A: Datasheet

Algorithm: cogent_2

Developer: Thales

Submission Date: 2018_10_30

Template size: 1043 bytes

Template time (2.5 percentile): 941 msec

Template time (median): 946 msec

Template time (97.5 percentile): 1485 msec

Investigation:

Frontal mugshot ranking 62 (out of 265) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0036 vs. lowest 0.0009 from sensetime_005

Mugshot webcam ranking 74 (out of 227) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0203 vs. lowest 0.0062 from sensetime_005


Mugshot profile ranking 118 (out of 196) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.9251 vs. lowest 0.0591 from sensetime_005


Identification:

Frontal mugshot ranking 69 (out of 265) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0439, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime_004

Mugshot webcam ranking 64 (out of 225) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0976, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0122 from sensetime_003

Mugshot profile ranking 113 (out of 195) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.9977, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.1331 from hr_000







D: 1:N error tradeoff by dataset and enrollment type. N = 1600000 individuals **Immigration** Mugshot **Immigration** visa-border visa-kiosk natural 0.700 -0.500 -0.300 -0.200 -0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -Ealse negative identification rate, FNIR(T) 0.003 - 0.002 - 0.001 - 0.500 - 0.200 - 0. enrolment_style consolidated-ONE-MATE random-ONE-MATE recent-ONE-MATE unconsolidated-ALL-MATES unconsolidated-ANY-MATE 0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -


False positive identification rate, FPIR(T)

E: Dependence of error rates on T by number enrolled identities, N, for Mugshot natural images




F: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate 7e+01 -5e+01 -3e+01 -2e+01 -1e+01 -7e+00 -5e+00 -3e+00 -2e+00 -1e+00 -7e-01 -5e-01 -3e-01 -2e-01 -1e-01 -7e-02 -5e-02 -3e-02 -3e-02 -1e-02 -**Enrolled images:** recent N = 1600000 Mugshot natural Mugshot webcam 7e-03 -5e-03 -3e-03 -2e-03 -1e-03 -7e-04 -5e-04 -3e-04 -2e-04 -1e-04 -7e-05 -5e-05 -3e-05 -2e-05 -1e-05 -1e-05 3e-05 1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 1e-02 3e-02 1e-01 3e-01 False Positive Idenification Rate, FPIR(T)







I: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (sensetime_005) Immigration **Immigration** visa-border visa-kiosk 0.100 0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -Palse negative identification rate, FNIR(N) 0.002 - 0.001 - 0.000 - 0.050 - 0.050 - 0.030 - 0. enrolment_style consolidated ---- random --- recent Mugshot webcam Mugshot natural FNIR@Rank = 1 - cogent_2 sensetime_005 0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 Enrolled population size, N



Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements. The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power–law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations





M: Identification FNIR(N, T, L+1) and Investigational FNIR(N, 0, R) under ageing

