Face Recognition Vendor Test MORPH

Performance of Automated Facial Morph Detection and Morph Resistant Face Recognition Algorithms

Concept, Evaluation Plan and API
VERSION 2.1

Mei Ngan
Patrick Grother
Kayee Hanaoka
Information Access Division
Information Technology Laboratory

July 7, 2021



Revision History

1

Date	Version	Description	
July 12, 2019	2.0	Initial document	
September 9, 2020	2.0.1	Update link to General Evaluation Specifications document	
July 7, 2021	2.1	Add optional ageDeltaInDays input argument to function detectMorphDifferentially (see Section 5.3.5)	

Table of Contents

4	1. [MORPH	4
5	1.1.	SCOPE	4
6	1.2.	GENERAL FRVT EVALUATION SPECIFICATIONS	4
7	1.3.	REPORTING	4
8	1.4.	ACCURACY METRICS	4
9	2. I	RULES FOR PARTICIPATION	5
10	2.1.	IMPLEMENTATION REQUIREMENTS	5
11	2.2.	PARTICIPATION AGREEMENT	5
12	2.3.	Number and Schedule of Submissions	5
13	2.4.	VALIDATION	5
14	3. [DATA STRUCTURES SUPPORTING THE API	5
15	3.1.	REQUIREMENT	6
16	4. I	MPLEMENTATION LIBRARY FILENAME	6
17	4.1.	FILE FORMATS AND DATA STRUCTURES	6
18	4.1	1. ImageLabel describing the format of an image	6
19	5.	API SPECIFICATION	6
20	5.1.	Header File	6
21	5.2.	Namespace	6
22	5.3.	API	6
23	5.3	3.1. Implementation Requirements	6
24	5.3	3.2. Interface	7
25	5.3	3.3. Initialization	7
26	5.3	3.4. Single-image Morph Detection	8
27	5.3	3.5. Two-image Differential Morph Detection	9
28	5.3	3.6. 1:1 Comparison	10
29			
30			
31	List of	Tables	
32	Table 3	– Enumeration of image label	6
33		– API Functions	
34		– Initialization	
35		– Single-image Morph Detection	
36		– Two-image Differential Morph Detection	
37 28	rable 10	0 – 1:1 Comparison	10

1. MORPH

41 **1.1.** Scope

40

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

59

60

61

62

66

67 68

69

70

- 42 Facial morphing (and the ability to detect it) is an area of high interest to a number of photo-credential issuance
- 43 agencies and those employing face recognition for identity verification. The FRVT MORPH test will provide ongoing
- 44 independent testing of prototype facial morph detection technologies. The evaluation is designed to obtain an
- 45 assessment on morph detection capability to inform developers and current and prospective end-users. This
- document establishes a concept of operations and an application programming interface (API) for evaluation of two separate tasks:
 - 1. Algorithmic capability to detect facial morphing (morphed/blended faces) in still photographs
 - a. Single-image morph detection of non-scanned photos, printed-and-scanned photos, and images of unknown photo format/origin
 - b. Two-image differential morph detection of non-scanned photos, printed-and-scanned photos, and images of unknown photo format/origin
 - 2. Face recognition algorithm resistance against morphing

1.2. General FRVT Evaluation Specifications

- 55 General and common information shared between all Ongoing FRVT tracks are documented in the FRVT General
- 56 Evaluation Specifications document https://pages.nist.gov/frvt/api/FRVT_common.pdf. This includes rules for
- 57 participation, hardware and operating system environment, software requirements, reporting, and common data
- 58 structures that support the APIs.

1.3. Reporting

For all algorithms that complete the evaluation, NIST will provide performance results back to the participating organizations. NIST may additionally report and share results with partner government agencies and interested parties, and in workshops, conferences, conference papers, presentations and technical reports.

63 64 **In** 65 w

Important: This is a test in which NIST will identify the algorithm and the developing organization. Algorithm results will be attributed to the developer. Results will be machine generated (i.e. scripted) and will include timing, accuracy and other performance results. These will be provided alongside results from other implementations. Results will be expanded and modified as additional implementations are tested, and as analyses are implemented. Results may be regenerated on-the-fly, usually whenever additional implementations complete testing, or when new analyses are added.

