## A: Datasheet

Algorithm: shaman\_6

Developer: Shaman Software

Submission Date: 2018\_10\_26

Template size: 2048 bytes

Template time (2.5 percentile): 704 msec

Template time (median): 707 msec

Template time (97.5 percentile): 776 msec

Investigation:

Frontal mugshot ranking 206 (out of 279) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0398 vs. lowest 0.0009 from sensetime\_005


Mugshot webcam ranking 164 (out of 241) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0578 vs. lowest 0.0062 from sensetime\_005


Mugshot profile ranking 149 (out of 210) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.9384 vs. lowest 0.0587 from xforwardai\_002

Identification:

Frontal mugshot ranking 167 (out of 279) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.1409, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime\_004


Mugshot webcam ranking 148 (out of 236) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.2368, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0122 from sensetime\_003







D: 1:N error tradeoff by dataset and enrollment type. N = 1600000 individuals **Immigration** Immigration Mugshot visa-border visa-kiosk natural 0.700 -0.500 -0.300 -0.200 -0.100 -0.070 -0.050 sensetime 004 0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -Ealse negative identification rate, FNIR(T) 0.003 - 0.000 - 0.000 - 0.500 - 0.200 - 0.100 - 0. enrolment\_style consolidated-ONE-MATE random-ONE-MATE recent-ONE-MATE unconsolidated-ALL-MATES unconsolidated-ANY-MATE 0.070 -0.050 shaman 6 0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -

False positive identification rate, FPIR(T)




F: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate 7e+01 -5e+01 -3e+01 -2e+01 -1e+01 -7e+00 -5e+00 -3e+00 -2e+00 -1e+00 -7e-01 -5e-01 -3e-01 -2e-01 -1e-01 -7e-02 -5e-02 -3e-02 -3e-02 -1e-02 -**Enrolled images:** recent N = 1600000 Mugshot natural Mugshot webcam 7e-03 -5e-03 -3e-03 -2e-03 -1e-03 -7e-04 -5e-04 -3e-04 -2e-04 -1e-04 -7e-05 -5e-05 -3e-05 -2e-05 -1e-05 -1e-05 3e-05 1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 1e-02 3e-02 1e-01 3e-01 False Positive Idenification Rate, FPIR(T)






K: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (sensetime\_005) Immigration **Immigration** visa-border visa-kiosk 0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -Ealse negative identification rate, FNIR(N) 0.002 - 0.001 - 0.000 - 0.050 - 0.030 - 0. enrolment\_style consolidated ---- random --- recent Mugshot webcam Mugshot natural FNIR@Rank = 1 sensetime\_005 shaman\_6 0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 Enrolled population size, N



M: Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements. The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power–law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations



Q: Identification FNIR(N, T, L+1) and Investigational FNIR(N, 0, R) under ageing



