

A: Datasheet

Algorithm: canon\_001

Developer: Canon Inc

Submission Date: 2021\_10\_27

Template size: 4096 bytes

Template time (2.5 percentile): 877 msec

Template time (median): 885 msec

Template time (97.5 percentile): 910 msec

Investigation:

Frontal mugshot ranking 8 (out of 316) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0011 vs. lowest 0.0009 from sensetime\_006

Mugshot webcam ranking 3 (out of 278) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0063 vs. lowest 0.0057 from sensetime\_006

Mugshot profile ranking 16 (out of 247) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0879 vs. lowest 0.0550 from sensetime\_006

Immigration visa-border ranking 6 (out of 205) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0015 vs. lowest 0.0009 from sensetime\_006

Immigration visa-kiosk ranking 6 (out of 202) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0625 vs. lowest 0.0487 from cubox\_000

Identification:

Frontal mugshot ranking 23 (out of 316) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0054, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime\_004


Mugshot webcam ranking 17 (out of 276) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0232, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0122 from sensetime\_003

Mugshot profile ranking 9 (out of 246) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.3646, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.1331 from cloudwalk\_hr\_000


Immigration visa-border ranking 13 (out of 204) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0076, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0039 from sensetime\_006

Immigration visa-kiosk ranking 20 (out of 199) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.1438, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0729 from cubox\_000


B: Mugshot natural images, identification mode: FNIR(N, L+1, T) vs. most accurate (nec\_004)




C: Evolution of accuracy for CANON algorithms on three datasets 2018 – present




D: 1:N error tradeoff by dataset and enrollment type. N = 1600000 individuals




E: Dependence of error rates on T by number enrolled identities, N, for Mugshot natural images



F: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate




G: FPIR dependence on T by probe type for N = 1600000 subjects



# H: Reduced length candidate lists for human review


Dataset is border–border with time–lapse [10,15] YRS with N = 1600000. Probes are 10–15 years later than enrollment image






# I: FNIR and FPIR dependence on threshold


Dataset is border-border with time-lapse [10,15] YRS with N = 1600000. Probes are 10-15 years later than enrollment image




J: DET for Mugshot natural images and various N. Links connect points of equal threshold.




K: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (sensetime\_006)




L: Investigational mode: FNIR(1600000, R, 0) by probe type



M: Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements. The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power-law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations



O: FNIR(T, N = 1.6 million) by sex, age and time-lapse. The top row gives investigational rank-1 miss rates. The bottom panels give high threshold for more lights-out identification with low FPIR.



P: FPIR(N = 1.6 million) by sex and age. It is typical for false positive identification rates to be higher in women except in their teens.

Algorithm: canon\_001, Dataset: Border-Crossing Ageing  
Threshold: 1.442880 set to achive FPIR(30-45, Male) = 0.001

Color encodes log(FPIR)



Q: Identification FNIR(N, T, L+1) and Investigational FNIR(N, 0, R) under ageing

Dataset: 2018 Mugshot N = 3068801

