## A: Datasheet

Algorithm: dermalog\_2

Developer: Dermalog

Submission Date: 2018\_02\_16

Template size: 256 bytes

Template time (2.5 percentile): 305 msec

Template time (median): 340 msec

Template time (97.5 percentile): 401 msec

Investigation:

Frontal mugshot ranking 220 (out of 259) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.1355 vs. lowest 0.0009 from sensetime\_005

Mugshot profile ranking 120 (out of 190) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.9332 vs. lowest 0.0591 from sensetime\_005

Immigration visa-border ranking 104 (out of 142) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.1460 vs. lowest 0.0014 from visionlabs\_009

Immigration visa-kiosk ranking 112 (out of 139) — FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.4818 vs. lowest 0.0694 from cib\_000


Identification:


Frontal mugshot ranking 214 (out of 259) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.5013, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime\_004

Mugshot profile ranking 72 (out of 189) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.9900, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.1733 from sensetime\_005

Immigration visa-border ranking 104 (out of 139) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.6223, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0059 from sensetime\_004


Immigration visa-kiosk ranking 90 (out of 134) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.8643, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.1048 from sensetime\_005







D: 1:N error tradeoff by dataset and enrollment type. N = 1600000 individuals Immigration Immigration Mugshot visa-border visa-kiosk natural 0.700 -0.500 -0.300 -0.200 -0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 - 0.005 - 0.005 - 0.002 - 0.001 - 0.001 - 0.700 - 0.500 - 0.200 enrolment\_style random-ONE-MATE recent-ONE-MATE 0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -False positive identification rate, FPIR(T)

E: Dependence of error rates on T by number enrolled identities, N, for Mugshot natural images




F: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate 7e+01 5e+01 -3e+01 -2e+01 -1e+01 -7e+00 -5e+00 -3e+00 -2e+00 -1e+00 -7e-01 -5e-01 -3e-01 -2e-01 -2e-01 -1e-01 -7e-02 -5e-02 -Enrolled images: recent N = 1600000 Mugshot natural 3e-02 -2e-02 -1e-02 -7e-03 -5e-03 -3e-03 -2e-03 -1e-03 -7e-04 -5e-04 -3e-04 -2e-04 -1e-04 -1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 1e-02 3e-02 1e-01 3e-01 False Positive Idenification Rate, FPIR(T)






I: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (sensetime\_005) Immigration **Immigration** visa-border visa-kiosk 0.500 -0.300 -0.200 -0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -Ealse negative identification rate, FNIR(N) 0.003 - 0.001 - 0.500 - 0.500 - 0.200 - 0.100 - 0. enrolment\_style consolidated ---- random --- recent Mugshot webcam Mugshot natural FNIR@Rank = 1 dermalog\_2 sensetime\_005 0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 Enrolled population size, N



Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements. The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power–law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations



M: Identification FNIR(N, T, L+1) and Investigational FNIR(N, 0, R) under ageing



