

A: Datasheet

Algorithm: microsoft_4

Developer: Microsoft

Submission Date: 2018_06_20

Template size: 2048 bytes

Template time (2.5 percentile): 726 msec

Template time (median): 745 msec

Template time (97.5 percentile): 1095 msec

Investigation:

Frontal mugshot ranking 11 (out of 259) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0015 vs. lowest 0.0009 from sensetime_005

Mugshot webcam ranking 23 (out of 221) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0120 vs. lowest 0.0062 from sensetime_005

Immigration visa-border ranking 16 (out of 142) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0035 vs. lowest 0.0014 from visionlabs_009

Immigration visa-kiosk ranking 33 (out of 139) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.1093 vs. lowest 0.0694 from cib_000

Identification:


Frontal mugshot ranking 43 (out of 259) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0259, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime_004

Mugshot webcam ranking 44 (out of 219) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0870, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0122 from sensetime_003


Immigration visa-border ranking 29 (out of 139) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0333, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0059 from sensetime_004

Immigration visa-kiosk ranking 19 (out of 134) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.2225, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.1048 from sensetime_005


B: Mugshot natural images, identification mode: FNIR(N, L+1, T) vs. most accurate (sensitive_004)




C: Evolution of accuracy for MICROSOFT algorithms on three datasets 2018 – present




D: 1:N error tradeoff by dataset and enrollment type. N = 1600000 individuals




E: Dependence of error rates on T by number enrolled identities, N, for Mugshot natural images




F: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate




G: FPIR dependence on T by probe type for N = 1600000 subjects




H: DET for Mugshot natural images and various N. Links connect points of equal threshold.




I: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (sensetime_005)



J: Investigational mode: FNIR(1600000, R, 0) by probe type




Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements. The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power-law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations




Solo-Twin and Twin-Twin similarity scores

TVAL — FPIR = 0.001 — FPIR = 0.003 — FPIR = 0.010 — FPIR = 0.030



M: Identification FNIR(N, T, L+1) and Investigational FNIR(N, 0, R) under ageing

Dataset: 2018 Mugshot N = 3068801



N: Decline of genuine scores with ageing

