Birth Weight

Caleb Fox, Natalie deBonoPaula, Weijiang Hou

11/12/2021

Contents

Contents
Problem – Infant Birth Weight Data
Code 1 Summary Statistics
Test for Association Between Low Birth Weight and Smoking
Code 3 Test for Association between Low Birth Weight and Smoking, Controlling for Death
Code 4 Test for Association between Low Birth Weight and Death
Needed Packages
Problem – Infant Birth Weight Data Read data from SAS input file
<pre>this data came from SASHELP.BWEIGHT ow = read.csv('bwgt.csv', header = TRUE, fileEncoding = 'UTF-8-BOM') ow = data.frame(bw)[!(bw\$Smoking == ""),] #summary(bw) ow = transform(bw, AgeGroup.f = as.factor(AgeGroup)) ow = transform(bw, Race.f = as.factor(Race)) ow = transform(bw, Drinking.f = as.factor(Drinking))</pre>

```
bw = transform(bw, Death.f = as.factor(Death))
bw = transform(bw, Smoking.f = as.factor(Smoking))
bw = transform(bw, SomeCollege.f = as.factor(SomeCollege))
bw = transform(bw, LowBirthWgt.f = as.factor(LowBirthWgt))
```

```
##
           Race.f
## AgeGroup Asian Black Hispanic Native White
                                            160
##
                               79
          1
                      89
##
          2
                91
                     358
                               467
                                       20
                                           1230
##
          3
               31
                      49
                               66
                                        3
                                            236
```

tally(~ AgeGroup + Race.f, data=bw)

```
tally(~ Race.f | AgeGroup.f, data=bw)
##
            AgeGroup.f
## Race.f
               1
                          3
                8
##
    Asian
                   91
                         31
##
    Black
               89 358
                         49
##
    Hispanic
               79 467
                         66
              5 20
                        3
##
    Native
##
    White
              160 1230 236
mytab = tally(~ Race.f | AgeGroup.f, data=bw)
addmargins(mytab)
##
            AgeGroup.f
## Race.f
             1 2
                          3 Sum
                8 91
##
   Asian
                         31 130
    Black
               89 358
                       49 496
##
    Hispanic 79 467 66 612
##
##
    Native
              5 20
                        3 28
##
    White
              160 1230
                        236 1626
    Sum
              341 2166 385 2892
prop.table(mytab, 1)
##
            AgeGroup.f
## Race.f
                                 2
                      1
##
    Asian
             0.06153846 0.70000000 0.23846154
##
     Black
             0.17943548 0.72177419 0.09879032
    Hispanic 0.12908497 0.76307190 0.10784314
##
##
    Native 0.17857143 0.71428571 0.10714286
##
    White
             0.09840098 0.75645756 0.14514145
attach(bw)
mytab = tally(~ LowBirthWgt.f | Death.f, data=bw)
addmargins(mytab)
##
               Death.f
## LowBirthWgt.f No Yes Sum
            No 2135 182 2317
            Yes 192 383 575
##
            Sum 2327 565 2892
prop.table(mytab, 1)
##
               Death.f
## LowBirthWgt.f
                        No
##
            No 0.92145015 0.07854985
            Yes 0.33391304 0.66608696
riskratio(x=Smoking.f, y=Death.f)
## $data
##
           Outcome
## Predictor No Yes Total
##
      No
            1786 405 2191
##
             541 160
                      701
      Yes
##
      Total 2327 565 2892
```

```
##
## $measure
            risk ratio with 95% C.I.
##
## Predictor estimate
                         lower
                                   upper
         No 1.000000
##
         Yes 1.234779 1.050052 1.452004
##
## $p.value
            two-sided
##
## Predictor midp.exact fisher.exact chi.square
                     NA
                                  NA
         Yes 0.0127352
                          0.01371876 0.01165276
##
##
## $correction
## [1] FALSE
##
## attr(,"method")
## [1] "Unconditional MLE & normal approximation (Wald) CI"
```

Code 1

Summary Statistics

summary(bw) ## LowBirthWgt Married AgeGroup Race Length: 2892 Length: 2892 :1.000 Length: 2892 ## Class :character 1st Qu.:2.000 Class : character Class : character Mode :character Mode :character Median :2.000 Mode : character ## Mean :2.015 ## 3rd Qu.:2.000 ## :3.000 Max. ## Drinking Death Smoking SomeCollege ## Length: 2892 Length: 2892 Length: 2892 Length: 2892 Class : character Class : character Class : character Class : character Mode :character Mode :character Mode :character Mode :character ## ## ## ## ## AgeGroup.f Race.f Drinking.f Death.f Smoking.f SomeCollege.f ## 1: 341 Asian : 130 No :2493 No :2327 No :2191 : 48 2:2166 Black Yes: 399 Yes: 565 Yes: 701 No :1526 ## : 496 ## 3: 385 Hispanic: 612 Yes:1318 ## Native: 28 ## White :1626 ## ## LowBirthWgt.f ## No :2317 Yes: 575 ## ## ##

```
##
```

head(bw)

##		LowBirthWgt	Married	AgeGroup	Race	Drinking	${\tt Death}$	Smoking :	SomeCollege
##	1	Yes	Yes	2	Native	No	Yes	No	No
##	2	Yes	Yes	1	White	No	Yes	Yes	No
##	3	No	Yes	2	White	No	Yes	Yes	No
##	4	Yes	No	2	Black	No	Yes	Yes	No
##	5	No	Yes	1	White	No	Yes	Yes	No
##	6	Yes	Yes	2	Black	No	Yes	No	No
##		AgeGroup.f	Race.f Dr	cinking.f	Death.f	Smoking.	f Some	eCollege.	f LowBirthWgt.f
##	1	2 1	Native	No	Yes	l l	Ιο	N	o Yes
##	2	1	White	No	Yes	Ye	es	N	o Yes
##	3	2	White	No	Yes	Ye	es	N	o No
##	4	2	Black	No	Yes	Ye	es	N	o Yes
##	5	1	White	No	Yes	Υe	es	N	o No
##	6	2	Black	No	Yes	ı	lo	N	o Yes

Code 2

Test for Association Between Low Birth Weight and Smoking

```
bw_smoking_table = tally(~ LowBirthWgt.f | Smoking.f, data=bw)
addmargins(bw_smoking_table)
                Smoking.f
## LowBirthWgt.f
                  No Yes Sum
##
             No 1771 546 2317
             Yes 420 155 575
##
##
             Sum 2191 701 2892
prop.table(bw_smoking_table, 1)
##
                Smoking.f
## LowBirthWgt.f
                        No
                                 Yes
##
             No 0.7643505 0.2356495
##
             Yes 0.7304348 0.2695652
fisher.test(bw_smoking_table)
##
   Fisher's Exact Test for Count Data
##
##
## data: bw_smoking_table
## p-value = 0.09208
## alternative hypothesis: true odds ratio is not equal to 1
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## 0.9655756 1.4791492
## sample estimates:
## odds ratio
      1.19693
chisq.test(bw_smoking_table)
```

```
##
## Pearson's Chi-squared test with Yates' continuity correction
##
## data: bw_smoking_table
## X-squared = 2.7038, df = 1, p-value = 0.1001
Both p-values are greater than 0.05.
ratio <- table(bw$Smoking.f,bw$LowBirthWgt.f)</pre>
epi.2by2(ratio, method = "cohort.count", interpret = TRUE)
## Outcome + Outcome - Total Inc risk * Odds
## Exposed + 1771 420 2191 80.8 4.22
## Exposed - 546 155 701 77.9 3.52
## Total 2317 575 2892 80.1 4.03
## Point estimates and 95% CIs:
## Inc risk ratio 1.04 (0.99, 1.08)
## Odds ratio 1.20 (0.97, 1.47)
## Attrib risk in the exposed * 2.94 (-0.54, 6.43)
## Attrib fraction in the exposed (%) 3.64 (-0.74, 7.82)
## Attrib risk in the population * 2.23 (-1.17, 5.63)
## Attrib fraction in the population (%) 2.78 (-0.57, 6.03)
## Uncorrected chi2 test that OR = 1: chi2(1) = 2.886 \text{ Pr} > chi2 = 0.089
## Fisher exact test that OR = 1: Pr>chi2 = 0.092
## Wald confidence limits
## CI: confidence interval
## * Outcomes per 100 population units
## Measures of association strength:
## The outcome risk among the exposed was 1.04 (95% CI 0.99 to 1.08) times greater
than the outcome risk among the unexposed.
##
## The outcome odds among the exposed was 1.2 (95% CI 0.97 to 1.47) times greater
than the outcome odds among the unexposed.
## Measures of effect in the exposed:
## Exposure changed outcome risk in the exposed by 2.94 (95% CI -0.54 to 6.43) per
100 population units. 3.6% of outcomes in the exposed were attributable to exposure
(95\% \text{ CI } -0.7\% \text{ to } 7.8\%).
## Number needed to treat for benefit (NNTB) and harm (NNTH):
## The number needed to treat for one subject to benefit (NNTB) is 34 (NNTH 184 to
infinity to NNTB 16).
##
## Measures of effect in the population:
## Exposure changed outcome risk in the population by 2.23 (95% CI -1.17 to 5.63)
per 100 population units. 2.8% of outcomes in the population were attributable to
exposure (95\% \text{ CI } -0.6\% \text{ to } 6\%).
```

Because 1 is in the odds ratio confidence interval and the p-values for the Fisher's exact test and Chi-Square test are above 0.05, we fail to reject that there is a significant association between low birth weight and smoking.

Test for Association Between Low Birth Weight and Drinking

```
bw_drinking_table = tally(~ LowBirthWgt.f | Drinking.f, data=bw)
addmargins(bw_drinking_table)
               Drinking.f
## LowBirthWgt.f
                  No Yes Sum
##
            No 1992 325 2317
            Yes 501
##
                      74 575
            Sum 2493 399 2892
prop.table(bw_drinking_table, 1)
##
               Drinking.f
## LowBirthWgt.f
                                 Yes
            No 0.8597324 0.1402676
##
            Yes 0.8713043 0.1286957
##
fisher.test(bw_drinking_table)
##
##
   Fisher's Exact Test for Count Data
## data: bw_drinking_table
## p-value = 0.4998
## alternative hypothesis: true odds ratio is not equal to 1
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## 0.6807265 1.1925401
## sample estimates:
## odds ratio
## 0.9053474
chisq.test(bw_drinking_table)
##
## Pearson's Chi-squared test with Yates' continuity correction
##
## data: bw_drinking_table
## X-squared = 0.42596, df = 1, p-value = 0.514
Both p-values are greater than 0.05.
ratio <- table(bw$Drinking.f,bw$LowBirthWgt.f)</pre>
epi.2by2(ratio, method = "cohort.count", interpret = TRUE)
## Outcome + Outcome - Total Inc risk * Odds
## Exposed + 1992 501 2493 79.9 3.98
## Exposed - 325 74 399 81.5 4.39
## Total 2317 575 2892 80.1 4.03
## Point estimates and 95% CIs:
## -----
## Inc risk ratio 0.98 (0.93, 1.03)
## Odds ratio 0.91 (0.69, 1.19)
## Attrib risk in the exposed * -1.55 (-5.68, 2.58)
## Attrib fraction in the exposed (%) -1.94 (-7.25, 3.11)
## Attrib risk in the population * -1.34 (-5.42, 2.75)
```

```
## Attrib fraction in the population (%) -1.67 (-6.21, 2.68)
## -----
## Uncorrected chi2 test that OR = 1: chi2(1) = 0.519 Pr>chi2 = 0.471
## Fisher exact test that OR = 1: Pr>chi2 = 0.500
## Wald confidence limits
## CI: confidence interval
## * Outcomes per 100 population units
## Measures of association strength:
## The outcome risk among the exposed was 0.98 (95% CI 0.93 to 1.03) times less than
the outcome risk among the unexposed.
## The outcome odds among the exposed was 0.91 (95% CI 0.69 to 1.19) times less than
the outcome odds among the unexposed.
## Measures of effect in the exposed:
## Exposure changed outcome risk in the exposed by -1.55 (95% CI -5.68 to 2.58) per
100 population units. -1.9% of outcomes in the exposed were attributable to exposure
(95\% CI -7.3\% to 3.1\%).
##
## Number needed to treat for benefit (NNTB) and harm (NNTH):
## The number needed to treat for one subject to be harmed (NNTH) is 65 (NNTH 18 to
infinity to NNTB 39).
## Measures of effect in the population:
## Exposure changed outcome risk in the population by -1.34 (95% CI -5.42 to 2.75)
per 100 population units. -1.7% of outcomes in the population were attributable to
exposure (95% CI -6.2\% to 2.7\%).
```

Because 1 is in the odds ratio confidence interval and the p-values for the Fisher's exact test and Chi-Square test are above 0.05, we fail to reject that there is a significant association between low birth weight and drinking.

Code 3

Test for Association between Low Birth Weight and Smoking, Controlling for Death

Death = "Yes"

```
## Odds ratio 0.78 (0.53, 1.14)
## Attrib risk in the exposed * -5.63 (-14.33, 3.06)
## Attrib fraction in the exposed (%) -18.40 (-52.39, 8.02)
## Attrib risk in the population * -4.04 (-12.42, 4.35)
## Attrib fraction in the population (%) -12.53 (-33.67, 5.26)
## Uncorrected chi2 test that OR = 1: chi2(1) = 1.666 Pr>chi2 = 0.197
## Fisher exact test that OR = 1: Pr>chi2 = 0.230
## Wald confidence limits
## CI: confidence interval
## * Outcomes per 100 population units
## Measures of association strength:
## The outcome risk among the exposed was 0.84 (95% CI 0.66 to 1.09) times less than
the outcome risk among the unexposed.
##
## The outcome odds among the exposed was 0.78 (95% CI 0.53 to 1.14) times less than
the outcome odds among the unexposed.
## Measures of effect in the exposed:
## Exposure changed outcome risk in the exposed by -5.63 (95% CI -14.33 to 3.06) per
100 population units. -18.4% of outcomes in the exposed were attributable to
exposure (95% CI -52.4\% to 8%).
## Number needed to treat for benefit (NNTB) and harm (NNTH):
## The number needed to treat for one subject to be harmed (NNTH) is 18 (NNTH 7 to
infinity to NNTB 33).
## Measures of effect in the population:
## Exposure changed outcome risk in the population by -4.04 (95% CI -12.42 to 4.35)
per 100 population units. -12.5% of outcomes in the population were attributable to
exposure (95% CI -33.7% to 5.3%).
```

Because 1 is in the odds ratio confidence interval and the p-values for the Fisher's exact test and Chi-Square test are above 0.05, we fail to reject that there is a significant association between low birth weight and smoking when Death = "Yes".

Death = "No"

```
## Attrib fraction in the exposed (%) 2.18 (-0.88, 5.16)
## Attrib risk in the population * 1.55 (-1.20, 4.29)
## Attrib fraction in the population (%) 1.68 (-0.68, 4.00)
## Uncorrected chi2 test that OR = 1: chi2(1) = 2.225 Pr>chi2 = 0.136
## Fisher exact test that OR = 1: Pr>chi2 = 0.153
## Wald confidence limits
## CI: confidence interval
## * Outcomes per 100 population units
##
## Measures of association strength:
## The outcome risk among the exposed was 1.02 (95% CI 0.99 to 1.05) times greater
than the outcome risk among the unexposed.
## The outcome odds among the exposed was 1.29 (95% CI 0.92 to 1.79) times greater
than the outcome odds among the unexposed.
## Measures of effect in the exposed:
## Exposure changed outcome risk in the exposed by 2.01 (95% CI -0.78 to 4.81) per
100 population units. 2.2% of outcomes in the exposed were attributable to exposure
(95\% CI -0.9\% to 5.2\%).
##
## Number needed to treat for benefit (NNTB) and harm (NNTH):
## The number needed to treat for one subject to benefit (NNTB) is 50 (NNTH 128 to
infinity to NNTB 21).
## Measures of effect in the population:
## Exposure changed outcome risk in the population by 1.55 (95% CI -1.2 to 4.29) per
100 population units. 1.7% of outcomes in the population were attributable to
exposure (95% CI -0.7\% to 4\%).
```

Because 1 is in the odds ratio confidence interval and the p-values for the Fisher's exact test and Chi-Square test are above 0.05, we fail to reject that there is a significant association between low birth weight and smoking when Death = "No".

General

```
mysarray <- array(c(smokingtaby, smokingtabn),dim = c(2,2,2))
mantelhaen.test(mysarray)

##
## Mantel-Haenszel chi-squared test with continuity correction
##
## data: mysarray
## Mantel-Haenszel X-squared = 0.034773, df = 1, p-value = 0.8521
## alternative hypothesis: true common odds ratio is not equal to 1
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## 0.8003662 1.3327269
## sample estimates:
## common odds ratio
## 1.032797

DescTools::BreslowDayTest(mysarray)</pre>
```

```
##
## Breslow-Day test on Homogeneity of Odds Ratios
##
## data: mysarray
## X-squared = 3.8091, df = 1, p-value = 0.05098
```

Both p-values are greater than 0.05, so we fail to reject that the common odds ratio is 1 and fail to reject that the odds ratios are homogeneous.

Test for Association between Low Birth Weight and Drinking, Controlling for Death

Death = "Yes"

```
drinkingtaby <- tally(~ Drinking.f | LowBirthWgt.f, data = deathdaty)</pre>
epi.2by2(drinkingtaby, method = "cohort.count", interpret = TRUE)
## Outcome + Outcome - Total Inc risk * Odds
## Exposed + 157 338 495 31.7 0.464
## Exposed - 25 45 70 35.7 0.556
## Total 182 383 565 32.2 0.475
##
## Point estimates and 95% CIs:
## -----
## Inc risk ratio 0.89 (0.63, 1.25)
## Odds ratio 0.84 (0.49, 1.41)
## Attrib risk in the exposed * -4.00 (-15.95, 7.95)
## Attrib fraction in the exposed (%) -12.60 (-58.17, 19.84)
## Attrib risk in the population * -3.50 (-15.37, 8.37)
## Attrib fraction in the population (%) -10.87 (-48.64, 17.30)
## -----
## Uncorrected chi2 test that OR = 1: chi2(1) = 0.449 Pr>chi2 = 0.503
## Fisher exact test that OR = 1: Pr>chi2 = 0.498
## Wald confidence limits
## CI: confidence interval
## * Outcomes per 100 population units
## Measures of association strength:
## The outcome risk among the exposed was 0.89 (95% CI 0.63 to 1.25) times less than
the outcome risk among the unexposed.
## The outcome odds among the exposed was 0.84 (95% CI 0.49 to 1.41) times less than
the outcome odds among the unexposed.
## Measures of effect in the exposed:
## Exposure changed outcome risk in the exposed by -4 (95% CI -15.95 to 7.95) per
100 population units. -12.6% of outcomes in the exposed were attributable to
exposure (95% CI -58.2% to 19.8%).
## Number needed to treat for benefit (NNTB) and harm (NNTH):
## The number needed to treat for one subject to be harmed (NNTH) is 25 (NNTH 6 to
infinity to NNTB 13).
##
```

```
## Measures of effect in the population: ## Exposure changed outcome risk in the population by -3.5 (95% CI -15.37 to 8.37) per 100 population units. -10.9\% of outcomes in the population were attributable to exposure (95% CI -48.6\% to 17.3\%).
```

Because 1 is in the odds ratio confidence interval and the p-values for the Fisher's exact test and Chi-Square test are above 0.05, we fail to reject that there is a significant association between low birth weight and drinking when Death = "Yes".

```
Death = "No"
drinkingtabn <- tally(~ Drinking.f | LowBirthWgt.f, data = deathdatn)</pre>
epi.2by2(drinkingtabn, method = "cohort.count", interpret = TRUE)
## Outcome + Outcome - Total Inc risk * Odds
## Exposed + 1835 163 1998 91.8 11.3
## Exposed - 300 29 329 91.2 10.3
## Total 2135 192 2327 91.7 11.1
##
## Point estimates and 95% CIs:
## -----
## Inc risk ratio 1.01 (0.97, 1.04)
## Odds ratio 1.09 (0.72, 1.65)
## Attrib risk in the exposed * 0.66 (-2.63, 3.95)
## Attrib fraction in the exposed (%) 0.71 (-2.93, 4.23)
## Attrib risk in the population * 0.56 (-2.70, 3.82)
## Attrib fraction in the population (%) 0.61 (-2.51, 3.65)
## -----
## Uncorrected chi2 test that OR = 1: chi2(1) = 0.161 Pr>chi2 = 0.688
## Fisher exact test that OR = 1: Pr>chi2 = 0.666
## Wald confidence limits
## CI: confidence interval
## * Outcomes per 100 population units
## Measures of association strength:
## The outcome risk among the exposed was 1.01 (95% CI 0.97 to 1.04) times greater
than the outcome risk among the unexposed.
## The outcome odds among the exposed was 1.09 (95% CI 0.72 to 1.65) times greater
than the outcome odds among the unexposed.
## Measures of effect in the exposed:
## Exposure changed outcome risk in the exposed by 0.66 (95% CI -2.63 to 3.95) per
100 population units. 0.7% of outcomes in the exposed were attributable to exposure
(95\% \text{ CI } -2.9\% \text{ to } 4.2\%).
## Number needed to treat for benefit (NNTB) and harm (NNTH):
## The number needed to treat for one subject to benefit (NNTB) is 152 (NNTH 38 to
infinity to NNTB 25).
## Measures of effect in the population:
## Exposure changed outcome risk in the population by 0.56 (95% CI -2.7 to 3.82) per
100 population units. 0.6% of outcomes in the population were attributable to
```

```
exposure (95% CI -2.5% to 3.6%).
```

Because 1 is in the odds ratio confidence interval and the p-values for the Fisher's exact test and Chi-Square test are above 0.05, we fail to reject that there is a significant association between low birth weight and drinking when Death = "No".

General

```
mydarray <- array(c(drinkingtaby, drinkingtabn), dim = c(2,2,2))</pre>
mantelhaen.test(mydarray)
##
##
   Mantel-Haenszel chi-squared test with continuity correction
##
## data: mydarray
## Mantel-Haenszel X-squared = 0.00027035, df = 1, p-value = 0.9869
## alternative hypothesis: true common odds ratio is not equal to 1
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## 0.7080307 1.3658739
## sample estimates:
## common odds ratio
           0.9834026
DescTools::BreslowDayTest(mydarray)
   Breslow-Day test on Homogeneity of Odds Ratios
##
##
## data: mydarray
## X-squared = 0.59941, df = 1, p-value = 0.4388
```

Both p-values are greater than 0.05, so we fail to reject that the common odds ratio is 1 and fail to reject that the odds ratios are homogeneous.

Code 4

Test for Association between Low Birth Weight and Death

```
mytab = tally(~ LowBirthWgt.f | Death.f, data=bw)
addmargins(mytab)
##
                Death.f
## LowBirthWgt.f
                   No Yes
                            Sum
##
             No 2135 182 2317
##
             Yes 192 383 575
##
             Sum 2327
                       565 2892
prop.table(mytab, 1)
##
                Death.f
## LowBirthWgt.f
                         No
                                   Yes
##
             No 0.92145015 0.07854985
##
             Yes 0.33391304 0.66608696
```

```
fisher.test(mytab)
## Fisher's Exact Test for Count Data
##
## data: mytab
## p-value < 2.2e-16
## alternative hypothesis: true odds ratio is not equal to 1
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## 18.45830 29.66376
## sample estimates:
## odds ratio
    23.35087
##
chisq.test(mytab)
##
## Pearson's Chi-squared test with Yates' continuity correction
## data: mytab
## X-squared = 1007.9, df = 1, p-value < 2.2e-16
ratio <- table(bw$Death.f,bw$LowBirthWgt.f)</pre>
epi.2by2(ratio, method = "cohort.count", interpret = TRUE)
## Outcome + Outcome - Total Inc risk * Odds
## Exposed + 2135 192 2327 91.7 11.120
## Exposed - 182 383 565 32.2 0.475
## Total 2317 575 2892 80.1 4.030
## Point estimates and 95% CIs:
## -----
## Inc risk ratio 2.85 (2.53, 3.21)
## Odds ratio 23.40 (18.59, 29.45)
## Attrib risk in the exposed * 59.54 (55.52, 63.55)
## Attrib fraction in the exposed (%) 64.89 (60.41, 68.87)
## Attrib risk in the population * 47.91 (43.79, 52.02)
## Attrib fraction in the population (%) 59.79 (55.06, 64.03)
## Uncorrected chi2 test that OR = 1: chi2(1) = 1011.621 Pr>chi2 = <0.001
## Fisher exact test that OR = 1: Pr>chi2 = <0.001
## Wald confidence limits
## CI: confidence interval
## * Outcomes per 100 population units
## Measures of association strength:
## The outcome risk among the exposed was 2.85 (95% CI 2.53 to 3.21) times greater
than the outcome risk among the unexposed.
##
## The outcome odds among the exposed was 23.4 (95% CI 18.59 to 29.45) times greater
than the outcome odds among the unexposed.
## Measures of effect in the exposed:
## Exposure changed outcome risk in the exposed by 59.54 (95\% CI 55.52 to 63.55) per
100 population units. 64.9% of outcomes in the exposed were attributable to exposure
```

```
(95% CI 60.4% to 68.9%).

##

## Number needed to treat for benefit (NNTB) and harm (NNTH):

## The number needed to treat for one subject to benefit (NNTB) is 2 (95% CI 2 to 2).

##

## Measures of effect in the population:

## Exposure changed outcome risk in the population by 47.91 (95% CI 43.79 to 52.02)

per 100 population units. 59.8% of outcomes in the population were attributable to exposure (95% CI 55.1% to 64%).
```

From the frequency table of Death by Low birth weight, we know that low birth weight is more likely to lead to death. The Chi-square test and the Fisher's test shows that there are enough statistical evidence to conclude that the odds ratio is more than one of death by low birth weight, that is, death and low birth weight are positively correlated. The Chi-square test provides the 95% CI of Death by Low birth weight is (18.3410, 28.5869), which is far away from 1 and does not contain "0".