## A: Datasheet

Algorithm: dermalog\_6

Developer: Dermalog

Submission Date: 2018\_10\_26

Template size: 256 bytes

Template time (2.5 percentile): 496 msec

Template time (median): 507 msec

Template time (97.5 percentile): 552 msec

Investigation:

Frontal mugshot ranking 106 (out of 259) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0081 vs. lowest 0.0009 from sensetime\_005

Mugshot webcam ranking 93 (out of 221) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0243 vs. lowest 0.0062 from sensetime\_005

Mugshot profile ranking 62 (out of 190) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.6194 vs. lowest 0.0591 from sensetime\_005

Immigration visa-border ranking 56 (out of 142) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0105 vs. lowest 0.0014 from visionlabs\_009

Immigration visa-kiosk ranking 60 (out of 139) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.1548 vs. lowest 0.0694 from cib\_000

Identification:


Frontal mugshot ranking 80 (out of 259) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0517, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime\_004


Mugshot webcam ranking 64 (out of 219) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.1049, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0122 from sensetime\_003

Mugshot profile ranking 56 (out of 189) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.9808, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.1733 from sensetime\_005

Immigration visa-border ranking 45 (out of 139) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0594, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0059 from sensetime\_004

Immigration visa-kiosk ranking 40 (out of 134) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.3188, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.1048 from sensetime\_005







D: 1:N error tradeoff by dataset and enrollment type. N = 1600000 individuals **Immigration Immigration** Mugshot visa-border visa-kiosk natural 0.700 -0.500 -0.300 -0.200 -0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -Ealse negative identification rate, FNIR(T) 0.003 - 0.000 - 0.000 - 0.500 - 0.500 - 0.200 - 0. enrolment\_style consolidated-ONE-MATE random-ONE-MATE recent-ONE-MATE unconsolidated-ALL-MATES unconsolidated-ANY-MATE 0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -


False positive identification rate, FPIR(T)

E: Dependence of error rates on T by number enrolled identities, N, for Mugshot natural images




F: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate 7e+01 -5e+01 -3e+01 -2e+01 -1e+01 -7e+00 -5e+00 -3e+00 -2e+00 -1e+00 -7e-01 -5e-01 -3e-01 -2e-01 -1e-01 -(E) 7e-02 - 7e **Enrolled images:** recent N = 1600000 Mugshot natural Mugshot webcam 7e-03 -5e-03 -3e-03 -2e-03 -1e-03 -7e-04 -5e-04 -3e-04 -2e-04 -1e-04 -7e-05 -5e-05 -3e-05 -2e-05 -1e-05 -1e-05 3e-05 1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 1e-02 3e-02 1e-01 3e-01 False Positive Idenification Rate, FPIR(T)






I: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (sensetime\_005) Immigration **Immigration** visa-border visa-kiosk 0.200 0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -Palse negative identification rate, FNIR(N) 0.002 - 0.001 - 0.000 - 0. enrolment\_style consolidated ---- random --- recent Mugshot webcam Mugshot natural FNIR@Rank = 1 dermalog\_6 - sensetime\_005 0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 Enrolled population size, N



Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements. The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power–law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations



M: Identification FNIR(N, T, L+1) and Investigational FNIR(N, 0, R) under ageing



