How FP Deals With Effects



Source: 2024/02/07 (Wed)

목차

1st Session

- 1. 함수형 프로그래밍 Intro
 - Overall Structure
 - Historical Review (CS + Math)
- 2. 함수형 패러다임
 - Core of Functional Thinking
 - FP Fact-Checking
- 3. FP는 정말 순수한가?
 - Optimizing with Purity
 - Effect Handling Basics

2nd Session

- 1. Lazy Evaluation
 - How Lazy Evaluation Works
 - Infinite Data Structure
 - Laziness & Purity
- 2. From Functor to Monad
 - Functor in PL
 - Monad in PL
- 3. Impurity in Pure World?
 - Side Effect in Pure World
 - Uniqueness Typing
 - IO Monad

"Evaluation on demand"

"Evaluation on demand"

<Let's code!>

Thunk: "A delayed computation"

```
xs = [1 ... 10] ++ undefined -- Thunk
                                                      Haskell
2 \text{ ys} = \text{take } 3 \text{ xs} -- \text{Thunk}
  main = print ys -- Force evaluation lazily
  { -
    print (take 3 xs)
   print (take 3 ([1 .. 10] ++ undefined))
   print (1 : take 2 ([2 .. 10] ++ undefined))
   print (1 : 2 : take 1 ([3 .. 10] ++ undefined))
   print (1:2:3:take 0 ([4..10]++undefined))
   print (1 : 2 : 3 : [])
   print [1, 2, 3]
12 - }
```

```
ones :: [Int]
ones = 1 : ones
```

```
ones :: [Int]
ones = 1 : ones
ones = 1 : ones
ones = 1 : 1 : ones
```

ones = 1 : 1 : 1 : ones

```
ones = 1 : ones -- Infinite list
                                                   Haskell
  main = print (take 3 ones)
  { -
    print (take 3 ones)
   print (1 : take 2 ones)
  print (1 : 1 : take 1 ones)
   print (1 : 1 : 1 : take 0 ones)
  print (1 : 1 : 1 : [])
    print [1, 1, 1]
10 - }
```

<Let's code!>

Let's see more interesting examples...

"Laziness (generally) needs purity"

Scenario: file pointer-based sequential read

Assume that we are reading a config file structured like below.

header basic extended

 $\label{eq:expected:readHeader} \textsf{Expected: readHeader} \rightarrow \textsf{readBasicConfig} \rightarrow \textsf{readExtendedConfig}$

```
header basic extended
```

```
1 getConfig :: File -> Config
2 getConfig f =
3   let
4   header = readHeader f
5   basic = readBasicConfig f
6   extended = readExtendedConfig (headerVersion header) f
7   in Config basic extended
```

Expected: readHeader \rightarrow readBasicConfig \rightarrow readExtendedConfig

```
header basic extended
```

```
1 getConfig :: File -> Config
2 getConfig f =
3   let
4   header = readHeader f
5   basic = readBasicConfig f
6   extended = readExtendedConfig (headerVersion header) f
7   in Config basic extended
```

 $\label{eq:expected:readHeader} \textsf{Expected: readHeader} \rightarrow \textsf{readBasicConfig} \rightarrow \textsf{readExtendedConfig}$

```
header basic extended
```

```
1 getConfig :: File -> Config
2 getConfig f =
3   let
4   header = readHeader f
5   basic = readBasicConfig f
6   extended = readExtendedConfig (headerVersion header) f
7   in Config basic extended
```

Lazy case: readBasicConfig \rightarrow readHeader \rightarrow readExtendedConfig

```
header basic extended
```

```
1 getConfig :: File -> Config
2 getConfig f =
3   let
4   header = readHeader f
5   basic = readBasicConfig f
6   extended = readExtendedConfig (headerVersion header) f
7   in Config basic extended
```

Lazy case: readBasicConfig → readHeader → readExtendedConfig

```
header basic extended
```

```
1 getConfig :: File -> Config
2 getConfig f =
3   let
4   header = readHeader f
5   basic = readBasicConfig f
6   extended = readExtendedConfig (headerVersion header) f
7   in Config basic extended
```

