

**Theorem** (3.12). Every Borel set is measurable. In particular, each open set and each closed set is measurable.

Proof. <sup>3</sup>

**Definition.** Let  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ . We define  $m(E) := m^*(E)$  to be the **Lebesgue measure** of E/

**Proposition** (3.13, Countable Additivity). Let  $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^n$  be a sequence of measurable sets. Then

$$m\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) \le \sum_{i=1}^n m(E_i).$$

If, in addition,  $E_i \cap E_j$  for all  $i \neq j$ . then

$$m\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} m(E_i).$$

**Proposition** (3.14). Let  $\{E_i\} \subset \mathcal{M}$  be a decreasing sequence (i.e.,  $E_{i+1} \subset E_i$ ). Let  $m(E_1) < \infty$ . Then

$$m\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n\right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} m(E_n).$$

**Proposition** (3.15). Let E be any given set. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) E is measurable.
- (ii) For all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is an open set  $O \supset E$  with  $m^*(O \setminus E) < \varepsilon$ .
- (iii) For all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a closed set  $F \subset E$  with  $m^*(E \setminus F) < \varepsilon$ .
- (iv) There is a  $G \in G_{\delta}$  with  $E \subset G$  such that  $m^*(G \setminus E) = 0$ .
- (v) There is a  $F \in F_{\sigma}$  with  $F \subset E$  such that  $m^*(E \setminus F) = 0$ . If  $m^*(E) < \infty$ , the above statements are equivalent:
- (vi) For all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a finite union U of open intervals such that  $m^*(U\Delta E) < \varepsilon$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Proof on the bottom of page 61.

## Section 3.5, Measurable Functions

**Proposition** (3.18). Let  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ , and Let  $f : E \to [-\infty, \infty]$  be an extended real-valued function whose domain is measurable. Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  be any real number. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) The set  $\{x: f(x) > \alpha\}$  is measurable.
- (ii) The set  $\{x: f(x) \ge \alpha\}$  is measurable.
- (iii) The set  $\{x: f(x) < \alpha\}$  is measurable.
- (iv) The set  $\{x: f(x) \leq \alpha\}$  is measurable. All together, these imply
- (v) The set  $\{x: f(x) = \alpha\}$  is measurable.

Proof. 1

**Definition.** An extended real-valued function  $f: E \to [-\infty, \infty]$  is (Lebesgue) measurable if its domain is measurable and satisfies one of the first four statements of Proposition 18.

Note that this means that any continuous function is measurable since then the pre-image of any open set is still an open set.

**Proposition** (3.19). Let f and g be two measurable functions defined on the same domain, and let  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then the functions f + c, cf, f + g, g - f, and fg are measurable.

*Proof.* For f(x) + c, note that

$$\{x : f(x) + c < \alpha\} = \{x : f(x) < \alpha - c\}.$$

Theorem (3.20, Limit of Measurable Functions is Measurable). Let  $\{f_n\}$  be a sequence of measurable functions with the same domain. Then the functions  $\sup\{f_1(x),\ldots,f_n(x)\}$ ,  $\inf\{f_1(x),\ldots,f_n(x)\}$ ,  $\sup_n f_n$ ,  $\inf_n f_n$ ,  $\overline{\lim} f_n$ , and  $\underline{\lim} f_n$  are measurable.

*Proof.* Let  $\{f_n\}$  be a sequence of measurable functions. Let  $h(x) = \sup\{f_1(x), \dots, f_n(x)\}$  and we so must show that  $\{x : h(x) < \alpha\}$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . To that end, let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  be chosen. Then

$${x: h(x) < \alpha} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} {x: f_i(x) > \alpha}$$

which, because the right-hand side is a union of measurable sets from the  $f_i$ 's being measurable, means that the set  $\{x:h(x)<\alpha\}$  is also measurable.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Proof is on page 67.

**Definition.** A property is said to hold **almost everywhere** (a.e) if the set of points where it fails to hold is a set of measure zero. Thus f = g a.e if f and g have the same domain and  $m\{x : f(x) \neq g(x)\}$ .

**Proposition** (3.21). If f is measurable and f = g a.e, then g is measurable.

*Proof.* Let  $\{x: g(x) > \alpha\}$ . This is equivalent to saying that

$${x: g(x) > \alpha} = {x: f(x) > \alpha} \cup {x: g(x) > \alpha}$$

**Proposition** (3.22). Let  $f:[a,b]\to E$  be a measurable function with  $E\subset\mathbb{R}$  and is equal to  $\pm\infty$  only on sets with measure zero. Then for all  $\varepsilon>0$ , there exist a step function g and a continuous function f such

$$|f - g| < \varepsilon$$
 and  $|f - h| < \varepsilon$ 

except on set of measure less than  $\varepsilon$ ; i.e.,  $m\{x:|f(x)-g(x)|\geq \varepsilon\}<\varepsilon$  and  $m\{x:|f(x)-h(x)|\geq \varepsilon\}<\varepsilon$ . If in addition  $m\leq f\leq M$ , then we may choose the functions g and h so that  $m\leq g\leq M$  and  $m\leq h\leq M$ .

**Proposition** (3.23, (Weak) Egonoff's Theorem). Let E be a measurable set of finite measure, and  $\{f_n\}$  be a sequence of measurable functions defined on E. Let f be real-valued function such for each  $x \in E$  we have  $f_n(x) \to f(x)$ . Then for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  and all  $\delta > 0$ , there is measurable set  $A \subset E$  with  $m(A) < \delta$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $x \notin A$  and all  $n \geq N$ ,

$$|f_n(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon.$$