## A: Datasheet

Algorithm: vigilantsolutions\_007

Developer: Vigilant Solutions

Submission Date: 2021\_01\_08

Template size: 1544 bytes

Template time (2.5 percentile): 615 msec

Template time (median): 616 msec

Template time (97.5 percentile): 659 msec

Investigation:

Frontal mugshot ranking 52 (out of 259) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0034 vs. lowest 0.0009 from sensetime\_005

Mugshot webcam ranking 53 (out of 221) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0171 vs. lowest 0.0062 from sensetime\_005

Mugshot profile ranking 113 (out of 190) — FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.9253 vs. lowest 0.0591 from sensetime\_005

Immigration visa-border ranking 62 (out of 142) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0126 vs. lowest 0.0014 from visionlabs\_009

Immigration visa-kiosk ranking 64 (out of 139) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.1752 vs. lowest 0.0694 from cib\_000

Identification:


Frontal mugshot ranking 48 (out of 259) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0282, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime\_004


Mugshot webcam ranking 45 (out of 219) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0880, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0122 from sensetime\_003

Mugshot profile ranking 101 (out of 189) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.9956, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.1733 from sensetime\_005

Immigration visa-border ranking 59 (out of 139) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0815, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0059 from sensetime\_004

Immigration visa-kiosk ranking 47 (out of 134) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.3945, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.1048 from sensetime\_005







D: 1:N error tradeoff by dataset and enrollment type. N = 1600000 individuals Immigration Immigration Mugshot visa-border visa-kiosk natural 0.700 -0.500 -0.300 -0.200 -0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -Ealse negative identification rate, FNIR(T) 0.003 - 0.0001 - 0.700 - 0.500 - 0.200 - 0.100 - 0 enrolment\_style random-ONE-MATE recent-ONE-MATE 0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 - $1e^{-0.4}e^{-0.3}e^{-0.4}e^{-0.3}e^{-0.3}e^{-0.3}e^{-0.3}e^{-0.3}e^{-0.3}e^{-0.1}e^{-0.3}e^{-0.1}e^{-0.3}e^{-0.4}e^{-0.3}e^{$ 


False positive identification rate, FPIR(T)

E: Dependence of error rates on T by number enrolled identities, N, for Mugshot natural images




F: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate 7e+01 -5e+01 -3e+01 -2e+01 -1e+01 -7e+00 -5e+00 -3e+00 -2e+00 -1e+00 -7e-01 -5e-01 -3e-01 -2e-01 -1e-01 -7e-02 -5e-02 -3e-02 -3e-02 -1e-02 -**Enrolled images:** recent N = 1600000 Mugshot natural Mugshot webcam 7e-03 -5e-03 -3e-03 -2e-03 -1e-03 -7e-04 -5e-04 -3e-04 -2e-04 -1e-04 -7e-05 -5e-05 -3e-05 -2e-05 -1e-05 -1e-05 3e-05 1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 1e-02 3e-02 1e-01 3e-01 False Positive Idenification Rate, FPIR(T)






I: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (sensetime\_005) Immigration Immigration visa-kiosk visa-border 0.200 -0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -Ealse negative identification rate, FNIR(N) 0.002 - 0.001 - 0.000 - 0. enrolment\_style random ---- recent Mugshot webcam Mugshot natural FNIR@Rank = 1 sensetime\_005 vigilantsolutions\_007 0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 Enrolled population size, N



Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements. The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power–law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations



M: Identification FNIR(N, T, L+1) and Investigational FNIR(N, 0, R) under ageing



