A: Datasheet

Algorithm: idemia_4

Developer: Idemia

Submission Date: 2018_06_21

Template size: 528 bytes

Template time (2.5 percentile): 644 msec

Template time (median): 661 msec

Template time (97.5 percentile): 732 msec

Investigation:

Frontal mugshot ranking 92 (out of 259) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0066 vs. lowest 0.0009 from sensetime_005

Mugshot webcam ranking 107 (out of 221) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0317 vs. lowest 0.0062 from sensetime_005

Mugshot profile ranking 133 (out of 190) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.9474 vs. lowest 0.0591 from sensetime_005

Immigration visa-border ranking 68 (out of 142) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0175 vs. lowest 0.0014 from visionlabs_009

Immigration visa-kiosk ranking 76 (out of 139) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.2101 vs. lowest 0.0694 from cib_000

Identification:


Frontal mugshot ranking 56 (out of 259) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0372, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime_004

Mugshot webcam ranking 78 (out of 219) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.1183, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0122 from sensetime_003


Mugshot profile ranking 46 (out of 189) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.9731, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.1733 from sensetime_005

Immigration visa-border ranking 66 (out of 139) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.1230, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0059 from sensetime_004

Immigration visa-kiosk ranking 78 (out of 134) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.7692, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.1048 from sensetime_005




C: Evolution of accuracy for IDEMIA algorithms on three datasets 2018 – present




D: 1:N error tradeoff by dataset and enrollment type. N = 1600000 individuals Immigration Immigration Mugshot visa-border visa-kiosk natural 0.700 -0.500 -0.300 -0.200 -0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -Ealse negative identification rate, FNIR(T) 0.002 - 0.002 - 0.000 - 0.500 - 0.200 - 0. enrolment_style consolidated-ONE-MATE random-ONE-MATE recent-ONE-MATE 0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -


False positive identification rate, FPIR(T)

E: Dependence of error rates on T by number enrolled identities, N, for Mugshot natural images




F: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate 7e+01 -5e+01 -3e+01 -2e+01 -1e+01 -7e+00 -5e+00 -3e+00 -2e+00 -1e+00 -7e-01 -5e-01 -3e-01 -2e-01 -1e-01 -7e-02 -5e-02 -3e-02 -3e-02 -1e-02 -**Enrolled images:** recent N = 1600000 Mugshot natural Mugshot webcam 7e-03 -5e-03 -3e-03 -2e-03 -1e-03 -7e-04 -5e-04 -3e-04 -2e-04 -1e-04 -7e-05 -5e-05 -3e-05 -2e-05 -1e-05 -1e-02 1e-05 3e-05 1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 3e-02 1e-01 3e-01 False Positive Idenification Rate, FPIR(T)







I: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (sensetime_005) Immigration **Immigration** visa-border visa-kiosk 0.200 -0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -Ealse negative identification rate, FNIR(N) 0.003 - 0.001 - 0.001 - 0.100 - 0.100 - 0.070 - 0. enrolment_style consolidated ---- random --- recent - - - unconsolidated Mugshot webcam Mugshot natural FNIR@Rank = 1 idemia_4 - sensetime_005 0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 Enrolled population size, N



Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements. The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power–law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations





M: Identification FNIR(N, T, L+1) and Investigational FNIR(N, 0, R) under ageing



