Variances for various GHZ states 7,8,23 To have the most stable state, probably we want an even distribution  $Var(5^2) = N Var(5^2) = Var(5^4)$ of variances. E.g. 1克, 九以 Var(1x) = 0  $\left( \left( \prod_{n=1}^{M} a_{n}^{+} \right) + \left( \prod_{n=1}^{M} b_{n}^{+} \right) \right)^{N} 10^{2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}^{N}} \sum_{k=n}^{N} \binom{N}{k} \prod_{n=1}^{M} a_{n}^{+k} b_{n}^{+k-1} 10^{2}$ General GH7 state = 1/2" \( \frac{k}{N} \) \( \frac{k!}{N-k!} \) \( \frac{k...k}{N} \) ~ \( \frac{\k!(N-\k)}{N-2} \) Normalization  $N = \sum_{k=1}^{N} (k! (N-k)!)$ For M=2. We calculated already that 5+ But at  $\gamma = \frac{\pi}{4}$   $Var(\hat{S}_{N}) = \frac{1}{3}N(N+2)$ so although Var(sxy) > Var(s2) X = At  $x=\frac{\pi}{4}$  they are the same! So for the maximally entangled state M=2, the spinor state is most symmetrical. Ok so we need to do  $|0\rangle = (\cos x(\frac{\pi}{11}a^{\dagger}n) + \cos x(\frac{\pi}{11}b^{\dagger}n))$   $|0\rangle$ N = \( \frac{1}{2} \cdot The variance graphs hat like M=3 suspected there is an effective specifing live to the businic 220 effect. Q= [ ( ) | k) ( k | Try (QZ) = [N] [ | k!(N-E)! | k) ( k) How to counter the bosinic effect? lets apply 



Or just change bosis for non INTI Z 1 KKK) = Q= ( a,a,a,+b,b,b,b) 10)  $= Q^{2}, \sum_{k} {\binom{n}{k}} (\vec{a}_{1}, \vec{a}_{2}, \vec{a}_{3})^{k} (\vec{b}_{1}, \vec{b}_{3}, \vec{b}_{3})^{k} 102$  $= Q_1 \sum_{k} (N_k) \sqrt{k! (N+k)!} 3 |kkk\rangle = \sum_{k} \sqrt{(N_k)} \sqrt{k! (N-k)!} 3 |kkk\rangle$ = Mi3 [ IKFF) OK  $x \ barii \ Q^{2} \left[ a^{\dagger}_{1} a^{\dagger}_{2} a^{\dagger}_{3} + a^{\dagger}_{1} b^{\dagger}_{2} b^{\dagger}_{3} + b^{\dagger}_{1} a^{\dagger}_{3} \right] 10$ he net some symmetries. This state has a symmetry like  $Z_1Z_2Z_3=+1$ if we say a=0 b=1or for the spins  $mod_2(k_1+k_2+k_3)=0$ we wild need to do the Manikandan type long interaction method to get this. (have to try to see whether it would work) (2) Convergent wethod with high interactions. It would be interesting to see it @ works but outsally @ might be simpler.  $\sum_{k} |kkkk\rangle = \sum_{k} {\binom{n}{k}}^{2} (a_{i}^{\dagger} a_{i}^{\dagger} a_{i}^{\dagger} a_{i}^{\dagger} a_{i}^{\dagger} a_{i}^{\dagger})^{k} (b_{i}^{\dagger} b_{i}^{\dagger} b_{i}^{\dagger} b_{i}^{\dagger})^{1} |0\rangle$ although more wasteful. = Q=2 ( a, a, a, a, a, a + b, b, b, b, b, b, b, a) 10) Looking back at what I did before: The relevant notes are 29.7.21 Sequential... 10,8,22 Stabiliting... 21,822 Making a GH? 23,9.22 Canve... 06,8,21 Making 02,8,21 Sequential. Of these, there one 2 successful approaches itseems Tetra =  $\begin{cases}
1 & \text{res} \\
29.7.21 & \text{sequential projections in get} \\
1 & \text{filter}
\end{cases} P^{2} = \sum_{k} |k_{k}k_{k}|^{(2)} P^{2} = \sum_{k,k_{2}\neq 0} |k_{k}k_{k}|^{(2)} |k_{k_{1}}k_{2}| |k_{k_{2}}k_{3}| |k_{1}|^{(2)} |k_$ 

Converges to  $(a'_1a'_2a'_3 + b'_1b'_2b'_1)'' 102 = \sum_{k} \sqrt{(k)} \text{ (kkt) } \text{ ExACTLY. NOW.}$ This also nocks for  $\alpha$  4 qubit church stake at those in 2.8.21. In 10.8.21 stabilising and 21.8.22 Making I do a sequence like  $e^{-(s_1^2-c_2^2)^2-\sin^2(\frac{2}{6})C_1^2\log^2 k}$  and this seems to converge to a state like  $\sum t_k |kkk\rangle$  but there is a lot of Apolan in  $t_k$  still

Roedon in the still

So lets take the code from 10,8,22 (tabilizing 1) and see what we get for our care Playing with this, coretting intoresting happens. Iterating EXD [ - ((2,-2,1)+ (2,-1,1)+ (1,-2,1)+)+] f 22] exp[- (sx+sx+sx+ N)2+] seems to produce something decent. Maybe approximately [ (N) /4 (KKK) Hussop oily depend on initial This is double and maybe or in terms of decoherence. 1+3303 +1 (EPR)31+33 Why does this semi-work? Maybe its like the tetrahedron method One of the criterion is  $k_1+k_2+k_3-N \ge 0$  $\sum_{i=1}^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{3} + N = 2k_{i} + 2k_{2} + 2k_{3} - 3N + N = 2(k_{i} + k_{i} + k_{i} - N)$ what is Similar 0 = N+ 52+ 52-12-Try the other 3 conditions, From 29.7.21 -5 +52 -53 +N 20 +(2+12+13+N3+N30 +51-55-63+N70 29.7.21 I actually say that  $H = \left(-\zeta_{1}^{2} - \zeta_{2}^{2} + \zeta_{3}^{2} + N\right)_{7} + \left(-\zeta_{1}^{2} + \zeta_{2}^{2} - \zeta_{3}^{2} + N\right)_{7} + \left(\zeta_{1}^{2} + \zeta_{2}^{2} + \zeta_{3}^{2} + N\right)_{7} + \left(\zeta_{1}^{2} - \zeta_{2}^{2} - \zeta_{3}^{2} + N\right)_{7} + \left(\zeta_{1}^{2} - \zeta_{2}^{2$ is an ok may to do thinks one of the 4, as well as the Z-7 projector works using only It jeems Similar Ruc Fidelity! ( F) 0/132 ( 1) 1, 22 6,991 859,0 (2) % Bit had to see most kind of function the spoke conveyes to . But it does take Combrege a film like \(\frac{1}{k}\)^p(kkk) [ IKKE (")(,3 1 28983

Can up derive the state? It must be a state that satisfies  $-S_{1}^{2}-S_{3}^{2}+S_{3}^{2}+N=0$ . From 29.7.21 The way to get it is to find the largest eigenvalue of  $b_{5}b_{x}(y) = y(y)$  $p^{2} = \sum_{k_{1},k_{2}} |kkk \times k_{k}|^{(2)} \qquad p^{k} = \sum_{k_{1},k_{2}} |k_{1}k_{2}|^{(2)} |k_{1}k_{2}|^{(2)$ So  $p^{\frac{1}{2}}p^{x} = \sum_{k \neq i, \neq i} \{k_{i} \neq k_{i} \neq k_{i}$ (1) = \(\sigma\_k \cdot \k' \k' \s'\)  $A_{kkl} = \sum_{(4)}^{(4)} \frac{\langle k | k_1 \rangle^{(x)}}{\langle k_1 | k_1 \rangle} \frac{\langle k | k_2 \rangle}{\langle k_1 | k_2 \rangle} \frac{\langle k_1 | k_2 \rangle}{\langle k_2 | k_1 \rangle} \frac{\langle k_1 | k_2 \rangle}{\langle k_1 | k_2 \rangle} \frac{\langle k_1 | k_2 \rangle}{\langle k_1 | k_2 \rangle} \frac{\langle k_1 | k_2 \rangle}{\langle k_1 | k_2 \rangle} \frac{\langle k_1 | k_2 \rangle}{\langle k_1 | k_2 \rangle} \frac{\langle k_1 | k_2 \rangle}{\langle k_1 | k_2 \rangle} \frac{\langle k_1 | k_2 \rangle}{\langle k_1 | k_2 \rangle} \frac{\langle k_1 | k_2 \rangle}{\langle k_1 | k_2 \rangle} \frac{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle}{\langle k_1 | k_2 \rangle} \frac{\langle k_1 | k_2 \rangle}{\langle k_1 | k_2 \rangle} \frac{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle}{\langle k_1 | k_2 \rangle} \frac{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle}{\langle k_1 | k_2 \rangle} \frac{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle}{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle} \frac{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle}{\langle k_1 | k_2 \rangle} \frac{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle}{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle} \frac{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle}{\langle k_1 | k_2 \rangle} \frac{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle}{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle} \frac{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle}{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle} \frac{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle}{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle} \frac{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle}{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle} \frac{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle}{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle} \frac{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle}{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle} \frac{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle}{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle} \frac{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle}{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle} \frac{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle}{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle} \frac{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle}{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle} \frac{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle}{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle} \frac{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle}{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle} \frac{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle}{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle} \frac{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle}{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle} \frac{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle}{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle} \frac{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle}{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle} \frac{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle}{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle} \frac{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle}{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle} \frac{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle}{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle} \frac{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle}{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle} \frac{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle}{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle} \frac{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle}{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle} \frac{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle}{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle} \frac{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle}{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle} \frac{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle}{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle} \frac{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle}{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle} \frac{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle}{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle} \frac{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle}{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle} \frac{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle}{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle} \frac{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle}{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle} \frac{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle}{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle} \frac{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle}{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle} \frac{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle}{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle} \frac{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle}{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle} \frac{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle}{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle} \frac{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle}{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle} \frac{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle}{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle} \frac{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle}{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle} \frac{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle}{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle} \frac{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle}{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle} \frac{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle}{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle} \frac{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle}{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle} \frac{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle}{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle} \frac{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle}{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle} \frac{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle}{\langle k_2 | k_2 \rangle} \frac{$ Just code up this matrix. OK this is much more efficient (v8 code) runerically this seem to approach. OK COOL. This is (p=p) 1+0> > \[ \( \( \frac{1}{2} \) \( \frac{1}{2} \) \( \frac{1}{2} \) \( \frac{1}{2} \) something F=0,99982 at N=40 To do: . Imaginary time preparation of this state So the segrential method produces (ERD) at a to billion [186]

Tust a QND would do QZZ (EPR) Spechulation: