


A: 1:N error tradeoff by dataset and enrollment type. N = 1600000 individuals Immigration Immigration Mugshot visa-border visa-kiosk natural 0.700 0.500 -0.300 -0.200 -False negative identification rate, FNIR(T) enrolment\_style consolidated-ONE-MATE random-ONE-MATE recent-ONE-MATE unconsolidated-ALL-MATES unconsolidated-ANY-MATE 0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 1e-02 3e-02 1e-01 3e-01 1e+00 1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 1e-02 3e-02 1e-01 3e-01 1e+00 1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 1e-02 3e-02 1e-01 3e-01 1e+00 1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 1e-02 3e-02 1e-01 3e-01 1e+00 1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 1e-02 3e-02 1e-01 3e-01 1e+00 1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 1e-02 3e-02 1e-01 3e-01 1e+00 1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 1e-02 3e-02 1e-01 3e-01 1e+00 1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 1e-02 3e-02 1e-01 3e-01 1e+00 1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 1e-02 3e-02 1e-01 3e-01 1e+00 1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 1e-02 3e-02 1e-01 3e-01 1e+00 1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 1e-02 3e-02 1e-01 3e-01 1e-01 False positive identification rate, FPIR(T)


B: Dependence of error rates on T by number enrolled identities, N, for Mugshot natural images



C: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate 7e+01 5e+01 -3e+01 2e+01 -1e+01 -7e+00 -5e+00 -3e+00 -2e+00 -1e+00 -7e-01 -5e-01 -3e-01 -2e-01 -1e-01 -Enrolled images: recent N = 1600000 7e-02 - 7e-02 - 7e-03 Mugshot natural Mugshot profile Mugshot webcam 7e-03 -5e-03 -3e-03 -2e-03 -1e-03 -7e-04 -5e-04 -3e-04 -2e-04 -1e-04 • 7e-05 -5e-05 -3e-05 -2e-05 -1e-05 -1e-05 1e-04 3e-04 1e-01 3e-01 False Positive Idenification Rate, FPIR(T)










## G: Datasheet

Algorithm: rankone\_009 Developer: Rank One Computing Submission Date: 2020\_06\_26 Template size: 260 bytes Template time (2.5 percentile): 179 msec Template time (median): 185 msec Template time (97.5 percentile): 242 msec Frontal mugshot investigation rank 32 -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0024 vs. lowest 0.0010 from sensetime\_004 natural investigation rank 22 -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0126 vs. lowest 0.0067 from sensetime\_003 natural investigation rank 66 -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.3463 vs. lowest 0.0492 from paravision\_005 natural investigation rank 66 -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.3463 vs. lowest 0.0492 from paravision\_005 natural investigation rank 30 -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0056 vs. lowest 0.0014 from visionlabs\_009 natural investigation rank 42 -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.1345 vs. lowest 0.0694 from cib\_000 Frontal mugshot identification rank 22 -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0176 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime\_004 natural identification rank 32 -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0763 vs. lowest 0.0122 from sensetime\_003 natural identification rank 36 -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.9061 vs. lowest 0.1020 from sensetime\_004 natural identification rank 38 -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0616 vs. lowest 0.0059 from sensetime\_004 natural identification rank 36 -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.3278 vs. lowest 0.1129 from visionlabs\_009





Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements. The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power–law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations



M: Identification FNIR(N, T, L+1) and Investigational FNIR(N, 0, R) under ageing

