## A: Datasheet

Algorithm: yitu\_3

Developer: Shanghai Yitu Technology

Submission Date: 2018\_06\_21

Template size: 4138 bytes

Template time (2.5 percentile): 804 msec

Template time (median): 871 msec

Template time (97.5 percentile): 964 msec

Investigation:


Frontal mugshot ranking 61 (out of 279) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0029 vs. lowest 0.0009 from sensetime\_005


Mugshot webcam ranking 59 (out of 241) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0159 vs. lowest 0.0062 from sensetime\_005

Identification:

Frontal mugshot ranking 39 (out of 279) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0184, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime\_004

Mugshot webcam ranking 31 (out of 236) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0524, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0122 from sensetime\_003







D: 1:N error tradeoff by dataset and enrollment type. N = 1600000 individuals Immigration Immigration Mugshot visa-border visa-kiosk natural 0.700 -0.500 -0.300 -0.200 -0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -Ealse negative identification rate, FNIR(T) 0.003 - 0.000 - 0.500 - 0.500 - 0.200 - 0.100 - 0. enrolment\_style consolidated-ONE-MATE random-ONE-MATE recent-ONE-MATE 0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -


False positive identification rate, FPIR(T)

E: Dependence of error rates on T by number enrolled identities, N, for Mugshot natural images




F: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate 7e+01 -5e+01 -3e+01 -2e+01 -1e+01 -7e+00 -5e+00 -3e+00 -2e+00 -1e+00 -7e-01 -5e-01 -3e-01 -2e-01 -1e-01 -7e-02 -5e-02 -3e-02 -3e-02 -1e-02 -**Enrolled images:** recent N = 1600000 Mugshot natural Mugshot webcam 7e-03 -5e-03 -3e-03 -2e-03 -1e-03 -7e-04 -5e-04 -3e-04 -2e-04 -1e-04 -7e-05 -5e-05 -3e-05 -2e-05 -1e-05 -1e-05 3e-05 1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 1e-02 3e-02 1e-01 3e-01 False Positive Idenification Rate, FPIR(T)






K: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (sensetime\_005) Immigration **Immigration** visa-border visa-kiosk 0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -Ealse negative identification rate, FNIR(N) 0.002 - 0.001 - 0.000 - 0.050 - 0.050 - 0.030 - 0. enrolment\_style consolidated ---- random --- recent Mugshot Mugshot webcam natural FNIR@Rank = 1 sensetime\_005 yitu\_3 0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 Enrolled population size, N




M: Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements. The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power–law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations



Q: Identification FNIR(N, T, L+1) and Investigational FNIR(N, 0, R) under ageing





R: Decline of genuine scores with ageing, with some eventually dropping below typical thresholds shown by the horizontal lines

