

## Adjusting beta\_0

beta\_0 = 10:

- $R^2 = 0.4224$

beta\_0 = 20:

- $R^2 = 0.4224$

beta\_0 = -10:

- $R^2 = 0.4224$

Close to no change to the  $R^2$  value and other output values when the y-intercept was changed. This may be due to the high variability in the data, making a change in the y-intercept make little difference to the way the model fits the data.

## Adjusting beta\_1

beta\_1 = 2:

- $R^2 = 0.4224$

beta\_1 = 5:

- $R^2 = 0.7770$

beta\_1 = -2:

- $R^2 = 0.1959$

As the slope increased positively, the  $R^2$  increased as well. As the slope was negative, the  $R^2$  decreased. This suggests that the data follows a positive slope that is closer to 5 than 2.

## Adjusting sigma

sigma = 9:

- $R^2 = 0.4224$
- Root MSE = 9.15501

sigma = 4:

- $R^2 = 0.7425$
- Root MSE = 4.06889

sigma = 16:

- $R^2 = 0.2388$
- Root MSE = 16.27558

As the standard error decreased, the  $R^2$  increased, and vice versa. This follows theory as a decreased standard error means that the data varies less, and therefore should fit a model better.

## **Adjusting n**

n = 30:

- $R^2 = 0.4224$

n = 15:

- $R^2 = 0.3431$

n = 50:

- $R^2 = 0.2947$

Both increasing and decreasing the sample size lowered the  $R^2$ . This suggests that both these actions introduced more variance to the data and therefore decreased the fitness of the model.