

CS 213 – Software Methodology

Spring 2019

Sesh Venugopal

Lecture 15 – Mar 14

More on Inheritance: Why/When

Why Inheritance?

- The design aspect of inheritance is to model the “IS A” relationship between objects
- Examples:
 - Car **is a** MotorVehicle (*every car is a motor vehicle*)
 - Motorcycle **is a** MotorVehicle (*every motorcycle is a motor vehicle*)
 - ColoredPoint **is a** Point (*every colored point is a point*)
 - Zebra **is a(n)** animal (*every zebra is an animal*)
- Inheritance then allows class on the right hand side of the **is a** to “hand down” its code to the class on the left hand side
- The RHS class (e.g. MotorVehicle) is the superclass (base class) and LHS class (e.g. Car) is the subclass

Why Inheritance?

- Handing down code results in reuse: there is only one copy to manage instead of two or more
- Creating an instance of Car does not automatically also create an instance of MotorVehicle. Inheritance does not mean that a subclass object (Car) has a superclass object (MotorVehicle) contained inside it. (A Car does not contain a MotorVehicle)
- Which of these “**IS A**” relationships are accurate?:
 - Square is a rectangle
 - Cube is a square
 - Student is a Person
 - Employee is a Person

Square is a Rectangle. But does inheritance work?

```
public class Rectangle {
    int x, y, w, h;
    . . .
    public int area() {
        . . .
    }
    public int perimeter() {
        . . .
    }
    public void setSize(int w,
                        int h) {
        . . .
    }
}

public class Square extends
Rectangle {
    . . .
    public void setSize(int w,
                        int h) {
        // disallow stretching when
        // w is not equal to h
        . . .
    }
}
```

Square is a Rectangle. But does inheritance work?

There are two important reasons why the **Square extends Rectangle** DESIGN idea **does not** work:

- The **Square** class **does not provide any new functionality**
- The inherited method **setSize** is **overridden in a way that restricts the set size behavior**

For inheritance to be used correctly, the subclass must provide **ALL** the functionality (methods) of the superclass, AND more .

Also, if the subclass overrides an inherited method of the superclass, the overriding method must use ALL of the inherited method's implementation, and ADD more.

Rectangle – Square Design Alternatives

Alternative 1: Code only a Rectangle class, and have it tell whether it is a square or not

```
public class Rectangle {
    public static final int DEFAULT_X = 100;
    public static final int DEFAULT_Y = 100;

    private int x=DEFAULT_X, y=DEFAULT_Y, w, h;
    private boolean isSquare = false;

    public Rectangle(int width, int height) {
        w = width; h = height;
    }

    // to be used if square is needed
    public Rectangle(int side) {
        w = h = side; isSquare = true;
    }

    public boolean isSquare() {
        return isSquare;
    }

    public void setSize(int w, int h) {
        if (isSquare && w != h) {
            throw new IllegalArgumentException(
                "w must be equal to h for square");
        }
        this.w = w; this.h = h;
    }
}
```

What if client “forgets” to use this constructor, and uses the other constructor correctly (by setting w = h), intending a square? Rectangle would not know of the intention, leading to problems in usage.

Rectangle – Square Design Alternatives

Alternative 1: Code only a Rectangle class, and have it tell whether it is a square or not

```
public class Rectangle {
    public static final int DEFAULT_X = 100;
    public static final int DEFAULT_Y = 100;

    private int x=DEFAULT_X, y=DEFAULT_Y, w, h;
    private boolean isSquare = false;

    public Rectangle(int width, int height) {
        w = width; h = height;
    }

    // to be used if square is needed
    public Rectangle(int side) {
        w = h = side; isSquare = true;
    }

    public boolean isSquare() {
        return isSquare;
    }

    public void setSize(int w, int h) {
        if (isSquare && w != h) {
            throw new IllegalArgumentException(
                "w must be equal to h for square");
        }
        this.w = w; this.h = h;
    }
}
```

What if client “forgets” to use this constructor, and uses the other constructor correctly (by setting w = h), intending a square? Rectangle would not know of the intention, leading to problems in usage.

