A: Datasheet

Algorithm: ayonix_0

Developer: Ayonix

Submission Date: 2018_06_21

Template size: 1036 bytes

Template time (2.5 percentile): 9 msec

Template time (median): 11 msec

Template time (97.5 percentile): 13 msec

Investigation:

Frontal mugshot ranking 269 (out of 279) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.4505 vs. lowest 0.0009 from sensetime_005

Mugshot webcam ranking 234 (out of 241) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.6845 vs. lowest 0.0062 from sensetime_005

Mugshot profile ranking 208 (out of 210) — FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.9958 vs. lowest 0.0587 from xforwardai_002

Immigration visa-border ranking 152 (out of 168) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.6075 vs. lowest 0.0013 from visionlabs_010

Immigration visa-kiosk ranking 155 (out of 165) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.8673 vs. lowest 0.0568 from cloudwalk_hr_000

Identification:


Frontal mugshot ranking 256 (out of 279) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.8107, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime_004


Mugshot webcam ranking 224 (out of 236) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.9389, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0122 from sensetime_003

Mugshot profile ranking 126 (out of 209) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.9978, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.1331 from cloudwalk_hr_000

Immigration visa-border ranking 148 (out of 167) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.9536, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0047 from idemia_008

Immigration visa-kiosk ranking 132 (out of 162) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.9821, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0996 from cloudwalk_hr_000







D: 1:N error tradeoff by dataset and enrollment type. N = 1600000 individuals **Immigration** Immigration Mugshot visa-border visa-kiosk natural 0.700 -0.500 -0.300 -0.200 -0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 - 0.005 - 0.005 - 0.002 - 0.001 - 0.001 - 0.700 - 0.500 - 0.200 enrolment_style consolidated-ONE-MATE random-ONE-MATE recent-ONE-MATE 0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -


False positive identification rate, FPIR(T)

E: Dependence of error rates on T by number enrolled identities, N, for Mugshot natural images




F: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate 7e+01 -5e+01 -3e+01 -2e+01 -1e+01 -7e+00 -5e+00 -3e+00 -2e+00 -1e+00 -7e-01 -5e-01 -3e-01 -2e-01 -1e-01 -7e-02 -5e-02 -5e-02 -3e-02 -1e-02 -**Enrolled images:** recent N = 1600000 Mugshot natural Mugshot webcam 7e-03 -5e-03 -3e-03 -2e-03 -1e-03 -7e-04 -5e-04 -3e-04 -2e-04 -1e-04 -7e-05 -5e-05 -3e-05 -2e-05 -1e-05 -1e-05 3e-05 1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 1e-02 3e-02 1e-01 3e-01 False Positive Idenification Rate, FPIR(T)





K: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (sensetime_005) Immigration **Immigration** visa-border visa-kiosk 0.700 -0.500 -0.300 -0.200 -0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 - 0.003 - 0.002 - 0.001 - 0.001 - 0.700 - 0.500 - 0.200 enrolment_style consolidated ---- random --- recent Mugshot webcam Mugshot natural FNIR@Rank = 1 ayonix_0 sensetime_005 0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 Enrolled population size, N



M: Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements. The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power–law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations Log Model ---- Power Law Model 300 -200 -100 -70 -50 -30 -20 -10 -7e+05 8e+05 1e+06 Enrolled population size, N, one image per person

Search Duration (milliseconds)

Q: Identification FNIR(N, T, L+1) and Investigational FNIR(N, 0, R) under ageing



