## A: Datasheet

Algorithm: gorilla\_2

Developer: Gorilla Technology

Submission Date: 2018\_10\_29

Template size: 1132 bytes

Template time (2.5 percentile): 312 msec

Template time (median): 339 msec

Template time (97.5 percentile): 384 msec

Investigation:

Frontal mugshot ranking 164 (out of 265) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0197 vs. lowest 0.0009 from sensetime\_005

Mugshot webcam ranking 139 (out of 227) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0438 vs. lowest 0.0062 from sensetime\_005


Mugshot profile ranking 85 (out of 196) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.7534 vs. lowest 0.0591 from sensetime\_005

Identification:


Frontal mugshot ranking 171 (out of 265) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.1879, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime\_004

Mugshot webcam ranking 151 (out of 225) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.2684, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0122 from sensetime\_003

Mugshot profile ranking 176 (out of 195) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.9999, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.1331 from hr\_000




C: Evolution of accuracy for GORILLA algorithms on three datasets 2018 – present




D: 1:N error tradeoff by dataset and enrollment type. N = 1600000 individuals **Immigration** Mugshot **Immigration** visa-border visa-kiosk natural 0.700 -0.500 -0.300 -0.200 -0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -Ealse negative identification rate, FNIR(T) 0.003 - 0.002 - 0.001 - 0.500 - 0.200 - 0. enrolment\_style consolidated-ONE-MATE random-ONE-MATE recent-ONE-MATE unconsolidated-ALL-MATES unconsolidated-ANY-MATE 0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -


\\\ \e^{-0}\frac{3}{2}e^{-0}\frac{1}{2}e^{-0}\frac{3}{2}e^{-0}\frac{1}{2}e

E: Dependence of error rates on T by number enrolled identities, N, for Mugshot natural images




F: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate 7e+01 -5e+01 -3e+01 -2e+01 -1e+01 -7e+00 -5e+00 -3e+00 -2e+00 -1e+00 -7e-01 -5e-01 -3e-01 -2e-01 -1e-01 - 7e-02 - 5e-02 - 3e-02 - 2e-02 - 1e-02 **Enrolled images:** recent N = 1600000 Mugshot natural Mugshot webcam 1e-02 -7e-03 -5e-03 -3e-03 -2e-03 -1e-03 -7e-04 -5e-04 -3e-04 -2e-04 -1e-04 -7e-05 -5e-05 -3e-05 -2e-05 -1e-02 1e-05 3e-05 1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 3e-02 1e-01 3e-01 False Positive Idenification Rate, FPIR(T)






I: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (sensetime\_005) Immigration **Immigration** visa-border visa-kiosk 0.100 0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -Ealse negative identification rate, FNIR(N) 0.002 - 0.001 - 0.000 - 0.050 - 0.030 - 0. enrolment\_style consolidated ---- random --- recent Mugshot webcam Mugshot natural FNIR@Rank = 1 gorilla\_2 sensetime\_005 0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 Enrolled population size, N



Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements. The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power–law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations



M: Identification FNIR(N, T, L+1) and Investigational FNIR(N, 0, R) under ageing



