A: 1:N error tradeoff by dataset and enrollment type. N = 1600000 individuals

B: Dependence of error rates on T by number enrolled identities, N, for Mugshot natural images

C: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate

D: FPIR dependence on T by probe type for N = 1600000 subjects

E: DET for Mugshot natural images and various N. Links connect points of equal threshold.

F: Mugshot natural images, identification mode: FNIR(N, L+1, T) vs. most accurate (sensetime_004)

G: Datasheet

Algorithm: microfocus_0

Developer: MicroFocus

Submission Date: 2018_02_12

Template size: 256 bytes

Template time (2.5 percentile): 416 msec

Template time (median): 527 msec

Template time (97.5 percentile): 631 msec

Frontal mugshot investigation rank 247 –– FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.5961 vs. lowest 0.0010 from sensetime_004

natural investigation rank 211 –– FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.7823 vs. lowest 0.0067 from sensetime_003

natural investigation rank 96 –– FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.7130 vs. lowest 0.0014 from visionlabs_009

natural investigation rank 97 –– FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.9121 vs. lowest 0.0694 from cib_000

Frontal mugshot identification rank 238 –– FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.9332 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime_004

natural identification rank 206 –– FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.9849 vs. lowest 0.0122 from sensetime_003

natural identification rank 92 –– FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.9844 vs. lowest 0.0059 from sensetime_004

natural identification rank 86 –– FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.9931 vs. lowest 0.1129 from visionlabs_009

H: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (yitu_4)

I: Investigational mode: FNIR(1600000, R, 0) by probe type

Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements. The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power−law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations