

Assignment 1: CS205

Joshua Ross

RUID: 185008511

Rutgers University - New Brunswick

jjr276@scarletmail.rutgers.edu

18 September 2019

1.1

32c)

Problem: Construct a truth table for $p \oplus (p \vee q)$

p	q	$p \vee q$	$p \oplus (p \vee q)$
T	T	T	F
T	F	T	F
F	T	T	T
F	F	F	F

32f)

Problem: Construct a truth table for $(p \oplus q) \wedge (p \oplus \neg q)$

p	q	$\neg q$	$p \oplus q$	$p \oplus \neg q$	$(p \oplus q) \wedge (p \oplus \neg q)$
T	T	F	F	T	F
T	F	T	T	F	F
F	T	F	T	F	F
F	F	T	F	T	F

36c)

Problem: Construct a truth table for $(p \vee q) \vee r$

p	q	r	$p \vee q$	$(p \vee q) \vee r$
T	T	T	T	T
T	T	F	T	T
T	F	T	T	T
T	F	F	T	T
F	T	T	T	T
F	T	F	T	T
F	F	T	F	T
F	F	F	F	F

36f)

Problem: Construct a truth table for $(p \wedge q) \vee r$

p	q	r	$\neg r$	$p \wedge q$	$(p \wedge q) \vee \neg r$
T	T	T	F	T	T
T	T	F	T	T	T
T	F	T	F	F	F
T	F	F	T	F	T
F	T	T	F	F	F
F	T	F	T	F	T
F	F	T	F	F	F
F	F	F	T	F	T

1.3

26)

Problem: Use boolean algebra to show that $\neg p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)$ and $q \rightarrow (p \vee r)$ are logically equivalent

- $\neg p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r) \equiv \neg p \rightarrow (\neg q \vee r) \equiv p \vee (\neg q \vee r)$ by the implication law
- $p \vee (\neg q \vee r) \equiv \neg q \vee (p \vee r)$ by the commutative and associative laws
- $\neg q \vee (p \vee r) \equiv q \rightarrow (p \vee r)$ by the implication law

30)

Problem: Use boolean algebra to show that $(p \vee q) \wedge (\neg p \vee r) \rightarrow (q \vee r)$ is a tautology

- $(p \vee q) \wedge (\neg p \vee r) \rightarrow (q \vee r) \equiv \neg((p \vee q) \wedge (\neg p \vee r)) \vee (q \vee r)$ by the implication law
- $\neg((p \vee q) \wedge (\neg p \vee r)) \vee (q \vee r) \equiv \neg(p \vee q) \vee \neg(\neg p \vee r) \vee (q \vee r) \equiv (\neg p \wedge \neg q) \vee (p \wedge \neg r) \vee (q \vee r)$ by De Morgan law

- $(\neg p \wedge \neg q) \vee (p \wedge \neg r) \vee (q \vee r) \equiv ((\neg p \wedge \neg q) \vee q) \vee ((p \wedge \neg r) \vee r)$ by commutative and associative laws
- $((\neg p \wedge \neg q) \vee q) \vee ((p \wedge \neg r) \vee r) \equiv ((\neg p \vee q) \wedge (\neg q \vee q)) \vee ((p \vee r) \wedge (\neg r \vee r))$ by the distributive law
- $((\neg p \vee q) \wedge (\neg q \vee q)) \vee ((p \vee r) \wedge (\neg r \vee r)) \equiv ((\neg p \vee q) \wedge T) \vee ((p \vee r) \wedge T) \equiv \neg p \vee q \vee p \vee r$ by the negation and domination laws respectively
- $\neg p \vee q \vee p \vee r \equiv (p \vee \neg p) \vee r \vee q$ by commutative and associative laws
- $(\neg p \vee p) \vee q \vee r \equiv T \vee q \vee r \equiv T$ by negation and domination laws respectively, proving that this is a tautology

1.4

36a)

Problem: Find a counterexample (if possible) to $\forall x(x^2 \neq x)$ where the domain of x is all real numbers

For $x = 1$, $(1)^2 = (1)$, meaning $x^2 = x$, providing a counterexample to $\forall x(x^2 \neq x)$

36b)

Problem: Find a counterexample (if possible) to $\forall x(x^2 \neq 2)$ where the domain of x is all real numbers

For $x = 1$, $(\sqrt{2})^2 = 2$, meaning $x^2 = 2$, providing a counterexample to $\forall x(x^2 \neq 2)$

36c)

Problem: Find a counterexample (if possible) to $\forall x(|x| > 0)$ where the domain of x is all real numbers

For $x = 0$, $|0| = 0$, meaning $|x| = 0$, providing a counterexample to $\forall x(|x| > 0)$