# A: Datasheet

Algorithm: tech5_001

Developer: Tech5 SA

Submission Date: 2019_08_19

Template size: 1536 bytes

Template time (2.5 percentile): 861 msec

Template time (median): 887 msec

Template time (97.5 percentile): 974 msec

Investigation:

Frontal mugshot ranking 69 (out of 265) −− FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0040  vs. lowest 0.0009 from sensetime_005

Mugshot webcam ranking 54 (out of 227) −− FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0166  vs. lowest 0.0062 from sensetime_005

Mugshot profile ranking 61 (out of 196) −− FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.5841  vs. lowest 0.0591 from sensetime_005

Immigration visa−border ranking 46 (out of 148) −− FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0066  vs. lowest 0.0013 from visionlabs_010

Immigration visa−kiosk ranking 33 (out of 145) −− FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.1066  vs. lowest 0.0568 from hr_000

Identification:

Frontal mugshot ranking 97 (out of 265) −− FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0569, FPIR=0.001000  vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime_004

Mugshot webcam ranking 212 (out of 225) −− FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.9341, FPIR=0.001000  vs. lowest 0.0122 from sensetime_003

Mugshot profile ranking 188 (out of 195) −− FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 1.0000, FPIR=0.001000  vs. lowest 0.1331 from hr_000

Immigration visa−border ranking 89 (out of 146) −− FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.2443, FPIR=0.001000  vs. lowest 0.0049 from hr_000

Immigration visa−kiosk ranking 127 (out of 141) −− FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.9945, FPIR=0.001000  vs. lowest 0.0996 from hr_000


B: Mugshot natural images, identification mode: FNIR(N, L+1, T) vs. most accurate (sensetime_004)
False negative identification rate, FNIR(N)
0.700
0.500
0.300
0.200
0.100
0.070
0.050
0.030
0.020
0.010
0.007
0.005
0.003
0.002
1e+06
3e+06
1e+07
Enrolled population size, N
enrolment_style
consolidated
recent
Dataset:
2018 Mugshot
FNIR@FPIR = 0.001
sensetime_004
tech5_001

## C: Evolution of accuracy for TECH5 algorithms on three datasets 2018 − present




D: 1:N error tradeoff by dataset and enrollment type. N = 1600000 individuals
Immigration visa−border
Immigration visa−kiosk
Mugshot natural
sensetime_004
tech5_001
False negative identification rate, FNIR(T)
0.700
0.500
0.300
0.200
0.100
0.070
0.050
0.030
0.020
0.010
0.007
0.005
0.003
0.002
0.001
1e−04
3e−04
1e−03
3e−03
1e−02
3e−02
1e−01
3e−01
1e+00
False positive identification rate, FPIR(T)
enrolment_style
consolidated−ONE−MATE
random−ONE−MATE
recent−ONE−MATE
unconsolidated−ALL−MATES
unconsolidated−ANY−MATE


E: Dependence of error rates on T by number enrolled identities, N, for Mugshot natural images
N
00640000
01600000
03000000
06000000
12000000
lifetime_consolidated
recent
FNIR
FPIR
Identification Error rate, FPIR(T) or FNIR(T)
0.700
0.500
0.300
0.200
0.100
0.070
0.050
0.030
0.020
0.010
0.007
0.005
0.003
0.002
7e−01
5e−01
3e−01
2e−01
1e−01
7e−02
5e−02
3e−02
2e−02
1e−02
7e−03
5e−03
3e−03
2e−03
1e−03
7e−04
5e−04
3e−04
2e−04
1e−04
7e−05
147
148
149
150
Threshold


F: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate
Selectivity, SEL(T)
7e+01
5e+01
3e+01
2e+01
1e+01
7e+00
5e+00
3e+00
2e+00
1e+00
7e−01
5e−01
3e−01
2e−01
1e−01
7e−02
5e−02
3e−02
2e−02
1e−02
7e−03
5e−03
3e−03
2e−03
1e−03
7e−04
5e−04
3e−04
2e−04
1e−04
7e−05
5e−05
3e−05
2e−05
1e−05
1e−05
3e−05
1e−04
3e−04
1e−03
3e−03
1e−02
3e−02
1e−01
3e−01
False Positive Idenification Rate, FPIR(T)
Enrolled images:
recent
N = 1600000
Mugshot
natural
Mugshot
webcam


