A: Datasheet

Algorithm: toshiba_1

Developer: Toshiba

Submission Date: 2018_10_30

Template size: 2060 bytes

Template time (2.5 percentile): 873 msec

Template time (median): 875 msec

Template time (97.5 percentile): 1659 msec

Investigation:


Frontal mugshot ranking 82 (out of 265) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0048 vs. lowest 0.0009 from sensetime_005

Mugshot webcam ranking 84 (out of 227) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0220 vs. lowest 0.0062 from sensetime_005


Identification:

Frontal mugshot ranking 99 (out of 265) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0577, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime_004

Mugshot webcam ranking 56 (out of 225) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0919, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0122 from sensetime_003



C: Evolution of accuracy for TOSHIBA algorithms on three datasets 2018 – present




D: 1:N error tradeoff by dataset and enrollment type. N = 1600000 individuals **Immigration** Mugshot **Immigration** visa-border visa-kiosk natural 0.700 -0.500 -0.300 -0.200 -0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -Ealse negative identification rate, FNIR(T) 0.003 - 0.0001 - 0.0001 - 0.500 - 0.2001 enrolment_style consolidated-ONE-MATE random-ONE-MATE recent-ONE-MATE unconsolidated-ALL-MATES unconsolidated-ANY-MATE 0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -


\\\ \e^{-0}\frac{3}{2}e^{-0}\frac{1}{2}e^{-0}\frac{3}{2}e^{-0}\frac{1}{2}e


E: Dependence of error rates on T by number enrolled identities, N, for Mugshot natural images






F: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate 7e+01 -5e+01 -3e+01 -2e+01 -1e+01 -7e+00 -5e+00 -3e+00 -2e+00 -1e+00 -7e-01 -5e-01 -3e-01 -2e-01 -1e-01 -(E) 7e-02 - 7e **Enrolled images:** recent N = 1600000 Mugshot natural Mugshot webcam 7e-03 -5e-03 -3e-03 -2e-03 -1e-03 -7e-04 -5e-04 -3e-04 -2e-04 -1e-04 -7e-05 -5e-05 -3e-05 -2e-05 -1e-05 -1e-05 3e-05 1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 1e-02 3e-02 1e-01 3e-01 False Positive Idenification Rate, FPIR(T)







I: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (sensetime_005) Immigration **Immigration** visa-border visa-kiosk 0.100 0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -Ealse negative identification rate, FNIR(N) 0.002 - 0.001 - 0.000 - 0.050 - 0.030 - 0. enrolment_style consolidated ---- random --- recent Mugshot Mugshot webcam natural FNIR@Rank = 1 sensetime_005 toshiba_1 0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 Enrolled population size, N



Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements. The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power–law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations





M: Identification FNIR(N, T, L+1) and Investigational FNIR(N, 0, R) under ageing

