

A: Datasheet

Algorithm: idemia_3

Developer: Idemia

Submission Date: 2018_06_21

Template size: 528 bytes

Template time (2.5 percentile): 644 msec

Template time (median): 663 msec

Template time (97.5 percentile): 941 msec

Investigation:

Frontal mugshot ranking 97 (out of 259) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0069 vs. lowest 0.0009 from sensetime_005

Mugshot webcam ranking 110 (out of 221) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0341 vs. lowest 0.0062 from sensetime_005

Mugshot profile ranking 142 (out of 190) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.9575 vs. lowest 0.0591 from sensetime_005

Immigration visa-border ranking 68 (out of 142) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0175 vs. lowest 0.0014 from visionlabs_009

Immigration visa-kiosk ranking 76 (out of 139) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.2101 vs. lowest 0.0694 from cib_000

Identification:

Frontal mugshot ranking 72 (out of 259) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0470, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime_004


Mugshot webcam ranking 111 (out of 219) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.1643, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0122 from sensetime_003

Mugshot profile ranking 186 (out of 189) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 1.0000, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.1733 from sensetime_005


Immigration visa-border ranking 66 (out of 139) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.1230, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0059 from sensetime_004

Immigration visa-kiosk ranking 78 (out of 134) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.7692, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.1048 from sensetime_005


B: Mugshot natural images, identification mode: FNIR(N, L+1, T) vs. most accurate (sensetime_004)




C: Evolution of accuracy for IDEMIA algorithms on three datasets 2018 – present




D: 1:N error tradeoff by dataset and enrollment type. N = 1600000 individuals




E: Dependence of error rates on T by number enrolled identities, N, for Mugshot natural images




F: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate



G: FPIR dependence on T by probe type for N = 1600000 subjects




H: DET for Mugshot natural images and various N. Links connect points of equal threshold.



I: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (sensetime_005)

False negative identification rate, FNIR(N)




FNIR@Rank = 1


idemia_3
sensetime_005

enrolment_style
consolidated
random
recent
unconsolidated

J: Investigational mode: FNIR(1600000, R, 0) by probe type




Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements. The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power-law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations



M: Identification FNIR(N, T, L+1) and Investigational FNIR(N, 0, R) under ageing

Dataset: 2018 Mugshot N = 3068801



N: Decline of genuine scores with ageing

