

## High Performance Computing Exercise Sheet 5

 $FS \ 21 \\ dr. \ Douglas \ Potter$ 

Issued: 26.03.2021

Due: 31.03.2021

http://www.ics.uzh.ch/

Teaching Assistants:

Jozef Bucko, jozef.bucko@uzh.ch

Hugues de Laroussilhe, hugues@ics.uzh.ch

In this exercise session, we will practise some basics usage of Regex as well as learn more about how to analyse the performance of our code. We will get more familiar with one of many codes offering such an analysis, namely *Cray Performance Measurement and Analysis Tool.* 

Exercise 1 [Regex - grep]

Commit: The command grep can be used to search a file for a specific expression. On the course repository you can find the file binary.txt, which contains a list of binary numbers. Give a regular expression that will match only the binary strings that satisfy the condition:

- 1) end with 00
- 2) start and end with 1
- 3) contain the pattern 110
- 4) contain at least three times a 1
- 5) contain at least three consecutive 1s

In addition, you can find another file called measurements.txt in the course repository containing temperature and humidity data taken at different times. Write a simple bash (or python) script which stores out.txt file containing two columns: TEMPERATURE and TIME (so humidity data are skipped). In addition, the script should print the average measured temperature.

## Exercise 2 Introduction to Perftools-Lite

Man pages introduce the Perftools-Lite as follows: "Perftools-Lite is a simplified, easy-to-use version of the Cray Performance Measurement and Analysis Tool set. Perftools-Lite

provides basic performance analysis information automatically, with a minimum of user interaction, and yet offers information useful to users wishing to explore their program's behavior further using the full CrayPat tool set...". In this exercise, we will use this tool to analyse the run of the code nbody.cpp which can be found on the well-known git repository https://github.com/hlasco/hpc\_esc\_401 in folder exercise\_session\_05. During this exercise, we will again work on Piz Daint (do not forget to use project uzg2 for now).

- log in to Daint and load the perftools-lite module
- compile the MPI code nbody.cpp (do not forget about -g flag). Do you observe any changes in the terminal output compared to usual compilation during the previous sessions? Run the produced executable using a jobscript (you do not need to include any changes into it).
- once the job is successfully finished, inspect the produced files/folder(s) and read few details about the output structure in the manual (man perftools-lite)
- which parts of the code took the most CPU time? Can you make sense of why?

Commit: Push the log file provided by the perftools-lite tool and comment briefly on its content and what you can learn about the performance of your code.

In the last part, let's revise the way how to separate the code into more modules.

- extract the function forces() into a separate file forces.cpp and function ic() into file init\_conditions.cpp. Do not forget to create one header file (.h) for each of the .cpp file. (You might need to put #include <cmath> in forces.cpp)
- make sure you have perftools-lite loaded and try to compile and link the codes. Do you get any error? What about the new type particles? Should it also be defined in a separate file?
- run the compiled code and see the perftools-lite output. Is the execution time comparable with the simple case when the whole code was placed within a single .cpp file?

**Commit**: Provide all the relevant files you used to compile and link your code. Discuss the runtime comparison of the different code running.

## Exercise 3 Time Complexity

- Let's first write an OpenMP version of nbody.cpp. This code is slightly different from what you already encountered as it contains nested for loops. Here you can decide to add OpenMP parallel directive to the first, the second, or to both loops. Which one is the most efficient solution, why?
- The execution time of the code nbody.cpp will obviously increase with the number of particles. What is the expected scaling relation between the code execution time t and the number of particles N? Can you justify your answer?

Let's check that numerically:

- Edit the code nbody.cpp in order to be able to specify the number of particles with a command line argument. For example ./nbody 1000 should execute the code with 1000 particles. Does your implementation still work when not specifying any command line argument? If not, try to include safety nets while reading the command line arguments.
- Plot the execution time of the serial code with

$$N = [100, 500, 1000, 5000, 10000, 20000, 50000]$$

with 1 node on the uzg2 partition (using a bash script is a good idea). Repeat the experiment with your OpenMP implementation using 12 threads. Can you find a good fit to your results?

• BONUS: Is it possible to reduce the time complexity of N-body force calculations?

Commit: Push your answers, implementation, plots and fits to your repository.