





B: Dependence of error rates on T by number enrolled identities, N, for Mugshot natural images



C: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate 7e+01 5e+01 3e+01 2e+01 -1e+01 7e+00 5e+00 -3e+00 -2e+00 -1e+00 -7e-01 -5e-01 -3e-01 -2e-01 -1e-01 -Enrolled images: recent N = 1600000 7e-02 - 7e-02 - 7e-03 Mugshot natural Mugshot profile Mugshot webcam 7e-03 -5e-03 -3e-03 -2e-03 -1e-03 -7e-04 -5e-04 -3e-04 -2e-04 -1e-04 • 7e-05 -5e-05 -3e-05 -2e-05 -1e-05 -1e-05 3e-05 1e-04 3e-04 1e-01 3e-01 False Positive Idenification Rate, FPIR(T)







## G: Datasheet

Algorithm: cogent\_3

Developer: Thales

Submission Date: 2018\_10\_30

Template size: 1043 bytes

Template time (2.5 percentile): 939 msec

Template time (median): 941 msec

Template time (97.5 percentile): 1025 msec

Frontal mugshot investigation rank 52 — FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0038 vs. lowest 0.0010 from sensetime\_004 natural investigation rank 67 — FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0214 vs. lowest 0.0067 from sensetime\_003


natural investigation rank 232 -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.9159 vs. lowest 0.0492 from paravision\_005


natural investigation rank 232 -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.9159 vs. lowest 0.0492 from paravision\_005

Frontal mugshot identification rank 62 — FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0457 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime\_004


natural identification rank 47 -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0951 vs. lowest 0.0122 from sensetime\_003

natural identification rank 112 -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.9972 vs. lowest 0.1020 from sensetime\_004





Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements. The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power–law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations



M: Identification FNIR(N, T, L+1) and Investigational FNIR(N, 0, R) under ageing

