

Dr. Yongle Liu

MAT827

Spring 2024

Institut für Mathematik

Universität Zürich

## Sheet 7

Deadline: 01.05.2024, 12:00 PM

### Exercise 1 (Points: 3, 2, 2)

- (a) Prove that a finite difference (FD) scheme written in the viscous form

$$u_j^{n+1} = u_j^n - \frac{\lambda}{2} (f(u_{j+1}^n) - f(u_{j-1}^n)) + \frac{1}{2} (Q_{j+1/2} \Delta u_{j+1/2}^n - Q_{j-1/2} \Delta u_{j-1/2}^n), \quad (1)$$

where  $\lambda = \Delta t / \Delta x$ ,  $\Delta u_{j+1/2} = u_{j+1} - u_j$  and  $\Delta f_{j+1/2} = f(u_j) - f(u_{j-1})$ , is TVD under the condition

$$\lambda \left| \frac{\Delta f_{j+1/2}}{\Delta u_{j+1/2}} \right| \leq Q_{j+1/2} \leq 1. \quad (2)$$

**Hint** Use the result of Harten's Lemma.

- (b) The condition (2) gives us a recipe for building TVD schemes. Consider footprint-3 schemes, i.e.,  $Q_{j+1/2} = Q(u_j, u_{j+1})$ , and using the ansatz that

$$Q(u, v) = q(\lambda a(u, v)), \quad a(u, v) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(u) - f(v)}{u - v} & \text{if } u \neq v, \\ f'(u) & \text{if } u = v, \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

deduce conditions on the function  $q$ , such that the FD scheme would be TVD.

- (c) Consider the Lax-Wendroff scheme

$$u_j^{n+1} = u_j^n - \frac{\lambda}{2} (f(u_{j+1}^n) - f(u_{j-1}^n)) + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} (a_{j+1/2} \Delta f_{j+1/2} - a_{j-1/2} \Delta f_{j-1/2}), \quad (4)$$

where  $a_{j+1/2} = a(u_j, u_{j+1})$  is using the definitions of Exercise 1-(b). Prove or disprove that the scheme is TVD.

**Hint** Use the criterion you found in Exercise 1-(b).

### Exercise 2 (Points: 4, 4)

For scalar conservation law

$$u_t + f(u)_x = 0,$$

we obtain the semi-discrete scheme in the finite volume framework:

$$\frac{d\bar{u}_j}{dt} - \frac{1}{\Delta x} (\hat{F}_{j+1/2}^n - \hat{F}_{j-1/2}^n) = 0,$$

where  $\hat{F}_{j+1/2}^n = \hat{F}(u_{j+1/2}^-, u_{j+1/2}^+)$  is the numerical flux.

Now consider the following IBVP:

$$\begin{cases} u_t + u_x = 0, & x \in [-5, 5] \\ u(x, 0) = \begin{cases} -1, & x < 0 \\ 1, & x > 0 \end{cases} \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

is subjected to the following boundary condition:  $u[0] = u[1]$  and  $u[N+1] = u[N]$ .

- (a) Implement Lax-Wendroff and Beam-Warming schemes for (5) when  $t = 1$ . Please plot these schemes and the exact solution together with a proper mesh size, say  $N = 100$ , what do you observe?

(b) Consider the Lax-Friedrichs scheme:

$$\hat{F}_{j+1/2}^n(u_{j+1/2}^-, u_{j+1/2}^+) = \frac{1}{2}(f(u_{j+1/2}^-) + f(u_{j+1/2}^+)) - \frac{\Delta x}{2\Delta t}(u_{j+1/2}^+ - u_{j+1/2}^-),$$

for (5) when  $t = 1$ . Here

$$\begin{aligned} u_{j+1/2}^- &= p_j(x_{j+1/2}), \quad x_{j-1/2} \leq x \leq x_{j+1/2}, \\ u_{j+1/2}^+ &= p_{j+1}(x_{j+1/2}), \quad x_{j+1/2} \leq x \leq x_{j+3/2}, \\ p_j(x) &= \bar{u}_j + \sigma_j(x - x_j), \\ p_{j+1}(x) &= \bar{u}_{j+1} + \sigma_{j+1}(x - x_{j+1}), \end{aligned}$$

and  $\sigma_j$  is computed by minmod limiter. Please plot the numerical solution and the exact solution together with a proper mesh size, say  $N = 100$ . What do you observe?

**Exercise 1 (Points: 3, 2, 2)**

(a) Prove that a finite difference (FD) scheme written in the viscous form

$$u_j^{n+1} = u_j^n - \frac{\lambda}{2} (f(u_{j+1}^n) - f(u_{j-1}^n)) + \frac{1}{2} (Q_{j+1/2} \Delta u_{j+1/2}^n - Q_{j-1/2} \Delta u_{j-1/2}^n), \quad (1)$$

where  $\lambda = \Delta t / \Delta x$ ,  $\Delta u_{j+1/2} = u_{j+1} - u_j$  and  $\Delta f_{j+1/2} = f(u_{j+1}) - f(u_j)$ , is TVD under the condition

$$\lambda \left| \frac{\Delta f_{j+1/2}}{\Delta u_{j+1/2}} \right| \leq Q_{j+1/2} \leq 1. \quad (2)$$

**Hint** Use the result of Harten's Lemma.

We write the FD scheme in incremental form:

$$u_j^{n+1} = u_j^n - \frac{\lambda}{2} (f(u_{j+1}^n) - f(u_{j-1}^n)) + \frac{1}{2} (Q_{j+1/2} \Delta u_{j+1/2}^n - Q_{j-1/2} \Delta u_{j-1/2}^n)$$

$$\Delta f_{j+1/2} + \Delta f_{j-1/2} = f(u_{j+1}^n) - f(u_{j-1}^n)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= u_j^n + \underbrace{\frac{\lambda}{2} \left( Q_{j+1/2} - \lambda \frac{\Delta f_{j+1/2}^n}{\Delta u_{j+1/2}^n} \right)}_{=: C_{j+1/2}^n} \Delta u_{j+1/2}^n - \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \left( Q_{j-1/2} + \lambda \frac{\Delta f_{j-1/2}^n}{\Delta u_{j-1/2}^n} \right)}_{=: D_{j-1/2}^n} \Delta u_{j-1/2}^n \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{We have } C_{j+1/2}^n, D_{j-1/2}^n \geq 0 \text{ as } \lambda \left| \frac{\Delta f_{j+1/2}^n}{\Delta u_{j+1/2}^n} \right| \leq Q_{j+1/2}^n \text{ and}$$

$$\lambda \left| \frac{\Delta f_{j-1/2}^n}{\Delta u_{j-1/2}^n} \right| \leq Q_{j-1/2}^n$$

$$C_{j+1/2}^n + D_{j+1/2}^n = \frac{\lambda}{2} \left( Q_{j+1/2}^n - \lambda \frac{\Delta f_{j+1/2}^n}{\Delta u_{j+1/2}^n} + Q_{j+1/2}^n + \lambda \frac{\Delta f_{j+1/2}^n}{\Delta u_{j+1/2}^n} \right) = Q_{j+1/2}^n \leq 1$$

Harten's Lemma  
 $\Rightarrow$

FD is TVD

- (b) The condition (2) gives us a recipe for building TVD schemes. Consider footprint-3 schemes, i.e.,  $Q_{j+1/2} = Q(u_j, u_{j+1})$ , and using the ansatz that

$$Q(u, v) = q(\lambda a(u, v)), \quad a(u, v) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(u) - f(v)}{u - v} & \text{if } u \neq v, \\ f'(u) & \text{if } u = v, \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

deduce conditions on the function  $q$ , such that the FD scheme would be TVD.

We need  $\lambda \left| \frac{\Delta f_{j+1/2}}{\Delta u_{j+1/2}} \right| \leq Q_{j+1/2} \leq 1.$

$$\lambda \left| \frac{\Delta f_{j+1/2}}{\Delta u_{j+1/2}} \right| \leq Q_{j+1/2} = q\left(\lambda \frac{f(u_j) - f(u_{j+1})}{u_j - u_{j+1}}\right) = q\left(\lambda \frac{\Delta f_{j+1/2}}{\Delta u_{j+1/2}}\right) \leq 1$$

This means we need to choose  $q$  s.t.  $|x| \leq q(x) \leq 1$

$$\forall x = \lambda \frac{\Delta f_{j+1/2}}{\Delta u_{j+1/2}}$$

(c) Consider the Lax-Wendroff scheme

$$u_j^{n+1} = u_j^n - \frac{\lambda}{2} (f(u_{j+1}^n) - f(u_{j-1}^n)) + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} (a_{j+1/2} \Delta f_{j+1/2} - a_{j-1/2} \Delta f_{j-1/2}), \quad (4)$$

where  $a_{j+1/2} = a(u_j, u_{j+1})$  is using the definitions of Exercise 1-(b). Prove or disprove that the scheme is TVD.

**Hint** Use the criterion you found in Exercise 1-(b).

Comparing (1) and (4) we get

$$\lambda^2 \alpha_{j+\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\Delta f_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^n}{\Delta u_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^n} = \lambda^2 \alpha_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^2 = Q_{j+\frac{1}{2}} = Q(u_j, u_{j+1}) = q(\lambda \alpha_{j+\frac{1}{2}})$$

b) implies that Lax-Wendroff is TVD if

$$\forall j: \quad \lambda \left| \frac{\Delta f_{j+\frac{1}{2}}}{\Delta u_{j+\frac{1}{2}}} \right| \leq \lambda^2 \left( \frac{\Delta f_{j+\frac{1}{2}}}{\Delta u_{j+\frac{1}{2}}} \right)^2 \leq 1$$

$$\hookrightarrow \text{as } x^2 \leq |x| \text{ for } |x| \leq 1$$

Now prove that LW is not TVD

$$u_j^{n+1} = u_j^n - \frac{\lambda}{2} (f(u_{j+1}^n) - f(u_{j-1}^n)) + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} (a_{j+1/2} \Delta f_{j+1/2} - a_{j-1/2} \Delta f_{j-1/2})$$

$$\text{Look at } u_{\ell} + u_x = 0 \rightarrow \alpha_{j+\frac{1}{2}} = 1 \quad \forall j, \quad f = \text{id}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \leadsto u_j^{n+1} &= u_j^n - \frac{\lambda}{2} (u_{j+1}^n - u_{j-1}^n) + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} (u_{j+1}^n - 2u_j^n + u_{j-1}^n) \\ &= \left(-\frac{\lambda}{2} + \frac{\lambda^2}{2}\right) u_{j+1}^n + (1 - \lambda^2) u_j^n + \left(\frac{\lambda}{2} + \frac{\lambda^2}{2}\right) u_{j-1}^n \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{let } n=0 \quad \text{and } u_j^0 = \begin{cases} 0 & j < 0 \\ 1 & j \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow u_j^1 = \begin{cases} 0 & j \leq -2 \\ -\frac{\lambda}{2} + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} & j = -1 \\ 1 - \frac{\lambda}{2} - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} & j = 0 \\ 1 & j > 1 \end{cases}$$

$$0 \leq \lambda \leq 1 \quad \lambda \geq \lambda - \lambda^2$$

choose  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$

$$\sum_j |u_{j+1}^1 - u_j^1| = \left| -\frac{\lambda}{2} + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \right| + |1 - \lambda^2| + \left| \frac{\lambda}{2} + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \right| = \frac{1}{2} |\lambda^2 - \lambda| + \frac{1}{2} |\lambda^2 + \lambda| + |1 - \lambda^2| = 1 + \lambda - \lambda^2$$

$$> 1 = \sum_j |u_{j+1}^0 - u_j^0|$$

$\rightarrow$  not TVD