A: Datasheet

Algorithm: imagus_005

Developer: Imagus Technology Pty Ltd

Submission Date: 2021_01_15

Template size: 2048 bytes

Template time (2.5 percentile): 782 msec

Template time (median): 787 msec

Template time (97.5 percentile): 796 msec

Investigation:

Frontal mugshot ranking 28 (out of 265) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0019 vs. lowest 0.0009 from sensetime_005

Mugshot webcam ranking 26 (out of 227) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0119 vs. lowest 0.0062 from sensetime_005

Mugshot profile ranking 27 (out of 196) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.3189 vs. lowest 0.0591 from sensetime_005

Immigration visa-border ranking 41 (out of 148) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0059 vs. lowest 0.0013 from visionlabs_010

Immigration visa-kiosk ranking 52 (out of 145) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.1317 vs. lowest 0.0568 from hr_000

Identification:


Frontal mugshot ranking 35 (out of 265) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0185, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime_004

Mugshot webcam ranking 36 (out of 225) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0656, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0122 from sensetime_003


Mugshot profile ranking 25 (out of 195) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.8378, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.1331 from hr_000

Immigration visa-border ranking 24 (out of 146) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0286, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0049 from hr_000

Immigration visa-kiosk ranking 25 (out of 141) — FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.2313, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0996 from hr_000




C: Evolution of accuracy for IMAGUS algorithms on three datasets 2018 – present




D: 1:N error tradeoff by dataset and enrollment type. N = 1600000 individuals Immigration Immigration Mugshot visa-border visa-kiosk natural 0.700 -0.500 -0.300 -0.200 -0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -Ealse negative identification rate, FNIR(T) 0.003 - 0.0001 - 0.700 - 0.500 - 0.200 - 0.100 - 0 enrolment_style random-ONE-MATE recent-ONE-MATE 0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -


False positive identification rate, FPIR(T)

E: Dependence of error rates on T by number enrolled identities, N, for Mugshot natural images




F: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate 7e+01 -5e+01 -3e+01 -2e+01 -1e+01 -7e+00 -5e+00 -3e+00 -2e+00 -1e+00 -7e-01 -5e-01 -3e-01 -2e-01 -1e-01 -7e-02 -5e-02 -3e-02 -3e-02 -1e-02 -**Enrolled images:** recent N = 1600000 Mugshot natural Mugshot webcam 7e-03 -5e-03 -3e-03 -2e-03 -1e-03 -7e-04 -5e-04 -3e-04 -2e-04 -1e-04 -7e-05 -5e-05 -3e-05 -2e-05 -1e-05 -3e-02 1e-05 3e-05 1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 1e-02 1e-01 3e-01 False Positive Idenification Rate, FPIR(T)






I: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (sensetime_005) Immigration **Immigration** visa-border visa-kiosk 0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 - 0.002 - 0.001 - 0.001 - 0.000 enrolment_style - random ---- recent Mugshot webcam Mugshot natural FNIR@Rank = 1 imagus_005 sensetime_005 0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 Enrolled population size, N



Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements. The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power–law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations



M: Identification FNIR(N, T, L+1) and Investigational FNIR(N, 0, R) under ageing

