A: Datasheet

Algorithm: isystems_3

Developer: Alivia / Innovation Sys

Submission Date: 2018_10_30

Template size: 2048 bytes

Template time (2.5 percentile): 811 msec

Template time (median): 826 msec

Template time (97.5 percentile): 1316 msec

Investigation:

Frontal mugshot ranking 80 (out of 259) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0052 vs. lowest 0.0009 from sensetime_005

Mugshot webcam ranking 85 (out of 221) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0230 vs. lowest 0.0062 from sensetime_005


Mugshot profile ranking 85 (out of 190) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.7912 vs. lowest 0.0591 from sensetime_005

Identification:


Frontal mugshot ranking 95 (out of 259) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0587, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime_004

Mugshot webcam ranking 68 (out of 219) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.1073, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0122 from sensetime_003

Mugshot profile ranking 151 (out of 189) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.9997, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.1733 from sensetime_005




C: Evolution of accuracy for ISYSTEMS algorithms on three datasets 2018 – present





D: 1:N error tradeoff by dataset and enrollment type. N = 1600000 individuals **Immigration** Mugshot **Immigration** visa-border visa-kiosk natural 0.700 -0.500 -0.300 -0.200 -0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -Ealse negative identification rate, FNIR(T) 0.003 - 0.000 - 0.000 - 0.200 - 0. enrolment_style consolidated-ONE-MATE random-ONE-MATE recent-ONE-MATE unconsolidated-ALL-MATES unconsolidated-ANY-MATE 0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -

E: Dependence of error rates on T by number enrolled identities, N, for Mugshot natural images




F: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate 7e+01 -5e+01 -3e+01 -2e+01 -1e+01 -7e+00 -5e+00 -3e+00 -2e+00 -1e+00 -7e-01 -5e-01 -3e-01 -2e-01 -Selectivity, SEL(T) 7e-02 - 7e-02 - 3e-02 - 3 **Enrolled images:** recent N = 1600000 Mugshot natural Mugshot webcam 2e-02 -1e-02 -7e-03 -5e-03 -3e-03 -2e-03 -1e-03 -7e-04 -5e-04 -3e-04 -2e-04 -1e-04 -7e-05 -5e-05 -1e-05 3e-05 1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 1e-02 3e-02 1e-01 3e-01 False Positive Idenification Rate, FPIR(T)







I: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (sensetime_005) Immigration **Immigration** visa-border visa-kiosk 0.100 0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -Palse negative identification rate, FNIR(N) 0.002 - 0.001 - 0.000 - 0.050 - 0.050 - 0.030 - 0. enrolment_style consolidated ---- random --- recent Mugshot webcam Mugshot natural FNIR@Rank = 1 isystems_3 - sensetime_005 0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 Enrolled population size, N



Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements. The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power–law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations





M: Identification FNIR(N, T, L+1) and Investigational FNIR(N, 0, R) under ageing



