





B: Dependence of error rates on T by number enrolled identities, N, for Mugshot natural images



C: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate 7e+01 5e+01 3e+01 2e+01 -1e+01 7e+00 -5e+00 -3e+00 -2e+00 -1e+00 -7e-01 -5e-01 -3e-01 -2e-01 -1e-01 -Enrolled images: recent N = 1600000 7e-02 - 7e-02 - 7e-03 Mugshot natural Mugshot profile Mugshot webcam 7e-03 -5e-03 -3e-03 -2e-03 -1e-03 -7e-04 -5e-04 -3e-04 -2e-04 -1e-04 • 7e-05 -5e-05 -3e-05 -2e-05 -1e-05 -1e-05 3e-05 1e-04 3e-04 1e-01 3e-01 False Positive Idenification Rate, FPIR(T)







## G: Datasheet

Algorithm: innovatrics\_4

Developer: Innovatrics

Submission Date: 2018\_10\_30

Template size: 1076 bytes

Template time (2.5 percentile): 396 msec

Template time (median): 399 msec

Template time (97.5 percentile): 440 msec

Frontal mugshot investigation rank 131 -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0123 vs. lowest 0.0010 from sensetime\_004

natural investigation rank 121 -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0403 vs. lowest 0.0067 from sensetime\_003

natural investigation rank 248 -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.9340 vs. lowest 0.0492 from paravision\_005


natural investigation rank 248 — FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.9340 vs. lowest 0.0492 from paravision\_005

Frontal mugshot identification rank 140 — FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.1317 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime\_004


 $natural\ identification\ rank\ 120\ --\ FNIR(1600000,\ T,\ L+1) = 0.2214\ vs.\ lowest\ 0.0122\ from\ sensetime\_003$ 

natural identification rank 63 -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.9757 vs. lowest 0.1020 from sensetime\_004

H: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (yitu\_4) Mugshot Mugshot natural webcam 0.030 -0.020 False negative identification rate, FNIR(N) enrolment\_style consolidated ---- recent FNIR@Rank = 1 innovatrics\_4 0.002 -0.001 1e+06 3e+06 3e+06 1e+07 Enrolled population size, N



Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements. The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power–law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations



M: Identification FNIR(N, T, L+1) and Investigational FNIR(N, 0, R) under ageing

