## A: Datasheet

Algorithm: synesis\_3

Developer: Synesis

Submission Date: 2018\_10\_30

Template size: 4096 bytes

Template time (2.5 percentile): 98 msec

Template time (median): 100 msec

Template time (97.5 percentile): 117 msec

Investigation:


Frontal mugshot ranking 245 (out of 279) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.1700 vs. lowest 0.0009 from sensetime\_005


Mugshot webcam ranking 209 (out of 241) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.2354 vs. lowest 0.0062 from sensetime\_005

Identification:

Frontal mugshot ranking 239 (out of 279) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.5821, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime\_004

Mugshot webcam ranking 203 (out of 236) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.6463, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0122 from sensetime\_003







D: 1:N error tradeoff by dataset and enrollment type. N = 1600000 individuals **Immigration** Immigration Mugshot visa-border visa-kiosk natural 0.700 -0.500 -0.300 -0.200 -0.100 -0.070 sensetime 004 0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -Ealse negative identification rate, FNIR(T) 0.003 - 0.002 - 0.001 - 0.500 - 0.500 - 0.200 - 0. enrolment\_style consolidated-ONE-MATE random-ONE-MATE recent-ONE-MATE unconsolidated-ALL-MATES unconsolidated-ANY-MATE 0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -


\\\ \e^{-0}\frac{3}{2}e^{-0}\frac{1}{2}e^{-0}\frac{3}{2}e^{-0}\frac{1}{2}e

E: Dependence of error rates on T by number enrolled identities, N, for Mugshot natural images




F: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate 7e+01 -5e+01 -3e+01 -2e+01 -1e+01 -7e+00 -5e+00 -3e+00 -2e+00 -1e+00 -7e-01 -5e-01 -3e-01 -2e-01 -1e-01 -7e-02 -5e-02 -3e-02 -3e-02 -1e-02 -**Enrolled images:** recent N = 1600000 Mugshot natural Mugshot webcam 7e-03 -5e-03 -3e-03 -2e-03 -1e-03 -7e-04 -5e-04 -3e-04 -2e-04 -1e-04 -7e-05 -5e-05 -3e-05 -2e-05 -1e-05 -1e-05 3e-05 1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 1e-02 3e-02 1e-01 3e-01 False Positive Idenification Rate, FPIR(T)






K: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (sensetime\_005) Immigration **Immigration** visa-border visa-kiosk 0.300 -0.200 -0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -Palse negative identification rate, FNIR(N) 0.003 - 0.001 - 0.300 - 0.200 - 0.070 - 0. • enrolment\_style consolidated ---- random --- recent Mugshot webcam Mugshot natural FNIR@Rank = 1 sensetime\_005 synesis\_3 0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -• 0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 Enrolled population size, N



M: Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements. The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power–law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations



Q: Identification FNIR(N, T, L+1) and Investigational FNIR(N, 0, R) under ageing



