A: Datasheet

Algorithm: isystems_1

Developer: Alivia / Innovation Sys

Submission Date: 2018_02_14

Template size: 1024 bytes

Template time (2.5 percentile): 214 msec

Template time (median): 222 msec

Template time (97.5 percentile): 234 msec

Investigation:


Frontal mugshot ranking 133 (out of 265) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0110 vs. lowest 0.0009 from sensetime_005

Mugshot profile ranking 168 (out of 196) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.9693 vs. lowest 0.0591 from sensetime_005


Identification:

Frontal mugshot ranking 124 (out of 265) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0876, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime_004

Mugshot profile ranking 85 (out of 195) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.9930, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.1331 from hr_000




C: Evolution of accuracy for ISYSTEMS algorithms on three datasets 2018 – present




D: 1:N error tradeoff by dataset and enrollment type. N = 1600000 individuals Immigration Immigration Mugshot visa-border visa-kiosk natural 0.700 -0.500 -0.300 -0.200 -0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -Ealse negative identification rate, FNIR(T) 0.003 - 0.0001 - 0.700 - 0.500 - 0.200 - 0.100 - 0 enrolment_style consolidated-ONE-MATE random-ONE-MATE recent-ONE-MATE 0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -


False positive identification rate, FPIR(T)

E: Dependence of error rates on T by number enrolled identities, N, for Mugshot natural images




F: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate 7e+01 -5e+01 -3e+01 -2e+01 -1e+01 -7e+00 -5e+00 -3e+00 -2e+00 -1e+00 -7e-01 -5e-01 -3e-01 -2e-01 -E 1e-01 -Enrolled images: recent N = 1600000 Selectivity, 26–05 - 26–05 - 3 Mugshot natural 2e-02 -1e-02 -7e-03 -5e-03 -3e-03 -2e-03 -1e-03 -7e-04 -5e-04 -3e-04 -2e-04 -1e-04 -7e-05 -5e-05 -1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 1e-02 3e-02 1e-01 3e-01 False Positive Idenification Rate, FPIR(T)






I: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (sensetime_005) Immigration **Immigration** visa-border visa-kiosk 0.100 0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -Palse negative identification rate, FNIR(N) 0.002 - 0.001 - 0.000 - 0.050 - 0.050 - 0.030 - 0. enrolment_style consolidated ---- random --- recent Mugshot Mugshot webcam natural FNIR@Rank = 1 isystems_1 sensetime_005 0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 Enrolled population size, N



Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements. The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power–law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations



M: Identification FNIR(N, T, L+1) and Investigational FNIR(N, 0, R) under ageing



