## A: Datasheet

Algorithm: synesis\_005

Developer: Synesis

Submission Date: 2020\_09\_08

Template size: 4104 bytes

Template time (2.5 percentile): 754 msec

Template time (median): 757 msec

Template time (97.5 percentile): 808 msec

Investigation:

Frontal mugshot ranking 108 (out of 259) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0085 vs. lowest 0.0009 from sensetime\_005

Mugshot webcam ranking 28 (out of 221) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0127 vs. lowest 0.0062 from sensetime\_005

Mugshot profile ranking 78 (out of 190) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.7441 vs. lowest 0.0591 from sensetime\_005

Immigration visa-border ranking 13 (out of 142) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0032 vs. lowest 0.0014 from visionlabs\_009

Immigration visa-kiosk ranking 13 (out of 139) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0923 vs. lowest 0.0694 from cib\_000

Identification:


Frontal mugshot ranking 39 (out of 259) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0248, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime\_004


Mugshot webcam ranking 35 (out of 219) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0714, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0122 from sensetime\_003

Mugshot profile ranking 63 (out of 189) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.9837, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.1733 from sensetime\_005

Immigration visa-border ranking 28 (out of 139) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0325, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0059 from sensetime\_004

Immigration visa-kiosk ranking 18 (out of 134) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.2160, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.1048 from sensetime\_005







D: 1:N error tradeoff by dataset and enrollment type. N = 1600000 individuals Immigration Immigration Mugshot visa-border visa-kiosk natural 0.700 -0.500 -0.300 -0.200 -0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 - 0.005 - 0.005 - 0.002 - 0.001 - 0.001 - 0.700 - 0.500 - 0.200 enrolment\_style random-ONE-MATE recent-ONE-MATE 0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 - $1e^{-0.4}e^{-0.3}e^{-0.4}e^{-0.3}e^{-0.3}e^{-0.3}e^{-0.3}e^{-0.3}e^{-0.3}e^{-0.1}e^{-0.3}e^{-0.1}e^{-0.3}e^{-0.4}e^{-0.3}e^{$ 


False positive identification rate, FPIR(T)

E: Dependence of error rates on T by number enrolled identities, N, for Mugshot natural images




F: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate 7e+01 -5e+01 -3e+01 -2e+01 -1e+01 -7e+00 -5e+00 -3e+00 -2e+00 -1e+00 -7e-01 -5e-01 -3e-01 -2e-01 -1e-01 -7e-02 -5e-02 -3e-02 -3e-02 -2e-02 -3e-02 -3e-02 -3e-02 -3e-03 -3e-03 -3e-03 -3e-03 -3e-03 -3e-03 -**Enrolled images:** recent N = 1600000Mugshot natural Mugshot webcam 3e-03 -2e-03 -1e-03 -7e-04 -5e-04 -3e-04 -2e-04 -1e-04 -7e-05 -5e-05 -3e-05 -2e-05 -1e-05 -1e-05 3e-05 1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 1e-02 3e-02 1e-01 3e-01 False Positive Idenification Rate, FPIR(T)






I: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (sensetime\_005) Immigration **Immigration** visa-border visa-kiosk 0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -Ealse negative identification rate, FNIR(N) 0.002 - 0.001 - 0.100 - 0.050 - 0.050 - 0.030 - 0. FNIR@Rank = 1 sensetime\_005 synesis\_005 Mugshot Mugshot webcam natural enrolment\_style random ---- recent 0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 Enrolled population size, N



Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements. The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power–law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations



M: Identification FNIR(N, T, L+1) and Investigational FNIR(N, 0, R) under ageing

1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 1e-02 3e-02 1e-01 3e-01 1e+00

False positive identification rate, FPIR

0.700 -0.500 -0.300 -0.200 -

0.100 **-** 0.070 **-**

0.050 **-**0.030 **-**0.020 **-**


0.010 -

0.007 - 0.005 - 0.003 - 0.002 - 0.001 - 0.500 - 0.500 - 0.200

0.100 - 0.070 - 0.050 - 0.030 - 0.020 -

0.010 - 0.007 - 0.005 - 0.003 - 0.002 -

0.001 -



10

Rank, R

30

50