Lazy case: readBasicConfig → readHeader → readExtendedConfig

```
header basic extended
```

```
1 getConfig :: File -> Config
2 getConfig f =
3   let
4   header = readHeader f
5   basic = readBasicConfig f
6   extended = readExtendedConfig (headerVersion header) f
7   in Config basic extended
```

```
A type constructor F is a Functor if

fmap:: (T -> U) -> (F<T> -> F<U>) (= lift)

is given. (for arbitrary types T, U)
```

Functor laws

- 1. fmap (id) \equiv id (where id x = x)
- 2. fmap (f \circ g) \equiv (fmap f) \circ (fmap g)

 Haskell ver. fmap (f . g) \equiv (fmap f) . (fmap g)

How can we implement fmap for Maybe?

```
f fmap f

fmap :: (T -> U) -> (Maybe<T> -> Maybe<U>)
```

```
fmap f
     fmap :: (T -> U) -> (Maybe<T> -> Maybe<U>)
1 fn fmap f<T, U>(opt t: Maybe<T>) -> Maybe<U> {
   if (opt t.is nothing())
     return Maybe<U>();
   else
     return Maybe<U>(f(opt t.value()));
```

```
f fmap f
fmap :: (T -> U) -> (Maybe<T> -> Maybe<U>)
```

```
1 fn fmap<T, U>(f: T \rightarrow U) \rightarrow (Maybe<T> \rightarrow Maybe<U>) {
    fn fmap f<T, U>(opt t: Maybe<T>) -> Maybe<U> {
      if (opt t.is nothing())
        return Maybe<U>();
     else
        return Maybe<U>(f(opt t.value()));
    return fmap f;
```

```
f fmap f
fmap :: (T -> U) -> (Maybe<T> -> Maybe<U>)
fmap :: (a -> b) -> Maybe a -> Maybe b
```

data Maybe a = Nothing | Just a

```
data Maybe a = Nothing | Just a

fmap :: (a -> b) -> Maybe a -> Maybe b

fmap f (Just x) = Just (f x)

fmap _ Nothing = Nothing
```

```
type Functor :: (* -> *) -> Constraint
class Functor f where
  fmap :: (a -> b) -> f a -> f b
  (<$) :: a -> f b -> f a
  {-# MINIMAL fmap #-}
```

A Functor M is a Monad if

A Functor M is a Monad if

```
fmap :: (T \rightarrow U) \rightarrow M < T > -> M < U > (= lift)
return :: T \rightarrow M < T > (= unit)
bind :: M < T > -> ((T \rightarrow M < U >) -> M < U >) (= flatMap)
Haskell ver. (>>=) :: M a -> (a \rightarrow M b) -> M b
```

is given. (for arbitrary types T, U)

Monad laws

- 1. bind m return ≡ m
 Haskell ver. m >>= return ≡ m
- 2. bind (return x) $f \equiv f x$ Haskell ver. return x >>= $f \equiv f x$
- 3. bind (bind m f) $g \equiv bind m (\x -> f x >>= g)$ Haskell ver. $(m >>= f) >>= g \equiv m >>= (\x -> f x >>= g)$

Semantic of Monad

"A monoid in the category of endofunctors"

Semantic of Monad

"A monoid in the category of endofunctors"

Semantic of Monad

If M is a Monad, M<T> is an extension of T, where

- operations on T can also be extended
- the same extension on itself(i.e. M<M<T>>) is
 - meaningless, or
 - logically equial to the original, or
 - can be seen as the original in some aspect

Semantic of Monad

- Maybe <T>: T or Nothing
- Maybe <Maybe <T>>: T or Nothing or Nothing= Maybe <T>

Meaning is preserved! (but type changed)

- return :: T → Maybe <T>
- join :: Maybe <Maybe <T>> → Maybe <T>

Semantic of Monad

- List <T>: bunch of Ts
- List <List <T>>: bunch of bunches of Ts

 ≃ List <T>

Meaning is preserved! (but type changed)

