## A: Datasheet

Algorithm: visionlabs\_011

Developer: VisionLabs

Submission Date: 2021\_10\_20

Template size: 512 bytes

Template time (2.5 percentile): 733 msec

Template time (median): 735 msec

Template time (97.5 percentile): 747 msec

Investigation:

Frontal mugshot ranking 12 (out of 316) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0012 vs. lowest 0.0009 from sensetime\_006

Mugshot webcam ranking 18 (out of 278) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0088 vs. lowest 0.0057 from sensetime\_006

Mugshot profile ranking 7 (out of 247) — FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0640 vs. lowest 0.0550 from sensetime\_006

Immigration visa-border ranking 2 (out of 205) — FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0012 vs. lowest 0.0009 from sensetime\_006

Immigration visa-kiosk ranking 7 (out of 202) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0634 vs. lowest 0.0487 from cubox\_000

Identification:


Frontal mugshot ranking 14 (out of 316) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0033, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime\_004


Mugshot webcam ranking 13 (out of 276) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0195, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0122 from sensetime\_003

Mugshot profile ranking 219 (out of 246) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.9999, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.1331 from cloudwalk\_hr\_000

Immigration visa-border ranking 4 (out of 204) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0044, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0039 from sensetime\_006

Immigration visa-kiosk ranking 2 (out of 199) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0909, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0729 from cubox\_000







D: 1:N error tradeoff by dataset and enrollment type. N = 1600000 individuals Immigration Immigration Mugshot visa-border visa-kiosk natural 0.700 -0.500 -0.300 -0.200 -0.100 -0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -Ealse negative identification rate, FNIR(T) 0.003 - 0.0001 - 0.700 - 0.500 - 0.200 - 0 enrolment\_style random-ONE-MATE recent-ONE-MATE 0.100 -0.070 -0.050 visionlabs 01 0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -

False positive identification rate, FPIR(T)


E: Dependence of error rates on T by number enrolled identities, N, for Mugshot natural images




F: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate 7e+01 -5e+01 · 3e+01 -2e+01 -1e+01 -7e+00 -5e+00 -3e+00 -2e+00 -1e+00 -7e-01 -5e-01 -3e-01 -Selectivity, SEL(T) 1e-01 - 7e-02 - 5e-02 - 3e **Enrolled images:** recent N = 1600000 Mugshot natural Mugshot webcam 3e-02 -2e-02 -1e-02 -7e-03 -5e-03 -3e-03 -2e-03 -1e-03 -7e-04 -5e-04 -3e-04 -2e-04 -1e-04 -1e-05 3e-05 1e-04 3e-04 1e-03 3e-03 1e-02 3e-02 1e-01 3e-01 False Positive Idenification Rate, FPIR(T)




H: Reduced length candidate lists for human review Dataset is border–border with time–lapse [10,15] YRS with N = 1600000. Probes are 10–15 years later than enrollment image




I: FNIR and FPIR dependence on threshold Dataset is border–border with time–lapse [10,15] YRS with N = 1600000. Probes are 10–15 years later than enrollment image







K: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (sensetime\_006) Immigration **Immigration** visa-border visa-kiosk 0.070 -0.050 -0.030 -0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -Ealse negative identification rate, FNIR(N) - 0.000 enrolment\_style - random ---- recent Mugshot natural Mugshot webcam FNIR@Rank = 1 sensetime\_006 visionlabs\_011 0.020 -0.010 -0.007 -0.005 -0.003 -0.002 -0.001 -1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 1e+06 3e+06 1e+07 Enrolled population size, N




M: Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements. The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power–law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations



O: FNIR(T, N = 1.6 million) by sex, age and time-lapse. The top row gives investigational rank-1 miss rates. The bottom panels give high threshold for more lights-out identification with low FPIR.



P: FPIR(N = 1.6 million) by sex and age. It is typical for false positive identification rates to be higher in women except in their teens.



Q: Identification FNIR(N, T, L+1) and Investigational FNIR(N, 0, R) under ageing



