A: Datasheet

Algorithm: sensetime_004

Developer: Sensetime Group

Submission Date: 2020_08_10

Template size: 1032 bytes

Template time (2.5 percentile): 688 msec

Template time (median): 690 msec

Template time (97.5 percentile): 738 msec

Investigation:

Frontal mugshot ranking 2 (out of 259) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0010 vs. lowest 0.0009 from sensetime_005

Mugshot webcam ranking 3 (out of 221) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0069 vs. lowest 0.0062 from sensetime_005

Mugshot profile ranking 4 (out of 190) — FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0725 vs. lowest 0.0591 from sensetime_005

Immigration visa-border ranking 6 (out of 142) — FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0023 vs. lowest 0.0014 from visionlabs_009

Immigration visa-kiosk ranking 11 (out of 139) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0845 vs. lowest 0.0694 from cib_000

Identification:


Frontal mugshot ranking 1 (out of 259) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0018, FPIR=0.001000


Mugshot webcam ranking 2 (out of 219) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0126, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0122 from sensetime_003

Mugshot profile ranking 2 (out of 189) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.2301, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.1733 from sensetime_005

Immigration visa-border ranking 1 (out of 139) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0059, FPIR=0.001000

Immigration visa-kiosk ranking 3 (out of 134) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.1133, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.1048 from sensetime_005







D: 1:N error tradeoff by dataset and enrollment type. N = 1600000 individuals Immigration Immigration Mugshot visa-border visa-kiosk natural 0.700 -0.500 -0.300 -0.200 -0.100 -Ealse negative identification rate, FNIR(T) 0.000 - 0. enrolment_style random-ONE-MATE recent-ONE-MATE 0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -


False positive identification rate, FPIR(T)

E: Dependence of error rates on T by number enrolled identities, N, for Mugshot natural images




F: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate 7e+01 -5e+01 -3e+01 -2e+01 -1e+01 -7e+00 -5e+00 -3e+00 -2e+00 -1e+00 -7e-01 -5e-01 -3e-01 -2e-01 -1e-01 -7e-02 -5e-02 -3e-02 -3e-02 -1e-02 -**Enrolled images:** recent N = 1600000 Mugshot natural Mugshot webcam 7e-03 -5e-03 -3e-03 -2e-03 -1e-03 -7e-04 -5e-04 -3e-04 -2e-04 -1e-04 -7e-05 -5e-05 -3e-05 -2e-05 -1e-05 -1e-05 3e-05 1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 1e-02 3e-02 1e-01 3e-01 False Positive Idenification Rate, FPIR(T)






I: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (sensetime_005) Immigration **Immigration** visa-border visa-kiosk 0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -Ealse negative identification rate, FNIR(N) 0.002 - 0.001 - 0.000 - 0. enrolment_style random ---- recent Mugshot Mugshot webcam natural FNIR@Rank = 1 sensetime_004 sensetime_005 0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 Enrolled population size, N



Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements. The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power–law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations



M: Identification FNIR(N, T, L+1) and Investigational FNIR(N, 0, R) under ageing



