## A: Datasheet

Algorithm: shaman\_7

Developer: Shaman Software

Submission Date: 2018\_10\_26

Template size: 2048 bytes

Template time (2.5 percentile): 704 msec

Template time (median): 707 msec

Template time (97.5 percentile): 778 msec

Investigation:


Frontal mugshot ranking 192 (out of 265) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0396 vs. lowest 0.0009 from sensetime\_005


Mugshot webcam ranking 151 (out of 227) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0571 vs. lowest 0.0062 from sensetime\_005

Identification:

Frontal mugshot ranking 156 (out of 265) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.1413, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime\_004

Mugshot webcam ranking 141 (out of 225) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.2400, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0122 from sensetime\_003







D: 1:N error tradeoff by dataset and enrollment type. N = 1600000 individuals **Immigration** Mugshot **Immigration** visa-border visa-kiosk natural 0.700 -0.500 -0.300 -0.200 -0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -Ealse negative identification rate, FNIR(T) 0.003 - 0.002 - 0.001 - 0.500 - 0.200 - 0. enrolment\_style consolidated-ONE-MATE random-ONE-MATE recent-ONE-MATE unconsolidated-ALL-MATES unconsolidated-ANY-MATE 0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -


False positive identification rate, FPIR(T)

E: Dependence of error rates on T by number enrolled identities, N, for Mugshot natural images




F: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate 7e+01 -5e+01 -3e+01 -2e+01 -1e+01 -7e+00 -5e+00 -3e+00 -2e+00 -1e+00 -7e-01 -5e-01 -3e-01 -2e-01 -1e-01 -7e-02 -5e-02 -3e-02 -3e-02 -1e-02 -**Enrolled images:** recent N = 1600000 Mugshot natural Mugshot webcam 7e-03 -5e-03 -3e-03 -2e-03 -1e-03 -7e-04 -5e-04 -3e-04 -2e-04 -1e-04 -7e-05 -5e-05 -3e-05 -2e-05 -1e-05 -1e-05 3e-05 1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 1e-02 3e-02 1e-01 3e-01 False Positive Idenification Rate, FPIR(T)






I: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (sensetime\_005) Immigration **Immigration** visa-border visa-kiosk 0.100 0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -Ealse negative identification rate, FNIR(N) 0.002 - 0.001 - 0.000 - 0.050 - 0.030 - 0. enrolment\_style consolidated ---- random --- recent Mugshot Mugshot webcam natural FNIR@Rank = 1 sensetime\_005 shaman\_7 0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 Enrolled population size, N



Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements. The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power–law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations



M: Identification FNIR(N, T, L+1) and Investigational FNIR(N, 0, R) under ageing



