## A: Datasheet

Algorithm: vigilantsolutions\_3

Developer: Vigilant Solutions

Submission Date: 2018\_06\_21

Template size: 1544 bytes

Template time (2.5 percentile): 794 msec

Template time (median): 825 msec

Template time (97.5 percentile): 885 msec

Investigation:

Frontal mugshot ranking 212 (out of 265) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0694 vs. lowest 0.0009 from sensetime\_005

Mugshot webcam ranking 188 (out of 227) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.1513 vs. lowest 0.0062 from sensetime\_005


Mugshot profile ranking 151 (out of 196) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.9579 vs. lowest 0.0591 from sensetime\_005


Identification:

Frontal mugshot ranking 212 (out of 265) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.4079, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime\_004

Mugshot webcam ranking 196 (out of 225) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.6605, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0122 from sensetime\_003

Mugshot profile ranking 126 (out of 195) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.9987, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.1331 from hr\_000







D: 1:N error tradeoff by dataset and enrollment type. N = 1600000 individuals **Immigration** Immigration Mugshot visa-border visa-kiosk natural 0.700 -0.500 -0.300 -0.200 -0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -Ealse negative identification rate, FNIR(T) 0.003 - 0.000 - 0.000 - 0.500 - 0.200 - 0. enrolment\_style consolidated-ONE-MATE random-ONE-MATE recent-ONE-MATE 0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -


False positive identification rate, FPIR(T)

E: Dependence of error rates on T by number enrolled identities, N, for Mugshot natural images



F: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate 7e+01 -5e+01 -3e+01 -2e+01 -1e+01 -7e+00 -5e+00 -3e+00 -2e+00 -1e+00 -7e-01 -5e-01 -3e-01 -2e-01 -1e-01 -7e-02 -5e-02 -3e-02 -3e-02 -1e-02 -**Enrolled images:** recent N = 1600000 Mugshot natural Mugshot webcam 7e-03 -5e-03 -3e-03 -2e-03 -1e-03 -7e-04 -5e-04 -3e-04 -2e-04 -1e-04 -7e-05 -5e-05 -3e-05 -2e-05 -1e-05 -1e-05 3e-05 1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 1e-02 3e-02 1e-01 3e-01 False Positive Idenification Rate, FPIR(T)






I: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (sensetime\_005) Immigration **Immigration** visa-border visa-kiosk 0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -Ealse negative identification rate, FNIR(N) 0.002 - 0.001 - 0.000 - 0. enrolment\_style - consolidated ---- random --- recent Mugshot natural Mugshot webcam FNIR@Rank = 1 sensetime\_005 vigilantsolutions\_3 0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 Enrolled population size, N

J: Investigational mode: FNIR(1600000, R, 0) by probe type sensetime\_005 vigilantsolutions\_3 0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 enrolment\_style False negative identification rate, FNIR(N) - 0.000 - lifetime\_consolidated ---- random --- recent FNIR(R) N = 1600000 Immigration visa-border Immigration visa-kiosk Mugshot natural Mugshot webcam 0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -3 10 30 3 10 30 Rank, R

Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements. The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power–law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations



M: Identification FNIR(N, T, L+1) and Investigational FNIR(N, 0, R) under ageing