G: FPIR dependence on T by probe type for N = 1600000 subjects
False Positive Idenification Rate, FPIR(T)
7e−01
5e−01
3e−01
2e−01
1e−01
7e−02
5e−02
3e−02
2e−02
1e−02
7e−03
5e−03
3e−03
2e−03
1e−03
7e−04
5e−04
3e−04
2e−04
1e−04
7e−05
5e−05
3e−05
2e−05
1e−05
147
148
149
150
151
Threshold
Enrolled image:
random
N = 1600000
Immigration
visa−border
Immigration
visa−kiosk
Mugshot
natural
Mugshot
profile
Mugshot
webcam
enrolment_style
random
recent


H: DET for Mugshot natural images and various N. Links connect points of equal threshold.
False negative identification rate, FNIR(T)
0.700
0.500
0.300
0.200
0.100
0.070
0.050
0.030
0.020
0.010
0.007
0.005
0.003
0.002
1e−04
3e−04
1e−03
3e−03
1e−02
3e−02
1e−01
3e−01
1e+00
False positive identification rate, FPIR(T)
2018 Mugshot
Enrolled image:
recent
00640000
01600000
03000000
06000000
12000000


I: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (sensetime_005)
Immigration
visa−border
Immigration
visa−kiosk
Mugshot
natural
Mugshot
webcam
False negative identification rate, FNIR(N)
0.700
0.500
0.300
0.200
0.100
0.070
0.050
0.030
0.020
0.010
0.007
0.005
0.003
0.002
0.001
1e+06
3e+06
1e+07
Enrolled population size, N
enrolment_style
consolidated
random
recent
FNIR@Rank = 1
sensetime_005
tech5_001


J: Investigational mode: FNIR(1600000, R, 0) by probe type
sensetime_005
tech5_001
False negative identification rate, FNIR(N)
0.100
0.070
0.050
0.030
0.020
0.010
0.007
0.005
0.003
0.002
0.001
1
3
10
30
Rank, R
enrolment_style
lifetime_consolidated
random
recent
FNIR(R)
N = 1600000
Immigration visa−border
Immigration visa−kiosk
Mugshot natural
Mugshot webcam


Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements.
The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power−law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations
MODEL
Log Model
Power Law Model
7000
5000
3000
2000
1000
700
500
300
Search Duration (milliseconds)
1e+06
3e+06
1e+07
Enrolled population size, N, one image per person


Solo−Twin and Twin−Twin similarity scores
TVAL
FPIR = 0.001
FPIR = 0.003
FPIR = 0.010
FPIR = 0.030
150.0
147.5
145.0
142.5
Similarity Score
Desired outcome:
AA high, AB low
AA−fraternal
AA−identical
AB−fraternal
AB−identical
Gallery: Twin A; Probe: Twin A or B; Type of Twin


M: Identification FNIR(N, T, L+1) and Investigational FNIR(N, 0, R) under ageing
Dataset: 2018 Mugshot N = 3068801
(00,02]
(02,04]
(04,06]
(06,08]
(08,10]
(10,12]
(12,14]
(14,18]
FPIR
Rank
sensetime 005
tech5 001
False negative identification rate (FNIR)
0.700
0.500
0.300
0.200
0.100
0.070
0.050
0.030
0.020
0.010
0.007
0.005
0.003
0.002
0.001
1e−04
3e−04
1e−03
3e−03
1e−02
3e−02
1e−01
3e−01
1e+00
1
3
10
30
50
False positive identification rate, FPIR or Rank, R


N: Decline of genuine scores with ageing
Score
151
150
149
148
147
(00,02]
(02,04]
(04,06]
(06,08]
(08,10]
(10,12]
(12,14]
(14,18]
Time lapse between search and initial encounter enrollment (years)
Dataset:
2018 Mugshot
N= 3.1M
FNIR (Rank = 1)
0.20
0.15
0.10
0.05
0.00
TVAL
FPIR = 0.001
FPIR = 0.003
FPIR = 0.010
FPIR = 0.030