


A: 1:N error tradeoff by dataset and enrollment type. N = 1600000 individuals Immigration Immigration Mugshot visa-border visa-kiosk natural 0.700 -0.500 0.300 0.200 -False negative identification rate, FNIR(T) enrolment_style consolidated-ONE-MATE random-ONE-MATE recent-ONE-MATE unconsolidated-ALL-MATES unconsolidated-ANY-MATE 0.010 0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 1e-02 3e-02 1e-01 3e-01 1e+00 1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 1e-02 3e-02 1e-01 3e-01 1e+00 1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 1e-02 3e-02 1e-01 3e-01 1e+00 1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 1e-02 3e-02 1e-01 3e-01 1e+00 1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 1e-02 3e-02 1e-01 3e-01 1e+00 1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 1e-02 3e-02 1e-01 3e-01 1e+00 1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 1e-02 3e-02 1e-01 3e-01 1e+00 1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 1e-02 3e-02 1e-01 3e-01 1e+00 1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 1e-02 3e-02 1e-01 3e-01 1e+00 1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 1e-02 3e-02 1e-01 3e-01 1e+00 1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 1e-02 3e-02 1e-01 3e-01 1e+00 1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 1e-02 3e-02 1e-01 3e-01 1e+00 1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 1e-02 3e-02 1e-01 3e-01 1e-01 False positive identification rate, FPIR(T)


B: Dependence of error rates on T by number enrolled identities, N, for Mugshot natural images



C: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate 7e+01 5e+01 3e+01 2e+01 -1e+01 -7e+00 -5e+00 -3e+00 -2e+00 -1e+00 -7e-01 -5e-01 -3e-01 -2e-01 -1e-01 -Enrolled images: recent N = 1600000 7e-02 - 7e-02 - 7e-03 Mugshot natural Mugshot profile Mugshot webcam 7e-03 5e-03 -3e-03 -2e-03 -1e-03 -7e-04 -5e-04 -3e-04 -2e-04 -1e-04 • 7e-05 -5e-05 -3e-05 -2e-05 -1e-05 -1e-05 3e-05 1e-04 3e-04 1e-01 3e-01 False Positive Idenification Rate, FPIR(T)









G: Datasheet

Algorithm: cyberlink_000 Developer: Cyberlink Corp Submission Date: 2019_06_12 Template size: 2052 bytes Template time (2.5 percentile): 632 msec Template time (median): 654 msec Template time (97.5 percentile): 974 msec Frontal mugshot investigation rank 56 -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0040 vs. lowest 0.0010 from sensetime_004 natural investigation rank 59 -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0201 vs. lowest 0.0067 from sensetime_003 natural investigation rank 124 -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.5869 vs. lowest 0.0492 from paravision_005 natural investigation rank 124 -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.5869 vs. lowest 0.0492 from paravision_005 natural investigation rank 39 -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0074 vs. lowest 0.0014 from visionlabs_009 natural investigation rank 41 -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.1337 vs. lowest 0.0694 from cib_000 Frontal mugshot identification rank 84 -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0563 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime_004 natural identification rank 66 -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.1163 vs. lowest 0.0122 from sensetime_003 natural identification rank 85 -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.9873 vs. lowest 0.1020 from sensetime_004 natural identification rank 42 -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0625 vs. lowest 0.0059 from sensetime_004 natural identification rank 38 -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.3394 vs. lowest 0.1129 from visionlabs_009





Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements. The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power–law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations

