STA 314: Statistical Methods for Machine Learning I

Lecture 8 - Logistic regression in multi-class classification

Xin Bing

Department of Statistical Sciences University of Toronto

Review

In the last lecture, we have learned the logistic regression for binary classification with $Y \in \{0, 1\}$.

- Estimating the Bayes rule at any observation $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}$ is equivalent to estimate the conditional probability $\mathbb{P}(Y = 1 \mid X = \mathbf{x})$.
- Logistic regression parametrizes the conditional probability by

$$\mathbb{P}(Y=1\mid X=\mathbf{x})=\frac{e^{\beta_0+\mathbf{x}^{\top}\beta}}{1+e^{\beta_0+\mathbf{x}^{\top}\beta}}.$$

 We estimate the coefficients by using MLE which can be solved by (stochastic) gradient descent.

Extension to multi-class classification

When $Y \in \{0, 1, \dots, K-1\}$ for K > 2, we need to estimate

$$p_k(\mathbf{x}) := \mathbb{P}(Y = k \mid X = \mathbf{x}), \quad \forall \ 0 \le k \le K - 1.$$

We assume

$$p_{0}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{k=1}^{K} -1e^{\beta_{0}^{(k)} + \mathbf{x}^{T}} \beta^{(k)}},$$

$$p_{1}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{e^{\beta_{0}^{(1)} + \mathbf{x}^{T}} \beta^{(1)}}{1 + \sum_{k=1}^{K} -1e^{\beta_{0}^{(k)} + \mathbf{x}^{T}} \beta^{(k)}}.$$

$$\vdots$$

$$p_{K-1}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{e^{\beta_{0}^{(K-1)} + \mathbf{x}^{T}} \beta^{(K-1)}}{1 + \sum_{k=1}^{K} -1e^{\beta_{0}^{(k)} + \mathbf{x}^{T}} \beta^{(k)}}.$$

Choice of the baseline (which is Y = 0) is arbitrary.

Classification

Equivalently,

$$\log\left(\frac{p_{1}(\mathbf{x})}{p_{0}(\mathbf{x})}\right) = \beta_{0}^{(1)} + \beta_{1}^{(1)}x_{1} + \dots + \beta_{p}^{(1)}x_{p}$$

$$\log\left(\frac{p_{2}(\mathbf{x})}{p_{0}(\mathbf{x})}\right) = \beta_{0}^{(2)} + \beta_{1}^{(2)}x_{1} + \dots + \beta_{p}^{(2)}x_{p}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\log\left(\frac{p_{K-1}(\mathbf{x})}{p_{0}(\mathbf{x})}\right) = \beta_{0}^{(K-1)} + \beta_{1}^{(K-1)}x_{1} + \dots + \beta_{p}^{(K-1)}x_{p}$$

So classification can be done immediately once $\beta^{(k)}$'s are estimated,

How to estimate coefficients?

A naive approach: separate binary logistic regressions

$$\log\left(\frac{p_k(\mathbf{x})}{p_0(\mathbf{x})}\right) = \beta_0^{(k)} + \beta_1^{(k)} x_1 + \dots + \beta_p^{(k)} x_p, \quad \forall \ 1 \le k \le K - 1.$$

Split the data into $\{\mathcal{D}^{train}_{(1)}, \dots, \mathcal{D}^{train}_{(K-1)}\}$ with $\mathcal{D}^{train}_{(k)}$ containing all data with $y \in \{0, k\}$ for $1 \le k \le K - 1$.

1. For each $1 \le k \le K - 1$, use $\mathcal{D}^{train}_{(k)}$ to perform binary logistic regression to estimate $\beta^{(k)}$ and estimate

$$\frac{p_k(\mathbf{x})}{p_0(\mathbf{x})}$$

2. Assign class label by comparing

$$1, \frac{p_1(\mathbf{x})}{p_0(\mathbf{x})}, \frac{p_2(\mathbf{x})}{p_0(\mathbf{x})} \dots, \frac{p_{K-1}(\mathbf{x})}{p_0(\mathbf{x})}$$

Why naive?

- Estimation of $\beta^{(k)}$
 - only uses $\mathcal{D}^{train}_{(k)}$, data points in class $\{0, k\}$
 - ▶ ignore all data points in other classes
- The event $\{y_i = k\}$ is **dependent** on all other $\{y_i = k'\}$ for $k' \neq k$. Intuitively, this dependence helps to estimate $\beta^{(k)}$ by pooling data from all classes.
- What should we use instead?

MLE for multi-class logistic regression

For $(y_1, \mathbf{x}_1), \dots, (y_n, \mathbf{x}_n)$, the log-likelihood of $(\boldsymbol{\beta}^{(1)}, \dots, \boldsymbol{\beta}^{(K-1)})$, with no intercepts, is **proportional to**

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \left(\prod_{k=0}^{K-1} p_k(\mathbf{x}_i)^{1\{y_i = k\}} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} 1\{y_i = k\} \log (p_k(\mathbf{x}_i))$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[1\{y_i = 0\} \log (p_0(\mathbf{x}_i)) + \sum_{k=1}^{K-1} 1\{y_i = k\} \log (p_k(\mathbf{x}_i)) \right]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{K-1} 1\{y_i = k\} \mathbf{x}_i^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\beta}^{(k)} - \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} 1\{y_i = k\} \log \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{K-1} e^{\mathbf{x}_i^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\beta}^{(k)}} \right) \right]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{K-1} 1\{y_i = k\} \mathbf{x}_i^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\beta}^{(k)} - \log \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{K-1} e^{\mathbf{x}_i^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\beta}^{(k)}} \right) \right]$$

Gradient of $\ell(\beta^{(k)})$

For any $1 \le k \le K - 1$,

$$\frac{\partial \ell(\beta^{(1)}, \dots, \beta^{(K-1)})}{\partial \beta^{(k)}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[1\{y_i = k\} \ \mathbf{x}_i - \frac{\mathbf{x}_i e^{\mathbf{x}_i^{\top} \beta^{(k)}}}{1 + \sum_{k=1}^{K-1} e^{\mathbf{x}_i^{\top} \beta^{(k)}}} \right] \\
= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[1\{y_i = k\} - \frac{e^{\mathbf{x}_i^{\top} \beta^{(k)}}}{1 + \sum_{k=1}^{K-1} e^{\mathbf{x}_i^{\top} \beta^{(k)}}} \right] \mathbf{x}_i$$

c.f. the binary case (K = 2)

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial \ell(\boldsymbol{\beta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}} &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[1\{y_i = 1\} - \frac{e^{\mathbf{x}_i^{\top} \boldsymbol{\beta}}}{1 + e^{\mathbf{x}_i^{\top} \boldsymbol{\beta}}} \right] \mathbf{x}_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[y_i - \frac{e^{\mathbf{x}_i^{\top} \boldsymbol{\beta}}}{1 + e^{\mathbf{x}_i^{\top} \boldsymbol{\beta}}} \right] \mathbf{x}_i. \end{split}$$

Gradient descent

Therefore, for $1 \le k \le K$, we update

$$\hat{\beta}_{(t+1)}^{(k)} = \hat{\beta}_{(t)}^{(k)} + \alpha \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[1\{y_i = k\} - \frac{e^{\mathbf{x}_i^{\mathsf{T}} \hat{\beta}_{(t)}^{(k)}}}{1 + \sum_{k=1}^{K-1} e^{\mathbf{x}_i^{\mathsf{T}} \hat{\beta}_{(t)}^{(k)}}} \right] \mathbf{x}_i.$$

Remark:

- the gradient update uses data points from all classes!
- better estimation than the naive approach

An alternative to Logistic Regression

- When the classes are well-separated, the parameter estimates for the logistic regression model are surprisingly unstable¹.
 - ▶ Discriminant analysis does not suffer from this problem.
- When n is small and we know more about the data, such as the distribution of $X \mid Y = k$
 - Discriminant analysis has better performance than the logistic regression model.
- Logistic Regression sometimes does not handle multi-class classification well
 - Discriminant analysis is more suitable for multi-class classification problems.

¹A paper on this.