- return :: $T \rightarrow List < T >$
- join :: List <List <T>> → List <T>

How can we implement return for Maybe?

return :: T -> Maybe<T>

```
return :: T -> Maybe<T>
```

```
1 fn returnM<T>(t: T) -> Maybe<T> {
2  return Maybe<T>(t);
3 }
```

How can we implement bind for Maybe?

```
bind :: Maybe<T> -> ((T -> Maybe<U>) -> Maybe<U>)
```

```
bind :: (Maybe<T>, (T -> Maybe<U>)) -> Maybe<U>
```

```
bind :: (Maybe<T>, (T -> Maybe<U>)) -> Maybe<U>
```

```
1 fn bind<T, U>(m: Maybe<T>, f: T -> Maybe<U>) -> Maybe<U> {
2    if (m.is_nothing())
3      return Maybe<U>();
4    else
5      return f(m.value());
6 }
```

```
bind :: Maybe<T> -> ((T -> Maybe<U>) -> Maybe<U>)
```

```
1 fn bind<T, U>(m: Maybe<T>) -> ((T -> Maybe<U>) -> Maybe<U>) {
   fn bind f(f: T -> Maybe<U>) {
     if (m.is nothing())
       return Maybe<U>();
   else
       return f(m.value());
   return bind f;
```

```
return :: T -> Maybe<T>
return :: a -> Maybe a

bind :: Maybe<T> -> ((T -> Maybe<U>) -> Maybe<U>)
(>>=) :: Maybe a -> (a -> Maybe b) -> Maybe b
```

```
data Maybe a = Nothing | Just a
  return :: a -> Maybe a
  return x = Just x
```

```
data Maybe a = Nothing | Just a

(>>=) :: Maybe a -> (a -> Maybe b) -> Maybe b

(Just x) >>= f = f x
Nothing >>= _ = Nothing
```

```
type Monad :: (* -> *) -> Constraint
class Applicative m => Monad m where
  (>>=) :: m a -> (a -> m b) -> m b
  (>>) :: m a -> m b -> m b
  return :: a -> m a
  {-# MINIMAL (>>=) #-}
```

Any use cases of **Monad** and **bind**?

Any use cases of **Monad** and **bind**?

Scenario: Multiple HTTP requests dependent on each other

Any use cases of **Monad** and **bind**?

Scenario: Multiple HTTP requests dependent on each other

<Let's code!>

```
f:: a \rightarrow Maybe b
g:: b \rightarrow Maybe c
(>>=) :: Maybe b \rightarrow (b \rightarrow Maybe c) \rightarrow Maybe c
f x >>= g:: Maybe c
```

Side Effect in Pure World

```
1 whatIsYourName :: IO ()
2 whatIsYourName = do
3  putStr "What is your name? "
4  firstName <- getLine -- same input!
5  lastName <- getLine -- same input!
6  putStrLn ("Hello, " ++ firstName ++ " " ++ lastName)</pre>
```

Side Effect in Pure World

- 1. What is IO in the type signature?
- 2. How can we perform side effects in a language where side effects are not allowed?

Uniqueness Typing

"A value with a unique type is guaranteed to have at most one reference to it at run-time, which means that it can safely be updated in-place, reducing the need for memory allocation and garbage collection."

The Idris Tutorial

Uniqueness Typing

```
module hello
                                                     Clean
 import StdEnv
  Start :: *World -> *World
  Start world
    # (console, world) = stdio world
    # console = fwrites "Hello, World!\n" console
    # (ok, world) = fclose console world
     not ok = abort "ERROR: cannot close console\n"
      otherwise = world
10
```

IO Monad

Let's hide "World" from users!

IO Monad

```
type WorldT a = World -> (a, World)
                                                    Haskell
  readStrT :: WorldT String
  readStrT = readStr
  printStrT :: String -> WorldT ()
  printStrT str world = ((), printStr str world)
8
  (>>>=) :: WorldT a
                        -- World -> (a, World)
         -> (a -> WorldT b) -- a -> World -> (b, World)
10
          -> WorldT b -- World -> (b, World)
12 \text{ m} >>= f = uncurry f . m
```