A: Datasheet

Algorithm: f8_001

Developer: FarBar Inc

Submission Date: 2019_10_03

Investigation:

Frontal mugshot ranking 143 (out of 265) −− FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0120 vs. lowest 0.0009 from sensetime_005

Mugshot profile ranking 71 (out of 196) −− FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.6689 vs. lowest 0.0591 from sensetime_005

Immigration visa−border ranking 145 (out of 148) −− FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 1.0000 vs. lowest 0.0013 from visionlabs_010

Immigration visa−kiosk ranking 143 (out of 145) −− FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 1.0000 vs. lowest 0.0568 from hr_000

Identification:

Frontal mugshot ranking 164 (out of 265) −− FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.1658, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime_004

Mugshot profile ranking 124 (out of 195) −− FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.9985, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.1331 from hr_000

Immigration visa−border ranking 141 (out of 146) −− FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 1.0000, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0049 from hr_000

Immigration visa−kiosk ranking 136 (out of 141) −− FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 1.0000, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0996 from hr_000


B: Mugshot natural images, identification mode: FNIR(N, L+1, T) vs. most accurate (sensetime_004)
False negative identification rate, FNIR(N)
0.700
0.500
0.300
0.200
0.100
0.070
0.050
0.030
0.020
0.010
0.007
0.005
0.003
0.002
1e+06
3e+06
1e+07
Enrolled population size, N
enrolment_style
consolidated
recent
Dataset:
2018 Mugshot
FNIR@FPIR = 0.001
f8_001
sensetime_004

C: Evolution of accuracy for F8 algorithms on three datasets 2018 − present




D: 1:N error tradeoff by dataset and enrollment type. N = 1600000 individuals
Immigration visa−border
Immigration visa−kiosk
Mugshot natural
ft_8_001
sensetime_004
False negative identification rate, FNIR(T)
0.700
0.500
0.300
0.200
0.100
0.070
0.050
0.030
0.020
0.010
0.007
0.005
0.003
0.002
0.001
1e−04
3e−04
1e−03
3e−03
1e−02
3e−02
1e−01
3e−01
1e+00
False positive identification rate, FPIR(T)
enrolment_style
consolidated−ONE−MATE
random−ONE−MATE
recent−ONE−MATE
unconsolidated−ALL−MATES
unconsolidated−ANY−MATE


E: Dependence of error rates on T by number enrolled identities, N, for Mugshot natural images
N
00640000
01600000
03000000
06000000
12000000
lifetime_consolidated
recent
FNIR
FPIR
Identification Error rate, FPIR(T) or FNIR(T)
0.70
0.50
0.30
0.20
0.10
0.07
0.05
0.03
0.02
0.01
7e−01
5e−01
3e−01
2e−01
1e−01
7e−02
5e−02
3e−02
2e−02
1e−02
7e−03
5e−03
3e−03
2e−03
1e−03
7e−04
5e−04
3e−04
2e−04
1e−04
7e−05
0.0
0.2
0.4
0.6
0.8
Threshold


F: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate
Selectivity, SEL(T)
7e+01
5e+01
3e+01
2e+01
1e+01
7e+00
5e+00
3e+00
2e+00
1e+00
7e−01
5e−01
3e−01
2e−01
1e−01
7e−02
5e−02
3e−02
2e−02
1e−02
7e−03
5e−03
3e−03
2e−03
1e−03
7e−04
5e−04
3e−04
2e−04
1e−04
7e−05
1e−04
3e−04
1e−03
3e−03
1e−02
3e−02
1e−01
3e−01
False Positive Idenification Rate, FPIR(T)
Enrolled images:
recent
N = 1600000
Mugshot
natural


G: FPIR dependence on T by probe type for N = 1600000 subjects
False Positive Idenification Rate, FPIR(T)
7e−01
5e−01
3e−01
2e−01
1e−01
7e−02
5e−02
3e−02
2e−02
1e−02
7e−03
5e−03
3e−03
2e−03
1e−03
7e−04
5e−04
3e−04
2e−04
1e−04
7e−05
5e−05
0.3
0.4
0.5
0.6
0.7
0.8
0.9
Threshold
enrolment_style
random
recent
Enrolled image:
random
N = 1600000
Immigration
visa−border
Immigration
visa−kiosk
Mugshot
natural
Mugshot
profile


H: DET for Mugshot natural images and various N. Links connect points of equal threshold.
False negative identification rate, FNIR(T)
0.70
0.50
0.30
0.20
0.10
0.07
0.05
0.03
0.02
0.01
1e−04
3e−04
1e−03
3e−03
1e−02
3e−02
1e−01
3e−01
1e+00
False positive identification rate, FPIR(T)
2018 Mugshot
Enrolled image:
recent
00640000
01600000
03000000
06000000
12000000

I: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (sensetime_005)




J: Investigational mode: FNIR(1600000, R, 0) by probe type
f8_001
sensetime_005
False negative identification rate, FNIR(N)
0.700
0.500
0.300
0.200
0.100
0.070
0.050
0.030
0.020
0.010
0.007
0.005
0.003
0.002
0.001
1
3
10
30
Rank, R
enrolment_style
lifetime_consolidated
random
recent
FNIR(R)
N = 1600000
Immigration visa−border
Immigration visa−kiosk
Mugshot natural
Mugshot webcam

Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements.
The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power−law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations


M: Identification FNIR(N, T, L+1) and Investigational FNIR(N, 0, R) under ageing
Dataset: 2018 Mugshot N = 3068801
(00,02]
(02,04]
(04,06]
(06,08]
(08,10]
(10,12]
(12,14]
(14,18]
FPIR
Rank
False negative identification rate (FNIR)
0.700
0.500
0.300
0.200
0.100
0.070
0.050
0.030
0.020
0.010
0.007
0.005
0.003
0.002
0.001
1e−04
3e−04
1e−03
3e−03
1e−02
3e−02
1e−01
3e−01
1e+00
1
3
10
30
50
False positive identification rate, FPIR or Rank, R
sensetime 005