## A: Datasheet

Algorithm: yitu\_5

Developer: Shanghai Yitu Technology

Submission Date: 2018\_10\_30

Template size: 2070 bytes

Template time (2.5 percentile): 852 msec

Template time (median): 853 msec

Template time (97.5 percentile): 928 msec

Investigation:


Frontal mugshot ranking 33 (out of 259) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0023 vs. lowest 0.0009 from sensetime\_005


Mugshot webcam ranking 35 (out of 221) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0145 vs. lowest 0.0062 from sensetime\_005

Identification:

Frontal mugshot ranking 14 (out of 259) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0101, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime\_004


Mugshot webcam ranking 13 (out of 219) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0322, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0122 from sensetime\_003







D: 1:N error tradeoff by dataset and enrollment type. N = 1600000 individuals **Immigration Immigration** Mugshot visa-border visa-kiosk natural 0.700 -0.500 -0.300 -0.200 -0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -Ealse negative identification rate, FNIR(T) 0.003 - 0.0001 - 0.700 - 0.500 - 0.200 - 0.100 - 0 enrolment\_style consolidated-ONE-MATE random-ONE-MATE recent-ONE-MATE unconsolidated-ALL-MATES unconsolidated-ANY-MATE 0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -

E: Dependence of error rates on T by number enrolled identities, N, for Mugshot natural images




F: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate 7e+01 -5e+01 -3e+01 -2e+01 -1e+01 -7e+00 -5e+00 -3e+00 -2e+00 -1e+00 -7e-01 -5e-01 -3e-01 -2e-01 -1e-01 -7e-02 -5e-02 -3e-02 -3e-02 -1e-02 -**Enrolled images:** recent N = 1600000 Mugshot natural Mugshot webcam 7e-03 -5e-03 -3e-03 -2e-03 -1e-03 -7e-04 -5e-04 -3e-04 -2e-04 -1e-04 -7e-05 -5e-05 -3e-05 -2e-05 -1e-05 -3e-02 1e-05 3e-05 1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 1e-02 1e-01 3e-01 False Positive Idenification Rate, FPIR(T)







I: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (sensetime\_005) Immigration **Immigration** visa-border visa-kiosk 0.100 0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -Ealse negative identification rate, FNIR(N) 0.002 - 0.001 - 0.000 - 0.050 - 0.030 - 0. enrolment\_style consolidated ---- random --- recent Mugshot webcam Mugshot natural FNIR@Rank = 1 sensetime\_005 yitu\_5 0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 Enrolled population size, N



Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements. The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power–law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations





M: Identification FNIR(N, T, L+1) and Investigational FNIR(N, 0, R) under ageing



