A: Datasheet

Algorithm: xforwardai_000

Developer: Xforward AI Technology

Submission Date: 2020_07_24

Template size: 2048 bytes

Template time (2.5 percentile): 752 msec

Template time (median): 753 msec

Template time (97.5 percentile): 813 msec

Investigation:

Frontal mugshot ranking 32 (out of 259) −− FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0023 vs. lowest 0.0009 from sensetime_005

Mugshot webcam ranking 32 (out of 221) −− FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0136 vs. lowest 0.0062 from sensetime_005

Mugshot profile ranking 6 (out of 190) −− FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0888 vs. lowest 0.0591 from sensetime_005

Immigration visa−border ranking 22 (out of 142) −− FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0038 vs. lowest 0.0014 from visionlabs_009

Immigration visa−kiosk ranking 15 (out of 139) −− FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0937 vs. lowest 0.0694 from cib_000

Identification:

Frontal mugshot ranking 24 (out of 259) −− FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0151, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime_004

Mugshot webcam ranking 25 (out of 219) −− FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0534, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0122 from sensetime_003

Mugshot profile ranking 4 (out of 189) −− FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.4402, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.1733 from sensetime_005

Immigration visa−border ranking 17 (out of 139) −− FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0210, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0059 from sensetime_004

Immigration visa−kiosk ranking 11 (out of 134) −− FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.1703, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.1048 from sensetime_005


B: Mugshot natural images, identification mode: FNIR(N, L+1, T) vs. most accurate (sensetime_004)
False negative identification rate, FNIR(N)
0.100
0.070
0.050
0.030
0.020
0.010
0.007
0.005
0.003
0.002
1e+06
3e+06
1e+07
Enrolled population size, N
enrolment_style
recent
Dataset:
2018 Mugshot
FNIR@FPIR = 0.001
sensetime_004
xforwardai_000

C: Evolution of accuracy for XFORWARDAI algorithms on three datasets 2018 − present




D: 1:N error tradeoff by dataset and enrollment type. N = 1600000 individuals
Immigration visa−border
Immigration visa−kiosk
Mugshot natural
sensetime_004
xforwardai_000
False negative identification rate, FNIR(T)
0.700
0.500
0.300
0.200
0.100
0.070
0.050
0.030
0.020
0.010
0.007
0.005
0.003
0.002
0.001
1e−04
3e−04
1e−03
3e−03
1e−02
3e−02
1e−01
3e−01
1e+00
False positive identification rate, FPIR(T)
enrolment_style
random−ONE−MATE
recent−ONE−MATE


E: Dependence of error rates on T by number enrolled identities, N, for Mugshot natural images
N
00640000
01600000
03000000
06000000
12000000
recent
FNIR
FPIR
Identification Error rate, FPIR(T) or FNIR(T)
0.700
0.500
0.300
0.200
0.100
0.070
0.050
0.030
0.020
0.010
0.007
0.005
0.003
0.002
7e−01
5e−01
3e−01
2e−01
1e−01
7e−02
5e−02
3e−02
2e−02
1e−02
7e−03
5e−03
3e−03
2e−03
1e−03
7e−04
5e−04
3e−04
2e−04
1e−04
7e−05
0.0
0.5
1.0
1.5
Threshold


F: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate
Selectivity, SEL(T)
7e+01
5e+01
3e+01
2e+01
1e+01
7e+00
5e+00
3e+00
2e+00
1e+00
7e−01
5e−01
3e−01
2e−01
1e−01
7e−02
5e−02
3e−02
2e−02
1e−02
7e−03
5e−03
3e−03
2e−03
1e−03
7e−04
5e−04
3e−04
2e−04
1e−04
7e−05
5e−05
3e−05
2e−05
1e−05
1e−05
3e−05
1e−04
3e−04
1e−03
3e−03
1e−02
3e−02
1e−01
3e−01
False Positive Idenification Rate, FPIR(T)
Enrolled images:
recent
N = 1600000
Mugshot
natural
Mugshot
webcam


G: FPIR dependence on T by probe type for N = 1600000 subjects
False Positive Idenification Rate, FPIR(T)
7e−01
5e−01
3e−01
2e−01
1e−01
7e−02
5e−02
3e−02
2e−02
1e−02
7e−03
5e−03
3e−03
2e−03
1e−03
7e−04
5e−04
3e−04
2e−04
1e−04
7e−05
5e−05
3e−05
2e−05
1e−05
0.0
0.5
1.0
1.5
Threshold
Enrolled image:
random
N = 1600000
Immigration visa−border
Immigration visa−kiosk
Mugshot natural
Mugshot profile
Mugshot webcam
enrolment_style
random
recent


H: DET for Mugshot natural images and various N. Links connect points of equal threshold.
False negative identification rate, FNIR(T)
0.700
0.500
0.300
0.200
0.100
0.070
0.050
0.030
0.020
0.010
0.007
0.005
0.003
0.002
1e−04
3e−04
1e−03
3e−03
1e−02
3e−02
1e−01
3e−01
1e+00
False positive identification rate, FPIR(T)
2018 Mugshot
Enrolled image:
recent
00640000
01600000
03000000
06000000
12000000


I: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (sensetime_005)
Immigration
visa−border
Immigration
visa−kiosk
Mugshot
natural
Mugshot
webcam
False negative identification rate, FNIR(N)
0.100
0.070
0.050
0.030
0.020
0.010
0.007
0.005
0.003
0.002
0.001
1e+06
3e+06
1e+07
Enrolled population size, N
enrolment_style
random
recent
FNIR@Rank = 1
sensetime_005
xforwardai_000


J: Investigational mode: FNIR(1600000, R, 0) by probe type
sensetime_005
xforwardai_000
False negative identification rate, FNIR(N)
0.100
0.070
0.050
0.030
0.020
0.010
0.007
0.005
0.003
0.002
0.001
1
3
10
30
Rank, R
enrolment_style
random
recent
FNIR(R)
N = 1600000
Immigration visa−border
Immigration visa−kiosk
Mugshot natural
Mugshot webcam

Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements.
The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power−law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations




M: Identification FNIR(N, T, L+1) and Investigational FNIR(N, 0, R) under ageing
Dataset: 2018 Mugshot N = 3068801
(00,02]
(02,04]
(04,06]
(06,08]
(08,10]
(10,12]
(12,14]
(14,18]
FPIR
Rank
sensetime 005
xforwardai 000
False negative identification rate (FNIR)
0.700
0.500
0.300
0.200
0.100
0.070
0.050
0.030
0.020
0.010
0.007
0.005
0.003
0.002
0.001
1e−04
3e−04
1e−03
3e−03
1e−02
3e−02
1e−01
3e−01
1e+00
1
3
10
30
50
False positive identification rate, FPIR or Rank, R


N: Decline of genuine scores with ageing
2.0
1.8
1.6
1.4
Score
(00,02]
(02,04]
(04,06]
(06,08]
(08,10]
(10,12]
(12,14]
(14,18]
Time lapse between search and initial encounter enrollment (years)
Dataset:
2018 Mugshot
N= 3.1M
FNIR (Rank = 1)
0.20
0.15
0.10
0.05
0.00
TVAL
FPIR = 0.001
FPIR = 0.003
FPIR = 0.010
FPIR = 0.030