




A: 1:N error tradeoff by dataset and enrollment type. N = 1600000 individuals




C: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate




D: FPIR dependence on T by probe type for N = 1600000 subjects



E: DET for Mugshot natural images and various N. Links connect points of equal threshold.



F: Mugshot natural images, identification mode: FNIR(N, L+1, T) vs. most accurate (sensetime_004)



G: Datasheet

Algorithm: toshiba_0

Developer: Toshiba

Submission Date: 2018_10_30

Template size: 1548 bytes

Template time (2.5 percentile): 875 msec

Template time (median): 876 msec

Template time (97.5 percentile): 1661 msec

Frontal mugshot investigation rank 62 --- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0045 vs. lowest 0.0010 from sensetime_004

natural investigation rank 68 --- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0216 vs. lowest 0.0067 from sensetime_003

natural investigation rank 142 --- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.6612 vs. lowest 0.0492 from paravision_005


natural investigation rank 142 --- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.6612 vs. lowest 0.0492 from paravision_005

Frontal mugshot identification rank 91 --- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0620 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime_004


natural identification rank 69 --- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.1180 vs. lowest 0.0122 from sensetime_003

natural identification rank 82 --- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.9854 vs. lowest 0.1020 from sensetime_004

H: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (yitu_4)



I: Investigational mode: FNIR(1600000, R, 0) by probe type



Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements.
The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power-law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations

