A: Datasheet

Algorithm: microsoft_4

Developer: Microsoft

Submission Date: 2018_06_20

Template size: 2048 bytes

Template time (2.5 percentile): 726 msec

Template time (median): 745 msec

Template time (97.5 percentile): 1095 msec

Investigation:

Frontal mugshot ranking 14 (out of 265) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0015 vs. lowest 0.0009 from sensetime_005

Mugshot webcam ranking 27 (out of 227) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0120 vs. lowest 0.0062 from sensetime_005

Immigration visa-border ranking 20 (out of 148) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0035 vs. lowest 0.0013 from visionlabs_010

Immigration visa-kiosk ranking 37 (out of 145) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.1093 vs. lowest 0.0568 from hr_000


Identification:


Frontal mugshot ranking 46 (out of 265) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0259, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime_004

Mugshot webcam ranking 49 (out of 225) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0870, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0122 from sensetime_003

Immigration visa-border ranking 33 (out of 146) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0333, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0049 from hr_000

Immigration visa-kiosk ranking 23 (out of 141) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.2225, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0996 from hr_000







D: 1:N error tradeoff by dataset and enrollment type. N = 1600000 individuals Immigration Immigration Mugshot visa-border visa-kiosk natural 0.700 -0.500 -0.300 -0.200 -0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -Ealse negative identification rate, FNIR(T) 0.003 - 0.0001 - 0.700 - 0.500 - 0.200 - 0.100 - 0 enrolment_style consolidated-ONE-MATE random-ONE-MATE recent-ONE-MATE 0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -1e-04e-03e-04e-03e-03e-02e-02e-01e-01e-04e-04e-03e-04e-03e-04e-03e-04e-03e-01e+00


False positive identification rate, FPIR(T)

E: Dependence of error rates on T by number enrolled identities, N, for Mugshot natural images




F: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate 7e+01 -5e+01 -3e+01 -2e+01 -1e+01 -7e+00 -5e+00 -3e+00 -2e+00 -1e+00 -7e-01 -5e-01 -3e-01 -2e-01 -Selectivity, SEL(3) 7e-01 - 7e-02 - 7 **Enrolled images:** recent N = 1600000 Mugshot natural Mugshot webcam 1e-02 -7e-03 -5e-03 -3e-03 -2e-03 -1e-03 -7e-04 -5e-04 -3e-04 -2e-04 -1e-04 -7e-05 -5e-05 -3e-05 -2e-05 -1e-05 3e-05 1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 1e-02 3e-02 1e-01 3e-01 False Positive Idenification Rate, FPIR(T)







I: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (sensetime_005) Immigration **Immigration** visa-border visa-kiosk 0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -Ealse negative identification rate, FNIR(N) 0.002 - 0.001 - 0.100 - 0.050 - 0. enrolment_style consolidated ---- random --- recent - - - unconsolidated Mugshot Mugshot webcam natural FNIR@Rank = 1 microsoft_4 sensetime_005 0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 Enrolled population size, N



Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements. The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power–law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations





M: Identification FNIR(N, T, L+1) and Investigational FNIR(N, 0, R) under ageing

