

Summative Assessment Programming Assignment 2

Server-Side Programming

Assessment Criteria

In more detail, the criteria and requirements are as follows:

Criteria	Marks/100
Functionality (Client and Server) -Functionality (both Client: 15 marks, and Server: 30 marks) assessed by what is shown/demonstrated in the video (as per criteria below).	45
Quality (Client and Server) Quality (both Client: 15 marks, and Server: 30 marks) -Assessed by Assessor as per detailed criteria that follows.	45
Video Presentation (2 minutes with penalty for going over time).	10
Client-side functionality 1a: User Experience (UX): clean layout and minimal clicks/entry required 1b: App complexity: entities can be listed and edited 1c: 'Single page' style: asynchronous updates Client-side quality 2a: Standards compliant (HTML5) 2b: Responsive to different viewport sizes 2c: Gracefully disconnect, error messages and recommence on server restart Server-side functionality 3a: At least two entity types, with relationships 3b: REST API provides each entity with appropriate GET (at least 2)/POST (at least 1) methods 3c: Installs with <i>npm install</i> 3d: Starts with <i>npm start</i> Server-side quality 4a: Coding with development tools and frameworks (<i>Fetch</i> , <i>Express</i> etc) used 4b: Successful <i>eslint</i> (runs with <i>npm run pretest</i>) 4c: Successful <i>jest</i> tests with good coverage (runs with <i>npm test</i>) 4d: Coverage e.g. testing includes content-type and HTTP code 4e: Completeness of API documentation	4 ✓ 4 ✓ 7 ✓ 3 ✓ 4 ✓ 8 6 12 ✓ 6 ✓ 6 ✓ 7 ✓ 3 ✓ 8 6 6
Video Quality (a) Structure (b) Visual Presentation (c) Audio explanation (d) Going overtime (over 2 mins)	3 4 3 -10% per 10 secs

You will lose 10% of marks for video presentation (10 marks), for every block of 10 seconds over 2 minutes. That is, if your video is 2 mins 1 second long, you lose 10%, if it's 2 mins 11 seconds long, you lose 20%, and so on.

You may view your marks along the following scale:

1. **Fail (< 40)** – Most of the basic requirements were not met; there are major errors in the website's functionality.
2. **Approaching expectations (40 – 59)** -Many requirements were met, with some issues in the design and development methods, and some minor functionality errors are present.
3. **Meeting expectations (60 – 79)** – The basic/mandatory quality/functionality requirements were mostly or all met; the website is fully or almost fully functional.
4. **Exceeding expectations (≥ 80)** – the website is fully functional, proficiently addressing all the criteria asked and possibly beyond, high quality code development.