## A: Datasheet

Algorithm: dermalog\_007

Developer: Dermalog

Submission Date: 2020\_02\_12

Template size: 128 bytes

Template time (2.5 percentile): 386 msec

Template time (median): 411 msec

Template time (97.5 percentile): 456 msec

Investigation:

Frontal mugshot ranking 122 (out of 265) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0092 vs. lowest 0.0009 from sensetime\_005

Mugshot webcam ranking 104 (out of 227) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0267 vs. lowest 0.0062 from sensetime\_005

Mugshot profile ranking 72 (out of 196) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.6746 vs. lowest 0.0591 from sensetime\_005

Immigration visa-border ranking 69 (out of 148) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0143 vs. lowest 0.0013 from visionlabs\_010

Immigration visa-kiosk ranking 69 (out of 145) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.1696 vs. lowest 0.0568 from hr\_000

Identification:


Frontal mugshot ranking 122 (out of 265) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0863, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime\_004


Mugshot webcam ranking 106 (out of 225) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.1524, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0122 from sensetime\_003

Mugshot profile ranking 78 (out of 195) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.9902, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.1331 from hr\_000

Immigration visa-border ranking 67 (out of 146) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0989, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0049 from hr\_000

Immigration visa-kiosk ranking 70 (out of 141) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.5590, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0996 from hr\_000







D: 1:N error tradeoff by dataset and enrollment type. N = 1600000 individuals **Immigration Immigration** Mugshot visa-border visa-kiosk natural 0.700 -0.500 -0.300 -0.200 -0.100 -0.070 dermalog 007 0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -Ealse negative identification rate, FNIR(T) 0.003 - 0.0001 - 0.0001 - 0.500 - 0.200 enrolment\_style consolidated-ONE-MATE random-ONE-MATE recent-ONE-MATE unconsolidated-ALL-MATES unconsolidated-ANY-MATE 0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -


False positive identification rate, FPIR(T)

E: Dependence of error rates on T by number enrolled identities, N, for Mugshot natural images




F: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate 7e+01 -5e+01 -3e+01 -2e+01 -1e+01 -7e+00 -5e+00 -3e+00 -2e+00 -1e+00 -7e-01 -5e-01 -3e-01 -2e-01 -1e-01 -7e-02 -5e-02 -5e-02 -2e-02 -**Enrolled images:** recent N = 1600000 Mugshot natural Mugshot webcam 1e-02 -7e-03 -5e-03 -3e-03 -2e-03 -1e-03 -7e-04 -5e-04 -3e-04 -2e-04 -1e-04 -7e-05 -5e-05 -3e-05 -2e-05 -3e-02 1e-05 3e-05 1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 1e-02 1e-01 3e-01 False Positive Idenification Rate, FPIR(T)






I: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (sensetime\_005) Immigration **Immigration** visa-border visa-kiosk 0.200 -0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -Ealse negative identification rate, FNIR(N) 0.002 - 0.001 - 0.000 - 0. enrolment\_style consolidated ---- random --- recent Mugshot webcam Mugshot natural FNIR@Rank = 1 dermalog\_007 sensetime\_005 0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 Enrolled population size, N



Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements. The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power–law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations



M: Identification FNIR(N, T, L+1) and Investigational FNIR(N, 0, R) under ageing