1.4. Accuracy metrics

- 71 This test will evaluate algorithmic ability to detect whether an image is a morphed/blended image of two or more
- 72 faces and/or to correctly reject 1:1 comparisons of morphed images against other images of the subjects used to
- 73 create the morph (but similarly, correctly authenticate legitimate non-morphed, mated pairs and correctly reject non-
- 74 morphed, non-mated pairs). Per established metrics^{1,2} for assessment of morphing attacks, NIST will compute and
- 75 report:

¹ International Organization for Standardization: Information Technology – Biometric presentation attack detection – Part 3: Testing and reporting. ISO/IEC FDIS 30107-3:2017, JTC 1/SC 37, Geneva, Switzerland, 2017

² U. Scherhag, A. Nautsch, C. Rathgeb, M. Gomez-Barrero, R. Veldhuis, L. Spreeuwers, M. Schils, D. Maltoni, P. Grother, S. Marcel, R. Breithaupt, R. Raghavendra, C. Busch: "Biometric Systems under Morphing Attacks: Assessment of Morphing Techniques and Vulnerability Reporting", in Proceedings of the IEEE 16th International Conference of the Biometrics Special Interest Group (BIOSIG), Darmstadt, September 20-22, (2017)

- Attack Presentation Classification Error Rate (APCER) the proportion of morph attack samples incorrectly
 classified as bona fide presentation
- Bona Fide Presentation Classification Error Rate (BPCER) the proportion of bona fide samples incorrectly
 classified as morphed samples
 - Mated Morph Presentation Match Rate (MMPMR) the proportion of comparisons where the morphed image successfully authenticates against all constituents
 - True Acceptance Rate (TAR) the proportion of non-morphed, mated comparisons that correctly authenticate
 - False Match Rate (FMR) the proportion of non-morphed, non-mated comparisons that incorrectly authenticate

85 86

90

91

108

80

81

82 83

84

- We will report the above quantities as a function of alpha (the fraction of each subject that contributed to the morph),
- image compression ratio, image resolution, image size, and others.
- 89 We will also report error tradeoff plots (BPCER vs. APCER, MMPMR vs. FMR, parametric on threshold).

2. Rules for participation

2.1. Implementation Requirements

- 92 Developers are <u>not</u> required to implement all functions specified in this API. Developers may choose to implement
- 93 one or more functions of this API please refer to Section 5.3.1 for detailed information regarding implementation
- 94 requirements.

95 2.2. Participation agreement

- 96 A participant must properly follow, complete, and submit the FRVT MORPH Participation Agreement. This must be
- 97 done once, either prior or in conjunction with the very first algorithm submission. It is not necessary to do this for
- 98 each submitted implementation thereafter.

99 2.3. Number and Schedule of Submissions

- 100 Currently, the number and schedule of submissions is not regulated, so participants can send submissions at any time.
- 101 NIST reserves the right to amend this section with submission volume and frequency limits. NIST will evaluate
- implementations on a first-come-first-served basis and provide results back to the participants as soon as possible.

103 2.4. Validation

- All participants must run their software through the provided FRVT MORPH validation package prior to submission.
- The validation package will be made available at https://github.com/usnistgov/frvt. The purpose of validation is to
- ensure consistent algorithm output between the participant's execution and NIST's execution. Our validation set is
- 107 not intended to provide training or test data.

3. Data structures supporting the API

- 109 The data structures supporting this API are documented in the FRVT General Evaluation Specifications document
- available at https://pages.nist.gov/frvt/api/FRVT_common.pdf with corresponding header file named frvt_structs.h
- published at https://github.com/usnistgov/frvt.

112 3.1. Requirement

- 113 FRVT MORPH participants should implement the relevant C++ prototyped interfaces of section 5. C++ was chosen in
- order to make use of some object-oriented features. Any functions that are not implemented should return
- 115 ReturnCode::NotImplemented.

4. Implementation Library Filename

- 117 The core library shall be named as libfrvt_morph_provider>_<sequence>.so, with
 - provider: single word, non-infringing name of the main provider. Example: acme
 - sequence: a three digit decimal identifier to start at 000 and incremented by 1 every time a library is sent to NIST. Example: 007

120 121

125

126

116

118

119

- 122 Example core library names: libfrvt morph acme 000.so, libfrvt morph mycompany 006.so.
- 123 Important: Public results will be attributed with the provider name and the 3-digit sequence number in the submitted
- 124 library name.

4.1. File formats and data structures

4.1.1. ImageLabel describing the format of an image

127

Table 1 - Enumeration of image label

Return code as C++ enumeration	Meaning
enum class ImageLabel {	
Unknown=0,	Image origin is unknown or unassigned
NonScanned=1	Non-scanned photo
Scanned=2,	Printed-and-scanned photo
};	

128

129

5. API specification

- 130 Please note that included with the FRVT MORPH validation package (available at https://github.com/usnistgov/frvt) is
- a "null" implementation of this API. The null implementation has no real functionality but demonstrates mechanically
- how one could go about implementing this API.

133 **5.1.** Header File

- 134 The prototypes from this document will be written to a file named frvt_morph.h and will be available to implementers
- 135 at https://github.com/usnistgov/frvt.

