## A: Datasheet

Algorithm: camvi\_3

Developer: Camvi Technologies

Submission Date: 2018\_06\_30

Template size: 1024 bytes

Template time (2.5 percentile): 666 msec

Template time (median): 712 msec

Template time (97.5 percentile): 746 msec

Investigation:

Frontal mugshot ranking 217 (out of 279) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0520 vs. lowest 0.0009 from sensetime\_005

Mugshot webcam ranking 188 (out of 241) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0900 vs. lowest 0.0062 from sensetime\_005

Mugshot profile ranking 127 (out of 210) — FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.9110 vs. lowest 0.0587 from xforwardai\_002

Immigration visa-border ranking 118 (out of 168) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0932 vs. lowest 0.0013 from visionlabs\_010

Identification:


Frontal mugshot ranking 120 (out of 279) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0711, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime\_004

Mugshot webcam ranking 103 (out of 236) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.1323, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0122 from sensetime\_003


Mugshot profile ranking 50 (out of 209) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.9704, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.1331 from cloudwalk\_hr\_000

Immigration visa-border ranking 82 (out of 167) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.1142, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0047 from idemia\_008

Immigration visa-kiosk ranking 60 (out of 162) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.4019, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0996 from cloudwalk\_hr\_000




C: Evolution of accuracy for CAMVI algorithms on three datasets 2018 – present




D: 1:N error tradeoff by dataset and enrollment type. N = 1600000 individuals **Immigration** Immigration Mugshot visa-border visa-kiosk natural 0.700 -0.500 -0.300 -0.200 -0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -Ealse negative identification rate, FNIR(T) 0.003 - 0.0001 - 0.700 - 0.500 - 0.200 - 0 enrolment\_style consolidated-ONE-MATE random-ONE-MATE recent-ONE-MATE 0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -


False positive identification rate, FPIR(T)

E: Dependence of error rates on T by number enrolled identities, N, for Mugshot natural images



F: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate 7e+01 -5e+01 -3e+01 -2e+01 -1e+01 -7e+00 -5e+00 -3e+00 -2e+00 -1e+00 -7e-01 -5e-01 -3e-01 -2e-01 -1e-01 - 7e-02 **Enrolled images:** recent N = 1600000 Mugshot natural Mugshot webcam 1e-02 -7e-03 -5e-03 -3e-03 -2e-03 -1e-03 -7e-04 -5e-04 -3e-04 -2e-04 -1e-04 -7e-05 -5e-05 -3e-05 -2e-05 -1e-05 3e-05 1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 1e-02 3e-02 1e-01 3e-01 False Positive Idenification Rate, FPIR(T)






K: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (sensetime\_005) Immigration **Immigration** visa-border visa-kiosk 0.300 -0.200 0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -Palse negative identification rate, FNIR(N) 0.003 - 0.001 - 0.300 - 0.200 - 0.100 - 0. enrolment\_style consolidated ---- random --- recent Mugshot webcam Mugshot natural FNIR@Rank = 1 - camvi\_3 sensetime\_005 0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 Enrolled population size, N

L: Investigational mode: FNIR(1600000, R, 0) by probe type camvi\_3 sensetime\_005 0.300 -0.200 -0.100 -0.070 -0.050 - 0.030 - 0.000 enrolment\_style lifetime\_consolidated ---- random --- recent FNIR(R) N = 1600000 Immigration visa-border Immigration visa-kiosk Mugshot natural Mugshot webcam 0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -10 30 3 10 30 Rank, R

M: Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements. The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power–law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations Log Model ---- Power Law Model



Q: Identification FNIR(N, T, L+1) and Investigational FNIR(N, 0, R) under ageing



