A: 1:N error tradeoff by dataset and enrollment type. N = 1600000 individuals Mugshot natural 0.300 -0.200 0.100 -0.070 -False negative identification rate, FNIR(T) enrolment_style consolidated-ONE-MATE recent-ONE-MATE 0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -

False positive identification rate, FPIR(T)


3e-01

1e+00


1e-03


1e-04


B: Dependence of error rates on T by number enrolled identities, N, for Mugshot natural images



C: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate 7e+01 -5e+01 -3e+01 2e+01 -1e+01 -7e+00 -5e+00 -3e+00 -2e+00 -1e+00 -7e-01 -5e-01 -3e-01 -2e-01 -1e-01 -7e-02 - 7e-02 - 7e-03 Enrolled images: recent N = 1600000Mugshot natural Mugshot webcam 7e-03 -5e-03 -3e-03 -2e-03 -1e-03 -7e-04 -5e-04 -3e-04 -2e-04 -1e-04 **-**7e-05 -5e-05 -3e-05 -2e-05 -1e-05 -1e-05 3e-05 1e-04 3e-04 1e-01 3e-01 False Positive Idenification Rate, FPIR(T)







G: Datasheet

Algorithm: yitu_2

Developer: Shanghai Yitu Technology

Submission Date: 2018_06_21

Template size: 4138 bytes

Template time (2.5 percentile): 802 msec

Template time (median): 871 msec


Template time (97.5 percentile): 966 msec


Frontal mugshot investigation rank 19 — FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0018 vs. lowest 0.0010 from sensetime_004

natural investigation rank 13 -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0102 vs. lowest 0.0067 from sensetime_003


Frontal mugshot identification rank 23 -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0177 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime_004


natural identification rank 17 -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0485 vs. lowest 0.0122 from sensetime_003





Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements. The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power–law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations





M: Identification FNIR(N, T, L+1) and Investigational FNIR(N, 0, R) under ageing

