

Numerical Methods for Hyperbolic PDEs

Homework 2

James King*, Robert Ziegler†

March 13, 2024

Exercise 1

Let $U_i^n := u(t_n, x_i)$ be the exact solution at (t_n, x_i) , assuming to exist, and let \tilde{U}_i^n the numerical approximation. By Taylor expansion we know that

$$\begin{aligned} U_i^{n+1} &= U_i^n + \Delta t (U_i^n)_t + \frac{\Delta t^2}{2} (U_i^n)_{tt} + \mathcal{O}(\Delta t^3) \\ U_{i+1}^n &= U_i^n + \Delta x (U_i^n)_x + \frac{\Delta x^2}{2} (U_i^n)_{xx} + \frac{\Delta x^3}{6} (U_i^n)_{xxx} + \mathcal{O}(\Delta x^4) \\ U_{i-1}^n &= U_i^n - \Delta x (U_i^n)_x + \frac{\Delta x^2}{2} (U_i^n)_{xx} - \frac{\Delta x^3}{6} (U_i^n)_{xxx} + \mathcal{O}(\Delta x^4). \end{aligned}$$

We want to calculate the local error, so we assume $U_i^n = \tilde{U}_i^n$ for all i . Then the one-step error is

$$\begin{aligned} &\tilde{U}_i^{n+1} - U_i^{n+1} \\ &= U_i^n - \frac{\lambda}{2} (U_{i+1}^n - U_{i-1}^n) + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} (U_{i+1}^n - 2U_i^n + U_{i-1}^n) - U_i^{n+1} \\ &= U_i^n - \frac{a\Delta t}{2\Delta x} \left(2\Delta x (U_i^n)_x + \mathcal{O}(\Delta x^3) \right) + \frac{a^2\Delta t^2}{2\Delta x^2} \left(\Delta x^2 (U_i^n)_{xx} + \mathcal{O}(\Delta x^4) \right) \\ &\quad - U_i^n - \Delta t (U_i^n)_t - \frac{\Delta t^2}{2} (U_i^n)_{tt} + \mathcal{O}(\Delta t^3) \\ &= \underbrace{-a\Delta t (U_i^n)_x - \Delta t (U_i^n)_t}_{=0} + \mathcal{O}(\Delta t \Delta x^2) + \underbrace{\frac{a^2\Delta t^2}{2} (U_i^n)_{xx} - \frac{\Delta t^2}{2} (U_i^n)_{tt}}_{= \frac{\Delta t^2}{2} (a^2 (U_i^n)_{xx} - (U_i^n)_{tt}) = 0} + \mathcal{O}(\Delta t^2 \Delta x^2 + \Delta t^3) \\ &= \mathcal{O}(\Delta t^3), \end{aligned}$$

*Immatriculation Nr. 23-942-030

†Immatriculation Nr. 18-550-558

where we used in the last equality that $\mathcal{O}(\Delta t \Delta x^2 + \Delta t^2 \Delta x^2 + \Delta t^3) = \mathcal{O}(\Delta t^3)$, since $\lambda = a \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x} = \text{const.}$ and that

$$u_{tt} = -au_{xt} = (-au_t)_x = (a^2 u)_{xx}. \quad (1)$$

Thus, it follows for the local truncation error τ_n

$$\tau_n = \frac{1}{\Delta t} (\tilde{U}_i^n - U_i^n) = \mathcal{O}(\Delta t^2).$$

Therefore, the method is of second order.

We perform the von-Neumann stability analysis: We assume periodic boundary conditions and expand U_j^n as Fourier series. Write $u(x, t) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{u}_k(t) e^{-ikx}$ and let $\hat{U}_k^n = \hat{u}_k(t_n)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} U_j^n &= u(x_j, t_n) = \sum_k \hat{U}_k^n e^{-ikj\Delta x} \\ U_{j+1}^n &= u(x_{j+1}, t_n) = \sum_k \hat{U}_k^n e^{-ik(j+1)\Delta x} \\ U_{j-1}^n &= u(x_{j-1}, t_n) = \sum_k \hat{U}_k^n e^{-ik(j-1)\Delta x}. \end{aligned}$$

Plugging this into the Lax-Wendroff scheme, we get

$$\begin{aligned} U_j^{n+1} &= \sum_k \hat{U}_k^{n+1} e^{-ikj\Delta x} \\ &= \sum_k \hat{U}_k^n e^{-ikj\Delta x} - \frac{\lambda}{2} \left(\sum_k \hat{U}_k^n (e^{-ik(j+1)\Delta x} - e^{-ik(j-1)\Delta x}) \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \left(\sum_k \hat{U}_k^n (e^{-ik(j+1)\Delta x} - 2e^{-ikj\Delta x} + e^{-ik(j-1)\Delta x}) \right) \\ &= \sum_k e^{-ikj\Delta x} \left(\hat{U}_k^n - \frac{\lambda}{2} \hat{U}_k^n (e^{-ik\Delta x} - e^{ik\Delta x}) + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \hat{U}_k^n (e^{-ik\Delta x} - 2 + e^{ik\Delta x}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

By projecting onto Fourier basis functions we obtain, for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\hat{U}_k^{n+1} = \hat{U}_k^n \underbrace{\left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{2} (e^{-ik\Delta x} - e^{ik\Delta x}) + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} (e^{-ik\Delta x} + e^{ik\Delta x} - 2) \right)}_{:=A_k}.$$

For stability, we need $|A_k| \leq 1$ for all k . Using

$$\sin(k\Delta x) = \frac{1}{2i} (e^{-ik\Delta x} - e^{ik\Delta x})$$

and

$$\cos(k\Delta x) = \frac{1}{2}(e^{-ik\Delta x} + e^{ik\Delta x}),$$

we get with substituting $\omega_k := k\Delta x$:

$$A_k = 1 - i\lambda \sin(\omega_k) + \lambda^2(\cos(\omega_k) - 1) = 1 - 2i\lambda \sin\left(\frac{\omega_k}{2}\right) \cos\left(\frac{\omega_k}{2}\right),$$

where we used the identities

$$\sin(2x) = 2 \sin(x) \cos(x)$$

and

$$\cos(2x) = 1 - \sin^2(x).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |A_k|^2 &= (1 - 2\lambda^2 \sin^2\left(\frac{\omega_k}{2}\right))^2 + 4\lambda^2 \sin^2\left(\frac{\omega_k}{2}\right) \cos^2\left(\frac{\omega_k}{2}\right) \\ &= 1 + 4\lambda^4 \sin^4\left(\frac{\omega_k}{2}\right) - 4\lambda^2 \sin^2\left(\frac{\omega_k}{2}\right) + 4\lambda^2 \sin^2\left(\frac{\omega_k}{2}\right) \cos^2\left(\frac{\omega_k}{2}\right) \\ &\stackrel{!}{\leq} 1 \end{aligned}$$

Rewriting this gives

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq 4\lambda^2 \sin^2\left(\frac{\omega_k}{2}\right) \left(\lambda^2 \sin^2\left(\frac{\omega_k}{2}\right) - \underbrace{1 + \cos^2\left(\frac{\omega_k}{2}\right)}_{=-\sin^2\left(\frac{\omega_k}{2}\right)} \right) \\ &= 4\lambda^2 \sin^4\left(\frac{\omega_k}{2}\right) (\lambda^2 - 1). \end{aligned}$$

This is equivalent to $|\lambda| \leq 1$. Thus, $|\lambda| \leq 1$ is sufficient as this implies $|A_k| \leq 1$ for all k .

Exercise 2

We modify the order calculation from Exercise 1 to include higher order terms:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{U}_i^{n+1} - U_i^{n+1} \\
&= U_i^n - \frac{\lambda}{2}(U_{i+1}^n - U_{i-1}^n) + \frac{\lambda^2}{2}(U_{i+1}^n - 2U_i^n + U_{i-1}^n) - U_i^{n+1} \\
&= U_i^n - \frac{a\Delta t}{2\Delta x} \left(2\Delta x(U_i^n)_x + \frac{\Delta x^2}{3}(U_i^n)_{xxx} + \mathcal{O}(\Delta x^5) \right) + \frac{a^2\Delta t^2}{2\Delta x^2} \left(\Delta x^2(U_i^n)_{xx} + \mathcal{O}(\Delta x^4) \right) \\
&\quad - U_i^n - \Delta t(U_i^n)_t - \frac{\Delta t^2}{2}(U_i^n)_{tt} - \frac{\Delta t^3}{6}(U_i^n)_{ttt} + \mathcal{O}(\Delta t^4) \\
&= \underbrace{-a\Delta t(U_i^n)_x - \Delta t(U_i^n)_t}_{=0} - \frac{a}{6}\Delta t\Delta x^2(U_i^n)_{xxx} + \underbrace{\frac{a^2\Delta t^2}{2}(U_i^n)_{xx} - \frac{\Delta t^2}{2}(U_i^n)_{tt}}_{=\frac{\Delta t^2}{2}(a^2(U_i^n)_{xx} - (U_i^n)_{tt})=0} \\
&\quad - \frac{\Delta t^3}{6}(U_i^n)_{ttt} + \mathcal{O}(\Delta t^2\Delta x^2 + \Delta t^4) \\
&= -\frac{a}{6}\Delta t\Delta x^2(U_i^n)_{xxx} - \frac{\Delta t^3}{6}(U_i^n)_{ttt} + \mathcal{O}(\Delta t^2\Delta x^2 + \Delta t^4) \quad [\Delta x = a\frac{\Delta t}{\lambda}] \\
&= \Delta t^3 \frac{a^3}{6} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \right) (U_i^n)_{xxx} + \mathcal{O}(\Delta t^4),
\end{aligned}$$

where we used in the last equality that $u_{ttt} = -a^3u_{xxx}$ which we can derive by Eq. (1), using

$$u_{ttt} = (a^2u_t)_{xx} = -a^3u_{xxx}.$$

We see that the leading error term depends on $(U_i^n)_{xxx}$. This means the scheme is dispersive as discussed in the lecture.

Exercise 3

As discussed in the lecture, the solution of the linear advection equation is

$$u(x, t) = u_0(x - 2t) = \begin{cases} -1, & x < 2t \\ 1, & x \geq 2t. \end{cases}$$

As we see in the animation, the solution Lax-Wendroff scheme spreads out over time in a wavy pattern at the discontinuity of the exact solution, whereas in the upwind scheme the numerical solution smooths out over time. This makes sense since the LW-scheme is dispersion dominant and the upwind scheme is diffusion dominant.

Implementation of the Lax-Wendroff scheme:

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_1^{n+1} \\ \vdots \\ u_N^{n+1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1-\lambda^2 & \frac{\lambda}{2}(\lambda-1) & 0 \\ \frac{\lambda}{2}(\lambda+1) & 1-\lambda^2 & \frac{\lambda}{2}(\lambda-1) \\ 0 & \frac{\lambda}{2}(\lambda+1) & 1-\lambda^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_1^n \\ \vdots \\ u_N^n \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\lambda}{2}(\lambda+1) u_0^n \\ 0 \\ \frac{\lambda}{2}(\lambda-1) u_{N+1}^n \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{matrix} u_0^n = u_1^n \\ u_{N+1}^n = u_N^n \end{matrix} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1-\frac{\lambda^2}{2}+\frac{\lambda}{2} & \frac{\lambda}{2}(\lambda-1) & 0 \\ \frac{\lambda}{2}(\lambda+1) & 1-\lambda^2 & \frac{\lambda}{2}(\lambda-1) \\ 0 & \frac{\lambda}{2}(\lambda+1) & 1-\frac{\lambda^2}{2}-\frac{\lambda}{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_1^n \\ \vdots \\ u_N^n \end{pmatrix}$$

Implementation of the upwind scheme:

$$u^{n+1} = \begin{pmatrix} u_1^{n+1} \\ \vdots \\ u_N^{n+1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1-\lambda & 0 \\ \lambda & 1-\lambda \\ 0 & \lambda & 1-\lambda \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_1^n \\ \vdots \\ u_N^n \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \lambda u_0^n \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$u_0^n = u_1^n \quad \Rightarrow \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \lambda & 1-\lambda \\ 0 & \lambda & 1-\lambda \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_1^n \\ \vdots \\ u_N^n \end{pmatrix}$$