Rectangle – Square Design Alternatives

Alternative 2: Code a Rectangle class, and use delegation (composition) to have Square point to it

```
public class Rectangle {
    public static final
        int DEFAULT_X = 100;
    public static final
        int DEFAULT_Y = 100;

    private int x=DEFAULT_X,
        y=DEFAULT_Y, w, h;

    public Rectangle(int width,
        int height) {
        w = width; h = height;
    }

    public void setSize(int w, int h) {
        this.w = w; this.h = h;
    }
    ...
}
```

Square is composed of
Rectangle instance,
i.e. Square has a
Rectangle

```
public class Square {
    private Rectangle rect;

    public Square(int side) {
        rect = new Rectangle(side,side);
    }

    public void setSize(int side) {
        rect.setSize(side,side);
    }
    . . .
}
```

Rectangle-specific functionality is
delegated to Rectangle object

Delegation/composition is a viable alternative to inheritance for code reuse

Employee is a Person. Student is a Person. Issues in Implementing with Inheritance

This example from "Object-Oriented Design using Java" by Dale Skrien

```
public class Person {  
    String name, address;  
    . . .  
}
```

```
public class Student  
    extends Person {  
    float gpa;  
    . . .  
}
```

```
public class Employee  
    extends Person {  
    float salary;  
    . . .  
}
```

Scenario 1: A student graduates and becomes an employee of the university

Solution A: Replace Student object for this person with Employee object

- Data from Student object (e.g. transcripts) may need to be preserved, but there is no place for this in Employee object

Employee is a Person. Student is a Person. Issues in Implementing with Inheritance

This example from "Object-Oriented Design using Java" by Dale Skrien

```
public class Person {  
    String name, address;  
    . . .  
}
```

```
public class Student  
    extends Person {  
    float gpa;  
    . . .  
}
```

```
public class Employee  
    extends Person {  
    float salary;  
    . . .  
}
```

Scenario 1: A student graduates and becomes an employee of the university

Solution B: Keep *inactive* Student object for this person, and create an *active* Employee object

- All Person-level data is duplicated in both objects (wasted space)
- Whenever a change is made to Person-level data in one (e.g. address), it must also be made in the other (drawback: tracking for synchronization)

Employee is a Person. Student is a Person. Issues in Implementing with Inheritance

This example from "Object-Oriented Design using Java" by Dale Skrien

```
public class Person {  
    String name, address;  
    . . .  
}
```

```
public class Student  
    extends Person {  
    float gpa;  
    . . .  
}
```

```
public class Employee  
    extends Person {  
    float salary;  
    . . .  
}
```

Scenario 2: A Student is also an employee at the same time

Solution: Keep *active* Student object for this person, as well as *active* Employee object

- All Person-level data is duplicated in both objects (wasted space)
- Whenever a change is made to Person-level data in one (e.g. address), it must also be made in the other (drawback: tracking for synchronization)

Employee is a Person. Student is a Person.
Issues in Implementing with Inheritance

OBSERVATION:

Employee and Student
are temporary ROLES
played by Person

In situations like this, inheritance is not a good design.

Instead, composition/delegation is a better design alternative.

Employee is a Person. Student is a Person.

ROLES: Composition/Delegation

```
public class Person {  
    private String name;  
    private String address;  
    public String getAddress() {  
        return address;  
    }  
    . . .  
}
```

```
public class Student { Student refers to  
    private Person me; a Person instance,  
                        i.e. Student has a Person  
  
    private Transcript myTranscript;  
    public String getAddress() {  
        return me.getAddress();  
    }  
    public float getGPA() {  
        . . .  
    }  
    . . .  
}
```

Person-specific functionality is delegated to Person object

Employee can similarly refer to **Person**, delegating **Person**-specific tasks to the referenced **Person** object

If a student graduates and then becomes an employee, both inactive **Student** object and active **Employee** object can refer to *same* **Person** object. Thus, multiple roles played by the same person at the same, or different times, can be handled well by referencing/delegation.

Delegation for Roles

If class B (e.g. Student) models a temporary role played by class A (e.g. Person), then B should not be a subclass of A.

Instead, B should reference A and use delegation to do A-specific stuff.