## A: Datasheet

Algorithm: dermalog\_1

Developer: Dermalog

Submission Date: 2018\_02\_16

Template size: 128 bytes

Template time (2.5 percentile): 151 msec

Template time (median): 170 msec

Template time (97.5 percentile): 201 msec

Investigation:

Frontal mugshot ranking 228 (out of 265) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.1541 vs. lowest 0.0009 from sensetime\_005

Mugshot profile ranking 137 (out of 196) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.9415 vs. lowest 0.0591 from sensetime\_005

Immigration visa-border ranking 114 (out of 148) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.1633 vs. lowest 0.0013 from visionlabs\_010

Immigration visa-kiosk ranking 122 (out of 145) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.5032 vs. lowest 0.0568 from hr\_000


Identification:


Frontal mugshot ranking 221 (out of 265) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.5268, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime\_004

Mugshot profile ranking 81 (out of 195) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.9905, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.1331 from hr\_000

Immigration visa-border ranking 112 (out of 146) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.6589, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0049 from hr\_000


Immigration visa-kiosk ranking 99 (out of 141) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.8757, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0996 from hr\_000







D: 1:N error tradeoff by dataset and enrollment type. N = 1600000 individuals Immigration Immigration Mugshot visa-border visa-kiosk natural 0.700 -0.500 -0.300 -0.200 -0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 - 0.005 - 0.005 - 0.002 - 0.001 - 0.001 - 0.700 - 0.500 - 0.200 enrolment\_style random-ONE-MATE recent-ONE-MATE 0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -False positive identification rate, FPIR(T)






F: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate 7e+01 -5e+01 -3e+01 -2e+01 -1e+01 -7e+00 -5e+00 -3e+00 -2e+00 -1e+00 -7e-01 -5e-01 -3e-01 -2e-01 -Seectivity 7e-02 -5e-02 -3e-02 -Enrolled images: recent N = 1600000 Mugshot natural 2e-02 -1e-02 -7e-03 -5e-03 -3e-03 -2e-03 -1e-03 -7e-04 -5e-04 -3e-04 -2e-04 -1e-04 -7e-05 -1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 1e-02 3e-02 1e-01 3e-01 False Positive Idenification Rate, FPIR(T)






I: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (sensetime\_005) Immigration **Immigration** visa-border visa-kiosk 0.500 -0.300 -0.200 -0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -Ealse negative identification rate, FNIR(N) 0.003 - 0.001 - 0.500 - 0.200 - 0. enrolment\_style consolidated ---- random --- recent Mugshot Mugshot webcam natural FNIR@Rank = 1 dermalog\_1 sensetime\_005 0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 Enrolled population size, N



Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements. The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power–law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations



M: Identification FNIR(N, T, L+1) and Investigational FNIR(N, 0, R) under ageing



