A: Datasheet

Algorithm: cyberlink_003

Developer: Cyberlink Corp

Submission Date: 2021_01_05

Template size: 6212 bytes

Template time (2.5 percentile): 690 msec

Template time (median): 692 msec

Template time (97.5 percentile): 715 msec

Investigation:

Frontal mugshot ranking 17 (out of 265) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0016 vs. lowest 0.0009 from sensetime_005

Mugshot webcam ranking 12 (out of 227) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0090 vs. lowest 0.0062 from sensetime_005

Mugshot profile ranking 42 (out of 196) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.4745 vs. lowest 0.0591 from sensetime_005

Immigration visa-border ranking 14 (out of 148) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0027 vs. lowest 0.0013 from visionlabs_010

Immigration visa-kiosk ranking 12 (out of 145) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0819 vs. lowest 0.0568 from hr_000

Identification:


Frontal mugshot ranking 14 (out of 265) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0077, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime_004


Mugshot webcam ranking 17 (out of 225) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0351, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0122 from sensetime_003

Mugshot profile ranking 49 (out of 195) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.9723, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.1331 from hr_000

Immigration visa-border ranking 13 (out of 146) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0122, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0049 from hr_000


Immigration visa-kiosk ranking 50 (out of 141) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.3713, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0996 from hr_000







D: 1:N error tradeoff by dataset and enrollment type. N = 1600000 individuals Immigration Immigration Mugshot visa-border visa-kiosk natural 0.700 -0.500 -0.300 -0.200 -0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 - 0.005 - 0.005 - 0.002 - 0.001 - 0.001 - 0.700 - 0.500 - 0.200 enrolment_style random-ONE-MATE recent-ONE-MATE 0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -False positive identification rate, FPIR(T)

E: Dependence of error rates on T by number enrolled identities, N, for Mugshot natural images




F: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate 7e+01 -5e+01 -3e+01 -2e+01 -1e+01 -7e+00 -5e+00 -3e+00 -2e+00 -1e+00 -7e-01 -5e-01 -3e-01 -2e-01 -1e-01 -Selectivity, SEL(T) Selectivity, Se **Enrolled images:** recent N = 1600000 Mugshot natural Mugshot webcam 1e-02 -7e-03 -5e-03 -3e-03 -2e-03 -1e-03 -7e-04 -5e-04 -3e-04 -2e-04 -1e-04 -7e-05 -5e-05 -3e-05 -2e-05 -1e-05 -1e-05 3e-05 1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 1e-02 3e-02 1e-01 3e-01 False Positive Idenification Rate, FPIR(T)






I: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (sensetime_005) Immigration **Immigration** visa-border visa-kiosk 0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -• Ealse negative identification rate, FNIR(N) 0.002 - 0.001 - 0.000 - 0.050 - 0.030 - 0. enrolment_style - random ---- recent Mugshot Mugshot webcam natural FNIR@Rank = 1 cyberlink_003 sensetime_005 0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 Enrolled population size, N



Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements. The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power–law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations



M: Identification FNIR(N, T, L+1) and Investigational FNIR(N, 0, R) under ageing



