Preparing 4 qubit cluster state with requence First lete just try adapting 2.8.21 's scheme like with 7.8.23, so that we project onto $k_1+k_2-N=k_3$ and $k_4-k_3+N=k_2$ This diein't produce something nice The non-zero coefficients of I tre (keel) 1th/ the cluster state are quite different shape grow the cluster state anyway. I think we want something we MG How wort apply som seprence here? 16H5> IEPRI H= (1,-1,)+ (1,-1,) involving 3 H= P3-13/5 Hx= (2,+2,+1,+1,+1)5 gubits rince $H_{x} = (l_{x}^{2} - l_{x}^{3})_{5}$ The stabilizers are The Zitz, Zita, X, X2 72 , 72 x5 X4 What does work much better is to use project on all 4 sizes of the Tetrahedrin Pt= [1k, k, k, > < k, k, k, 1 { K, + k, - N = K3, - K, + K, + N = k} But how to do this? I think the only way is to implement a Hamiltonian like $H = (k_1 + k_2 - N - k_2)^2 + (-k_1 + k_2 + N - k_2)^2 + (+ k_2 + N - k_2)^2 + (+ k_3 + N - k_2)^2 + (+ k_4 + N - k_2)^2 + (+ k_5 + N - k_2)^2$ H= | k, th, -N- +1 + (-k, th, + N+1) + (+, t, +N+2) + | k, t, +Ntp) OK what happens if we do ex and ex extx = 1. 10 everywhere is constant Ah but it we have ____ Say we want H = |x| + |y|? Hen Achally m. we want x=0 or y=0 we want $H=\times y$ on that bad. In this case it is $H = ((k_1 + k_2 - N) - k_3) (-(k_1 + k_2 - N) - k_1) ((-k_1 + k_2 + N) - k_3) ((k_1 - k_2 + N) - k_3)$

52+52 - 52+W wait in 29.7.21 we have S= +5= = - (5=+N.) So -(5=+N) = 5=+5= = 5=+N 0/10 S=-N = S=-S= = -S=+N $((2_{1}^{2}+2_{2}^{2})+((2_{1}^{2}+2_{1}^{$ 50 $= \left[\left(\zeta_{5}^{1} + \zeta_{5}^{5} \right)_{7} - \left(\zeta_{5}^{4} + \omega \right)_{5} \right] \left[\left(\zeta_{5}^{1} - \zeta_{5}^{5} \right)_{5} - \left(\zeta_{5}^{4} - \omega \right)_{5} \right]$ Tro complex unat basis is the GHT relative to the cluster state. Previously he were marking with (at at, at, + 6t, 6t, 6t, 100) which is like Z, 7, Z, Z, X, X, X, (at, at, (at, at + 6, 14a) + 6, 6, 6, (at, at, -6, 6, 1) 10) Here we have 7, 7, 7, 2, 4, X, X, 2, 3, 2, X, X, 4 100> (100>+(115) + 1115 (100>-1115) OK. so if we this is like P? 1000>1+> + 1001>1-> = +1110/11/- 11115/-> so we just need to do H, Can't stumble upon comething that monks. Uny did the GHZ nock with just one Px anyway? Qubit cake. ground staks: a 1011) "+ b 11015" + c (1110) $H_{x} = (S_{x} + S_{x}^{x} + S_{x}^{y} + M)$ @ 11017 + P10117 + C10007 (-54,-54+5x+4)2 a1000) + 6/110> + (1011) (-Sx1+Sx3-83+41)2 (±±±14> In the Z-basis the eigenstate look like W. W. Lets look at afformative px

avolutions for the GHZ state.

Playing with $H^r = (s_1^t + s_2^t + s_3^t + l)^2$ If gens l = N is the only are that morks well so the only thing that really can mark is to apply stabilizers in different sites. Let's nork in the original basis $\rightarrow (a_1^{\dagger}a_2^{\dagger}+b_1^{\dagger}a_2^{\dagger})^{10}$ stabiliars : X, Z, Z, X, N=2Hamiltonians: H= (Sx-S2)2 H= (5x-5x)2 - \(\frac{k}{\lambda}\) \(\lambda\) \(\lam $=\sum_{k}^{\kappa}|k\rangle_{(s)}(k)$ stabilizers: X, Z, Z, X, Z, X, Z, X, Z, X, Z M=3 Hamiltonians: H= (Sx-Sx)2 H= (Sx+Sx+Sx+N)2 H3= (Sx-Sx)2 OH. Maybe our previous basis was money its XXZ not XXX H=(53-54)2 OR another method Do like 26.3.21 and do inside-out N (+>> (EPR>> (+>> (\$\alpha_{2}^{\tau_{3}} \alpha_{3}^{\tau_{4}} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \dagger{4} \ = 1+7> \(\(\k\) \(\ First prepare = Qt ((a, a, +b, b, b,) a, + (a, a, -b, b, b,) b,) 1+2 = Q1 Q4 ((a, a, +b, b, b, a, a, + (a, a, -b, b, b, b, b, b)) OK lets redo the code to fix up the basis. Doing a sequence like $P_{12}^{*}B_{34}^{*}(P_{123}^{*}P_{234}^{*})$ (to) this superficially seem to make a C4 charter state x (k,)(k,) BUT! I think the probabilities actually mater. Even of the GHZ case, the probability of of pzpx (ie. eigenvalue) is not 1. This 'means we will not get this outcome with p=1 ⇒ NOT deterministic

But lets ray we think like combine it with the Uc in ITE. We actually choose which are eigenstates by applying a Uc it its not the desired eigenvalue.

So instead of targetting $S_1^2 + S_2^2 + N = 0$ why can't we just target all st+sx+sx+N=0? This is just a change in Uc? 5,+12+13+N 2) Apply Uc it 60 How about we 1) measure othinise do nothing What kind of operation does this make? Simple example what are the eigenstaks of $S^{\frac{7}{2}}$ $U_{c}(s^{\frac{7}{2}} \pm 0)$ This is $M_{k} = 1k \times k$ $U_{c} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 1k \times k + \sum_{k \geq 0} 1k \times k$ Then $U_{c}M_{k} = \begin{cases} |k\rangle\langle k| & k \geq \frac{12}{2} \\ |k\rangle\langle k| & k < \frac{12}{2} \end{cases}$ Eigenvech, $U_cM_k(k)^{(x)} = \lambda_1(k)^{(x)}$ $V_cM_k(k)^{(x)} = (k)^{(x)}$ OK so actually what will happen is that any *<2 outcome will collapse, to (x). So we will not have a superposition thabilized like Site 14). Doing the above procedure will collapse to a random valve of stylester. So it just raises the probability. In this balis OK lets look at the state again off-diagonal: Z, X, Z, (a, azaz + b, bzbz) 10> diagonal: X, Zz ZzX3 or ½(1+0+) +1-1->) = 103> 1 CHZ1, | 0, + 02+ 03 Go to other basis 0+0 0+1 \star (100) + (000) + (100) + (101) O - 0 +1010>-1011>= 1110> +1111> -1 ← 0-1 1+0 = 10+0>+10-1>+11-0> +11+1> _ 1 1+1 -1 V 1-0 -3 1-1 X OK tagete 3 out it 4 state

we could also use the natural double peak. and use 1 Am $\sigma_1^{\dagger} + \sigma_2^{\dagger} + \sigma_2^{\dagger} - 1 = \pm 2$ and get all the peaks OK plotting the function $E = -s^2, -s^2, -s^2 - N$ makes clear why 7.8.23 works. In the 4 sided pyramid. The function makes one side have E=0. Then E=-2 10 so this makes a large number, but not all the point be included in the state. We can improve this slightly by doing $H = -2^{2} - 2^{2} - 2^{3} - N + 2 = -5$ which includes to bottom that layers, not just one H = 2k, +2k, +2k3 -4N+2 = of $h = -2k_1 - 2k_2 - 2k_3 + 2N + 2 = +2$ Also tried a projector based ITE but proper convergence is NOT attainted because tre H123 hamilbnian dies NOT have the target state as an eigenstate what happens is that F, + so everytime H123 comes along this get direpted. Of Hus Hu Hus Hus It does not fully converge since the Hamiltonians don't all have the 647 state as the taget state So I think we are stack! This non't work like the EPR · We need all Hamiltonians to have a common eigenstate state because: · We really need 3rd order intoractions, like 5, 5, 13 [ak |kkk) | kt kt kt) o But we can only make 3rd order with bug interaction times, but this is probably hard A son uses M-1-12-12-14-14 materixorgas set gridd .

but it is actually not so different to just starting in 1+321+321+32 and then hoping for a $\Delta=0$ outcome in the P_{12} and P_{23} projections. There is an advantage in 3 terms of the binomial factor. $\sum_{k} J(\frac{n}{k}) \left(\frac{n}{k} + \frac{n}{k} \right)$

So the only thing that has come from this is:

- Making 16HZ) and above with a convergent sequence like (EPR) looks impossible without gain to long interaction times
- Using a single-shot nethod, we can improve the binomial coefficient by initially preparing in $S_1^* + (S_2^* + (S_3^* = N))$. We will need to account for the imperfect $\Delta_{12} \Delta_{23}$ outcomes so we will get $\sum_{k} |k\rangle|k+\Delta\rangle|k+\Delta'\rangle$ ax

NEXT: Let's play with various initializations like $r^x + s^x + s^z = N$ Or $s^x + s^x + s^z + s^z = 0$ to see it we get a good binomial Suchor a_k