A: Datasheet

Algorithm: idemia_6

Developer: Idemia

Submission Date: 2018_10_29

Template size: 352 bytes

Template time (2.5 percentile): 359 msec

Template time (median): 370 msec

Template time (97.5 percentile): 402 msec

Investigation:

Frontal mugshot ranking 120 (out of 259) –– FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0096 vs. lowest 0.0009 from sensetime_005

Mugshot webcam ranking 160 (out of 221) –– FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0723 vs. lowest 0.0062 from sensetime_005

Mugshot profile ranking 160 (out of 190) –– FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.9692 vs. lowest 0.0591 from sensetime_005

Immigration visa–border ranking 75 (out of 142) –– FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0304 vs. lowest 0.0014 from visionlabs_009

Immigration visa–kiosk ranking 85 (out of 139) –– FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.2526 vs. lowest 0.0694 from cib_000

Identification:

Frontal mugshot ranking 61 (out of 259) –– FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0432, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime_004

Mugshot webcam ranking 129 (out of 219) –– FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.2249, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0122 from sensetime_003

Mugshot profile ranking 59 (out of 189) –– FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.9823, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.1733 from sensetime_005

Immigration visa–border ranking 71 (out of 139) –– FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.1439, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0059 from sensetime_004

Immigration visa–kiosk ranking 74 (out of 134) –– FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.7396, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.1048 from sensetime_005

B: Mugshot natural images, identification mode: FNIR(N, L+1, T) vs. most accurate (sensetime_004)

C: Evolution of accuracy for IDEMIA algorithms on three datasets 2018 – present

Date algorithm submitted to FRVT

False negative identification rate (FNIR) at false match rate (FPIR) = 0.010000

Dataset
- Mugshot–Mugshot
- Mugshot–ProfileView
- Mugshot–Webcam
- Visa–Border
- Visa–Kiosk

D: 1:N error tradeoff by dataset and enrollment type. N = 1600000 individuals

E: Dependence of error rates on T by number enrolled identities, N, for Mugshot natural images

F: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate

G: FPIR dependence on T by probe type for N = 1600000 subjects

H: DET for Mugshot natural images and various N. Links connect points of equal threshold.

I: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (sensetime_005)

J: Investigational mode: FNIR(1600000, R, 0) by probe type

Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements. The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power-law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations

M: Identification FNIR(N, T, L+1) and Investigational FNIR(N, 0, R) under ageing

N: Decline of genuine scores with ageing

Score (y-axis)

Time lapse between search and initial encounter enrollment (years)

x-axis categories: (00,02], (02,04], (04,06], (06,08], (08,10], (10,12], (12,14], (14,18]

Dataset:
2018 Mugshot
N= 3.1M
FNIR (Rank = 1)

0.20
0.15
0.10
0.05
0.00

TVAL
FPIR = 0.001
FPIR = 0.003
FPIR = 0.010
FPIR = 0.030