## A: Datasheet

Algorithm: dermalog\_2

Developer: Dermalog

Submission Date: 2018\_02\_16

Template size: 256 bytes

Template time (2.5 percentile): 305 msec

Template time (median): 340 msec

Template time (97.5 percentile): 401 msec

Investigation:

Frontal mugshot ranking 226 (out of 265) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.1355 vs. lowest 0.0009 from sensetime\_005

Mugshot profile ranking 126 (out of 196) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.9332 vs. lowest 0.0591 from sensetime\_005

Immigration visa-border ranking 110 (out of 148) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.1460 vs. lowest 0.0013 from visionlabs\_010

Immigration visa-kiosk ranking 118 (out of 145) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.4818 vs. lowest 0.0568 from hr\_000


Identification:


Frontal mugshot ranking 220 (out of 265) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.5013, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime\_004

Mugshot profile ranking 76 (out of 195) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.9900, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.1331 from hr\_000

Immigration visa-border ranking 110 (out of 146) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.6223, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0049 from hr\_000


Immigration visa-kiosk ranking 96 (out of 141) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.8643, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0996 from hr\_000







D: 1:N error tradeoff by dataset and enrollment type. N = 1600000 individuals Immigration Immigration Mugshot visa-border visa-kiosk natural 0.700 -0.500 -0.300 -0.200 -0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 - 0.005 - 0.005 - 0.002 - 0.001 - 0.001 - 0.700 - 0.500 - 0.200 enrolment\_style random-ONE-MATE recent-ONE-MATE 0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -False positive identification rate, FPIR(T)

E: Dependence of error rates on T by number enrolled identities, N, for Mugshot natural images




F: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate 7e+01 5e+01 -3e+01 -2e+01 -1e+01 -7e+00 -5e+00 -3e+00 -2e+00 -1e+00 -7e-01 -5e-01 -3e-01 -2e-01 -2e-01 -1e-01 -7e-02 -5e-02 -Enrolled images: recent N = 1600000 Mugshot natural 3e-02 -2e-02 -1e-02 -7e-03 -5e-03 -3e-03 -2e-03 -1e-03 -7e-04 -5e-04 -3e-04 -2e-04 -1e-04 -1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 1e-02 3e-02 1e-01 3e-01 False Positive Idenification Rate, FPIR(T)






I: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (sensetime\_005) Immigration **Immigration** visa-border visa-kiosk 0.500 -0.300 -0.200 -0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -Ealse negative identification rate, FNIR(N) 0.003 - 0.001 - 0.500 - 0.500 - 0.200 - 0.100 - 0. enrolment\_style consolidated ---- random --- recent Mugshot webcam Mugshot natural FNIR@Rank = 1 dermalog\_2 sensetime\_005 0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 Enrolled population size, N



Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements. The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power–law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations



M: Identification FNIR(N, T, L+1) and Investigational FNIR(N, 0, R) under ageing



