## A: Datasheet

Algorithm: rankone\_4

Developer: Rank One Computing

Submission Date: 2018\_10\_09

Template size: 85 bytes

Template time (2.5 percentile): 34 msec

Template time (median): 36 msec

Template time (97.5 percentile): 41 msec

Investigation:


Frontal mugshot ranking 196 (out of 265) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0415 vs. lowest 0.0009 from sensetime\_005


Mugshot webcam ranking 186 (out of 227) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.1406 vs. lowest 0.0062 from sensetime\_005

Identification:

Frontal mugshot ranking 172 (out of 265) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.1927, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime\_004


Mugshot webcam ranking 176 (out of 225) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.4261, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0122 from sensetime\_003







D: 1:N error tradeoff by dataset and enrollment type. N = 1600000 individuals **Immigration** Mugshot **Immigration** visa-border visa-kiosk natural 0.700 -0.500 -0.300 -0.200 -0.100 -0.070 -0.050 rankone 4 0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -Ealse negative identification rate, FNIR(T) 0.003 - 0.000 - 0.000 - 0.500 - 0.200 - 0. enrolment\_style consolidated-ONE-MATE random-ONE-MATE recent-ONE-MATE unconsolidated-ALL-MATES unconsolidated-ANY-MATE 0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -

E: Dependence of error rates on T by number enrolled identities, N, for Mugshot natural images



F: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate 7e+01 -5e+01 -3e+01 -2e+01 -1e+01 -7e+00 -5e+00 -3e+00 -2e+00 -1e+00 -7e-01 -5e-01 -3e-01 -2e-01 -1e-01 -7e-02 -5e-02 -3e-02 -3e-02 -1e-02 -**Enrolled images:** recent N = 1600000 Mugshot natural Mugshot webcam 7e-03 -5e-03 -3e-03 -2e-03 -1e-03 -7e-04 -5e-04 -3e-04 -2e-04 -1e-04 -7e-05 -5e-05 -3e-05 -2e-05 -1e-05 -1e-05 3e-05 1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 1e-02 3e-02 1e-01 3e-01 False Positive Idenification Rate, FPIR(T)






I: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (sensetime\_005) Immigration **Immigration** visa-border visa-kiosk 0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 - 0.002 - 0.001 - 0.001 - 0.000 FNIR@Rank = 1 rankone\_4 sensetime\_005 Mugshot webcam Mugshot natural enrolment\_style consolidated ---- random --- recent 0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 Enrolled population size, N

J: Investigational mode: FNIR(1600000, R, 0) by probe type rankone\_4 sensetime\_005 0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 enrolment\_style False negative identification rate, FNIR(N) - 0.000 - lifetime\_consolidated ---- random --- recent FNIR(R) N = 1600000 Immigration visa-border Immigration visa-kiosk Mugshot natural Mugshot webcam 0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -10 30 3 10 30 Rank, R

Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements. The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power–law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations



M: Identification FNIR(N, T, L+1) and Investigational FNIR(N, 0, R) under ageing



