

A: Datasheet

Algorithm: vocord\_1

Developer: Vocord

Submission Date: 2018\_02\_16

Template size: 608 bytes

Template time (2.5 percentile): 518 msec

Template time (median): 528 msec

Template time (97.5 percentile): 557 msec

Investigation:

Frontal mugshot ranking 186 (out of 265) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0377 vs. lowest 0.0009 from sensetime\_005


Mugshot profile ranking 134 (out of 196) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.9371 vs. lowest 0.0591 from sensetime\_005

Identification:


Frontal mugshot ranking 192 (out of 265) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.2881, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime\_004

Mugshot profile ranking 176 (out of 195) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.9999, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.1331 from hr\_000


B: Mugshot natural images, identification mode: FNIR(N, L+1, T) vs. most accurate (sensetime\_004)




C: Evolution of accuracy for VOCORD algorithms on three datasets 2018 – present




D: 1:N error tradeoff by dataset and enrollment type. N = 1600000 individuals




E: Dependence of error rates on T by number enrolled identities, N, for Mugshot natural images




F: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate



G: FPIR dependence on T by probe type for N = 1600000 subjects




H: DET for Mugshot natural images and various N. Links connect points of equal threshold.







I: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (sensetime\_005)



# J: Investigational mode: FNIR(1600000, R, 0) by probe type



Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements.  
The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power-law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations



M: Identification FNIR(N, T, L+1) and Investigational FNIR(N, 0, R) under ageing

Dataset: 2018 Mugshot N = 3068801

