## A: Datasheet

Algorithm: sensetime\_004

Developer: Sensetime Group

Submission Date: 2020\_08\_10

Template size: 1032 bytes

Template time (2.5 percentile): 688 msec

Template time (median): 690 msec

Template time (97.5 percentile): 738 msec

Investigation:

Frontal mugshot ranking 2 (out of 265) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0010 vs. lowest 0.0009 from sensetime\_005

Mugshot webcam ranking 3 (out of 227) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0069 vs. lowest 0.0062 from sensetime\_005

Mugshot profile ranking 6 (out of 196) — FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0725 vs. lowest 0.0591 from sensetime\_005

Immigration visa-border ranking 9 (out of 148) — FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0023 vs. lowest 0.0013 from visionlabs\_010

Immigration visa-kiosk ranking 15 (out of 145) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0845 vs. lowest 0.0568 from hr\_000

Identification:


Frontal mugshot ranking 1 (out of 265) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0018, FPIR=0.001000


Mugshot webcam ranking 2 (out of 225) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0126, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0122 from sensetime\_003

Mugshot profile ranking 3 (out of 195) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.2301, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.1331 from hr\_000

Immigration visa-border ranking 2 (out of 146) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0059, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0049 from hr\_000

Immigration visa-kiosk ranking 5 (out of 141) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.1133, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0996 from hr\_000







D: 1:N error tradeoff by dataset and enrollment type. N = 1600000 individuals Immigration Immigration Mugshot visa-border visa-kiosk natural 0.700 -0.500 -0.300 -0.200 -0.100 -Ealse negative identification rate, FNIR(T) 0.000 - 0. enrolment\_style random-ONE-MATE recent-ONE-MATE 0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -


False positive identification rate, FPIR(T)

E: Dependence of error rates on T by number enrolled identities, N, for Mugshot natural images




F: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate 7e+01 -5e+01 -3e+01 -2e+01 -1e+01 -7e+00 -5e+00 -3e+00 -2e+00 -1e+00 -7e-01 -5e-01 -3e-01 -2e-01 -1e-01 -7e-02 -5e-02 -3e-02 -3e-02 -1e-02 -**Enrolled images:** recent N = 1600000 Mugshot natural Mugshot webcam 7e-03 -5e-03 -3e-03 -2e-03 -1e-03 -7e-04 -5e-04 -3e-04 -2e-04 -1e-04 -7e-05 -5e-05 -3e-05 -2e-05 -1e-05 -1e-05 3e-05 1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 1e-02 3e-02 1e-01 3e-01 False Positive Idenification Rate, FPIR(T)






I: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (sensetime\_005) Immigration **Immigration** visa-border visa-kiosk 0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -Ealse negative identification rate, FNIR(N) 0.002 - 0.001 - 0.000 - 0. enrolment\_style random ---- recent Mugshot Mugshot webcam natural FNIR@Rank = 1 sensetime\_004 sensetime\_005 0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 Enrolled population size, N



Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements. The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power–law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations



M: Identification FNIR(N, T, L+1) and Investigational FNIR(N, 0, R) under ageing



