A: Datasheet

Algorithm: veridas_003

Developer: Veridas Digital Authentication Solutions S.L.

Submission Date: 2021_11_09

Template size: 2048 bytes

Template time (2.5 percentile): 856 msec

Template time (median): 868 msec

Template time (97.5 percentile): 892 msec

Investigation:

Frontal mugshot ranking 45 (out of 316) −− FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0018 vs. lowest 0.0009 from sensetime_006

Mugshot webcam ranking 41 (out of 278) −− FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0111 vs. lowest 0.0057 from sensetime_006

Mugshot profile ranking 52 (out of 247) −− FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.2971 vs. lowest 0.0550 from sensetime_006

Immigration visa−border ranking 50 (out of 205) −− FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0039 vs. lowest 0.0009 from sensetime_006

Immigration visa−kiosk ranking 65 (out of 202) −− FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.1077 vs. lowest 0.0487 from cubox_000

Identification:

Frontal mugshot ranking 53 (out of 316) −− FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0165, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime_004

Mugshot webcam ranking 54 (out of 276) −− FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0552, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0122 from sensetime_003

Mugshot profile ranking 137 (out of 246) −− FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.9966, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.1331 from cloudwalk_hr_000

Immigration visa−border ranking 38 (out of 204) −− FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0200, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0039 from sensetime_006

Immigration visa−kiosk ranking 33 (out of 199) −− FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.1790, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0729 from cubox_000

B: Mugshot natural images, identification mode: FNIR(N, L+1, T) vs. most accurate (nec_004)



C: Evolution of accuracy for VERIDAS algorithms on three datasets 2018 − present




D: 1:N error tradeoff by dataset and enrollment type. N = 1600000 individuals
Immigration visa−border
Immigration visa−kiosk
Mugshot natural
nec_004
veridas_003
False negative identification rate, FNIR(T)
False positive identification rate, FPIR(T)
0.700
0.500
0.300
0.200
0.100
0.070
0.050
0.030
0.020
0.010
0.007
0.005
0.003
0.002
0.001
1e−04
3e−04
1e−03
3e−03
1e−02
3e−02
1e−01
3e−01
1e+00
enrolment_style
random−ONE−MATE
recent−ONE−MATE

E: Dependence of error rates on T by number enrolled identities, N, for Mugshot natural images



F: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate




G: FPIR dependence on T by probe type for N = 1600000 subjects
False Positive Idenification Rate, FPIR(T)
7e−01
5e−01
3e−01
2e−01
1e−01
7e−02
5e−02
3e−02
2e−02
1e−02
7e−03
5e−03
3e−03
2e−03
1e−03
7e−04
5e−04
3e−04
2e−04
1e−04
7e−05
5e−05
3e−05
2e−05
1e−05
2.50
2.75
3.00
3.25
3.50
3.75
Threshold
Enrolled image:
random
N = 1600000
Immigration visa−border
Immigration visa−kiosk
Mugshot natural
Mugshot profile
Mugshot webcam
enrolment_style
random
recent

H: Reduced length candidate lists for human review
Dataset is border−border with time−lapse [10,15] YRS with N = 1600000. Probes are 10−15 years later than enrollment image


I: FNIR and FPIR dependence on threshold
Dataset is border−border with time−lapse [10,15] YRS with N = 1600000. Probes are 10−15 years later than enrollment image
FNIR(T)
0.40
0.20
0.10
0.04
0.02
0.01
FPIR(T)
1e+00
4e−01
2e−01
1e−01
4e−02
2e−02
1e−02
4e−03
2e−03
1e−03
4e−04
2e−04
2.5
2.7
2.9
3.1
3.3
Threshold value
False {Negative | Positive} Identification Rate

J: DET for Mugshot natural images and various N. Links connect points of equal threshold.




K: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (sensetime_006)
Immigration visa−border
Immigration visa−kiosk
Mugshot natural
Mugshot webcam
False negative identification rate, FNIR(N)
0.100
0.070
0.050
0.030
0.020
0.010
0.007
0.005
0.003
0.002
0.001
1e+06
3e+06
1e+07
Enrolled population size, N
enrolment_style
random
recent
FNIR@Rank = 1
sensetime_006
veridas_003


L: Investigational mode: FNIR(1600000, R, 0) by probe type
sensetime_006
veridas_003
False negative identification rate, FNIR(N)
0.100
0.070
0.050
0.030
0.020
0.010
0.007
0.005
0.003
0.002
0.001
1
3
10
30
Rank, R
enrolment_style
random
recent
FNIR(R)
N = 1600000
Immigration visa−border
Immigration visa−kiosk
Mugshot natural
Mugshot webcam

M: Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements.
The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power−law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations



O: FNIR(T, N = 1.6 million) by sex, age and time−lapse. The top row gives investigational rank−1 miss rates.
The bottom panels give high threshold for more lights−out identification with low FPIR.



P: FPIR(N = 1.6 million) by sex and age. It is typical for false positive identification rates to be higher in women except in their teens.




Q: Identification FNIR(N, T, L+1) and Investigational FNIR(N, 0, R) under ageing
Dataset: 2018 Mugshot N = 3068801
(00,02]
(02,04]
(04,06]
(06,08]
(08,10]
(10,12]
(12,14]
(14,18]
FPIR
Rank
cloudwalk_hr_000
False negative identification rate (FNIR)
0.700
0.500
0.300
0.200
0.100
0.070
0.050
0.030
0.020
0.010
0.007
0.005
0.003
0.002
0.001
1e−04
3e−04
1e−03
3e−03
1e−02
3e−02
1e−01
3e−01
1e+00
1
3
10
30
50
False positive identification rate, FPIR or Rank, R