A: Datasheet

Algorithm: vigilantsolutions_2

Developer: Vigilant Solutions

Submission Date: 2018_02_14

Template size: 1544 bytes

Template time (2.5 percentile): 795 msec

Template time (median): 825 msec

Template time (97.5 percentile): 873 msec

Investigation:


Frontal mugshot ranking 258 (out of 279) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.2366 vs. lowest 0.0009 from sensetime_005


Mugshot profile ranking 178 (out of 210) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.9664 vs. lowest 0.0587 from xforwardai_002

Identification:

Frontal mugshot ranking 261 (out of 279) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.8753, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime_004

Mugshot profile ranking 137 (out of 209) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.9988, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.1331 from cloudwalk_hr_000






D: 1:N error tradeoff by dataset and enrollment type. N = 1600000 individuals Immigration Immigration Mugshot visa-border visa-kiosk natural 0.700 -0.500 -0.300 -0.200 -0.100 -0.070 sensetime 004 0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 - 0.005 - 0.005 - 0.002 - 0.001 - 0.001 - 0.700 - 0.500 - 0.200 enrolment_style random-ONE-MATE recent-ONE-MATE 0.100 vigilantsolutions 0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -


False positive identification rate, FPIR(T)


E: Dependence of error rates on T by number enrolled identities, N, for Mugshot natural images







F: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate 7e+01 -5e+01 -3e+01 -2e+01 -1e+01 -7e+00 -5e+00 -3e+00 -2e+00 -1e+00 -7e-01 -5e-01 -3e-01 -2e-01 -2e-01 -1e-01 -7e-02 -Enrolled images: recent N = 1600000 Mugshot natural 5e-02 -3e-02 -2e-02 -1e-02 -7e-03 -5e-03 -3e-03 -2e-03 -1e-03 -7e-04 -5e-04 -3e-04 -1e-02 1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 3e-02 1e-01 3e-01 False Positive Idenification Rate, FPIR(T)










M: Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements. The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power–law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations



Q: Identification FNIR(N, T, L+1) and Investigational FNIR(N, 0, R) under ageing



