## A: Datasheet

Algorithm: cib\_000

Developer: Canon Inc

Submission Date: 2020\_10\_19

Template size: 8196 bytes

Template time (2.5 percentile): 669 msec

Template time (median): 674 msec

Template time (97.5 percentile): 681 msec

Investigation:

Frontal mugshot ranking 16 (out of 265) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0015 vs. lowest 0.0009 from sensetime\_005

Mugshot webcam ranking 8 (out of 227) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0081 vs. lowest 0.0062 from sensetime\_005

Mugshot profile ranking 10 (out of 196) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.1000 vs. lowest 0.0591 from sensetime\_005

Immigration visa-border ranking 5 (out of 148) — FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0021 vs. lowest 0.0013 from visionlabs\_010

Immigration visa-kiosk ranking 2 (out of 145) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0694 vs. lowest 0.0568 from hr\_000

Identification:


Frontal mugshot ranking 19 (out of 265) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0125, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime\_004

Mugshot webcam ranking 21 (out of 225) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0445, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0122 from sensetime\_003


Mugshot profile ranking 160 (out of 195) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.9998, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.1331 from hr\_000

Immigration visa-border ranking 18 (out of 146) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0174, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0049 from hr\_000

Immigration visa-kiosk ranking 101 (out of 141) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.8983, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0996 from hr\_000




## C: Evolution of accuracy for CANON algorithms on three datasets 2018 – present





D: 1:N error tradeoff by dataset and enrollment type. N = 1600000 individuals Immigration Immigration Mugshot visa-border visa-kiosk natural 0.700 -0.500 -0.300 -0.200 -0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 - 0.005 - 0.005 - 0.002 - 0.001 - 0.001 - 0.500 - 0.300 - 0.200 enrolment\_style random-ONE-MATE recent-ONE-MATE 0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -False positive identification rate, FPIR(T)

E: Dependence of error rates on T by number enrolled identities, N, for Mugshot natural images




F: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate 7e+01 -5e+01 -3e+01 -2e+01 -1e+01 -7e+00 -5e+00 -3e+00 -2e+00 -1e+00 -7e-01 -5e-01 -3e-01 -2e-01 -1e-01 -7e-02 -5e-02 -3e-02 -3e-02 -1e-02 -**Enrolled images:** recent N = 1600000 Mugshot natural Mugshot webcam 7e-03 -5e-03 -3e-03 -2e-03 -1e-03 -7e-04 -5e-04 -3e-04 -2e-04 -1e-04 -7e-05 -5e-05 -3e-05 -2e-05 -1e-05 -1e-05 3e-05 1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 1e-02 3e-02 1e-01 3e-01 False Positive Idenification Rate, FPIR(T)





I: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (sensetime\_005) Immigration **Immigration** visa-border visa-kiosk 0.100 0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -Ealse negative identification rate, FNIR(N) 0.002 - 0.001 - 0.000 - 0.050 - 0.030 - 0. FNIR@Rank = 1 -- cib\_000 sensetime\_005 Mugshot Mugshot webcam natural enrolment\_style random ---- recent 0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 Enrolled population size, N



Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements. The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power–law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations



M: Identification FNIR(N, T, L+1) and Investigational FNIR(N, 0, R) under ageing



