

A: Datasheet

Algorithm: ayonix\_2

Developer: Ayonix

Submission Date: 2018\_10\_30

Template size: 1036 bytes

Template time (2.5 percentile): 10 msec

Template time (median): 12 msec

Template time (97.5 percentile): 14 msec

Investigation:

Frontal mugshot ranking 246 (out of 259) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.3414 vs. lowest 0.0009 from sensetime\_005

Mugshot webcam ranking 210 (out of 221) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.5272 vs. lowest 0.0062 from sensetime\_005

Mugshot profile ranking 182 (out of 190) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.9927 vs. lowest 0.0591 from sensetime\_005

Immigration visa-border ranking 123 (out of 142) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.4643 vs. lowest 0.0014 from visionlabs\_009

Immigration visa-kiosk ranking 126 (out of 139) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.7784 vs. lowest 0.0694 from cib\_000

Identification:

Frontal mugshot ranking 239 (out of 259) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.8241, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime\_004


Mugshot webcam ranking 203 (out of 219) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.9201, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0122 from sensetime\_003

Mugshot profile ranking 138 (out of 189) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.9994, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.1733 from sensetime\_005


Immigration visa-border ranking 117 (out of 139) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.9151, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0059 from sensetime\_004

Immigration visa-kiosk ranking 108 (out of 134) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.9691, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.1048 from sensetime\_005


B: Mugshot natural images, identification mode: FNIR(N, L+1, T) vs. most accurate (sensetime\_004)




C: Evolution of accuracy for AYONIX algorithms on three datasets 2018 – present




D: 1:N error tradeoff by dataset and enrollment type. N = 1600000 individuals




E: Dependence of error rates on T by number enrolled identities, N, for Mugshot natural images




F: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate



G: FPIR dependence on T by probe type for N = 1600000 subjects




H: DET for Mugshot natural images and various N. Links connect points of equal threshold.







# I: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (sensetime\_005)



J: Investigational mode: FNIR(1600000, R, 0) by probe type




Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements. The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power-law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations



M: Identification FNIR(N, T, L+1) and Investigational FNIR(N, 0, R) under ageing

Dataset: 2018 Mugshot N = 3068801



# N: Decline of genuine scores with ageing

