A: Datasheet

Algorithm: camvi_2

Developer: Camvi Technologies

Submission Date: 2018_02_16

Template size: 1024 bytes

Template time (2.5 percentile): 756 msec

Template time (median): 770 msec

Template time (97.5 percentile): 787 msec

Investigation:

Frontal mugshot ranking 237 (out of 279) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.1269 vs. lowest 0.0009 from sensetime_005

Mugshot profile ranking 128 (out of 210) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.9152 vs. lowest 0.0587 from xforwardai_002

Immigration visa-border ranking 134 (out of 168) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.1941 vs. lowest 0.0013 from visionlabs_010

Immigration visa-kiosk ranking 141 (out of 165) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.5041 vs. lowest 0.0568 from cloudwalk_hr_000


Identification:

Frontal mugshot ranking 235 (out of 279) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.5356, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime_004


Mugshot profile ranking 80 (out of 209) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.9892, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.1331 from cloudwalk_hr_000

Immigration visa-border ranking 134 (out of 167) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.7367, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0047 from idemia_008

Immigration visa-kiosk ranking 110 (out of 162) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.8726, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0996 from cloudwalk_hr_000




C: Evolution of accuracy for CAMVI algorithms on three datasets 2018 – present




D: 1:N error tradeoff by dataset and enrollment type. N = 1600000 individuals Immigration Immigration Mugshot visa-border visa-kiosk natural 0.700 -0.500 -0.300 -0.200 -0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 - 0.005 - 0.005 - 0.002 - 0.001 - 0.001 - 0.700 - 0.500 - 0.200 enrolment_style random-ONE-MATE recent-ONE-MATE 0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -False positive identification rate, FPIR(T)


E: Dependence of error rates on T by number enrolled identities, N, for Mugshot natural images






F: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate 7e+01 -5e+01 -3e+01 -2e+01 -1e+01 -7e+00 -5e+00 -3e+00 -2e+00 -1e+00 -7e-01 -5e-01 -3e-01 -2e-01 -S 1e-01-Enrolled images: recent N = 1600000 See Ctivity, 7e – 02 - 5e – 02 - 3e – 02 -Mugshot natural 2e-02 -1e-02 -7e-03 -5e-03 -3e-03 -2e-03 -1e-03 -7e-04 -5e-04 -3e-04 -2e-04 -1e-04 -7e-05 -5e-05 -1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 1e-02 3e-02 1e-01 3e-01 False Positive Idenification Rate, FPIR(T)






K: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (sensetime_005) Immigration **Immigration** visa-border visa-kiosk 0.500 -0.300 -0.200 -0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -Ealse negative identification rate, FNIR(N) 0.003 - 0.001 - 0.500 - 0.200 - 0. enrolment_style consolidated ---- random --- recent Mugshot Mugshot webcam natural FNIR@Rank = 1 - camvi_2 sensetime_005 0.100 0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 Enrolled population size, N



M: Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements. The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power–law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations



Q: Identification FNIR(N, T, L+1) and Investigational FNIR(N, 0, R) under ageing



