A: Datasheet

Algorithm: idemia_0

Developer: Idemia

Submission Date: 2018_02_16

Template size: 364 bytes

Template time (2.5 percentile): 404 msec

Template time (median): 415 msec

Template time (97.5 percentile): 433 msec

Investigation:

Frontal mugshot ranking 116 (out of 265) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0088 vs. lowest 0.0009 from sensetime_005

Mugshot webcam ranking 118 (out of 227) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0343 vs. lowest 0.0062 from sensetime_005


Mugshot profile ranking 128 (out of 196) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.9353 vs. lowest 0.0591 from sensetime_005

Identification:


Frontal mugshot ranking 140 (out of 265) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.1109, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime_004

Mugshot webcam ranking 140 (out of 225) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.2393, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0122 from sensetime_003

Mugshot profile ranking 75 (out of 195) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.9900, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.1331 from hr_000




C: Evolution of accuracy for IDEMIA algorithms on three datasets 2018 – present




D: 1:N error tradeoff by dataset and enrollment type. N = 1600000 individuals Immigration Immigration Mugshot visa-border visa-kiosk natural 0.700 -0.500 -0.300 -0.200 -0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -Ealse negative identification rate, FNIR(T) 0.003 - 0.0001 - 0.700 - 0.500 - 0.200 - 0.100 - 0 enrolment_style consolidated-ONE-MATE random-ONE-MATE recent-ONE-MATE 0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -


False positive identification rate, FPIR(T)

E: Dependence of error rates on T by number enrolled identities, N, for Mugshot natural images




F: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate 7e+01 -5e+01 -3e+01 -2e+01 -1e+01 -7e+00 -5e+00 -3e+00 -2e+00 -1e+00 -7e-01 -5e-01 -3e-01 -2e-01 -1e-01 -7e-02 -5e-02 -3e-02 -3e-02 -1e-02 -**Enrolled images:** recent N = 1600000 Mugshot natural Mugshot webcam 7e-03 -5e-03 -3e-03 -2e-03 -1e-03 -7e-04 -5e-04 -3e-04 -2e-04 -1e-04 -7e-05 -5e-05 -3e-05 -2e-05 -1e-05 -3e-02 1e-05 3e-05 1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 1e-02 1e-01 3e-01 False Positive Idenification Rate, FPIR(T)





I: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (sensetime_005) Immigration **Immigration** visa-border visa-kiosk 0.100 0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -Palse negative identification rate, FNIR(N) 0.002 - 0.001 - 0.000 - 0.050 - 0.050 - 0.030 - 0. enrolment_style consolidated ---- random --- recent Mugshot webcam Mugshot natural FNIR@Rank = 1 idemia_0 sensetime_005 • 0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 Enrolled population size, N



Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements. The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power–law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations Log Model ---- Power Law Model 500 -300 -Search Duration (milliseconds) 100 -70 -50 -

Enrolled population size, N, one image per person

3e+06

5e+06

1e+06

M: Identification FNIR(N, T, L+1) and Investigational FNIR(N, 0, R) under ageing

