A: Datasheet

Algorithm: anke_1

Developer: Anke Investments

Submission Date: 2018_10_30

Template size: 2072 bytes

Template time (2.5 percentile): 370 msec

Template time (median): 431 msec

Template time (97.5 percentile): 507 msec

Investigation:

Frontal mugshot ranking 141 (out of 259) −− FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0132 vs. lowest 0.0009 from sensetime_005

Mugshot webcam ranking 118 (out of 221) −− FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0378 vs. lowest 0.0062 from sensetime_005

Mugshot profile ranking 132 (out of 190) −− FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.9461 vs. lowest 0.0591 from sensetime_005

Immigration visa−border ranking 139 (out of 142) −− FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 1.0000 vs. lowest 0.0014 from visionlabs_009

Immigration visa−kiosk ranking 137 (out of 139) −− FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 1.0000 vs. lowest 0.0694 from cib_000

Identification:

Frontal mugshot ranking 142 (out of 259) −− FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.1189, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime_004

Mugshot webcam ranking 126 (out of 219) −− FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.2195, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0122 from sensetime_003

Mugshot profile ranking 90 (out of 189) −− FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.9943, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.1733 from sensetime_005

Immigration visa−border ranking 134 (out of 139) −− FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 1.0000, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0059 from sensetime_004

Immigration visa−kiosk ranking 130 (out of 134) −− FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 1.0000, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.1048 from sensetime_005


B: Mugshot natural images, identification mode: FNIR(N, L+1, T) vs. most accurate (sensetime_004)
False negative identification rate, FNIR(N)
0.100
0.070
0.050
0.030
0.020
0.010
0.007
0.005
0.003
0.002
1e+06
3e+06
1e+07
Enrolled population size, N
enrolment_style
consolidated
recent
Dataset:
2018 Mugshot
FNIR@FPIR = 0.001
anke_1
sensetime_004


C: Evolution of accuracy for ANKE algorithms on three datasets 2018 − present
False negative identification rate (FNIR) at false match rate (FPIR) = 0.010000
1.00
0.80
0.60
0.50
0.40
0.30
0.20
0.10
0.08
0.06
0.05
0.04
0.03
0.02
Jan
Apr
Jul
Date algorithm submitted to FRVT
Dataset
Mugshot−Mugshot
Mugshot−ProfileView
Mugshot−Webcam
Visa−Border
Visa−Kiosk


D: 1:N error tradeoff by dataset and enrollment type. N = 1600000 individuals
Immigration visa−border
Immigration visa−kiosk
Mugshot natural
anke 1
sensetime 004
False negative identification rate, FNIR(T)
0.700
0.500
0.300
0.200
0.100
0.070
0.050
0.030
0.020
0.010
0.007
0.005
0.003
0.002
0.001
1e−04
3e−04
1e−03
3e−03
1e−02
3e−02
1e−01
3e−01
1e+00
False positive identification rate, FPIR(T)
enrolment_style
consolidated−ONE−MATE
random−ONE−MATE
recent−ONE−MATE
unconsolidated−ALL−MATES
unconsolidated−ANY−MATE


E: Dependence of error rates on T by number enrolled identities, N, for Mugshot natural images
N
00640000
01600000
lifetime_consolidated
recent
FNIR
FPIR
Identification Error rate, FPIR(T) or FNIR(T)
0.500
0.300
0.200
0.100
0.070
0.050
0.030
0.020
0.010
0.007
0.005
0.003
0.002
7e−01
5e−01
3e−01
2e−01
1e−01
7e−02
5e−02
3e−02
2e−02
1e−02
7e−03
5e−03
3e−03
2e−03
1e−03
7e−04
5e−04
3e−04
2e−04
1e−04
7e−05
0.3
0.4
0.5
0.6
0.7
Threshold


F: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate
Selectivity, SEL(T)
7e+01
5e+01
3e+01
2e+01
1e+01
7e+00
5e+00
3e+00
2e+00
1e+00
7e−01
5e−01
3e−01
2e−01
1e−01
7e−02
5e−02
3e−02
2e−02
1e−02
7e−03
5e−03
3e−03
2e−03
1e−03
7e−04
5e−04
3e−04
2e−04
1e−04
7e−05
5e−05
3e−05
2e−05
1e−05
1e−05
3e−05
1e−04
3e−04
1e−03
3e−03
1e−02
3e−02
1e−01
3e−01
False Positive Idenification Rate, FPIR(T)
Enrolled images:
recent
N = 1600000
Mugshot
natural
Mugshot
webcam


G: FPIR dependence on T by probe type for N = 1600000 subjects
False Positive Idenification Rate, FPIR(T)
7e−01
5e−01
3e−01
2e−01
1e−01
7e−02
5e−02
3e−02
2e−02
1e−02
7e−03
5e−03
3e−03
2e−03
1e−03
7e−04
5e−04
3e−04
2e−04
1e−04
7e−05
5e−05
3e−05
2e−05
1e−05
0.2
0.4
0.6
Threshold
Enrolled image:
random
N = 1600000
Immigration
visa−border
Immigration
visa−kiosk
Mugshot
natural
Mugshot
profile
Mugshot
webcam
enrolment_style
random
recent


H: DET for Mugshot natural images and various N. Links connect points of equal threshold.
False negative identification rate, FNIR(T)
0.500
0.300
0.200
0.100
0.070
0.050
0.030
0.020
0.010
0.007
0.005
0.003
1e−04
3e−04
1e−03
3e−03
1e−02
3e−02
1e−01
3e−01
1e+00
False positive identification rate, FPIR(T)
2018 Mugshot
Enrolled image:
recent
00640000
01600000


I: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (sensetime_005)
Immigration visa−border
Immigration visa−kiosk
Mugshot natural
Mugshot webcam
False negative identification rate, FNIR(N)
0.700
0.500
0.300
0.200
0.100
0.070
0.050
0.030
0.020
0.010
0.007
0.005
0.003
0.002
0.001
1e+06
3e+06
1e+07
Enrolled population size, N
enrolment_style
consolidated
random
recent
FNIR@Rank = 1
anke_1
sensetime_005


J: Investigational mode: FNIR(1600000, R, 0) by probe type
anke_1
sensetime_005
False negative identification rate, FNIR(N)
0.700
0.500
0.300
0.200
0.100
0.070
0.050
0.030
0.020
0.010
0.007
0.005
0.003
0.002
0.001
1
3
10
30
Rank, R
enrolment_style
lifetime_consolidated
random
recent
FNIR(R)
N = 1600000
Immigration visa−border
Immigration visa−kiosk
Mugshot natural
Mugshot webcam

Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements.
The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power−law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations




M: Identification FNIR(N, T, L+1) and Investigational FNIR(N, 0, R) under ageing
Dataset: 2018 Mugshot N = 3068801
(00,02]
(02,04]
(04,06]
(06,08]
(08,10]
(10,12]
(12,14]
(14,18]
FPIR
Rank
False negative identification rate (FNIR)
0.700
0.500
0.300
0.200
0.100
0.070
0.050
0.030
0.020
0.010
0.007
0.005
0.003
0.002
0.001
1e−04
3e−04
1e−03
3e−03
1e−02
3e−02
1e−01
3e−01
1e+00
1
3
10
30
50
False positive identification rate, FPIR or Rank, R
sensetime 005