## A: Datasheet

Algorithm: imperial\_000

Developer: Imperial College London

Submission Date: 2019\_08\_28

Template size: 2048 bytes

Template time (2.5 percentile): 568 msec

Template time (median): 577 msec

Template time (97.5 percentile): 790 msec

Investigation:

Frontal mugshot ranking 38 (out of 259) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0024 vs. lowest 0.0009 from sensetime\_005

Mugshot webcam ranking 38 (out of 221) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0148 vs. lowest 0.0062 from sensetime\_005

Mugshot profile ranking 21 (out of 190) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.2803 vs. lowest 0.0591 from sensetime\_005

Immigration visa-border ranking 27 (out of 142) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0044 vs. lowest 0.0014 from visionlabs\_009

Immigration visa-kiosk ranking 19 (out of 139) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0967 vs. lowest 0.0694 from cib\_000

Identification:


Frontal mugshot ranking 44 (out of 259) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0259, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime\_004

Mugshot webcam ranking 33 (out of 219) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0677, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0122 from sensetime\_003


Mugshot profile ranking 123 (out of 189) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.9987, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.1733 from sensetime\_005

Immigration visa-border ranking 34 (out of 139) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0418, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0059 from sensetime\_004

Immigration visa-kiosk ranking 25 (out of 134) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.2456, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.1048 from sensetime\_005




## C: Evolution of accuracy for IMPERIAL algorithms on three datasets 2018 – present




D: 1:N error tradeoff by dataset and enrollment type. N = 1600000 individuals **Immigration Immigration** Mugshot visa-border visa-kiosk natural 0.700 -0.500 -0.300 -0.200 -0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -Ealse negative identification rate, FNIR(T) 0.000 - 0.0001 - 0.500 - 0.500 - 0.200 - 0 enrolment\_style consolidated-ONE-MATE random-ONE-MATE recent-ONE-MATE unconsolidated-ALL-MATES unconsolidated-ANY-MATE 0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -


False positive identification rate, FPIR(T)

E: Dependence of error rates on T by number enrolled identities, N, for Mugshot natural images




F: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate 7e+01 -5e+01 -3e+01 -2e+01 -1e+01 -7e+00 -5e+00 -3e+00 -2e+00 -1e+00 -7e-01 -5e-01 -3e-01 -2e-01 -1e-01 -7e-02 -5e-02 -3e-02 -3e-02 -1e-02 -**Enrolled images:** recent N = 1600000 Mugshot natural Mugshot webcam 7e-03 -5e-03 -3e-03 -2e-03 -1e-03 -7e-04 -5e-04 -3e-04 -2e-04 -1e-04 -7e-05 -5e-05 -3e-05 -2e-05 -1e-05 -1e-05 3e-05 1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 1e-02 3e-02 1e-01 3e-01 False Positive Idenification Rate, FPIR(T)






I: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (sensetime\_005) Immigration Immigration visa-border visa-kiosk 0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -• 0.003 -Ealse negative identification rate, FNIR(N) 0.002 - 0.001 - 0.000 - 0.050 - 0.030 - 0. enrolment\_style consolidated ---- random --- recent Mugshot webcam Mugshot natural FNIR@Rank = 1 imperial\_000 sensetime\_005 0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 Enrolled population size, N



Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements. The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power–law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations



M: Identification FNIR(N, T, L+1) and Investigational FNIR(N, 0, R) under ageing



