A: Datasheet

Algorithm: megvii_0

Developer: Megvii/Face++

Submission Date: 2018_02_15

Template size: 2048 bytes

Template time (2.5 percentile): 784 msec

Template time (median): 785 msec

Template time (97.5 percentile): 816 msec

Investigation:

Frontal mugshot ranking 117 (out of 279) — FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0073 vs. lowest 0.0009 from sensetime_005

Mugshot webcam ranking 68 (out of 241) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0169 vs. lowest 0.0062 from sensetime_005


Immigration visa-border ranking 165 (out of 168) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 1.0000 vs. lowest 0.0013 from visionlabs_010


Identification:

Frontal mugshot ranking 151 (out of 279) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.1061, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime_004

Mugshot webcam ranking 87 (out of 236) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.1157, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0122 from sensetime_003

Immigration visa-border ranking 162 (out of 167) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 1.0000, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0047 from idemia_008






D: 1:N error tradeoff by dataset and enrollment type. N = 1600000 individuals **Immigration** Immigration Mugshot visa-border visa-kiosk natural 0.700 -0.500 -0.300 -0.200 -0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 - 0.005 - 0.005 - 0.002 - 0.001 - 0.001 - 0.700 - 0.500 - 0.200 enrolment_style consolidated-ONE-MATE random-ONE-MATE recent-ONE-MATE 0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -


False positive identification rate, FPIR(T)


0.001 -

E: Dependence of error rates on T by number enrolled identities, N, for Mugshot natural images



F: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate 7e+01 -5e+01 -3e+01 -2e+01 -1e+01 -7e+00 -5e+00 -3e+00 -2e+00 -1e+00 -7e-01 -5e-01 -3e-01 -2e-01 -1e-01 -7e-02 -5e-02 -3e-02 -3e-02 -1e-02 -**Enrolled images:** recent N = 1600000 Mugshot natural Mugshot webcam 7e-03 -5e-03 -3e-03 -2e-03 -1e-03 -7e-04 -5e-04 -3e-04 -2e-04 -1e-04 -7e-05 -5e-05 -3e-05 -2e-05 -1e-05 -1e-05 3e-05 1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 1e-02 3e-02 1e-01 3e-01 False Positive Idenification Rate, FPIR(T)







K: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (sensetime_005) Immigration **Immigration** visa-border visa-kiosk 0.700 -0.500 -0.300 -0.200 -0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 - 0.003 - 0.002 - 0.001 - 0.001 - 0.000 - 0.300 - 0.200 enrolment_style consolidated ---- random --- recent Mugshot webcam Mugshot natural FNIR@Rank = 1 megvii_0 sensetime_005 0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 Enrolled population size, N


L: Investigational mode: FNIR(1600000, R, 0) by probe type megvii_0 sensetime_005 0.700 -0.500 -0.300 -0.200 -0.100 - 0.070 - 0.050 - 0.030 - 0.020 - 0.010 enrolment_style lifetime_consolidated ---- random --- recent FNIR(R) N = 1600000 Immigration visa-border Immigration visa-kiosk Mugshot natural Mugshot webcam 0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -10 30 3 10 30 Rank, R

M: Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements. The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power–law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations



Q: Identification FNIR(N, T, L+1) and Investigational FNIR(N, 0, R) under ageing





R: Decline of genuine scores with ageing, with some eventually dropping below typical thresholds shown by the horizontal lines 90 -Dataset: 2018 Mugshot N= 3.1M Color encodes FNIR (Rank = 1) 0.15 0.10 80 -0.05 0.00 **TVAL** - FPIR = 0.001 FPIR = 0.003 70 -FPIR = 0.010FPIR = 0.030 60 -(00,02](02,04](04,06](06,08](08,10](10,12](12,14](14,18]

Time lapse between search and initial encounter enrollment (years)