A: 1:N error tradeoff by dataset and enrollment type. N = 1600000 individuals Mugshot natural 0.300 0.200 -0.100 -False negative identification rate, FNIR(T) enrolment\_style consolidated-ONE-MATE recent-ONE-MATE 0.007 0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -

False positive identification rate, FPIR(T)

3e-01


1e-01

1e+00


1e-03


1e-04


B: Dependence of error rates on T by number enrolled identities, N, for Mugshot natural images



C: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate 7e+01 5e+01 -3e+01 2e+01 -1e+01 7e+00 5e+00 -3e+00 -2e+00 -1e+00 -7e-01 -5e-01 -3e-01 -2e-01 -1e-01 -Enrolled images: recent N = 1600000 7e-02 - 7e-02 - 7e-03 Mugshot natural Mugshot profile Mugshot webcam 7e-03 -5e-03 -3e-03 -2e-03 -1e-03 -7e-04 -5e-04 -3e-04 -2e-04 -1e-04 • 7e-05 -5e-05 -3e-05 -2e-05 -1e-05 -1e-05 1e-04 1e-01 3e-01 False Positive Idenification Rate, FPIR(T)







## G: Datasheet

Algorithm: idemia\_0

Developer: Idemia

Submission Date: 2018\_02\_16

Template size: 364 bytes


Template time (2.5 percentile): 404 msec


Template time (median): 415 msec

Template time (97.5 percentile): 433 msec


Frontal mugshot investigation rank 103 — FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0088 vs. lowest 0.0010 from sensetime\_004 natural investigation rank 104 — FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0343 vs. lowest 0.0067 from sensetime\_003 natural investigation rank 227 — FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.9095 vs. lowest 0.0492 from paravision\_005 natural investigation rank 227 — FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.9095 vs. lowest 0.0492 from paravision\_005

Frontal mugshot identification rank 127 — FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.1109 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime\_004 natural identification rank 84 — FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.2393 vs. lowest 0.0122 from sensetime\_003 natural identification rank 84 — FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.9866 vs. lowest 0.1020 from sensetime\_004





Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements. The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power–law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations



M: Identification FNIR(N, T, L+1) and Investigational FNIR(N, 0, R) under ageing

