## A: Datasheet

Algorithm: gorilla\_3

Developer: Gorilla Technology

Submission Date: 2018\_10\_26

Template size: 2156 bytes

Template time (2.5 percentile): 532 msec

Template time (median): 560 msec

Template time (97.5 percentile): 615 msec

Investigation:

Frontal mugshot ranking 179 (out of 259) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0361 vs. lowest 0.0009 from sensetime\_005

Mugshot webcam ranking 158 (out of 221) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0700 vs. lowest 0.0062 from sensetime\_005


Mugshot profile ranking 96 (out of 190) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.8205 vs. lowest 0.0591 from sensetime\_005

Identification:


Frontal mugshot ranking 192 (out of 259) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.3167, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime\_004

Mugshot webcam ranking 171 (out of 219) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.4342, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0122 from sensetime\_003

Mugshot profile ranking 186 (out of 189) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 1.0000, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.1733 from sensetime\_005




C: Evolution of accuracy for GORILLA algorithms on three datasets 2018 – present




D: 1:N error tradeoff by dataset and enrollment type. N = 1600000 individuals **Immigration** Mugshot **Immigration** visa-border visa-kiosk natural 0.700 -0.500 -0.300 -0.200 -0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 - 0.005 - 0.005 - 0.002 - 0.001 - 0.001 - 0.700 - 0.500 - 0.200 enrolment\_style consolidated-ONE-MATE random-ONE-MATE recent-ONE-MATE unconsolidated-ALL-MATES unconsolidated-ANY-MATE 0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -


E: Dependence of error rates on T by number enrolled identities, N, for Mugshot natural images




Threshold

F: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate 7e+01 -5e+01 -3e+01 -2e+01 -1e+01 -7e+00 -5e+00 -3e+00 -2e+00 -1e+00 -7e-01 -5e-01 -3e-01 -2e-01 -1e-01 -Selectivity. SEL(T) 5e-02 - 5e-02 - 5e-02 - 1e-02 - 1 **Enrolled images:** recent N = 1600000 Mugshot natural Mugshot webcam 1e-02 -7e-03 -5e-03 -3e-03 -2e-03 -1e-03 -7e-04 -5e-04 -3e-04 -2e-04 -1e-04 -7e-05 -5e-05 -3e-05 -2e-05 -1e-05 -1e-05 3e-05 1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 1e-02 3e-02 1e-01 3e-01 False Positive Idenification Rate, FPIR(T)






I: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (sensetime\_005) Immigration **Immigration** visa-border visa-kiosk 0.100 0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -Ealse negative identification rate, FNIR(N) 0.002 - 0.001 - 0.000 - 0.050 - 0.030 - 0. enrolment\_style consolidated ---- random --- recent Mugshot webcam Mugshot natural FNIR@Rank = 1 gorilla\_3 sensetime\_005 0.020 0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 Enrolled population size, N



Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements. The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power–law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations



M: Identification FNIR(N, T, L+1) and Investigational FNIR(N, 0, R) under ageing



