(1)

(2)

Transport equation with constant coefficients

Solution

For a given $a \in \mathbb{R}$, we consider the following linear transport equation in one dimension:

with $u_0 \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$. Without loss of generality, we assume that a > 0. We refer to the chapter 2, subsection 2.2.1, for the continuous framework of this equation. Here we focus on finding u a discrete approximation of \bar{u}

time step. We also denote u_i^n the approximation of $\bar{u}(x_j, t_n)$. **Definition:** A scheme is L^{∞} stable if we can prove the estimate $\sup_{i} \left| u_j^{n+1} \right| \le \sup_{i} \left| u_j^n \right|.$

Definition: A scheme is L^2 stable if we can prove the estimate

$$\sum_{j} \left| u_j^{n+1} \right|^2 \le \sum_{j} \left| u_j^n \right|^2.$$

Lax-Wendroff scheme

We first focus on the Lax-Wendroff scheme :
$$\frac{u_j^{n+1}-u_j^n}{1+a}+a\frac{u_{j+1}^n-u_{j-1}^n}{1+a^2\Delta t}+\frac{a^2\Delta t}{2u_j^n}-\frac{2u_j^n}{1+a^2\Delta t}$$

The
$$(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$$
, $\partial_{tt} u = u^* \partial_{xx} u$.

The derivatives with respect to t and x of the equation leads to

 $\partial_{tx} \bar{u} + a \partial_{tx} \bar{u} = 0$.

 $\partial_{tt}\bar{u} + a \ \partial_{tx}\bar{u} = 0$ $\partial_{tx}\bar{u} + a \,\,\partial_{xx}\bar{u} = 0\,,$

$$\partial_{tx}\bar{u} + u \,\,\partial_{xx}\bar{u} = 0\,,$$

$$\partial_{tt}\bar{u} = a^2 \,\,\partial_{xx}\bar{u}\,.$$

Using Taylor expansions, one has $\bar{u}_{j}^{n+1} = \bar{u}(x_{j}, t_{n+1}) = \bar{u}(x_{j}, t_{n} + \Delta t) = \bar{u}(x_{j}, t_{n}) + \Delta t \,\partial_{t}\bar{u}(x_{j}, t_{n}) + \frac{\Delta t^{2}}{2}\partial_{tt}\bar{u}(x_{j}, t_{n}) + O(\Delta t^{3}),$

pace. In the previous expansions, one has
$$\frac{\bar{u}_j^{n+1} - \bar{u}_j^n}{\Delta t} = \partial_t \bar{u}(x_j, t_n) + \frac{\Delta t}{2} \partial_{tt} \bar{u}(x_j, t_n) + O(\Delta t^2),$$

$$\frac{\bar{u}_{j+1}^n - \bar{u}_{j-1}^n}{2\Delta x} = \partial_x \bar{u}(x_j, t_n) + O(\Delta x^2),$$

$$\frac{2\bar{u}_j^n - \bar{u}_{j-1}^n - \bar{u}_{j+1}^n}{\Delta x^2} = -\partial_{xx} \bar{u}(x_j, t_n) + O(\Delta x).$$

 $T_j^n = \frac{\bar{u}_j^{n+1} - \bar{u}_j^n}{\Delta t} + a \frac{\bar{u}_{j+1}^n - \bar{u}_{j-1}^n}{2\Delta x} + \frac{a^2 \Delta t}{2} \frac{2\bar{u}_j^n - \bar{u}_{j-1}^n - \bar{u}_{j+1}^n}{\Delta x^2}$

In the last step, we used the equation, the result of the previous point, and the fact that:
$$|\Delta x \Delta t| \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\Delta x^2 + \Delta t^2 \right) \,.$$
 We note that the $O(\Delta t^2 + \Delta x^2)$ depends on a and on the L^{∞} -norms of $\partial_{xxx}\bar{u}$ and $\partial_{ttt}\bar{u}$. More precisely, this means, that there exists a constant $C>0$ depending on a and these L^{∞} -norms, such that
$$|T_j^n| \leq C(\Delta t^2 + \Delta x^2) \,.$$
 Q2: L^{∞} stability

1. Show that, for any non-negative values α, β, γ such that $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 1$, then

Since $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \geq 0$ and $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 1$, we have $\alpha x + \beta y + \gamma z \le \alpha M + \beta M + \gamma M \le M$

$$\nu = \epsilon$$
 we have

 $\alpha = 1 - \nu^2$,

One can check that $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 1$.

a scheme in the form

where

 $= u_j^n - \frac{\nu}{2} \left(u_{j+1}^n - u_{j-1}^n \right) - \frac{\nu^2}{2} \left(2u_j^n - u_{j-1}^n - u_{j+1}^n \right)$

 $u_j^{n+1} = u_j^n - a \frac{\Delta t}{2\Delta x} \left(u_{j+1}^n - u_{j-1}^n \right) - a^2 \frac{\Delta t^2}{2\Delta x^2} \left(2u_j^n - u_{j-1}^n - u_{j+1}^n \right)$

In view of the inequality proven in the first point, if
$$\alpha, \beta, \gamma \geq 0$$
, one has
$$\sup |u_i^{n+1}| = \sup |\alpha u_i^n + \beta u_{i+1}^n + \gamma u_{i-1}^n| \leq \sup \max (|u_i^n|, |u_{i+1}^n|)$$

 $u_i^{n+1} = \alpha u_i^n + \beta u_{i+1}^n + \gamma u_{i-1}^n$ we have

 $|u_i^{n+1}|^2 = \alpha^2 |u_i^n|^2 + \beta^2 |u_{i+1}^n|^2 + \gamma^2 |u_{i-1}^n|^2 + 2\alpha\beta u_i^n u_{i+1}^n + 2\alpha\gamma u_i^n u_{i-1}^n + 2\beta\gamma u_{i+1}^n u_{i-1}^n \,.$

 $\sum_{i} |u_{j}^{n}|^{2} = |u_{j-1}^{n}|^{2} = |u_{j+1}^{n}|^{2},$

 $\sum_{i} u_{j+1}^{n} u_{j-1}^{n} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} |u_{j+1}^{n}|^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} |u_{j-1}^{n}|^{2} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} |u_{j+1}^{n} - u_{j-1}^{n}| = \sum_{i} |u_{j}^{n}|^{2} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} |w_{j+1}^{n} + w_{j-1}^{n}|^{2}.$

 $\sum_{i} |u_{j}^{n+1}|^{2} = (\alpha + \beta + \gamma)^{3} \sum_{i} |u_{j}^{n}|^{2} - (\alpha\beta + \alpha\gamma + 4\beta\gamma) \sum_{i} |w_{j}^{n}|^{2} + \beta\gamma \sum_{i} |w_{j+1}^{n} - w_{j}^{n}|^{2}.$ Using the epressions of α, β, γ in terms of ν , we have $\alpha\beta + \alpha\gamma = \nu^2 \left(1 - \nu^2 \right) = -4\beta\gamma,$

 $\begin{cases} \frac{u_j^{n+1} - u_j^n}{\Delta t} + a \frac{u_j^n - u_{j-1}^n}{\Delta x} = 0, & \text{if } a > 0, \end{cases}$ $\begin{cases} u_j^{n+1} - u_j^n \\ \frac{u_j^{n+1} - u_j^n}{\Lambda r} + a \frac{u_{j+1}^n - u_j^n}{\Lambda r} = 0, \text{ if } a < 0. \end{cases}$ • Lax-Friedrichs $\frac{2u_j^{n+1} - u_{j+1}^n - u_{j-1}^n}{2\Delta t} + a \frac{u_{j+1}^n - u_{j-1}^n}{2\Delta x} = 0.$

 $\frac{u_j^{n+1} - u_j^n}{\Delta t} + a \frac{u_{j+1}^{n+1} - u_{j-1}^{n+1}}{2\Delta x} = 0.$

(3)

(4)

(5)

(6)

(7)

(8)

truncation error

 $O((\Delta t)^2 + (\Delta x)^2)$

 $O(\Delta t + (\Delta x)^2)$

 $O(\Delta t + (\Delta x)^2)$

 $O(\Delta t + \Delta x)$

 $oldsymbol{U}^n = \left(egin{array}{c} u_1^n \ u_2^n \ dots \end{array}
ight) \, ,$

 $\cdots + \left[u_J^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} u_J \left(u_0 - u_{J-1} \right) \right]$

 $= u_0^2 + u_1^2 + u_2^2 + \cdots + u_n^2$

and the *centered implicit* scheme is unconditionally L^2 -stable.

where $f_{j\pm\frac{1}{2}}^n$ denotes a numerical flux. We still denote $\nu = \frac{a\Delta x}{dt}$.

= 0.We sum up in the table below some properties of each scheme:

Check that the Lax-Wendroff, upwind, Lax-Friedrichs and Beam-Warming schemes can be seen as a finite

 $\frac{u_j^{n+1} - u_j^n}{\Delta t} + a \frac{f_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^n - f_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^n}{\Delta x} = \frac{u_j^{n+1} - u_j^n}{\Delta t} + \frac{a}{\Delta x} \left[u_j^n + \frac{1}{2} (1 - \nu)(u_{j+1}^n - u_j^n) - u_{j-1}^n - \frac{1}{2} (1 - \nu)(u_j^n - u_{j-1}^n) \right]$

 $= \frac{u_j^{n+1} - u_j^n}{\Delta t} + \frac{a}{\Delta x} \left(u_{j+1}^n - u_{j-1}^n \right) + \frac{a^2 \Delta t}{2 \Delta x} \left(2u_j^n - u_{j+1}^n - u_{j-1}^n \right)$

Q4: For the following schemes: Lax-Wendroff, upwind, Lax-Friedrichs and Beam-Warming, show that if $a\Delta t =$ Δx , the numerical solution u_i^n is equal to the analytical solution at the discretization point (x_j, t_n) . $u_i^{n+1} = u_{i-1}^n$.

(9)

 $\frac{u_j^{n+1} - u_j^n}{\Delta t} + a \; \frac{u_{j+1}^n - u_{j-1}^n}{2\Delta x} + \frac{a^2 \Delta t}{2} \; \frac{2u_j^n - u_{j-1}^n - u_{j+1}^n}{\Delta x^2} \; = \; 0 \; .$ Q1: Truncation error The exact solution \bar{u} of (1) is generally not a solution of the scheme (2). The truncation error estimates the difference. Let us assume that the solution of (1) is such that $\bar{u} \in C^3(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+)$. 1. Prove that, for all $(x,t) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+$, $\partial_{tt}\bar{u} = a^2 \partial_{xx}\bar{u}$. Taking respectively the derivatives with respect to t and x of the equation leads to

 $\bar{u}_{j-1}^{n} = \bar{u}(x_{j} - \Delta x, t_{n}) = \bar{u}(x_{j}, t_{n}) - \Delta x \, \partial_{x} \bar{u}(x_{j}, t_{n}) + \frac{\Delta x^{2}}{2} \, \partial_{xx} \bar{u}(x_{j}, t_{n}) + O(\Delta x^{3}).$ At this stage, it is no so clear up to which order one has to perform the expansions.

 $=\partial_t \bar{u}(x_j,t_n) + \frac{\Delta t}{2} \partial_{tt} \bar{u}(x_j,t_n) + a \partial_x \bar{u}(x_j,t_n) - \frac{\Delta t}{2} a^2 \partial_{xx} \bar{u}(x_j,t_n) + O(\Delta t^2) + O(\Delta x^2) + O(\Delta x \Delta t)$ $=\underbrace{\partial_t \bar{u}(x_j,t_n) + a\partial_x \bar{u}(x_j,t_n)}_{=0} + \underbrace{\frac{\Delta t}{2}}_{=0} \left[\underbrace{\partial_{tt} \bar{u}(x_j,t_n) - a^2 \partial_{xx} \bar{u}(x_j,t_n)}_{=0}\right] + O(\Delta t^2) + O(\Delta x^2) + O(\Delta x \Delta t)$

$$\forall x,y,z\in\mathbb{R}, \min(x,y,z)\leq\alpha x+\beta y+\gamma z\leq\max(x,y,z).$$
 Let $x,y,z\in\mathbb{R}$ and
$$M=\max(x,y,z)\,, \qquad m=\min(x,y,z)\,.$$

 $\alpha x + \beta y + \gamma z \ge \alpha m + \beta m + \gamma m \ge m$.

 $\beta = \frac{\nu^2}{2} - \frac{\nu}{2} \,,$

3. Provide a necessary and sufficient condition on Δt , Δx and a ensuring the non-negativity of the coefficients

Therefore, the scheme is L^{∞} -stable if $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \geq 0$. By cooking up a counter-exemple, it is easy to see that if at least one of the coefficients α, β, γ is strictly negative, then the scheme is not L^{∞} -stable. Therefore

 $u_i^{n+1} = \alpha u_i^n + \beta u_{i+1}^n + \gamma u_{j-1}^n$ is L^{∞} -stable if and only if $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \geq 0$. By representing graphically the coefficients α, β, γ in terms of

 α, β, γ found at the previous question. Deduce the L^{∞} stability domain of the scheme.

 $\gamma = \frac{\nu^2}{2} + \frac{\nu}{2} \, .$

 $= \alpha u_i^n + \beta u_{i+1}^n + \gamma u_{i-1}^n,$

Q3: L^2 stability 1. Show that $\sum_{i} |u_{j}^{n+1}|^{2} = \sum_{i} |u_{j}^{n}|^{2} - \frac{\nu^{2}(1-\nu^{2})}{4} \sum_{i} |w_{j+1}^{n} - w_{j}^{n}|^{2},$

we have $\sum_{i} u_{j}^{n} u_{j+1}^{n} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} |u_{j}^{n}|^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} |u_{j+1}^{n}|^{2} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} |u_{j+1}^{n} - u_{j}^{n}| = \sum_{i} |u_{j}^{n}|^{2} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} |w_{j}^{n}|^{2},$ $\sum_{i} u_{j}^{n} u_{j-1}^{n} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} |u_{j}^{n}|^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} |u_{j-1}^{n}|^{2} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} |u_{j-1}^{n} - u_{j}^{n}| = \sum_{i} |u_{j}^{n}|^{2} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} |w_{j}^{n}|^{2},$

$$\sum_j |w_{j+1}^n + w_{j-1}^n|^2 = 2\sum_j |w_j^n|^2 + 2\sum_j w_{j+1}^n w_j^n = 4\sum_j |w_j^n|^2 - \sum_j |w_{j+1}^n - w_j^n|^2\,,$$
 and we obtain

• Centered explicit scheme
$$\frac{u_j^{n+1}-u_j^n}{\Delta t}+a~\frac{u_{j+1}^n-u_{j-1}^n}{2\Delta x}~=~0$$

Again, one has to do the same trick for the last term,

so

Schemes overview

• Centered implicit scheme

• Upwind scheme

which can be written as

Therefore, we can write

and obtain

Therefore

volume scheme with

scheme

Lax-Wendroff

with

 $\mathbf{2}$

• Beam-Warming (if
$$a > 0$$
)
$$\frac{u_j^{n+1} - u_j^n}{\Delta t} + a \frac{3u_j^n - 4u_{j-1}^n + u_{j-2}^n}{2\Delta x} - \frac{a^2\Delta t}{2} \frac{u_j^n - 2u_{j-1}^n + u_{j-2}^n}{\Delta x^2} = 0. \tag{7}$$
Q1: We assume that u_0 is a periodic function. Unlike the other schemes, the centered implicit scheme does not allow, for a given space index j and a given time index n , to express explicitly u_j^{n+1} in function of the $(u_k^n)_k$.

A linear system has to be solved. Construct the matrix of the linear system, prove it is invertible (let A be its

 $\begin{pmatrix} u_0 + \frac{1}{2} (u_1^{n} - u_J^{n}) \\ u_1^{n} + \frac{\nu}{2} (u_2^{n+1} - u_0^{n+1}) \\ u_2^{n} + \frac{\nu}{2} (u_3^{n+1} - u_1^{n+1}) \\ \vdots \\ u_1^{n} + \frac{\nu}{2} (u_1^{n+1} - u_1^{n+1}) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u_0^{n} \\ u_1^{n} \\ u_2^{n} \\ \vdots \\ u_n^{n} \end{pmatrix},$

 $A\boldsymbol{U}^{n+1} = \boldsymbol{U}^n \,,$

 $A = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{2} & 1 & \ddots & \ddots & 0\\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0\\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & 1 & \frac{\nu}{2}\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$ One way to prove that the matrix A is invertible is to compute $\boldsymbol{U} \cdot A\boldsymbol{U} = \left[u_0^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} u_0 \left(u_1 - u_J \right) \right] + \left[u_1^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} u_1 \left(u_2 - u_0 \right) \right] + \left[u_2^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} u_2 \left(u_3 - u_1 \right) \right] + \left[u_2^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} u_2 \left(u_3 - u_1 \right) \right] + \left[u_2^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} u_2 \left(u_3 - u_1 \right) \right] + \left[u_2^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} u_2 \left(u_3 - u_1 \right) \right] + \left[u_2^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} u_2 \left(u_3 - u_1 \right) \right] + \left[u_3^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} u_2 \left(u_3 - u_1 \right) \right] + \left[u_3^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} u_2 \left(u_3 - u_1 \right) \right] + \left[u_3^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} u_2 \left(u_3 - u_1 \right) \right] + \left[u_3^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} u_2 \left(u_3 - u_1 \right) \right] + \left[u_3^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} u_2 \left(u_3 - u_1 \right) \right] + \left[u_3^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} u_2 \left(u_3 - u_1 \right) \right] + \left[u_3^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} u_2 \left(u_3 - u_1 \right) \right] + \left[u_3^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} u_2 \left(u_3 - u_1 \right) \right] + \left[u_3^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} u_2 \left(u_3 - u_1 \right) \right] + \left[u_3^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} u_2 \left(u_3 - u_1 \right) \right] + \left[u_3^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} u_2 \left(u_3 - u_1 \right) \right] + \left[u_3^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} u_2 \left(u_3 - u_1 \right) \right] + \left[u_3^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} u_2 \left(u_3 - u_1 \right) \right] + \left[u_3^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} u_2 \left(u_3 - u_1 \right) \right] + \left[u_3^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} u_2 \left(u_3 - u_1 \right) \right] + \left[u_3^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} u_2 \left(u_3 - u_1 \right) \right] + \left[u_3^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} u_2 \left(u_3 - u_1 \right) \right] + \left[u_3^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} u_2 \left(u_3 - u_1 \right) \right] + \left[u_3^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} u_2 \left(u_3 - u_1 \right) \right] + \left[u_3^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} u_3 \left(u_3 - u_1 \right) \right] + \left[u_3^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} u_3 \left(u_3 - u_1 \right) \right] + \left[u_3^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} u_3 \left(u_3 - u_1 \right) \right] + \left[u_3^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} u_3 \left(u_3 - u_1 \right) \right] + \left[u_3^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} u_3 \left(u_3 - u_1 \right) \right] + \left[u_3^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} u_3 \left(u_3 - u_1 \right) \right] + \left[u_3^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} u_3 \left(u_3 - u_1 \right) \right] + \left[u_3^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} u_3 \left(u_3 - u_1 \right) \right] + \left[u_3^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} u_3 \left(u_3 - u_1 \right) \right] + \left[u_3^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} u_3 \left(u_3 - u_1 \right) \right] + \left[u_3^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} u_3 \left(u_3 - u_1 \right) \right] + \left[u_3^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} u_3 \left(u_3 - u_1 \right) \right] + \left[u_3^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} u_3 \left(u_3 - u_1 \right) \right] + \left[u_3^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} u_3 \left(u_3 - u_1 \right) \right] + \left[u_3^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} u_3 \left(u_3 - u_1 \right) \right] + \left[u_3^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} u_3 \left(u_3 - u_1 \right) \right] + \left[u_3^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} u_3 \left(u_3 - u_1 \right) \right] + \left[u_3^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} u_3 \left(u_3 - u_1 \right) \right] + \left[u_3^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} u_3 \left(u_3 - u_1 \right) \right] + \left[u_3 + \frac{\nu}{2} u_3 \left(u_3 - u_1 \right) \right] + \left[u_3$

 $\boldsymbol{U}^{n+1} = A^{-1}\boldsymbol{U}^n.$

 $\left\|\boldsymbol{U}^{n+1}\right\|^{2} = \boldsymbol{U}^{n+1} \cdot \boldsymbol{U}^{n+1} \overset{\text{(property of } A)}{=} \boldsymbol{U}^{n+1} \cdot A \boldsymbol{U}^{n+1} \overset{\text{(definition of the scheme)}}{=} \boldsymbol{U}^{n+1} \cdot \boldsymbol{U}^{n} \leq \left\|\boldsymbol{U}^{n+1}\right\| \left\|\boldsymbol{U}^{n}\right\| \; .$

 $\sum_{j} |u_{j}^{n+1}|^{2} = \|U^{n+1}\|^{2} \le \|U^{n}\|^{2} = \sum_{j} |u_{j}^{n}|^{2},$

upwind L^2 and L^{∞} stable under CFL $|a|\Delta t \leq \Delta x$ Lax-Friedrichs L^2 stable under CFL $|a|\Delta t \leq 2\Delta x$ Beam-Warming **Q3:** Do you see one advantage to use the *Beam-Warming* scheme?

For the Lax-Wendroff scheme, one has $\alpha = \beta = 0$ and $\gamma = 1$ for $\nu = 1$, so One find the same result at $\nu = 1$ for all the listed schemes. The exact solution is given by $\bar{u}(x,t) = u_0(x - at) \,,$ so the evaluation of the exact solution at the discretization points gives $\bar{u}_{i}^{n+1} = \bar{u}(x_{j}, t_{n+1}) = u_{0}(x_{j} - at_{n+1}) = u_{0}(x_{j} - a\Delta t - at_{n})$ $= u_0(x_j - \Delta x - at_n) = u_0(x_{j-1} - at_n) = \bar{u}(x_{j-1}, t_n)$

 $O3 = (1 - \delta)LW + \delta BW , \delta = \frac{1 + \nu}{3}$ where LW denotes the Lax-Wendroff scheme and BW denotes the Beam-Warming scheme. Check that this

since $a\Delta t = \Delta x$. Since the scheme and the evalution of the exact solutions given the same relation, all the listed sheme are exact for $\nu = 1$. The reason is that the space-time grid is aligned with the characteristics, which are straight lines in this case. Q5: By using the same tools as the ones used for the Lax-Wendroff scheme in section one, for each scheme of the table above, check its stability properties and its truncation error. This can be done in the same way as for the Lax-Wendroff scheme. **Q6:** Assuming a > 0, we introduce the third order scheme,

 $\begin{cases} \partial_t \bar{u} + a \ \partial_x \bar{u} = 0, & \forall (x,t) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_*^+, \\ \bar{u}(x,0) = u_0(x), & \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \end{cases}$ thanks to discrete schemes. As in chapter 3, we introduce a discretization of the domain using a regular mesh: $(x_j, t_n) = (j\Delta x, n\Delta t), \ \forall j \in \mathbb{Z}, \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ where } \Delta x, \text{ respectively } \Delta t, \text{ denotes the space step, respectively the}$

so eliminating $\partial_{tx}\bar{u}$, we obtain 2. Compute the Taylor expansions ("développements limités avec reste de Taylor-Lagrange") at a convenient order of $\bar{u}(x_j, t_{n+1})$, $\bar{u}(x_{j+1}, t_n)$, and $\bar{u}(x_{j-1}, t_n)$ at the point (x_j, t_n) . We denote by \bar{u}_i^n the evaluation of \bar{u} at x_j, t_n ,

 $\bar{u}_i^n = \bar{u}(x_i, t_n)$.

 $\bar{u}_{j+1}^n = \bar{u}(x_j + \Delta x, t_n) = \bar{u}(x_j, t_n) + \Delta x \, \partial_x \bar{u}(x_j, t_n) + \frac{\Delta x^2}{2} \partial_{xx} \bar{u}(x_j, t_n) + O(\Delta x^3),$

3. Assuming that enough partial derivatives of \bar{u} are bounded in L^{∞} norm by some constant $C \in \mathbb{R}^+$, prove that the absolute value of the truncation error of the Lax-Wendroff scheme is second order both in time Using the previous expansions, one has

so, combining the three terms leads to

$$=0$$

$$=O(\Delta t^2+\Delta x^2).$$
In the last step, we used the equation, the result of the previous point, and the last step, we used the equation, the result of the previous point, and the
$$|\Delta x \Delta t| \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\Delta x^2 + \Delta t^2\right).$$
We note that the $O(\Delta t^2 + \Delta x^2)$ depends on a and on the L^{∞} -norms of $\partial_{xxx}\bar{u}$ in this means, that there exists a constant $C>0$ depending on a and these L^{∞} is $|T_j^n| \leq C(\Delta t^2 + \Delta x^2)$.

 $|\alpha x + \beta y + \gamma z| \le \max(|x|, |y|, |z|).$ 2. Using (2), find α, β, γ such that $u_i^{n+1} = \alpha u_i^n + \beta u_{i+1}^n + \gamma u_{i-1}^n$. Using (2) and denoting the CFL by $\nu = a \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x}$.

Therefore, the inequality is proven. In particular, we note that

$$\sup_{j} |u_{j}^{n+1}| = \sup_{j} |\alpha u_{j}^{n} + \beta u_{j+1}^{n} + \gamma u_{j-1}^{n}| \le \sup_{j} \max \left(|u_{j}^{n}|, |u_{j+1}^{n}|, |u_{j-1}^{n}| \right) \le \sup_{j} |u_{j}^{n}|.$$

 $\nu \geq 0$, one see easily that this condition is equivalent to $\nu = 1$

where
$$\nu=\frac{a\Delta t}{\Delta x}$$
 and $w_j^n=u_j^n-u_{j-1}^n$.

The aim is now to sum over j and express the cross terms in terms of squares. Since

Therefore, taking the sum over j in the expression of $|u_j^{n+1}|^2$ leads to

 $\sum_{i} |u_{j}^{n+1}|^{2} = \left(\alpha^{2} + \beta^{2} + \gamma^{2} + 2\alpha\beta + 2\alpha\gamma + 2\beta\gamma\right) \sum_{i} |u_{j}^{n}|^{2} - \alpha\left(\beta + \gamma\right) \sum_{i} |w_{j}^{n}|^{2} - \beta\gamma \sum_{i} |w_{j+1}^{n} + w_{j-1}^{n}|^{2}$

$$\sum_{j} |u_{j}^{n+1}|^{2} = \sum_{j} |u_{j}^{n}|^{2} - \frac{\nu^{2}(1-\nu^{2})}{4} \sum_{j} |w_{j+1}^{n} - w_{j}^{n}|^{2}.$$
2. Deduce the condition under which the scheme is L^{2} stable.

The previous equality shows that the scheme is L^{2} -stable if $\nu \leq 1$. By constructing a counterexample, we can prove this is in fact a necessary condition.

The centered implicit scheme can be written as $u_i^{n+1} + \frac{\nu}{2} \left(u_{i+1}^{n+1} - u_{i-1}^{n+1} \right) = u_i^n$ for $j = 0 \dots J$, and the convention that $u_{-1}^n = u_J^n$ and $u_{J+1} = u_0^n$. Explictly this is given by

matrix: show that $AU = 0 \Rightarrow U = 0$ by computing U^tAU). Show the L^2 stability unconditionally.

Q2: A finite volume scheme for equation (1) can be written
$$\frac{u_j^{n+1} - u_j^n}{\Delta t} + a \frac{f_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^n - f_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^n}{\Delta x} = 0,$$

scheme
 stability

 Lax-Wendroff

$$L^2$$
 stable under CFL $|a|\Delta t \leq \Delta x$ $[L^{\infty}$ stable if $|a|\Delta t = \Delta x]$

 centered explicit
 unstable

 centered implicit
 unconditionally L^2 stable

 upwind
 L^2 and L^{∞} stable under CFL $|a|\Delta t \leq \Delta x$

 Lax-Friedrichs
 L^2 and L^{∞} stable under CFL $|a|\Delta t \leq \Delta x$

 Beam-Warming
 L^2 stable under CFL $|a|\Delta t \leq 2\Delta x$

One advantage, is that the CFL is twice bigger, allowing bigger time steps.

scheme is of order 3 in space and in time. One has to perform the Taylor expansions to one order further to what has been done in section one.