


A: 1:N error tradeoff by dataset and enrollment type. N = 1600000 individuals Immigration Immigration Mugshot visa-kiosk visa-border natural 0.700 -0.500 0.300 0.200 -False negative identification rate, FNIR(T) enrolment_style consolidated-ONE-MATE random-ONE-MATE recent-ONE-MATE 0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 1e-02 3e-02 1e-01 3e-01 1e+00 1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 1e-02 3e-02 1e-01 3e-01 1e+00 1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 1e-02 3e-02 1e-01 3e-01 1e+00 1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 1e-02 3e-02 1e-01 3e-01 1e+00 1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 1e-02 3e-02 1e-01 3e-01 1e+00 1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 1e-02 3e-02 1e-01 3e-01 1e+00 1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 1e-02 3e-03 1e-03 3e-03 1e-02 3e-03 1e-03 False positive identification rate, FPIR(T)


B: Dependence of error rates on T by number enrolled identities, N, for Mugshot natural images



C: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate 7e+01 5e+01 3e+01 2e+01 -1e+01 -7e+00 -5e+00 -3e+00 -2e+00 -1e+00 • 7e-01 -5e-01 -3e-01 2e-01 -1e-01 -Enrolled images: recent N = 1600000 7e-02 -7e-02 -7e-02 -3e-02 -3e-02 -1e-02 -7e-03 -Mugshot natural Mugshot profile Mugshot webcam 7e-03 5e-03 -3e-03 -2e-03 -1e-03 -7e-04 -5e-04 -3e-04 -2e-04 -1e-04 **-**7e-05 -5e-05 -3e-05 -2e-05 -1e-05 -1e-05 3e-05 1e-04 3e-04 1e-01 3e-01 False Positive Idenification Rate, FPIR(T)









G: Datasheet

Algorithm: idemia_4 Developer: Idemia Submission Date: 2018_06_21 Template size: 528 bytes Template time (2.5 percentile): 644 msec Template time (median): 661 msec Template time (97.5 percentile): 732 msec Frontal mugshot investigation rank 85 -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0066 vs. lowest 0.0010 from sensetime_004 natural investigation rank 99 -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0317 vs. lowest 0.0067 from sensetime_003 natural investigation rank 239 -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.9286 vs. lowest 0.0492 from paravision_005 natural investigation rank 239 -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.9286 vs. lowest 0.0492 from paravision_005 natural investigation rank 56 -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0175 vs. lowest 0.0014 from visionlabs_009 natural investigation rank 64 -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.2101 vs. lowest 0.0694 from cib_000 Frontal mugshot identification rank 50 -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0372 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime_004 natural identification rank 70 -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.1183 vs. lowest 0.0122 from sensetime_003 natural identification rank 57 -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.9675 vs. lowest 0.1020 from sensetime_004 natural identification rank 54 -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.1230 vs. lowest 0.0059 from sensetime_004 natural identification rank 66 -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.7692 vs. lowest 0.1129 from visionlabs_009






Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements. The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power–law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations Log Model ---- Power Law Model 2000 1000 -700 200 100 -70 -3e+06 1e+07 1e+06

Enrolled population size, N, one image per person

Search Duration (milliseconds)



M: Identification FNIR(N, T, L+1) and Investigational FNIR(N, 0, R) under ageing

