A: Datasheet

Algorithm: idemia_008

Developer: Idemia

Submission Date: 2021_03_15

Template size: 300 bytes

Template time (2.5 percentile): 449 msec

Template time (median): 451 msec

Template time (97.5 percentile): 455 msec

Investigation:

Frontal mugshot ranking 5 (out of 268) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0011 vs. lowest 0.0009 from sensetime_005

Mugshot webcam ranking 2 (out of 230) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0066 vs. lowest 0.0062 from sensetime_005

Mugshot profile ranking 8 (out of 199) — FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0794 vs. lowest 0.0591 from sensetime_005

Immigration visa-border ranking 3 (out of 157) — FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0015 vs. lowest 0.0013 from visionlabs_010

Immigration visa-kiosk ranking 8 (out of 154) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0753 vs. lowest 0.0568 from hr_000

Identification:


Frontal mugshot ranking 3 (out of 268) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0019, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime_004


Mugshot webcam ranking 3 (out of 228) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0129, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0122 from sensetime_003

Mugshot profile ranking 3 (out of 198) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.2040, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.1331 from hr_000

Immigration visa-border ranking 1 (out of 156) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0047, FPIR=0.001000

Immigration visa-kiosk ranking 3 (out of 151) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.1059, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0996 from hr_000







D: 1:N error tradeoff by dataset and enrollment type. N = 1600000 individuals Immigration Immigration Mugshot visa-border visa-kiosk natural 0.700 -0.500 -0.300 -0.200 -0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -Ealse negative identification rate, FNIR(T) 0.003 - 0.0001 - 0.700 - 0.500 - 0.200 - 0.100 - 0 enrolment_style random-ONE-MATE recent-ONE-MATE 0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -


False positive identification rate, FPIR(T)

E: Dependence of error rates on T by number enrolled identities, N, for Mugshot natural images




F: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate 7e+01 -5e+01 -3e+01 -2e+01 -1e+01 -7e+00 -5e+00 -3e+00 -2e+00 -1e+00 -7e-01 -5e-01 -3e-01 -2e-01 -1e-01 -7e-02 -5e-02 -3e-02 -3e-02 -1e-02 -**Enrolled images:** recent N = 1600000 Mugshot natural Mugshot webcam 7e-03 -5e-03 -3e-03 -2e-03 -1e-03 -7e-04 -5e-04 -3e-04 -2e-04 -1e-04 -7e-05 -5e-05 -3e-05 -2e-05 -1e-05 -1e-02 1e-05 3e-05 1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 3e-02 1e-01 3e-01 False Positive Idenification Rate, FPIR(T)






I: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (sensetime_005) Immigration **Immigration** visa-border visa-kiosk 0.100 0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -Ealse negative identification rate, FNIR(N) 0.002 - 0.001 - 0.000 - 0.050 - 0.030 - 0. enrolment_style random ---- recent Mugshot Mugshot webcam natural FNIR@Rank = 1 idemia_008 sensetime_005 0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 Enrolled population size, N



Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements. The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power–law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations - Log Model ---- Power Law Model



M: Identification FNIR(N, T, L+1) and Investigational FNIR(N, 0, R) under ageing