136 **5.2.** Namespace

- 137 All supporting data structures will be declared in the FRVT namespace. All API interfaces/function calls for this track
- 138 will be declared in the FRVT MORPH namespace.
- 139 **5.3.** API

140 **5.3.1.** Implementation Requirements

- Developers are not required to implement all functions specified in this API. Developers may choose to implement
- one or more functions of Table 2, but at a minimum, developers must submit a library that implements
- 143 1. Interface of Section 5.3.2,

- 144 2. initialize() of Section 5.3.3, and
 - 3. <u>AT LEAST</u> one of the functions from Table 2. For any other function that is not implemented, the function shall return ReturnCode::NotImplemented.

147 Table 2 – API Functions

Function	Section		
detectMorph() – single image morph detection of	5.3.4		
Non-scanned photo			
 Printed-and-scanned photo 			
Image of unknown format			
detectMorphDifferentially() – two image differential	5.3.5		
morph detection of			
Non-scanned photo			
Printed-and-scanned photo			
Image of unknown format			
compareImages() – 1:1 comparison	5.3.6		

148

149

152

153

154

145

146

5.3.2. Interface

150 The software under test <u>must</u> implement the interface Interface by subclassing this class and implementing AT LEAST ONE of the methods specified therein.

	C++ code fragment	Remarks
1.	Class MorphInterface	
2.	{	
	public:	
3.		Factory method to return a managed pointer to the Interface object. This function is implemented by the submitted library and must return a managed pointer to the Interface object.
4.	// Other functions to implement	
5.	} ;	

There is one class (static) method declared in Interface. getImplementation() which must also be implemented. This method returns a shared pointer to the object of the interface type, an instantiation of the implementation class. A typical implementation of this method is also shown below as an example.

```
C++ code fragment
#include "frvt_morph.h"

using namespace FRVT_MORPH;

NullImpl:: NullImpl () { }

NullImpl::~ NullImpl () { }

std::shared_ptr<Interface>
Interface::getImplementation()
{
    return std::make_shared<NullImpl>();
}
// Other implemented functions
```

155 **5.3.3.** Initialization

Before any morph detection or matching calls are made, the NIST test harness will call the initialization function of Table 3. This function will be called BEFORE any calls to fork() are made. This function <u>must</u> be implemented.

158

Table 3 - Initialization

Prototype	ReturnStatus initialize(
	const std::string &configDir	,	Input
	const std::string& configVal	lue);	Input
Description	creation. This function will morph detection or matching	izes the implementation under test and sets all needed parameters in preparation for template tion will be called N=1 times by the NIST application, prior to parallelizing $M >= 1$ calls to any matching functions via $fork()$.	
Input Parameters	configDir	A read-only directory containing any developer-supplied configuration parameters or run-time data files.	
	configValue	An optional string value encoding algorithm-specific configuration parameters. Developers may provide documentation for such configuration parameter(s) in their submission to NIST. Otherwise, the default value for this parameter will be an emptry string.	
Output Parameters	None		
Return Value	See General Evaluation Specifications document for all valid return code values. This function <u>must</u> be implemented.		

159

160

166

5.3.4. **Single-image Morph Detection**

- 161 The function of Table 4 evaluates morph detection on non-scanned photos, scanned photos, and photos of unknown
- 162 formats. A single image along with an associated image label describing the image format/origin is provided to the
- function for detection of morphing. Both morphed images and non-morphed images will be used, which will support 163
- measurement of a morph attack presentation classification error rate (APCER) with a bona fide presentation 164
- 165 classification error rate (BPCER).

Non-scanned photos

167 Non-scanned photos are digital images known to not have been printed and scanned back in. There are a number of operational use-cases for morph detection on such digital images. 168

169 Scanned photos

- 170 While there are existing techniques to detect manipulation of a digital image, once the image has been printed and
- 171 scanned back in, it leaves virtually no traces of the original image ever being manipulated. So the ability to detect
- 172 whether a printed-and-scanned image contains a morph warrants investigation.

Photos of unknown format

174 In some cases, the format and/or origin of the image in question is not known, so images with "unknown" labels will also be tested.

175

Multiple instances of the calling application may run simultaneously or sequentially. These may be executing on different computers.

179

178

173

176 177

Table 4 - Single-image Morph Detection

Prototypes	ReturnStatus detectMorph(
	const Image &suspectedMorph,	Input
	const ImageLabel &label,	Input
	bool &isMorph,	Output
	double &score);	Output

This function takes an input image and associated image label describing the image format/origin, and outputs a binary decision on whether the image is a morph and a "morphiness" score on [0, 1] indicating how confident the algorithm thinks the image is a morph, with 0 meaning confidence that the image is not a morph and 1 representing absolute confidence that it is a morph.		
suspectedMorph	Input Image	
label	ImageLabel (Section 4.1.1) describing the format of the input image	
	NonScanned = non-scanned digital photo	
	Scanned = a photo that is printed, then scanned	
	Unknown = unknown photo format/origin	
isMorph	True if image contains a morph; False otherwise	
score	A score on [0, 1] representing how confident the algorithm is that the image contains a morph. 0 means certainty that image does not contain a morph and 1 represents certainty that image contains a morph.	
e See General Evaluation Specifications document for all valid return code values.		
If this function is not implemented, the return code should be set to ReturnCode::NotImplemented.		
If this function is not implemented for a certain type of image, for example, the function supports not photos but not scanned photos, then the function should return ReturnCode::NotImplemente		
	binary decision on algorithm thinks the representing absonsuspected Morph label isMorph score See General Evaluation is in this function is in the second	

5.3.5. Two-image Differential Morph Detection

Two face samples are provided to the function of Table 5 as input, the first being a suspected morphed facial image and the second image representing a known, non-morphed face image of one of the subjects contributing to the morph (e.g., live capture image from an eGate). This procedure supports measurement of whether algorithms can detect morphed images when additional information (provided as the second supporting known subject image) is provided. There is an optional input parameter representing the time/age difference (in days) between the suspected morph and the live probe image. Operationally, this information might be derived from data read from the machine readable zone of a passport for example.

Similar to single-image morph detection, the function of Table 5 will support non-scanned, scanned, and photos of unknown format/origin. The input image type will be specified by the associated ImageLabel input parameter.

Multiple instances of the calling application may run simultaneously or sequentially. These may be executing on different computers.

Table 5 - Two-image Differential Morph Detection

Prototypes	ReturnStatus detectMorphDifferentially(
	const Image &suspectedMorph,	Input	
	const ImageLabel &label,	Input	
	const Image &probeFace,	Input	
	bool &isMorph,	Output	
	double &score,	Output	
	const int &ageDeltaInDays = -1); Input		
Description	const int &ageDeltaInDays = -1); Input This function takes two input images - a known unaltered/not morphed image of the subject (probeFace) and an image of the same subject that's in question (may or may not be a morph) (suspectedMorph) with an associated image label describing the image format/origin. This function outputs a binary decision on whether suspectedMorph is a morph (given probeFace as a prior) and a "morphiness" score on [0, 1] indicating how confident the algorithm thinks the suspectedMorph is a morph, with 0 meaning confidence that the suspectedMorph is not a morph and 1 representing absolute confidence that it is a morph. Optionally, the time/age difference (in days) between suspectedMorph and probeFace is provided by the input argument ageDeltaInDays.		

Input Parameters	suspectedMorph	Input Image	
	label	ImageLabel (Section 4.1.1) describing the format of the suspected morph image NonScanned = non-scanned digital photo Scanned = a photo that is printed, then scanned Unknown = unknown photo format/origin	
	probeFace	An image of the subject known not to be a morph (e.g., live capture image)	
Output	isMorph	True if image contains a morph; False otherwise	
Parameters	score	A score on [0, 1] representing how confident the algorithm is that the image contains a morph. 0 means certainty that image does not contain a morph and 1 represents certainty that image contains a morph.	
Return Value	See General Evaluation Specifications document for all valid return code values.		
	If this function is not implemented, the return code should be set to ReturnCode::NotImplemented.		
		ot implemented for a certain type of image, for example, the function supports non-scanned inned photos, then the function should return ReturnCode::NotImplemented when the with the particular unsupported image type.	

5.3.6. 1:1 Comparison

Two face samples are provided to the function of Table 6 for one-to-one comparison of whether the two images are of the same subject. The expected behavior from the algorithm is to be able to correctly reject comparisons of morphed images against constituents that contributed to the morph. The goal is to show algorithm robustness against morphing alterations when morphed images are compared against other images of the subjects used for morphing. Comparisons of morphed images against constituents should return a low similarity score, indicating rejection of match. Comparisons of unaltered/non-morphed images of the same subject should return a high similarity score, indicating acceptance of match.

201202203

194

195

196

197 198

199

200

Multiple instances of the calling application may run simultaneously or sequentially. These may be executing on different computers.

205

204

Table 6 – 1:1 Comparison

Prototypes	ReturnStatus compareImages(
	const Image &e	nrollImage,	Input
	const Image &v	eriflmage,	Input
	double &similar	rity);	Output
Description	This function compares two images and outputs a similarity score. In the event the algorithm cannot perform the comparison operation, the similarity score shall be set to -1.0 and the function return code value shall be set appropriately.		
Input enrollImage The enrollment image Parameters			
	veriflmage The verification image		
Output Parameters	similarity	A similarity score resulting from comparison of the two images, on the range [0,DBL_MAX].	
Return Value	See General Evaluation Specifications document for all valid return code values.		
	If this function is not implemented, the return code should be set to ReturnCode::NotImplemented.		