## A: Datasheet

Algorithm: alchera\_0

Developer: Alchera Inc

Submission Date: 2018\_06\_30

Template size: 2048 bytes

Template time (2.5 percentile): 253 msec

Template time (median): 260 msec

Template time (97.5 percentile): 289 msec

Investigation:

Frontal mugshot ranking 153 (out of 265) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0161 vs. lowest 0.0009 from sensetime\_005

Mugshot webcam ranking 145 (out of 227) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0467 vs. lowest 0.0062 from sensetime\_005

Mugshot profile ranking 110 (out of 196) — FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.8704 vs. lowest 0.0591 from sensetime\_005

Immigration visa-border ranking 91 (out of 148) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0464 vs. lowest 0.0013 from visionlabs\_010

Immigration visa-kiosk ranking 99 (out of 145) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.2923 vs. lowest 0.0568 from hr\_000

Identification:


Frontal mugshot ranking 154 (out of 265) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.1380, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime\_004


Mugshot webcam ranking 130 (out of 225) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.2164, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0122 from sensetime\_003

Mugshot profile ranking 125 (out of 195) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.9986, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.1331 from hr\_000

Immigration visa-border ranking 84 (out of 146) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.1766, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0049 from hr\_000

Immigration visa-kiosk ranking 88 (out of 141) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.8038, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0996 from hr\_000







D: 1:N error tradeoff by dataset and enrollment type. N = 1600000 individuals **Immigration** Immigration Mugshot visa-border visa-kiosk natural 0.700 -0.500 -0.300 -0.200 -0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 - 0.005 - 0.005 - 0.002 - 0.001 - 0.001 - 0.700 - 0.500 - 0.200 enrolment\_style consolidated-ONE-MATE random-ONE-MATE recent-ONE-MATE 0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -


False positive identification rate, FPIR(T)

E: Dependence of error rates on T by number enrolled identities, N, for Mugshot natural images



F: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate 7e+01 -5e+01 -3e+01 -2e+01 -1e+01 -7e+00 -5e+00 -3e+00 -2e+00 -1e+00 -7e-01 -5e-01 -3e-01 -2e-01 -1e-01 -Selectivity. SEL(T) 5e-02 - 5e-02 - 5e-02 - 1e-02 - 1 **Enrolled images:** recent N = 1600000 Mugshot natural Mugshot webcam 1e-02 -7e-03 -5e-03 -3e-03 -2e-03 -1e-03 -7e-04 -5e-04 -3e-04 -2e-04 -1e-04 -7e-05 -5e-05 -3e-05 -2e-05 -1e-05 -1e-05 3e-05 1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 1e-02 3e-02 1e-01 3e-01 False Positive Idenification Rate, FPIR(T)






I: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (sensetime\_005) Immigration **Immigration** visa-border visa-kiosk 0.300 -0.200 -0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -Ealse negative identification rate, FNIR(N) 0.003 - 0.001 - 0.300 - 0.100 - 0.070 - 0. enrolment\_style consolidated ---- random --- recent Mugshot webcam Mugshot natural FNIR@Rank = 1 alchera\_0 sensetime\_005 0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 Enrolled population size, N

J: Investigational mode: FNIR(1600000, R, 0) by probe type alchera\_0 sensetime\_005 0.300 -0.200 -0.100 -0.070 -0.050 enrolment\_style False negative identification rate, FNIR(N) 0.030 - 0.000 - 0. lifetime\_consolidated ---- random --- recent FNIR(R) N = 1600000 Immigration visa-border Immigration visa-kiosk Mugshot natural Mugshot webcam 0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -10 30 3 10 30 Rank, R

Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements. The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power–law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations



M: Identification FNIR(N, T, L+1) and Investigational FNIR(N, 0, R) under ageing



