A: Datasheet

Algorithm: anke_1

Developer: Anke Investments

Submission Date: 2018_10_30

Template size: 2072 bytes

Template time (2.5 percentile): 370 msec

Template time (median): 431 msec

Template time (97.5 percentile): 507 msec

Investigation:

Frontal mugshot ranking 159 (out of 279) −− FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0132 vs. lowest 0.0009 from sensetime_005

Mugshot webcam ranking 136 (out of 241) −− FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0378 vs. lowest 0.0062 from sensetime_005

Mugshot profile ranking 152 (out of 210) −− FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.9461 vs. lowest 0.0587 from xforwardai_002

Immigration visa−border ranking 165 (out of 168) −− FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 1.0000 vs. lowest 0.0013 from visionlabs_010

Immigration visa−kiosk ranking 163 (out of 165) −− FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 1.0000 vs. lowest 0.0568 from cloudwalk_hr_000

Identification:

Frontal mugshot ranking 160 (out of 279) −− FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.1189, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime_004

Mugshot webcam ranking 142 (out of 236) −− FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.2195, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0122 from sensetime_003

Mugshot profile ranking 100 (out of 209) −− FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.9943, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.1331 from cloudwalk_hr_000

Immigration visa−border ranking 162 (out of 167) −− FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 1.0000, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0047 from idemia_008

Immigration visa−kiosk ranking 154 (out of 162) −− FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 1.0000, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0996 from cloudwalk_hr_000


B: Mugshot natural images, identification mode: FNIR(N, L+1, T) vs. most accurate (sensetime_004)
False negative identification rate, FNIR(N)
0.100
0.070
0.050
0.030
0.020
0.010
0.007
0.005
0.003
0.002
1e+06
3e+06
1e+07
Enrolled population size, N
enrolment_style
consolidated
recent
Dataset:
2018 Mugshot
FNIR@FPIR = 0.001
anke_1
sensetime_004

C: Evolution of accuracy for ANKE algorithms on three datasets 2018 − present




D: 1:N error tradeoff by dataset and enrollment type. N = 1600000 individuals
Immigration visa−border
Immigration visa−kiosk
Mugshot natural
anke 1
sensetime 004
False negative identification rate, FNIR(T)
0.700
0.500
0.300
0.200
0.100
0.070
0.050
0.030
0.020
0.010
0.007
0.005
0.003
0.002
0.001
1e−04
3e−04
1e−03
3e−03
1e−02
3e−02
1e−01
3e−01
1e+00
False positive identification rate, FPIR(T)
enrolment_style
consolidated−ONE−MATE
random−ONE−MATE
recent−ONE−MATE
unconsolidated−ALL−MATES
unconsolidated−ANY−MATE


E: Dependence of error rates on T by number enrolled identities, N, for Mugshot natural images
N
00640000
01600000
lifetime_consolidated
recent
FNIR
FPIR
Identification Error rate, FPIR(T) or FNIR(T)
0.500
0.300
0.200
0.100
0.070
0.050
0.030
0.020
0.010
0.007
0.005
0.003
0.002
7e−01
5e−01
3e−01
2e−01
1e−01
7e−02
5e−02
3e−02
2e−02
1e−02
7e−03
5e−03
3e−03
2e−03
1e−03
7e−04
5e−04
3e−04
2e−04
1e−04
7e−05
0.3
0.4
0.5
0.6
0.7
Threshold


F: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate
Selectivity, SEL(T)
7e+01
5e+01
3e+01
2e+01
1e+01
7e+00
5e+00
3e+00
2e+00
1e+00
7e−01
5e−01
3e−01
2e−01
1e−01
7e−02
5e−02
3e−02
2e−02
1e−02
7e−03
5e−03
3e−03
2e−03
1e−03
7e−04
5e−04
3e−04
2e−04
1e−04
7e−05
5e−05
3e−05
2e−05
1e−05
1e−05
3e−05
1e−04
3e−04
1e−03
3e−03
1e−02
3e−02
1e−01
3e−01
False Positive Idenification Rate, FPIR(T)
Enrolled images:
recent
N = 1600000
Mugshot
natural
Mugshot
webcam


G: FPIR dependence on T by probe type for N = 1600000 subjects
False Positive Idenification Rate, FPIR(T)
7e−01
5e−01
3e−01
2e−01
1e−01
7e−02
5e−02
3e−02
2e−02
1e−02
7e−03
5e−03
3e−03
2e−03
1e−03
7e−04
5e−04
3e−04
2e−04
1e−04
7e−05
5e−05
3e−05
2e−05
1e−05
0.2
0.4
0.6
Threshold
Enrolled image:
random
N = 1600000
Immigration
visa−border
Immigration
visa−kiosk
Mugshot
natural
Mugshot
profile
Mugshot
webcam
enrolment_style
random
recent


J: DET for Mugshot natural images and various N. Links connect points of equal threshold.
False negative identification rate, FNIR(T)
0.500
0.300
0.200
0.100
0.070
0.050
0.030
0.020
0.010
0.007
0.005
0.003
1e−04
3e−04
1e−03
3e−03
1e−02
3e−02
1e−01
3e−01
1e+00
False positive identification rate, FPIR(T)
2018 Mugshot
Enrolled image:
recent
00640000
01600000


K: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (sensetime_005)
Immigration visa−border
Immigration visa−kiosk
Mugshot natural
Mugshot webcam
False negative identification rate, FNIR(N)
0.700
0.500
0.300
0.200
0.100
0.070
0.050
0.030
0.020
0.010
0.007
0.005
0.003
0.002
0.001
1e+06
3e+06
1e+07
Enrolled population size, N
enrolment_style
consolidated
random
recent
FNIR@Rank = 1
anke_1
sensetime_005


L: Investigational mode: FNIR(1600000, R, 0) by probe type
anke_1
sensetime_005
False negative identification rate, FNIR(N)
0.700
0.500
0.300
0.200
0.100
0.070
0.050
0.030
0.020
0.010
0.007
0.005
0.003
0.002
0.001
1
3
10
30
Rank, R
enrolment_style
lifetime_consolidated
random
recent
FNIR(R)
N = 1600000
Immigration visa−border
Immigration visa−kiosk
Mugshot natural
Mugshot webcam

M: Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements.
The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power−law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations




Q: Identification FNIR(N, T, L+1) and Investigational FNIR(N, 0, R) under ageing
Dataset: 2018 Mugshot N = 3068801
(00,02]
(02,04]
(04,06]
(06,08]
(08,10]
(10,12]
(12,14]
(14,18]
FPIR
Rank
False negative identification rate (FNIR)
0.700
0.500
0.300
0.200
0.100
0.070
0.050
0.030
0.020
0.010
0.007
0.005
0.003
0.002
0.001
1e−04
3e−04
1e−03
3e−03
1e−02
3e−02
1e−01
3e−01
1e+00
1
3
10
30
50
False positive identification rate, FPIR or Rank, R
cloudwalk hr 000