A: Datasheet

Algorithm: rankone_010

Developer: Rank One Computing

Submission Date: 2020_11_05

Template size: 261 bytes

Template time (2.5 percentile): 194 msec

Template time (median): 198 msec

Template time (97.5 percentile): 218 msec

Investigation:

Frontal mugshot ranking 35 (out of 265) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0022 vs. lowest 0.0009 from sensetime_005

Mugshot webcam ranking 16 (out of 227) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0098 vs. lowest 0.0062 from sensetime_005

Mugshot profile ranking 37 (out of 196) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.3738 vs. lowest 0.0591 from sensetime_005

Immigration visa-border ranking 35 (out of 148) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0048 vs. lowest 0.0013 from visionlabs_010

Immigration visa-kiosk ranking 47 (out of 145) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.1263 vs. lowest 0.0568 from hr_000

Identification:


Frontal mugshot ranking 21 (out of 265) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0136, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime_004


Mugshot webcam ranking 31 (out of 225) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0582, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0122 from sensetime_003

Mugshot profile ranking 21 (out of 195) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.8015, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.1331 from hr_000

Immigration visa-border ranking 44 (out of 146) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0517, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0049 from hr_000

Immigration visa-kiosk ranking 31 (out of 141) — FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.2601, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0996 from hr_000







D: 1:N error tradeoff by dataset and enrollment type. N = 1600000 individuals Immigration Immigration Mugshot visa-border visa-kiosk natural 0.700 -0.500 -0.300 -0.200 -0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -Ealse negative identification rate, FNIR(T) 0.003 - 0.0001 - 0.700 - 0.500 - 0.200 - 0.100 - 0 enrolment_style random-ONE-MATE recent-ONE-MATE 0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -


False positive identification rate, FPIR(T)

E: Dependence of error rates on T by number enrolled identities, N, for Mugshot natural images




F: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate 7e+01 -5e+01 -3e+01 -2e+01 -1e+01 -7e+00 -5e+00 -3e+00 -2e+00 -1e+00 -7e-01 -5e-01 -3e-01 -2e-01 -1e-01 -7e-02 -5e-02 -3e-02 -3e-02 -1e-02 -**Enrolled images:** recent N = 1600000 Mugshot natural Mugshot webcam 7e-03 -5e-03 -3e-03 -2e-03 -1e-03 -7e-04 -5e-04 -3e-04 -2e-04 -1e-04 -7e-05 -5e-05 -3e-05 -2e-05 -1e-05 -1e-05 3e-05 1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 1e-02 3e-02 1e-01 3e-01 False Positive Idenification Rate, FPIR(T)






I: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (sensetime_005) Immigration **Immigration** visa-border visa-kiosk 0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 - 0.002 - 0.001 - 0.001 - 0.000 - 0.000 - 0.050 enrolment_style - random ---- recent Mugshot webcam Mugshot natural FNIR@Rank = 1 rankone_010 sensetime_005 0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 Enrolled population size, N



Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements. The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power–law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations



M: Identification FNIR(N, T, L+1) and Investigational FNIR(N, 0, R) under ageing

