




B: Dependence of error rates on T by number enrolled identities, N, for Mugshot natural images






C: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate 7e+01 5e+01 3e+01 2e+01 -1e+01 -7e+00 -5e+00 -3e+00 -2e+00 -1e+00 -7e-01 -5e-01 -3e-01 -2e-01 -1e-01 -Enrolled images: recent N = 1600000 7e-02 -7e-02 -7e-02 -3e-02 -3e-02 -1e-02 -7e-03 -Mugshot natural Mugshot profile Mugshot webcam 7e-03 5e-03 -3e-03 -2e-03 -1e-03 -7e-04 -5e-04 -3e-04 -2e-04 -1e-04 • 7e-05 -5e-05 -3e-05 -2e-05 -1e-05 -1e-05 3e-05 1e-04 3e-04 1e-01 3e-01 False Positive Idenification Rate, FPIR(T)







## G: Datasheet

Algorithm: everai\_2

Developer: Paravision (EverAI)

Submission Date: 2018\_10\_30

Template size: 2048 bytes

Template time (2.5 percentile): 365 msec


Template time (median): 366 msec


Template time (97.5 percentile): 388 msec

Frontal mugshot investigation rank 58 — FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0040 vs. lowest 0.0010 from sensetime\_004 natural investigation rank 69 — FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0218 vs. lowest 0.0067 from sensetime\_003 natural investigation rank 35 — FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.1770 vs. lowest 0.0492 from paravision\_005 natural investigation rank 35 — FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.1770 vs. lowest 0.0492 from paravision\_005

Frontal mugshot identification rank 70 — FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0500 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime\_004

natural identification rank 72 — FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.1194 vs. lowest 0.0122 from sensetime\_003 natural identification rank 41 — FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.9398 vs. lowest 0.1020 from sensetime\_004





Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements. The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power–law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations

