A: Datasheet

Algorithm: 3divi_6

Developer: 3Divi

Submission Date: 2018_10_26

Template size: 528 bytes

Template time (2.5 percentile): 507 msec

Template time (median): 640 msec

Template time (97.5 percentile): 869 msec

Investigation:

Frontal mugshot ranking 185 (out of 279) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0240 vs. lowest 0.0009 from sensetime_005

Mugshot webcam ranking 180 (out of 241) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0741 vs. lowest 0.0062 from sensetime_005

Immigration visa-border ranking 107 (out of 168) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0465 vs. lowest 0.0013 from visionlabs_010

Immigration visa-kiosk ranking 120 (out of 165) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.3122 vs. lowest 0.0568 from cloudwalk_hr_000


Identification:


Frontal mugshot ranking 179 (out of 279) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.1676, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime_004

Mugshot webcam ranking 176 (out of 236) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.3418, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0122 from sensetime_003

Immigration visa-border ranking 108 (out of 167) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.2827, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0047 from idemia_008

Immigration visa-kiosk ranking 84 (out of 162) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.6172, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0996 from cloudwalk_hr_000







D: 1:N error tradeoff by dataset and enrollment type. N = 1600000 individuals **Immigration Immigration** Mugshot visa-border visa-kiosk natural 0.700 -0.500 -0.300 -0.200 -0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -Ealse negative identification rate, FNIR(T) 0.003 - 0.002 - 0.001 - 0.500 - 0.200 - 0. enrolment_style consolidated-ONE-MATE random-ONE-MATE recent-ONE-MATE unconsolidated-ALL-MATES unconsolidated-ANY-MATE 0.100 -0.070 sensetime 004 0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -


False positive identification rate, FPIR(T)

E: Dependence of error rates on T by number enrolled identities, N, for Mugshot natural images




F: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate 7e+01 -5e+01 -3e+01 -2e+01 -1e+01 -7e+00 -5e+00 -3e+00 -2e+00 -1e+00 -7e-01 -5e-01 -3e-01 -2e-01 -1e-01 -7e-02 -5e-02 -3e-02 -3e-02 -1e-02 -**Enrolled images:** recent N = 1600000 Mugshot natural Mugshot webcam 7e-03 -5e-03 -3e-03 -2e-03 -1e-03 -7e-04 -5e-04 -3e-04 -2e-04 -1e-04 -7e-05 -5e-05 -3e-05 -2e-05 -1e-05 -1e-05 3e-05 1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 1e-02 3e-02 1e-01 3e-01 False Positive Idenification Rate, FPIR(T)






K: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (sensetime_005) Immigration **Immigration** visa-border visa-kiosk 0.300 -0.200 -0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -Ealse negative identification rate, FNIR(N) 0.003 - 0.001 - 0.300 - 0.100 - 0.700 - 0. enrolment_style consolidated ---- random --- recent Mugshot Mugshot webcam natural FNIR@Rank = 1 --- 3divi_6 sensetime_005 0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 Enrolled population size, N



M: Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements. The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power–law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations



Q: Identification FNIR(N, T, L+1) and Investigational FNIR(N, 0, R) under ageing



