A: Datasheet

Algorithm: remarkai_0

Developer: Remark Holdings

Submission Date: 2018_10_30

Template size: 2048 bytes

Template time (2.5 percentile): 578 msec

Template time (median): 594 msec

Template time (97.5 percentile): 814 msec

Investigation:


Frontal mugshot ranking 109 (out of 259) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0086 vs. lowest 0.0009 from sensetime_005

Mugshot webcam ranking 105 (out of 221) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0301 vs. lowest 0.0062 from sensetime_005


Identification:

Frontal mugshot ranking 145 (out of 259) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.1276, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime_004

Mugshot webcam ranking 123 (out of 219) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.2027, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0122 from sensetime_003




C: Evolution of accuracy for REMARKAI algorithms on three datasets 2018 – present





D: 1:N error tradeoff by dataset and enrollment type. N = 1600000 individuals **Immigration Immigration** Mugshot visa-border visa-kiosk natural 0.700 -0.500 -0.300 -0.200 -0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -Ealse negative identification rate, FNIR(T) 0.003 - 0.0001 - 0.700 - 0.500 - 0.200 - 0.100 - 0 enrolment_style consolidated-ONE-MATE random-ONE-MATE recent-ONE-MATE unconsolidated-ALL-MATES unconsolidated-ANY-MATE 0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -

E: Dependence of error rates on T by number enrolled identities, N, for Mugshot natural images




F: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate 7e+01 -5e+01 -3e+01 -2e+01 -1e+01 -7e+00 -5e+00 -3e+00 -2e+00 -1e+00 -7e-01 -5e-01 -3e-01 -2e-01 -1e-01 - 7e-02 - 3e-02 - 3e-02 - 2e-02 - 1e-02 **Enrolled images:** recent N = 1600000 Mugshot natural Mugshot webcam 1e-02 -7e-03 -5e-03 -3e-03 -2e-03 -1e-03 -7e-04 -5e-04 -3e-04 -2e-04 -1e-04 -7e-05 -5e-05 -3e-05 -2e-05 -1e-02 1e-05 3e-05 1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 3e-02 1e-01 3e-01 False Positive Idenification Rate, FPIR(T)






I: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (sensetime_005) Immigration **Immigration** visa-border visa-kiosk 0.100 0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -Ealse negative identification rate, FNIR(N) 0.002 - 0.001 - 0.000 - 0.050 - 0.030 - 0. enrolment_style consolidated ---- random --- recent Mugshot Mugshot webcam natural FNIR@Rank = 1 remarkai_0 sensetime_005 0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 Enrolled population size, N



Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements. The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power–law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations



M: Identification FNIR(N, T, L+1) and Investigational FNIR(N, 0, R) under ageing



