A: Datasheet

Algorithm: hik_1

Developer: Hikvision Research Institute

Submission Date: 2018_02_12

Template size: 1808 bytes

Template time (2.5 percentile): 815 msec

Template time (median): 820 msec

Template time (97.5 percentile): 842 msec

Investigation:


Frontal mugshot ranking 162 (out of 279) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0147 vs. lowest 0.0009 from sensetime_005

Mugshot profile ranking 156 (out of 210) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.9517 vs. lowest 0.0587 from xforwardai_002


Identification:

Frontal mugshot ranking 158 (out of 279) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.1173, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime_004

Mugshot profile ranking 84 (out of 209) — FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.9902, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.1331 from cloudwalk_hr_000




C: Evolution of accuracy for HIK algorithms on three datasets 2018 - present




D: 1:N error tradeoff by dataset and enrollment type. N = 1600000 individuals Immigration Immigration Mugshot visa-border visa-kiosk natural 0.700 -0.500 -0.300 -0.200 -0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -Ealse negative identification rate, FNIR(T) 0.003 - 0.000 - 0.500 - 0.500 - 0.200 - 0.100 - 0. enrolment_style random-ONE-MATE recent-ONE-MATE 0.070 -0.050 sensetime 004 0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -


False positive identification rate, FPIR(T)


E: Dependence of error rates on T by number enrolled identities, N, for Mugshot natural images




F: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate 7e+01 · 5e+01 -3e+01 -2e+01 -1e+01 -7e+00 -5e+00 -3e+00 -2e+00 -1e+00 -7e-01 -5e-01 -3e-01 -2e-01 -E 1e-01 -Enrolled images: recent N = 1600000 See-02 - 3e-02 - 3e-02 -Mugshot natural 2e-02 -1e-02 -7e-03 -5e-03 -3e-03 -2e-03 -1e-03 -7e-04 -5e-04 -3e-04 -2e-04 -1e-04 -7e-05 -5e-05 -1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 1e-02 3e-02 1e-01 3e-01 False Positive Idenification Rate, FPIR(T)










M: Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements. The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power–law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations



Q: Identification FNIR(N, T, L+1) and Investigational FNIR(N, 0, R) under ageing



