A: Datasheet

Algorithm: visionlabs_009

Developer: VisionLabs

Submission Date: 2020_08_04

Template size: 512 bytes

Template time (2.5 percentile): 466 msec

Template time (median): 467 msec

Template time (97.5 percentile): 678 msec

Investigation:

Frontal mugshot ranking 5 (out of 259) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0011 vs. lowest 0.0009 from sensetime_005

Mugshot webcam ranking 9 (out of 221) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0083 vs. lowest 0.0062 from sensetime_005

Mugshot profile ranking 7 (out of 190) — FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0913 vs. lowest 0.0591 from sensetime_005

Immigration visa-border ranking 1 (out of 142) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0014

Immigration visa-kiosk ranking 2 (out of 139) — FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0707 vs. lowest 0.0694 from cib_000

Identification:


Frontal mugshot ranking 9 (out of 259) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0046, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime_004


Mugshot webcam ranking 9 (out of 219) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0254, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0122 from sensetime_003

Mugshot profile ranking 17 (out of 189) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.7994, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.1733 from sensetime_005

Immigration visa-border ranking 8 (out of 139) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0084, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0059 from sensetime_004

Immigration visa-kiosk ranking 2 (out of 134) — FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.1129, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.1048 from sensetime_005







D: 1:N error tradeoff by dataset and enrollment type. N = 1600000 individuals Immigration Immigration Mugshot visa-border visa-kiosk natural 0.700 -0.500 -0.300 -0.200 -0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -Ealse negative identification rate, FNIR(T) 0.003 - 0.0001 - 0.700 - 0.500 - 0.200 - 0.100 - 0 enrolment_style random-ONE-MATE recent-ONE-MATE 0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 - $1e^{-0.4}e^{-0.3}e^{-0.4}e^{-0.3}e^{-0.3}e^{-0.3}e^{-0.3}e^{-0.3}e^{-0.3}e^{-0.1}e^{-0.3}e^{-0.1}e^{-0.3}e^{-0.4}e^{-0.3}e^{$


False positive identification rate, FPIR(T)

E: Dependence of error rates on T by number enrolled identities, N, for Mugshot natural images




F: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate 7e+01 -5e+01 -3e+01 -2e+01 -1e+01 -7e+00 -5e+00 -3e+00 -2e+00 -1e+00 -7e-01 -5e-01 -3e-01 -2e-01 -1e-01 -7e-02 -5e-02 -3e-02 -3e-02 -1e-02 -**Enrolled images:** recent N = 1600000 Mugshot natural Mugshot webcam 7e-03 -5e-03 -3e-03 -2e-03 -1e-03 -7e-04 -5e-04 -3e-04 -2e-04 -1e-04 -7e-05 -5e-05 -3e-05 -2e-05 -1e-05 -1e-05 3e-05 1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 1e-02 3e-02 1e-01 3e-01 False Positive Idenification Rate, FPIR(T)






I: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (sensetime_005) Immigration **Immigration** visa-border visa-kiosk 0.100 0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -Ealse negative identification rate, FNIR(N) 0.002 - 0.001 - 0.000 - 0.050 - 0.030 - 0. FNIR@Rank = 1 sensetime_005 visionlabs_009 Mugshot Mugshot webcam natural enrolment_style random ---- recent 0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 Enrolled population size, N



Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements. The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power–law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations



M: Identification FNIR(N, T, L+1) and Investigational FNIR(N, 0, R) under ageing



