




A: 1:N error tradeoff by dataset and enrollment type. N = 1600000 individuals




B: Dependence of error rates on T by number enrolled identities, N, for Mugshot natural images




C: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate




D: FPIR dependence on T by probe type for N = 1600000 subjects



E: DET for Mugshot natural images and various N. Links connect points of equal threshold.



F: Mugshot natural images, identification mode: FNIR(N, L+1, T) vs. most accurate (sensetime_004)



G: Datasheet

Algorithm: incode_2

Developer: Incode Technologies Inc

Submission Date: 2018_10_29

Template size: 2048 bytes

Template time (2.5 percentile): 259 msec

Template time (median): 290 msec

Template time (97.5 percentile): 338 msec

Frontal mugshot investigation rank 146 --- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0178 vs. lowest 0.0010 from sensetime_004

natural investigation rank 132 --- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0479 vs. lowest 0.0067 from sensetime_003

natural investigation rank 157 --- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.7089 vs. lowest 0.0492 from paravision_005


natural investigation rank 157 --- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.7089 vs. lowest 0.0492 from paravision_005

Frontal mugshot identification rank 157 --- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.1838 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime_004


natural identification rank 138 --- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.2690 vs. lowest 0.0122 from sensetime_003

natural identification rank 75 --- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.9818 vs. lowest 0.1020 from sensetime_004

H: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (yitu_4)



I: Investigational mode: FNIR(1600000, R, 0) by probe type



Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements. The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power-law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations

