A: Datasheet

Algorithm: gorilla_005

Developer: Gorilla Technology

Submission Date: 2021_02_22

Template size: 6288 bytes

Template time (2.5 percentile): 481 msec

Template time (median): 483 msec

Template time (97.5 percentile): 489 msec

Investigation:

Frontal mugshot ranking 64 (out of 279) −− FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0032 vs. lowest 0.0009 from sensetime_005

Mugshot webcam ranking 74 (out of 241) −− FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0180 vs. lowest 0.0062 from sensetime_005

Mugshot profile ranking 23 (out of 210) −− FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.2086 vs. lowest 0.0587 from xforwardai_002

Immigration visa−border ranking 49 (out of 168) −− FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0060 vs. lowest 0.0013 from visionlabs_010

Immigration visa−kiosk ranking 54 (out of 165) −− FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.1243 vs. lowest 0.0568 from cloudwalk_hr_000

Identification:

Frontal mugshot ranking 111 (out of 279) −− FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0580, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime_004

Mugshot webcam ranking 110 (out of 236) −− FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.1423, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0122 from sensetime_003

Mugshot profile ranking 19 (out of 209) −− FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.7005, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.1331 from cloudwalk_hr_000

Immigration visa−border ranking 78 (out of 167) −− FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0878, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0047 from idemia_008

Immigration visa−kiosk ranking 49 (out of 162) −− FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.3173, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0996 from cloudwalk_hr_000


B: Mugshot natural images, identification mode: FNIR(N, L+1, T) vs. most accurate (sensetime_004)
False negative identification rate, FNIR(N)
0.100
0.070
0.050
0.030
0.020
0.010
0.007
0.005
0.003
0.002
1e+06
3e+06
1e+07
Enrolled population size, N
enrolment_style
recent
Dataset:
2018 Mugshot
FNIR@FPIR = 0.001
gorilla_005
sensetime_004

C: Evolution of accuracy for GORILLA algorithms on three datasets 2018 − present




D: 1:N error tradeoff by dataset and enrollment type. N = 1600000 individuals
Immigration visa−border
Immigration visa−kiosk
Mugshot natural
gorilla 005
sensetime 004
False negative identification rate, FNIR(T)
0.700
0.500
0.300
0.200
0.100
0.070
0.050
0.030
0.020
0.010
0.007
0.005
0.003
0.002
0.001
1e−04
3e−04
1e−03
3e−03
1e−02
3e−02
1e−01
3e−01
1e+00
False positive identification rate, FPIR(T)
enrolment_style
random−ONE−MATE
recent−ONE−MATE


E: Dependence of error rates on T by number enrolled identities, N, for Mugshot natural images
N
00640000
01600000
03000000
06000000
12000000
recent
FNIR
FPIR
Identification Error rate, FPIR(T) or FNIR(T)
0.700
0.500
0.300
0.200
0.100
0.070
0.050
0.030
0.020
0.010
0.007
0.005
0.003
0.002
0.001
7e−01
5e−01
3e−01
2e−01
1e−01
7e−02
5e−02
3e−02
2e−02
1e−02
7e−03
5e−03
3e−03
2e−03
1e−03
7e−04
5e−04
3e−04
2e−04
1e−04
7e−05
0.00
0.25
0.50
0.75
Threshold


F: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate
Selectivity, SEL(T)
7e+01
5e+01
3e+01
2e+01
1e+01
7e+00
5e+00
3e+00
2e+00
1e+00
7e−01
5e−01
3e−01
2e−01
1e−01
7e−02
5e−02
3e−02
2e−02
1e−02
7e−03
5e−03
3e−03
2e−03
1e−03
7e−04
5e−04
3e−04
2e−04
1e−04
7e−05
5e−05
3e−05
2e−05
1e−05
1e−05
3e−05
1e−04
3e−04
1e−03
3e−03
1e−02
3e−02
1e−01
3e−01
False Positive Idenification Rate, FPIR(T)
Enrolled images:
recent
N = 1600000
Mugshot
natural
Mugshot
webcam


G: FPIR dependence on T by probe type for N = 1600000 subjects
False Positive Idenification Rate, FPIR(T)
7e−01
5e−01
3e−01
2e−01
1e−01
7e−02
5e−02
3e−02
2e−02
1e−02
7e−03
5e−03
3e−03
2e−03
1e−03
7e−04
5e−04
3e−04
2e−04
1e−04
7e−05
5e−05
3e−05
2e−05
1e−05
0.0
0.2
0.4
0.6
0.8
Threshold
Enrolled image:
random
N = 1600000
Immigration
visa−border
Immigration
visa−kiosk
Mugshot
natural
Mugshot
profile
Mugshot
webcam
enrolment_style
random
recent


J: DET for Mugshot natural images and various N. Links connect points of equal threshold.
False negative identification rate, FNIR(T)
0.700
0.500
0.300
0.200
0.100
0.070
0.050
0.030
0.020
0.010
0.007
0.005
0.003
0.002
0.001
1e−04
3e−04
1e−03
3e−03
1e−02
3e−02
1e−01
3e−01
1e+00
False positive identification rate, FPIR(T)
2018 Mugshot
Enrolled image:
recent
00640000
01600000
03000000
06000000
12000000


K: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (sensetime_005)
Immigration
visa−border
Immigration
visa−kiosk
Mugshot
natural
Mugshot
webcam
0.100
0.070
0.050
0.030
0.020
0.010
0.007
0.005
0.003
0.002
0.001
False negative identification rate, FNIR(N)
1e+06
3e+06
1e+07
Enrolled population size, N
FNIR@Rank = 1
gorilla_005
sensetime_005
enrolment_style
random
recent


L: Investigational mode: FNIR(1600000, R, 0) by probe type
gorilla_005
sensetime_005
False negative identification rate, FNIR(N)
0.100
0.070
0.050
0.030
0.020
0.010
0.007
0.005
0.003
0.002
0.001
1
3
10
30
Rank, R
enrolment_style
random
recent
FNIR(R)
N = 1600000
Immigration visa−border
Immigration visa−kiosk
Mugshot natural
Mugshot webcam

M: Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements.
The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power−law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations




Q: Identification FNIR(N, T, L+1) and Investigational FNIR(N, 0, R) under ageing
Dataset: 2018 Mugshot N = 3068801
(00,02]
(02,04]
(04,06]
(06,08]
(08,10]
(10,12]
(12,14]
(14,18]
FPIR
Rank
cloudwalk hr 000
gorilla 005
False negative identification rate (FNIR)
0.700
0.500
0.300
0.200
0.100
0.070
0.050
0.030
0.020
0.010
0.007
0.005
0.003
0.002
0.001
1e−04
3e−04
1e−03
3e−03
1e−02
3e−02
1e−01
3e−01
1e+00
1
3
10
30
50
False positive identification rate, FPIR or Rank, R

R: Decline of genuine scores with ageing, with some eventually dropping below typical thresholds shown by the horizontal lines