
A: 1:N error tradeoff by dataset and enrollment type. N = 1600000 individuals
Mugshot
natural
False negative identification rate, FNIR(T)
0.700
0.500
0.300
0.200
0.100
0.070
0.050
0.030
0.020
0.010
0.007
0.005
0.003
0.002
1e−04
3e−04
1e−03
3e−03
1e−02
3e−02
1e−01
3e−01
1e+00
False positive identification rate, FPIR(T)
enrolment_style
consolidated−ONE−MATE
recent−ONE−MATE


B: Dependence of error rates on T by number enrolled identities, N, for Mugshot natural images
N
00640000
01600000
03000000
06000000
12000000
lifetime_consolidated
recent
FNIR
FPIR
Identification Error rate, FPIR(T) or FNIR(T)
0.700
0.500
0.300
0.200
0.100
0.070
0.050
0.030
0.020
0.010
0.007
0.005
0.003
0.002
7e−01
5e−01
3e−01
2e−01
1e−01
7e−02
5e−02
3e−02
2e−02
1e−02
7e−03
5e−03
3e−03
2e−03
1e−03
7e−04
5e−04
3e−04
2e−04
1e−04
7e−05
9.0
9.3
9.6
Threshold


C: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate
Selectivity, SEL(T)
7e+01
5e+01
3e+01
2e+01
1e+01
7e+00
5e+00
3e+00
2e+00
1e+00
7e−01
5e−01
3e−01
2e−01
1e−01
7e−02
5e−02
3e−02
2e−02
1e−02
7e−03
5e−03
3e−03
2e−03
1e−03
7e−04
5e−04
3e−04
2e−04
1e−04
3e−04
1e−03
3e−03
1e−02
3e−02
1e−01
3e−01
False Positive Idenification Rate, FPIR(T)
Enrolled images:
recent
N = 1600000
Mugshot
natural


D: FPIR dependence on T by probe type for N = 1600000 subjects
False Positive Idenification Rate, FPIR(T)
5e−01
3e−01
1e−01
5e−02
3e−02
1e−02
5e−03
3e−03
1e−03
5e−04
3e−04
1e−04
9.00
9.25
9.50
9.75
Threshold
Enrolled image:
recent
N = 1600000
Mugshot
natural


E: DET for Mugshot natural images and various N. Links connect points of equal threshold.
False negative identification rate, FNIR(T)
0.700
0.500
0.300
0.200
0.100
0.070
0.050
0.030
0.020
0.010
0.007
0.005
0.003
0.002
1e−04
3e−04
1e−03
3e−03
1e−02
3e−02
1e−01
3e−01
1e+00
False positive identification rate, FPIR(T)
2018 Mugshot
Enrolled image:
recent
00640000
01600000


F: Mugshot natural images, identification mode: FNIR(N, L+1, T) vs. most accurate (sensetime_004)
False negative identification rate, FNIR(N)
0.100
0.070
0.050
0.030
0.020
0.010
0.007
0.005
0.003
0.002
1e+06
3e+06
1e+07
Enrolled population size, N
enrolment_style
consolidated
recent
Dataset:
2018 Mugshot
FNIR@FPIR = 0.001
sensetime_004
yitu_1

G: Datasheet

Algorithm: yitu_1

Developer: Shanghai Yitu Technology

Submission Date: 2018_02_12

Template size: 4136 bytes

Template time (2.5 percentile): 918 msec

Template time (median): 920 msec

Template time (97.5 percentile): 997 msec

Frontal mugshot investigation rank 64 −− FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0045 vs. lowest 0.0010 from sensetime_004

Frontal mugshot identification rank 60 −− FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0443 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime_004

H: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (yitu_4)




I: Investigational mode: FNIR(1600000, R, 0) by probe type
False negative identification rate, FNIR(N)
0.003
1
3
10
30
Rank, R
enrolment_style
lifetime_consolidated
recent
FNIR(R)
N = 1600000
Mugshot
natural

Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements.
The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power−law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations